Vitamin D deficiency and depressive episodes in adolescents and young adults: systematic review and meta-analysis

Joseph P. Nano MS MPH[1,3], William A. Catterall MPH[1], Violet Filer MPH[1], Salar Khaleghzadegan MPP[1], Ricardo Almazan Jr MPH[1], Heather B. Blunt MSLS[1,2], Renata W. Yen PhD MPH[1,4]

[1] The Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy & Clinical Practice, Dartmouth Geisel School of Medicine, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA.

[2] Biomedical Libraries, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA

[3] Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, NH, USA

[4] Center for Technology and Behavioral Health, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth

Correspondence: joseph.p.nano@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background
We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the relationship between vitamin-D deficiency and depression in adolescents and young adults.

Methods
We searched academic databases and reference lists from inception to July 2023, limited to English studies. We extracted data and assessed study quality using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality-Assessment-Scale of Cohort Studies. We used random-effects meta-analyses to determine vitamin-D serum levels in depressed vs. non-depressed participants (mean difference) and odds ratio for vitamin-D categories (insufficiency and deficiency) and for gender and age subgroup analyses. We assessed statistical heterogeneity using I^2.

Results
From 9,369 citations, we included seventeen studies (2010-2023). Mean sample size was 32,844 (range: 51 to 483,683). Meta-analysis of six studies showed that depressed individuals had lower vitamin-D levels than non-depressed individuals, mean difference = -7.99 nmol/L (95%CI: -14.44 to -1.55; p=0.02; I^2=79%). Among the 11 studies not in the meta-analysis, ten found that vitamin-D insufficiency (50-75 nmol/L) and deficiency (<50 nmol/L) were significantly associated with depression. This association was stronger among female participants (OR=1.39, 95%CI: 1.33 to 1.45, I^2=88%) and young adults (OR=1.25, 95%CI: 1.18 to 1.33, p<0.001, I^2=94%).

Limitations
Our included studies ranged in sample size, which may influence the interpretation and generalizability of the results.

Conclusions
Over half of the studies indicated that vitamin-D insufficiency and deficiency are significantly associated with depression, with important variations by gender and age.

INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization, 4.4% of the world's population suffers from depression.[1] Depression is a mood disorder that can lead to changes in sleep patterns, appetite, daily energy, and feelings.[2–4] Depression typically starts in adolescence (ages 12-17) and may continue into adulthood.[5] There are different types of depression: major depression, persistent depressive disorder, perinatal depression, seasonal affective disorder, and depression with symptoms of psychosis.[2] The causes of depression are multifactorial, including biological, genetic, environmental, and psychosocial causes.[1] An estimated 4.1 million adolescents in the United States (17% of adolescents in the US population) had at least one major depressive episode in 2015 and according to 2023 Gallup polls 34% of U.S. young adults reported having depression during their lifetime.[1,6] Thus, depression places an enormous health burden on adolescents and young adults.[7] Researchers hypothesize that major depression is projected to be one of the three leading disease burdens affecting adolescents and adults by 2030.[8] Furthermore, depression may affect not only adolescents and young adults but also parents, families, schools, healthcare providers, pharmaceutical companies, public policymakers, and researchers. It is essential to find solutions to preventing and reducing symptoms of depression.[9]

Vitamin D is a neurosteroid hormone essential for neuroprotection and is suggested to play a critical role in the regulation of mood behaviors because its receptors are widely spread throughout the human brain.[10,11] The total serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) is the primary circulating form of vitamin D and considered the best indicator of vitamin D level within the human body.[12] Vitamin D status is typically studied in its relationship with depression in population-based studies.[13] Recent data from a large-scale genome-wide association study that focused on populations of European ancestry did not show a causal relationship between vitamin D deficiency and depression.[13] There is still a controversy on whether vitamin D deficiency is associated with depression. While some studies have shown a strong association between Vitamin D and depression,[14–17] others have demonstrated no association.[18–21] Existing studies and reviews on the relationship between vitamin D and depression focused primarily on elderly populations or populations with comorbidities, there is a gap in literature on the association between vitamin D serum level and depression focused primarily on young adults (aged 18 to 39) and adolescents.[22,23] Furthermore, adolescents and young adults are more likely to be considered "healthy individuals" compared to elderly populations, which may influence the relationship between vitamin D and depression. As such, studying healthy individuals minimizes the possibility that observed associations between vitamin D and depression are explained by other health conditions and behaviors. This is the first systematic review to focus on this population of interest for this topic. Our systematic review and meta-analysis compiled the available evidence to determine if deficiency in vitamin D blood levels is associated with depressive episodes in comparison to those with sufficient vitamin D levels among adolescents and young adults.

METHODS

Eligibility criteria

Study design

We followed standard analysis procedures outlined by the Cochrane Handbook and the PRISMA guidelines when reporting methods and results for this systematic review and meta-analysis.[24,25]

Inclusion criteria

We established inclusion criteria prior to the analysis and included randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cohort studies, case studies, cross-sectional studies, pilot studies, and cross-sectional survey studies. The population of interest comprised adolescents (aged 10-17 years) and young adults (aged 18-39 years). For exposure, we considered studies examining serum vitamin D levels categorized as deficient (<50 nmol/L) and insufficient (50-75 nmol/L), with a control group defined by serum vitamin D sufficiency (>75 nmol/L). The outcome of interest was depression, assessed using validated instruments. We excluded studies that did not match our language or age criteria. Further information on the specific inclusion criteria and outcomes of interest can be found in **Appendix 1**, and the PubMed search terms used are listed in **Appendix 2**.

Data sources

Our search included all dates up to the date we ran the search, July 20, 2023. We used exploded MeSH terms and keywords to generate themes related to vitamin D serum levels and depression. We used the boolean operator “AND” to find the intersection between themes (See **Appendix 3** for search strategies). We performed electronic searches in MEDLINE (via PubMed), The Cochrane Library, Cumulated Index in Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), PsycINFO, and ClinicalTrials.gov.[26–29] In collaboration with a reference librarian (HBB), we (JN and VF) developed a list of keywords and subject headings in PubMed MEDLINE and ran it in each database (See **Appendix 2** for PubMed search terms table). We also reviewed the reference lists of our included studies.

Selection process

Two independent reviewers (JN and WC) used Rayyan to screen studies in two stages: first title and abstract screening followed by full-text screening.[30] We removed studies that did not meet inclusion criteria. Then, two independent and blinded reviewers (JN and WC) conducted an assessment of the full text of each study based on inclusion criteria. The reviewers resolved data discrepancies after review.

Data collection process

The reviewers (JN and WC) used a piloted standardized data collection form and performed independent double data extraction (See **Appendix 4** for blank data collection form). Reviewers extracted information about the outcome, authors, publication year, country, type of study design, aim(s) and research questions, sample size, follow-up, and control condition.

Outcomes

Our primary outcome was depression, which was measured by a validated depression scale or diagnostic tools, including the Hamilton Scale of Depression, Beck Depression Inventory, Depression Diagnostic Criteria, Patient Health Questionnaire, Diagnostic Interview Schedule, Children's Depression Inventory, and Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (**Appendix 5**).[31–37]

We selected secondary outcomes related to the harms and benefits of vitamin D exposure: alcohol consumption, body mass index (BMI), physical activity recreation status, and smoking status. However, we did not find these secondary outcomes in our final selected studies

Synthesis methods

We performed a meta-analysis in RevMan (Review Manager version 5.4.1) that examined the association between vitamin D serum levels and depression in two ways. First, we calculated the pooled mean difference (MD) by extracting mean vitamin D serum levels (nmol/L), sample size, and standard deviation of participants who are depressed compared to those who are not depressed for studies that provided this data.[38] Second, we extracted odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals, standard errors to investigate the association between being depressed and different vitamin D categories (vitamin D deficiency and vitamin D insufficiency). We assessed heterogeneity using the X^2 test and I^2 test.[38] A p-value threshold of <0.10 on the X^2 was used to determine statistical significance. We considered a threshold of $I^2 > 50\%$ to indicate significant heterogeneity.[38] We conducted two types of meta-analysis based on data available: (1) continuous data for overall mean serum levels and (2) dichotomous data (using odds ratio) for two types of vitamin D levels (insufficiency level and deficiency level).

