Sex and gender differences in OCD:

A scoping review.

Meghan Van Zandt,[a] Emily Olfson,[b,e] Brittany Stahnke,[c] Samantha Taylor,[c] Michael H. Bloch,[a,b] Christopher Pittenger,[a,b,d,e,f] Helen Pushkarskaya[a]

[a] – Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

[b] – Yale Child Study Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

[c] – Department of Social Work, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, USA

[d] – Department of Psychology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

[e] – Wu Tsai Institute, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

[f] –Center for Brain and Mind Health, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

Corresponding authors:

Meghan Van Zandt

Email: meghan.vanzandt@yale.edu

Present address: Ribicoff Research Facilities

W306 CMHC, 34 Park Street

New Haven, CT 06519

Helen Pushkarskaya

Email: helen.pushkarskaya@yale.edu

Present address: Yale OCD Research Clinic

332 CMHC, 34 Park Street

New Haven, CT 06519

Abstract

This scoping review explores sex and gender differences in obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) as reported in the literature between 2009 and 2021. A comprehensive PubMed search was conducted without restrictions, using terms related to sex, gender, and OCD. 5,973 articles were screened by two reviewers, with 909 assessed at the full-text level. Of these, 541 were included: 37 meta-analyses, 8 systematic reviews, 25 narrative reviews, and 471 original reports. Original reports were categorized by focus — symptomology and burden (66), comorbidities (121), epidemiology (134), human genetics (68), altered neurocognitive and neurobiological functioning (36), treatment (42), and animal models (29) — and assessed for quality using CASP checklists. There was abundant research on sex and gender effects in OCD, but limited synthesis. Identified methodological concerns included inconsistent assessments of sex and gender, variability in OCD symptom measurement, failure to account for menstrual cycle effects, and a lack of genome-wide and full-brain imaging studies. Biases in results may arise from not accounting for gender differences in insight, help-seeking behaviors, and comorbidity. We identify critical knowledge gaps and develop hypotheses for future research, incorporating recommendations for precision medicine approaches. Investigating sex and gender differences in OCD can advance equitable mental health care by addressing the specific needs of diverse populations. Appreciating these effects offers a pathway to better understanding the complexity of OCD, fostering targeted interventions and a more nuanced approach to treatment and prevention.

Keywords: scoping review, obsessive-compulsive disorder, sex, gender, men, women, male, female.

1. Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) affects 2–3% of the global population and contributes significantly to worldwide morbidity (Kessler et al., 2012; Murray et al., 1996), severely impacting both the lives of those directly affected and their families and creating substantial burdens on individuals and society at large (Markarian et al., 2010). The effectiveness of evidence-based treatments remains limited (Pittenger, 2023; Reid et al., 2021). Consequently, advancing our understanding of OCD—its diagnosis, underlying mechanisms, and treatment, including the development of precision medicine approaches—is a public health imperative.

The clinical manifestations of OCD are markedly variable. Patients differ in their specific symptoms (Lochner and Stein, 2003), the experienced motivation behind these symptoms [incompleteness/harm avoidance (Ecker and Gönner, 2008)], comorbidity (Diniz et al., 2004), and natural history (Selles et al., 2014). There is a growing appreciation that an individual's sex and gender may contribute to this heterogeneity (Cherian et al., 2014; Labad et al., 2008; Mathes et al., 2019). Incorporating a 'gendered' perspective may enhance diagnostic accuracy and treatment selection, for both men and women (Franceschini and Fattore, 2021). Notably, the World Health Organization's Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2020 highlighted the critical need for age- and sex-specific data in child mental health (Saxena et al., 2015), supporting such an approach.

Views on sex and gender differences in OCD have evolved over the decades. Early reviews argued against the existence of significant effects of sex and gender in OCD (Castle et al., 1995), but more recent reviews have acknowledged mounting evidence supporting their existence and importance (Lochner et al., 2004; Lochner and Stein, 2001; Mathis et al.,

2011). An early focus was on sex and gender effects in the epidemiology and clinical presentation of OCD. Recent literature has increasingly explored differences between men and women across a wider range of topics, drawing on data from self-reports, clinical assessments, behavioral studies, neuroimaging, and biological samples.

In this scoping review, we summarize both original research and literature reviews focused on sex and gender influences on all aspects of OCD over a decade (from July 2009 to March 2021). We have several key goals: (1) to provide a comprehensive and systematic survey of evidence concerning sex and gender effects in OCD; (2) to assess methodological considerations in this body of research; (3) to identify knowledge gaps; and (4) to propose a roadmap for future hypothesis-driven investigations into sex and gender effects in OCD, incorporating recommendations for precision medicine approaches.

2. Methods

This study was conducted using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and checklist (Page et al., 2021), as depicted by Figure 1. Its methodology was guided by Arksey and O'Malley (2005). As a scoping review, the study was not preregistered in PROSPERO.

PubMed was searched with the following search words: (gender OR sex OR male OR female) AND obsessive-compulsive disorder. The search in PubMed was performed on two dates. On July 2, 2019, files were searched from July 1st, 2009, to June 30th, 2019; on April 26, 2021, files were searched from July 1st, 2019, to March 31st, 2021.

Included studies:

1. Were published in an English language journal,
2. Met one of the following criteria:

a) Compared OCD to other clinical or non-clinical groups, or

b) Included only individuals with an OCD diagnosis, or

c) Compared OCD-related condition (e.g., tics, anorexia, etc.) to other clinical and non-clinical groups, or

d) Compared a different form psychopathology with comorbid OCD to the same psychopathology without Comorbid OCD; and

3. Met one of the following criteria:

e) Directly compared men with OCD and women with OCD, or

f) Included gender/sex as a moderating factor of the difference between OCD (or other groups of interest described above) and other clinical and non-clinical groups (not just as a control variable), or

g) Included only one sex, men or women (case studies were excluded).

Our search strategy was designed to identify relevant studies in humans, but it also captured a number of animal studies, which we chose to include in this review. Special selection considerations were applied to these studies. We excluded animal studies that only examined male animals; prior to 2015, when use of both male and female animals was made mandatory for NIH-funded research (NIH, 2015), this was common. Studies that examined only female animals were included, if they examined the effects of estrogen on OCD-relevant behaviors. Criterion 2 (above) cannot be applied to animal studies and was modified as follows: animal model studies were included if they a) directly compared the effects of sex or the effect of specific sex hormones (i.e. estrogen) on behavior and b) examined brain circuits, signaling pathways or pathological alterations thought to be relevant to OCD. We note that animal studies identified by our search are unlikely to represent a comprehensive summary of all relevant work; it is likely

that many animal studies that examine processes of potential relevance do not include the keywords "obsessive-compulsive disorder" and thus may be missed by our search. This limitation is discussed in more detail in Section 3.8.

