Sex and gender differences in OCD:

A scoping review and a two subtypes hypothesis.

Meghan Van Zandt,[a] Emily Olfson,[b,e,f] Brittany Stahnke,[c] Samantha Taylor,[c] Cheyenne Williams, [c] Michael H. Bloch,[a,b] Christopher Pittenger,[a,b,d,e,f,g] Helen Pushkarskaya[a]

[a] – Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

[b] – Yale Child Study Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

[c] – Department of Social Work, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, USA

[d] – Department of Psychology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

[e] – Department of Neuroscience, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

[f] – Wu Tsai Institute, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

[g] –Center for Brain and Mind Health, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

Corresponding authors:

Meghan Van Zandt

Email: meghan.vanzandt@yale.edu

Present address: Ribicoff Research Facilities
W306 CMHC, 34 Park Street
New Haven, CT 06519

Helen Pushkarskaya

Email: helen.pushkarskaya@yale.edu

Present address: Yale OCD Research Clinic
332 CMHC, 34 Park Street
New Haven, CT 06519

Abstract

This scoping review examines sex and gender differences in obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) to generate hypotheses about sources of heterogeneity. A PubMed search (2009–2025) using terms related to sex, gender, and OCD identified 7,497 records. Records were screened by two reviewers, and 1,446 articles were assessed at the full-text level by four co-authors. Of these, 855 studies were included: 61 meta-analyses, 13 systematic reviews, 39 narrative reviews, and 742 original reports. Original studies were grouped by focus—symptoms (96), epidemiology (217), comorbidities (187), human genetics (89), neurocognitive and neurobiological functioning (56), treatment (66), animal models (55)—and evaluated using CASP checklists.

Across domains, sex- and gender-related patterns in OCD have been reported, but findings remain fragmented and rarely synthesized. Methodological limitations include inconsistent assessment of sex and gender, variability in symptom measurement, limited consideration of menstrual and reproductive factors, and few genome-wide or whole-brain imaging studies. Biases may also arise from gender differences in insight, help-seeking behavior, and comorbidity.

Synthesizing these findings, we hypothesize that two OCD subtypes may contribute to observed sex and gender patterns. One subtype (OCD-I) is characterized by earlier onset and stronger familial or neurodevelopmental loading and shows a male preponderance that may reflect a female protective effect. A second subtype (OCD-II) is more often stress-precipitated, emerges later, and may be more common in women due to greater exposure to interpersonal, reproductive, and traumatic stressors rather than greater innate susceptibility. Appreciating sex and gender effects may clarify OCD heterogeneity and inform research, prevention, and treatment.

Keywords: scoping review, obsessive-compulsive disorder, sex, gender, men, women, male, female.

1. Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) affects 2–3% of the global population and contributes significantly to worldwide morbidity (Kessler et al., 2012; Murray et al., 1996), severely impacting both the lives of those directly affected and their families and creating substantial burdens on individuals and society at large (Markarian et al., 2010). The effectiveness of evidence-based treatments remains limited (Pittenger, 2023; Reid et al., 2021). Consequently, advancing our understanding of OCD—its diagnosis, underlying mechanisms, and treatment, including the development of precision medicine approaches—is a public health imperative.

The clinical manifestations of OCD are markedly variable. Patients differ in their specific symptoms (Lochner and Stein, 2003), the experienced motivation behind these symptoms [incompleteness/harm avoidance (Ecker and Gönner, 2008)], comorbidity (Diniz et al., 2004), and natural history (Selles et al., 2014). There is a growing appreciation that an individual's sex and gender may contribute to this heterogeneity (Cherian et al., 2014; Labad et al., 2008; Mathes et al., 2019). Incorporating a 'gendered' perspective may enhance diagnostic accuracy and treatment selection, for both men and women (Franceschini and Fattore, 2021). Notably, the World Health Organization's Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2020 highlighted the critical need for age- and sex-specific data in child mental health (Saxena et al., 2015), supporting such an approach.

Views on sex and gender differences in OCD have evolved over the decades. Early reviews argued against the existence of significant effects of sex and gender in OCD (Castle et al., 1995), but more recent reviews have acknowledged mounting evidence supporting

their existence and importance (Lochner et al., 2004; Lochner and Stein, 2001; Mathis et al., 2011). An early focus was on sex and gender effects in the epidemiology and clinical presentation of OCD. Recent literature has increasingly explored differences between men and women across a wider range of topics, drawing on data from self-reports, clinical assessments, behavioral studies, neuroimaging, and biological samples.

In this scoping review, we summarize both original research and literature reviews focused on sex and gender influences on all aspects of OCD over a decade (from July 1st 2009 to March 17th 2025). We have several key goals: (1) to provide a comprehensive and systematic survey of evidence concerning sex and gender effects in OCD; (2) to assess methodological considerations in this body of research; (3) to identify knowledge gaps; and (4) to propose a roadmap for future hypothesis-driven investigations into sex and gender effects in OCD, incorporating recommendations for precision medicine approaches.

2. Methods

This study was conducted using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and checklist (Page et al., 2021), as depicted by Figure 1. Its methodology was guided by Arksey and O'Malley (2005). As a scoping review, the study was not preregistered in PROSPERO.

PubMed was searched with the following search words: (gender OR sex OR male OR female) AND obsessive-compulsive disorder. The search in PubMed was performed on three dates. On July 2, 2019, files were searched from July 1st, 2009, to June 30th, 2019; on April 26, 2021, files were searched from July 1st, 2019, to March 31st, 2021; and on March 18th, 2025, files were searched from April 1st, 2021, to March 17th, 2025.

Included studies:

1. Were published in an English language journal,
2. Met one of the following criteria:
    a) Compared OCD to other clinical or non-clinical groups, or
    b) Included only individuals with an OCD diagnosis, or
    c) Compared OCD-related condition (e.g., tics, anorexia, etc.) to other clinical and non-clinical groups, or
    d) Compared a different form psychopathology with comorbid OCD to the same psychopathology without Comorbid OCD; and
3. Met one of the following criteria:
    e) Directly compared men with OCD and women with OCD, or
    f) Included gender/sex as a moderating factor of the difference between OCD (or other groups of interest described above) and other clinical and non-clinical groups (not just as a control variable), or
    g) Included only one sex, men or women (case studies were excluded).

Our search strategy was designed to identify relevant studies in humans, but it also captured a number of animal studies, which we chose to include in this review. Special selection considerations were applied to these studies. We excluded animal studies that only examined male animals; prior to 2015, when use of both male and female animals was made mandatory for NIH-funded research (NIH, 2015), this was common. Studies that examined only female animals were included, if they examined the effects of estrogen, progesterone, or the estrous cycle on OCD-relevant behaviors. Criterion 2 (above) cannot be applied to animal studies and was modified as follows: animal model studies were included if they a) directly compared the effects of sex or the effect of specific sex hormones (i.e. estrogen) on behavior and b) examined brain

circuits, signaling pathways or pathological alterations thought to be relevant to OCD. We note that animal studies identified by our search are unlikely to represent a comprehensive summary of all relevant work; it is likely that many animal studies that examine processes of potential relevance do not include the keywords "obsessive-compulsive disorder" and thus may be missed by our search. This limitation is discussed in more detail in Section 3.8.

During initial selection, two authors (MVZ and HP) independently reviewed each of the papers identified by this PubMed literature search to check whether they met these inclusion criteria. The reference lists in the retrieved articles were checked against the database search and any other articles that might fulfill the inclusion criteria were retrieved. Any disagreements between MVZ and HP were resolved by group discussion.

Papers selected during initial selection were divided into 7 broad categories (by MVZ), based on the focus of the paper: Symptomology and Burden, Comorbidities, Epidemiology, Genetics, Altered Neurocognitive and Neurobiological functioning, Treatment, and Animal Models. Each category was assigned to one of the authors (MVZ, EO, BS, or HP) for in-depth review (see Figure 1). Each of the researchers prepared a review of the assigned section; if any paper was identified as not meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria or not fitting the assigned category, it was discussed by the group and either excluded or recategorized. HP conducted an additional final review of excluded papers, and any disagreements with prior decisions were discussed with the group. CP and MB conducted the overall editing of the review.

All included papers were assessed by two researchers (BS and ST) for quality of evidence using the CASP Checklists (Buccheri and Sharifi, 2017; Long et al., 2020); available upon request). Overall patterns, methodological concerns, knowledge gaps, and new hypotheses for

future research were discussed and summarized during meetings of all coauthors. These summaries of key considerations are presented at the end of each section.

3. Results

The initial PubMed searches identified 7497 papers: 4804 papers in the search from July 1st, 2009, to June 30th, 2019, 1159 papers in the search from July 1st, 2019, to March 31st, 2021, and 1534 additional papers in the search from April 1st, 2021 to March 17th, 2025. Twelve duplicate records were removed. The reference list cross-check revealed an additional 22 papers. MVZ and HP independently agreed to include 1443 papers and not to include 5823; of the remaining 241 papers on which these two reviewers had discordant judgments, 44 were included following group discussion. Overall, 1487 papers were included during this initial selection step.

During the final selection step, 646 papers were excluded: 10 were duplicates, for 11 full text was unavailable, 33 were not available in English, 9 were short commentaries or letters to the editor with no statistics reported, 20 were study protocols, 3 was retracted, 27 were single case reports, 76 were animal studies with only male subjects, 288 did not test sex/gender differences in OCD (i.e. did not meet the inclusion criteria 3), and 180 did not specifically examine OCD (e.g. focused on obsessive-compulsive personality disorder or pooled OCD with other anxiety disorders).

Overall, 855 papers were confirmed to be included: 61 meta-analyses, 13 systematic reviews, 39 narrative reviews, and 742 original reports (Figure 1). A summary of meta-analyses and systematic and narrative reviews is reported in Appendix A1. Original reports were reviewed and are reported in seven sections:[1] the Symptomology and Burden section includes 96 papers (Section 3.2, by BS and HP); the Epidemiology section includes 217 papers (Section 3.3, by

[1] 175 papers were reassigned to a different section of the review over the course of processing.

MVZ and HP); the Comorbidities section includes 187 papers (Section 3.4, by MVZ and HP); the Human Genetics section includes 89 papers (Section 3.5, by EO); the Altered Neurocognitive and Neurobiological functioning section includes 56 papers (Section 3.6, by HP); the Treatment section included 66 papers (Section 3.7, by BS and HP), and the Animal Models section includes 55 papers (Section 3.8, by MVZ). Twenty four papers are included in more than one section. Figure 2 illustrates that the number of papers on most topics increased over time during the review period, with the most dramatic increase in epidemiological studies (including comorbidities).

Throughout the review, we cited each included paper by the first author's last name and publication year. Due to the very large number of included papers (N = 855), these papers are listed in the appendices rather than the references. Also, due to the very large number of papers included in the review, only selected key methodological concerns, knowledge gaps, and new hypotheses for future research are presented at the end of each section. Our hope is that by characterizing the overall patterns that emerged in the literature, we will facilitate generation of new hypotheses, more systematic investigations, and a broader discussion on how sex and gender may contribute to the heterogeneity of OCD.

3.1. A note on sex and gender

Sex and gender are distinct concepts. Both are complex. 'Sex' refers to an individual's biological classification as male or female, determined by sex chromosomes, anatomy, and sex steroid hormones; these usually coincide and allow for ready classification as ‘male’ and ‘female’, but ambiguity arises in edge cases. 'Gender' relates to individuals’ social identification as men, women, or nonbinary/other and is influenced by family, society, and culture (Holdcroft, 2007; Lindqvist et al., 2021; Mendrek, 2015). Sex assigned at birth and current gender

identification align in most cases; discrepancies can occur in intersex individuals or those identifying as transgender or nonbinary. The interplay between sex and gender influences behavior and brain function over the lifetime. Amongst the hundreds of reviewed studies, only one reported asking participants to report both sex, as assigned at birth, and gender identity. In all other studies, participants were simply asked to report whether they were men (or male) or women (or female); in most studies the details of how this question was posed or a determination was made are not available. For the purpose of this review, the assumption was made that, unless otherwise explicitly reported, human genetic studies and animal model work assessed the effects of sex and all other studies assessed participants' self-reported gender.

Following APA 7 guidelines (Transue, 2019) terms *male* and *female* are used as nouns when describing a group with a wide age range or as adjectives to describe a specific person. Terms *men* and *women* or *boys* and *girls* are used to describe individuals from a specific age group.

3.2 Symptoms and Associated Burden

Highlights from Section 3.2 Symptoms and Associated Burden

Symptom presentation: Overall symptom severity is often, but not always, higher in females. In adults, externally oriented obsessions predominate in females and internally oriented obsessions in males; differences in childhood are weaker or absent.

Associated burden: Burden is often expressed in gender-specific domains: men more often remain unmarried and experience labor market marginalization, while women report poorer emotional quality of life, greater family-role strain, and higher family accommodation in adulthood. Offspring of mothers with OCD show negative health effects, such as lower birth weight and higher inflammatory markers.

Key concerns: Assessments of obsessions and compulsions in children and adolescents disproportionately rely on self- or parental reports. Self-reports are influenced by insight, which may vary by gender—with some studies suggesting better insight in females—and have been shown to have poor-to-fair diagnostic accuracy in youth. Most studies do not account for symptom fluctuations across phases of the menstrual cycle in females.

Topics for future study: Gender differences in symptom subtypes and insight; gender differences in subthreshold symptoms and gendered cut-off levels for clinical diagnosis; assessments tailored to life phases (e.g., puberty, geriatric); relationships between parental OCD and physical health in offspring;

3.2.1 Symptom Presentation

The clinical presentation of OCD is marked by high heterogeneity, some of which may be linked to sex or gender (Franceschini and Fattore, 2021; Mathis et al., 2011). Initial efforts to classify the heterogeneity of OCD symptoms were based on symptom content and converged on 3–5 dimensions: contamination/cleaning, fear of harm/checking, taboo thoughts, and symmetry/ordering (Bloch et al., 2008). (Hoarding emerged as a separate dimension in early studies but is now considered a separate disorder.) Fifty-nine studies from the review period (17 in children/adolescents, 39 in adults, and 3 in both) explored the impact of gender[2] on symptom presence and severity, including across specific symptom dimensions (Table 1, Table A2.1).

When interpreting symptom patterns, it is crucial to consider the way in which symptoms were assessed. In children, 8 studies used clinician-administered measures and 9 used self- or parental reports. In adults, 15 studies used clinician-administered measures, 21 used self-reports, and 3 used both. Results based on self and parental-reports were more inconsistent, especially in children; clinical assessments produced more consistent results across age groups. Two studies in adults (Hauschildt 2019; Storch 2017) compared self-reports and clinical assessments using the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale, YBOCS (Goodman et al., 1989a; Goodman et al., 1989b). Both studies noted the self-report version of YBOCS to yield lower scores compared to interview-based assessments (more so at the first than after repeated assessments); these patterns manifested similarly in men and women. One study of children and adolescents with OCD (ages 6–18; Skarphedinsson, 2021) found that parental reports predicted clinical diagnosis substantially better than youth self-reports (AUC_{parent} = 0.89 [95%CI, 0.85-0.92] versus AUC_{youth}

[2] Studies that are reviewed in this section rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term 'gender' in this section.

= 0.66 [95%CI, 0.57-0.74]). In the same study, girls self-reported higher overall symptom severity than boys, whereas no gender differences were observed in parental reports.

Overall OCD symptom severity was often, but not universally, higher in women than in men across clinical and community samples, with reported effects generally in the small-to-moderate range and frequent null results (see Table A2). In samples with clinically significant OCD symptoms, five studies reported higher overall severity in women than in men (three using self-report measures and two using clinician-administered assessments; Cohen's d = 0.12–0.44), whereas four studies (three self-report, one clinician-rated) found no significant gender differences across age groups. A similar pattern was observed in community samples: four studies reported higher symptom severity in women (three self-report, one clinician-rated; Cohen's d = 0.12–0.43), while three studies—all relying on self-report—found no significant differences.

Gender differences in the presence and severity of specific OCD symptoms varied by domain and developmental stage (Table 1). Among adults with OCD, contamination obsessions were more frequent (F:M OR = 1.6–4.5) and more severe (Cohen's d = 0.29–0.40) in women (e.g., Matsunaga, 2010; Torresan, 2013; Jang, 2010; López-Solà, 2014), whereas sexual/religious obsessions are more frequent (F:M OR = 0.35–0.71) and more severe (Cohen's d = 0.16–0.43) in men (e.g., Williams, 2011; Cherian, 2014; Williams, 2015; Destrée, 2020; Torresan, 2013). In children and adolescents, contamination and sexual/religious obsessions did not differ consistently between girls and boys. Symmetry and ordering symptoms were more prevalent in girls in childhood samples, in both clinical and community studies (F:M OR ≈ 2.5; see Schultz, 2018), but findings in adults were inconsistent. Obsessions with sexual orientation were more common in men (Ching, 2021). No consistent gender differences were observed across age

groups for checking, harm avoidance, relationship-, or health-related symptoms, and evidence for gender bias in compulsion-only OCD subtypes remains mixed (Rodgers, 2015).

Overall patterns may suggest that gendered symptom profiles change across development (see Table 1 and Section 3.3.2), but these results may be biased by differences in sampling (e.g., help-seeking versus population-based samples) and methodologies (e.g., self- and parental reports versus clinical assessment). Direct tests of how co-occurrence and presentation of symptoms changes from childhood to adolescence to adulthood are lacking. Although, motivated by the growing recognition that specific life phases can trigger specific obsessions and compulsions, 3 papers developed scales tailored to OCD symptoms in the peripartum period, primarily targeting women but also assessing men (Allison 2011; Lord 2011; Lydsdottir 2013). Another study argued for the development of instruments that are tailored to geriatric OCD, for instance, an assessment of checking symptoms in older men and women (Klenfeldt 2014).

Another classification approach considers underlying motivational factors, such as incompleteness or 'not-just-right' experiences and harm avoidance, to gain insight into the driving forces behind OCD symptoms (Ecker and Gönner, 2008; Summerfeldt et al., 2014). Two papers compared prevalence of these motivations in men and women. Among adolescents, Ravid (2013) identified an age by gender interaction influencing the presence and severity of 'not-just-right' experiences, which were more prevalent in younger female and older male participants (age x female gender: $\beta = -0.21$ $p < 0.01$). In adults, Sibrava (2016) analyzed gender distribution in individuals experiencing low and high feelings of incompleteness (a similar construct to 'not-just-right' experiences), finding no significant differences (F:M OR = 1.05 $p = 0.43$). Note that 'not-just-right' experiences have been shown to occur more frequently in OCD patients of all ages with comorbid tic disorders and/or autism spectrum disorder (da Silva Prado et al., 2008;

Holzer et al., 1994; Neal and Cavanna, 2013), which are themselves diagnosed 3–5 times more often in male than in female patients (see Section 3.3). More careful analyses that control for the presence of comorbid autistic spectrum and tic disorders are needed to clarify these relationships (See Section 3.4).

Data-driven studies suggest that sex differences in OCD symptom organization may be limited in childhood but become more apparent across development. In pediatric samples, data-driven analyses have not identified significant gender differences in the distribution of symptom subtypes (ages 10-18; Kenezloi, 2018). Network analyses in youth from the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort indicate dimension-specific differences: ordering/arranging symptoms in boys and cleaning/washing symptoms in girls were more strongly connected to other psychiatric symptoms, suggesting early sex-related variation in how specific OCD symptoms are embedded within broader psychopathology. In adult samples, clearer divergence emerges (ages 12-21; Wang 2021). Raines (2014) reported greater symptom homogeneity in men, with symptom severity showing consistent correlations across dimensions, whereas symptom presentation in women appeared more heterogeneous: contamination symptoms correlated with either harm-related responsibility or symmetry obsessions, while harm-related responsibility also co-occurred with unacceptable thoughts. Similarly, Shkalim (2017) found that overall symptom severity in women was comparably associated with both fear- and distress-related processes, while no consistent pattern emerged in men. Taken together, these findings suggest that sex differences in OCD may evolve from dimension-specific variations in youth toward greater heterogeneity of symptom organization in adult women, potentially reflecting partially distinct underlying mechanisms.

The autogenous-reactive (AO-RO) model of obsessions and compulsions (Lee and Kwon, 2003; Lee et al., 2005) seeks to capture the widely held clinical intuition that there is a distinction between internally-oriented (autogenous) obsessions and those that are more focused on one's surroundings (reactive). Autogenous symptoms include forbidden thoughts, mental rituals, and 'not-just-right' experiences; reactive symptoms include most contamination concerns, harm avoidance, and intolerance of uncertainty, and are more likely to have stress-associated symptom onset. Using data-driven subtyping, Atli (2014) and Batmaz (2016) found that men exhibited a significantly higher prevalence of autogenous symptoms, while reactive obsessions were more common in women (F:M ORs = 1.8 – 4.1). These results are consistent with earlier findings focused on specific symptom subtypes, summarized above: greater prevalence and severity of contamination obsessions (reactive) were reported in women, while sexual/religious obsessions (autogenous) were more common in men.

All of these results rely on subjects being able to report their symptoms accurately. This is possible only if they have sufficient insight into their symptoms. Insight into one's psychiatric symptoms also serves as a foundation for effective treatment by fostering collaboration, informed decision-making, symptom management, and overall empowerment in their recovery journey. Three papers during the review period evaluated gender influences on insight in OCD patients, using different instruments (Shimshoni, 2011; Cherian, 2014; Guillén-Font, 2021); all found greater insight into OCD symptoms in adult women than in men (Cohen's d = 0.18-0.7). More investigations of gender influences on insight in OCD patients are needed. If insight is indeed better on average in women than in men, other results on gender differences in symptom presentation may need to be critically re-assessed, especially those that depend on self-report. Gender differences in insight should be evaluated in the context of predominant symptoms, since

it has been suggested that autogenous obsessions (more common among men; Batmaz 2016; Guillen-Font 2021) may be linked to lower levels of insight (Tolin et al., 2001).

There is a clear need for further investigation into the heterogeneity of OCD symptom presentation, including variable insight, and its connection to gender. Six studies in the review period investigated gender differences using data-driven symptom subtyping, and only in adults. Notably, these investigations revealed large gender-related effect sizes, reaching up to F: M OR = 4.1 (which roughly corresponds to Cohen's d = 2.6), suggesting potential clinical relevance of this line of research. Applying a similar approach to the evolution of gendered symptom profiles across life phases is warranted.

3.2.2. Additional Burden on Patients and Families

OCD significantly impairs well-being and diminishes quality of life for both diagnosed patients and their families (Markarian et al., 2010). Thirty-seven studies during the review period (1 in a pooled sample, 3 in children, and 33 in adults) examined whether and how this burden is modulated by gender of the patient. While overall disability may be similar in men and women (Benatti 2022), specific domains of disfunction vary by gender and age group (Table 1, Table A2.2). Educational achievement appears more adversely affected in girls than boys with OCD (Bortes, 2022). Men with OCD tend to remain unmarried (Muhlbauer 2021; Benatti 2022) and report higher loneliness (Friedman-Ezra 2024) and aggression (Puşuroğlu 2024), while women with OCD are more likely to be married or divorced, often grappling with challenges in partner and family role adaptation (Muhlbauer 2021; Rosa 2012; Benatti 2022). Women experience lower quality of life, particularly in emotional functioning and vitality domains, and are more likely to experience difficulties with sexual function (Kugler 2013; Jahangard 2018; Pozza 2019, 2020a; Zerdziński, 2022; but note negative result from Zerdzinski 2022 and Pozza 2021).

Sexual dysfunction was similarly elevated in men and women with OCD (Ghassemzadeh, 2017; Pozza, 2020a,b; 2021), but showed gender-specific correlates, with greater attachment anxiety in women and greater attachment avoidance in men (Dèttore, 2021). Compulsive sexual behavior was more prevalent in men than women with OCD, consistent with population norms (Snaychuk, 2022). Men with OCD showed lower infertility rates than the general population, with rates in women remaining comparable to population expectations (Chen, 2024).

OCD is also linked to significant decrease in professional functioning in men and women. For instance, in a large Swedish sample (N = 173,443), labor market marginalization through missing more workdays, increased unemployment, and greater dependence on disability pensions due to OCD was found to be somewhat higher in men (HR = 3.78 [95% CI, 3.61–3.97] than in women (HR = 3.20 [95% CI, 3.08–3.32]; Pérez-Vigil 2018). However, this pattern may reflect that men, especially white men, tend to be a privileged group in the labor market; OCD in men may be associated with the loss of this privilege (Wadsworth et al., 2020). In a smaller sample from Turkey (N = 183), inability to work due to OCD was found to be higher in women than in men (F:M OR = 8; Yalim 2024).

Gender-based differences extend to caregivers, with female caregivers of individuals with OCD reporting higher subjective burden compared to their male counterparts (Futh 2012). Living with a family member with OCD often leads to significant disruptions in family routines, a phenomenon known as family accommodation, which may be modulated by the gender of the individual with OCD. In children, family accommodation was reported to increase with symptom severity in boys but decrease with symptom severity in girls with OCD (DuBois, 2025). Whether this is driven by cultural preferences or by differences in symptom presentation is an important question for future research. Interestingly, in adults this pattern seems to be reversed. Four

studies found that family tends to accommodate women more strongly than men, with effect sizes ranging from negligible to moderate (Cohen's d = 0.10 – 0.35; Shrinivasa 2020; Liao 2021; Kuru 2023; Kelley 2024).

OCD is associated with adverse physical health outcomes. Both women and men with OCD—particularly those with contamination symptoms—are more likely to delay seeking medical care (Karagöz, 2021). While both natural- and unnatural-cause mortality are higher in men than women in the general population, among individuals with OCD the excess risk of natural-cause mortality remained higher in men (MRR M = 1.69, MRR F = 1.37), whereas excess risk of unnatural-cause mortality (e.g., accidents, suicide; see also Section 3.4.1.3) was greater in women (MRR F = 3.15, MRR M = 2.23; Meier, 2017). This result is consistent with a large study (N = 23,126) that found that OCD elevates a risk of motor accidents in women (HR = 1.2 – 1.3), but not in men (Mataix-Cols 2022). Finally, offspring of mothers with OCD show lower birth weight, younger gestational age, and elevated inflammatory markers (Uguz, 2014; 2015), indicating potential intergenerational effects of OCD-related health burden.

Individuals with OCD often face a lack of understanding of their condition from the public, which may lead to a lack of support and to the experience of stigma (Ponzini and Steinman, 2022). Reported estimates of the recognition rate of OCD varied widely across countries and specific symptom profiles (from ~ 25% to ~ 85%). Men more often interpreted OCD as perfectionism (Stewart 2019); women were more open to supporting individuals with OCD and were more likely to recommend working with a psychologist (Garcia-Soriano 2017).

There is a need for a comprehensive understanding of gender differences in the burden of OCD as well as for coordinated effort to educate the general public about this debilitating

condition. This will be instrumental in guiding therapeutic interventions aimed at enhancing the lives of those grappling with OCD, as well as their caregivers.

3.2.3. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological Concerns:

- Differences exist between studies using self-report measures and those employing clinician-administered assessments. These discrepancies likely reflect, in part, gender-related differences in insight and symptom reporting, rather than random measurement error. This issue is particularly salient in pediatric samples, where reliance on child self-reports and parental reports is common and predictive validity differs substantially between informants. Given evidence that insight varies by gender and symptom type, findings based primarily on self-report—especially in children and adolescents—should be interpreted cautiously, and clinician-administered assessments should be prioritized when feasible.
- The DSM criteria for distinguishing between subthreshold and clinically significant symptoms are relatively arbitrary. Although necessary for practical purposes, this cutoff may exclude individuals with near-threshold symptoms, potentially skewing gendered symptom profiles. Incorporating subclinical data could help clarify these patterns. This point is discussed further below under Epidemiology.
- While traditional research has focused on clinically recognized OCD symptom domains (e.g., checking or contamination), recent studies suggest value in examining symptom co-occurrence using data-driven clustering. This approach may complement domain-based analyses and provide insights into the underlying mechanisms of symptoms.
- Evidence on physical health and medical comorbidity in OCD relies heavily on registry-based data and self-reported medical histories, with limited adjustment for healthcare-seeking

behavior, symptom-driven avoidance of medical settings, or differential symptom visibility by gender. These factors may bias estimates of gender differences in medical comorbidity, cardiovascular risk, and mortality, particularly given that contamination symptoms and illness-related anxiety can delay care and that prospective physiological predictors have been examined primarily in men.

Unanswered Questions*:*

- How do documented gender differences in insight influence observed symptom profiles, particularly in studies relying on self-report measures?
- Are there systematic gender differences in how symptoms should be assessed across different life stages, such as during puberty or in geriatric populations?
- Do gendered symptom profiles exist at subthreshold levels as well as in clinical illness?
- How should physical health comorbidities and illness-related stressors be integrated into models of OCD-related distress and functional impairment, and do these relationships differ by gender?

Hypotheses for Future Research:

- OCD symptom profiles may be more heterogeneous in adult women than in adult men, particularly when examined using data-driven subtyping approaches.
- Gender differences in contamination and sexual/religious symptoms may emerge after puberty.
- Gender differences in insight may systematically influence self-reported symptom severity and content, particularly for internally oriented (autogenous) symptoms.

3.3. Epidemiology

Highlights from Section 3.3 Epidemiology

Incidence and Prevalence: OCD is more common in women than in men in adults but not in children; early-onset OCD diagnosis is more common in men.

Risk factors: Men may be more susceptible to epigenetic and familial risks, and to prenatal and early life stresses. In women, OCD may more often be linked to reproductive events (puberty, menses, peripartum, and menopause) and to stressful and traumatic life events.

Key concerns: Transgender and nonbinary individuals are not reported by large epidemiological studies. Most estimates of the prevalence of OCD in children and adolescence disproportionally rely on self- or parental reports. OCD symptoms can be difficult to distinguish from comorbid conditions (especially in children).

Future studies: More work is needed to describe the prevalence of OCD subtypes and the evolution of subthreshold symptoms across age groups, including in older individuals and following trauma (especially in highly traumatized populations).

3.3.1. Incidence and Prevalance of OCD

Recent reviews and meta-analyses of epidemiological studies (Fawcett et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2016; Mathes et al., 2019) have reported that OCD affects 1 – 5% of the general popualtion and is more common among females in late adolescence and adulthood, but may be more common among males in childhood (Benatti 2022). Results from sixty five epidemiological studies identified during the review period (20 from children/adolescents, 41 from adults, and 4 from pooled samples; Table A3.1) are largely consistent with these generalizations. They also raise a number of methodological and conceptual concerns that may affect estimates of overall incidence/prevalence and gender[3] ratios.

Incidence is defined as the number of individuals in a population who newly develop OCD over a given period of time. Nine studies from the review period (3 examining all ages, 4

[3] Studies that are reviewed in this section rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term 'gender' in this section.

only children and adolescents, 1 only adults, and 1 in active military) reported somewhat heterogeneous quantitative estimates of gender ratios in annual incidence of OCD in Northern European and Taiwanese populations using national health records; they reported incidence estimates from 0.006% to 0.28% for females and from 0.005% to 0.12% for males (for gender ratios by age groups see Figure 3A). Importantly, since these studies report only OCD that presents to clinical care and is diagnosed; they may be influenced by differences in help-seeking behaviors and diagnostic practices across time, age groups, and geographical location. Rintala (2017) and Ask (2020) analyzed data from Finland and noted increasing incidence over years for both boys and girls (consistent with the results from two studies of the Danish national cohort; Dalsgaard, 2019; Steinhausen, 2019), which the authors attributed to increased screening and diagnosis. Veldhuis (2001) examined data from Integrated Primary Care Information database of individuals of all ages (from birth to older than 50) from the Netherlands. They reported decreasing OCD incidence in males but not females from 1996 to 2007 (RR M = 0.56 $p < 0.001$; RR F ~ 1). These discrepancies could be due to differences in age groups or to regional differences and warrant further investigation.

The overall trends in gender differences in incidence rates, however, were largely similar across studies. Incidence of OCD was higher among boys in children's samples, and higher among females in samples that included adults (Guimarães, 2023). Three studies examined changes in gender ratios in incidence across age groups in Northern Europe (Rintala, 2017; Dalsgaard, 2019) and Taiwan (Huang, 2014). In Northern European samples, incidence rates were higher in boys before approximately age 10 and become higher in females starting at approximately age 14. In a Taiwanese sample, the shift from male predominance to female

predominance was observed later, around ages 25 – 35; female predominance attenuated after age 64.

In 1.36 million active-duty service members, women showed a 1.73-fold higher incidence of first-time OCD diagnosis than men (Russell, 2022), suggesting a stronger female predominance in incident OCD diagnosis in the military than in the general population.