Assessment of Methodological Quality

We used the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale to assess the quality of non-randomized studies included in this systematic review.[39,40] Two independent blinded reviewers (JN and WC) assessed all studies based on three categories, receiving a certain number of stars with a maximum number of 9 stars indicating the highest quality. The three categories were selection, comparability, and outcome (for cohort studies) or exposure (for case-control studies). For example, high quality studies received 7 to 9 stars, moderate quality studies received 4 to 6 stars, and low quality studies received less than 4 stars. We resolved all disagreements after discussion.

Reporting bias assessment

We used RevMan to create funnel plots for all reported outcomes to perform visual examinations for heterogeneity. However, we were unable to conduct an Egger regression for outcomes reported by less than 10 studies to check for publication bias.[38,41]

Certainty assessment

The Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach was used for certainty assessment.[42] This tool specifies four levels of certainty for a body of evidence for a given outcome: high, moderate, low and very low. This assessment considers factors such as study design, risk of bias, consistency of results, directness of

evidence, and precision of estimates. Two independent blinded reviewers (JN and WC) used GRADE assessment and resolved disagreements.

RESULTS

Study selection

Initial searches resulted in 9,369 citations. After removing duplicates and reviewing titles and abstracts, 267 publications remained for full-text review (**Figure 1**). We included seventeen studies in this review.

Study characteristics

Our review included one cross-sectional pilot study (6%), five cohort studies (29%), and eleven cross-sectional studies (65%). Included studies were published between 2010 and 2023, with a mean sample size of 32,844 (range: 51 to 483,683 participants) (**Table 1**). Included studies were based in Australia (n=2, 11%), India (n=1, 6%), Iran (n=1, 6%), Korea (n=1, 6%), Kuwait (n=1, 6%), Lithuania (n=1, 6%), New Zealand (n=1, 6%), Thailand (n=1, 6%), and the United States of America (n=8, 47%). The majority of the population in the studies were young adults (18-39 years old) (n=12, 71%), and with variation in ethnicity distribution among their populations. For example, while some studies included participants who were predominantly White, others featured a more diverse sample with an equal distribution of Black, White, and Asian individuals (**Table 1**).

Risk of bias in studies

All seventeen included studies were rated as “good” using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale to analyze participant selection, comparability, and outcome assessment (**Appendix 6**).

Results of individual studies

Validity of depression and vitamin D serum levels measurement instruments

The majority of studies (53%, n=9) used either Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ) (29%, n=5)[43–46] or Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) (24%, n=4).[47–50] Other studies used Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI) (n=1), Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) (n=1), Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS) (n=1), Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) (n=1), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (n=3), and the ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) (n=1). All studies tested serum 25(OH)D to measure vitamin D levels and used the United States Institute of Medicine vitamin D guidelines for the deficiency and insufficiency serum level cutoffs.[51,52]

Association between vitamin D and depression

Of the seventeen included studies, ten studies (59%) after adjustment found that participants with vitamin 25(OH)D_2 insufficiency (50-75 nmol/L), or deficiency (<50 nmol/L) were more likely to report symptoms of depression (**Figure 2**). The remaining seven studies (41%) found no association between vitamin D deficiency and depression. These seven studies had smaller sample sizes (sample size range: 51 to 704) compared to studies that revealed an association

(sample size range: 185 to 483,683), and the majority of these studies (n=4 / N=7) were conducted outside of the United States (**Table 1**, **Table 2**). More than half (n=4 / N=7) of the studies that reported no association were conducted before 2015. More than half of cohort studies (n=3 / N=5) found an association between vitamin D deficiency and depression.

Meta-analysis of six studies with continuous data available found lower vitamin D levels in participants with depression compared to participants without depression (MD: -5.41 nmol/L, 95% CI: -7.07 to -3.75, p = <0.001, I^2 = 79%) (**Figure 2**).[46,49,50,53–55]

For vitamin D deficiency level, meta-analysis of four studies that reported odds ratio on the association between vitamin D levels and depression revealed a higher risk of depression among participants with vitamin D deficiency (OR = 1.25, 95% CI: 1.14 to 1.37, p = 0.03, I^2 = 66%) **(Figure 3A)**.[53,56–58] Participants who had deficient vitamin D levels experienced more severe depression compared to participants who had insufficient or normal vitamin D levels.

For vitamin D insufficiency level, meta-analysis of four studies that reported odds ratio on the association between vitamin D insufficiency levels and depression revealed no significant increase or decrease in risk of experiencing depression (OR = 0.94, 95% CI: 0.74 to 1.21, p = 0.52, I^2 = 0%) (**Figure 3B).**[46,53,56,57]

Subgroup analysis

Gender differences in the association between vitamin D and depression

Six studies investigated gender differences in the association between vitamin D deficiency and depression (**Table 3**). One study reported the results in rate ratio and found gender differences in the association between vitamin D and depression.[59] The study found a significant association between serum 25(OH)D concentration and symptoms of depression in males but no significant associations in females. A different study reported results as the overall average of vitamin D serum levels and found no gender disparities in the association[46]. We conducted a meta-analysis on four studies that reported an odds ratio on the association between vitamin D and depression and found an increased risk of experiencing depression among women participants (OR = 1.39, 95% CI: 1.33 to 1.45, p < 0.001, I^2 = 88%, four studies) (**Figure 4A).**[44,46,57,58] However, only half of these studies had an equal distribution of male and female participants (**Table 1**) (**Table 2**). For example, forty percent of participants in Ma and colleagues study identified as female, but only fourteen percent of participants in Schaad and colleagues study identified as female (**Figure 4A**).

Age differences in the association between vitamin D and depression

Three studies investigated age differences in the association between vitamin D and depression,[44,48,58] and two studies found an increase in the odds of having a strong association as participants age (**Table 3**). Meta-analysis of three studies with sufficient data that reported odds ratio on the association between vitamin D deficiency levels and depression among young adults (age range: 25-39 years old) was significant and uniform across all three studies (OR = 1.25, 95% CI: 1.18 to 1.33, p < 0.001, I^2 = 94%, three studies) (**Figure 4A).**[44,46,58]

DISCUSSION

Key findings

The findings of our systematic review and meta-analysis indicate that vitamin D deficiency is associated with depression among adolescents and young adults. There is substantial heterogeneity across studies. Three significant results were revealed in this review: participants who had high deficiency in vitamin D serum levels were likely to have more severe depression, female participants were more likely to have a association between vitamin D deficiency and depression compared to male participants, and there is an increase in the odds of having depression among participants as they get older.

Results in context

Overall, the findings of our review agree with the evidence from previous studies and fill gaps in knowledge regarding how vitamin D deficiency contributes to gender differences in depression. Our review included several recently published studies that were not included in previous systematic reviews on this topic,[60,61] and all but one recently published study found a significant association between vitamin D deficiency and depression.[44,46–48,50,54,57,58] Other population-based studies focusing on later stages of adulthood have demonstrated a significant association between vitamin D deficiency and depression.[23,60] While more than half of our studies (n = 10) found a significant association between vitamin D deficiency and depression, the rest of the studies were consistent with previously published studies that found no association between vitamin D deficiency and depression.[18–21] Therefore, our results do not necessarily resolve the controversy, but provide insight into the association of vitamin D deficiency and depression, specifically among adolescents and young adults. Our results regarding the contribution of gender and age disparities in this association between vitamin D deficiency and depression are consistent with previous studies that focused on gender and age disparities in depression.[62,63]

Quality of the evidence

The methodological quality of the included studies was classified as “good” for all publications. All included studies used the United States Institute of Medicine Vitamin D guidelines for the deficiency and insufficiency serum level cutoff. Also, studies used various validated measures for depression. However, some studies had a small sample size (e.g., n < 100) relative to other studies included, which can limit the quality of evidence by increasing the risk of Type I errors (false positives) and Type II errors, and limiting the generalizability of the findings. Furthermore, a larger sample size generally enhances the reliability and validity of the study's findings and increases the chance of detecting an association between vitamin D deficiency and depression. Furthermore, the smaller number of studies (n = 6) available for meta-analysis may limit the overall strength and precision of the pooled estimates, as more extensive meta-analyses generally provide more reliable conclusions.