During initial selection, two authors (MVZ and HP) independently reviewed each of the papers identified by this PubMed literature search to check whether they met these inclusion criteria. The reference lists in the retrieved articles were checked against the database search and any other articles that might fulfill the inclusion criteria were retrieved. Any disagreements between MVZ and HP were resolved by group discussion.

Papers selected during initial selection were divided into 7 broad categories (by MVZ), based on the focus of the paper: Symptomology and Burden, Comorbidities, Epidemiology, Genetics, Altered Neurocognitive and Neurobiological functioning, Treatment, and Animal Models. Each category was assigned to one of the authors (MVZ, EO, BS, or HP) for in-depth review (see Figure 1). Each of the researchers prepared a review of the assigned section; if any paper was identified as not meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria or not fitting the assigned category it was discussed by the group and either excluded or recategorized. HP conducted an additional final review of excluded papers, and any disagreements with prior decisions were discussed with the group. CP and MB conducted the overall editing of the review.

All included papers were assessed by two researchers (BS and ST) for quality of evidence using the CASP Checklists (Buccheri and Sharifi, 2017; Long et al., 2020); available upon request). Overall patterns, methodological concerns, knowledge gaps, and new hypotheses for future research were discussed and summarized during meetings of all coauthors. These summaries of key considerations are presented at the end of each section.

3. Results

The initial PubMed searches identified 5973 papers: 4804 papers in the search from July 1st, 2009, to June 30th, 2019, and 1159 additional papers in the search from July 1st, 2019, to March 31st, 2021. Twelve duplicate records were removed. The reference list cross-check revealed an additional 22 papers. MVZ and HP independently agreed to include 888 papers and not to include 4923; of the remaining 162 papers on which these two reviewers had discordant judgments, 24 were included following group discussion. Overall, 912 papers were included during this initial selection step.

During the final selection step, 370 papers were excluded: 5 were duplicates, for 3 full text was unavailable, 22 were not available in English, 5 were short commentaries or letters to the editor with no statistics reported, 6 were study protocols, 1 was retracted, 17 were single case reports, 24 were animal studies with only male subjects, 187 did not test sex/gender differences in OCD (i.e. did not meet the inclusion criteria 3), and 100 did not specifically examine OCD (e.g. focused on obsessive-compulsive personality disorder or pooled OCD with other anxiety disorders).

Overall, 541 papers were confirmed to be included: 37 meta-analyses, 8 systematic reviews, 25 narrative reviews, and 471 original reports (Figure 1). A summary of meta-analyses and systematic and narrative reviews is reported in Appendix A1. Original reports were reviewed and are reported in seven sections:[1] the Symptomology and Burden section includes 66 papers (Section 3.2, by BS and HP); the Comorbidities section includes 121 papers (Section 3.3, by MVZ and HP); the Epidemiology section includes 134 papers (Section 3.4, by MVZ and HP); the Human Genetics section includes 68 papers (Section 3.5, by EO); the Altered Neurocognitive

[1] 145 papers were reassigned to a different section of the review over the course of processing.

and Neurobiological functioning section includes 36 papers (Section 3.6, by HP); the Treatment section included 42 papers (Section 3.7, by BS and HP), and the Animal Models section includes 29 papers (Section 3.8, by MVZ). Twenty-seven papers are included in more than one section. Figure 2 illustrates that the number of papers on most topics increased over time during the review period; with the most dramatic increase in epidemiological studies (including comorbidities).

Throughout the review, we cited each included paper by the first author's last name and publication year. Due to the very large number of included papers (N = 471), these papers are listed in the appendices rather than the references. Also, due to the very large number of papers included in the review, only selected key methodological concerns, knowledge gaps, and new hypotheses for future research are presented at the end of each section. Our hope is that by characterizing the overall patterns that emerged in the literature, we will facilitate generation of new hypotheses, more systematic investigations, and a broader discussion on how sex and gender may contribute to the heterogeneity of OCD.

3.1. A note on sex and gender

Sex and gender are distinct concepts. Both are complex. 'Sex' refers to an individual's biological classification as male or female, determined by sex chromosomes, anatomy, and sex steroid hormones; these usually coincide and allow for ready classification as ‘male’ and ‘female’, but ambiguity arises in edge cases. 'Gender' relates to an individual's social identification as men, women, or nonbinary and is influenced by family, society, and culture (Holdcroft, 2007; Lindqvist et al., 2021; Mendrek, 2015). Sex assigned at birth and current gender identification align in most cases; discrepancies can occur in intersex individuals or those identifying as transgender or nonbinary. The interplay between sex and gender influences

behavior and brain function over the lifetime. Amongst the hundreds of reviewed studies, only one asked participants to report both sex, as assigned at birth, and gender identity. Individuals were simply asked to report whether they are men or women; in many studies the details of how this question was posed or a determination was made are not available. For the purpose of this review, the assumption was made that, unless otherwise explicitly reported, human genetic studies and animal model work assessed the effects of sex and all other studies assessed participants' self-reported gender.

Following APA 7 guidelines (Transue, 2019) terms *male* and *female* are used as nouns when describing a group with a wide age range or as adjectives to describe a specific person. Terms *men* and *women* or *boys* and *girls* are used to describe individuals from a specific age group.

Highlights from Section 3.2 Symptoms and Associated Burden

Symptom presentation: There is higher overall symptom severity in females with OCD. Contamination and other externally oriented obsessions are more common and severe in females in adulthood, while sexual/religious and other internally oriented obsessions are more common and severe in males.

Associated burden: Males with OCD are more likely to remain unmarried, while females are likely to marry but face more challenges in family roles. Females experience lower emotional functioning and vitality, and more difficulties with sexual arousal. Labor market marginalization, with higher unemployment and reliance on disability pensions, is higher in males. Offspring of mothers with OCD show negative health effects, such as lower birth weight and higher inflammatory markers.

Key concerns: Assessments of obsessions and compulsions in children and adolescents disproportionally rely on self- or parental reports. Self-reports are influenced by the level of insight, which may itself vary by gender, with some studies suggesting better insight in females. Most studies do not account for fluctuation of symptoms across phases of menstrual cycle in females.