Prevalence measures the proportion of individuals in the general population who have OCD, both new and chronic cases, over a specified period or at a point in time. The prevalence of OCD (current, 1-month, 12-month, or lifetime) in children and adolescents was evaluated by ten studies, but only two of them relied on assessments by trained clinicians. Sixteen studies evaluated prevalence of current obsessive-compulsive symptoms (OCS) in adults; fourteen of these studies relied on clinical interviews, one study asked participants to self-report whether they had been formally diagnosed with OCD, and one study relied on computerized self-reports, supervised by trained research personnel. Self-reports and parental reports are more likely to be to be subject to systematic gender-related reporting biases [see Section 3.2.1; (Rosenman et al., 2011)]; the fact that studies in children are much more likley than studies in adults to use self-report and parent-report measures may explain why studies focused on children have produced highly inconsistent results (see Figure 3B, Table A3). Notably, the one study that relied on clinical interviews (Vivan, 2014) reported the highest female bias in adolescents (F:M OR = 1.95 for clinically significant symptoms, and F:M OR = 3.36 for subthreshold symptoms). Overall, studies of prevalence in children and adolesence paralleled trends in incidence rates across age and gender groups; prevalence in OCD and OCS was higher in boys younger than 11 – 13 and higher in post-pubertal girls.

In adults, the prevalence of OCD was generally higher in women (F:M OR = 0.8 – 5; see Figure 3B, Table A3). 12-month OCD prevalance was evaluated most commonly. The 4 studies that assessed lifetime prevalance gave estimates of the F:M odds ratio closer to 1, possibly reflecting the contribution of a history of childhood OCD (Subramaniam, 2012, 2019, 2020; Carta, 2020). One study assessed prevalence of obsessions and compulsions separately, finding stronger female bias in prevalance of compulsions than that of obsessions (F:M OR = 1.32 and 1.18, respectively; Lee, 2021). Interestingly, a few studies found the prevalence of OCS or of subthreshold OCD in adults to be higher in men (F:M OR = 0.6 – 0.9; Canals, 2012; Fineberg, 2013; Subramaniam, 2020). The DSM uses relatively subjective and environmentally sensitive criteria to separate subthreshold symptoms from clinical diagnoses. Comparing gender differences in groups with symptoms below and above the DSM-based threshold may offer additional insights.

Eight studies examined gender differences in self-reported symptoms among college students. They generally find similar prevelance of clinically significant levels of OCS in men and women, and higher prevelance of subthreshold symptoms in men. However, one study in students that relied on clinical intervieews found higher prevelance of OCD in women than in men (F:M OR = 1.26; Pei, 2023). Three studies specifically examined medical students (Asghar, 2020; Almadani, 2024) and reported substantially elevated OCD prevalence relative to general population estimates. However, findings regarding gender patterns were inconsistent: a study from Pakistan using the Y-BOCS identified male predominance (F:M OR = 0.7), whereas studies from Saudi Arabia using the OCI-R and from Peru using the Y-BOCS reported female predominance (F:M OR = 1.61–2.5).

Only two studies examined geriatric samples, finding some evidence of female bias (F:M OR = 1 – 3.5; Canuto, 2018; Klenfeldt, 2014). Much more research is needed in this age group.

Sex differences in help-seeking may confound results in epidemiological studies. Among adult individuals seeking help in primary care centers, women tend to predominate (F:M OR = 0.76 – 2.08; Table A3.1). These individuals often report anxiety or depression symptoms as a primary concern prior to recieving an OCD diagnosis.

Among individuals seeking help from providers specialized in OCD treatment, an interesting pattern emerged: studies conducted in Western countries or worldwide report that more adult women than men seek help (F:M OR = 1.1 – 1.7; Medeiros, 2017; Dell'Osso, 2017). In contrast, studies conducted in India report that more males than females seek help (F:M OR = 0.4 – 0.7); these studies included both adults (Cherian, 2013; Tripathi, 2018) and children (Deepthi, 2018; Sharma, 2019). Sharma et al. (2019) suggested that, in the developing world, males are often given preferential care and receive prompt attention in comparison to females, at every age. However, there is a lack of studies conducted in pediatric help-seeking samples. Conducting such studies could help to clarify the observed pattern.

In homeless women from Canada, OCD prevelance was drastically higher than that in general population: current OCD was present in 19% of study participants, and lifetime OCD was present in 62% of study participants. This may indicate significant difficulties with social functioning in individuals with OCD (Section 3.2.2), and thus an increased risk of becoming homeless, and/or that the impact of compound stresses associated with life on the streets on the risk for developing OCD (Section 3.3.2).

Overall, available data indicate that incidence and prevalence of OCD and of clinical and subthrehold OCD symptoms evolve over the lifespan differently in males and females. OCD

diagnosis may be more common in boys than in girls in childhood, but more common in women than in men following puberty. The prevalence of OCS, in contrast, appears to be fairly evenly distributed across the lifespan, with some intriguing hits that subclinical OCS may in fact be more prevalent in men.

3.3.2. Onset, progression, and contributing factors

3.3.2.1. Onset of OCD symptoms and diagnosis. There is no consensus as to how to define the onset of OCD (e.g. as emergence of distressing symptoms or when the diagnostic criteria were first met) or how best to assess it (using retrospective self-reports, parental reports, or contemporary medical records). Each of these approaches is associated with its own limitations. Twenty-two reports from the review period (5 in children/ adolescents, 16 in adults, and 1 in adolescents and adults) examined gender differences in the age of onset patterns, and three examined progression of symptoms from subclinical to clinical (Table A3.2).

There is a general consensus that the onset of OCD occurs earlier in males than in females (Mathes et al., 2019). Many researchers argue that early-onset OCD (EO-OCD) may form a distinct subgroup, characterized by unique clinical and familial attributes, higher male prevalence, high tic [~ 30 – 40%, (Ferrao et al., 2013; Franklin et al., 2012)] and ADHD comorbidity [~ 25 –30%, (Geller et al., 1996; Masi et al., 2010)], and elevated familial risk (Eichstedt and Arnold, 2001; Geller et al., 1998; Geller et al., 2003). In contrast, adult-onset OCD is more prevalent in women and more frequently co-occurs with depression [≥ 25% (Sharma et al., 2021)]. This pattern was generally supported by studies from the review period. The proportion of women in adult samples tends to be higher than in pediatric samples, and early-onset OCD is more common in males (Figure 3B, Table A3). Narayanaswamy et al. (2012) relied on a combination of parental and patient reports regarding the onset of distressing

symptoms and found a significantly earlier onset in males (Cohen's $d = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$). Torresan et al. (2013) also found that onset of "worst ever" symptoms and associated help-seeking also occur earlier in adult men (~ 27 – 28 years of age) than in women (~ 30 – 31 years of age).

Data-driven derivation of age-of-onset subgroups produced different outcomes for distressing symptoms and DSM diagnosis of OCD (Albert, 2015; Anholt, 2014; Nakatani, 2011). These studies suggest that distressing symptoms emerge in three distinct phases: early childhood (prior to ages 9 – 12), adolescence/early adulthood (from ages 10 – 12 to 18 – 23), and during adulthood (after age 24). Symptoms reaching a severity level that satisfies DSM criteria tend to manifest in two waves: before age 20 and after ages 20 – 26). This suggests that some children with early-onset symptoms may experience subthreshold obsessive-compulsive patterns for an extended period before progressing to clinically significant levels justifying a DSM diagnosis. The onset of distressing symptoms is more common in boys in both childhood and adolescence. This could imply that boys may endure subthreshold symptoms longer than girls before manifesting clinically significant OCD. However, two studies (Coles, 2011; Thompson, 2020) that relied on retrospective self-reports found that adult women tend to recall their symptom phase (a period from the onset of distressing symptoms to meeting DSM criteria) to be longer (Sx phase ≅ 6 – 8 years) than that recalled by adult men (Sx phase ≅ 5 years); and one did not find any gender differences (Ziegler, 2021).

This contradiction could be a consequence of recall bias. For instance, women may have better insight into their past symptoms (Section 3.2.1); alternatively, men with very early onset of OCs may have been too young at the time to remember or to be able to identify their behaviors as symptomatic, or men may have more autogenous symptoms that are more difficult

to identify retrospectively. However, another explanation is possible. Castagnini et al. (2016) found that both an adolescent diagnosis of OCD and an adolescent diagnosis of an anxiety disorder (i.e., phobia, panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder) predicted the need for treatment in adulthood, similarly in men and women. Anxiety symptoms and diagnoses become more common in girls than in boys beginning from middle childhood (Altemus et al., 2014; Lewinsohn et al., 1998). Thus, it is possible that adult OCD emerges from other forms of anxiety more often in females, explaining their longer recalled duration of the pre-diagnostic symptom phase. Barzilay et al. (2019a) found that subthreshold OCs are more prevalent in girls (OR = 1.3), a pattern that becomes significant following puberty (female gender x puberty: $p = 0.038$). This could be due to emergence of subthreshold OCD symptoms from anxiety in prepubescent girls (Castagnini, 2016) or due to hormonal changes related to reproductive cycle events (see below).

Including older individuals may provide additional insights. Two studies (Frydman, 2014; Sharma, 2015) found a 3:1 female predominance among individuals who developed distressing obsessions and compulsions after age 40. Interestingly, when PTSD diagnosis and history of pregnancy were included in the model, these variables (rather than gender) become the significant predictors of late-onset OCD. This suggests that later-life onset of OCD in women is closely linked to life stressors.

Different types of OCD symptoms may emerge at different ages in males and females. Kichuk et al. (2013) found that female gender was associated with later onset of forbidden thoughts but with earlier onset of symmetry symptoms. Grover et al. (2018) found a male bias in adolescent onset of hoarding and symmetry symptoms (F:M OR = 0.26 $p < 0.01$), and (at a trend level) of aggressive thoughts and of sexual and religious obsessions (F:M OR = 0.67); this male

bias became more pronounced in adult onset of these symptoms (F:M OR = 0.19 and F:M OR = 0.4, respectively). In contrast, onset of contamination symptoms before age 18 was significantly more likely in girls (F:M OR = 16.7); this female bias extended to adulthood, though it became less pronounced (F: M OR = 4.2). In contrast, other studies found that contamination symptoms were similarly reported by both boys and girls in child samples (Cervin, 2020; Schultz, 2018). No identified study used symptom subtypes in data-driven identification of symptom onset subgroups; such an approach might help to clarify this discrepancy.

3.3.2.2. Biosocial Vulnerabilities. Biological and social factors contribute to the emergence and persistence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms. Whether the role of these factors differs between genders, and whether sex and gender themselves function as risk or protective factors, remains an underexplored topic. Sixty-three papers from the review period offer relevant data (3 in pooled samples, 7 in children/adolescents, and 53 in adults; Table A3.2).

3.3.2.2.1. Prenatal and perinatal stresses may increase vulnerability to OCD. Fevang et al. (2016) found that the prevalence of OCD is drastically and similarly increased in boys (OR = 2.5) and girls (OR = 2.7) who were born extremely prematurely – which is more common in boys (Peelen et al., 2016) – or with extremely low birth weight, which is more common in girls (Beijers et al., 2022; Meadows et al., 2005). One study reported that the season of birth significantly impacts prevalence of OCD in males, but not in females, with an excess in males with OCD born from August to November (Cheng, 2014); this requires replication. Prenatal exposure to temperature, sunlight (which may affect levels of vitamin D), and viral infections may contribute to risk for OCD (McTigue and O'Callaghan, 2000; Vinkhuyzen et al., 2016); the differential impact of the season of birth may indicate that the prenatal period is a time of particular vulnerability for males.

3.3.2.2.2. Family and social context. OCD symptoms appear to have a strong familial component, which includes genetic vulnerability (Section 3.5) and shared environmental factors. Family dynamics may exacerbate predisposition to OCD. For instance, in clinical samples, individuals' responsibility attitudes, a risk factor for development of OCD in which an inflated perception of personal responsibility for harm leads to obsessional problems and compulsive behaviors (Hacıömeroğlu, 2008), (i) were higher among women, (ii) exhibited a positive correlation with overprotective behaviors in both mothers and fathers, and (iii) influenced the relationships between mothers' perceived behaviors and the severity of OCs (Hacıömeroğlu, 2014). But family dynamics and social context can also play a protective role. For instance, family support was associated negatively with OCD symptoms in high school students of both genders; girls reported stronger reliance on family support (Hjemdal, 2011). In girls, family adaptability mitigated the association between OC symptoms and anger suppression, whereas in boys, family cohesion mitigated positive associations between OC symptoms and anger proneness (Liu, 2017). Gender effects were also found in other resilience strategies that were negatively linked to OCD symptoms: boys were more likely to rely on personal competence and girls were more likely to rely on social resources (Hjemdal, 2011).

3.3.2.2.3. Early life stress. In individuals with increased familial risk for OCD and other disorders, adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) related to psychopathology more in boys than in girls (in boys HR = 1.13, $p < 0.05$; in girls HR = 0.94, $p > 0.10$; Someshwar, 2020). A higher total ACE severity score significantly increased the risk for an earlier age of OCD onset in boys (HR = 1.26, $p < 0.05$) but not in girls. Note, however, that this study did not control for the presence of comorbid symptoms (e.g., attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder, ADHD) that are more common in boys and could contribute to increased risk for OCD (Section 3.4.3.5)

3.3.2.2.4. Stressful life events (SLEs) can accelerate the progression from subclinical OCS to clinical OCD and may differentially impact specific symptom dimensions. Female patients report SLEs preceding OCD onset more often than do males patients (F ORs = 1.5 – 2.2; Real, 2011; Rosso, 2012). It is possible that female gender-associated factors (e.g., later age of onset, higher predominance of contamination symptoms, greater exposure to stressful events), and not female gender itself, modulates the relationship between stressful events and OCD.

SLEs may impact males and females differently. Ashraf et al. (2017) reported that current stress levels were more strongly associated with OCS in men than in women. Retrospectively reported speed of progression from OCS to OCD (Destrée, 2020) was significantly affected by the termination of a romantic relationship in both genders but by death of a significant other only in men. Severity of current sexual/religious OCS was positively associated with relationship stresses in men. Severity of OCD-related hoarding symptoms was positively linked to death of a loved one in both genders. History of bulling increased a risk of developing obsessive compulsive symptoms, and somewhat more strongly in women than in men ($d = 0.20 - 0.24$; Forresi, 2022; Pol-Fuster, 2024). These patterns could be due to differences in subjective experience; they require careful replication.

The more extreme version of life stresses, traumatic stressful events (TSEs), involve experiencing or witnessing the possibility of immediate personal harm. TSEs have been linked to higher OCS rates (Barzilay, 2019b). Childhood trauma maybe a stronger predictor of OCs in women than in men (Cohen's $d = 0.27$, $p < 0.001$; Jin, 2024). Women may be less often exposed to non-sexual TSEs but more susceptible to their negative consequences; rates of OCS increased more with each additional TSE in women than in men. Rates of OCS were similarly associated in men and women after physical assaults, but women showed higher OCS rates in association

with non-assaultive TSEs. Among individuals with traumatic experiences, the presence of OC symptoms was also associated with psychotic symptoms; however, it remains unclear whether comorbidities (Section 3.4) contribute to the observed gender differences, as gender differences in psychotic symptom prevalence were not examined in Barzilay (2019b).

Males and females were similarly susceptible to the development of OCS after sexual TSEs (Barzilay, 2019), but females are more often exposed to such events (Snyder, 2000; Vidal-Ribas et al., 2015). Young women aged 16 – 19 are 4 times more likely than the general population to be victims of rape, attempted rape, or sexual assault (Spence, 1997). This may contribute to the higher rates of OCD in general, and contamination OCs in particular, in women (Section 3.2.1). If this pattern is further confirmed, then it might be advisable to offer preventive OCD treatment to victims of trauma, especially sexual trauma.

Six studies specifically linked a history of unwanted sexual experiences to contamination symptoms (Peles, 2014; Waller, 2014; Ishikawa, 2015; Kennedy, 2017; Krause, 2021; Tang, 2022). Female undergraduate students' recall of unwanted sexual experiences triggered a stronger sense of being contaminated (Cohen's $d = 2.02$ $p < 0.001$) and an increased urge to wash (Cohen's $d = 0.44$ $p < 0.03$) in women who had experienced rape/attempted rape as compared those who experienced verbal or visual sexual assault (Ishikawa, 2015). In both female (Waller, 2014; Tang, 2022) and male (Kennedy, 2017) students, imagining themselves as initiators of non-consensual kiss led to mental contamination symptoms and to cleansing behaviors (Cohen's $ds > 0.53$ $p < 0.001$). Similarly, mental contamination symptoms in female students were triggered by imagining being a perpetrator in a sexual-harassment scenario (Cohen's $d=1.6–1.9$ $p < 0.001$; Krause, 2021).

In sexually abused women (Peles, 2014), rates of OCD were strongly associated with a history of opiate addiction (OR = 4.53, $p < 0.01$); the most common symptoms were contamination obsessions and cleaning compulsions. This relationship may be OCD-specific, as rates of PTSD and dissociation were higher in sexually abused women with no history of opiate addiction. Among women with a history of opiate addiction, other comorbidities and adverse life events were significantly elevated among those with OCD vs. those without; it is possible that self-medicating with opioids helped with PTSD and dissociative symptoms but exacerbated OCD.

Elevated OCD rates have also been linked to stress associated with injury or impairing illness. For example, male veterans with traumatic foot injuries show a markedly elevated prevalence of OCD (14.4%; Taghva, 2017). Similarly, Mazza et al. (2020) found elevated prevalence of psychiatric symptoms, including OCD, in COVID-19 survivors. OCD symptoms were more severe in women and individuals with a pre-existing psychiatric history (gender x psychiatric history interactions were not tested). Inflammatory markers were higher in men (Cohen's $d = 0.54 – 0.67$, $p < 0.001$); they also were positively associated with severity of OCS ($r = 0.11 – 0.12$) in pooled samples (inflammatory marker x gender interactions on OCs severity were not tested).

The COVID-19 pandemic prompted extensive investigation of obsessive–compulsive symptoms (OCS), with sex differences varying by study design and population. Cross-sectional studies, largely in general and student samples, often reported higher prevalence or severity of OCS in women, broadly consistent with non-pandemic patterns, with effects ranging from small to large (e.g., Cohen's $d \approx 0.24–1.26$; McKune, 2021; Ahmed, 2021ab; Othman, 2021). In contrast, some cross-sectional studies reported higher OCS burden in men—particularly in

general population samples from Saudi Arabia and student samples from China—though effects were typically small to moderate (Cohen's d ≈ 0.20–0.40; AlHusseini, 2021; Ji, 2020), and many studies showed null or domain-specific differences (Table A3.2). Methodological heterogeneity likely contributes to variability across findings: only Othman (2021) explicitly controlled for severity of depression, few studies adjusted for baseline symptom burden, and none systematically accounted for other comorbid psychiatric symptoms (e.g., anxiety) or resilience factors (e.g., family support).

Longitudinal studies provide a more consistent picture. Across nine studies spanning student, general population, and clinical OCD samples, overall pandemic-related changes in obsessive–compulsive symptoms (OCS) were broadly similar in men and women, with most sex × time effects small or absent ($\eta^2 \leq 0.023$; Meda, 2021; Meza, 2024; Silverman, 2024). Notable exceptions were context- and phase-specific: Chinese student samples showed stronger OCS increases in men across pandemic waves ($\beta \approx 0.38$–0.67; Ji, 2020); one general population study reported greater increases in contamination-related fears in men (OR ≈ 1.7; Samuels, 2021); and in clinical OCD samples, women exhibited slightly greater symptom worsening during the pandemic (Cohen's d ≈ 0.34–0.41; Højgaard, 2021; Bostan, 2025), accompanied by a sharper post-pandemic symptom decline in men (Cohen's d ≈ 0.34; Jelinek, 2021). Overall, longitudinal evidence suggests broadly parallel OCS trajectories following stress across sexes, with limited support for generalized sex-specific effects.

3.3.3. Course of OCD. Seven papers from the review period compared longitudinal trends in OCD symptoms in males and female, finding no differences in remission rates in adults (Marcks, 2011; Fineberg, 2013). Some longitudinal studies show sex-differentiated symptom trajectories: in children, boys more often exhibit high but remitting symptoms, whereas girls more often show

moderate but escalating symptoms (Geiger, 2024); in adults, men more frequently display episodic elevations, while women show more chronic symptom courses (Jagannathan, 2024). Others do not report significant gender differences in chronic versus remitting course of symptoms in adults (Visser, 2014) or children (Mancebo, 2014). However, Goldberg et al. (2015) contrasted the trajectory of OCD triggered by stressful life events (SLE-OCD) and OCD unrelated to any specific stressor (nonSLE-OCD) in adults. They found that a chronic course of SLE-OCD was negatively associated with familiality in both men and women, while a chronic course of non-SLE-OCD was more negatively associated with familiality in men. This suggests that gender differences in the course of the disorder might exist for non-stress-related OCD, while stress might exert similar effects in both genders.

3.3.4. Reproductive system events and conditions and their role in OCD were examined during the review period by sixty studies (Table A3.3). In a subset of women, OCD symptoms had their onset in or were exacerbated during pregnancy and postpartum (Goodman, 2014). Guglielmi et al. (2014) extended these findings to a wider range of reproductive cycle events. In a study involving 542 women from the U.S. and the Netherlands, 26.5% reported the onset of obsessive-compulsive symptoms during different reproductive phases: menarche (13%), pregnancy (5.1%), postpartum (4.7%), or menopause (3.7%). These findings align with data showing that the impact of puberty on OCD symptoms is more pronounced in girls than in boys (Barzilay, 2019). Guglielmi et al. (2014) also noted that around one-third of women with OCD experience symptom exacerbation during the premenstrual period, pregnancy, postpartum, and/or menopause. This result is largely aligned with prior reports that severity of OCs elevates during premenstrual period in approximately half of cycling women with OCD (Vulink et al., 2006). Moreira (2012) found that women who experience heightened OCD symptoms with their

menstrual cycle tended to exhibit more severe autogenous symptoms, such as aggressive, sexual, or religious obsessions. They also tended to experience adult-onset OCD and higher levels of anxiety, depression, and thoughts of suicide. These findings suggest that female reproductive hormones play a significant role in the emergence and exacerbation of OCD symptoms.

Mulligan et al. (2019) discovered a negative correlation between checking symptoms and an EEG measure of the brain's capacity to rapidly detect and evaluate errors (error-related negativity) during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, when progesterone levels peak, but not during the follicular phase, when estradiol levels peak. This suggests that progesterone may regulate the brain correlates of checking behaviors in women. In contrast, women with polycystic ovary syndrome, which is characterized by dysregulation of estrogen and deficiency in progesterone, reported higher levels of obsessive-compulsive symptoms (Cohen's $d = 0.10$, $p = 0.04$; Li, 2017) and were more frequently diagnosed with OCD ($OR = 1.8$ $p < 0.01$; Tay, 2020). Women who relied on assisted reproductive technology to conceive were also at a higher risk for OCD ($OR = 1.8$; Song, 2024). More focused research and a deeper understanding of the role of sex hormones in the onset and persistence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms is needed (see also Section 3.6).

3.3.4.1. Pregnancy and the postpartum period are periods of major hormonal shifts and of increased emotional and physical stress. OCS during this period reduce quality of parenting experience and of interactions with the baby ($d = 0.59 – 1.47$; Challacombe, 2016). Prior systematic reviews (Appendix A1) have estimated that OCD has a prevalence of 0 – 5.2% in the peripartum period, and that approximately 15% of women with OCD report onset of their first clinically significant symptoms during the peripartum period. Since 2010, 55 studies have further confirmed that the peripartum period is a time of significant risk for the development or

exacerbation of obsessive-compulsive symptoms (see Table A3.3), with the highest risk during postpartum (median antepartum prevalence of 2.9% [range = 2 – 15%] with postpartum prevalence of 5.5% [range = 3.6 – 15%]). Socio-cultural differences may contribute to apparent significant variability in prevalence estimates: from 2.0% (UK: Howard, 2018) and 2.9% (Canada: Fairbrother, 2016) to 4.9% (Turkey: Uguz, 2019) and 6.1% (Malta: Buhagiar, 2024), and all the way up to 15% (Croatia: Nakić Radoš, 2025). For instance, differences in likelihood of discontinuing pharmacotherapy for OCD during pregnancy across cultures could contribute to this heterogeneity.

Interestingly, fathers also reported elevated OCS during the peripartum period (Coelho, 2014). In contrast to women, men demonstrated higher prevalence of OCS antenatally (prevalence = 3.4%) than postpartum (prevalence = 1.8%). OCD symptoms in fathers tend to emerge when mothers experience OCD symptoms ("sympathy OCD"). Antenatal OCD in men tended to be comorbid with bipolar symptoms; postpartum OCD tended to be comorbid with both bipolar and unipolar depression. Much more research is needed to verify and clarify these patterns in men, which were described in only one study.

Shokuhi et al. (2020) developed a scale that assesses postpartum distress; scores loaded onto two distinct factors, with obsessive-compulsive symptoms separating from general distress, indicating that peripartum OCS are distinct from the general distress commonly experienced by new parents (AUC = 0.97). Fairbrother et al. (2023) demonstrated that a broadly used self-report based instrument, the Dimensional Obsessive-Compulsive Scale, DOCS (Abramowitz et al., 2010), can serve as a highly accurate screener (AUC = 0.9), especially if administered during late antepartum or between 4 and 6 months postpartum. Peripartum OC symptoms predominantly manifest as baby-related intrusive thoughts and compulsions (Barret, 2015; Fairbrother, 2023);

however, increased contamination, aggressive, and somatic obsessions and checking, cleaning, and repeating compulsions are also common (Miller, 2015). Peripartum OCS often coincide with other neuropsychiatric symptoms, such as depression (Malamela, 2019; Abramowitz, 2010; Miller, 2015; Altemus, 2012; Fairbrother, 2018; Howard, 2018), generalized anxiety (Malamela, 2019; Miller, 2015; Abramowitz, 2010), panic disorder, and social anxiety (House, 2013).

Integration of findings across studies with different methodologies suggests that OCS may particularly spike immediately following the birth (day 1), subside somewhat by week two (Yakut, 2019), gradually increase again by six months (Miller, 2013, 2015), and then remain constant (House, 2013, Abramowitz, 2010, Fairbrother, 2018). One study found that symptom presentation may evolve over the postpartum period, with hoarding symptoms evolving into washing compulsions, and neutralizing symptoms evolving into avoidance (Miller, 2024). Coping skills, such as humor, were linked to a larger decrease of OCS from day 1 to week two (Yakut, 2019). The increase of OCS from week two to month six was more pronounced in mothers without comorbid depression (this progression could potentially be linked to accumulated sleep deprivation); mothers with comorbid depression had more severe OCS that largely remained constant between week two and month six.

Risk factors for the development of OCD during the peripartum period include higher maternal age (House, 2013; Malamela, 2019; Miller, 2013), prior pregnancy-related complications or losses (House, 2013; Malamela, 2019), long gestation (Malamela, 2019), cesarian vs. vaginal delivery (House, 2013), preexisting anxiety, depression, obsessive-compulsive beliefs and trauma-related negative cognitions (Miller, 2015; House, 2016; Fairbrother, 2018; Fairbrother, 2023), ongoing family conflict (Xie, 2021b), and a history of substance use or dependence (Prevett, 2017; Osnes, 2020). Factors that are likely to be

associated with elevated perinatal stress, such as being unmarried, having fewer previous children (Miller, 2015), and insomnia and poor sleep quality (Fairbrother, 2018; Osnes, 2020) positively correlate with the severity of postpartum OCD. Despite evidence that the COVID-19 pandemic triggered significant stress in the general population, an online survey study of pregnant women (N = 3,346) prior to and during the pandemic did not show any significant worsening of pregnancy-related OCD symptoms (Xie, 2020).

Reports of the effects of pregnancy on women with preexisting OCD are highly heterogeneous. In a small study by Yakut et al. (2019, N = 37), 8.1% of OCD patients reported worsening symptoms and 51% reporting decreased OCD severity during pregnancy. In another small study by Uguz et al. (2011, N = 52), 32.7% of OCD patients reported worsening symptoms, 53.8% reported unchanged symptoms, and 13.5% reported decreased OCD symptoms. This heterogeneity may be linked not only to patients' resilience skills (Yakut, 2019; see above) but also to symptom profiles and socio-cultural environment. Additionally, it is possible that the decrease in OCD severity experienced by some patient subgroups relates to increases in progesterone levels during pregnancy, which may be linked to lower OCS (Mulligan, 2019; Li, 2017; also see Section 3.6.3). Patients with contamination and symmetry/ordering symptoms were more likely, and patients with religious obsessions were less likely, to report worsening of OCs during the peripartum period (Uguz, 2011). Guglielmi et al. (2014) contrasted women with OCD from the U.S. (N = 352) and from the Netherlands (N = 190). During pregnancy, OCD symptoms worsened in 35.3% of individuals with OCD in the U.S. and 26.5% in the Netherlands, compared to OCD symptom improvement in 8.2% in the U.S. and 26.5% in the Netherlands. Postpartum, OCD symptoms worsened in comparable

proportions of individuals with OCD in the U.S. and Netherlands (45.7% and 49.2%, respectively), with exacerbations most commonly occurring after a first pregnancy.

Preexisting OCD is a risk factor for the development of adverse perinatal outcomes (e.g., preterm and low birth weight; de la Cruz, 2023) and additional neuropsychiatric complications during the peripartum period, including postpartum depression (OR = 1.36; Johansen, 2020) and worsening anxiety symptoms (Furtado, 2019). Although such risks may partly reflect transdiagnostic effects of psychiatric illness more broadly. Kimmel et al. (2021) reported reduced high-frequency heart rate variability (HF-HRV) in pregnant women with a history of OCD, suggesting diminished parasympathetic cardiac control during pregnancy and potential vulnerability to dysregulated stress physiology. Consistent with this pattern, postpartum OCD symptoms have been associated with elevated cortisol levels and higher self-reported stress (Lord, 2010), and mothers with a history of OCD have been found to be at increased risk for negative affect toward their infants (Fairbrother, 2022; Gibbs, 2023).

The peripartum period presents both challenges and opportunities for treatment (Table A7). Challacombe et al. (2017) found intensive home-based CBT to be more effective than clinic-based treatments (d = 1.9). The burden of postpartum OCD may be reduced or even prevented by providing psychoeducation to expecting parents (Timpano, 2011; Steinman, 2025). This represents an important opportunity for prevention.

3.3.5. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological concerns:

- Prevalence estimates of OCD in children and adolescents disproportionately rely on self- or parental reports (six out of seven studies), while adult studies rarely do so (only one out of 12). This complicates comparison of prevalence rates across age groups and genders.

- Diagnosing OCD in young children is challenging, as ritualistic behaviors can be part of normal development, and OCD symptoms can be mistaken for typical developmental phases (Nakatani et al., 2011). Symptoms can also overlap with other conditions that are more prevalent in boys (Coskun et al., 2012). The reliance on self- and parental reports may introduce gendered biases in estimates of incidence, prevalence, progression, and risk factors for pediatric OCD (see also Section 3.2.1)
- Using retrospective reports to determine age of onset can introduce recall bias, which may vary by gender. Other approaches, such as event history calendars, have been effective in improving data accuracy and completeness in large-scale surveys (Drasch and Matthes, 2013).
- OCD frequently co-occurs with various other conditions that can affect its presentation and progression. There are significant inconsistencies across studies in accounting for these comorbidities, likely contributing to heterogeneity in findings. More systematic characterization of comorbidities and their impact is recommended (see also Section 3.4).

Unanswered Questions:

- Can small changes in the DSM-based cutoff levels for clinical significance alter our understanding of gendered patterns in incidence, prevalence, and age of onset?
- Do incidence or prevalence of different symptom subtypes vary by gender?
- How common is OCD in older populations? Are there any unique characteristics in OCD in this age group?
- Are there any early predictive biomarkers for OCD (e.g., elevated blood pressure, resting heart rate at earlier ages), and do they differ across genders or sexes?

- What drives the differences between men and women in impacts of traumatic experiences on OCD – variations in types of trauma, subjective experiences, or biological responses?
- How do peripartum experiences, social and biological, impact OCD in men?

Hypotheses for future research:

- Cultural and regional factors may influence diagnostic patterns; for instance, men are more likely to be diagnosed with OCD in Eastern cultures than in Western cultures.
- Antecedents of clinically significant symptoms may differ between genders: in boys, clinical OCS are more likely to emerge from subthreshold OCS; in girls, clinical OCS are more likely to evolve from non-specific anxiety symptoms.
- During puberty, subthreshold OCS either progress to clinical OCS or resolve; progression is more likely in girls. This period may represent an opportunity for intervention.
- Contamination OCS are linked to unwanted sexual experiences in both men and women; the higher prevalence of contamination OCS in women may be due to the substantially elevated rates of adverse sexual experiences in women, especially at ages 16 – 19. An elevated prevalence of contamination OCD in other groups with elevated rates of adverse sexual experiences is predicted.