Strengths and limitations

Our systematic review consists of recently published research studies investigating the association between vitamin D deficiency and depression among adolescents and young adults. We found studies conducted in the United States and overseas, which increases generalizability

of the results. Another key strength of this systematic review is the robust assessment of methodological quality, with all included studies rated as 'good' based on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, ensuring high reliability and rigor in the findings. However, one limitation is that studies conducted outside of the United States may introduce cultural biases, which could affect the perception and reporting of mental health issues, potentially leading to variations in the results and limiting their generalizability to the U.S. population. Another limitation is that our systematic review consists of studies with a sample size ranging from small to large-scale populations, which may affect the consistency of the findings. Also, our study does not indicate the direction of the effect between vitamin D deficiency and depression. For example, our systematic review and meta analysis does not reveal whether low vitamin D serum levels cause depression or whether depression causes low vitamin D serum levels.

Impact, implications, and next steps

The results of this systematic review indicated that there is evidence suggesting vitamin D deficiency is associated with an increase in an individual's likelihood of having depression. Based on the findings, the results may impact adolescents and young adults aged 10-39, parents and families of these individuals, schools, healthcare providers, pharmaceutical companies, public policymakers, and researchers. The implications for this review include the potential to reevaluate how depression is currently screened, as there may be an opportunity to incorporate an examination of current vitamin D levels more significantly. This may thereby impact healthcare professionals and potentially restructure standard paths of care in this area. Additionally, the associations found within this target population having depression could further impact potential risk factors that healthcare professionals should be mindful of when conducting screenings. Subsequent research based on the results of this systematic review may include randomized clinical trials to examine if similar strong associations are demonstrated with vitamin D supplementation. Also, follow-up research may explore the impact of age and gender on the association between vitamin D deficiency and depression.

CONCLUSION

In summary, more than half of our included studies found an association between vitamin D deficiency and depression among adolescents and young adults. Our results suggest a potential gender and age difference in the association between vitamin D and depression. Follow-up research may explore if similar associations are demonstrated with vitamin D supplementation.

Review Protocol

We created a protocol in February 2023 to guide the inclusion of studies. We registered our protocol in November 2023 (See **Appendix 7** for systematic review protocol modifications).

Conflict of interest

Authors have no conflict of interest.

Funding

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted without funding.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Joseph P. Nano: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing – original draft. **William Catterall:** methodology, formal analysis, writing – original draft**. Violet Filer:** Conceptualization, methodology, writing – review and editing**. Salar Khaleghzadegan:** methodology, writing – review and editing. **Ricardo Almazan Jr.**: Conceptualization, writing – review and editing. **Heather B. Blunt:** methodology, writing – review and editing. **Renata W. Yen:** Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing – original draft**.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the following faculty and colleagues of The Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice for their feedback and contributions to the development of this research topic: Dr. Amber Barnato, Dr. Honor Passow, E. Chandlee Bryan, Elaina Vitale, Elizabeth A. Koelsch, Roland Lamb, and Vrushabh Ladage.

REFERENCES

1. Major Depression. National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH). Accessed November 4, 2023. https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/major-depression

2. Depression. National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH). Accessed November 4, 2023. https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/depression

3. Bains N, Abdijadid S. *Major Depressive Disorder*. StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Accessed November 4, 2023. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK559078/

4. Ginde AA, Liu MC, Camargo CA Jr. Demographic differences and trends of vitamin D insufficiency in the US population, 1988-2004. *Arch Intern Med*. 2009;169(6):626-632. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2008.604

5. Thapar A, Collishaw S, Pine DS, Thapar AK. Depression in adolescence. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9820):1056-1067. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60871-4

6. Witters D. U.S. Depression Rates Reach New Highs. Gallup. May 17, 2023. Accessed September 17, 2024. https://news.gallup.com/poll/505745/depression-rates-reach-new-highs.aspx

7. Nano JP, Ghaly MH, Fan W. Lessons From Early COVID-19: Associations With Undergraduate Students' Academic Performance, Social Life, and Mental Health in the United States. *Int J Public Health*. 2022;67:1604806. doi:10.3389/ijph.2022.1604806

8. Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS Med*. 2006;3(11):e442. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030442

9. Mental Health Gap Action Programme. Accessed November 4, 2023. https://www.who.int/teams/mental-health-and-substance-use/treatment-care/mental-health-gap-action-programme

10. Eyles DW, Smith S, Kinobe R, Hewison M, McGrath JJ. Distribution of the vitamin D receptor and 1 alpha-hydroxylase in human brain. *J Chem Neuroanat*. 2005;29(1):21-30. doi:10.1016/j.jchemneu.2004.08.006

11. Lee SH, Suh E, Park KC, et al. Association of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and serum total cholesterol with depressive symptoms in Korean adults: the Fifth Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES V, 2010-2012). *Public Health Nutr*. 2017;20(10):1836-1843. doi:10.1017/S1368980016001713

12. Rosen CJ. Clinical practice. Vitamin D insufficiency. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(3):248-254. doi:10.1056/NEJMcp1009570

13. Michaëlsson K, Melhus H, Larsson SC. Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Concentrations and Major Depression: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *Nutrients*. 2018;10(12). doi:10.3390/nu10121987

14. Wilkins CH, Sheline YI, Roe CM, Birge SJ, Morris JC. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with low mood and worse cognitive performance in older adults. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2006;14(12):1032-1040. doi:10.1097/01.JGP.0000240986.74642.7c

15. May HT, Bair TL, Lappé DL, et al. Association of vitamin D levels with incident depression among a general cardiovascular population. *Am Heart J*. 2010;159(6):1037-1043. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2010.03.017

16. Menon V, Kar SK, Suthar N, Nebhinani N. Vitamin D and Depression: A Critical Appraisal of the Evidence and Future Directions. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2020;42(1):11-21. doi:10.4103/IJPSYM.IJPSYM_160_19

17. Barysauskas CM. The Association between Vitamin D and Depression among College-Aged Women. Published online 2011. doi:10.7275/1937456

18. Chan R, Chan D, Woo J, et al. Association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and psychological health in older Chinese men in a cohort study. *J Affect Disord*. 2011;130(1-2):251-259. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2010.10.029

19. Pan A, Lu L, Franco OH, Yu Z, Li H, Lin X. Association between depressive symptoms and 25-hydroxyvitamin D in middle-aged and elderly Chinese. *J Affect Disord*. 2009;118(1-3):240-243. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2009.02.002

20. Bertone-Johnson ER, Powers SI, Spangler L, et al. Vitamin D supplementation and depression in the women's health initiative calcium and vitamin D trial. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2012;176(1):1-13. doi:10.1093/aje/kwr482

21. Gowda U, Mutowo MP, Smith BJ, Wluka AE, Renzaho AMN. Vitamin D supplementation to reduce depression in adults: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrition*. 2015;31(3):421-429. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2014.06.017

22. Hoogendijk WJG, Lips P, Dik MG, Deeg DJH, Beekman ATF, Penninx BWJH. Depression is associated with decreased 25-hydroxyvitamin D and increased parathyroid hormone levels in older adults. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2008;65(5):508-512. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.65.5.508

23. Stewart R, Hirani V. Relationship between vitamin D levels and depressive symptoms in older residents from a national survey population. *Psychosom Med*. 2010;72(7):608-612. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181e9bf15

24. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed November 4, 2023. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current

25. PRISMA. Accessed November 4, 2023. http://prisma-statement.org/prismastatement/flowdiagram.aspx?AspxAutoDetectCookieSupport=1

26. PubMED. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?holding=dmhclib_fft&dr=abstract&otool=dmhclib

27. Cochrane Reviews. Accessed November 4, 2023. https://www.cochranelibrary.com/

28. American Psychological Association. https://www.apa.org/pubs/databases/psycinfo

29. CINAHL Complete. EBSCO Information Services, Inc. | www.ebsco.com. Accessed November 4, 2023. https://www.ebsco.com/products/research-databases/cinahl-complete

30. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2016;5(1):210. doi:10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4

31. Sharp R. The Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression. *Occup Med* . 2015;65(4):340. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqv043