Topics for future study: Gender differences in symptom subtypes and insight; gender differences in subthreshold symptoms and gendered cut-off levels for clinical diagnosis; assessments tailored to life

3.2 Symptoms and Associated Burden

3.2.1 Symptom Presentation

The clinical presentation of OCD is marked by high heterogeneity, some of which may be linked to a patient's sex or gender (Franceschini and Fattore, 2021; Mathis et al., 2011). Initial efforts to classify the heterogeneity of OCD symptoms were based on symptom content and converged on 3–5 dimensions: contamination/cleaning, fear of harm/checking, taboo thoughts, and symmetry/ordering (Bloch et al., 2008). (Hoarding emerged as a separate dimension in early studies but is now considered a separate disorder.) Forty-two studies from the review period (13 in children/adolescents, 27 in adults, and 2 in both) explored the impact of gender[2] on symptom presence and severity, including across specific symptom dimensions (Table 1, Table A2.1).

When interpreting symptom patterns, it is crucial to consider the way in which symptoms were assessed. In children, 7 studies used clinician-administered measures and 6 used self- or parental reports. In adults, 12 studies used clinician-administered measures, 11 used self-reports, and four used both. Results based on self and parental-reports were more inconsistent, especially in children; clinical assessments produced more consistent results across age groups. Two studies in adults (Hauschildt 2019; Storch 2017) compared self-reports and clinical assessments using the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale, YBOCS (Goodman et al., 1989a; Goodman et al., 1989b). Both studies noted the self-report version of YBOCS to yield lower scores compared to interview-based assessments (more so at the first than after repeated assessments); these patterns manifested similarly in men and women.

All studies but one (Schultz 2018, using self-reports in children) in individuals with clinically significant OCD symptoms reported higher overall symptom severity in women than in men (Cohen's d = 0.12 □ 0.44). Self-reports from community samples revealed less consistent

[2] Studies that are reviewed in this section rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term 'gender' in this section.

patterns. Among adults with OCD, contamination obsessions were more frequent (F:M OR = 1.6 □ 4.5) and more severe (Cohen's d = 0.29 □ 0.40) in women, while sexual/religious obsessions were more frequent (F:M OR = 0.35 – 0.71) and more severe (Cohen's d = 0.16 □ 0.43) in men. In children/adolescents with OCD, contamination and sexual/religious obsessions in girls and boys were comparable. Symmetry and ordering symptoms among children were more prevalent in girls, in both clinical and community samples (F:M OR ≅ 2.5, but see Schultz 2018), with inconsistent results in adults. No consistent patterns across gender and age groups were observed in checking or harm avoidance symptom domains. Reports about gender bias in compulsion-only OCD subtypes are also inconsistent (Rodgers, 2015).

Motivated by the growing recognition that specific life phases can trigger specific obsessions and compulsions, 3 papers developed scales tailored to OCD symptoms in the peripartum period, primarily targeting women but also assessing men (Allison 2011; Lord 2011; Lydsdottir 2013). Some studies also argued for the development of instruments that are tailored to geriatric OCD, for instance, for the assessment checking symptoms in older men and women (Klenfeldt 2014). Few studies have assessed how OCD symptom presentation and co-occurrence changes from childhood to adolescence to adulthood in men and women. Early findings suggest that gendered symptom profiles may change across development, but these results may be biased by differences in sampling and methodologies. Among studies that evaluate symptom profiles, more studies in children (5 out of 11) than in adults (6 out of 15) relied on self-report; in both children and adults' samples, studies that relied on self-reports produced more inconsistent results.

Another classification approach considers underlying motivational factors, such as incompleteness or 'not-just-right' experiences and harm avoidance, to gain insights into the

driving forces behind OCD symptoms (Ecker and Gönner, 2008; Summerfeldt et al., 2014). Two papers compared prevalence of these motivations between men and women. Among adolescents, Ravid (2013) identified an age by gender interaction influencing the presence and severity of 'not-just-right' experiences, which were more prevalent in younger female and older male participants (age x female gender: $\beta = -0.21$ $p < 0.01$). In adults, Sibrava (2016) analyzed gender distributions in individuals experiencing low and high feelings of incompleteness (a similar construct to 'not-just-right' experiences), finding no significant differences (F:M OR = 1.05 $p = 0.43$). Note that 'not-just-right' experiences have been shown to occur more frequently in OCD patients of all ages with comorbid tic disorders and/or autism spectrum disorder (da Silva Prado et al., 2008; Holzer et al., 1994; Neal and Cavanna, 2013), which are themselves diagnosed anywhere from 3–5 times more often in male than in female patients (see Section 3.3). More careful analyses that control for presence of comorbid autistic spectrum and tic disorders symptoms are needed to clarify these relationships (also see Section 3.3).

Raines (2014) used data-driven subtyping in adults with OCD and observed greater homogeneity of symptoms in men, with severity of symptoms significantly correlated along all dimensions. Symptom presentation in women was more heterogeneous: contamination symptoms correlated with either harm-related responsibility or symmetry obsessions, while harm-related responsibility also co-occurred with unacceptable thoughts; other associations lacked significance. Shkalim (2017) explored whether obsessive-compulsive symptoms primarily stem from fear or distress and reported divergent patterns by gender. For adult women, overall symptom severity was equally linked to both fear and distress, while no clear pattern emerged for adult men. If replicated, these results may suggest existence of more diversity of OCD symptom structure in female patients, with some symptoms more closely linked to fear and

others more closely linked to distress than in male patients; this would suggest that distinct mechanisms are at work.

The autogenous-reactive (AO-RO) model of obsessions and compulsions (Lee and Kwon, 2003; Lee et al., 2005) seeks to capture the widely held clinical intuition that there is a distinction between internally-oriented (autogenous) obsessions and those that are more focused on one's surroundings (reactive). Autogenous symptoms include forbidden thoughts, mental rituals, and 'not-just-right' experiences; reactive symptoms include most contamination concerns, harm avoidance, and intolerance of uncertainty, and are more likely to have stress-associated symptom onset. Using data-driven subtyping, Atli (2014) and Batmaz (2016) found that men exhibited a significantly higher prevalence of autogenous symptoms, while reactive obsessions were more common in women (F:M ORs = 1.8 – 4.1). These results are consistent with earlier findings focused on specific symptom subtypes, summarized above: greater prevalence and severity of contamination obsessions (reactive) were reported in women, while sexual/religious obsessions (autogenous) were more common in men.