3.4. Comorbid and Co-occurring Conditions

Highlights from Section 3.3 Comorbid and co-occurring conditions

Overall patterns: individuals with OCD are more predisposed to other psychiatric conditions compared to the general population, with largely similar gender ratios. There is a higher prevalence of impulse control disorders and substance use in women and of social anxiety disorder in men. Reports on gender ratio in "pure OCD" (i.e., without comorbidities) are inconsistent.

Patterns by condition: Suicidality, eating disorders, and compulsive buying are more common in women in the general population and in OCD. Bipolar disorders, hoarding, and personality disorders are similarly prevalent across genders in the general population and in OCD. Schizophrenia, psychosis, externalizing disorders, and alcohol use are more common in men in the general population and in OCD. The female bias in the general population in anxiety, depression, PTSD, and trichotillomania/skin picking and the male bias in ADHD, impulse control disorders, and SUD is reduced in OCD. Reports on gender ratios in the prevalence of tic disorders and Tourette syndrome in children and adults with OCD remain inconsistent. Other psychopathologies may reduce the male bias in children and the female bias in adults in the prevalence of OCD. Some general medical conditions may be associated with increased risk of obsessive–compulsive symptom expression, consistent with stress-related or reactive phenomena rather than sex-specific risk amplification.

Key concerns: There is high heterogeneity in assessment strategies, which complicates comparisons across studies. Whether clinical problems are primary or co-primary is underreported. There is a lack of discussion on gender ratios in comorbid or co-occurring conditions in OCD, particularly in relation to the gender ratios observed in the general population or in other anxiety spectrum disorders.

Topics for future study: There is a need for standardized methods for broadly assessing comorbid conditions without overly burdening study participants. Investigation of developmental trajectories of comorbid or co-occurring conditions alongside the progression of obsessive-compulsive symptoms in large prospective studies is needed. Interactions between OCD and comorbid symptoms is needed, particularly with respect to their impact on symptom severity, overall burden, and treatment outcomes.

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) often presents with co-occurring psychiatric symptoms and diagnoses, contributing to its clinical complexity. In a cross-sectional study of 955 adults with OCD in Brazil, only 7.7% had "pure" OCD without comorbid diagnoses (Torres 2013). In contrast, two large-scale registry studies reported lower comorbidity rates, ranging from 54% to 73% (Huang 2014; Rintala 2017). Methodological differences, such as direct interviews versus medical record reviews, as well as regional factors, may account for these

discrepancies. These factors could also lead to conflicting estimates regarding the impact of gender[4] on the presence of co-occurring conditions in both adults and children with OCD.

In children, most studies do not report significant gender differences in the presence of at least one comorbid diagnosis (F:M OR = 0.71–1.43; Huang, 2014; Vivan, 2014; Arildskov, 2016; Ortiz, 2016). However, some studies report a significant male bias (F:M OR = 0.09; $p < 0.01$; Farrel, 2012), while others report a small but significant female bias (F:M OR = 1.22, $p = 0.01$; Rintala, 2017). Girls are more likely to develop comorbid internalizing disorders (F:M OR = 3.66; Ortiz, 2016), whereas boys are more likely to have comorbid neurodevelopmental disorders (F:M OR = 0.35; Rintala, 2017), OCD-spectrum disorders (F:M OR = 0.31; Öcal, 2019), and multiple comorbidities (F:M OR = 0.46; Arildskov, 2016).

In adults, findings are similarly mixed. Two studies from Brazil observed a male bias in current comorbidity (F:M OR = 0.60 – 0.63; Torres, 2013; Torresan, 2013), while two other studies, from Taiwan and Italy, noted a female bias in lifetime comorbidity (F:M OR = 1.29 – 2; Huang 2014; Benatti 2020). One study, which used network analysis to identify specific clusters of comorbidities with OCD identified a specific subgroup cluster dominated by females, which included MDD (prevalence 21%), Dysthymia (13%), Manic Episode (8%), Hypomanic Episode (8%), Panic Disorder (21%), Agoraphobia (17%), Social Phobia (38%), PTSD (8%), Alcohol Dependence (8%), Drug Dependence (4%), Psychosis (13%), GAD (55%), Specific Phobia (33%) Body Dysmprhia (8%), Tics (8%) and Hair-Pulling (13%), however the small sample size may confound these results (total n=257, cluster n=24, Brakoulias, 2025). These inconsistencies highlight the need for further research across diverse and representative populations, and the importance of accounting for methods biases.

[4] Studies that are reviewed in this section, excluding one study by Rautio et al. (2020), rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term ‘gender’ in this section.

This section explores gender differences in the prevalence and presentation of specific comorbid symptoms and diagnoses in OCD based on results from 152 studies identified during the review period (43 in children/adolescents, 103 in adults, and 6 in both; Table A4.1). The observed gender effects on co-occurrence or comorbidity could be driven by both biological and social factors. Their interpretation depends on the research or clinical goal. Clinically, recognizing gender-specific comorbidity patterns is crucial for optimizing diagnostic and treatment practices. From a pathophysiological perspective, deviations from general population patterns are more informative than simple descriptions of comorbidity patterns. For instance, since depression is more common in girls and women in the general population, its equal prevalence among men and women with OCD would signify a noteworthy deviation, warranting further investigation. This section is structured to facilitate these comparisons (see Table 2).

Of note, comorbidity is reported in two different ways in the literature. Most studies retrieved by our search described individuals with OCD as a primary condition and reported the occurrence of other conditions. But others took the converse approach, describing individuals with another condition and reporting the occurrence of OCD within this group. Both types of studies are described here (Table A4.1, Table 2, Table 3).

3.4.1. Psychiatric Conditions with Higher Prevalence in Women in the General Population

3.4.1.1. Anxiety Disorders are more common in women in the general population (Bekker and van Mens-Verhulst, 2007); this includes generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) (Grenier et al., 2019), panic disorder (Sheikh et al., 2002), and social anxiety disorder (Ohayon and Schatzberg, 2010). Most individuals with OCD experience anxiety alongside their intrusive thoughts (Bartz and Hollander, 2006; Citkowska-Kisielewska et al., 2019; Montgomery, 1993). However, the

relationship between comorbid anxiety disorders, OCD, and gender is complex and not fully understood. These patterns may differ in pediatric and adult samples.

In pediatric OCD, most studies report equal rates of any comorbid anxiety disorders in boys and girls (Peris, 2017, N = 322; Tanidir, 2015, N = 110; Mohammadi, 2021). However, some contradictory findings exist. Rintala et al. (2017) reported a female bias in the prevalence of anxiety disorders in a large sample aged 3–25 years old (N = 3372), while Huang et al. (2014) found a male bias in a smaller sample of children aged 6–17 years old (N = 48). Generalized anxiety disorder (F:M OR = 2.4 p = 0.08) and specific phobias (F:M OR = 2.75 p = 0.03) tend to be more common in girls with OCD (Tanidir, 2015; Efe, 2022a). In a sample of 457 adolescents with OCD in Finland, the prevalence of separation anxiety, PTSD, panic disorder, social phobia, and specific phobias did not differ between males and females (Efe, 2022a).

In adults, rates of any comorbid anxiety disorder are similar in men and women with OCD (F:M OR = 0.77 – 1.21; Benatti, 2020; Huang, 2014; Torresan, 2013; Sharma, 2021; Klenfeldt, 2024). However, there are possible differences in specific diagnoses. Studies that relied on clinical interviews and medical records reported comorbid social anxiety disorder to be more prevalent in men with OCD (F:M OR = 0.21 – 0.73 $p < 0.05$; Assunção, 2012; Huang, 2014; Cherian, 2013; Torresan, 2013); however, one online study found it to be more common in women (F:M OR = 1.75 $p < 0.01$; Al Asadi 2015) and another found no difference between the sexes (Klenfeldt, 2024). Panic disorder with and without agoraphobia was reported to be more common in women with OCD (F:M OR = 1.47 – 1.53 $p < 0.05$; Torres, 2014; Klenfeldt, 2024). Reports are inconsistent about GAD (F:M OR = 1.95 $p < 0.01$ in Al-Asadi, 2015, N = 13,414; F:M OR = 0.92 p = 0.54 in Sharma, 2021, N = 867; no gender modification found in OCD for BAI scores in Kim 2022 and Klenfeldt, 2024) and specific phobias (F:M OR = 1.58 $p < 0.01$ in

N = Al-Asadi, 2015, N = 13414; F:M OR = 0.61 p = 0.42 in Rudy, 2014, N =196). A clustering analysis in 257 individuals with OCD found similar rates of anxiety comorbidity in a specific female-predominant subcluster (n=24, 13% male proportion) and another, larger subcluster with approximately equal gender distribution (Brakoulias, 2025). In aggregate, these findings suggest that OCD increases risk for social anxiety in adult men. If confirmed, this trend may help explain why men with OCD are more likely to remain unmarried (see Section 3.2.2).

3.4.1.2. Depression, including major depressive disorder (MDD), is diagnosed about twice as often in women as in men in the general population (Klein et al., 2013; Salari et al., 2020) and is more common in adults over 18 years of age (Kessler et al., 2005; Kessler et al., 2012). Reports on the prevalence of comorbid depression in OCD are mixed. Among children, some studies indicate a significant female bias in comorbid depression prevalence (F:M OR = 2.0 – 4.1 $p < 0.01$; Storch, 2012; Rintala, 2017), while others report only a non-significant trend toward female bias (F:M OR = 1.2 – 1.94, $p > 0.10$; Brown, 2015; Tanidir, 2015; Peris, 2017). Two studies of adolescent OCD found higher prevalence of MDD (F:M OR = 1.58 – 3.8; Vivan, 2014; Riddle, 2023) and significantly higher depression severity in women (Cohen's d = 0.30 p = 0.03; Vivan, 2014). In adults with OCD, three studies reported a significant increase in comorbid depression in females (F:M OR = 1.69 – 1.74 $p < 0.01$; Viswanath, 2012; Efe, 2022a) or a trend toward such a bias (F:M OR = 1.4 p = 0.2; Altıntaş, 2015), while six others found no significant gender effect (F:M OR ≅ 1.1, $p > 0.10$; Quarantini, 2011; Timpano, 2012; Al-Asadi, 2015; Kim, 2022; Hathway, 2024; Klenfeldt, 2024).

Conversely, in adults with a diagnosis of depression, obsessive-compulsive symptoms are more common in men (F:M OR = 0.36, $p < 0.01$; Hofmeijer-Sevink, 2018). Overall, on average, women with OCD appear to have a similar risk of comorbid depression as women in the general

population (mean F:M OR ≅ 2), but the female bias may be more pronounced in younger individuals. OCD may increase risk for the development of depression in adult men. This hypothesis needs to be tested in larger, balanced samples.

3.4.1.3. Suicide attempts in the general population are more common in women; however, suicide completion rates are 2–3 times higher in men (Turecki et al., 2019). OCD is associated with increased risk of suicidal ideation and behavior. Comorbid depression further amplifies this risk, particularly in women (de la Cruz, 2017; Chaudhary, 2016; Gupta, 2014). Additional comorbidities linked to elevated suicide risk include panic disorder (Tsai, 2025) and ADHD (Chen, 2024), whereas Tourette syndrome (Benatti, 2020) and autism spectrum disorders (Chen, 2024) appear to confer greater risk in men. Although several studies report no higher suicide risk among women with OCD (Demirkol, 2019; Benatti, 2021; Chen, 2024), others indicate that women are more likely than men to experience suicidal ideation, attempt suicide, or die by suicide (F:M OR = 1.45–19.33, $p < 0.01$; Chaudhary, 2016; Velloso, 2016; de la Cruz, 2017; Breet, 2019; Wei, 2025). These sex differences may partly reflect the higher prevalence of comorbid depression among women with OCD.

3.4.1.4. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) tends to be more common in women in both civilian and military populations (Lehavot et al., 2018; Schein et al., 2021). The co-occurrence of PTSD and OCD is understudied. One study in adults with OCD replicated female bias in the prevalence of PTSD among civilians (F:M OR = 2.58 $p < 0.01$, Al-Asadi, 2015). Other studies in civilian samples of children and adults find a similarly low prevalence of PTSD in both genders (F:M OR = 0.26 – 1.36 $p > 0.20$; Vivan, 2014; Huang, 2014; Walter, 2022). In a small study from Israel of individuals with PTSD, 41% of participants were also diagnosed as having current OCD, with no significant differences between genders (Nacasch, 2011; N = 44).

3.4.1.5. Eating disorders (EDs) include anorexia nervosa (AN), bulimia nervosa (BN), binge eating disorder (BED), orthorexia, and related conditions. AN and BN often involve binge eating and purging. In the general population, AN and BN are more common in women, while subthreshold BED is more frequent in men (Hoek, 2006; Striegel-Moore and Bulik, 2007; Striegel□Moore et al., 2009). The prevalence of "any binge eating" is similar across genders (F:M OR ≈ 1.2).

ED symptoms tend to be elevated in both men and women with OCD (Bang, 2020), and the severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms (OCS) correlates with the severity of ED symptoms similarly in both genders in adolescents (Schneider 2018). In pediatric OCD samples, eating disorders (ED) appear to be more prevalent in girls (F:M OR = 5.66, $p < 0.01$; Rintala, 2017). However, severity patterns may differ by sex: one study reported that male adolescents with ED exhibit greater obsessive–compulsive symptom severity ($p = 0.012$; Riva, 2023). In contrast, among adults, EDs, and specifically AN and BN, are similarly prevalent in men and women (F:M OR ≈ 1; Al-Asadi, 2015; Benatti, 2020). No study during the review period investigated whether the reversal of the gender differences in prevalence of EDs in the general population around the clinical cut-off levels is also observed in individuals with OCD. Interestingly, Strobel (2019) reported that in AN and BN patients undergoing ED-focused inpatient treatment, obsessive-compulsive symptom severity decreased in both men (Cohen's $d = 0.59$, $p < 0.001$) and women (Cohen's $d = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$), with a stronger treatment response observed in men (ΔOCI-R × M $\eta^2 = 0.02$, $p < 0.05$).

Five studies in children and five in adults report a significant association between OCD and eating disorders (ED) in women, although the specific OCD symptom profiles vary across ED subtypes. In girls with EDs—particularly the binge/purge subtype of anorexia nervosa—

obsessive–compulsive symptoms are more severe than in those without EDs (Brooks, 2014; Blachno, 2016; Lewis, 2018; Tyszkiewicz-Nwafor, 2021; De Mey, 2025). In adult women, OCD severity correlates positively with ED severity, with higher symptom scores observed in patients with ED compared with healthy controls (Amianto, 2021; Halls, 2023). Moreover, treatment-related reductions in OCD symptoms are accompanied by decreases in ED severity (Lee, 2018; 2020). Impulse-related symptoms on the Padua Inventory (Burns et al., 1996) are higher in individuals with AN binge/purge subtype and BN compared to those with AN without binge eating (Claes, 2020). Neutralizing symptoms are notably elevated in women with AN (Cohen's d = 0.47, p = 0.053; Bang, 2019). A latent class analysis in a female sample with anorexia nervosa identified a subtype characterized by high compulsivity and increased OCD comorbidity (OR = 5.6 [95% CI, 1.77–17.71], p < 0.01; Lavender, 2014). Women with eating disorders who engage in non-suicidal self-injury also exhibit elevated obsessive–compulsive symptoms (Claes, 2021). Together, these findings point to shared compulsive and impulsive traits across OCD and EDs, underscoring the importance of integrated approaches to understanding and treating these conditions.

3.4.1.6. Compulsive buying disorder is more common in females in the general population (Maraz et al., 2016). OCD increased risk for compulsive buying disorder more in women than in men (F:M OR = 2.56 – 2.8 p < 0.01; Torresan, 2013; Kim, 2018). In individuals with a diagnosis of compulsive buying, there was a non-significant trend toward a male bias in prevalence of OCD (F:M OR = 0.49 p = 0.20; De Mattos, 2016).

3.4.1.7. Trichotillomania (TTM), excoriation disorder (SPD), and other grooming disorders are about three times more common in females than males in the general population (Chamberlain et al., 2007; Wilhelm et al., 1999). Among individuals with OCD or a family history of OCD, a

smaller female bias is observed for TTM (F:M OR = 1.08 – 1.46 $p < 0.05$; Torresan, 2013; Gerstenblith, 2019), SPD (F:M OR = 2.61 $p < 0.01$; Torresan, 2013), and grooming disorders more generally (F:M OR = 2.27 $p < 0.01$; Lovato, 2012). This may suggest that OCD increases risk for TTM in men, which should be tested in future studies. In adults diagnosed with TTM, OCD is similarly prevalent in men and women (Grant, 2016).

Overall, many disorders that are more common in women generally are also more prevalent in women with OCD. However, the presence of OCD disproportionately increases the risk of social phobia, trichotillomania, and depression in men. While OCD raises the risk of suicidal ideation and attempts in both genders, comorbid depression exacerbates this risk in women, and Tourette syndrome does so in men. Gender differences in eating disorder prevalence in OCD remain unclear, especially around the clinical cut-off levels. OCS severity correlates with ED severity in both genders, but men show greater OCS reduction after inpatient anorexia treatment. Reports on gender effects on comorbid anxiety disorders in OCD are highly variable. PTSD co-occurrence with OCD is understudied and likely underestimated.

3.4.2. Psychiatric Conditions with Similar Prevalence in Women and Men in the General Population

3.4.2.1. Bipolar disorder (BD) has similar prevalence in men and women in the general population, though onset may occur later in women, with symptom presentation differing by gender (Kennedy et al., 2005; Kessing, 2004; Parial, 2015; Suominen et al., 2009). Among individuals with OCD, studies report mixed findings. In children with OCD, BD shows a significant female bias (F:M OR = 1.8, $p = 0.003$, N = 3372; Rintala, 2017), while adult studies report either a non-significant female bias (F:M OR = 1.25–1.5, $p > 0.10$; Timpano, 2012; Huang, 2014) or similar prevalence (F:M OR = 0.85; Torresan, 2013). In BD-I, a large study

noted a significant female bias (F:M OR = 2.5, $p = 0.027$, N = 736; Saunders, 2012), while smaller studies reported non-significant trends (F:M OR = 1.7, $p = 0.28$, $N \leq 174$; Kazhungil, 2017; Kim, 2014). Conversely, a large-scale study of individuals with any BD diagnosis (BD-I, BD-II, or unspecified BD) found a significant male bias for OCD (F:M OR = 0.61 $p < 0.01$; Di Salvo, 2020). These findings suggest potential links between OCD and BPD-I in women and BPD-II in men that warrant further research.

3.4.2.2. Body dysmorphic disorder (BDD) occurs equally in men and women in the general population (Koran et al., 2008; Taqui et al., 2008) and in adults with OCD (F:M OR = 0.83–0.94; Costa, 2012; Torresan, 2013). However, one online study reported a higher prevalence of BDD among women with clinically significant self-reported obsessive–compulsive symptoms (F:M OR = 2.9, $p < 0.01$; Al-Asadi, 2015). In contrast, another study found similar BDD prevalence across sexes among adolescents with and without OCD (F:M OR = 0.87, $p = 1.00$; Racz, 2022). In children, OCD symptoms correlate similarly with BDD interference/avoidance symptoms in both genders, but other BDD symptoms correlate more strongly in girls (Spearman ρ: girls = 0.32, boys = 0.26; Fisher $p = 0.038$; Schneider, 2018). OCD prevalence in children with BDD appears similar across genders (Rautio, 2020; Schneider, 2019; Rautio, 2022). A twin study in women found significant genetic overlap between OCD and BDD (polychoric correlation = 0.34; Monzani, 2012). In young adult women, OCD significantly increases BDD risk (OR = 7.2, $p < 0.01$), a pattern not observed in adolescents (Enander, 2018).

3.4.2.3. Hoarding disorder (HD) occurs equally in men and women in the general population (Postlethwaite et al., 2019). Among adults with OCD, most studies also report similar HD comorbidity rates across genders (Chen, 2017; Samuels, 2018; Boerema, 2019), though contradictory reports exist. A twin study found higher HD prevalence in men with OCD (F:M

OR = 0.5 $p < 0.01$; Mathews, 2014), whereas another study found that elevated HD symptoms were more common in women with OCD (F:M OR = 1.41 – 2.13 $p < 0.01$; Torres, 2012; Cath, 2017). In individuals with HD, comorbid OCD is more common in men (F:M OR = 0.59 $p < 0.01$; Mathews, 2014). Women with comorbid OCD and HD are more likely to report dysfunctional maternal care (Chen, 2017) and greater executive function difficulties (Samuels, 2018). Thus, while the gender balance of HD comorbidity in OCD remains unclear, converging evidence suggests that women may be more severely affected by this comorbidity.

3.4.2.4. Personality disorders, including borderline personality disorder (BPD) and obsessive-compulsive personality disorder (OCPD), have similar prevalence in men and women in the general population (Clemente et al., 2022; Friborg et al., 2013; Silberschmidt et al., 2015). In OCD, studies report equal prevalence of OCPD and other personality disorders in men and women with OCD (Lochner, 2011; Benatti, 2020). In individuals with borderline personality disorder, OCD comorbidity rates are also similar across genders (Silberschmidt, 2015; Efe, 2022a).

In summary, conditions with similar prevalence across genders in the general population generally show comparable gender balance in individuals with OCD, though findings for bipolar and hoarding disorders are inconsistent. Emerging evidence suggests that women may be more severely affected by comorbid bipolar, hoarding, and body dysmorphic disorders.

3.4.3. Psychiatric Conditions with Higher Prevalence in Men in the General Population

3.4.3.1. Schizophrenia is more common in men in the general population (Ochoa et al., 2012). A history of OCD significantly increases the risk of developing schizophrenia (HR = 30.2, $p < 0.001$), with men at greater risk than women (F:M HR = 0.63, $p < 0.01$; Cheng, 2019). Among individuals with OCD, adult men show a higher prevalence of comorbid schizophrenia and

schizotypal traits (F:M OR = 0.09–0.67, $p < 0.05$; Huang, 2011; Huang, 2014), mirroring general population trends. However, in children, schizophrenia prevalence is similar across genders (F:M OR = 1.25, $p > 0.20$; Huang, 2014). In adults with schizophrenia, findings on gender differences in OCD comorbidity are mixed. Five studies reported a higher prevalence in men (F:M OR = 0.16–0.49; Baek, 2020; Devi, 2015; Jelsma, 2025; Shoaib, 2023; Temircan, 2021), four found roughly equal prevalence (F:M OR = 1.02–1.23, $p > 0.30$; Faragian, 2012; Bener, 2018; Kokurcan, 2020; Taha, 2024), and one noted a trend toward female bias (F:M OR = 1.23 [95% CI, 0.94–1.60]; Bosanac, 2013). Furthermore, while clozapine, a unique antipsychotic drug used to treat refractory schizophrenia, is associated with increased risk of developing comorbid OCD compared to haloperidol, this risk was significant for both sexes (M OR = 3.07, p=0.003; F OR = 2.46, p=0.047). In adolescents, one study reported that male children with OCD are more likely to progress to a schizophrenia diagnosis than females (OR=1.23, Chen, 2023).

3.4.3.2. Psychotic symptoms may appear outside of a formal diagnosis of schizophrenia. In the general population, rates of psychosis are higher in men than in women (Castillejos et al., 2018). In children and young adults with OCD, male patients have been reported to be more likely to have a comorbid psychotic disorder in one study (F:M OR = 0.71 $p < 0.01$; Rintala, 2017) , while others suggest approximately equal vulnerability to psychosis among the sexes (OR=1.10 – 1.15 $p > 0.10$; Borelli, 2023;, Mohammadi, 2021). Among adults with OCD, psychosis was found to be similarly present in men and women (F:M OR = 1.03; Benatti, 2020) and clinical high risk psychosis patients of both sexes showed equal prevalence of comorbid OCD (West, 2025). Sullivan et al. (2018) examined psychotic patients and found that men were more likely to exhibit OCD-like symptoms than women with stronger difference in younger and older groups (age < 44 F:M OR = 0.36 – 0.57; age > 55 F:M OR = 0.49). On the other hand, Hagen et al.

(2013) examined young patients with first-episode psychosis (age = 25 ± 10) and found a non-significant trend toward female bias (F:M OR = 1.93 p = 0.11).

3.4.3.3. Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is nearly four times more common in men than women in the general population (Giarelli et al., 2010; Loomes et al., 2017; Nordahl, 2023), though diagnostic practices and tools may underestimate autism in women (Brugha et al., 2016). Among children with OCD, most studies find that ASD symptoms and diagnosis are more common in boys (F:M OR = 0.2–0.4 $p < 0.01$; Arildskov, 2016; Martin, 2020; Rintala, 2017; Zijlmans, 2017; Efe, 2022). Boys with OCD and ASD show greater symptom severity for both conditions (Arildskov, 2016). Among adults, a smaller study suggested only a non-significant trend toward higher ASD prevalence in men with OCD (F:M OR = 0.6, p = 0.25; Mito, 2014).

Research on OCD prevalence in individuals with ASD reports inconsistent patterns. For example, Margari et al. (2019) found a non-significant trend toward higher OCD prevalence in boys with ASD (F:M OR = 0.14, p = 0.08, N = 164), attributing the underdiagnosis in girls to socially acceptable restrictive interests and behaviors. Conversely, Martin et al. (2020) reported a higher prevalence of autistic traits among girls in a UK pediatric sample (F:M OR = 1.7, $p < 0.001$, N = 6,912), and Rødgaard (2021) reported a higher proportion of girls with OCD in an adolescent Danish OCD cohort (F:M OR = 1.39, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, a latent class analysis of comorbidity patterns in patients with OCD identified a specific OCD–ASD cluster that was more prevalent in females (Smárason, 2024).

3.4.3.4. Tic disorders (TD), including *Tourette syndrome (TS),* are up to four times more common in boys than girls in the general population (Gagnon et al., 2024; Knight et al., 2012). In individuals with OCD, eleven studies similarly found TD comorbidity to be significantly more common in males, both in youth (F:M OR = 0.10–0.55, $p < 0.01$; Nikolajsen, 2011; Vivan, 2014;

Højgaard, 2016; Arildskov, 2016; Rintala, 2017; Efe, 2022a) and adults (F:M OR = 0.2–0.69, $p < 0.05$; Torresan, 2013; Vries, 2016; Rintala, 2017; Ekinci, 2020; Brander, 2019). However, other studies reported a non-significant male bias in tic disorder (TD) comorbidity among children with OCD (F:M OR = 0.50–0.90, $p \geq 0.17$; Peris, 2017; Tanidir, 2015) and similar prevalence across sexes in adults (F:M OR = 0.82–1.47; Pinto, 2016; Benatti, 2020; Mukai, 2021). Two studies likewise reported comparable OCD prevalence between sexes among adolescents with tic disorders (OR = 0.86–1.06; Greenberg, 2018; Girgis, 2022). Tourette syndrome was more common in men with OCD only at a trend level (F:M OR = 0.72, $p = 0.19$; Torresan, 2013), and the presence of comorbid ADHD further increased the male predominance (Hakimi, 2022). In patients with TDs, comorbid OCD was reported to be more common in women than in men (Pinto, 2016; Dy-Hollins 2024). Rodgers et al. (2014) identified a subgroup of TD patients with elevated OCD symptoms; this class only included women, but their small sample size (N = 80) limited conclusions. Another study found that non–tic-related impairment in adolescents with tic disorders predicted greater OCD severity in females (Larsh, 2023), and that compulsions predicted tic onset specifically in girls (Openeer, 2022).

3.4.3.5. Externalizing disorders such as attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), conduct disorder (CD), and oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) are more prevalent in boys in the general population (Bauermeister et al., 2007; Maughan et al., 2004; Waschbusch and Willoughby, 2008). Similarly, among children with OCD, most studies report a male bias in prevalence of CD (F:M OR = 0.7, $p = 0.04$; Rintala, 2017), ODD (F:M OR = 0.3, $p = 0.036$; Tanidir, 2015), or any externalizing disorder (F:M OR = 0.24 – 0.60, $p < 0.08$; Peris, 2017; Zijlmans, 2017; Ortiz, 2016; Mohammadi, 2021). Several studies report a significant male bias in ADHD comorbidity among patients with OCD (F:M OR = 0.04–0.43, $p < 0.01$; Rintala, 2017;

Arildskov, 2016; Pinto, 2016; Efe, 2022b), whereas others observe only a non-significant trend (F:M OR = 0.50–0.70, $p > 0.6$; Tanidir, 2015; Vivan, 2014). Using latent class analysis, Zijlmans et al. (2017) identified a subgroup of children with elevated OCD symptoms characterized by high rates of ADHD and ODD comorbidity; this subgroup was predominantly male (F:M OR = 0.2, $p < 0.005$). Interestingly, studies examining ADHD cohorts suggest the reverse pattern: OCD appears to be more prevalent among girls with ADHD (F:M OR = 1.7, $p = 0.04$; Inci, 2019), although obsessive–compulsive symptom severity may be greater in boys ($d = 0.24$, $p = 0.03$; De Rossi, 2022).

Fewer studies have examined these conditions in adults, but those that have shown similar patterns. ADHD is more common in men than in women (Faheem et al., 2022). In adult OCD patients, comorbid ADHD either appears similarly across genders (F:M OR = 0.9, $p = 0.96$; Blanco-Vieira, 2019) or shows a male bias (F:M OR = 0.43 $p < 0.01$; Pinto, 2016). In adult ADHD patients, OCD is more common in men (F:M OR = 0.7; Pinto 2016).

3.4.3.5. Substance use disorders (SUDs) are more common in men in the general population, but women with SUDs often present with a more vulnerable clinical profile (Fonseca et al., 2021; Higgins et al., 2015; Lee, 2024). OCD increases SUD risk, with a stronger effect observed in women (OR = 7.52 [95% CI, 3.11–18.18]) than in men (OR = 3.32 [95% CI, 1.67–6.61]; Blom, 2011; Jacobs, 2025). This may help explain why overall substance use disorder (SUD) prevalence appears comparable between men and women with OCD (Al-Asadi, 2015; Benatti, 2020; Virtanen, 2022), as well as between boys and girls (Mohammadi, 2021).

Differences may exist in specific substance use patterns. Cigarette smoking, while overall less prevalent in OCD patients than in the general population (Abramovitch et al., 2015; Abramovitch et al., 2014), is more common in women with OCD than men (F:M OR = 0.3, $p <$

0.001; Dell'Osso, 2015). Conversely, alcohol use disorder (AUD) is more common in men with OCD than in women (F:M OR = 0.31–0.35, $p < 0.01$; Torresan, 2013; Al-Asadi, 2015). Use of stimulants, opioids, sedatives, and cannabis appears similarly prevalent across genders in OCD (Al-Asadi, 2015, Benatti, 2022).

Among adults with SUD or AUD, OCD prevalence is comparable between genders (F:M OR = 0.93–0.96; Blom, 2011; Al-Asadi, 2015). However, in adolescents with SUD, a female bias in OCD prevalence has been reported. For instance, in one study, adolescents with AUD show a significantly higher OCD prevalence in girls in one study (F: M OR = 2.7, $p < 0.001$; Harford, 2015) and a trend in the same direction in another (F:M OR = 1.8, $p = 0.16$; Fernández-Artamendi, 2021). Similarly, cannabis use in adolescents has been linked to higher OCD prevalence in girls (F:M = 6.9 $p < 0.01$; Fernández-Artamendi, 2021).

3.4.3.6. Impulse control disorders (ICDs) are more common in boys and men in the general population (Leclercq and Corvol, 2024). In children with OCD, prevalence of any impulse control disorder is similar in boys and girls (F:M OR = 0.77 $p > 0.20$; Vivian, 2014). Among adults with OCD, one study reported higher lifetime ICD prevalence — including compulsive sexual behavior disorder (CSBD), pyromania, kleptomania, and intermittent explosive disorder (IED)—in men (F:M OR = 0.26 – 0.57; Fuss, 2019). In contrast, another study found a higher prevalence of ICDs in women (F:M OR = 1.34, $p < 0.05$), though the prevalence of specific ICDs did not significantly between genders (Torresan, 2013).

Pathological gambling in adults with OCD is predominantly observed in men (F:M OR = 0.05–0.08; Al-Asadi, 2015; Fuss, 2019). However, among individuals with pathological gambling, OCD prevalence is similar across genders (Al-Asadi, 2015).

Internet addiction is more common in men in the general population (J Kuss et al., 2014). OCD appears to increase the risk of internet addiction similarly in both sexes (men: $d = 0.66$, $p < 0.001$; women: $d = 0.93$, $p < 0.001$; Potembska, 2019). In addition, women seeking treatment for problematic internet use were more likely to have comorbid OCD ($p = 0.016$; Machado, 2022). Addiction to video games is more prevalent in men with OCD than in women (F:M OR = 0.16, $p < 0.001$; Torresan, 2013), reflecting general population trends. Additionally, among men in addiction treatment centers, internet addiction severity is positively correlated with OCD symptom severity ($d = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$; Wölfling, 2013).