32. Smarr KL, Keefer AL. Measures of depression and depressive symptoms: Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). *Arthritis Care Res* . 2011;63 Suppl 11:S454-S466. doi:10.1002/acr.20556

33. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5-TR). Accessed November 6, 2023. https://www.psychiatry.org/psychiatrists/practice/dsm

34. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. *JAMA*. 1999;282(18):1737-1744. doi:10.1001/jama.282.18.1737

35. Koenig HG, Goli V, Shelp F, Cohen HJ, Meador KG, Blazer DG. Major depression and the NIMH Diagnostic Interview Schedule: validation in medically ill hospitalized patients. *Int J Psychiatry Med*. 1989;19(2):123-132. doi:10.2190/vfmv-pc2v-a2hq-96yl

36. Saylor CF, Finch AJ Jr, Baskin CH, Saylor CB, Darnell G, Furey W. Children's Depression Inventory: investigation of procedures and correlates. *J Am Acad Child Psychiatry*. 1984;23(5):626-628. doi:10.1016/s0002-7138(09)60357-5

37. Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A Self-Report Depression Scale for Research in the General Population. *Appl Psychol Meas*. 1977;1(3):385-401. doi:10.1177/014662167700100306

38. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med*. 2002;21(11):1539-1558. doi:10.1002/sim.1186

39. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute. Accessed December 3, 2023. https://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp

40. NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE. (adapted for cross sectional studies). *Lippincott Williams & Wilkins*. https://cdn-links.lww.com/permalink/ejgh/a/ejgh_31_9_2019_07_18_nguyen_15743_sdc1.pdf

41. Lin L, Chu H. Quantifying publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics*. 2018;74(3):785-794. doi:10.1111/biom.12817

42. GRADE approach. Accessed January 29, 2025. https://training.cochrane.org/grade-approach

43. Anuroj K. Vitamin D Deficiency and Depression in Thai Medical Students During COVID-19 Pandemic: a Cross-Sectional Study. *East Asian Arch Psychiatry*. 2022;32(3):51-56. doi:10.12809/eaap2209

44. Bigman G. Vitamin D metabolites, D3 and D2, and their independent associations with depression symptoms among adults in the United States. *Nutr Neurosci*. 2022;25(4):648-656. doi:10.1080/1028415X.2020.1794422

45. Callegari ET, Reavley N, Gorelik A, Garland SM, Wark JD, Safe-D study team. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and mental health in young Australian women: Results from the Safe-D study. *J Affect Disord*. 2017;224:48-55. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.10.002

46. Ma J, Li K. Negative Association between Serum Vitamin D Levels and Depression in a Young Adult US Population: A Cross-Sectional Study of NHANES 2007-2018. *Nutrients*. 2023;15(13). doi:10.3390/nu15132947

47. Kerr DCR, Zava DT, Piper WT, Saturn SR, Frei B, Gombart AF. Associations between vitamin D levels and depressive symptoms in healthy young adult women. *Psychiatry Res*. 2015;227(1):46-51. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2015.02.016

48. Kim SH, Lee HJ, Chae CH, Park SH, Son JS. Association between serum vitamin D and depressive symptoms in apparently healthy male adults undergoing routine health check-ups at a single centre. *Public Health Nutr*. 2020;23(14):2530-2538. doi:10.1017/S1368980020000592

49. Polak MA, Houghton LA, Reeder AI, Harper MJ, Conner TS. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and depressive symptoms among young adult men and women. *Nutrients*. 2014;6(11):4720-4730. doi:10.3390/nu6114720

50. Tomlinson D, Eschker E, Callan J, Hewbutler T. Depression in Collegiate Runners and Soccer Players: Relationships with Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D, Ferritin, and Fractures. *Int J Exerc Sci*. 2021;14(5):1099-1111. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35096243

51. Vitamin D. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Accessed May 19, 2024. https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/VitaminD-HealthProfessional/

52. Catharine Ross A, Taylor CL, Yaktine AL, Del Valle HB. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D*. National Academies Press (US); 2011. doi:10.17226/13050

53. Ganji V, Milone C, Cody MM, McCarty F, Wang YT. Serum vitamin D concentrations are related to depression in young adult US population: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Int Arch Med*. 2010;3(1):29. doi:10.1186/1755-7682-3-29

54. Kamalzadeh L, Saghafi M, Mortazavi SS, Jolfaei AG. Vitamin D deficiency and depression in obese adults: a comparative observational study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2021;21(1):599. doi:10.1186/s12888-021-03586-4

55. Kwasky AN, Groh CJ. Vitamin D and depression: is there a relationship in young women?: Is there a relationship in young women? *J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc*. 2012;18(4):236-243. doi:10.1177/1078390312452451

56. Al-Sabah R, Al-Taiar A, Shaban L, Albatineh AN, Sharaf Alddin R, Durgampudi PK. Vitamin D level in relation to depression symptoms during adolescence. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health*. 2022;16(1):53. doi:10.1186/s13034-022-00489-4

57. Tarikere Satyanarayana P, Suryanarayana R, Theophilus Yesupatham S, Reddy S, Reddy

N. Is sunshine Vitamin related to Adolescent Depression? A cross-sectional study of Vitamin D status and depression among rural adolescents. *Cureus*. 2023;15(2):e34639. doi:10.7759/cureus.34639

58. Schaad KA, Bukhari AS, Brooks DI, Kocher JD, Barringer ND. The relationship between vitamin D status and depression in a tactical athlete population. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr*. 2019;16(1):40. doi:10.1186/s12970-019-0308-5

59. Black LJ, Jacoby P, Allen KL, et al. Low vitamin D levels are associated with symptoms of depression in young adult males. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2014;48(5):464-471. doi:10.1177/0004867413512383

60. Anglin RES, Samaan Z, Walter SD, McDonald SD. Vitamin D deficiency and depression in adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2013;202:100-107. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.111.106666

61. Föcker M, Antel J, Ring S, et al. Vitamin D and mental health in children and adolescents. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2017;26(9):1043-1066. doi:10.1007/s00787-017-0949-3

62. Salk RH, Hyde JS, Abramson LY. Gender differences in depression in representative national samples: Meta-analyses of diagnoses and symptoms. *Psychol Bull*. 2017;143(8):783-822. doi:10.1037/bul0000102

63. Brown MJ, Hill NL, Haider MR. Age and gender disparities in depression and subjective cognitive decline-related outcomes. *Aging Ment Health*. 2022;26(1):48-55. doi:10.1080/13607863.2020.1861214

64. In J. Introduction of a pilot study. *Korean J Anesthesiol*. 2017;70(6):601-605. doi:10.4097/kjae.2017.70.6.601

65. Lange S, Sauerland S, Lauterberg J, Windeler J. The Range and Scientific Value of Randomized Trials. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2017;114(38):635-640. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2017.0635

66. Fernandes de Abreu DA, Eyles D, Féron F. Vitamin D, a neuro-immunomodulator: implications for neurodegenerative and autoimmune diseases. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2009;34 Suppl 1:S265-S277. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.05.023

67. Adolescent health. Accessed November 4, 2023. https://www.who.int/health-topics/adolescent-health

68. Sutton J. Erik Erikson's Stages of Psychosocial Development Explained. Accessed November 4, 2023. https://positivepsychology.com/erikson-stages/

69. Baack BN, Abad N, Yankey D, et al. COVID-19 Vaccination Coverage and Intent Among Adults Aged 18-39 Years - United States, March-May 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021;70(25):928-933. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7025e2

70. Case A. *Mortality and Morbidity in the 21st Century*. Brookings Panel on Economic Activity; 2017. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=OZyrswEACAAJ

71. Hypercalcemia. Accessed November 4, 2023.

https://www.pennmedicine.org/for-patients-and-visitors/patient-information/conditions-treated-a-to-z/hypercalcemia

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies
Table 2. Association between vitamin D serum levels and depression
Table 3. Age and gender disparities in association between vitamin D and Depression
Figure 1. Study Selection Flow Diagram, adapted from PRISMA
Figure 2. Forest Plot of Association between mean vitamin D serum level (nmol/L) and depression
Figure 3. Forest plot of odds ratio (OR) of depression for the lowest v. highest vitamin D categories. **(A)** vitamin D deficiency and depression and **(B)** vitamin D insufficiency and depression.
Figure 4. Forest plot of odds ratio of association between vitamin D and depression among **(A)** female participants compared to male participants and **(B)** young adult (age range: 25 - 39 years old) participants compared to other age group participants