All of these results rely on subjects being able to report their symptoms accurately. This is possible only if they have sufficient insight into their symptoms. Insight into one's psychiatric symptoms also serves as a foundation for effective treatment by fostering collaboration, informed decision-making, symptom management, and overall empowerment in the recovery journey. Only two papers during the review period evaluated gender influences on insight in OCD patients; each used a different instrument. Using DSM-IV criteria and the Brown Assessment of Beliefs Scale (Eisen et al., 1998) impression items, Shimshoni (2011) found greater insight into OCD symptoms in adult women than in men (difference in mean rank = 8.75 $p = 0.01$). Cherian (2014), using the YBOCS insight item, also found lower insight in men,

though the effect was not statistically significant (Cohen's d = 0.18 p = 0.44). More investigations of gender influences on insight in OCD patients are needed. If insight is indeed better on average in women than in men, other results on gender differences in symptom presentation may need to be critically re-assessed, especially those that depend on self-report. Gender differences in insight should be evaluated in the context of predominant symptoms, since it has been suggested that autogenous obsessions (more common among men) may be linked to lower levels of insight (Tolin et al., 2001).

There is a clear need for further investigation into the heterogeneity of OCD symptom presentation, including variable insight, and its connection to gender. Only four studies in the review period investigated gender differences using data-driven symptom subtyping, and only in adults. Notably, these investigations revealed large gender-related effect sizes, reaching up to F: M OR = 4.1 (which roughly corresponds to Cohen's d = 2.6), suggesting potential clinical relevance of this line of research. Applying a similar approach to the evolution of gendered symptom profiles across life phases is warranted.

3.2.2 Burden on Patients and Families

OCD significantly impairs well-being and diminishes quality of life for both diagnosed patients and their families (Markarian et al., 2010). This burden varies by gender (Table 1, Table A2.2). Men with OCD tend to remain unmarried (Muhlbauer 2021), while women with OCD are more likely to be married or divorced, often grappling with challenges in partner and family role adaptation (Muhlbauer 2021; Rosa 2012). Women experience lower quality of life, particularly in emotional functioning and vitality domains, and are more likely to experience difficulties with sexual arousal (Kugler 2013; Jahangard 2018; Pozza 2019). OCD is linked to significant labor market marginalization through missing more workdays, increased unemployment, and greater

depenedence on disability pensions than controls (Pérez-Vigil 2018); these negative impacts of OCD are somewhat stronger in men than in women (HR = 3.78 [95% CI, 3.61–3.97] in men and HR = 3.20 [95% CI, 3.08–3.32] in women). However, this pattern may reflect that men, especially white men, tend to be a privileged group in the labor market; OCD in men may be associated with the loss of this privilege (Wadsworth et al., 2020).

Gender-based differences extend to caregivers, with female caregivers of individuals with OCD reporting higher subjective burden compared to their male counterparts. Relative to fathers, mothers reported higher levels of stress, anxiety (Futh 2012), and family accommodation (Shrinivasa 2020; Liao 2021).

The impact of OCD extends to physical health; this parallels the worsening of physical health seen in other major psychiatric conditions. Vascular and metabolic challenges disproportionately affect those with OCD, particularly women (Isomura 2017). In men, increased heart rate at rest and elevated blood pressure at age 18 have been linked to higher chances of developing OCD later in life (Latvala 2016). These physical symptoms in men could be ongoing or could reflect heightened anxiety during medical visits, which may be a marker of predisposition to OCD. Our search did not identify a similar study in women. Meier (2017) found elevated natural-cause mortality rates that were higher in men with OCD (mortality rate ratio, MRR = 1.69) than in women with OCD (MRR = 1.37), while unnatural-cause mortality was higher in women with OCD (MRR = 3.15) than in men (MRR = 2.23). Finally, offspring of mothers with OCD exhibited lower birth weight, shorter gestation, and heightened chronic inflammation markers (Uguz 2014, 2015), revealing spillover of OCD's effects into the next generation.

Individuals with OCD often face a lack of understanding of their condition by the public, which may lead to a lack of support and to the experience of stigma (Ponzini and Steinman, 2022). Reported estimates of the recognition rate of OCD varied widely across countries and specific symptom profiles (from ~ 25% to ~ 85%). Men more often interpreted OCD as perfectionism (Stewart 2019); women were more open to supporting individuals with OCD and were more likely to recommend working with a psychologist (Garcia-Soriano 2017).

There is a need for a comprehensive understanding of gender differences in the burden of OCD as well as for coordinated effort to educate the general public about this debilitating condition. This appreciation will be instrumental in guiding therapeutic interventions aimed at enhancing the lives of those grappling with OCD, as well as their caregivers.

3.2.3. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological Concerns:

- Differences exist between studies using self-report measures and those employing clinician-administered assessments. These variations may stem from gender-related differences in insight or reporting biases, particularly in studies involving children, where reliance on both child self-reports and parental reports is common. To improve accuracy, it is essential to prioritize clinician-based assessments, especially in younger populations.
- The DSM criteria for distinguishing between subthreshold and clinically significant symptoms are relatively arbitrary. Although necessary for practical purposes, this cutoff may exclude individuals with near-threshold symptoms, potentially skewing gendered symptom profiles. Incorporating subclinical data could help clarify these patterns. This point is discussed further below under Epidemiology.

- While traditional research has focused on clinically recognized OCD symptom domains (e.g., checking or contamination), recent studies suggest value in examining symptom co-occurrence using data-driven clustering. This approach may complement domain-based analyses and provide insights into the underlying mechanisms of symptoms.

Unanswered Questions*:*

- Are gender differences in insight real, and how do they influence findings on symptom profiles?
- Are there systematic gender differences in how symptoms should be assessed across different life stages, such as during puberty or in geriatric populations?
- Do gendered symptom profiles exist at subthreshold levels as well as in clinical illness?
- How should physical symptoms be integrated into assessments of OCD-related distress and dysfunction?

Hypotheses for Future Research:

- Symptom subtypes may be more heterogeneous in adult women than in adult men.
- Gender differences in contamination and sexual/religious symptoms may emerge after puberty.
- Differences in insight between genders could lead to differences in self-reported symptoms.

3.3 Comorbid and Co-occurring Conditions

Highlights from Section 3.3 Comorbid and co-occurring conditions

Overall patterns: individuals with OCD are more predisposed to other psychiatric conditions compared to the general population, with largely similar gender ratios. There is a higher prevalence of impulse control disorders and substance use in women and of social anxiety disorder in men). Reports on gender ratio in "pure OCD" (i.e., without comorbidities) are inconsistent.

Patterns by condition: Suicidality, eating disorders, compulsive buying, and trichotillomania/skin picking are more common in women in the general population and in OCD. Bipolar disorders, hoarding, and personality disorders are similarly prevalent across genders in the general population and in OCD. Schizophrenia, psychosis, externalizing disorders, and alcohol use are more common in men in the general population and in OCD. The female bias in the general population in anxiety, depression, and PTSD and the male bias in ADHD, impulse control disorders, and SUD is reduced in OCD. Reports on gender ratios in the prevalence of tic disorders and Tourette syndrome in children and adults with OCD remain inconsistent. Other psychopathologies may reduce the male bias in children and the female bias in adults in the prevalence of OCD. General medical conditions may increase risk for development of OCD in both children and in adults.