Overall, psychiatric conditions more prevalent in men in the general population, such as schizophrenia, psychotic disorders, ASD, externalizing disorders, and impulse control disorders, also tend to show a male bias among OCD patients. However, certain conditions, such as SUD (excluding AUD) and smoking, may have greater relative risk in women with OCD. Results related to comorbid tic disorders and Tourette syndrome remain inconsistent and warrant more research.

3.4.5. Prevalence of OCD in individuals with other primary diagnoses.

OCD is more common in women than in men overall, but early-onset OCD diagnosis is more common in men (see Section 3.3.1). However, as Table 3 illustrates, in individuals with other psychopathologies as the primary condition, these overall prevalence patterns may be altered. For instance, in children with ADHD and in adolescents who use cannabis, girls are more likely to have an OCD diagnosis than boys. On the other hand, in adults with diagnoses of depression, hoarding disorders, schizophrenia, or ADHD, men are more likely to have comorbid OCD diagnosis than women. Additionally, high levels of stress and daily migraines are linked to

OCD more strongly in men than in women. Why these psychopathologies impact risks for development of OCD differently in men and women remains unclear.

3.4.6. OCD and Physical Health

Psychiatric disorders, including OCD, frequently co-occur with general medical conditions (De Hert et al., 2011a; De Hert et al., 2011b; De Hert et al., 2009; Scott et al., 2007). During the review period, forty studies (10 pediatric, 26 adult, and 4 pooled samples) examined whether the prevalence or clinical expression of these medical conditions differed by sex among individuals with OCD (Table 3, Table A4.2). Twenty studies focused on sex differences in physical health conditions within OCD samples, while 19 examined sex differences in OCD prevalence or symptom severity. Characterizing these co-occurrences clarifies the added medical burden associated with OCD and may identify shared or interacting mechanisms underlying their co-expression. Across studies, women with OCD were more likely than men to report general medical conditions (e.g., Aguglia, 2017; Holmberg, 2024), although sex differences varied by condition. Some patterns mirrored sex differences observed in the general population, whereas others diverged.

3.4.6.1. Autoimmune diseases are substantially more prevalent in women than men in the general population: F:M OR ≈2–4:1 (Fairweather et al., 2008). In OCD, however, this female predominance was attenuated and varied across conditions. Rheumatic fever was only modestly more common in women than men with OCD (Westwell-Roper, 2019), whereas Sjögren's syndrome occurred at similarly elevated rates in men and women with OCD relative to the general population (Chang, 2021a). In pediatric OCD, IgA deficiency showed a male-specific association, with elevated odds in boys but not girls (Williams et al., 2019). Finally, population-based and clinical studies of systemic autoimmune disease and juvenile idiopathic arthritis

reported qualitatively elevated OCD risk in both sexes without statistically significant sex differences (Wang, 2019; Li, 2023b). Together, these findings suggest that autoimmune–OCD associations are condition-specific and may involve sex-dependent mechanisms.

3.4.6.2. Vascular and cardiometabolic conditions. Risk for vascular disorders was similarly elevated in men and women with OCD relative to the general population (HR ≈ 1.37; Isomura, 2016; Holmberg, 2024). In contrast, risk for vascular dementia was elevated only in women with OCD (HR_F = 4.76 [95% CI, 1.54–14.74]; Chen, 2021b). Cardiometabolic conditions and hypertension were 2–2.5 times more common in men than women with OCD (Holmberg, 2024), whereas elevated heart rate was similarly prevalent in both sexes (Jüres, 2024). In men, elevated resting heart rate and blood pressure at age 18 predicted later OCD diagnosis (Latvala et al., 2016); whether this reflects enduring physiological vulnerability or situational anxiety remains unclear, and comparable longitudinal data in women are lacking.

3.4.6.3. Neurological conditions. Across neurological conditions, sex differences in OCD prevalence and expression often diverged from general-population patterns, in which OCD is typically more prevalent in women (see Section 3.3.1). In adults with temporal lobe or idiopathic epilepsy, OCD prevalence was similar in men and women (Kilicaslan, 2020; Hamed, 2013). In contrast, OCD prevalence was elevated and male-predominant in migraine, particularly in daily migraine, with substantially higher predictive positive values in men than women (PPV_M = 29.9 vs. PPV_F=3.2; Goulart, 2014; Viticchi, 2022), despite the fact that the female predominance of migraine among individuals with OCD mirrored general-population patterns (Holmberg, 2024). In Parkinson's disease, obsessive–compulsive symptom severity was similarly elevated in men and women (Saadat, 2020). Together, these departures from the usual female predominance in adult OCD suggest disorder-specific effects, potentially reflecting stress-related or

neurobiological changes associated with chronic neurological illness. Consistent with this interpretation, OCD symptoms normalized following effective migraine treatment, with greater improvement observed in women (Viticchi, 2022). Notably, however, a large population-based study reported a markedly elevated risk of Alzheimer's disease exclusively in men with OCD (Chen, 2021b), underscoring the gender- and condition-specific nature of OCD–neurological disease associations.

3.4.6.4. Cancer. Among actively treated pediatric cancer patients, elevated OCs were highly prevalent and comparable across sexes (boys: 20.8%; girls: 21.1%), ranking among the most common psychiatric symptoms during treatment (Zahed, 2020). In contrast, a large registry-based study of childhood cancer survivors assessed in adolescence and young adulthood reported a low prevalence of diagnosed OCD (0.34%), with a higher proportion of cases in females than males (female vs. male RR = 1.39; DeWitt, 2025), consistent with the postpubertal female predominance of OCD in the general population and not indicative of prevalence enrichment. Similarly, among older adult cancer patients, women reported greater OCD symptom severity than men (İnci, 2024). Together, these findings suggest that acute cancer-related stress is associated with transient OC symptom expression during treatment, whereas diagnosed OCD is infrequently captured in survivorship cohorts, likely reflecting under-ascertainment and developmental timing rather than selective survival or cancer-specific causal effects.

3.4.6.5. Other conditions. Several conditions were examined in only one or two studies, limiting the strength of inferences. Across these conditions, OCD was often associated with elevated prevalence that was proportional in men and women, preserving underlying gender differences—or their absence. This pattern was observed for sleep problems (Ivarsson, 2015;

Nabinger de Diaz, 2019) and bladder conditions (Westwell-Roper, 2022; Rezaeimehr, 2022). In contrast, OCD comorbidity showed no female bias in cohorts with restless legs syndrome, cerebral palsy, and juvenile idiopathic arthritis, diverging from the female predominance observed in adult OCD in general (Ghorayeb, 2019; Mazurie, 2024; Li, 2023b; Bhatnagar, 2025). OC symptom severity was more strongly associated with misophonia severity in women than in men (Herdi, 2024). Risk for weight gain and obesity was comparable to the general population in both sexes (Isomura, 2016; Husky, 2018).

Overall, when OCD prevalence exceeds population expectations in both women and men within a given medical condition—particularly in a severity- or subtype-dependent manner, and with preservation or systematic alteration of female–male patterns—this finding is consistent with the medical condition acting as a vulnerability context for OCD. Such patterns likely reflect a combination of shared pathophysiology, illness burden, neurobiological stress, and ascertainment effects rather than a single sex-specific causal mechanism.

3.4.7. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological Concerns:

- Comorbidity measurements from self-report (or parent report), clinical assessments, and medical records are unlikely to align.
- Most studies are cross-sectional; more attention needs to be given to developmental trajectories. For instance, while autism and ADHD comorbidities are primarily diagnosed in male pediatric patients, there is evidence that this distribution equalizes in adults, suggesting that either male patients “outgrow” their conditions or that more female patients are diagnosed as they age.

- Only a few studies focused on the specific symptoms or other characteristics of comorbid conditions, and how these might interact with OCD.
- Many physical health studies relied on registry or claims data, which may under-ascertain OCD diagnoses or obscure sex differences in symptom expression and clinical thresholds.

Unanswered Questions:

- What drives differences in gender distribution in co-occurring conditions in the presence of OCD, compared to the same conditions absent OCD comorbidity (e.g. higher prevalence of SUD in women or higher prevalence of social anxiety disorder in men)?
- When OCD co-occurs with medical conditions, under what circumstances are typical sex differences preserved, attenuated, or reversed, and what does this imply about shared vulnerability versus disorder-specific mechanisms?
- Do OCD and comorbidities interact to impact symptom severity and dimensions differently in males and females?
- Are there any differences in the temporal relationship between OCD and comorbidities across genders? Does gender impact the OCD predisposition to a comorbidity, does the comorbidity predispose different genders to OCD differently, or are there gender differences in shared underlying risk factors, such as neuroticism or stress? How can these be leveraged in treatment selection?

Hypotheses for Future Research:

- OCD increases risk for social anxiety disorder in men and for impulse control disorders and substance use (excluding alcohol) in women.
- OCD comorbid with neurodevelopmental disorders (e.g., tic disorders, ADHD, ASD) is phenomenologically different across gender by age groups.

- OCD comorbid with trauma and stress-related disorders (anxiety, depression, PTSD) is phenomenologically different across gender by age groups.
- When OCD co-occurs with general medical conditions, obsessive–compulsive symptoms may reflect stress-related or reactive symptom expression that is not sex-specific and may attenuate as the underlying medical condition improves.

Highlights from Section 3.5 Human Genetics and Family Risk

State of knowledge and key concerns: There are few genome-wide studies that examine associations between genetic variants across the entire genome and OCD risk across sexes. Family-based designs (e.g. twin studies, parental age, and parental phenotype studies) offer only indirect evidence of possible differences in genetic risk factors for OCD.

Genome-wide studies (only four over the period of more than 10 years): Possible role for certain genetic factors that may differentially impact OCD in men and women.

Family-based designs: The risk of OCD is higher in male:male relative pairs. Obsessions/incompleteness and numbers/luck obsessions are significantly more heritable in males only. There are contradictory findings on the roles of paternal versus maternal age. There is a higher prevalence of autoimmune conditions in biological mothers of children with OCD than in women in the general population.

Future studies: More and larger genome-wide studies of risk for OCD are needed and should be analyzed separately in males and females. There may be differences in genetic contributions in child-onset versus adult-onset OCD; consequently, polygenic risk for OCD should be analyzed in child-onset and adult-onset groups separately. Genome-wide analyses of risk for different OCD subtypes (based on OCS, comorbidity profile, or stress-relatedness) should be performed in males versus

3.5 Human Genetics and Family Risk

Human genetics research holds great promise for better understanding gender differences observed in epidemiological and clinical studies of OCD, by identifying specific underlying genetic causes that can be further probed in mechanistic research. During the review period, 89

human genetic studies were identified examining sex[5] and gender differences in OCD (see Table A5); these include family, twin, candidate gene, genome-wide, and parental age studies, among others.

3.5.1 Family studies

Decades of studies have demonstrated the familial transmission of OCD. Seven national registry studies in Sweden, Denmark, and Taiwan as well as one clinical sample study in India examined the role of gender in transmission of OCD risk during the review period. Steinhausen et al. (2013) examined 2,057 pediatric onset OCD cases, first-degree relatives, and 5,055 matched controls, showing that both paternal and maternal OCD were risk factors for OCD in the probands (father OR = 34.04, $p < 0.01$; mother OR = 11.21 $p < 0.002$). Mataix-Cols et al. (2013) examined 24,768 individuals with OCD, relatives, and matched controls, finding no differences in the familial effects by gender of the probands or their relatives. Kendler et al. (2023) examined 2,413,128 offspring from 4 different family types (intact, not-lived-with biological fathers, lived-with stepfathers, and adoptive) to estimate sources of parent-offspring resemblance. For OCD, the best-estimate correlation for genes plus rearing was 0.19 (95% CI 0.17-0.21) for mothers and 0.19 (95% CI 0.17-0.22) for fathers. The correlation attributable to genetic effects only was 0.26 (95% CI 0.08-0.44) for mothers and 0.17 (95% CI 0.10-0.24) for fathers. The rearing-only correlation for fathers with 0.04 (−0.10-0.19), with insufficient data to estimate this effect for mothers. This suggests similar maternal and paternal transmission of OCD risk.

Zhou et al. (2023) found that parental general and specific psychopathology factors showed similar associations with OCD in both male and female offspring in a sample of

[5] Genotyping studies will often confirm reported sex/gender by examining sex chromosomes; since this assesses genotypic sex, rather than self-reported gender, we use the term ‘sex’ in this section.

2,947,703 individuals. Similarly, Hsu et al. (2024) reported comparable elevations in parental mental disorders among male and female probands with OCD in a cohort of 69,819 patients with schizophrenia, OCD, and both conditions. Together, these studies indicate that parental psychiatric risk does not differ meaningfully by offspring sex in OCD. Brander et al. (2021) compared 1257 individuals with tic-related OCD and 20,975 with non-tic related OCD and showed that overall the risk of OCD in relatives of individuals with tic-related OCD was greater than risk of OCD in relatives with non-tic-related OCD (HR in full siblings 10.63 [7.92 – 14.27], vs 4.52. [4.06 – 5.02], p<.0001). Given that tic-related OCD is more common in males, they also preformed gender stratified analyses looking at all combinations of siblings; the hazard ratio was higher for tic-related OCD across all combinations, suggesting greater familiality. Interestingly, the risk of OCD was also higher in male:male pairs than other combinations in relatives of non-tic related OCD compared to controls. In addition, Balachander et al. (2021) examined 330 individuals with OCD from 153 families to assess familial patterns of symptom dimensions and found that sex-concordant pairs showed greater concordance irrespective of relationship type.

Lin et al. (2022) compared 40,462 first-degree relatives of individuals with lupus erythematosus (SLE) with 80,536 matched controls and found that female first-degree relatives had higher rates of OCD than males (female RR 1.46, 95% CI 1.17-1.82; male RR 1.00, 95% 0.48-2.07). A higher incidence of OCD in female relatives may suggest sex-specific shared mechanisms or that female relatives are more sensitive to the stress of having a family member with SLE.

3.5.2 Twin studies

Twin studies are a powerful approach for understanding the distinct roles of genetic and environmental factors in the development of OCD. Of the seven twin studies that examined sex

differences, five (Bolhuis, 2014, Pinto, 2016, Lopez-Sola, 2014, Zohao, 2014, Krebs, 2015) reported no sex differences in the role of genetic factors in obsessive-compulsive symptoms and behaviors, consistent with the family studies reviewed above. One study by Moore et al. (2010) examined 517 adolescent twins and found that both obsessions/incompleteness and numbers/luck dimensions were significantly more heritable in males only (60% and 65%, respectively). Anckarsater et al. (2011) examined 17,220 same-sex twins and identified some potential differences in the correlation of compulsiveness (identical F = 0.22, identical M = 0.36, fraternal F = 0.16, fraternal M = 0.09). Thus, there may be some subtle differences in heritability between sexes when specific symptom dimensions are considered.

3.5.3 Candidate gene studies

Candidate gene studies focus on examining a particular genetic variant (or set of variants) that is hypothesized to be associated with OCD. These studies are limited by the polygenic nature of OCD and the fact that common variants tend to have small effect sizes. Most candidate gene studies in psychiatry have not been replicated in large-scale genome-wide studies (Border et al., 2019; Farrell et al., 2015), so these results must be interpreted with skepticism. In fact, the National Institute of Mental Health recommends that researchers abandon candidate gene studies in favor of well powered hypothesis-free genome association studies (Workgroup). Despite this guidance, our review found that the vast majority of genetic studies during the review period (43 out of 89) examining sex used a candidate gene approach. These studies examined a variety of candidate genes (including *ESR1 ESR2, 5-HTTLPR, COMT, GRIN2B, SLC1A1, SLC6A4, SLC18A1, GAD1, GAD2, HTR1A, HT2A, HTR1B, HTR3B, DRD2, DRD4, DAT, NPSR1, MAO-A, DLGAPI, EFNA5, MTHFR, SAPAP3, SLITRK1, PBX1, LMX1A, RYR3, OXTR, FKBP5*). For

completeness, the findings are summarized in Table A5. However, to reiterate, such studies have a poor track record of replication.

3.5.4 Genome-wide studies

Genome-wide studies use a hypothesis-free approach to examine associations between genetic variants across the entire genome and OCD risk. These include genome-wide association studies (GWAS), copy number variant (CNV) analyses, and whole-exome or whole-genome DNA sequencing studies. During the review period, only four genome-wide studies that examined sex or gender impacts were identified, suggesting a need for more research in this area. Khramtsova et al. (2018) conducted a GWAS meta-analysis of 9,870 individuals with OCD and identified a strong genetic correlation between male and female OCD, with similar heritability estimates. No sex-specific, genome-wide significant single nucleotide polymorphisms were identified, but there were significant gene-based associations that emerged only in females in two genes (GRID2 female p = 1.07E-07, male p = 7.23E-01; GRP135 female p = 1.55E-06, male p = 7.04E-01). Oh et al. (2022) used GWAS summary data to assess genetic overlap between reproductive behaviors and OCD, they reported significant positive genetic correlations between OCD and age at first sexual intercourse in both males and females ($p<0.005$), and between age at first birth in females only ($p<0.005$). Kutzner et al. (2022) examined polygenic scores for OCS in 547 adolescents and found a negative association with cannabis use at age 17, a finding that replicated in males of European ancestry males, but did not extend to other groups in sensitivity analyses. Lionel et al. (2014) examined copy-number variants (CNVs) in 64,114 individuals with neurodevelopmental disorders, including OCD. They found that CNV disruption of the ASTN2/TRIM32 locus was significantly associated with neurodevelopmental disorders in males, but not females, with OCD being one of the co-occurring disorders. These data suggest a

potential role for genetic factors that may differentially influence OCD in males and females, offering insight into mechanisms underlying observed sex and gender differences in its presentation.

3.5.5 Parental age studies

Past research suggests that parental age at a child's birth impacts risk for a range of neuropsychiatric disorders in offspring (de Kluiver et al., 2017). During the review period, six studies examined the association between parental age and risk of OCD, yielding different findings regarding the role of maternal and paternal age at birth. Wu et al. (2012) conducted a cohort study of 711 adults in China and found that OCD risk increased with paternal age, but not with maternal age, and was higher in male offspring. Biria et al. (2019) conducted a small cross-sectional study of 85 individuals with SCZ treated with clozapine and found that younger parental age was associated with OCD risk. In contrast, Chudal et al. (2017) conducted a nested case-control study of 1,358 individuals with OCD, 1195 individuals with chronic tic disorders, and matched controls and found that the risk of OCD was statistically increased in offspring of older mothers and not fathers.

Janecka et al. (2019) conducted a large birth cohort study in Denmark (n=1,490,745) and found that the risk of OCD was statistically increased only in the offspring of older mothers; but gender specific analyses suggested that paternal age effects were also present, in the male offspring only. Consistent with this, Yilmaz also conducted a large registry study in Denmark (n=1,154,067) and found that older maternal age was associated with risk of OCD in male offspring, but not female offspring. Although paternal age was not associated with OCD risk in the overall sample, older paternal age was associated with increased risk in male offspring, though the sex difference was nonsignificant. Finally, Wang et al. (2022) examined a Taiwan

registry sample (n=7,264,788) and found that both older paternal and maternal age were associated with increased risk of OCD in offspring.

Overall, this work suggests that parental age contributes to OCD risk, but maternal and paternal effects vary across studies and may be more pronounced in male offspring.

3.5.6 Other studies

Several studies have examined gender effects for co-occurring conditions in families, including three that highlight associations between maternal autoimmune disease and OCD risk. Murphy et al. (2010) examined the biological mothers of 107 children with OCD and tics, finding that 17.8% had autoimmune conditions compared to 5% in the general population. In a large Danish population-based registry study, He et al. (2022) reported that maternal autoimmune disease diagnosed before or during pregnancy was associated with increased OCD risk (HR, 1.42; 95% CI, 1.24-1.63). Similarly, in a Swedish registry, Zhang et al. (2023) found that prenatal exposure to maternal (but not paternal) infections was linked to higher OCD risk, although this association was not significant in sibling analyses.

Several additional studies focused on family-related risk factors for OCD. Zhang et al. (2022) examined 3438 middle school students in China and found that both paternal and maternal warmth were both negatively correlated with OCD, whereas parental punitive behaviors, father overprotection, and mother over-involvement were significantly associated with higher OCD scores. In a large Swedish registry study, Salvatore et al. (2024) found that divorced females had higher familial genetic risk scores for OCD compared to males. Sackl-Pammer et al. (2015) conducted a small study of 30 parents of children with separation anxiety

disorder and found higher rates of lifetime OCD in fathers, but not mothers, compared to controls.

Schneider et al. (2016) reported that female carriers of a premutation in the gene FMR1, who carry an expansion in the X-chromosome gene implicated in Fragile X syndrome that is not sufficient to produce the full syndrome, had higher OCD symptom burden compared to controls, whereas male carriers did not. Similarly, Loesch et al. (2021) found that female FMR1 premutation carriers had greater symptom progression compared to male carriers.

Finally, Kang et al. (2021) examined cellular aging markers in 235 patients with OCD and 234 controls. Post-hoc analyses revealed that both males and females had significantly lower mitochondrial DNA copy numbers than controls (p-values<0.001), while only females had shorter telomere lengths (p=0.02), highlighting a role of aging-associated molecular mechanisms in OCD.

3.5.7 Single gender studies

Twelve single-gender studies were identified in the review period. While it is hard to draw conclusions about the impact of gender from these studies due to the inability to statistically test sex or gender effects, these are described in Table A5 for completeness and consistency with the other sections.

3.5.8 Summary of key consideration

Methodological concerns:

- The majority of genetic studies used a candidate gene approach, which the field has moved away from given that such studies have not generally been replicated in more rigorous genome-wide studies.

- There is a need for genome-wide studies in larger cohorts to systematically test for sex and gender differences, to provide insight into whether genetic factors may have a differential impact by sex or gender.
- Large sample sizes are key to identifying specific high-confidence genetic risk factors in genome-wide approaches, which becomes even more imperative when testing for sex and gender differences.

Unanswered Questions:

- Will larger genome-wide studies offer any evidence of sex and gender differences in genetic predispositions to OCD?
- Do environmental factors, including significant life stresses and reproductive life events, interact with genetic predispositions differently in men and women?
- Does age of mothers or fathers contribute more strongly to risk for development of OCD in children?
- Are there any genetic markers that can predict treatment response differently in men and women?

Hypotheses for future research:

- Childhood-onset OCD has a stronger genetic component than adult-onset OCD.
- Girls with childhood-onset OCD have a higher genetic risk for OCD compared to boys.
- OCD is characterized by multiple genetic subtypes; one subtype, more prevalent in males, shows greater genetic overlap with neurodevelopmental disorders, while others do not.

Highlights from Section 3.6 Altered neurocognitive and neurobiological functioning in OCD

Self-report and behavior-based measures: Women with OCD more often show alterations in metacognition and emotional or visuospatial processing, which can be quite heterogeneous. Men more frequently exhibit difficulties in goal-directed control and cognitive flexibility. Findings are variable and often depend on sufferers' specific symptom profiles.

Neuroimaging measures: Some studies report sex-associated differences in specific brain features (e.g., corpus callosum thickness). More recent work indicates that sex may influence the relative prevalence of distinct neuroanatomical patterns, rather than producing a uniform male–female contrast (e.g., reductions in gray matter volume in the vmPFC, insula, cingulate, and temporal cortices are more pronounced in women with OCD). Few studies explicitly account for gender-associated factors such as symptom profiles or trauma history.

Hormonal, growth factor, and peripheral biomarker measures: Findings across hormonal, immune, and metabolic markers are heterogeneous, with limited evidence for consistent sex differences. Sex-specific associations with stress responses, symptom dimensions, or environmental exposures are more commonly reported than mean differences.

Key concerns: Most studies are not systematic, with a narrow focus and small samples. No studies have assessed both sex and gender.

Future studies: Progress will require integrative, longitudinal designs combining clinical, behavioral, neuroimaging, and biological measures, with explicit modeling of sex, gender-associated factors, and clinical heterogeneity.

3.6. Altered neurocognitive and neurobiological functioning in OCD

Research on neurocognitive and neurobiological function in OCD has the potential to unravel the mechanisms underlying clinical differences between male and female patients and to identify gender[6]-specific endophenotypes. These differences likely result from a complex interplay between various sex- and gender-related processes. Sex and gender differences in cognitive processing styles, brain structure and connectivity, and various neurotransmitter systems may influence OCD symptoms differently in men and women. Neuroactive sex steroids like estrogen, progesterone, and testosterone may contribute to sex-specific patterns in symptomatology. Social experiences and adherence to gender norms may also shape how

[6] Studies that are reviewed in this section rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term ‘gender’ in this section.

individuals experience and cope with their OCD symptoms. Biological sex and gender identity, and associated social experience, may differentially affect cognition and biology.

Research on altered neurocognitive and neurobiological functions in OCD has expanded rapidly over the past decade (Bandelow et al., 2017; Benzina et al., 2016), in an effort to identify underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and candidate targets for intervention. Studies of neurocognitive endophenotypes of OCD aim to make sense of the disorder's heterogeneity. Most studies to date have examined relatively small samples, making it difficult to rigorously examine how gender or biological sex might contribute to neurocognitive diversity in OCD. We identified thirty-five studies published during the review period that directly compared the effects of OCD on neurocognitive or neurobiological function in males and females with sufficient statistical power (2 in pooled samples, 5 in children and 28 in adults). Eight studies examined underpowered samples (see Table A6). Thirteen more studies focused exclusively on males (6 papers) or females (7 papers).

3.6.1. Neurocognitive functioning

Fourteen papers investigated gender differences in neurocognitive function in individuals with OCD, utilizing self-reports or behavioral tasks (1 in a pooled sample, 2 in children/adolescents, and 11 in adults). Most examined gender effects only in secondary or exploratory analyses.

Misir et al (2025) found that broad impairments across neurocognitive domains are more common among women than men with OCD. Esbjørn et al. (2013) found that girls tend to report higher severity of OCD symptoms than boys; this difference was linked to deeper incorporation of obsessive-compulsive thoughts into metacognitive beliefs. Gülüm (2014) found that a tendency for repetitive thinking contributes similarly to OCs in young adults of both genders.

Cognitive fusion was similarly elevated in both men and women with OCD (Xiong, 2021). Attachment anxiety enhanced this relationship in both men and women; in women, but not in men, attachment anxiety also enhanced the impact of perceived locus of control on OCs. Vivas et al. (2019) found that, in adults, neuroticism was positively associated with OCs in both men and women, but agreeableness was negatively associated with OCs only in women. The increased presence of such associations in women compared to men is consistent with the idea that the heterogeneity of latent cognitive mechanisms contributing to OCs is higher in adult women (Shkalim, 2017; see Section 3.3.2).

Impaired emotional processing is implicated in OCD (Thorsen et al., 2018), but very little is known about differences between males and females. In children, Shaw et al. (2021) found elevated affect intolerance in both boys and girls, in separate analyses; they did not directly contrast the genders. In adults, Cougle et al. (2013) did not find significant differences between men and women in distress intolerance. Vivas et al. (2019) found that sensitivity to the valence of emotional stimuli was higher in women with more severe OCD symptoms than in women with low OCS (Cohen's d = 0.54), with no significant difference in men (Cohen's d not reported). Bezahler et al. (2024) reported lower emotion regulation in sexual minority individuals with OCD compared with cisgender heterosexual men and women with OCD.

Martoni et al. (2015), using the CANTAB battery (Fray and Robbins, 1996), found that visuospatial working memory was impaired in adult women with OCD (Cohen's d ≥ 0.7); adult men with OCD showed comparable performance to healthy men and women both on the number of errors and use of optimal strategy. Similarly, Steinman et al. (2016) found sensorimotor gating (measured as prepulse inhibition of the startle response) to be impaired only in adult women with OCD (Cohen's d = 0.57); adult men with OCD performed similarly to healthy men and women

(see also Ahmari, 2016). Visuospatial memory and sensorimotor gating may interact; these two areas of female-specific deficit may reflect a common underlying abnormality.

In contrast, Cillo (2019) found persistent risk taking (failure to flexibly adjust to changing conditions in the Cambridge Gambling Task) in men but not in women with OCD (Cohen's d = 0.41□0.47). Cougle et al. (2013) found that goal persistence during a behavioral task negatively correlated with OCS in men but not in women; this effect was primarily driven by severity of obsessions (M: $\beta = -0.42$ $p < 0.01$; F: $\beta = 0.05$ $p = 0.54$). Ma et all (2021) found reduced effectiveness and increased cautiousness during information processing and decision formation in men but not in women with OCD. Whitehead et al. (2016) suggested that comorbid OCD may significantly moderate the impact of depression on decision making. Comorbid OCD/depression was linked to difficulties with goal-directed activities that were greater than in subjects with depression alone in men (M: OR = 1.17 $p < 0.05$) and in subjects with OCD alone in both men and women (OR = 1.10 $p < 0.05$). Impulse control difficulties were less likely to be present in both men and women with OCD, as compared to individuals with depression (OR = 0.87 $p < 0.01$) or with comorbid OCD/depression (OR = 0.83 $p < 0.01$).

Overall, these studies suggest that the relationship of underlying cognitive processes to OCD symptomology may be more heterogeneous in females. For instance, metacognitive alterations and difficulties with processing of visuospatial and emotional information may contribute to OCD symptoms in females more than in males. In males, convergent reports suggest greater impairment in goal-directed activities, possibly due to a failure to flexibly adjust to changing conditions. Much more systematic research is needed to clarify these findings.

3.6.2. Neuroimaging

Neuroimaging studies have revealed aberrant brain structure and activity in specific regions and white matter tracts in OCD (Friedlander and Desrocher, 2006; Haghshomar et al., 2023; Saxena and Rauch, 2022). These findings implicate particular neural circuits, such as the cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical (CSTC) circuit, and large-scale networks, such as the default mode and salience networks, in the development of OCD symptoms. Limited evidence suggests that the functioning of these networks may differ in males and females with OCD (Ruigrok et al., 2014).

During the review period, thirteen studies (1 in a pooled sample, 2 in children, and 11 in adults) explored the moderating effects of gender on the correlates of OCD with brain structure and function (Table A6). Among these, eleven studies investigated the structural characteristics of the brain in both males and females with OCD; eight studies compared gray and white matter volume and thickness, one study assessed white matter fractional anisotropy, an indirect measure of the structural integrity of white matter tracts (Hawco, 2016), and one study examined multimodal structural data (Xu, 2024). Only one study in children (Suñol, 2020) and one study in adults (Ma, 2022) examined gender differences using functional neuroimaging. Given the large number of functional neuroimaging studies being performed in OCD, it is striking that so few have reported sex and gender effects. This represents a profound knowledge gap.

Two studies from the same group examined gender effects in children (Suñol 2018, 2020). No gender differences were found in the relationships between dimensional symptom severity and gray and white matter volume. However, resting state functional connectivity between some nodes of the CSTC circuitry correlated with hoarding symptoms differently in girls and boys 8 – 10 years old. Specifically, functional connectivity between the left medial dorsal thalamus and the subthalamic nucleus was more strongly negatively correlated with

hoarding symptoms in boys than in girls (M: r = -0.82, F: r = -0.34, p = 0.04). Connectivity between the medial dorsal thalamus and the posterior cingulate cortex was more negatively correlated with hoarding symptoms in older girls (those older than approximately 9.5 years) compared to all other children (older F: r = -0.51, other groups r = -0.11, p = 0.004). These findings are particularly interesting given convergent reports that obsessive-compulsive symptoms often emerge or worsen in girls following puberty (Section 3.3.4). Still, these results should be considered provisional and need replication.

Two studies investigated gender differences in brain structure in adults and found that gender modulates the relationship between OCD and white matter structure in the corpus callosum (CC). Fractional anisotropy (FA) was more reduced in women with OCD than in men with OCD, as compared to healthy individuals (Hawco, 2016). White matter thickness in the corpus callosum was increased in men with OCD, while in women with OCD it was comparable to that seen in healthy men and women (Park, 2011). Progesterone levels in women and testosterone levels in men have been shown to be related to corpus callosum volume (Chavarria et al., 2014). For example, in women, total corpus callosum volume increases from the ovulatory to the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle (Khan, 2012). The corpus callosum is critical for coordinating activity across the hemispheres and plays a significant role in movement control, cognitive functions (e.g., memory, learning), and vision (Hinkley et al., 2012). Follow-up investigations examining how OCD interacts with steroid sex hormones to modulate the structural properties of the corpus callosum and associated cognitive functions are needed.