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies*

Author(s), Year	Study Design	Region	Setting/Database	Sample Size	Depression scale	Patient Demographics		
						Age (years)	Race/Ethnicity	Gender
Al-Sabah et al. 2014	Cross-sectional study	Kuwait	Middle school	704	Children's Depression Inventory (CDI)	11 - 16	NR	Male (50%) Female (50%)
Anuroj 2022	Cross-sectional study	Thailand	Srinakharinwirot University Hospital	99	Patient Health Questionnaire Adolescent (PHQ-A)	21 - 26	NR	Male (36%) Female (64%)
Bigman et al. 2022	Cross-sectional study	USA	U.S. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2007–2011, 2013–2014)	11,471	Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)	20-39**	Hispanic American (12%) Non-Hispanic White (73%) Non-Hispanic White (10%) Other Race (5%)	Male (49%) Female (51%)
Black et al. 2014	Cohort study	Australia	Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study	945	Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS-21)	20 - 39	Caucasian (84%) Non-Caucasian (16%)	Male (49%) Female (51%)
Callegari et al. 2017	Cross-sectional study	Australia	Residents living Victoria, Australia	407	Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)	16 - 25	European descent (30%) Non-European descent (70%)	NR NR
Ganji et al. 2010	Cross-sectional study	USA	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey	7,970	Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)	15 - 39	Non-Hispanic white (16%) Non-Hispanic black (43%) Mexican American/Hispanic (36%) Other (5%)	Male (42%) Female (58%)
Kamalzadeh et al. 2021	Comparative cross-sectional study	Iran	Outpatient obesity clinic of Rasoule Akram Hospital	347	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) criteria	18 - 39**	NR	Male (16%) Female (84%)
Kerr et al. 2015	Cohort study	USA	Undergraduate university in the Pacific Northwest	185	Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D)	18 - 24	White (83%) African American (2%) Asian (11%)	Male (0%) Female (100%)
Kim et al. 2020	Cross-sectional study	Korea	University hospital	43,513	Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D)	30 - 39	NR	Male (100%) Female (0%)
Kwasky et al. 2012	Cross-sectional study	USA	Urban university campus in the American mid-west	139	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)	18 - 24	NR	Male (0%) Female (100%)
Kwasky et al. 2014	Cohort study	USA	University of Detroid Mercy	77	Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II)	18 - 24	NR	Male (0%) Female (100%)
Lasaite et al. 2011	Pilot study	Lithuania	Lithuanian University of Health Sciences	130	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)	19 - 26	White (100%)	Male (100%) Female (0%)
Ma & Li 2023	Cross-sectional study	USA	US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2007–2018	7,562	Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)	20 - 39	Mexican American (12%) Other Hispanic (9%) Non-Hispanic White (51%) Non-Hispanic Black (19%) Other Race (9%)	Male (60%) Female (40%)
Polak et al. 2014	Cross-sectional study	New Zealand	University of Otago	615	Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D)	17 - 25	European origin (80%) Asian (10%) Māori or Pacific Islander (3%)	Male (38%) Female (62%)

Satyanarayana et al. 2023	Cross-sectional study	India	Rural high schools	451	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)	11 - 18	NR	Male (50%) Female (50%)
Schaad et al. 2019	Cohort study	USA	Military Health System (MHS) Data Repository (MDR) 2013 - 2015	483,683	Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM)	18 - 34**	NR	Male (86%) Female (14%)
Tomlinson et al. 2021	Cohort (Prospective) study	USA	Midwest University	51	Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D)	NR***	NR	Male (43%) Female (57%)

* Abbreviations: NR = not reported in the study

** Subgroup age

*** Tomlinson et al. 2021 did not report age but recruited college athletes

Table 2. Association between vitamin D serum levels and depression

Authors, Year	Overall findings and subgroup analysis details	Results				
		Category	**Non-depressed, *N. (%)***	**Depressed, *N. (%)***	**OR (95% CI)[2]**	**P-value[1]**
Vitamin D serum levels						
Al-Sabah et al. 2014	The serum 25(OH)D concentration showed no significant correlation with the CDI score (Spearman's rank correlation = 0.01; p = 0.825). Additionally, there was no meaningful association between 25(OH)D levels and depression symptoms, whether 25(OH)D was modeled as a continuous variable (crude odds ratio (OR) 0.99 [95% CI: 0.98, 1.01], p = 0.458; adjusted OR 1.01 [95% CI: 0.99, 1.02], p = 0.233), categorized based on standard cut-off points (crude analysis p = 0.376; adjusted analysis p = 0.736), or analyzed in quartiles (crude analysis p = 0.760; adjusted analysis p = 0.549).	*Severe deficiency (25 (OH) D < 25 nmol/L)*	NR	39 (15.60)	1.00 [Reference]	**Crude:** 0.376 **Adjusted:** 0.736
		Deficiency (25 (OH) D ≥ 25 to < 50 nmol/L)	NR	41 (12.89)	0.80 [0.50, 1.28]	
		Insufficiency (25 (OH) D ≥ 50 to < 75 nmol/L)	NR	10 (9.09)	0.54 [0.26, 1.13]	
		Sufficiency (25 (OH) D ≥ 75 nmol/L) (n = 26)	NR	4 (15.38)	0.98 [0.32, 3.01]	
		Overall 25 (OH) D levels nmol/L	NR	NR	**Crude:** 0.99 [0.98-1.01] **Adjusted:** 1.01 [0.99-1.02]	**Crude:** 0.458 **Adjusted:** 0.233
Anuroj 2022	Vitamin D levels were significantly correlated with age (r = 0.38, p < 0.01) and showed a marginal relationship with sex (t = -1.80, p = 0.07). However, no correlation was found between vitamin D levels and PHQ-A score (r = 0.03, p = 0.80).	*Insufficient*	NR	3 (19)	NR	R = 0.03, p = 0.80
		Adequate	NR	3 (19)	NR	
		Deficient	NR	10 (62)	NR	
Bigman 2020	Among the participants, 23.4% reported experiencing depressive symptoms, 28.9% had deficiencies in 25 (OH)D3 (<20 ng/mL), and 21.5% had detectable 25 (OH)D2 levels (>0.6 ng/mL). After adjustments, participants with detectable 25(OH)D2 and 25(OH)D3 deficiencies were 54% more likely to report depression symptoms (OR = 1.54, 95% CI: 1.14–2.07). However, for participants with minimal 25(OH)D2 levels, no significant association between 25(OH)D3 deficiency and depressive symptoms was found (OR = 1.11, 95% CI: 0.94–1.31).	*Overall 25-Hydroxyvitamin D3 serum levels*	NR	NR	**Crude:** 1.02 [0.85-1.22] **Adjusted:** 1.12 [0.92-1.38]	NR
Black et al. 2014	After adjusting for confounders, an increase of 10 nmol/L in serum 25(OH)D levels was linked to a 9% reduction in total DASS-21 scores in males (rate ratio (RR) 0.91; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.95; p < 0.001) and an 8% reduction in depression subscale scores in males (RR 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.96; p = 0.001). However, no significant correlations were found between serum 25 (OH)D levels and symptoms of anxiety or stress in males after adjustment.	*Serum 25(OH)D concentrations*	NR	NR	**Adjusted RR:** 0.91 [0.87-0.95]	<0.001
Callegari et al. 2017	There was no association between serum 25OHD levels and mental health scores. Furthermore, vitamin D status did not correlate with self-reported diagnoses of depression or anxiety.	*25OHD level <50 nmol/L*	83 (27)	10 (26)	NR	**Depressed group**: 0.986
		25OHD level 50-74.9 nmol/L	119 (38)	15 (40)		
		25OHD level ≥ 75 nmol/L	110 (35)	13 (34)		
Ganji et al. 2010	Individuals with serum vitamin D levels ≤ 50 nmol/L had significantly higher odds of experiencing current depressive episodes compared to those with serum	*Deficient (<50 nmol/L)*	NR	NR	**CD Crude:** 2.01 [1.25-3.24] **CD Adjusted:** 1.85 [0.90-3.81]	**Crude**: <0.001 **Adjusted:** 0.021