Key concerns: There is high heterogeneity in assessment strategies, which complicates comparisons across studies. Whether clinical problems are primary or co-primary is underreported. There is a lack of discussion on gender ratios in comorbid or co-occurring conditions in OCD, particularly in relation to the gender ratios observed in the general population or in other anxiety spectrum disorders.

Topics for future study: There is a need for standardized methods for broadly assessing comorbid conditions without overly burdening study participants. Investigation of developmental trajectories of comorbid or co-occurring conditions alongside the progression of obsessive-compulsive symptoms in large prospective studies is needed. Interactions between OCD and comorbid symptoms is needed, particularly with respect to their impact on symptom severity, overall burden, and treatment outcomes.

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) often presents with co-occurring psychiatric conditions, contributing to its clinical complexity. In a cross-sectional study of 955 adults with OCD in Brazil, only 7.7% had "pure" OCD without comorbid diagnoses (Torres 2013). In contrast, two large-scale registry studies reported lower comorbidity rates, ranging from 54% to 73% (Huang 2014; Rintala 2017). Methodological differences, such as direct interviews versus medical record reviews, as well as regional factors, may account for these discrepancies. These

factors could also lead to conflicting estimates regarding the impact of gender[3] on the presence of co-occurring conditions in both adults and children with OCD.

In children, most studies do not report significant gender differences in the presence of at least one comorbid diagnosis (F:M OR = 0.71–1.43; Huang, 2014; Vivan, 2014; Arildskov, 2016; Ortiz, 2016). However, some studies report a significant male bias (F:M OR = 0.09; $p < 0.01$; Farrel, 2012), while others report a small but significant female bias (F:M OR = 1.22, $p = 0.01$; Rintala, 2017). Girls are more likely to develop comorbid internalizing disorders (F:M OR = 3.66; Ortiz, 2016), whereas boys are more likely to have comorbid neurodevelopmental disorders (F:M OR = 0.35; Rintala, 2017), OCD-spectrum disorders (F:M OR = 0.31; Öcal, 2019), and multiple comorbidities (F:M OR = 0.46; Arildskov, 2016).

In adults, findings are similarly mixed. Two studies from Brazil observed a male bias in current comorbidity (F:M OR = 0.60 – 0.63; Torres, 2013; Torresan, 2013), while two other studies, from Taiwan and Italy, noted a female bias in lifetime comorbidity (F:M OR = 1.29 – 2; Huang 2014; Benatti 2020). These inconsistencies highlight the need for further research across diverse and representative populations, and the importance of accounting for methods biases.

This section explores gender differences in the prevalence and presentation of specific comorbid symptoms and diagnoses in OCD based on results from 121 studies identified during the review period (31 in children/adolescents, 85 in adults, and 5 in both; Table 2, Table A3). The observed gender effects on co-occurrence or comorbidity could be driven by both biological and social factors. Their interpretation depends on the research or clinical goal. Clinically, recognizing gender-specific comorbidity patterns is crucial for optimizing diagnostic and treatment practices. From a pathophysiological perspective, deviations from general population

[3] Studies that are reviewed in this section, excluding one study by Raution et al. (2020), rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term ‘gender’ in this section.

patterns are more informative than simple descriptions of comorbidity patterns. For instance, since depression is more common in girls and women in the general population, its equal prevalence among men and women with OCD would signify a noteworthy deviation, warranting further investigation. This section is structured to facilitate these comparisons.

Of note, comorbidity is reported in two different ways in the literature. Most studies retrieved by our search described individuals with OCD as a primary condition and reported the occurrence of other conditions. But others took the converse approach, describing individuals with another condition and reporting the occurrence of OCD within this group. Both types of studies are described here.

3.3.1. Psychiatric Conditions with Higher Prevalence in Women in the General Population

3.3.1.1. Anxiety Disorders are more common in women in the general population (Bekker and van Mens-Verhulst, 2007); this includes generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) (Grenier et al., 2019), panic disorder (Sheikh et al., 2002), and social anxiety disorder (Ohayon and Schatzberg, 2010). Most individuals with OCD experience anxiety alongside their intrusive thoughts (Bartz and Hollander, 2006; Citkowska-Kisielewska et al., 2019; Montgomery, 1993). However, the relationship between comorbid anxiety disorders, OCD, and gender is complex and not fully understood. These patterns may differ in pediatric and adult samples.

In pediatric OCD, most studies report equal rates of any comorbid anxiety disorders in boys and girls (Peris, 2017, N = 322; Tanidir, 2015, N = 110). However, some contradictory findings exist. Rintala et al. (2017) reported a female bias in the prevalence of anxiety disorders in a large sample aged 3–25 years old (N = 3372), while Huang et al. (2014) found a male bias in a smaller sample of children aged 6–17 years old (N = 48). Generalized anxiety disorder (F:M

OR = 2.4 p = 0.08) and specific phobias (F:M OR = 2.75 p = 0.03) tend to be more common in girls with OCD (Tanidir, 2015).

In adults, rates of any comorbid anxiety disorder are similar in men and women with OCD (F:M OR = 0.77 – 1.21; Benatti, 2020; Huang, 2014; Torresan, 2013). However, there are possible differences in specific diagnoses. Studies that relied on clinical interviews and medical records reported comorbid social anxiety disorder to be more prevalent in men with OCD (F:M OR = 0.21 – 0.73 $p < 0.05$; Assunção, 2012; Huang, 2014; Cherian, 2013; Torresan, 2013); however, one online study found it to be more common in women (F:M OR = 1.75 $p < 0.01$; Al Asadi 2015). Panic disorder with and without agoraphobia was reported to be more common in women with OCD (F:M OR = 1.47 – 1.53 $p < 0.05$; Torres, 2014). Reports are inconsistent about GAD (F:M OR = 1.95 $p < 0.01$ in Al-Asadi, 2015, N = 13,414; F:M OR = 0.92 p = 0.54 in Sharma, 2021, N = 867) and specific phobias (F:M OR = 1.58 $p < 0.01$ in N = Al-Asadi, 2015, N = 13414; F:M OR = 0.61 p = 0.42 in Rudy, 2014, N =196). Together, these findings suggest that OCD increases risk for social anxiety in adult men. If confirmed, this trend may help explain why men with OCD are more likely to remain unmarried (see Section 3.2.2).