Braber (2013) explored relationships between subthreshold OC symptoms and gray matter volume in the general population. The left middle temporal gyrus (BA21) was found to be larger in men with higher OCS than in women or in men with lower OCS. The right middle

temporal gyrus was similarly larger in men with high OCS than in men with low OCS; conversely, it was larger in women with low OCS than in women with high OCS. The volume of the right precuneus (BA7) was similar in men with low OCS and women with high OCS; it was reduced in men with high OCS – the strongest effect – and in women with low OCS. These dissociations require replication. Given the middle temporal gyrus's involvement in visual perception and multimodal sensory integration (Gröhn et al., 2022; Jagiellowicz et al., 2011), future studies should investigate sex and gender differences in the impact of OCS on sensory processing.

Three recent studies indicate that gender differences in OCD neuroanatomy are conditional on latent subtype or factor expression, rather than reflecting a uniform male–female contrast. Across studies, the largest gender effects were observed in gray-matter–dominated latent components (F1/F2 in Han, 2023; IC1 in Xu, 2024; GMV-defined subtypes in Wen, 2025), whereas multimodal or white-matter–weighted components showed little to no gender differentiation (Xu, 2024). Structural heterogeneity consistently localized to cortico–striato–insular–temporal circuits, with gender influencing the relative prevalence of specific neuroanatomical patterns rather than producing a single, directionally consistent gender effect. Across two studies, concurrent reductions in gray matter volume in the vmPFC, insula, cingulate, and temporal cortices (Han, 2023, factor 2; Wen, 2025, subtype 2) were more commonly expressed in women with OCD. In contrast, men more frequently exhibited patterns characterized by widespread cortical gray-matter increases or localized increases within striatal/thalamic and fronto-temporal networks (Han, 2023, factor 1; Xu, 2024, IC1; Wen, 2025, GMV1). Notably, structural neuroimaging meta-analyses of trauma and PTSD report reduced gray matter volume in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex, insula, cingulate cortex, and temporal

cortices, suggesting disruption of cortical–affective regulatory systems (Kühn (Kühn and Gallinat, 2013; Li et al., 2014). The overlap between this trauma-associated pattern and the cortical reduction subtype observed in women with OCD raises the possibility of shared stress-sensitive neurobiological mechanisms; this needs to be investigated further.

Consistent with this interpretation, Real et al. (2016) reported that women with OCD were more likely than men to endorse stressful life events (SLEs) preceding illness onset (see Section 3.3.2.2.4) and demonstrated that SLE exposure moderated gray matter volume patterns. Individuals with OCD who did not report SLEs showed increased gray matter volume in the bilateral dorsal putamen and central tegmental tract, whereas those with SLEs exhibited increased gray matter volume in the right anterior cerebellum. Given the higher prevalence of SLE exposure among women, these findings suggest that stress-related modulation of cortical and cerebellar gray matter may contribute to gender-linked expression of structural heterogeneity in OCD, without implying gender-specific effects in the absence of environmental context.

Further support for this interpretation comes from symptom clustering studies. Contrasting three data-driven OCD subtypes defined by symptom profiles, Reess et al. (2018) found that a cluster characterized by elevated contamination symptoms was predominantly female, consistent with prior symptom-severity findings (see Section 3.2.1). While men showed larger hippocampal volumes overall (controlling for intracranial volume; $\eta^2 = 0.06$, $p = 0.035$), the contamination-dominant subtype—composed of approximately 94% women—exhibited hippocampal volumes comparable to healthy controls. In contrast, two subtypes with more balanced gender distributions differed significantly from controls: individuals with intrusive-thought-dominant OCD showed larger hippocampal volumes, whereas those with predominant ordering/checking symptoms showed smaller volumes.

Together, these findings suggest that symptom profiles and associated environmental or developmental factors, rather than sex or gender per se, may shape the neuroanatomical expression of OCD. However, conclusions remain limited, underscoring the need for large, systematically designed studies to disentangle the roles of sex (or gender) and sex- or gender-associated factors—including stressful life events, predominant symptom dimensions, and hormonal influences—in structural brain variation.

3.6.3. Hormonal, growth factor, and peripheral biomarker measures

Fifteen studies (1 in children/adolescents and 14 in adults) have examined sex- or gender-related effects in peripheral biomarkers in OCD, including hormones, growth factors, immune, and metabolic markers (Table A6). Overall, findings are heterogeneous, and evidence for consistent gender[7] effects in OCD is limited.

The hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis is the most frequently studied system. In children and adolescents, Bilgiç (2021) reported higher cortisol levels in boys with OCD compared with male controls ($d = 0.63$, $p = 0.046$), whereas cortisol levels in girls with OCD did not differ from female controls. ACTH levels were also elevated in boys with OCD relative to male controls ($d = 0.37$, $p = 0.04$), with weaker and non-significant effects in girls ($d = 0.39$, $p = 0.106$). In adults, Kawano (2013) found higher salivary cortisol in women with OCD relative to female controls ($p < 0.01$), whereas no group differences were observed in men. Daily cortisol dynamics showed opposing associations with symptom dimensions by gender. In a general population sample, cortisol awakening response correlated positively with obsessive–compulsive symptoms in men (OCI-R: $\beta = 0.23$, $p = 0.06$) but negatively in women (OCI-R × gender: $\beta =$

[7] While these studies may have characterized biological sex of study participants, none of them distinguished between participants' sex and gender. We use term 'gender' in this subsection for consistency.

−0.32, p = 0.02), with similar gender-by-symptom interactions for ordering and checking dimensions (Melia, 2019). In the same study, morning cortisol correlated with the number of stressful life events (SLEs) preceding OCD onset in men (r = 0.30, p = 0.01) but not in women. Labad (2021) similarly reported gender-by-symptom interactions for ordering/symmetry severity and diurnal cortisol slope (β for ordering × gender= −0.68, p = 0.015). Effect sizes for these interactions were small to moderate, indicating subtle but potentially meaningful gender modulation of cortisol regulation. These findings are consistent with known sex differences in HPA-axis regulation (Heck and Handa, 2019), potentially modulated by gonadal hormones, although whether such effects represent vulnerability or adaptation in OCD remains unresolved.

Findings for neurosteroids and related peptides revealed some gender-effects in OCD (Erbay, 2015; Bilgiç, 2021; Labad, 2021). Stress-related hormones, including cortisol and DHEA, were elevated in both men and women with OCD relative to controls, typically with small-to-moderate effects (d ≈ 0.3–0.7; Erbay, 2015; Bilgiç, 2021). In contrast, alterations in gonadal hormones were sex-specific, with lower testosterone reported in men and lower progesterone in women, generally with moderate effect sizes (Erbay, 2015). Together, these findings suggest partially shared stress-related endocrine alterations alongside sex-linked differences in gonadal hormone regulation, rather than a single sex-specific neurosteroid profile.

Evidence for gender differences in growth factors and neurotrophins is limited and appears developmentally contingent. In pediatric samples, higher BDNF levels were reported in boys with OCD relative to male controls with large effects (d ≈ 0.9), whereas effects in girls were smaller (d ≈ 0.3–0.4), and direct gender comparisons within OCD were negligible (d < 0.1; Bilgiç, 2021). In adult samples, studies consistently reported null gender differences in BDNF, NGF, and GDNF (Fontenelle, 2012; Mina, 2023).

For oxytocin, levels were elevated in individuals with OCD relative to controls, with small-to-moderate effects (d ≈ 0.2–0.7), but no consistent gender differences were observed within OCD samples (Marazziti, 2015; Marazziti, 2023b). However, oxytocin showed gender-specific associations with interpersonal phenotypes, correlating with attachment dimensions in men only (r ≈ 0.35–0.40; Marazziti, 2015), suggesting differential linkage to social-affective processes rather than sex or gender differences in mean hormone levels. Oxytocin is sometimes described as a "bonding hormone" (Heinrichs et al., 2009); oxytocin levels may be elevated in response to stress to regulate stress responses and, perhaps, facilitate seeking comfort from others (Neumann, 2002, 2008). Interpersonal connectedness is complexly affected in OCD; individuals with OCD tend to be aware that their behaviors put a toll on people in their lives and sometimes overcompensate by being accommodating and 'nice' (Hyman and Pedrick, 2010); on the other hand, men with OCD often remain single (Muhlberger, 2021; Section 3.2.2) and are more likely to have comorbid social phobia (Section 3.4.1.1). Targeted studies are needed to empirically test these and other possible links between oxytocin, interpersonal bonding, and OCS in men and women.

Studies of immune, metabolic, nutritional, and BBB-related markers reveal selective gender-associated effects with variable magnitude. Inflammatory markers showed limited gender specificity, with IL-6 elevations in both men and women and IL-1β elevations restricted to men in one study (Sarmin, 2024). In contrast, BBB-related markers exhibited the largest sex effects, with substantially higher zonulin levels in women (d ≈ 1.7; Kılıç, 2022). Metabolic and nutritional markers showed moderate sex-specific associations rather than consistent mean differences, including stronger negative associations between vitamin D and symptom severity in

men ($r \approx -0.35$ to -0.40; Marazziti, 2023a), higher homocysteine levels in men, and higher vitamin B12 and folate levels in women (βs in the moderate range; Algin, 2025).

In summary, studies of hormones, growth factors, immune, metabolic, and blood-brain barrier-related biomarkers in OCD provide limited and inconsistent evidence for direct sex and gender differences, with reported effect sizes ranging from small to large depending on the marker and developmental stage. Emerging findings suggest that gender may moderate associations between peripheral biomarkers, symptom dimensions, and environmental exposures, such as stress or trauma. Larger, longitudinal studies that explicitly model sex, gender-associated factors, and clinical heterogeneity are needed to clarify whether these biological measures reflect vulnerability, adaptation, or downstream correlates of OCD pathology.

3.6.4. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological concerns:

- Despite substantial growth in neuroimaging research on OCD, evidence on sex- and gender-related differences in neural correlates remains limited and indirect, with most studies not designed to explicitly test such effects or to account for clinical heterogeneity.
- Neurocognitive and neuroimaging assessments in men and women with OCD are typically conducted at a single time point, implicitly assuming stability across hormonal states in menstruating participants, despite evidence that brain structure and function vary across the menstrual cycle (Hidalgo-Lopez and Pletzer, 2019; Holländer et al., 2005; Kheloui et al., 2021; Souza et al., 2012). In depression research, accounting for hormonal fluctuations has yielded important insights (Gordon et al., 2015; Harald and Gordon, 2012; Payne et al., 2009), highlighting the need for similar approaches in OCD.

- Prior work suggests the existence of OCD subtypes characterized by distinct neurocognitive and neurobiological abnormalities (Nedeljkovic et al., 2009). However, most studies have relied on correlational analyses linking symptom dimensions to selected measures, assuming linearity and independence. More flexible, data-driven approaches that integrate clinical, cognitive, hormonal, and neuroimaging measures are needed.
- As discussed in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.3.1, reliance on self-report measures of obsessive–compulsive symptoms—particularly in pediatric samples—may introduce substantial bias. Neuroimaging studies should preferentially employ clinician-administered instruments when examining brain–symptom relationships.
- Across studies, there remains an almost complete absence of work that distinguishes sex and gender as separable constructs, or that includes transgender and nonbinary individuals.

Unanswered Questions:

- What factors contribute to the apparent heterogeneity of neurocognitive and neurobiological profiles observed in women with OCD, and how do these profiles relate to symptom dimensions and environmental exposures?
- Are neurocognitive profiles in individuals with OCD temporally stable (trait-level) or do they fluctuate with changes in severity of symptoms (e.g., in response to therapy)?
- Do reported sex differences in brain structure correspond to differences in brain function and neurocognitive performance, or do they reflect distinct compensatory mechanisms?
- How do hormone fluctuations over the phases of menstrual cycle impact brain functioning in cycling women, and are these fluctuations linked to OCD symptomology?
- How do relationships between gender and stress hormones evolve over development?

Hypotheses for future research:

- Distinct clusters of neurocognitive deficits in OCD may differ in their relationship to sex and gender-related characteristics.
- During puberty, divergent symptom trajectories in boys and girls may reflect divergent trajectories in neurodevelopment. Neural and cognitive trajectories differ in boy and girls are modulated by changing hormonal profiles, as well as potentially by differential gender-associated experiences, and may serve as early biomarkers of the post-pubertal emergence or worsening of obsessive-compulsive symptoms.
- Stress responses, including diurnal cortisol regulation, appear to be differentially modulated in males and in females with OCD; these differences may manifest in both differences in symptom presentation and in differences in symptom trajectories across development.
- While a robust literature suggests that females with OCD report preceding stressful events more often (Section 3.3.2.2.4), cortisol modulation, including an increase in cortisol levels, may be more impacted by stressful events in males than in females.

Highlights from Section 3.7. Treatment

State of knowledge: Research on gender differences in OCD treatment remains limited, fragmented, and largely based on post hoc or underpowered analyses.

Treatment studies: CBT outcomes are largely comparable between genders, with only isolated evidence of greater benefit for boys in intensive family-based CBT settings. Pharmacological studies show a relatively consistent female advantage in fluoxetine response in adulthood.

Naturalistic settings: Boys tend to access treatment earlier in childhood than girls, whereas adult men show longer delays in help-seeking compared with women, potentially influencing illness severity at presentation. Men are more frequently prescribed antipsychotics, whereas women are more likely to receive SRIs and psychotherapy-based interventions, indicating that treatment utilization does not always align with observed treatment responsiveness.

Future research: Future research should combine adequately powered randomized controlled trials with real-world analyses of treatment allocation to examine how biological sex and gender interact with symptom dimensions, comorbidities, illness onset, and hormonal state (e.g., menstrual phase, estradiol levels) to shape potentially nonlinear OCD treatment response trajectories.

3.7. Treatment

Accounting for gender[8] differences has been beneficial in many areas of evidence-based precision medicine (Gemmati et al., 2019; Shah and Kornstein, 2021). In OCD, such research is still fragmented at best. During the review period, 34 studies with sufficiently large samples examined gender differences in treatment effectiveness (i.e., at least 50 individuals with OCD per arm, which allows detecting effects of at least a large size); 11 of them were conducted in children or adolescents and 23 in adults. 10 additional studies tested gender differences in treatment response in underpowered samples (see Table 4, Table A7). Most analyses of gender differences were *post hoc* or secondary. Other topics included gender differences in help-seeking (10 studies), side effects (one study), and adequacy of medication use (2 studies). Seven studies looked at effectiveness of treatment only in women and two looked at prevention of OCD in postpartum women. Most of these studies did not report strong gender differences. Still, some

[8] Studies that are reviewed in this section rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term 'gender' in this section.

patterns emerged. Given the lack of research and heterogeneity of methods, these patterns should be interpreted as very preliminary.

3.7.1. Naturalistic observational studies

Naturalistic observational studies in both pediatric and adult samples generally reported comparable treatment outcomes between males and females (Table 4 and Table A7). However, Rudy et al. (2014) found that an intensive outpatient family-based treatment program in the United States yielded greater improvement in boys than girls (Cohen's $d = 0.69$, $p < .01$). In contrast, a comparable program conducted in Turkey (Kardaş, 2024) reported similar benefits across genders. These discrepant findings may partly reflect differences in medication status across cohorts, which were inconsistently documented and not incorporated into outcome analyses.

3.7.2. Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT)

Because exposure and response prevention (ERP) is considered the gold-standard cognitive behavioral therapy for OCD, thirteen studies (six pediatric and seven adult) have examined gender differences in treatment response within structured CBT/ERP protocols, largely reporting null findings. Interpretation of these results is constrained by several methodological limitations. First, nearly all studies employed open-label designs, with only one incorporating an active control condition (stress management). This randomized study (Maher et al., 2010) found that the comparative benefit of ERP relative to stress management was significantly greater in men than in women, with a large interaction effect (Cohen's $d \approx 0.8$).

Second, most studies did not consider potential nonlinearities in treatment response trajectories. For example, Wootton et al. (2024b) reported that internet-based CBT incorporating ERP modules produced greater symptom improvement in women immediately following

treatment, whereas men demonstrated stronger maintenance of gains at 3-month follow-up. Levy et al. (2024) found that endogenous estradiol levels moderated exposure outcomes among women with OCD: lower estradiol was associated with greater immediate distress reduction during exposure, whereas higher estradiol predicted superior extinction recall following a delay. Together, these findings suggest that biological state may differentially influence immediate versus sustained treatment effects, contributing to nonlinear trajectories of treatment response.

Third, few studies accounted for clinical heterogeneity within treatment samples. Only one study (Højgaard, 2018) adjusted for psychiatric comorbidity and observed a non-significant trend toward greater CBT effectiveness in girls ($\beta_{male} = -0.47$, $p = 0.11$). One pediatric study reported reduced CBT effectiveness among children with prominent contamination/cleaning symptoms (Duholm, 2024), although gender differences were not examined. As discussed in Section 3.2, contamination symptoms occur at comparable rates in boys and girls but are more prevalent among adult women. This may suggest that ERP effectiveness may be impacted by gender-associated differences in symptoms presentation. Systematic investigation of the role of patient heterogeneity—both across and within genders—is a critical next step toward developing more effective personalized CBT-based interventions.

3.7.2. Pharmacological treatments

Serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SRIs) are considered first-line pharmacological treatment for obsessive–compulsive disorder. Three pediatric and nine adult studies have examined potential gender differences in treatment response. In pediatric samples, findings were mixed. A prospective study suggested greater symptom improvement with fluoxetine in girls than in boys, with moderate effect sizes (Cohen's d = 0.53–0.58; Blazquez, 2014), whereas a retrospective study based on self-reported outcomes found the opposite trend (Bharthi, 2024). Personalized

SRI treatment demonstrated comparable effectiveness across genders, with response rates of 69.5% in girls and 62.8% in boys (Cifter et al., 2022).

In adults, three prospective studies reported stronger treatment effects of fluoxetine in women than in men, with moderate-to-large effect sizes. Consistent with this pattern, Tyler et al. (2024) found that women experienced significantly greater symptom worsening than men following SRI discontinuation while continuing CBT, suggesting greater reliance on pharmacological treatment effects. In contrast, individualized medication strategies showed similar effectiveness across genders (Selvi, 2011). Hasanpour et al. (2019) examined predictors of response to standardized fluvoxamine treatment (12 weeks, 150–300 mg) and identified a significant *age-of-onset* × *gender* interaction: women with later-onset OCD who were married and had lower compulsion severity showed an 85% probability of treatment response, whereas women with early-onset OCD demonstrated substantially lower response rates (29%).

Taken together, these findings tentatively suggest a female advantage in response to fluoxetine that appears more evident in adulthood. This pattern may reflect interactions between gender, illness characteristics, and biological state rather than sex or gender alone, and they should be tested in adequately powered studies that explicitly account for comorbidities, symptom dimensions, and hormonal factors (e.g., estradiol levels) to inform personalized treatment selection.

3.7.3. Neuromodulation

Neuromodulation-based interventions, including transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), and deep brain stimulation (DBS), represent emerging treatment approaches for OCD, particularly in treatment-refractory cases. A small number of studies—most of which were underpowered, with the exception of Storch et al.

(2021; N = 100)—have examined potential gender differences in treatment response following neuromodulation. Available evidence indicates no consistent gender differences in response to tDCS or TMS. One small study reported higher response rates to DBS targeting the ventral anterior limb of the internal capsule (vALIC) in men (77%) compared with women (33%) with severe refractory OCD (Mar-Barrutia, 2024). Given limited sample sizes, these findings should be considered preliminary.

3.7.4. Treatment preferences

Although controlled studies evaluate treatment effects under standardized conditions, real-world treatment selection is shaped by clinician, patient, and—in pediatric populations—parental preferences. Observational studies indicate gender differences in pathways to care. In pediatric samples, parents appear more likely to seek professional help for boys than girls once symptoms emerge (Mennis et al., 2020; F:M OR ≈ 0.5), consistent with earlier clinical recognition in males. In contrast, adult women tend to seek treatment earlier, whereas men show longer delays in help-seeking and diagnostic recognition (d = 0.2–0.4; Stengler et al., 2012; Bey et al., 2025), potentially affecting illness severity at presentation.

Gender differences are also evident in treatment selection. A large population-based study (Isomura, 2016; N = 10,523) found that males were more frequently prescribed both typical and atypical antipsychotics (F:M OR = 0.68–0.86), whereas females were more likely to receive serotonin reuptake inhibitors, non-benzodiazepine anxiolytics, benzodiazepines, and analgesics (F:M OR = 1.21–1.64). Consistent with these patterns, adult women report stronger preference for psychotherapy, including internet-based CBT (Li, 2022), whereas men more often prefer pharmacological strategies (Patel, 2017), despite evidence that women may derive comparable or greater benefit from SRIs in adulthood (Section 3.7.2). Evidence for gender

differences in treatment engagement remains limited: dropout rates from family-based CBT (Negreiros, 2019) and SRI treatment studies (Jakubovski, 2013) were comparable across genders, although one study reported lower CBT dropout among women (Tableão, 2024). Medication adequacy also appears similarly suboptimal in men and women (Cohen, 2025).

Together, these findings suggest that treatment allocation in routine care may reflect patient preferences and clinical decision-making processes more than treatment efficacy alone. Understanding how help-seeking behavior, treatment selection, and engagement jointly shape treatment exposure is therefore essential for linking real-world care patterns with controlled evaluations of CBT and pharmacological interventions.

3.7.5. OCD prevention?

As already described in Section 3.3.4, the burden of postpartum OCD may be reduced or even prevented by providing psychoeducation or targeted CBT interventions to expecting parents (Timpano 2011; Challacombe, 2017; Steinman, 2025). This represents an important opportunity for prevention. It also raises the question of whether targeted intervention during other identifiable vulnerability periods, such as puberty or in the aftermath of trauma (especially sexual trauma), could be of similar benefit (Section 3.3.4, Section 3.3.2.2.4).

3.7.6. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological concerns:

- Our review did not identify any RCT or large, well-balanced open label study in which everyone received the same treatment and the response of men and women was systematically compared.
- When evaluating intervention-related changes in symptom severity, it is advisable to assess the symptoms at multiple time points before and after treatment to account for possible

symptom fluctuation in females across phases of the menstrual cycle. These assessments also need to account for potential differences in insight in men and women, as well as for changes in insight that may relate to repeated interactions with therapists.

- Factors that can impact treatment outcomes are likely to be differentially associated with sex and gender: comorbidities, age of onset, stress exposure, symptom profile (e.g., autogenous versus reactive obsessions), vulnerability periods (e.g., reproductive cycle events), and genetic profiles. Future studies should carefully control for the contributions of these factors. For instance, treatment and control groups should be selected to make sure that they do not systematically differ in confounding characteristics.
- Treatment response may follow nonlinear trajectories that differ across genders (e.g., immediate versus sustained response). Studies relying solely on post-treatment endpoints may therefore miss clinically meaningful gender-specific patterns in maintenance or relapse.

Unanswered Questions:

- Why are men prescribed antipsychotics more often than women? Are different treatment strategies driven by differences in treatment response, patients' preferences, prescriber bias, OCD symptoms (e.g. autogenous versus reactive), or comorbidities?
- Why are intensive outpatient family-based treatment programs reported to be more effective in boys than in girls? Is this difference in outcome, if replicated, driven by gender or by different family attitudes toward boys and girls?
- What drives help-seeking behavior in individuals with OCD across gender and age groups?
- Can clinically significant OCD symptoms be prevented through targeted interventions timed to specific high-risk periods?

Hypotheses for future research:

- Effectiveness of treatments may be impacted by age of onset x gender interactions. For instance, SSRIs may be more effective in women with adult-onset OCD, while intensive outpatient treatment program may be more effective in boys.
- Biological state (e.g., endogenous estradiol levels or reproductive transitions) may differentially influence extinction learning, pharmacological response, and maintenance of treatment gains.
- Early or preventive interventions for OCD may be effective if applied during key vulnerability periods, such as pregnancy or shortly after sexual assault or other severe trauma.
- Comorbidity profiles may impact effectiveness of treatments differently in males and females.

3.8. Animal models of OCD pathophysiology and related processes

Highlights from Section 3.8. Animal models of OCD pathophysiology and related processes

Impact of the Search Strategy: The search terms utilized in this study were chosen to identify studies of sex and gender differences in patients with OCD. While our search did capture a number of animal studies, it was not designed for this purpose, and it likely failed to identify studies examining relevant pathology that is not framed in the context of OCD. Here, for completeness, we describe the studies that did emerge from our search strategy.

Possible mechanisms of sex differences in OCD-related pathological behaviors: Identified studies examined the effects of estrogen and estrous cycle in females on putatively OCD-relevant circuits and behaviors, sex differences in dopamine dynamics; sex differences in the role of glia and some synaptic proteins, including various receptors and scaffolding proteins, and sex differences in the impacts of serotonin and stress manipulations.

Caveats: Animal studies cannot fully replicate complex human psychiatric conditions, nor can an animal report the cognitive symptoms and internal states that are central to our conception and assessment of OCD. It is critical not to fall into the trap of interpreting animal behaviors as equivalent to human OCD symptoms. Nevertheless, conservation of anatomy and genetics over evolution suggests that studies in non-human mammals may yield valuable insights into pathophysiology.

Future studies: In order to more comprehensively understand the literature on sex differences in animal studies of potential relevance to OCD, an additional scoping review should be undertaken with keywords selected for this purpose, to identify studies not captured here and to better identify

While our search strategy (see Section 2) was designed to capture articles about sex and gender effects in individuals with OCD, it also retrieved a significant number of studies in animal model systems, mostly in mice. These provide an important counterpoint to human literature and an opportunity to more precisely examine mechanistic questions. Rather than discard these studies, therefore, we summarize them here. We note that the coverage of the relevant literature in this section may be sparser than it would be were a search strategy constructed to focus specifically on studies in animals. For example, studies examining sex[9] effects in potentially relevant processes, such as habit learning (Quinn et al., 2007) or striatal synaptic plasticity (Campanelli et al., 2021), would not be captured by our search if they did not

[9] Studies reviewed in this section access animals' sex; thus, we use the term 'sex' in this section.

explicitly frame these findings in the context of OCD. We nevertheless believe that this summary of the papers in animal model systems retrieved by our search constitutes a useful component of this scoping review.

How best to conceptualize the relevance of studies in animal model systems to a complex human neuropsychiatric condition such as OCD merits comment. It is unlikely that a mouse can recapitulate the full syndrome of human OCD, given the approximately 100 million years of evolution that separate the two species, the relatively rudimentary nature of the mouse's frontal cortex, and the language-dependent nature of many OCD symptoms, or at least of their assessment. Even if a mouse could meaningfully recapitulate OCD, it is not clear how we could evaluate it, given how much of the evaluation depends on linguistic self-report of internal states. Invoking 'a mouse model of OCD' is therefore simplistic at best, and often misleading. On the other hand, much of the genome and many aspects of brain function are conserved among mammals, including between mice and humans, and so it would be astonishing if studies of fundamental processes in mice did not have something to teach us about the human brain in general, and OCD in particular. Conceptually, there are at least three ways that studies of sex effects in mice may provide insight into relevant sex and gender effects in human OCD: they may examine a brain circuit of clear relevance to OCD; they may examine a process of relevance to OCD; or they may characterize a model system that we have independent reason to believe captures aspects of the pathophysiology of OCD. While we will not critically evaluate each model reviewed here in light of these considerations, they provide a useful frame for the discussion that follows.

What counts as OCD-relevant behavior in mice is a vexed question. Certainly not every instance of anxiety-like behavior, repetitive behavior, or increased grooming in a mouse

indicates a parallel to human OCD. Here we follow the literature that we are reviewing, in the spirit of a scoping review: we consider such behaviors to be 'compulsive-like' or potentially relevant to OCD when the underlying literature does so, without either defending or critiquing the interpretation. Ultimately the relevance of any particular model system to human OCD is an empirical question and will be determined by future studies testing whether mechanisms that have been elucidated in mice prove to be relevant to in human disease.

A key advantage of an animal model system is the ability to ask causal questions, through direct genetic or other molecular manipulations, circuit disruption or manipulation, or targeted pharmacological interventions. A second advantage is the ability to examine brain function at a much higher resolution than is possible in humans. A third is that experiments can generally be parameterized, controlled, and repeated much more rigorously than in human studies, allowing for more precise conclusions and higher degrees of confidence in findings. Finally, animal models that convincingly capture aspects of OCD pathophysiology could be powerful systems in which to test novel therapeutics without many of the complexities and confounds that plague clinical trials.

Many studies have examined animal models that purport to capture OCD pathophysiology or to probe OCD-relevant structures or processes; but only few have looked at sex as a moderator. Most studies have examined a single sex (usually males). This began to change in 2014, when the National Institutes of Health (NIH) instituted a new requirement that all NIH-funded studies address sex as a biological variable (Miller et al., 2016). This has proven to be a critical change and has led to a dramatic increase in relevant publications over the years covered by this review. For instance, one recent study showed that in rats, females develop habitual behavior much earlier during training than males (Schoenberg, 2019). In another study,

mapping quantitative trait loci (QTL) in mice that relate to prepulse inhibition (PPI) showed significant QTLs on chromosomes 7 and 17 for the acoustic startle response when sex is used as a covariate, suggesting that males and females modulate this OCD-related behavior differently (Samocha, 2010). Additionally, female mice are more likely than males housed under the same conditions to develop abnormal grooming and barbering behaviors (Ratsuki, 2025).

3.8.1. Estrogen

Estrogen can influence both differences between males and females and fluctuations in females due to the estrous cycle, pregnancy, or other hormonal changes. One study of rabbits found that pregnancy upregulates brain activity in orbito-frontal, anterior cingulate, and piriform cortices, among other areas, in conjunction with repetitive, compulsive-like straw-carrying activity (Cano-Ramírez, 2017). This activity is also regulated by dopaminergic circuitry, as administration of either D1 or D2 antagonists reduced straw-carrying bout duration (Hoffman, 2012).

Investigations in a line of mice bred for varying levels of spontaneous compulsive nest-building behavior showed that females exhibit higher levels of nest-building behavior and had higher scores in the marble burying test, which has been suggested as a model of spontaneous compulsive behavior (Mitra, 2017a). Lactating females in the compulsive nest-building group had reduced compulsive-like behaviors compared to non-lactating and nulliparous females of the same genotype. Treatment of these non-lactating and nulliparous females with a D2 antagonist reduced their elevated nest building and marble burying behaviors (Mitra, 2017b); ovariectomy (OVX) exacerbated compulsive-like behaviors and anxiety, while treatment of OVX mice with an E2 agonist, β-estradiol, reduced them (Mitra, 2016). These findings suggest that, at least in

this model, states of increased estrogen mitigate compulsive behaviors, via a dopamine-regulated mechanism.

Another set of experiments found that genetic reduction of A-Kinase anchoring protein 13 (AKAP13), an estrogen receptor enhancer expressed in the hypothalamus and pituitary gland (Eddington, 2006), induced abnormal marble-burying and grooming behaviors in female mice but not in males, further suggesting a protective role for elevated estrogen in females (Baig, 2018). Overall, these experiments suggest an important interaction between estrogen and compulsive-like behavior that could explain some of the differences seen between women and men with OCD.

3.8.2. Serotonin

Serotonin dysfunction is commonly hypothesized to be an important contributor to OCD (Hesse et al., 2005; Marazziti et al., 1992; Sinopoli et al., 2017; Soomro et al., 2008; Stengler-Wenzke et al., 2004) primarily because of the therapeutic effect of serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SRIs) in the treatment of OCD patients (Pittenger, 2021; Skapinakis et al., 2016). In one set of genetic studies, introduction of a mutation, Thr276Ala, into the gene for the serotonin transporter (SERT), disrupting its conformation and regulation by phosphorylation, reduced dominance behavior in the tube test in male mice, but not females, and reduced marble-burying behavior in females, but not males (Meinke, 2020, 2022). This supports the relevance of the serotonin system to these plausibly OCD-relevant behaviors and is an elegant demonstration of differential effects of sex despite an identical genetic alteration.

A number of pharmacological studies have probed the serotonin system's modulation of potentially OCD-relevant behaviors. The 5-HT1A receptor agonist 8-hydroxy-2 (di-*n*-propylamino)-tetralin (8-OH-DPAT) reduces serotonin levels in the frontal cortex (Arora et al.,

2013) and has frequently been used to produce repetitive behaviors of potential relevance to OCD. In one study, the 8-OH-DPAT model was examined in older rats that were either experiencing irregular cycles (perhaps analogous to perimenopause in humans) or persistent diestrus (analogous to menopause) to draw parallels to the effects of menopause on OCD. Here, they found that 8-OH-DPAT enhanced perseverative choices in the T-maze only in rats with persistent diestrus, and not in females with irregular cycles or males at a comparable age (Olvera-Hernández, 2013). Administration of a 5-HT1B agonist increased marble-burying behavior in female mice, while reducing nestlet shredding, enhancing sucrose intake, and decreasing overall GABA protein levels (Salloum, 2024).