	depressive episodes compared to those with serum vitamin D levels ≥ 75 nmol/L (OR = 1.85; p = 0.021).	*Insufficient (50-75 nmol/L)*	NR	NR	**CD Crude:** 0.75 [0.44-1.27] **CD Adjusted:** 0.70 [0.38-1.29]	
		Sufficient (>75 nmol/L)	NR	NR	**CD Crude:** 1.00 [Reference] **CD Adjusted:** 1.00 [Reference]	
Kamalzadeh et al. 2021	Significant differences in mean 25(OH)D levels were observed between the depressed group (20 ± 15) and the non-depressed group (27 ± 13, P < 0.001). Vitamin D insufficiency/deficiency was found in 78% of the depressed participants and 67% of the non-depressed participants, with a significant difference (P = 0.03). This association between depression and 25(OH)D levels held across both gender and age groups.	*Normal*	56 (32)	39 (22)	NR	< 0.001
		Insufficient / Deficient	117 (67)	135 (77)		
		25-hydroxyvitamin D levels, Mean (SD)	25 (12)	16 (13)		
Kerr et al. 2015	Evidence suggests that participants in the Fall had lower levels of depressive symptoms compared to those in Winter and Spring, potentially due to higher levels of vitamin D3. These findings support a temporal link between low vitamin D levels and clinically significant depressive symptoms.	*Vitamin D insufficiency week 1*	NR	NR	NR	**Adjusted:** β = - 0.20, p < 0.05
		Vitamin D insufficiency week 5	NR	NR	NR	
Kim et al. 2020	The odds of being in the vitamin D insufficiency group were 1.49 times higher (95% CI: 1.12, 2.00) among those experiencing depressive symptoms.	*Vitamin D <20 (ng/ml)*	213	44	NR	0.03
		Vitamin D ≥ 20 (ng/ml)	147	15	NR	
Kwasky et al. 2012	No statistically significant relationship was identified between vitamin D levels and depression. However, there were notable differences in vitamin D levels between African American and Caucasian women, although no differences were found in the Beck Depression Inventory scores.	*Vitamin D serum level, Mean (SD)*	23.8 (11.2)	28.0 (17.9)	NR	0.212
Kwasky et al. 2014	A strong inverse relationship was observed between self-efficacy and depression, but no such correlation was found with vitamin D levels.	*Vitamin D level*	NR	NR	NR	R = -0.079, p = 0.45
Lasaite et al. 2011	A tendency toward lower mean depression scores was seen in participants with sufficient vitamin D levels.	*Vitamin D deficiency (<12 ng/ml)*	NR	4.6±2.4	NR	p>0.05
		Vitamin D insufficiency (12-20 ng/ml)	NR	4.4±2.6	NR	
		Sufficient vitamin D (>20 ng/ml)	NR	2.7±1.9	NR	
Ma et al. 2023	After full adjustment, a significant inverse association was found between serum vitamin D levels and depression in the 29–39 age group (OR = 0.54, 95% CI: 0.31–0.95, p = 0.0316).	*<30 (nmol/L)*	87.12	12.88	**<30**: Crude: 1.0, Adjusted: 1.0	0.0016
		≥30, <50	87.72	12.28	**≥30**, <50: Crude: 0.87 (0.67, 1.14), Adjusted: 1.04 (0.77, 1.40)	
		≥50, <125	90.46	9.54	**≥50:** Crude: 0.71 (0.56, 0.90), Adjusted: 1.01 (0.76, 1.34)	

		≥125	93.07	6.93		
Polak et al. 2014	Participants in the lowest quartile of 25(OH)D were more likely to report depressive symptoms than those in the highest quartile.	*Serum 25(OH) D (nmol/L), Mean (SD)*	67.4 (26.3)	58.0 (26.2)	NR	<0.001
Satyanarayana et al. 2023	A significant association was identified between depression and Vitamin D3 levels.	*Vitamin D Insufficiency*	NR	NR	0.637 [0.27-1.48]	0.293
		Vitamin D Deficiency	NR	NR	2.826 [1.56-5.12]	0.001
Schaad, 2019	Depression, as defined by diagnostic codes, was found to be more common in individuals diagnosed with vitamin D deficiency (20.4%) compared to those without such a diagnosis (4.2%). After adjusting for confounders, vitamin D deficiency remained significantly associated with depression diagnoses (OR = 1.22; 95% CI: 1.11–1.33, p < 0.001).	*Vitamin D Deficient*	NR	NR	Crude: 5.84 [5.41–6.33] Adjusted: 1.22 [1.11–1.33]	<0.001
Tomlinson et al. 2021	Small inverse relationships were found between serum vitamin D levels and CES-D scores both before and after the season, though the effects were of small magnitude.	*Pre-season vitamin D (ng/mL)*	50.2 ± 20.6	38.4±13.1	t-test: p = 0.15	r = -0.23; p=0.09
		Post-season vitamin D (ng/mL)	44.3 ± 19.1	37.1±8.6	t-test: p = 0.34	r = -0.08; p = 0.58

[1] T-test was performed for continuous variables and chi-squared for categorical variables

Table 3. Age and gender disparities in association between vitamin D and depression for meta-analysis

	Results			
First author, Year	**Category**	**Crude OR (95% CI)**	**Adjusted OR (95% CI)**	**P-value (adjusted)[1]**
Gender				
Bigman, 2022	*Male*	NR	1.00 [Reference]	
	Female	1.77 [1.59-1.97]	1.69 [1.49-1.91]	<0.01
Black, 2014	*Male*	Rate Ratio = 0.88 [0.83, 0.93]	Rate Ratio = 0.92 [0.87, 0.96]	<0.001
	Female	Rate Ratio = 0.97 [0.92, 1.02]	Rate Ratio = 0.99 [0.95-1.03]	0.515
Kamalzadeh, 2021	*Male*	NR	Mean (SD) = 17 (14)	NR
	Female	NR	Mean (SD) = 21 (16)	NR
Ma, 2023**	*Male*	0.68 [0.46-1.01]	0.90 [0.56-1.44]	0.655
	Female	0.46 [0.34-0.64]	0.95 [0.64-1.42]	0.802
Satyanarayana, 2023	*Male*	NR	1.00 [Reference]	
	Female	NR	3.42 [2.00-5.85]	<0.001
Schaad, 2019	*Male*	NR	1.00 [Reference]	
	Female	NR	1.35 [1.29-1.40]	<0.001
		/		
Age				
Bigman, 2022	*20-29*	1.00 [Reference]	1.00 [Reference]	NR
	30-39	1.02 [0.85-1.22]	1.12 [0.92-1.38]	>0.05
Ma, 2023	*29-39*	0.52 [0.34, 0.81]	0.54 [0.31, 0.95]	0.0316
Schaad, 2019	*18-24*	NR	1.13 [1.04-1.24]	0.006
	25-34	NR	1.15 [1.07-1.25]	<0.001
	35-44	NR	1.14 [1.05-1.23]	0.001

* All participants in the study used opioids

** Investigated depression (depression level = severe) as an outcome for this subgroup analysis

Abbreviations: NR = not reported

[1] Adjusted OR: T-test was performed for continuous variables and chi-squared for categorical variables



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of search strategy

Figure 2: Forest Plot of Association between mean vitamin D serum level (nmol/L) and depression.