3.3.1.2. Depression, including major depressive disorder (MDD), is diagnosed about twice as often in women as in men in the general population (Klein et al., 2013; Salari et al., 2020) and is more common in adults over 18 years of age (Kessler et al., 2005; Kessler et al., 2012). Reports on the prevalence of comorbid depression in OCD are mixed. Among children, some studies indicate a significant female bias in comorbid depression prevalence (F:M OR = 2.0–4.1 $p < 0.01$; Storch, 2012; Rintala, 2017), while others report only a non-significant trend toward female bias (F:M OR = 1.2 – 1.94, $p > 0.10$; Brown, 2015; Tanidir, 2015; Peris, 2017). A small study of adolescent OCD found higher prevalence of MDD (F:M OR = 3.8, $p > 0.10$) and

significantly higher depression severity in women (Cohen's d = 0.30 p = 0.03; Vivan, 2014). In adults with OCD, two studies reported presence (F:M OR = 1.7 $p < 0.01$; Viswanath, 2012) or trend toward female bias (F:M OR = 1.4 p = 0.2; Altıntaş, 2015) in depression prevalence, while three others found no significant gender effect (F:M OR ≅ 1.1, $p > 0.10$; Quarantini, 2011; Timpano, 2012; Al-Asadi, 2015).

Conversely, in adults with a diagnosis of depression, obsessive-compulsive symptoms are more common in men (F:M OR = 0.36, $p < 0.01$; Hofmeijer-Sevink, 2018). Overall, on average, women with OCD appear to have a similar risk of comorbid depression as women in the general population (mean F:M OR ≅ 2), but the female bias may be more pronounced in younger individuals. OCD may increase risk for the development of depression in adult men. This hypothesis needs to be tested in larger, balanced samples.

3.3.1.3. Suicide attempts in the general population are more common in women; however, suicide completion rates are 2–3 times higher in men (Turecki et al., 2019). OCD increases the risk of suicidal ideation and behavior; comorbid depression further exacerbates this risk more in women (de la Cruz, 2017; Chaudhary, 2016; Gupta, 2014), and comorbid Tourette syndrome increases this risk more in men (Benatti, 2020). All but one study (Demirkol, 2019) reported that women with OCD are at a higher risk for suicidal ideation, attempts, and death from suicide than men (F:M OR = 1.45–19.33 $p < 0.01$; Chaudhary, 2016; Velloso, 2016; De la Cruz, 2017; Breet, 2019). This may be driven by higher prevalence of depression in women with OCD.

3.3.1.4. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) tends to be more common in women in both civilian and military populations (Lehavot et al., 2018; Schein et al., 2021). The co-occurrence of PTSD and OCD is understudied. One study in adults with OCD replicated female bias in the prevalence of PTSD among civilians (F:M OR = 2.58 $p < 0.01$, Al-Asadi, 2015). Other studies in

civilian samples of children and adults find a similarly low prevalence of PTSD in both genders (F:M OR = 0.26 – 1.25 $p > 0.20$; Vivan, 2014; Huang, 2014). In a small study from Israel of individuals with PTSD, 41% of participants were also diagnosed as having current OCD, with no significant differences between genders (Nacasch, 2011; N = 44).

3.3.1.5. Eating disorders (EDs) include anorexia nervosa (AN), bulimia nervosa (BN), binge eating disorder (BED), orthorexia, and related conditions. AN and BN often involve binge eating and purging. In the general population, AN and BN are more common in women, while subthreshold BED is more frequent in men (Hoek, 2006; Striegel-Moore and Bulik, 2007; Striegel□Moore et al., 2009). The prevalence of "any binge eating" is similar across genders (F:M OR ≈ 1.2).

ED symptoms tend to be elevated in both men and women with OCD (Bang, 2020), and the severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms (OCS) correlates with the severity of ED symptoms similarly in both genders in adolescents (Schneider 2018). In children with OCD, ED was reported to be more common in girls (F:M OR = 5.66 $p < 0.01$; Rintala, 2017). In contrast, among adults, EDs, and specifically AN and BN, are similarly prevalent in men and women (F:M OR ≈ 1; Al-Asadi, 2015; Benatti, 2020). No study during the review period investigated whether the reversal of the gender differences in prevalence of EDs in the general population around the clinical cut-off levels is also observed in individuals with OCD. Interestingly, Strobel (2019) reported that in AN and BN patients undergoing ED-focused inpatient treatment, obsessive-compulsive symptom severity decreased in both men (Cohen's $d = 0.59$, $p < 0.001$) and women (Cohen's $d = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$), with a stronger treatment response observed in men (ΔOCI-R × M $\eta^2 = 0.02$, $p < 0.05$).

Three studies in children and five in adults report significant OCD-ED relationship in women, with specific OCD symptoms varying across ED subtypes. In girls with EDs, particularly AN binge/purge subtype, obsessive-compulsive symptoms are more severe than in those without EDs (Brooks, 2014; Blachno, 2016; Lewis, 2018). Among adult women, OCD severity correlates positively with ED severity, and treatment-related reductions in OCD symptoms align with decreased ED severity (Lee, 2018, 2020). Impulse-related symptoms on the Padua Inventory (Burns et al., 1996) are higher in individuals with AN binge/purge subtype and BN compared to those with AN without binge eating (Claes, 2020). Neutralizing symptoms are notably elevated in women with AN (Cohen's d = 0.47, p = 0.053; Bang, 2019). A latent class analysis identified an AN subtype with high compulsivity and increased OCD comorbidity (OR = 5.6 [95% CI, 1.77–17.71] $p < 0.01$; Lavender, 2014). These findings highlight shared compulsive and impulsive traits between OCD and EDs, emphasizing the need for integrated approaches to understanding and treating these conditions.

3.3.1.6. Compulsive buying disorder is more common in females in the general population (Maraz et al., 2016). OCD increased risk for compulsive buying disorder more in women than in men (F:M OR = 2.56 – 2.8 $p < 0.01$; Torresan, 2013; Kim, 2018). In individuals with a diagnosis of compulsive buying, there was a non-significant trend toward a male bias in prevalence of OCD (F:M OR = 0.49 p = 0.20; De Mattos, 2016).