Metachlorophenylpiperazine (mCPP), a non-selective 5-HT2c agonist, induces excessive grooming behavior in male and female mice, a commonly used proxy for compulsive-like behavior (da Silva et al., 2022; Graf et al., 2003). After mCPP treatment, females, but not males, show deficits in extinction retention in a contextual fear paradigm, only in the metestrus/diestrus phases of the estrous cycle (Reimer, 2017). These findings suggest that serotonergic signaling interacts with estrogen in the regulation of plausibly OCD-relevant behaviors, with potential implications both for sexual dimorphism and for hormonal modulation of symptoms in women. Further investigation of these mechanisms is warranted.

3.8.3. Dopamine

Dysregulation of the dopaminergic system has long been associated with OCD (Bais et al., 2014; Denys et al., 2004; Hesse et al., 2005; Millet et al., 2003; Olver et al., 2009; Pittenger, 2021; Van Der Wee et al., 2004). Early imaging work in humans demonstrated that baseline dopaminergic function differs between the male and female brain, with women exhibiting higher dopamine synthesis capacity (Laakso et al., 2002; Pohjalainen et al., 1998) and increased

dopamine transporter activity (Hsiao et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2021; Zachry et al., 2021). More recently, measurements of evoked dopamine release in the dorsal striatum of mice showed that females have higher DA release and more rapid recovery compared to males, paralleling the results in humans (Arvidsson, 2014). In a dopamine β-hydroxylase knockout model, which increases dopamine and reduces norepinephrine levels, behavioral changes were comparable between males and females, although females exhibited enhanced cortisol responses (Lustberg, 2023). Further study of the contributions of these sexually dimorphic dopamine dynamics to relevant behaviors is warranted.

3.8.4. Norepinephrine

Knockout (KO) of Dopamine-β-hydroxylase (*Dbh*), which catalyzes the reaction that converts dopamine to norepinephrine, produces abnormal nest building and marble-burying behaviors in both male and female mice (Lustberg, 2020). In contrast to the effects of estrogen, serotonin, and dopamine manipulations, no sexual dimorphism has been described in these studies. However, further experiments are needed.

3.8.5. Synaptic dysregulation

Structural components of excitatory synapses are implicated in the pathophysiology of several neuropsychiatric disorders, including OCD. Manipulating some of these components impacted sexes differently.

The Disks Large Associate Protein 1 (Dlgap1, aka GKAP or SAPAP1), a postsynaptic scaffolding protein (Yao and Yang, 2003), is associated with several neuropsychiatric disorders, including OCD (Mattheisen et al., 2015; Pittenger, 2015; Stewart et al., 2013), autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Mosca et al., 2017), and schizophrenia (Kajimoto et al., 2003; Kirov et al., 2012; Rasmussen et al., 2017). While both female and male Dlgap1 KO mice showed shorter

grooming latencies, only males showed deficits in dig test and social approach behaviors. Interestingly, heterozygous male mice, but not full knockouts, showed significant increases in grooming bouts and grooming duration. This finding has been interpreted as suggesting a male-specific compensatory mechanism that emerges in the complete absence of Dlgap1 (Coba, 2018). In a separate study of Dlgap1 knockout mice, females exhibited longer non-scratching time than males, and fluvoxamine modulated this behavior only in females (Minagawa, 2025). Knockout of related protein SAPAP3, the mouse homolog of DLGAP3, produces compulsive-like behavioral abnormalities and striatal circuit changes (Wan et al., 2014; Wan et al., 2011; Welch et al., 2007), including network dysfunction and decreased power in local field potential oscillations in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex (Lei, 2019), but these effects have not been reported to differ between sexes, including in analyses of enhanced self-grooming and head-body twitches (Brownstein, 2024). One study suggests that SAPAP3 knockout alters grooming syntax differently across sexes, with increased paw grooming observed in females and greater overall grooming time in knockout males compared with control males, whereas differences between knockout and control females were smaller (Wilson, 2024).

Abnormal function of *metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs)* has also been implicated in OCD (Ade et al., 2016; Akkus et al., 2014; Karthik et al., 2020), and administration of ADX88178, a $mGlu_4$ receptor positive allosteric modulator, produced perseverative behavior in both male and female mice, but to a significantly higher degree in females (Kalinichev, 2014). Memantine, an NMDA receptor antagonist, increased nest-building behavior in females 24 hours after treatment at a dose of 10 mg/kg compared with males (Macedo et al., 2024). Similarly, S-ketamine, another NMDA receptor antagonist, reduced marble-burying behavior in female mice

at high doses, particularly in those previously identified as prone to more compulsive-like behaviors (Ayub, 2022).

Kv7.2 channel mutations are associated with early-onset epileptic encephalopathy and autism (Dirkx et al., 2020; Gamal El-Din et al., 2021; Lauritano et al., 2019; Lehman et al., 2017; Weckhuysen et al., 2012) but may also be relevant to OCD, as some manipulations result in compulsive-like grooming behaviors,. Knocking down Kv7.2 in mice to imitate the more common loss-of-function mutations seen in patients with Kv7.2 dysregulation produced abnormal hyperactive behavior, increased open arm entries in the elevated plus maze (suggestive of reduced anxiety), and enhanced grooming in both sexes but significantly more so in males. Furthermore, Kv7.2 heterozygous males, but not females, showed elevated marble-burying behavior and heightened social dominance (Kim, 2019). The differences in severity of behavioral outcomes between sexes in OCD-related gene manipulations suggest underlying sex-dependent differences in how these mutations affect synaptic function, or sexually dimorphic compensatory mechanisms.

The CYFIP1 gene, and the protein it encodes, is necessary for the maintenance and stability of neuron dendritic organization and complexity (Pathania et al., 2014); deletion of this gene in humans is seen in Type I Prader-Willi Syndrome (PWS) (Angulo et al., 2015), which is characterized by food-related and other compulsive behaviors (Dykens, 1999). In mice, *Cyfip1* haploinsufficiency produces significant increases in compulsive behavior in the marble-burying test and trends towards increased head dips in the hole board test. These phenotypes are modulated by genotype of a related gene, *Cyfip2*, which is implicated in binge-eating disorder, in a manner dependent on the sex of both the tested animal and the parent (Kirkpatrick et al., 2017).

Slitrk1, a gene involved in regulating axonal and dendritic growth as well as synapse formation, produces sex-specific phenotypes when knocked out. Although both male and female newborn mice exhibit abnormal vocalizations and increased growth of noradrenergic neurites in the prefrontal cortex, male mice show greater elevations in noradrenaline levels and increased serotonergic varicosities (Hatayama, 2022).

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified several loci near the protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type D gene (PTPRD) as genome-wide significant or strongly suggestive for association with OCD. This receptor is involved in cell adhesion and synaptic specification. In PTPRD knockout mice, no sex differences were observed in the open-field, digging, or splash grooming tests. However, sex-specific effects emerged in prepulse inhibition (PPI): knockout females exhibited PPI deficits, whereas males showed increased startle magnitude (Ho, 2023).

Synaptic regulation by interneurons, particularly the cholinergic interneurons (CINs) of the striatum, may also contribute to behavioral phenotypes relevant to OCD. Depletion of CINs produces a distinct behavioral profile in male mice, including reductions in acoustic prepulse inhibition (PPI) and impaired sensorimotor gating. When combined with spatial confinement stress, CIN depletion in males—but not females—leads to increased grooming and digging behaviors, more frequent head-jerking, deficits in cross-modal PPI, and enhanced marble burying (Caddedu, 2023). These findings suggest that striatal cholinergic interneurons may play a role in regulating stress-induced behavioral pathology relevant to OCD.

3.8.6. Glia

Glial abnormalities and inflammation are associated with numerous disorders; their contribution to the pathology of OCD is unclear, although studies in *HoxB8* knockout mice,

which exhibit elevated grooming, have suggested a link (Chen et al., 2010; Nagarajan et al., 2018). Depletion of Hoxb8-expressing microglia leads to compulsive grooming phenotypes in both sexes; Tränkner et al. (2019) found this grooming to be significantly more severe in females. Furthermore, OVX in female Hoxb8 KO mice reduced pathological grooming, while administration of estrogen and progesterone in male Hoxb8 KO mice enhanced it. Optogenetic stimulation of Hoxb8 microglia in the dorsomedial striatum or medial prefrontal cortex also produced abnormal grooming behaviors, however, no sex differences were apparent, indicating that direct stimulation might not pick up on the nuances apparent in a genetic depletion model (Nagarajan, 2024). The fact that manipulation of microglia leads to sex differences in pathological behaviors of potential relevance to OCD highlights this as a new area of interest.

3.8.7. Environmental stress

OCD is associated with elevated levels of stress and of cortisol (Adams et al., 2018; Faravelli et al., 2012; Gustafsson et al., 2008a; Labad et al., 2018; Sousa-Lima et al., 2019). In one study, early-life stress produced compulsive-like behaviors – perseverative nose poking in a lever pressing task – in female but not male rats (Brydges, 2015). Experimental preeclampsia in rats, a form of neonatal stress, induced elevated marble-burying behavior in offspring; this behavior was significantly greater in female rats than in males (Muzyko, 2020). These studies suggest that early stress may have a more significant impact on OCD-related behaviors in females than in males.

Sleep deprivation, a stressor that can interact with and exacerbate OCD symptoms, can also induce behavioral pathology. In female rats, REM sleep deprivation increased locomotion and center time in the open field, elevated marble-burying behavior, reduced pain thresholds, and decreased immobility time in the forced swim test. Interestingly, crocin—a compound with

neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory properties—normalized open-field locomotion, reduced marble burying, restored pain thresholds, and increased immobility time in the forced swim test (Houshyar, 2024).

Stress is associated with activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and elevated levels of cortisol (or corticosterone in mice), which acts primarily by binding the glucocorticoid receptor (GR/NR3C1). Knockout of GR/NR3C1 in the hindbrain produces potentially OCD-relevant behaviors: excessive barbering of the fur on the face, back, and stomach and repetitive digging behavior. Both males and females show this behavior, but they show different alterations of the HPA system: females showed elevated apoptosis in the adrenal cortex, while males showed elevated adrenal weight and blood corticosterone (Gannon, 2019). As a group, these studies suggest that stress may have greater impact on OCD-related outcomes in females. Further investigation of the interaction of stress and behavioral pathology relevant to OCD is warranted.

3.8.8. Summary of Key Considerations

The search strategy of this scoping review was not specifically designed to identify animal model studies that may be relevant to OCD. Thus, coverage of the relevant literature is likely incomplete. As noted above, work in animal models provides enormous advantages in fine-grained mechanistic analysis, causal manipulations, and rigor. However, seeking to establish with confidence a relationship between a study in animals and the pathophysiology of a complex human psychiatric disorder like OCD raises subtle and vexing challenges. We have not attempted to critically examine this relationship in the various models reviewed above; rather, we see them as framing a series of domains in which further work is needed. While interpretive humility is essential, the delineation of sex effects in animal model systems has important

potential to refine our understanding of the underlying systems and to frame hypotheses for testing in humans in future work.

4. Overall Discussion

The study of sex and gender differences has a complex and often controversial history. Some have argued that these differences form a mosaic of brain and behavior variations (Joel et al., 2015), possibly existing on a continuum (Zhang et al., 2021), while others dismiss the concept of a "gendered brain" all together (Eliot, 2019). A middle-ground perspective suggests that sex and gender differences exist but that their effect sizes are typically small in adults; they may be more pronounced during development (Grissom and Reyes, 2019). In the study of psychopathology, research on sex and gender has largely focused on developmental (e.g., autism, childhood schizophrenia), externalizing (e.g., ADHD, conduct disorder, substance use), internalizing (e.g., depression, anxiety, eating disorders), and personality disorders (Zahn-Waxler et al., 2006). Studies that systematically examine sex and gender effects in OCD remain limited.

Reviews of sex and gender effects in OCD have been published approximately every 10 years. They have evolved over the decades, from initially dismissing the significance of sex effects (Castle et al., 1995) to recognizing evidence of differences in epidemiological, phenomenological, and genetic studies (Lochner et al., 2004; Lochner and Stein, 2001; Mathis et al., 2011). Our scoping review aims to build on this work by systematically analyzing research published from July 2009 to March 2025, starting where Mathis et al. (2011) left off. We have reviewed original studies on sex and gender influences across clinical, epidemiological, neurobiological, genetic, and treatment-related aspects of OCD. We have also summarized meta-analyses and systematic and narrative reviews that were published during the same period (see

Appendix 1).

We find a wealth of research but a lack of synthesis. Between July 1st 2009 and March 17th 2025, 767 original studies investigated sex and gender effects in various aspects of OCD, including clinical presentation, neurobiology, genetics, and treatment outcomes. While many studies reported negative or contradictory findings, some clear patterns emerged. Despite 61 meta-analyses, 13 systematic reviews, and 39 narrative reviews that addressed sex and gender differences during this period, many of these patterns remain underexplored. We have outlined these patterns and attempted to synthesize evidence to develop some hypotheses for future research.

There has been profound neglect of distinctions between sex and gender in this research literature. Among the 712 human studies reviewed, only four assessed both sex and gender of study participants. Two studies using the same sample (Rautio, 2020; 2022) identified four transgender individuals within a cohort of 172 participants with body dysmorphic disorder. Brooks (2022) identified six transgender or gender-diverse individuals in a general population sample of 594 participants. Bezahler (2022) examined treatment outcomes among sexual minorities (N = 34). Across the broader literature, most studies appear to conflate sex and gender, making no effort to account for participants whose sex assigned at birth and gender identity may not align. Emerging research suggests that misalignment between sex as assigned at birth and experienced gender in gender minorities is associated with chronic stress and ongoing trauma and may uniquely affect the interplay between biological and social factors (Cardona et al., 2022; Pantalone et al., 2020). Ignoring these complexities may limit the interpretability of findings and contribute to inconsistent results.

This scoping review had four key goals: (1) to provide a comprehensive and systematic survey of evidence concerning sex and gender effects in OCD; (2) to assess methodological considerations in this body of research; (3) to identify knowledge gaps; and (4) to propose a roadmap for future hypothesis-driven investigations into sex and gender effects in OCD, incorporating recommendations for precision medicine approaches. In addition to addressing these points in each section separately, we offer an overall summary of the key considerations here.

4.1. Summary of Key Considerations

4.1.1. Methodological concerns

- The lack of systematic assessment of biological sex and gender: Most of the reviewed research did not ask the participants to report both sex, as assigned at birth, and gender identity. Individuals were simply asked to report whether they are men or women (or male or female); in many studies the details of how this question was posed or a determination was made are not available. There is virtually no research on OCD presentation in nonbinary and transgender individuals. This gap is particularly notable in large epidemiological and genetic studies.
- Variability in Assessment Methods: There are significant differences between studies using self-report measures and those employing clinician-administered assessments. These discrepancies, particularly pronounced in pediatric populations relying on parental and child reports, may introduce biases, such as gender differences in insight, perceived social desirability, and social norms. These discrepancies also complicate analysis of the role of comorbid conditions and symptoms, including across development. Self-report methods often fail to capture nuances that clinician-administered assessments can address,

underscoring the need for standardized, clinician-led evaluations, especially for younger individuals.

- Diagnostic Cutoffs: The DSM criteria for distinguishing between subthreshold and clinically significant symptoms are relatively arbitrary. A focus on individuals with an OCD diagnosis can exclude individuals with near-threshold symptoms, potentially skewing observed gendered symptom profiles. Including data on subclinical symptoms may provide a more complete understanding of sex and gender differences and enhance the accuracy of symptom characterization.
- Subtyping into more homogeneous subgroups: Much research has focused on clinically identified domains of OCD symptomatology, such as checking and contamination. Recent studies suggest value in examining symptom co-occurrence using data-driven clustering. Evidence reviewed above suggests that OCD subtypes may be more heterogeneous in adult women than in men. Data-driven subtyping can complement analyses based on traditional clinical categories and dimensions and may provide insights into the underlying mechanisms of symptoms across genders and sexes. This is particularly relevant for examining comorbidities and co-occurring physical symptoms, which may contribute importantly to the experience of individuals with OCD, their distress and disability, and the mechanistic complexities that underlie their unique presentations.
- Accounting for menstrual phase in cycling women: Approximately half of women with OCD report that their symptoms fluctuate across the phases of the menstrual cycle. Despite this, most studies assess symptoms at a single time point. For instance, in treatment studies, symptoms are often measured only once before and once after treatment. This assumes the short-term temporal stability of OCD symptoms and related measures across phases of the

menstrual cycle – an assumption that is often demonstrably incorrect. This may introduce significant variability, obscuring meaningful effects of the diagnosis itself and of its interactions with sex and gender factors.

4.1.2. Unanswered Questions

- How do biological sex and gender identity interact in contributing to OCD symptomology and treatment response? How do these interactions evolve across development?
- What can genome-wide studies and whole-brain functional imaging studies reveal about sex and gender effects in OCD?
- Could sex- and gender–mindful approaches to assessment of OCD symptoms improve diagnostics (e.g., different cutoff levels in males and females, different instruments for assessment, accounting for phases of menstrual cycle in cycling females)? Should such approaches also be tailored to different life stages, such as childhood, puberty, and geriatric populations?
- Do comorbid conditions impact the presentation of obsessions and compulsions differently in sexes and genders and across age groups (especially in pediatric samples where diagnosis can be more complicated)?
- Do gender differences in help-seeking across age groups bias our understanding of the phenomenology of OCD?
- Can we develop effective preventive or early interventions for OCD by targeting known vulnerability periods, such as earlier childhood for boys with high familial risk, reproductive events for adolescent girls and women, or in the aftermath of trauma?

4.1.3. Hypotheses for future studies

Research on sex and gender differences in OCD can provide valuable insights into the specific needs and challenges faced by men and women, as well as inform approaches for personalized treatment. Additionally, it offers a perspective on the heterogeneity of OCD.

Previous studies have proposed distinct OCD subtypes, including based on the age of onset (Poletti et al., 2023; Rosenberg and Keshavan, 1998; Taylor, 2011) or a history of trauma or precipitating stressors (Hühne et al., 2024; Rowsell and Francis, 2015). Early-onset OCD (before puberty) has been consistently reported to be more common in boys (Nakatani, 2011; Wang, 2011; Albert, 2015; Grover, 2018). Later-onset OCD (after age 40) has been reported as more frequent in women (Frydman, 2014; Albert, 2015; Sharma, 2015); however, this difference disappears after controlling for PTSD diagnosis and a history of pregnancy (Frydman, 2014). Results on gender differences in stress-precipitated OCD are less consistent, with some studies reporting female bias (Real, 2011; Rosso, 2012), and others finding no difference (Fontenelle et al., 2012). The symptom presentation, course, and structural organization of the brain may differ in stress-related OCD compared to non-stress-related OCD (Goldberg, 2015; Real, 2016).

Synthesizing literature on sex and gender differences allows us to enrich and refine these models. We propose two OCD subtypes (OCD-I and OCD-II), which we detail below focusing on two questions: "*Are males or females more susceptible to this OCD subtype?*" and "*Are risk factors for this OCD subtype more common in males or in females?*" OCD-I and OCD-II may differ in symptom presentation and progression, comorbidity profiles, stress responses, genetic markers, neurocognitive functioning, cortisol regulation, and treatment responses.

Early-onset OCD has a male preponderance and a higher familial risk (Eichstedt and Arnold, 2001; Geller et al., 1998; Geller et al., 2003). We term this form of the condition *OCD-I.* In addition to earlier onset in boys and higher familial or genetic risk, it is characterized by a less

robust cortisol regulation system (Gustafsson et al., 2008b) and by frequent comorbidity with neurodevelopmental disorders such as TD, ASD, ADHD, and schizophrenia (Grassi et al., 2022). A male bias in earlier onset is frequently seen in these neurodevelopmental disorders and has been attributed to a female protective effect (or, equivalently, to differential vulnerability in males, who may be more susceptible to prenatal and early-life stressors) (Breach and Lenz, 2022; Jacquemont et al., 2014; Pinares-Garcia et al., 2018). The mechanisms underlying this female protective effect are unclear; but its evolutionary role may be to anticipate and to offset the higher reproductive stress load that females typically experience (Singh et al., 2021).

We suggest that OCD-I may occur more frequently in females than is generally recognized and may even occur at similar rates across men and women, but that its onset is later in girls and women, due to this female protective effect. This would be analogous to the later age of onset of major symptoms in girls and women that is seen in autism and schizophrenia (Bargiela et al., 2016; Selvendra et al., 2022). Later onset in females may occur if and when life stresses – particularly reproductive cycle events – overwhelm the female protective effect (Real, 2011; Rosso, 2012). To experience early onset of OCD-I, girls may need to have higher familial risk for neurodevelopmental difficulties and may therefore have elevated rates of neurodevelopmental comorbidities. Consistent with this idea, our review revealed that in children with neurodevelopmental diagnoses, the male bias in early-onset OCD seen in the general population is reduced, or even reversed to a female bias (see Table 3 and Section 3.4). Similarly, the male bias in the prevalence of ADHD and impulse control disorders seen in the general population is reduced in children and adults with OCD (see Table 2 and Section 3.4).

We propose that a second subtype of OCD, which we term *OCD-II*, is less strongly genetic/familial and tends to onset following stress, including traumatic stress. Because the

likelihood of experiencing a significant stressful event increases with age (Benjet et al., 2016; Cohen et al., 2019), OCD-II tends to have a later onset than OCD-I (especially in males, in whom OCD-I onset is particularly early) and is more common in adults. OCD-II is likely to be more common in women, simply because women are more frequently exposed to reproductive and interpersonal stresses and to trauma (especially intimate partner and sexual trauma) in our society (Benjet et al., 2016; Valentine et al., 2019). If this is so, OCD-II would be predicted to show no female bias in highly stressed or traumatized populations. Consistent with this, OCD comorbid with PTSD shows no gender differences (Nacasch, 2011). In highly traumatized populations, such as hospitalized veterans, OCD prevalence is similarly high in men and women (Nacasch, 2011; Taghva, 2017). Sexual trauma and unwanted sexual experiences are far more common in adolescent girls and women (Spence, 1997), but the likelihood of experiencing OCS following these experiences, when they occur, appears to be similar across genders (Barzilay, 2018).

Strong evidence links unwanted sexual experiences to contamination-related OCD symptoms (Peles, 2014; Waller, 2014; Ishikawa, 2015; Kennedy, 2017). Contamination symptoms occur at similar rates in boys and girls (Cervin, 2020; Schultz, 2018), but their onset after puberty and before age 18 is significantly more likely in girls (F:M OR = 16.7; Grover, 2018). This female bias continues into adulthood, though it becomes less pronounced (F:M OR = 4.2; Grover, 2018), mirroring gender differences in the prevalence of unwanted sexual experiences across age groups (Benjet et al., 2016; Spence, 1997).

Further systematic investigations are needed to examine how sexual and other types of trauma impact symptoms presentation in males and females and what factors lead to the development of OCD-II—rather than, for example, PTSD or anxiety disorders—following

significant stressors. While differential genetic predispositions may play a role, environmental factors and variable use of coping strategies could also contribute. For example, Peles et al. (2014) found that sexually abused women with a history of opioid addiction were more likely to develop OCD, whereas those without opioid addiction exhibited higher rates of PTSD and dissociation. They speculated that opioid use might help mitigate PTSD and dissociative symptoms but increase risk for OCS. Future studies should explore this hypothesis across diverse traumatized populations. Overall, while the course and presentation of OCD-II is likely to be similar in males and females, this subtype may be more common in adolescent girls and adult women—not due to greater susceptibility but because they are more likely to experience the stressors that trigger OCD-II.

Differentiating OCD-I and OCD-II based on the age of onset and history of precipitating stressors may work well in males. However, in females, both OCD-I and OCD-II are more likely to present later in life (during or after puberty) and be linked to stressful (e.g., reproductive) events, making differentiation more challenging. The co-occurrence of OCD-I and OCD-II in adult women could help to explain the higher heterogeneity in cognitive and symptom profiles seen in women with OCD, compared to men (Raines, 2014; Shkalim, 2017; Sections 3.2.1 and 3.6.1). A subset of women experience OCD onset during reproductive events, and one-third to one-half of women with OCD report symptom fluctuations across the phases of the menstrual cycle (Guglielmi et al., 2014; Vulink et al., 2006); these differences might serve as biomarkers for distinguishing OCD-I and OCD-II in women. Detailed examination of natural history, genetic predispositions, and comorbidity profiles in women whose symptoms are linked to reproductive stresses, compared to those without such links, could help address this question. If the two

proposed subtypes are validated, differentiating between them will improve diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment selection for males and females with OCD.

4.2. Conclusion

Researching sex and gender differences in OCD is not just about uncovering variations in clinical presentation and underlying mechanisms—it is also about advancing a more inclusive and precise understanding of a complex disorder that affects millions of lives. Recognizing how biological and social factors impact individuals with OCD will help us develop targeted treatments, identify underexplored risk factors, and ultimately improve outcomes for diverse populations. Ignoring these differences risks perpetuating gaps in care, particularly for groups like women, gender minorities, and trauma-affected individuals whose unique needs have historically received less attention. Understanding sex and gender effects in OCD is therefore a critical step toward greater equity in mental health research and care, and toward capturing the full complexity of the disorder. In 2015, the NIH mandated consideration of sex as a biological variable in federally funded research, including the inclusion of both male and female animals in preclinical studies. Consistent with the expected lag between policy implementation and publication, Figure 2 shows an increase in studies reporting sex and gender differences in the years following this policy. We hope this trend will continue, promoting more systematic study of sex and gender in OCD research.

Funding: This work was funded in part by the State of Connecticut through its support of the Ribicoff Research Facilities at the Connecticut Mental Health Center. The views presented are those of the authors and not of the State of Connecticut or of the CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services.

Declaration of Interests:

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. In the past three years, C.P. has consulted for Biohaven Pharmaceuticals, Ceruvia Neurosciences, UCB BioPharma, Freedom Biosciences, Transcend Therapeutics, Alco Therapeutics, Lucid/Care, Nobilis Therapeutics, Mind Therapeutics, F-Prime Capital, and Madison Avenue Partners. He holds equity in Alco Therapeutics, Mind Therapeutics, and Lucid/Care. He receives or has received research support from Biohaven Pharmaceuticals, Freedom Biosciences, and Transcend Therapeutics. He receives royalties from Oxford University Press and UpToDate. He has filed patents on pharmacological treatments for OCD and related disorders, psychedelic therapeutics, and autoantibodies in OCD. M.H.B. reports grant or research support from SciSparc, Emalex Biosciences, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Neurocrine Biosciences, and the National Institute of Health. He has also received royalties from Wolters Kluwer for Lewis ′s Child and Adolescent Psychiatry: A Comprehensive Textbook, Fifth Edition and moonlighting pay from the Veteran ′s Administration. In the past three years, E.O. has received research support or grants from the National Institutes of Health, The Hartwell Foundation, Tourette Association of America, International OCD Foundation, Misophonia Research Fund, Yale Center for Clinical Investigation, and Yale Child Study Center. She serves as chair of the Early Career Investigator Program Committee and on the Board of Directors for the International Society of Psychiatric

Genetics (unpaid). She is also a member of the Research Committee for American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry (unpaid). The other authors declare no competing interests.

Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing:

During the preparation of this work the authors used ChatGPT in order to improve the readability and language of the manuscript. After using this tool/service, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the published article.

Tables:

Table 1. Gender differences in symptoms and other burden in individuals with Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder.

Age	M < F	M ~ F	M > F
Presence of Symptoms			
all ages	Contamination (Zhang, 2013)	Health-related OCs (Nanthakumar 2025)	
< 18	Symmetry/hoarding (Højgaard 2017) 'Not-just-right' experiences in younger kids (Ravid 2013)	Sexual obsessions (Fernández 2013) Harm Avoidance, Sexual, Contamination (Højgaard 2017) Religious (Wu 2018) Incompleteness (Sibrava 2016)	'Not-just-right' experiences in older kids (Ravid 2013)
18+	Contamination (Matsunaga 2010) Overall OCs, Aggressive, Contamination, Hoarding (Torresan 2013) Reactive OCs (Atli 2014)	Scrupulosity (Siev 2011) Avoidance (Starcevik 2011) Checking (Citkowska-Kisielewska 2020)	Sexual orientation (Williams 2011) Sexual, Religious, Checking, Counting, Repeating (Cherian 2014) Sexual orientation (Williams 2015) Autogenous Obsessions (Atli 2014)

			Autogenous Obsessions (Atli 2014) Autogenous Obsessions (Batmaz 2016)
Severity of Symptoms			
< 18	Overall OCs (Alvarenga 2015) Overall OCs (Pozza 2017) Overall OCs, Symmetry (Cervin 2020) Ordering (Rough 2020) Overall OCs (Piqueras 2021)	Aggressive, Contamination (Cervin 2020) Overall OCs (Orgilés, 2012) Overall OCs (Schultz 2018) Overall OCs (Lambe 2021)	Sexual, Religious (Vivan 2013)
18+	Contamination (Jang 2010) Overall OCs, Contamination, Harm Avoidance, Symmetry (López-Solà 2014) Overall OCs (Storch 2015) Overall OCs (Chamberlain 2016) Overall OCs (Al-Awad 2024)	Aggressive (Cherian 2014) Symmetry, Ordering (Torresan 2013) Overall OCs (Forsén Mantilla 2022) Overall OCs (Benatti 2022) Overall OCs (Guazzini 2022)	Unacceptable Thoughts (Yap 2018) Sexual, Religious (Destrée 2020) Contamination + Unacceptable Thoughts, Harm Avoidance + Symmetry, Unacceptable Thoughts + Symmetry (Raines 2014) Sexual, Religious (Torresan 2013) Sexual Orientation OCs (Ching 2021)

	Overall OCs (Mahjani 2022)	Overall OCs (Barnhart 2025) Relationship/Partner OCs (Mancin 2024)	
Other burden			
18+	Marital Maladjustment (Rosa 2012) Reduced QOL (Jahangard 2018) Sexual Inhibition (Pozza 2019, 2020a, Dèttore 2021) Reduced emotional QOL (Kugler 2013) Occupational disability (Yalim 2024) Motor accidents (Mataix-Cols	Reduced social/general/physical QOL (Kugler 2013) Negative impact of social media on mood (Guazzini 2022) Overall disability (Benatti 2022) Religious OCs (Ayoub 2024) Prehospital delay (Karagöz 2021) Quality of Life (Zerdziński 2022) Social anhedonia (Lu 2023) Sexual dysfunction (Pozza 2021)	Never married (Muhlbauer 2021) Labor market marginalization (Pérez-Vigil 2018) Loneliness (Friedman-Ezra 2024) Aggression (Puşuroğlu 2024) Natural-cause mortality (Meier 2017)

2022)	Delay seeking medical care
Unnatural-cause mortality (Meier 2017)	(Karagöz, 2021)
Sexual dysfunction (Zerdziński 2022)	

Note: M < F = higher in females; M > F = higher in males; M ~ F = no significant sex difference; OCs – obsessive-compulsive symptoms; QOL – quality of life.

Table 2. Gender differences in prevalence of comorbid and cooccurring conditions in OCD as compared to that in the general population.