Study or Subgroup	Depressed Mean [nmol/L]	Depressed SD [nmol/L]	Depressed Total	Nondepressed Mean [nmol/L]	Nondepressed SD [nmol/L]	Nondepressed Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Year
Ganji 2010	79.8	102	622	77.9	57.4	7255	4.2%	1.90 [-6.22, 10.02]	2010
Kwasky 2012	97.1	62.1	15	82.5	38.8	123	0.3%	14.60 [-17.57, 46.77]	2012
Polak 2014	58	26.2	219	67.4	26.3	396	14.7%	-9.40 [-13.73, -5.07]	2014
Kamalzadeh 2021	69.3	52	174	93.6	45.1	173	2.6%	-24.30 [-34.54, -14.06]	2021
Tomlinson 2021	128.6	29.8	7	153.6	66.2	44	0.3%	-25.00 [-54.49, 4.49]	2021
Ma 2023	61.7	26.1	845	66.1	27.5	6717	78.0%	-4.40 [-6.28, -2.52]	2023
Total (95% CI)			**1882**			**14708**	**100.0%**	**-5.41 [-7.07, -3.75]**	

Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 23.74$, df = 5 (P = 0.0002); $I^2 = 79\%$
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.39 (P < 0.00001)



Figure 3. Forest plot of odds ratio (OR) of depression for the lowest v. highest vitamin D categories. Squares to the right of the vertical line indicate that vitamin D deficiency was associated with an increased risk of depression, whereas squares to the left of the vertical line indicate that vitamin D deficiency was associated with a decreased risk of depression. Horizontal lines represent the associated 95% confidence intervals and the diamond represents the overall OR of depression with vitamin D deficiency from the meta-analysis and the corresponding 95% confidence interval. **(A)** vitamin D deficiency and depression and **(B)** vitamin D insufficiency and depression.

(A)

Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Al-Sabah 2014	0.1398	0.2911	2.6%	1.15 [0.65, 2.03]
Ganji 2010	0.6152	0.3676	1.6%	1.85 [0.90, 3.80]
Satyanarayana 2023	1.0389	0.3032	2.4%	2.83 [1.56, 5.12]
Schaad 2019	0.1989	0.0482	93.5%	1.22 [1.11, 1.34]
Total (95% CI)			**100.0%**	**1.25 [1.14, 1.37]**

Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 8.71$, df = 3 (P = 0.03); $I^2 = 66\%$
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.81 (P < 0.00001)



(B)

Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Al-Sabah 2014	0.1133	0.443	8.1%	1.12 [0.47, 2.67]
Ganji 2010	-0.3567	0.3117	16.3%	0.70 [0.38, 1.29]
Ma 2023	0.0392	0.1534	67.3%	1.04 [0.77, 1.40]
Satyanarayana 2023	-0.451	0.4379	8.3%	0.64 [0.27, 1.50]
Total (95% CI)			**100.0%**	**0.94 [0.74, 1.21]**

Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.27$, df = 3 (P = 0.52); $I^2 = 0\%$

Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (P = 0.63)



Figure 4. Forest plot of odds ratio of association between vitamin D and depression among **(A)** female participants compared to male participants and **(B)** young adult (age range: 25 - 39 years old) participants compared to other age group participants.

(A)

Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Bigman 2022	0.5247	0.0643	11.3%	1.69 [1.49, 1.92]
Ma 2023	-0.0513	0.2015	1.2%	0.95 [0.64, 1.41]
Satyanarayana 2023	1.2296	0.2737	0.6%	3.42 [2.00, 5.85]
Schaad 2019	0.3001	0.0232	86.9%	1.35 [1.29, 1.41]
Total (95% CI)			**100.0%**	**1.39 [1.33, 1.45]**

Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 25.20$, df = 3 ($P < 0.0001$); $I^2 = 88\%$

Test for overall effect: Z = 15.13 ($P < 0.00001$)



(B)

Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Bigman 2022	0.5247	0.0643	24.4%	1.69 [1.49, 1.92]
Ma 2023	-0.6162	0.2832	1.3%	0.54 [0.31, 0.94]
Schaad 2019	0.1398	0.0368	74.4%	1.15 [1.07, 1.24]
Total (95% CI)			**100.0%**	**1.25 [1.18, 1.33]**

Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 35.91$, df = 2 ($P < 0.00001$); $I^2 = 94\%$

Test for overall effect: Z = 7.06 ($P < 0.00001$)



APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Inclusion criteria table & outcomes of interest
Appendix 2: PubMed Search Terms Table
Appendix 3: Search Strategies
Appendix 4: Data Collection Form
Appendix 5. Primary outcome measurements
Appendix 6: Assessment of methodological quality using the Newcastle Ottawa Scale
Appendix 7: Systematic Review Protocol Modifications

Appendix 1: Inclusion criteria table & outcomes of interest

Category	Inclusion Criteria	Justification
Study design	Cohort study	Cohort study can investigate the association between multiple outcomes and exposures in a single study for a long period of time. This is important to show changes in vitamin D serum concentration and depression scores over time.
	Case study	Case studies focus on a single individual or group and allow researchers to collect information on unusual cases that could be related to association between vitamin D and depression.
	Cross-sectional	Cross-sectional studies provide comparison between different variables, such as sleep, age, LDL levels, vitamin D serum concentration, and depression scores at the same time.
	Cross-sectional survey study	Survey study designs are observational as there is no experimental component. Also, they are useful in recruiting a large number of participants and describing the characteristics of a large population, such as age, race, hours of sleep, and past medical history.
	Pilot study	Pilot study can be used to provide information about association between vitamin D and depression by conducting a smaller scale study to assess the safety of treatment or interventions.[64]
	Randomized control trial study	Randomized control trials (RCT) can be used to investigate whether there is a causal relationship between vitamin D and Depression, and reduce chances of selection bias.[65]
Population	Adolescents [60,66,67] (defined by ≥10 and 17≤) and young adults [68,69] (≥18 and ≤39)	This is the most relevant population to our research topic, because depression is more likely to start during adolescence and this population is less likely to experience morbidity that would increase the risk for depression.[70] If feasible, we would plan to evaluate studies of young adults (≥18 and ≤39), and perhaps a separately subgroup-analysis of adolescents (≥11 and 17≤) to extend our findings. The age cutoff for adolescent was based on the World Health Organization's age standard.[67]
Intervention / Exposure	Serum Vitamin D deficient (<50 nmol/L) and insufficient (50-75 nmol/L)	This is based on the United States Institute of Medicine Vitamin D cutoff.[16,51,52,71]
Comparison condition	Serum Vitamin D sufficiency (>75 nmol/L)	This is based on the United States Institute of Medicine Vitamin D cutoff.[16,51,52,71]
Outcome	Depression	Several depression questionnaires are used to measure depression (**Appendix 5**).

Appendix 2: PubMed Search Terms Table

Theme	MeSH terms (exploded)	Key words
Depression	"Depression"[Mesh] OR "Depressive Disorder"[Mesh]	Depression [TIAB] OR Depressive Disorder [TIAB] OR seasonal affective[TIAB] OR Dysthym*[TIAB] OR affective disorder*[TIAB] OR major depress*[TIAB] OR unipolar depress*[TIAB]
Young Adults and Adolescent	"Young Adult"[Mesh] OR "Adolescent"[Mesh]	Young Adult* [TIAB] OR Adolescen* [TIAB] OR Teen* [TIAB]
Vitamin D	"Vitamin D"[Mesh] OR "Vitamin D Deficiency"[Mesh] OR Hydroxycholecalciferols[Mesh] OR cholecalciferol[Mesh] OR ergocalciferol[Mesh]	Vitamin D* [TIAB] OR hydroxycholecalciferol*[TIAB] OR 25-hydroxyvitamin D[TIAB] OR cholecalciferol*[TIAB] OR ergocalciferol*[TIAB] OR calcifediol*[TIAB] OR calcitriol*[TIAB] OR hydroxyvitamin*[TIAB]

Appendix 3: Search Strategies[1]