3.3.1.7. Trichotillomania (TTM), excoriation disorder (SPD), and other grooming disorders are about three times more common in females than males in the general population (Chamberlain et al., 2007; Wilhelm et al., 1999). Among individuals with OCD or a family history of OCD, a smaller female bias is observed for TTM (F:M OR = 1.08 – 1.46 $p < 0.05$; Torresan, 2013; Gerstenblith, 2019), SPD (F:M OR = 2.61 $p < 0.01$; Torresan, 2013), and grooming disorders

more generally (F:M OR = 2.27 $p < 0.01$; Lovato, 2012). This may suggest that OCD increases risk for TTM in men, which should be tested in future studies. In adults diagnosed with TTM, OCD is similarly prevalent in men and women (Grant, 2016).

Overall, many disorders that are more common in women generally are also more prevalent in women with OCD. However, the presence of OCD increases the risk of social phobia, trichotillomania, and depression in men. While OCD raises the risk of suicidal ideation and attempts in both genders, comorbid depression exacerbates this risk in women, and Tourette syndrome does so in men. Gender differences in eating disorders' prevalence in OCD remain unclear, especially around the clinical cut-off levels. OCS severity correlates with ED severity in both genders, but men show greater OCS reduction after inpatient anorexia treatment. Reports on gender effects on comorbid anxiety disorders in OCD are highly variable. PTSD co-occurrence with OCD is understudied and likely underestimated.

3.3.2. Psychiatric Conditions with Similar Prevalence in Women and Men in the General Population

3.3.2.1. Bipolar disorder (BD) has similar prevalence in men and women in the general population, though onset may occur later in women, with symptom presentation differing by gender (Kennedy et al., 2005; Kessing, 2004; Parial, 2015; Suominen et al., 2009). Among individuals with OCD, studies report mixed findings. In children with OCD, BD shows a significant female bias (F:M OR = 1.8, $p = 0.003$, $N = 3372$; Rintala, 2017), while adult studies report either a non-significant female bias (F:M OR = 1.25–1.5, $p > 0.10$; Timpano, 2012; Huang, 2014) or similar prevalence (F:M OR = 0.85; Torresan, 2013). In BD-I, a large study noted a significant female bias (F:M OR = 2.5, $p = 0.027$, $N = 736$; Saunders, 2012), while smaller studies reported non-significant trends (F:M OR = 1.7, $p = 0.28$, $N \leq 174$; Kazhungil,

2017; Kim, 2014). Conversely, a large-scale study of individuals with any BD diagnosis (BD-I, BD-II, or not unspecified BD) found a significant male bias for OCD (F:M OR = 0.61 $p < 0.01$; Di Salvo, 2020). These findings suggest potential links between OCD and BPD-I in women and BPD-II in men that warrant further research.

3.3.2.2. Body dysmorphic disorder (BDD) occurs equally in men and women in the general population (Koran et al., 2008; Taqui et al., 2008) and in adults with OCD (F:M OR = 0.83–0.94; Costa, 2012; Torresan, 2013). However, one online study reported a significant female bias in BDD among those with clinically significant self-reported OCS (F:M OR = 2.9, $p < 0.01$; Al-Asadi, 2015). In children, OCD symptoms correlate similarly with BDD interference/avoidance symptoms in both genders, but other BDD symptoms correlate more strongly in girls (Spearman ρ: girls = 0.32, boys = 0.26; Fisher $p = 0.038$; Schneider, 2018). OCD prevalence in children with BDD appears similar across genders (Rautio, 2020; Schneider, 2019). A twin study in women found significant genetic overlap between OCD and BDD (polychoric correlation = 0.34; Monzani, 2012). In young adult women, OCD significantly increases BDD risk (OR = 7.2, $p < 0.01$), a pattern not observed in adolescents (Enander, 2018).

3.3.2.3. Hoarding disorder (HD) occurs equally in men and women in the general population (Postlethwaite et al., 2019). Among adults with OCD, most studies also report similar HD comorbidity rates across genders (Chen, 2017; Samuels, 2018; Boerema, 2019), though contradictory reports exist. A twin study found higher HD prevalence in men with OCD (F:M OR = 0.5 $p < 0.01$; Mathews, 2014), whereas another study found that elevated HD symptoms were more common in women with OCD (F:M OR = 1.41 – 2.13 $p < 0.01$; Torres, 2012; Cath, 2017). In individuals with HD, comorbid OCD is more common in men (F:M OR = 0.59 $p < 0.01$; Mathews, 2014. Women with comorbid OCD and HD are more likely to report

dysfunctional maternal care (Chen, 2017) and greater executive function difficulties (Samuels, 2018). Thus, while the gender balance of HD comorbidity in OCD remains unclear, converging evidence suggests that women may be more severely affected by this comorbidity.

3.3.2.4. Personality disorders, including borderline personality disorder (BPD) and obsessive-compulsive personality disorder (OCPD), have similar prevalence in men and women in the general population (Clemente et al., 2022; Friborg et al., 2013; Silberschmidt et al., 2015). In OCD, studies report equal prevalence of OCPD and other personality disorders in men and women with OCD (Lochner, 2011; Benatti, 2020). In individuals with borderline personality disorder, OCD comorbidity rates are also similar across genders (Silberschmidt, 2015).

In summary, conditions with similar prevalence across genders in the general population generally show comparable gender balance in individuals with OCD, though findings for bipolar and hoarding disorders are inconsistent. Emerging evidence suggests that women may be more severely affected by comorbid bipolar, hoarding, and body dysmorphic disorders.

3.3.3. Psychiatric Conditions with Higher Prevalence in Men in the General Population

3.3.3.1. Schizophrenia is more common in men in the general population (Ochoa et al., 2012). A history of OCD significantly increases the risk of developing schizophrenia (HR = 30.2, $p < 0.001$), with men at greater risk than women (F:M HR = 0.63, $p < 0.01$; Cheng, 2019). Among individuals with OCD, adult men show a higher prevalence of comorbid schizophrenia and schizotypal traits (F:M OR = 0.09–0.67, $p < 0.05$; Huang, 2011; Huang, 2014), mirroring general population trends. However, in children, schizophrenia prevalence is similar across genders (F:M OR = 1.25, $p > 0.20$; Huang, 2014). In adults with schizophrenia, findings on gender differences in OCD comorbidity are mixed. Two studies reported a higher prevalence in men (F:M OR = 0.22–0.49; Baek, 2020; Devi, 2015), three found roughly equal prevalence (F:M OR = 1.02–

1.23, $p > 0.30$; Faragian, 2012; Bener, 2018; Kokurcan, 2020), and one noted a trend toward female bias (F:M OR = 1.23 [95% CI, 0.94–1.60]; Bosanac, 2013).