Age	M < F	M ~ F	M > F
Any or multiple comorbid conditions			
< 18	Any CC (Rintala 2017) Internalizing (Ortiz 2016) Affective (Yan 2022a)	Any CC (Huang 2014, Vivan 2014, Arildskov 2016) 1 CC (Arildskov 2016) Neurodevelopmental (Mohammadi 2021)	Any CC (Farrell 2012) 2+ CC (Arildskov 2016) Developmental (Rintala 2017) OC-related (Öcal 2019) Low comorbidities (Smárason 2024)
18+	Any CC (Huang 2014, Benatti 2020a) Affective (Brakoulias 2025)		Any CC (Torres 2013; Torresan 2013)
Conditions more prevalent in women than in men in the general population (expected **M < F**)			
Anxiety spectrum			
< 18	Any anxiety (Rintala 2017) GAD (Tanidir 2015; Efe 2022a) Specific phobia (Tanidir 2015)	Any anxiety (Tanidir 2015; Peris 2017; Vivan 2014; Arildskov 2016) Mood disorders (Mohammadi 2021)	Any anxiety (Huang 2014)

		Social Phobia/ Specific Phobia (Efe 2022a)	
18+	GAD (Al-Asadi 2015; Brakoulias 2025) SAD (Al-Asadi 2015) Panic /Panic with Agoraphobia (Torres 2014; Al-Asadi 2015; Klenfeldt 2024) Specific phobia (Al-Asadi 2015; Torresan 2013; Klenfeldt 2024) Separation anxiety (Torresan 2013)	Any anxiety (Benatti 2020; Huang 2014; Torresan 2013) GAD (Sharma 2021; Huang 2014; Torresan 2013; Klenfeldt 2024) Agoraphobia (Torres 2014) Social phobia (Klenfeldt 2024) Specific phobia (Rudy 2014; Efe 2022a) Panic (Huang 2014; Torresan 2013)	Social phobia (Assunção 2012; Huang 2014; Cherian 2013; Torresan 2013)
Depression			
< 18	DD (Storch 2012; Brown 2015; Rintala 2017) Severity of Depressive Sx (Vivan 2014)	DD (Tanidir 2015; Peris 2017; Huang 2014; Arildskov 2016)	
18+	MDD (Riddle 2023; Efe 2022a)	MDD (Vivan 2014; Huang 2014)	

	DD (Viswanath 2012) MDD (Cherian 2013; Brakoulias 2025)	DD (Timpano 2012; Huang 2014; Klenfeldt 2024) MDD (Quarantini 2011; Altıntaş 2015; Al-Asadi 2015; Huang 2014; Torresan 2013; Hathway 2024)	
Suicidality			
18+	SI/SA (Chaudhary 2016, Velloso 2016, De la Cruz 2017, Breet, 2019, Chaudhary 2016; Cherian 2013) OCD + DD ↑↑ SA (Gupta 2014) OCD+ Panic ↑↑ SA (Tsai 2025) OCD + SAD ↑↑ SA (Wei 2025)	SI ↑↑ Compulsive D/O (Veisani 2017) SA (Demirkol 2019; Benatti 2021; Chen 2024) OCD+MDD ↑↑ SA (Samuels 2023)	Violent/horrific images ↑↑ SA (Samuels 2023) OCD + TD↑↑SA (Benatti 2020b)
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)			
< 18		PTSD (Vivan 2014; Efe 2022a)	
18+	PTSD (Al-Asadi 2015; Brakoulias 2025)	PTSD (Huang 2014; Torresan 2013)	

Eating Disorders			
< 18	ED (Rintala 2017; Efe 2022a)		
18+	ED (Torresan 2013) SSD, AN, BN (Torresan 2013)	ED (Al-Asadi 2015; Benatti 2020) AN/ BN (Al-Asadi 2015)	Binge* (Torresan 2013)
Compulsive buying disorder (CBD)			
18+	CBD (Kim 2018; Torresan 2013)		
Trichotillomania (TTM), Skin Picking Disorder (SPD), Hair Pooling Disorder (HPD), and Grooming disorders (GD)			
18+	GD (Lovato 2012) TTM (Gerstenblith 2019) TTM (Torresan 2013) SPD (Torresan 2013) HPD (Brakoulias 2025)		
<u>Conditions similarly prevalent in women and in men in the general population (expected M ~ F)</u>			
Bipolar Disorder (BD)			
< 18	BD (Rintala 2017)	BD (Huang 2014; Vivan 2014; Efe 2022a)	

18+		BD (Timpano 2012; Huang 2014; Torresan 2013; Klenfeldt 2024)	
Body Dysmorphic Disorders (BDD)			
< 18		BDD (Racz 2022)	
18+	BDD (Al-Asadi 2015)	BDD (Costa 2012; Torresan 2013)	
Hoarding Disorder (HD)			
18+	HD Sx (Torres 2012; Catb 2017)	HD (Chen 2017; Samuels 2018; Boerema 2019)	HD (Mathews 2014)
Personality Disorders			
18+		Any (Benatti 2020) OCPD (Lochner 2011)	

<u>Conditions more prevalent in men than in women in the general population (expected M > F)</u>

Schizophrenia (SZ)

< 18	SZ (Huang 2014)	
18+	SZ (Klenfeldt 2024)	SZ (Huang 2011; Huang 2014)

Psychotic disorders		
< 18	Psychosis (Borelli 2023; Mohammadi 2021)	Psychosis (Rintala 2017)
18+	Psychosis (Benatti 2020)	
Autism spectrum disorder (ASD)		
< 18	ASD (Peris 2017; Huang 2014)	ASD (Arildskov 2016; Martin 2020; Rintala 2017; Zijlmans 2017; Martin 2020; Efe 2022a) ASD Sx (Arildskov 2016) ASD Sx severity (Arildskov 2016)
18+	ASD (Mito 2014)	
Tic Disorders (TD) and Tourette's Syndrome (TS)		
all ages		TD (Brander 2019)
< 18	TD (Yan 2022)	TD (Tanidir 2015; Peris et al., 2017)
		TD (Vivan 2014; Højgaard 2016; Rintala 2017; Arildskov 2016; Nikolajsen 2011; Efe 2022a)

18+	TD (Pinto 2016; Benatti 2020; Mukai 2021) TD + ADHD (Pinto 2016) TS (Torresan 2013)	TD (Vries 2016; Ekinci 2020; Rintala 2017; Torresan 2013; Hakimi 2022)
Externalizing		
< 18	Any externalizing (Arildskov 2016) ADHD (Tanidir 2015; Vivan 2014; Yan 2022) ODD (Efe 2022a)	Any Externalizing (Peris 2017; Zijlmans 2017; Ortiz 2016) ADHD (Rintala et al., 2017; Arildskov 2016; Pinto 2016; Efe 2022ab) CD (Rintala 2017; Efe 2022a) ODD (Tanidir 2015;)
18+	ADHD (Blanco-Viera 2018) ADHD + TD (Pinto 2016)	
Substance Use		
18+ Tobacco (Dell'Osso 2015)	SUD (Benatti 2020; Al-Asadi 2015; Klenfeldt 2024)	AUD (Torresan 2013; Al-Asadi 2015; Klenfeldt

		Cannabis (Benatti 2023; Jacobs 2025) Alcohol/ Tobacco (Jacobs 2025; Mohammadi 2021)	2024) SUD (Virtanen 2025)
Impulse control			
< 18		Any impulse control (Vivan 2014)	
18+	Impulse control (Torresan 2013) Kleptomania (Fuss 2019)	Explosive Disorder (Torresan 2013) Internet addict (Torresan 2013) Kleptomania (Torresan 2013) Path. gambling (Torresan 2013) Pyromania /IED (Fuss 2019; Torresan 2013)	Any impulse control (Fuss 2019) CSBD (Fuss 2019) NPSD (Torresan 2013), Pathological gambling (Al-Asadi 2015) Videogame addict (Torresan 2013)

Note: Given the variety of methods used to assess presence and severity of psychiatric conditions, we expect to see some heterogeneity in estimates of F:M odds ratios in prevalence of co-occurring conditions. Shaded in grey cells represent the relative prevalence across genders of these conditions in the general population, to facilitate the comparison. M < F = higher in females; M > F = higher in males; M ~ F = no significant sex difference.

Table 3. Gender differences in prevalence of OCD in other Psychiatric and general medical conditions as compared to that in the general population.

OCD prevalence M < F	**OCD prevalence M ~ F**	**OCD prevalence M > F**
Children: prevalence in the general population tends to be greater in boys than in girls (expected M > F)		
OCD in ASD (Martin 2020) OCD in ADHD (Inci 2019) OCD in CUD (Fernández- Artamendi 2021) OCD in AUD (Harford 2015)	OCD in BDD (Schneider 2019; Rautio 2020) OCD in ASD (Margari 2019) OCD in TD (Groth 2017; Greenberg 2018) OCD in AUD (Fernández- Artamendi 2021) OCD in cancer pts (Zahed 2020)	
Adults: prevalence in the general population tends to be higher in women than in men (expected M < F)		
OCD in BD-I (Saunders 2012) OCD in TD (Pinto 2016) OCs in TD (Rodgers 2013)	OCD in PTSD (Nacasch 2011) OCD in CBD (De Mattos 2016) OCD in TTM (Grant 2016)	OCs in DD (Hofmeijer-Sevink 2018) OCD in BD-I, II, NOS (Di Salvo 2020) OCD in HD (Mathews 2014)

OCD in TD+ ADHD (Pinto 2016) OCD in TD+ ADHD (Pinto 2016) OCD ↑↑ risk SUD (trend, Blom 2011) OCD in systemic autoimmune diseases (Wang 2019) FMR1 ↑↑ OCs severity (Schneider 2016)	OCD in BD-I (Kim 2014; Kazhungil 2017) OCD in BPD (Silberschmidt 2015) OCD in SZ (Faragian 2012; Bener 2018; Kokurcan 2020) OCD in Psychosis (Hagen 2013; Sullivan 2018) OCD in SUD (Blom 2011; Al-Asadi 2015) OCD/OCs in epilepsy (Hamed 2013; Kilicaslan 2020) OCD in migraine (Goulart 2014) OCD in restless leg syndrome (Ghorayeb 2019) OCs in Parkinson's (Saadat 2020)	OCD in SZ (Bosanac 2013; Devi 2015; Baek 2020) OCD ↑↑ risk for SZ (Cheng 2019) OCD in ADHD (Pinto 2016) OCD in daily migraine (Goulart 2014)

Note: Shaded in grey cells represent the relative prevalence across genders of these conditions in the general population, to facilitate the comparison; M < F = higher in females; M > F = higher in males; M ~ F = no significant sex difference.

Table 4. Gender differences in treatment response.

Treatment	Age	M < F	M ~ F	M > F
SRIs	< 18	FLX (Marazziti, 2012) FLX, norfluoxetine, FLX + NORFLX (Blazquez, 2014)	Personalized SRI (Cifter 2022)	
	18 +	Worse after discontinuing SRI (Tyler 2024) FLX + GCBT (Belotto-Silva 2012)	Sertraline, Paroxetine, FLX (Selvi, 2011) FLX (Astaneh 2022)	FLX (Bharthi, 2024)
CBT, ERP	< 18		Group-based ERP (Olino, 2011) CBT (Torp 2015, Højgaard, 2018) CBT+ERP (Storch 2018) Family CBT (Peris, 2020) CBT (Duholm, 2024)	
	18 +	post iCBT (Wootton, 2024b)	Group CBT (Safak, 2014) Group ERP (Sunde, 2019)	3 mo post iCBT (Wootton, 2024b) ERP > SMT (Maher, 2010)

		ERP (Blakey, 2019) Bergen ERP (Tjelle, 2024)	
	< 18	ERP + person meds (Højgaard, 2020) ERP + GCBT + family + meds (Kardaş, 2024)	Family-based CBT (Rudy, 2014)
Naturalistic	18 +	Personalized (Eisen, 2013) Personalized treatment (Semiz, 2013) Personalized meds (Lisoway, 2018) ERP + groups + behavior/ family +meds (Falkenstein, 2019) Personalized meds (Albert, 2019) CBT or SSRI (Samuels, 2019) Personalized ERP and meds (Nanjundaswamy, 2020) IOP/ PHP (Franklin, 2024)	
Other	18	tDCS (Bation 2019)	Capsulotomy (Ruck 2012)

+		rTMS (Metin, 2019) TMS (Storch 2021) Counter conditioning (Novara 2021) Folic acid+fluoxetine no response (Tural, 2019) Caffeine (Shams, 2019)	Deep brain stimulation (Mar-Barrutia 2024)

Figure Names and Captions:

Figure 1. Flowchart of the process of identification of studies for the review.

Figure 2. The number of papers on each reviewed topic is presented by year. Publications from 2010 and 2025 are excluded as the review period did not cover all months of these years. In 2015, the NIH mandated the use of both male and female animals in funded research. A two-year lag was included to account for the time required to publish results. Data from years before the policy's impact are color-coded in grey, while data following the policy's impact are shown in blue.

Figure 3. Female-to-male odds ratios in incidence and prevalence of OCD in pediatric and adult samples. F – female, M – male.

References:

Abramovitch, A., Pizzagalli, D.A., Geller, D.A., Reuman, L., Wilhelm, S., 2015. Cigarette smoking in obsessive-compulsive disorder and unaffected parents of OCD patients. European psychiatry 30 (1), 137-144.

Abramovitch, A., Pizzagalli, D.A., Reuman, L., Wilhelm, S., 2014. Anhedonia in obsessive-compulsive disorder: beyond comorbid depression. Psychiatry research 216 (2), 223-229.

Abramowitz, J.S., Deacon, B.J., Olatunji, B.O., Wheaton, M.G., Berman, N.C., Losardo, D., Timpano, K.R., McGrath, P.B., Riemann, B.C., Adams, T., 2010. Assessment of obsessive-compulsive symptom dimensions: development and evaluation of the Dimensional Obsessive-Compulsive Scale. Psychological assessment 22 (1), 180.

Adams, T.G., Kelmendi, B., Brake, C.A., Gruner, P., Badour, C.L., Pittenger, C., 2018. The role of stress in the pathogenesis and maintenance of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Chronic Stress 2, 2470547018758043.

Ade, K.K., Wan, Y., Hamann, H.C., O'Hare, J.K., Guo, W., Quian, A., Kumar, S., Bhagat, S., Rodriguiz, R.M., Wetsel, W.C., 2016. Increased metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 signaling underlies obsessive-compulsive disorder-like behavioral and striatal circuit abnormalities in mice. Biological Psychiatry 80 (7), 522-533.

Akkus, F., Terbeck, S., Ametamey, S.M., Rufer, M., Treyer, V., Burger, C., Johayem, A., Mancilla, B.G., Sovago, J., Buck, A., 2014. Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 binding in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. International journal of neuropsychopharmacology 17 (12), 1915-1922.

Altemus, M., Sarvaiya, N., Epperson, C.N., 2014. Sex differences in anxiety and depression clinical perspectives. Frontiers in neuroendocrinology 35 (3), 320-330.

Angulo, M., Butler, M., Cataletto, M., 2015. Prader-Willi syndrome: a review of clinical, genetic, and endocrine findings. Journal of endocrinological investigation 38, 1249-1263.

Arksey, H., O'Malley, L., 2005. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. International journal of social research methodology 8 (1), 19-32.

Arora, T., Bhowmik, M., Khanam, R., Vohora, D., 2013. Oxcarbazepine and fluoxetine protect against mouse models of obsessive compulsive disorder through modulation of cortical serotonin and CREB pathway. Behavioural Brain Research 247, 146-152.

Bais, M., Figee, M., Denys, D., 2014. Neuromodulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatric Clinics 37 (3), 393-413.

Bandelow, B., Baldwin, D., Abelli, M., Bolea-Alamanac, B., Bourin, M., Chamberlain, S.R., Cinosi, E., Davies, S., Domschke, K., Fineberg, N., 2017. Biological markers for anxiety disorders, OCD and PTSD: A consensus statement. Part II: Neurochemistry, neurophysiology and neurocognition. The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry 18 (3), 162-214.

Bargiela, S., Steward, R., Mandy, W., 2016. The experiences of late-diagnosed women with autism spectrum conditions: An investigation of the female autism phenotype. Journal of autism and developmental disorders 46, 3281-3294.

Bartz, J.A., Hollander, E., 2006. Is obsessive–compulsive disorder an anxiety disorder? Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry 30 (3), 338-352.

Bauermeister, J.J., Shrout, P.E., Chávez, L., Rubio□Stipec, M., Ramírez, R., Padilla, L., Anderson, A., García, P., Canino, G., 2007. ADHD and gender: are risks and sequela of ADHD the same for boys and girls? Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 48 (8), 831-839.

Beijers, L., van Loo, H.M., Romeijn, J.-W., Lamers, F., Schoevers, R.A., Wardenaar, K.J., 2022. Investigating data-driven biological subtypes of psychiatric disorders using specification-curve analysis. Psychological Medicine 52 (6), 1089-1100.

Bekker, M.H., van Mens-Verhulst, J., 2007. Anxiety disorders: sex differences in prevalence, degree, and background, but gender-neutral treatment. Gender medicine 4, S178-S193.

Benjet, C., Bromet, E., Karam, E.G., Kessler, R.C., McLaughlin, K.A., Ruscio, A.M., Shahly, V., Stein, D.J., Petukhova, M., Hill, E., 2016. The epidemiology of traumatic event exposure worldwide: results from the World Mental Health Survey Consortium. Psychological Medicine 46 (2), 327-343.

Benzina, N., Mallet, L., Burguière, E., N'diaye, K., Pelissolo, A., 2016. Cognitive dysfunction in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Current psychiatry reports 18, 1-11.

Bloch, M.H., Landeros-Weisenberger, A., Rosario, M.C., Pittenger, C., Leckman, J.F., 2008. Meta-analysis of the symptom structure of obsessive-compulsive disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 165 (12), 1532-1542.

Border, R., Johnson, E.C., Evans, L.M., Smolen, A., Berley, N., Sullivan, P.F., Keller, M.C., 2019. No support for historical candidate gene or candidate gene-by-interaction hypotheses for major depression across multiple large samples. American Journal of Psychiatry 176 (5), 376-387.

Breach, M.R., Lenz, K.M., 2022. Sex differences in neurodevelopmental disorders: a key role for the immune system, Sex Differences in Brain Function and Dysfunction. Springer, pp. 165-206.

Brugha, T.S., Spiers, N., Bankart, J., Cooper, S.-A., McManus, S., Scott, F.J., Smith, J., Tyrer, F., 2016. Epidemiology of autism in adults across age groups and ability levels. The British Journal of Psychiatry 209 (6), 498-503.

Buccheri, R.K., Sharifi, C., 2017. Critical appraisal tools and reporting guidelines for evidence□based practice. Worldviews on Evidence□Based Nursing 14 (6), 463-472.

Burns, G.L., Keortge, S.G., Formea, G.M., Sternberger, L.G., 1996. Revision of the Padua Inventory of obsessive compulsive disorder symptoms: Distinctions between worry, obsessions, and compulsions. Behaviour research and therapy 34 (2), 163-173.

Campanelli, F., Laricchiuta, D., Natale, G., Marino, G., Calabrese, V., Picconi, B., Petrosini, L., Calabresi, P., Ghiglieri, V., 2021. Long-term shaping of corticostriatal synaptic activity by acute fasting. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22 (4), 1916.

Cardona, N.D., Madigan, R.J., Sauer-Zavala, S., 2022. How minority stress becomes traumatic invalidation: An emotion-focused conceptualization of minority stress in sexual and gender minority people. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice 29 (2), 185.

Castillejos, M.d.C., Martín-Pérez, C., Moreno-Küstner, B., 2018. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the incidence of psychotic disorders: the distribution of rates and the influence of gender, urbanicity, immigration and socio-economic level. Psychological Medicine 48 (13), 2101-2115.

Castle, D.J., Deale, A., Marks, I.M., 1995. Gender differences in obsessive compulsive disorder. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 29 (1), 114-117.

Chamberlain, S.R., Menzies, L., Sahakian, B.J., Fineberg, N.A., 2007. Lifting the veil on trichotillomania. American Journal of Psychiatry 164 (4), 568-574.

Chavarria, M.C., Sánchez, F.J., Chou, Y.-Y., Thompson, P.M., Luders, E., 2014. Puberty in the corpus callosum. Neuroscience 265, 1-8.

Chen, S.-K., Tvrdik, P., Peden, E., Cho, S., Wu, S., Spangrude, G., Capecchi, M.R., 2010. Hematopoietic origin of pathological grooming in Hoxb8 mutant mice. Cell 141 (5), 775-785.

Cherian, A.V., Narayanaswamy, J.C., Viswanath, B., Guru, N., George, C.M., Math, S.B., Kandavel, T., Reddy, Y.J., 2014. Gender differences in obsessive-compulsive disorder: findings from a large Indian sample. Asian journal of psychiatry 9, 17-21.

Citkowska-Kisielewska, A., Rutkowski, K., Sobański, J.A., Dembińska, E., Mielimąka, M., 2019. Anxiety symptoms in obsessive-compulsive disorder and generalized anxiety disorder. Psychiatria Polska 53 (4), 845-864.

Clemente, M.J., Silva, A.S.M., Pedro, M.O.P., Paiva, H.S., Périco, C.d.A.M., Torales, J., Ventriglio, A., Castaldelli-Maia, J.M., 2022. A meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis of the global prevalence of obsessive-compulsive personality disorder. Heliyon 8 (7).

Cohen, S., Murphy, M.L., Prather, A.A., 2019. Ten surprising facts about stressful life events and disease risk. Annual review of psychology 70 (1), 577-597.

Coskun, M., Zoroglu, S., Ozturk, M., 2012. Phenomenology, psychiatric comorbidity and family history in referred preschool children with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health 6, 1-9.

da Silva, J.F., Taguchi, L.M., da Silva Leite, E., de Oliveira, A.R., 2022. Meta-chlorophenylpiperazine-induced behavioral changes in obsessive-compulsive disorder research: A systematic review of rodent studies. Neuroscience 507, 125-138.

da Silva Prado, H., do Rosário, M.C., Lee, J., Hounie, A.G., Shavitt, R.G., Miguel, E.C., 2008. Sensory phenomena in obsessive-compulsive disorder and tic disorders: a review of the literature. CNS spectrums 13 (5), 425-432.

De Hert, M., Cohen, D., Bobes, J., Cetkovich-Bakmas, M., Leucht, S., Ndetei, D.M., Newcomer, J.W., Uwakwe, R., Asai, I., Moeller, H.-J., 2011a. Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. II. Barriers to care, monitoring and treatment guidelines, plus recommendations at the system and individual level. World psychiatry 10 (2), 138.

De Hert, M., Correll, C.U., Bobes, J., Cetkovich-Bakmas, M., Cohen, D., Asai, I., Detraux, J., Gautam, S., Möller, H.-J., Ndetei, D.M., 2011b. Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. I. Prevalence, impact of medications and disparities in health care. World psychiatry 10 (1), 52.

De Hert, M., Dekker, J., Wood, D., Kahl, K., Holt, R., Möller, H.-J., 2009. Cardiovascular disease and diabetes in people with severe mental illness position statement from the European Psychiatric Association (EPA), supported by the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). European psychiatry 24 (6), 412-424.

de Kluiver, H., Buizer□Voskamp, J.E., Dolan, C.V., Boomsma, D.I., 2017. Paternal age and psychiatric disorders: A review. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics 174 (3), 202-213.

Denys, D., Zohar, J., Westenberg, H., 2004. The role of dopamine in obsessive-compulsive disorder: preclinical and clinical evidence. J Clin Psychiatry 65 (Suppl 14), 11-17.

Diniz, J.B., Rosario-Campos, M.C., Shavitt, R.G., Curi, M., Hounie, A.G., Brotto, S.A., Miguel, E.C., 2004. Impact of age at onset and duration of illness on the expression of comorbidities in obsessive-compulsive disorder. The Journal of clinical psychiatry.

Dirkx, N., Miceli, F., Taglialatela, M., Weckhuysen, S., 2020. The role of Kv7. 2 in neurodevelopment: insights and gaps in our understanding. Frontiers in Physiology 11, 570588.

Drasch, K., Matthes, B., 2013. Improving retrospective life course data by combining modularized self-reports and event history calendars: experiences from a large scale survey. Quality & Quantity 47 (2), 817-838.

Dykens, E.M., 1999. Prader-Willi syndrome: Toward a behavioral phenotype.

Ecker, W., Gönner, S., 2008. Incompleteness and harm avoidance in OCD symptom dimensions. Behaviour research and therapy 46 (8), 895-904.

Eichstedt, J.A., Arnold, S.L., 2001. Childhood-onset obsessive-compulsive disorder: a tic-related subtype of OCD? Clinical psychology review 21 (1), 137-157.

Eliot, L., 2019. Neurosexism: the myth that men and women have different brains. Nature 566 (7745), 453-455.

Faheem, M., Akram, W., Akram, H., Khan, M.A., Siddiqui, F.A., Majeed, I., 2022. Gender-based differences in prevalence and effects of ADHD in adults: A systematic review. Asian journal of psychiatry 75, 103205.

Fairweather, D., Frisancho-Kiss, S., Rose, N.R., 2008. Sex differences in autoimmune disease from a pathological perspective. The American journal of pathology 173 (3), 600-609.

Faravelli, C., Sauro, C.L., Godini, L., Lelli, L., Benni, L., Pietrini, F., Lazzeretti, L., Talamba, G.A., Fioravanti, G., Ricca, V., 2012. Childhood stressful events, HPA axis and anxiety disorders. World journal of psychiatry 2 (1), 13.

Farrell, M., Werge, T., Sklar, P., Owen, M.J., Ophoff, R., O'Donovan, M.C., Corvin, A., Cichon, S., Sullivan, P.F., 2015. Evaluating historical candidate genes for schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry 20 (5), 555-562.

Fawcett, E.J., Power, H., Fawcett, J.M., 2020. Women are at greater risk of OCD than men: a meta-analytic review of OCD prevalence worldwide. The Journal of clinical psychiatry 81 (4), 13075.

Ferrao, Y., De Alvarenga, P.G., Hounie, A.G., De Mathis, M.A., De Rosario, M.C., Miguel, E.C., 2013. The phenomenology of obsessive-compulsive symptoms in Tourette syndrome, Tourette syndrome. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 45-64.

Fonseca, F., Robles-Martínez, M., Tirado-Muñoz, J., Alías-Ferri, M., Mestre-Pintó, J.-I., Coratu, A.M., Torrens, M., 2021. A gender perspective of addictive disorders. Current addiction reports 8, 89-99.

Fontenelle, L.F., Cocchi, L., Harrison, B.J., Shavitt, R.G., do Rosário, M.C., Ferrão, Y.A., de Mathis, M.A., Cordioli, A.V., Yücel, M., Pantelis, C., 2012. Towards a post-traumatic subtype of obsessive–compulsive disorder. Journal of anxiety disorders 26 (2), 377-383.

Franceschini, A., Fattore, L., 2021. Gender-specific approach in psychiatric diseases: because sex matters. European journal of pharmacology 896, 173895.

Franklin, M.E., Harrison, J.P., Benavides, K.L., 2012. Obsessive-compulsive and tic-related disorders. Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics 21 (3), 555-571.

Fray, P., Robbins, T., 1996. CANTAB battery: proposed utility in neurotoxicology. Neurotoxicology and teratology 18 (4), 499-504.

Friborg, O., Martinussen, M., Kaiser, S., Øvergård, K.T., Rosenvinge, J.H., 2013. Comorbidity of personality disorders in anxiety disorders: A meta-analysis of 30 years of research. Journal of Affective Disorders 145 (2), 143--155.

Friedlander, L., Desrocher, M., 2006. Neuroimaging studies of obsessive–compulsive disorder in adults and children. Clinical psychology review 26 (1), 32-49.

Gagnon, M., Singer, I., Morand-Beaulieu, S., O'Connor, K.P., Gauthier, B., Woods, D.W., Blanchet, P., Lavoie, M.E., Leclerc, J.B., 2024. Sex Differences in Youth with Chronic Tic Disorder and Tourette Syndrome: Evaluation of Tic Severity, Psychological Profiles, and Quality of Life. Journal of Clinical Medicine 13 (9), 2477.

Gamal El-Din, T.M., Lantin, T., Tschumi, C.W., Juarez, B., Quinlan, M., Hayano, J.H., Li, J., Zweifel, L.S., Catterall, W.A., 2021. Autism-associated mutations in KV7 channels induce gating pore current. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118 (45), e2112666118.

Geller, D.A., Biederman, J., Griffin, S., Jones, J., Lefkowitz, T.R., 1996. Comorbidity of juvenile obsessive-compulsive disorder with disruptive behavior disorders. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 35 (12), 1637-1646.

Geller, D.A., Biederman, J., Jones, J., Shapiro, S., Schwartz, S., Park, K.S., 1998. Obsessive-compulsive disorder in children and adolescents: a review. Harvard review of psychiatry 5 (5), 260-273.

Geller, D.A., Coffey, B., Faraone, S., Hagermoser, L., Zaman, N.K., Farrell, C.L., Mullin, B., Biederman, J., 2003. Does comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder impact the

clinical expression of pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder? CNS spectrums 8 (4), 259-264.

Gemmati, D., Varani, K., Bramanti, B., Piva, R., Bonaccorsi, G., Trentini, A., Manfrinato, M.C., Tisato, V., Carè, A., Bellini, T., 2019. “Bridging the gap” everything that could have been avoided if we had applied gender medicine, pharmacogenetics and personalized medicine in the gender-omics and sex-omics era. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 21 (1), 296.

Giarelli, E., Wiggins, L.D., Rice, C.E., Levy, S.E., Kirby, R.S., Pinto-Martin, J., Mandell, D., 2010. Sex differences in the evaluation and diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders among children. Disability and health journal 3 (2), 107-116.

Goodman, W.K., Price, L.H., Rasmussen, S.A., Mazure, C., Delgado, P., Heninger, G.R., Charney, D.S., 1989a. The yale-brown obsessive compulsive scale: II. Validity. Archives of general psychiatry 46 (11), 1012-1016.

Goodman, W.K., Price, L.H., Rasmussen, S.A., Mazure, C., Fleischmann, R.L., Hill, C.L., Heninger, G.R., Charney, D.S., 1989b. The Yale-Brown obsessive compulsive scale: I. Development, use, and reliability. Archives of general psychiatry 46 (11), 1006-1011.

Gordon, J.L., Girdler, S.S., Meltzer-Brody, S.E., Stika, C.S., Thurston, R.C., Clark, C.T., Prairie, B.A., Moses-Kolko, E., Joffe, H., Wisner, K.L., 2015. Ovarian hormone fluctuation, neurosteroids, and HPA axis dysregulation in perimenopausal depression: a novel heuristic model. American Journal of Psychiatry 172 (3), 227-236.

Graf, M., Kantor, S., Anheuer, Z.E., Modos, E.A., Bagdy, G., 2003. m-CPP-induced self-grooming is mediated by 5-HT2C receptors. Behavioural brain research 142 (1-2), 175-179.

Grassi, G., Cecchelli, C., Mazzocato, G., Vignozzi, L., 2022. Early onset obsessive–compulsive disorder: the biological and clinical phenotype. CNS spectrums 27 (4), 421-427.

Grenier, S., Payette, M.C., Gunther, B., Askari, S., Desjardins, F.F., Raymond, B., Berbiche, D., 2019. Association of age and gender with anxiety disorders in older adults: A systematic review and meta□analysis. International journal of geriatric psychiatry 34 (3), 397-407.

Grissom, N.M., Reyes, T.M., 2019. Let's call the whole thing off: evaluating gender and sex differences in executive function. Neuropsychopharmacology 44 (1), 86-96.

Gröhn, C., Norgren, E., Eriksson, L., 2022. A systematic review of the neural correlates of multisensory integration in schizophrenia. Schizophrenia Research: Cognition 27, 100219.

Guglielmi, V., Vulink, N.C., Denys, D., Wang, Y., Samuels, J.F., Nestadt, G., 2014. Obsessive–compulsive disorder and female reproductive cycle events: Results from the OCD and reproduction collaborative study. Depression and anxiety 31 (12), 979-987.

Guo, X., Meng, Z., Huang, G., Fan, J., Zhou, W., Ling, W., Jiang, J., Long, J., Su, L., 2016. Meta-analysis of the prevalence of anxiety disorders in mainland China from 2000 to 2015. Scientific reports 6 (1), 1-15.

Gustafsson, K., Lindfors, P., Aronsson, G., Lundberg, U., 2008a. Relationships between self□rating of recovery from work and morning salivary cortisol. Journal of occupational health 50 (1), 24-30.

Gustafsson, P.E., Gustafsson, P.A., Ivarsson, T., Nelson, N., 2008b. Diurnal cortisol levels and cortisol response in youths with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Neuropsychobiology 57 (1-2), 14-21.

Hacıömeroğlu, A.B., 2008. Perceived parental rearing behaviors, responsibility attitudes and life events as predictors of obsessive compulsive symptomatology: Test of a cognitive model.

Haghshomar, M., Mirghaderi, S.P., Shobeiri, P., James, A., Zarei, M., 2023. White matter abnormalities in paediatric obsessive–compulsive disorder: a systematic review of diffusion tensor imaging studies. Brain Imaging and Behavior 17 (3), 343-366.

Harald, B., Gordon, P., 2012. Meta-review of depressive subtyping models. Journal of affective disorders 139 (2), 126-140.

Heck, A.L., Handa, R.J., 2019. Sex differences in the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis' response to stress: an important role for gonadal hormones. Neuropsychopharmacology 44 (1), 45-58.

Heinrichs, M., von Dawans, B., Domes, G., 2009. Oxytocin, vasopressin, and human social behavior. Frontiers in neuroendocrinology 30 (4), 548-557.

Hesse, S., Müller, U., Lincke, T., Barthel, H., Villmann, T., Angermeyer, M.C., Sabri, O., Stengler-Wenzke, K., 2005. Serotonin and dopamine transporter imaging in patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging 140 (1), 63-72.

Hidalgo-Lopez, E., Pletzer, B., 2019. Individual differences in the effect of menstrual cycle on basal ganglia inhibitory control. Scientific Reports 9 (1), 1-11.

Higgins, S.T., Kurti, A.N., Redner, R., White, T.J., Gaalema, D.E., Roberts, M.E., Doogan, N.J., Tidey, J.W., Miller, M.E., Stanton, C.A., 2015. A literature review on prevalence of gender differences and intersections with other vulnerabilities to tobacco use in the United States, 2004–2014. Preventive medicine 80, 89-100.