Database	Date	Search	Query	Results
MEDLINE via PubMed	1946 to July 20, 2023	#3	Search: #1 AND #2	1,367
		#2	Search: "Vitamin D"[Mesh] OR "Vitamin D Deficiency"[Mesh] OR Hydroxycholecalciferols[Mesh] OR cholecalciferol[Mesh] OR ergocalciferol[Mesh] OR Vitamin D* [TIAB] OR hypovitaminosis d [TIAB] OR hydroxycholecalciferol*[TIAB] OR 25-hydroxyvitamin D[TIAB] OR cholecalciferol*[TIAB] OR ergocalciferol*[TIAB] OR calcifediol*[TIAB] OR calcitriol*[TIAB] OR hydroxyvitamin*[TIAB]	52,719
		#1	Search: "Depression"[Mesh] OR "Depressive Disorder"[Mesh] OR Depression [TIAB] OR Depressive Disorder [TIAB] OR seasonal affective[TIAB] OR Dysthym*[TIAB] OR affective disorder*[TIAB] OR major depress*[TIAB] OR unipolar depress*[TIAB]	513,560
The Cochrane Library	1898 to July 20, 2023	#3	#1 AND #2	1,275
		#2	("Vitamin D" OR "Vitamin D deficiency" OR Vitamin D* OR hydrozycholecalciferol* OR "25-hydroxyvitamin D" OR cholecalciferol* OR ergocalciferol* OR calcifediol* OR calcitriol* OR hydroxyvitamin* OR "hypovitaminosis d"):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	116,173
		#1	(Depression OR "Depressive Disorder" OR "seasonal affective" OR Dysthym* OR (Affective NEXT disorder*) OR (major NEXT depress*) OR (unipolar NEXT depress*)):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	109,415
CINAHL	1981 to July 20, 2023	#3	#1 AND #2	1,438
		#2	((MH "Vitamin D Deficiency+") OR (MH "Ergocalciferols") OR (MH "Vitamin D+") OR (MH "Cholecalciferol")) OR ("Vitamin D*" OR "hypovitaminosis d" OR hydroxycholecalciferol* OR "25-hydroxyvitamin D" OR cholecalciferol* OR ergocalciferol* OR calcifediol* OR calcitriol* OR hydroxyvitamin*)	63,505
		#1	(MH "Depression+") OR (Depression OR "Depressive Disorder" OR "seasonal affective" OR Dysthym* OR "affective disorder*" OR "major depress*" OR "unipolar depress*")	216,940
PsychINFO	1806 to July 20, 2023	#3	#1 AND #2	1,058
		#2	"vitamin d*" Or "hypovitaminosis d" OR "hydroxycholecalciferol*" OR "25-hydroxyvitamin D" OR cholecalciferol* OR ergocalciferol*	7,831

			OR calcifediol* OR calcitriol* OR hydroxyvitamin*	
		#1	((DE "Depression (Emotion)") AND (DE "Major Depression" OR DE "Anaclitic Depression" OR DE "Dysthymic Disorder" OR DE "Endogenous Depression" OR DE "Late Life Depression" OR DE "Postpartum Depression" OR DE "Reactive Depression" OR DE "Recurrent Depression" OR DE "Treatment Resistant Depression" OR DE "Atypical Depression" OR DE "Persistent Depressive Disorder")) OR (Depression OR "Depressive Disorder" OR "seasonal affective" OR Dysthym* OR "affective disorder*" OR "major depress*" OR "unipolar depress*")	426,509
ClinicalTrials.gov	1997 to July 20, 2023	#1	We searched ClinicalTrials.gov using the term "Depression" as a condition and the terms “Vitamin D”	51
Scopus	1970 to July 20, 2023	#1	(TITLE-ABS-KEY (depression OR "Depressive Disorder" OR "seasonal affective" OR dysthym* OR "major depress*" OR "unipolar depress*")) AND (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Vitamin D*" OR "hypovitaminosis d" OR hydroxycholecalciferol* OR "25-hydroxyvitamin D" OR cholecalciferol* OR ergocalciferol* OR calcifediol* OR calcitriol* OR hydroxyvitamin*)) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE , "English"))	4,180

[1] All searches were limited to English

Appendix 4: Data Collection Form

Depression-Vitamin D Systematic Review
Data Collection Form

Researcher's Name/ID:
Date:

First author	Publication year	Setting / Database	Study design	Sample size	Demographics	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Key conclusion	Extractor comment

Appendix 5. Primary outcome measurements

Name of Measurement	Scoring Information / Description	Justification / Explanation
Hamilton Scale of Depression (HAM-D)[31]	Score of 10-13 indicates mild depression Score of 14-17 indicates moderate depression Score of greater than 17 indicates severe depression	If an individual's HAD-M score decreases after four months, there is enough information to conclude that there are significant changes in depression resulting from adequate Vitamin D serum concentration.
Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)[32]	Score of 1-10: These ups and downs are considered normal Score of 11-16: Mild mood disturbance Score of 17-20: Borderline clinical depression Score of 21-30: Moderate depression Score of 31-40: Severe depression Score of 40+: Extreme depression	BDI is widely used to screen for depression and to measure behavioral manifestations and severity of depression. The BDI can be used for individuals ages 13 to 80.[32] The inventory contains 21 self-report items which individuals complete using multiple choice response formats.Improvements in severity of depression accompanying study indicate correlation between Vitamin D and depression.
Depression Diagnostic Criteria (DSM-5)[33]	Depression indicated by changes in appetite, weight, fatigue, sleep and emotional well-being.	If the presence of depression disappears, there is a likely causation between Vitamin D and improved mental health. A more general measurement of depression. Indicates presence of depression, no matter the severity.
Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)[32,34]	A PHQ-9 score total of 0-4 points equals "normal" or minimal depression. Scoring between 5-9 points indicates mild depression, 10-14 points indicates moderate depression, 15-19 points indicates moderately severe depression, and 20 or more points indicates severe depression.	PHQ-9 is a short screening measure used in medical and community settings to assess depression. If one's PHQ-9 value improves, there is likely a correlation between Vitamin D and improved depressive symptoms.
Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)[35]	is a questionnaire used to assess symptoms of mental disorders such as depression.	DIS is developed by the National Institute of Mental Health and the detailed description of the questionnaire is described in Plan and Operation of the NHANES III and it is used to make diagnoses based on the third edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM III).
Children's Depression Inventory (CDI)[36]	is a questionnaire used to assess symptoms of mental disorders such as depression. The CDI scores symptoms of depression on five subscales that consist of	DIS is developed by the National Institute of Mental Health and the detailed description of the questionnaire is described in Plan and Operation of the NHANES III and it is used to

	negative mood, interpersonal problems, ineffectiveness, anhedonia, and negative self-esteem with 27 items scored on a 3-point scale Score 0: absence of the symptom Score 1: moderate symptom Score 2: severe symptom	make diagnoses based on the third edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM III).
Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D)[37]	Respond options range from 0 to 3 for each item (0 = Rarely or None of the Time, 1 = Some or Little of the Time, 2 = Moderately or Much of the time, 3 = Most or Almost All the Time). Scores range from 0 to 60, with high scores indicating greater depressive symptoms.	CES-D is a 20-item brief multi-perspective assessment of depressive symptoms. CES-D asks individuals to rate how often the past week they experienced symptoms associated with depression, such as restless sleep, poor appetite, and feeling lonely.

Appendix 6: Assessment of methodological quality using the Newcastle Ottawa Scale

First author, Year	Selection (0-4) cohort (0-5) cross	Comparability (0-2) cohort (0-1) cross	Outcome (0-3) cohort (0-3) cross	Quality (Good, Fair, Poor)*
Al-Sabah, 2014	4	1	2	Good
Anuroj, 2022	3	1	3	Good
Bigman, 2022	3	2	2	Good
Black, 2014	5	1	3	Good
Callegari, 2017	3	2	2	Good
Ganji, 2010	3	1	3	Good
Kamalzadeh, 2021	4	1	3	Good
Kerr, 2015	2	1	3	Good
Kim, 2020	5	1	2	Good
Kwasky, 2012	3	1	2	Good
Kwasky, 2014	3	1	2	Good
Lasaite, 2011	3	1	3	Good
Ma, 2023	4	1	3	Good
Polak, 2014	3	1	2	Good
Shaad, 2019	4	1	3	Good
Satyanarayana, 2023	4	1	2	Good
Tomlinson, 2021	3	1	3	Good

*Number of stars given using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS):
Good Quality: 3-4 stars in the selection domain and 1-2 stars in the comparability domain and 2-3 stars in the outcome domain.
Fair Quality: 2 stars in the selection domain and 1-2 stars in the comparability domain and 2-3 stars in the outcome domain.

Poor Quality: 0-1 star in the selection domain or 0 stars in the comparability domain or 0-1 star in the outcome domain.

Appendix 7: Systematic Review Protocol Modifications

Date	Modification	Justification
February 03, 2023	Limited to studies in English	To avoid any bias that can happen during translation
September 30, 2023	Added to inclusion criteria table: 1. Randomized control trial 2. Case control studies 3. Case control studies 4. Case studies	See **Appendix 1** study design section