Hinkley, L.B., Marco, E.J., Findlay, A.M., Honma, S., Jeremy, R.J., Strominger, Z., Bukshpun, P., Wakahiro, M., Brown, W.S., Paul, L.K., 2012. The role of corpus callosum development in functional connectivity and cognitive processing.

Hoek, H.W., 2006. Incidence, prevalence and mortality of anorexia nervosa and other eating disorders. Current opinion in psychiatry 19 (4), 389-394.

Holdcroft, A., 2007. Gender bias in research: how does it affect evidence based medicine? SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England, pp. 2-3.

Holländer, A., Hausmann, M., Hamm, J.P., Corballis, M.C., 2005. Sex hormonal modulation of hemispheric asymmetries in the attentional blink. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society 11 (3), 263-272.

Holzer, J.C., McDougle, C.J., Boyarsky, B.K., Price, L.H., Goodman, W.K., Baer, L., Leckman, J.F., 1994. Obsessive–compulsive disorder with and without a chronic tic disorder: A comparison of symptoms in 70 patients. The British Journal of Psychiatry 164 (4), 469-473.

Hsiao, M.-C., Lin, K.-J., Liu, C.-Y., Schatz, D.B., 2013. The interaction between dopamine transporter function, gender differences, and possible laterality in depression. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging 211 (1), 72-77.

Hühne, V., dos Santos-Ribeiro, S., Moreira-de-Oliveira, M.E., de Menezes, G.B., Fontenelle, L., 2024. Towards the correlates of Stressful Life Events as precipitants of OCD: a systematic review and metanalysis. CNS spectrums, 1-30.

Hyman, B.M., Pedrick, C., 2010. The OCD workbook: Your guide to breaking free from obsessive-compulsive disorder. New Harbinger Publications.

J Kuss, D., D Griffiths, M., Karila, L., Billieux, J., 2014. Internet addiction: A systematic review of epidemiological research for the last decade. Current pharmaceutical design 20 (25), 4026-4052.

Jacquemont, S., Coe, B.P., Hersch, M., Duyzend, M.H., Krumm, N., Bergmann, S., Beckmann, J.S., Rosenfeld, J.A., Eichler, E.E., 2014. A higher mutational burden in females supports a “female protective model” in neurodevelopmental disorders. The American Journal of Human Genetics 94 (3), 415-425.

Jagiellowicz, J., Xu, X., Aron, A., Aron, E., Cao, G., Feng, T., Weng, X., 2011. The trait of sensory processing sensitivity and neural responses to changes in visual scenes. Social cognitive and affective neuroscience 6 (1), 38-47.

Joel, D., Berman, Z., Tavor, I., Wexler, N., Gaber, O., Stein, Y., Shefi, N., Pool, J., Urchs, S., Margulies, D.S., 2015. Sex beyond the genitalia: The human brain mosaic. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112 (50), 15468-15473.

Kajimoto, Y., Shirakawa, O., Lin, X.-H., Hashimoto, T., Kitamura, N., Murakami, N., Takumi, T., Maeda, K., 2003. Synapse-associated protein 90/postsynaptic density-95-associated protein (SAPAP) is expressed differentially in phencyclidine-treated rats and is increased in the nucleus accumbens of patients with schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology 28 (10), 1831-1839.

Karthik, S., Sharma, L.P., Narayanaswamy, J.C., 2020. Investigating the role of glutamate in obsessive-compulsive disorder: current perspectives. Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment, 1003-1013.

Kennedy, N., Boydell, J., Kalidindi, S., Fearon, P., Jones, P.B., van Os, J., Murray, R.M., 2005. Gender differences in incidence and age at onset of mania and bipolar disorder over a 35-year period in Camberwell, England. American Journal of Psychiatry 162 (2), 257-262.

Kessing, L.V., 2004. Gender differences in the phenomenology of bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disorders 6 (5), 421-425.

Kessler, R.C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K.R., Walters, E.E., 2005. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Archives of general psychiatry 62 (6), 593-602.

Kessler, R.C., Petukhova, M., Sampson, N.A., Zaslavsky, A.M., Wittchen, H.U., 2012. Twelve□month and lifetime prevalence and lifetime morbid risk of anxiety and mood disorders in the United States. International journal of methods in psychiatric research 21 (3), 169-184.

Khan, I.N., 2012. Sex differences and the effects of sex hormones on the structure of the corpus callosum. University of Nottingham.

Kheloui, S., Brouillard, A., Rossi, M., Marin, M.-F., Mendrek, A., Paquette, D., Juster, R.-P., 2021. Exploring the sex and gender correlates of cognitive sex differences. Acta psychologica 221, 103452.

Kirkpatrick, S.L., Goldberg, L.R., Yazdani, N., Babbs, R.K., Wu, J., Reed, E.R., Jenkins, D.F., Bolgioni, A.F., Landaverde, K.I., Luttik, K.P., 2017. Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 2 is a major genetic factor underlying binge eating. Biological Psychiatry 81 (9), 757-769.

Kirov, G., Pocklington, A., Holmans, P., Ivanov, D., Ikeda, M., Ruderfer, D., Moran, J., Chambert, K., Toncheva, D., Georgieva, L., 2012. De novo CNV analysis implicates

specific abnormalities of postsynaptic signalling complexes in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. Molecular Psychiatry 17 (2), 142-153.

Klein, D.N., Glenn, C.R., Kosty, D.B., Seeley, J.R., Rohde, P., Lewinsohn, P.M., 2013. Predictors of first lifetime onset of major depressive disorder in young adulthood. Journal of abnormal psychology 122 (1), 1.

Knight, T., Steeves, T., Day, L., Lowerison, M., Jette, N., Pringsheim, T., 2012. Prevalence of tic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatric neurology 47 (2), 77-90.

Koran, L.M., Abujaoude, E., Large, M.D., Serpe, R.T., 2008. The prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder in the United States adult population. CNS spectrums 13 (4), 316-322.

Kühn, S., Gallinat, J., 2013. Gray matter correlates of posttraumatic stress disorder: a quantitative meta-analysis. Biological Psychiatry 73 (1), 70-74.

Laakso, A., Vilkman, H., Örgen Bergman, J., Haaparanta, M., Solin, O., Syvälahti, E., Salokangas, R.K., Hietala, J., 2002. Sex differences in striatal presynaptic dopamine synthesis capacity in healthy subjects. Biological Psychiatry 52 (7), 759-763.

Labad, J., Menchon, J.M., Alonso, P., Segalas, C., Jimenez, S., Jaurrieta, N., Leckman, J.F., Vallejo, J., 2008. Gender differences in obsessive–compulsive symptom dimensions. Depression and anxiety 25 (10), 832-838.

Labad, J., Soria, V., Salvat-Pujol, N., Segalàs, C., Real, E., Urretavizcaya, M., de Arriba-Arnau, A., Ferrer, A., Crespo, J.M., Jiménez-Murcia, S., 2018. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity in the comorbidity between obsessive-compulsive disorder and major depression. Psychoneuroendocrinology 93, 20-28.

Lauritano, A., Moutton, S., Longobardi, E., Tran Mau□Them, F., Laudati, G., Nappi, P., Soldovieri, M.V., Ambrosino, P., Cataldi, M., Jouan, T., 2019. A novel homozygous KCNQ3 loss□of□function variant causes non□syndromic intellectual disability and neonatal□onset pharmacodependent epilepsy. Epilepsia Open 4 (3), 464-475.

Leclercq, V., Corvol, J.-C., 2024. Impulse control disorder: Review on clinical, pharmacologic, and genetic risk factors. Revue Neurologique.

Lee, H.-J., Kwon, S.-M., 2003. Two different types of obsession: autogenous obsessions and reactive obsessions. Behaviour research and therapy 41 (1), 11-29.

Lee, H.J., Kwon, S.M., Kwon, J.S., Telch, M.J., 2005. Testing the autogenous–reactive model of obsessions. Depression and anxiety 21 (3), 118-129.

Lee, H.K., 2024. Sex/Gender Differences in Addictive Disorders, Sex/Gender-Specific Medicine in Clinical Areas. Springer, pp. 381-388.

Lee, J.J., Ham, J.H., Lee, P.H., Sohn, Y.H., 2015. Gender differences in age-related striatal dopamine depletion in Parkinson's disease. Journal of movement disorders 8 (3), 130.

Lehavot, K., Katon, J.G., Chen, J.A., Fortney, J.C., Simpson, T.L., 2018. Post-traumatic stress disorder by gender and veteran status. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 54 (1), e1-e9.

Lehman, A., Thouta, S., Mancini, G.M., Naidu, S., van Slegtenhorst, M., McWalter, K., Person, R., Mwenifumbo, J., Salvarinova, R., Adam, S., 2017. Loss-of-function and gain-of-function mutations in KCNQ5 cause intellectual disability or epileptic encephalopathy. The American Journal of Human Genetics 101 (1), 65-74.

Lewinsohn, P.M., Gotlib, I.H., Lewinsohn, M., Seeley, J.R., Allen, N.B., 1998. Gender differences in anxiety disorders and anxiety symptoms in adolescents. Journal of abnormal psychology 107 (1), 109.

Li, L., Wu, M., Liao, Y., Ouyang, L., Du, M., Lei, D., Chen, L., Yao, L., Huang, X., Gong, Q., 2014. Grey matter reduction associated with posttraumatic stress disorder and traumatic stress. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 43, 163-172.

Lindqvist, A., Sendén, M.G., Renström, E.A., 2021. What is gender, anyway: a review of the options for operationalising gender. Psychology & sexuality 12 (4), 332-344.

Lochner, C., Hemmings, S.M., Kinnear, C.J., Moolman-Smook, J.C., Corfield, V.A., Knowles, J.A., Niehaus, D.J., Stein, D.J., 2004. Gender in obsessive–compulsive disorder: clinical and genetic findings. European Neuropsychopharmacology 14 (2), 105-113.

Lochner, C., Stein, D.J., 2001. Gender in obsessive-compulsive disorder and obsessive-compulsive spectrum disorders. Archives of Women's Mental Health 4 (1), 19-26.

Lochner, C., Stein, D.J., 2003. Heterogeneity of obsessive-compulsive disorder: a literature review. Harvard review of psychiatry 11 (3), 113-132.

Long, H.A., French, D.P., Brooks, J.M., 2020. Optimising the value of the critical appraisal skills programme (CASP) tool for quality appraisal in qualitative evidence synthesis. Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences 1 (1), 31-42.

Loomes, R., Hull, L., Mandy, W.P.L., 2017. What is the male-to-female ratio in autism spectrum disorder? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 56 (6), 466-474.

Maraz, A., Griffiths, M.D., Demetrovics, Z., 2016. The prevalence of compulsive buying: a meta□analysis. Addiction 111 (3), 408-419.

Marazziti, D., Hollander, E., Lensi, P., Ravagli, S., Cassano, G.B., 1992. Peripheral markers of serotonin and dopamine function in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatry research 42 (1), 41-51.

Markarian, Y., Larson, M.J., Aldea, M.A., Baldwin, S.A., Good, D., Berkeljon, A., Murphy, T.K., Storch, E.A., McKay, D., 2010. Multiple pathways to functional impairment in obsessive–compulsive disorder. Clinical psychology review 30 (1), 78-88.

Masi, G., Millepiedi, S., Perugi, G., Pfanner, C., Berloffa, S., Pari, C., Mucci, M., Akiskal, H.S., 2010. A naturalistic exploratory study of the impact of demographic, phenotypic and comorbid features in pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychopathology 43 (2), 69-78.

Mathes, B.M., Morabito, D.M., Schmidt, N.B., 2019. Epidemiological and clinical gender differences in OCD. Current psychiatry reports 21 (5), 1-7.

Mathis, M.A.d., Alvarenga, P.d., Funaro, G., Torresan, R.C., Moraes, I., Torres, A.R., Zilberman, M.L., Hounie, A.G., 2011. Gender differences in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a literature review. Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry 33 (4), 390-399.

Mattheisen, M., Samuels, J.F., Wang, Y., Greenberg, B.D., Fyer, A.J., McCracken, J.T., Geller, D.A., Murphy, D.L., Knowles, J.A., Grados, M.A., 2015. Genome-wide association study in obsessive-compulsive disorder: results from the OCGAS. Molecular Psychiatry 20 (3), 337-344.

Maughan, B., Rowe, R., Messer, J., Goodman, R., Meltzer, H., 2004. Conduct disorder and oppositional defiant disorder in a national sample: developmental epidemiology. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 45 (3), 609-621.

McTigue, O., O'Callaghan, E., 2000. Season of birth as a risk factor. International Journal of Mental Health 29 (3), 66-78.

Meadows, S.O., Land, K.C., Lamb, V.L., 2005. Assessing Gilligan vs. Sommers: Gender-specific trends in child and youth well-being in the United States, 1985-2001. Social Indicators Research, 1-52.

Mendrek, A., 2015. Is it important to consider sex and gender in neurocognitive studies? Frontiers in psychiatry 6, 83.

Miller, L.R., Marks, C., Becker, J.B., Hurn, P.D., Chen, W.-J., Woodruff, T., McCarthy, M.M., Sohrabji, F., Schiebinger, L., Wetherington, C.L., 2016. Considering sex as a biological variable in preclinical research. The FASEB Journal 31 (1), 29.

Millet, B., Chabane, N., Delorme, R., Leboyer, M., Leroy, S., Poirier, M.F., Bourdel, M.C., Mouren□Simeoni, M.C., Rouillon, F., Loo, H., 2003. Association between the dopamine receptor D4 (DRD4) gene and obsessive□compulsive disorder. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics 116 (1), 55-59.

Montgomery, S.A., 1993. Obsessive compulsive disorder is not an anxiety disorder. International clinical psychopharmacology 8, 57-62.

Mosca, E., Bersanelli, M., Gnocchi, M., Moscatelli, M., Castellani, G., Milanesi, L., Mezzelani, A., 2017. Network diffusion-based prioritization of autism risk genes identifies significantly connected gene modules. Frontiers in Genetics 8, 129.

Murray, C.J., Lopez, A.D., Organization, W.H., 1996. The global burden of disease: a comprehensive assessment of mortality and disability from diseases, injuries, and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020: summary. World Health Organization.

Nagarajan, N., Jones, B.W., West, P.J., Marc, R., Capecchi, M., 2018. Corticostriatal circuit defects in Hoxb8 mutant mice. Molecular Psychiatry 23 (9), 1868-1877.

Nakatani, E., Krebs, G., Micali, N., Turner, C., Heyman, I., Mataix□Cols, D., 2011. Children with very early onset obsessive□compulsive disorder: Clinical features and treatment outcome. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 52 (12), 1261-1268.

Neal, M., Cavanna, A.E., 2013. “Not just right experiences” in patients with Tourette syndrome: complex motor tics or compulsions? Psychiatry research 210 (2), 559-563.

Nedeljkovic, M., Kyrios, M., Moulding, R., Doron, G., Wainwright, K., Pantelis, C., Purcell, R., Maruff, P., 2009. Differences in neuropsychological performance between subtypes of obsessive–compulsive disorder. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 43 (3), 216-226.

Neumann, I.D., 2002. Involvement of the brain oxytocin system in stress coping: interactions with the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis. Progress in brain research 139, 147-162.

Neumann, I.D., 2008. Brain oxytocin: a key regulator of emotional and social behaviours in both females and males. Journal of neuroendocrinology 20 (6), 858-865.

NIH, N.I.o.H., 2015. Consideration of sex as a biological variable in NIH-funded Research, 2015. .

Nordahl, C.W., 2023. Why do we need sex□balanced studies of autism? Autism Research 16 (9), 1662-1669.

Ochoa, S., Usall, J., Cobo, J., Labad, X., Kulkarni, J., 2012. Gender differences in schizophrenia and first□episode psychosis: A comprehensive literature review. Schizophrenia research and treatment 2012 (1), 916198.

Ohayon, M.M., Schatzberg, A.F., 2010. Social phobia and depression: prevalence and comorbidity. Journal of Psychosomatic Research 68 (3), 235-243.

Olver, J.S., O'Keefe, G., Jones, G.R., Burrows, G.D., Tochon-Danguy, H.J., Ackermann, U., Scott, A., Norman, T.R., 2009. Dopamine D1 receptor binding in the striatum of patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder. Journal of affective disorders 114 (1-3), 321-326.

Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A., Brennan, S.E., 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. International journal of surgery 88, 105906.

Pantalone, D.W., Gorman, K.R., Pereida, E.T., Valentine, S.E., 2020. Trauma among sexual and gender minority populations. Rothblum,(Ed.), The Oxford handbook of sexual and gender minority mental health, 59-71.

Parial, S., 2015. Bipolar disorder in women. Indian Journal of Psychiatry 57 (Suppl 2), S252-S263.

Pathania, M., Davenport, E., Muir, J., Sheehan, D., López-Doménech, G., Kittler, J., 2014. The autism and schizophrenia associated gene CYFIP1 is critical for the maintenance of dendritic complexity and the stabilization of mature spines. Translational Psychiatry 4 (3), e374-e374.

Payne, J.L., Palmer, J.T., Joffe, H., 2009. A reproductive subtype of depression: conceptualizing models and moving toward etiology. Harvard review of psychiatry 17 (2), 72-86.

Peelen, M.J., Kazemier, B.M., Ravelli, A.C., De Groot, C.J., Van Der Post, J.A., Mol, B.W., Hajenius, P.J., Kok, M., 2016. Impact of fetal gender on the risk of preterm birth, a national cohort study. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica 95 (9), 1034-1041.

Pinares-Garcia, P., Stratikopoulos, M., Zagato, A., Loke, H., Lee, J., 2018. Sex: a significant risk factor for neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders. Brain Sciences 8 (8), 154.

Pittenger, C., 2015. Animal models of Tourette syndrome and obsessive-compulsive disorder, Movement Disorders. Elsevier, pp. 747-764.

Pittenger, C., 2021. Pharmacotherapeutic strategies and new targets in OCD. The neurobiology and treatment of OCD: Accelerating progress, 331-384.

Pittenger, C., 2023. The Pharmacological Treatment of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. Psychiatric Clinics 46 (1), 107-119.

Pohjalainen, T., Rinne, J.O., Någren, K., SyvÄlahti, E., Hietala, J., 1998. Sex differences in the striatal dopamine D2 receptor binding characteristics in vivo. American Journal of Psychiatry 155 (6), 768-773.

Poletti, M., Gebhardt, E., Pelizza, L., Preti, A., Raballo, A., 2023. Neurodevelopmental antecedents and sensory phenomena in obsessive compulsive disorder: a systematic review supporting a phenomenological-developmental model. Psychopathology 56 (4), 295-305.

Ponzini, G.T., Steinman, S.A., 2022. A systematic review of public stigma attributes and obsessive–compulsive disorder symptom subtypes. Stigma and Health 7 (1), 14.

Postlethwaite, A., Kellett, S., Mataix-Cols, D., 2019. Prevalence of hoarding disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of affective disorders 256, 309-316.

Quinn, J.J., Hitchcott, P.K., Umeda, E.A., Arnold, A.P., Taylor, J.R., 2007. Sex chromosome complement regulates habit formation. Nature neuroscience 10 (11), 1398-1400.

Rasmussen, A.H., Rasmussen, H.B., Silahtaroglu, A., 2017. The DLGAP family: neuronal expression, function and role in brain disorders. Molecular brain 10, 1-13.

Reid, J.E., Laws, K.R., Drummond, L., Vismara, M., Grancini, B., Mpavaenda, D., Fineberg, N.A., 2021. Cognitive behavioural therapy with exposure and response prevention in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Comprehensive psychiatry 106, 152223.

Rosenberg, D.R., Keshavan, M.S., 1998. Toward a neurodevelopmental model of obsessive–compulsive disorder. Biological Psychiatry 43 (9), 623-640.

Rosenman, R., Tennekoon, V., Hill, L.G., 2011. Measuring bias in self-reported data. International journal of behavioural & healthcare research 2 (4), 320.

Rowsell, M., Francis, S.E., 2015. OCD subtypes: Which, if any, are valid? Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice 22 (4), 414.

Ruigrok, A.N., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Lai, M.-C., Baron-Cohen, S., Lombardo, M.V., Tait, R.J., Suckling, J., 2014. A meta-analysis of sex differences in human brain structure. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 39, 34-50.

Salari, N., Hosseinian-Far, A., Jalali, R., Vaisi-Raygani, A., Rasoulpoor, S., Mohammadi, M., Rasoulpoor, S., Khaledi-Paveh, B., 2020. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Globalization and health 16, 1-11.

Saxena, S., Funk, M., Chisholm, D., 2015. Comprehensive mental health action plan 2013–2020. EMHJ-Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal 21 (7), 461-463.

Saxena, S., Rauch, S.L., 2022. Functional neuroimaging and the neuroanatomy of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Tourette's Syndrome, 159-182.

Schein, J., Houle, C., Urganus, A., Cloutier, M., Patterson-Lomba, O., Wang, Y., King, S., Levinson, W., Guerin, A., Lefebvre, P., 2021. Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder in the United States: a systematic literature review. Current medical research and opinion 37 (12), 2151-2161.

Scott, K.M., Bruffaerts, R., Tsang, A., Ormel, J., Alonso, J., Angermeyer, M.C., Benjet, C., Bromet, E., De Girolamo, G., De Graaf, R., 2007. Depression–anxiety relationships with chronic physical conditions: results from the World Mental Health Surveys. Journal of affective disorders 103 (1-3), 113-120.

Selles, R.R., Storch, E.A., Lewin, A.B., 2014. Variations in symptom prevalence and clinical correlates in younger versus older youth with obsessive–compulsive disorder. Child Psychiatry & Human Development 45 (6), 666-674.

Selvendra, A., Toh, W.L., Neill, E., Tan, E.J., Rossell, S.L., Morgan, V.A., Castle, D.J., 2022. Age of onset by sex in schizophrenia: Proximal and distal characteristics. Journal of psychiatric research 151, 454-460.

Shah, B.M., Kornstein, S.G., 2021. Mental health: Sex and gender evidence in depression, generalized anxiety disorder, and schizophrenia. How Sex and Gender Impact Clinical Practice, 153-169.

Sharma, E., Sharma, L.P., Balachander, S., Lin, B., Manohar, H., Khanna, P., Lu, C., Garg, K., Thomas, T.L., Au, A.C.L., 2021. Comorbidities in obsessive-compulsive disorder across the lifespan: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in psychiatry 12, 703701.

Sheikh, J.I., Leskin, G.A., Klein, D.F., 2002. Gender differences in panic disorder: findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. American Journal of Psychiatry 159 (1), 55-58.

Silberschmidt, A., Lee, S., Zanarini, M., Schulz, S.C., 2015. Gender Differences in Borderline Personality Disorder: Results From a Multinational, Clinical Trial Sample. J Pers Disord 29 (6), 828---838.

Singh, R.S., Singh, K.K., Singh, S.M., 2021. Origin of sex-biased mental disorders: an evolutionary perspective. Journal of Molecular Evolution 89, 195-213.

Sinopoli, V.M., Burton, C.L., Kronenberg, S., Arnold, P.D., 2017. A review of the role of serotonin system genes in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 80, 372-381.

Skapinakis, P., Caldwell, D.M., Hollingworth, W., Bryden, P., Fineberg, N.A., Salkovskis, P., Welton, N.J., Baxter, H., Kessler, D., Churchill, R., 2016. Pharmacological and psychotherapeutic interventions for management of obsessive-compulsive disorder in adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. The Lancet Psychiatry 3 (8), 730-739.

Snyder, H.N., 2000. Sexual Assault of Young Children as Reported to Law Enforcement: Victim, Incident, and Offender Characteristics. A NIBRS Statistical Report.

Soomro, G.M., Altman, D.G., Rajagopal, S., Browne, M.O., 2008. Selective serotonin re□uptake inhibitors (SSRIs) versus placebo for obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD). Cochrane database of systematic reviews(1).

Sousa-Lima, J., Moreira, P.S., Raposo-Lima, C., Sousa, N., Morgado, P., 2019. Relationship between obsessive compulsive disorder and cortisol: Systematic review and meta-analysis. European Neuropsychopharmacology 29 (11), 1185-1198.

Souza, E.G.V., Ramos, M.G., Hara, C., Stumpf, B.P., Rocha, F.L., 2012. Neuropsychological performance and menstrual cycle: a literature review. Trends in Psychiatry and Psychotherapy 34 (1), 5-12.

Spence, S.H., 1997. Structure of anxiety symptoms among children: a confirmatory factor-analytic study. Journal of abnormal psychology 106 (2), 280.

Stengler-Wenzke, K., Müller, U., Angermeyer, M.C., Sabri, O., Hesse, S., 2004. Reduced serotonin transporter–availability in obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD). European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 254, 252-255.

Stewart, S.E., Yu, D., Scharf, J.M., Neale, B.M., Fagerness, J.A., Mathews, C.A., Arnold, P.D., Evans, P.D., Gamazon, E.R., Osiecki, L., 2013. Genome-wide association study of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Molecular Psychiatry 18 (7), 788-798.

Striegel-Moore, R.H., Bulik, C.M., 2007. Risk factors for eating disorders. American psychologist 62 (3), 181.

Striegel□Moore, R.H., Rosselli, F., Perrin, N., DeBar, L., Wilson, G.T., May, A., Kraemer, H.C., 2009. Gender difference in the prevalence of eating disorder symptoms. International Journal of Eating Disorders 42 (5), 471-474.

Summerfeldt, L.J., Kloosterman, P.H., Antony, M.M., Swinson, R.P., 2014. Examining an obsessive-compulsive core dimensions model: Structural validity of harm avoidance and incompleteness. Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders 3 (2), 83-94.

Suominen, K., Mantere, O., Valtonen, H., Arvilommi, P., Leppämäki, S., Isometsä, E., 2009. Gender differences in bipolar disorder type I and II. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 120 (6), 464-473.

Taqui, A.M., Shaikh, M., Gowani, S.A., Shahid, F., Khan, A., Tayyeb, S.M., Satti, M., Vaqar, T., Shahid, S., Shamsi, A., 2008. Body Dysmorphic Disorder: gender differences and prevalence in a Pakistani medical student population. BMC psychiatry 8, 1-10.

Taylor, S., 2011. Early versus late onset obsessive–compulsive disorder: evidence for distinct subtypes. Clinical psychology review 31 (7), 1083-1100.

Thorsen, A.L., Hagland, P., Radua, J., Mataix-Cols, D., Kvale, G., Hansen, B., van den Heuvel, O.A., 2018. Emotional processing in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 25 functional neuroimaging studies. Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging 3 (6), 563-571.

Tolin, D.F., Abramowitz, J.S., Kozak, M.J., Foa, E.B., 2001. Fixity of belief, perceptual aberration, and magical ideation in obsessive–compulsive disorder. Journal of anxiety disorders 15 (6), 501-510.

Transue, B., 2019. APA Style 7th edition.

Turecki, G., Brent, D.A., Gunnell, D., O'Connor, R.C., Oquendo, M.A., Pirkis, J., Stanley, B.H., 2019. Suicide and suicide risk. Nature reviews Disease primers 5 (1), 74.

Valentine, S.E., Marques, L., Wang, Y., Ahles, E.M., De Silva, L.D., Alegría, M., 2019. Gender differences in exposure to potentially traumatic events and diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) by racial and ethnic group. General hospital psychiatry 61, 60-68.

Van Der Wee, N.J., Stevens, H., Hardeman, J.A., Mandl, R.C., Denys, D.A., van Megen, H.J., Kahn, R.S., Westenberg, H.M., 2004. Enhanced dopamine transporter density in psychotropic-naive patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder shown by [123I] β-CIT SPECT. American Journal of Psychiatry 161 (12), 2201-2206.

Vidal-Ribas, P., Stringaris, A., Rück, C., Serlachius, E., Lichtenstein, P., Mataix-Cols, D., 2015. Are stressful life events causally related to the severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms? A monozygotic twin difference study. European psychiatry 30 (2), 309-316.

Vinkhuyzen, A.A., Eyles, D.W., Burne, T.H., Blanken, L.M., Kruithof, C.J., Verhulst, F., Jaddoe, V.W., Tiemeier, H., McGrath, J.J., 2016. Prevalence and predictors of vitamin D deficiency based on maternal mid-gestation and neonatal cord bloods: The Generation R Study. The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology 164, 161-167.

Vulink, N.C., Denys, D., Bus, L., Westenberg, H.G., 2006. Female hormones affect symptom severity in obsessive–compulsive disorder. International clinical psychopharmacology 21 (3), 171-175.

Wadsworth, L.P., Potluri, S., Schreck, M., Hernandez-Vallant, A., 2020. Measurement and impacts of intersectionality on obsessive-compulsive disorder symptoms across intensive treatment. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 90 (4), 445.

Wan, Y., Ade, K.K., Caffall, Z., Ozlu, M.I., Eroglu, C., Feng, G., Calakos, N., 2014. Circuit-selective striatal synaptic dysfunction in the Sapap3 knockout mouse model of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Biological Psychiatry 75 (8), 623-630.

Wan, Y., Feng, G., Calakos, N., 2011. Sapap3 deletion causes mGluR5-dependent silencing of AMPAR synapses. Journal of Neuroscience 31 (46), 16685-16691.

Waschbusch, D.A., Willoughby, M.T., 2008. Parent and teacher ratings on the IOWA Conners Rating Scale. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment 30, 180-192.

Weckhuysen, S., Mandelstam, S., Suls, A., Audenaert, D., Deconinck, T., Claes, L.R., Deprez, L., Smets, K., Hristova, D., Yordanova, I., 2012. KCNQ2 encephalopathy: emerging phenotype of a neonatal epileptic encephalopathy. Annals of neurology 71 (1), 15-25.

Welch, J.M., Lu, J., Rodriguiz, R.M., Trotta, N.C., Peca, J., Ding, J.-D., Feliciano, C., Chen, M., Adams, J.P., Luo, J., 2007. Cortico-striatal synaptic defects and OCD-like behaviours in Sapap3-mutant mice. Nature 448 (7156), 894-900.

Wilhelm, S., Keuthen, N.J., Deckersbach, T., Engelhard, I.M., Forker, A.E., Baer, L., O'Sullivan, R.L., Jenike, M.A., 1999. Self-injurious skin picking: clinical characteristics and comorbidity. Journal of clinical psychiatry 60 (7), 454-459.

Williams, O.O., Coppolino, M., George, S.R., Perreault, M.L., 2021. Sex differences in dopamine receptors and relevance to neuropsychiatric disorders. Brain Sciences 11 (9), 1199.

Workgroup, N.G., NAMHC Genomics Workgroup: Research Recommendations Summary.

Yao, Y.-L., Yang, W.-M., 2003. The metastasis-associated proteins 1 and 2 form distinct protein complexes with histone deacetylase activity. Journal of Biological Chemistry 278 (43), 42560-42568.

Zachry, J.E., Nolan, S.O., Brady, L.J., Kelly, S.J., Siciliano, C.A., Calipari, E.S., 2021. Sex differences in dopamine release regulation in the striatum. Neuropsychopharmacology 46 (3), 491-499.

Zahn-Waxler, C., Crick, N.R., Shirtcliff, E.A., Woods, K.E., 2006. The origins and development of psychopathology in females and males.

Zhang, Y., Luo, Q., Huang, C.-C., Lo, C.-Y.Z., Langley, C., Desrivières, S., Quinlan, E.B., Banaschewski, T., Millenet, S., Bokde, A.L., 2021. The Human Brain Is Best Described as Being on a Female/Male Continuum: Evidence from a Neuroimaging Connectivity Study. Cerebral Cortex 31 (6), 3021-3033.


Identification of studies
Identification
Records identified from
PubMed:
07/01/2009 – 06/30/2019 (n = 4804)
07/01/2019 – 03/31/2021 (n = 1159)
04/01/2021 – 03/17/2025 (n = 1534)
Records removed *before screening*:
n = 12 duplicate records removed
Screening
Records screened:
Excluded at screening (n=6020):
5823 MVZ and HP agreed independently to exclude
197 MVZ and HP agreed to exclude after
Reports sought for retrieval:
Reports not retrieved: n = 11
Reports assessed for eligibility:
Reports excluded: n = 646
Duplicates (10)
Not in English (33)
Commentaries (9)
Study protocols (20)
Retracted (3)
Case reports (27)
Animal studies with only males (76)
No OCD x gender/sex tests (288)
Included
Studies included in review n = 855
(24 used in more than 1 section)
A1. Academic reviews, n = 113 (HP)
Symptomology & Burden, n = 96 (BS & HP)
Epidemiology, n = 217 (MVZ & HP)
Comorbidities, n = 187 (MVZ & HP)
Genetics, n = 89 (EO)
Neurocognitive & neurobiological


40
30
20
10
0
Year
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
Reviews
Symptoms
Epidemiology
Comorbidities
Genetics
Altered Functioning
Treatment
Animal Studies

A. Incidence.



B. Prevalence