3.3.3.2. Psychotic disorders and schizophrenia are combined in the chapter F2 of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10); however, psychotic symptoms may appear outside of a formal diagnosis of schizophrenia. In the general population, rates of psychosis are higher in men than in women (Castillejos et al., 2018). In children and young adults with OCD, male patients have been reported to be more likely to have a comorbid psychotic disorder (F:M OR = 0.71 $p < 0.01$; Rintala, 2017), but among adults with OCD, psychosis was found to be similarly present in men and women (F:M OR = 1.03; Benatti, 2020). Sullivan et al. (2018) examined psychotic patients and found that men were more likely to exhibit OCD-like symptoms than women with stronger difference in younger and older groups (age < 44 F:M OR = 0.36 – 0.57; age > 55 F:M OR = 0.49). On the other hand, Hagen et al. (2013) examined young patients with first-episode psychosis (age = 25 ± 10) and found a non-significant trend toward female bias (F:M OR = 1.93 $p = 0.11$).

3.3.3.3. Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is nearly four times more common in men than women in the general population (Giarelli et al., 2010; Loomes et al., 2017; Nordahl, 2023), though diagnostic practices and tools may underestimate autism in women (Brugha et al., 2016). Among children with OCD, most studies find that ASD symptoms and diagnosis are more common in boys (F:M OR = 0.2–0.4 $p < 0.01$; Arildskov, 2016; Martin, 2020; Rintala, 2017; Zijlmans, 2017). Boys with OCD and ASD show greater symptom severity for both conditions (Arildskov, 2016). Among adults, a smaller study suggested only a non-significant trend toward higher ASD prevalence in men with OCD (F:M OR = 0.6, $p = 0.25$; Mito, 2014).

Research on OCD prevalence in individuals with ASD reports inconsistent patterns. For example, Margari et al. (2019) found a non-significant trend toward higher OCD prevalence in boys with ASD (F:M OR = 0.14, $p = 0.08$, N = 164), attributing the underdiagnosis in girls to socially acceptable restrictive interests and behaviors. Conversely, Martin et al. (2020) reported a female bias in autistic traits among children in the UK (F:M OR = 1.7, $p < 0.001$, N = 6,912).

3.3.3.4. Tic disorders (TD), including *Tourette syndrome (TS)*, are up to four times more common in boys than girls in the general population (Gagnon et al., 2024; Knight et al., 2012). In individuals with OCD, nine studies similarly found TD comorbidity to be significantly more common in males, both in youth (F:M OR = 0.10–0.55, $p < 0.01$; Nikolajsen, 2011; Vivan, 2014; Højgaard, 2016; Arildskov, 2016; Rintala, 2017) and adults (F:M OR = 0.2–0.69, $p < 0.05$; Torresan, 2013; Vries, 2016; Rintala, 2017; Ekinci, 2020). However, other studies reported a non-significant male bias in TD comorbidity in children (F:M OR = 0.5–0.9, $p \geq 0.17$; Peris, 2017; Tanidir, 2015) and similar prevalence across genders in adults (F:M OR = 0.82 – 1.47, Pinto, 2016; Benatti, 2020). Tourette syndrome was found to be more common in men with OCD only at a trend level (F:M OR = 0.72 $p = 0.19$; Torresan, 2013). In patients with TDs, comorbid OCD was reported to be more common in women than in men (Pinto, 2016). Rodgers et al. (2014) identified a subgroup of TD patients with elevated OCD symptoms; this class only included women, but their small sample size (N = 80) limited conclusions.

3.3.3.5. Externalizing disorders such as attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), conduct disorder (CD), and oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) are more prevalent in boys in the general population (Bauermeister et al., 2007; Maughan et al., 2004; Waschbusch and Willoughby, 2008). Similarly, among children with OCD, most studies report a male bias in prevalence of CD (F:M OR = 0.7, $p = 0.04$; Rintala, 2017), ODD (F:M OR = 0.3, $p = 0.036$;

Tanidir, 2015), or any externalizing disorder (F:M OR = 0.24 – 0.60, $p < 0.08$; Peris, 2017; Zijlmans, 2017; Ortiz, 2016). Some studies report a significant male bias for ADHD (F:M OR = 0.04 – 0.43, $p < 0.01$; Rintala, 2017; Arildskov, 2016; Pinto, 2016) while others only find a non-significant trend (F:M OR = 0.5–0.7, $p > 0.6$; Tanidir, 2015; Vivan, 2014). Zijlmans et al. (2017) used latent class analysis to identify subgroups with more homogeneous symptom profiles in children with elevated OCD symptoms. They identified one subgroup with elevated incidence of ADHD and ODD comorbidities; this group predominantly included boys (F:M OR = 0.2 $p < 0.005$). Interestingly, among adolescents with ADHD, OCD was more prevalent in girls (F:M OR = 1.7, $p = 0.04$; Inci, 2019).

Fewer studies have examined these conditions in adults, but those that have shown similar patterns. ADHD is more common in men than in women (Faheem et al., 2022). In adult OCD patients, comorbid ADHD either appears similarly across genders (F:M OR = 0.9, $p = 0.96$; Blanco-Vieira, 2019) or shows a male bias (F:M OR = 0.43 $p < 0.01$; Pinto, 2016). In adult ADHD patients, OCD is more common in men (F:M OR = 0.7; Pinto 2016).

3.3.3.5. Substance use disorders (SUDs) are more common in men in the general population, but women with SUDs often present with a more vulnerable clinical profile (Fonseca et al., 2021; Higgins et al., 2015; Lee, 2024). OCD increases SUD risk, with a stronger effect observed in women (OR = 7.52 [95% CI, 3.11–18.18]) than in men (OR = 3.32 [95% CI, 1.67–6.61]; Blom, 2011). This may explain the observation that overall SUD prevalence is similar in men and women with OCD (Al-Asadi, 2015; Benatti, 2020).

Differences may exist in specific substance use patterns. Cigarette smoking, while overall less prevalent in OCD patients than in the general population (Abramovitch et al., 2015; Abramovitch et al., 2014), is more common in women with OCD than men (F:M OR = 0.3, p <

0.001; Dell'Osso, 2015). Conversely, alcohol use disorder (AUD) is more common in men with OCD than in women (F:M OR = 0.31–0.35, $p < 0.01$; Torresan, 2013; Al-Asadi, 2015). Use of stimulants, opioids, sedatives, and cannabis appears similarly prevalent across genders in OCD (Al-Asadi, 2015).

Among adults with SUD or AUD, OCD prevalence is comparable between genders (F:M OR = 0.93–0.96; Blom, 2011; Al-Asadi, 2015). However, in adolescents with SUD, a female bias in OCD prevalence has been reported. For instance, in one study, adolescents with AUD show a significantly higher OCD prevalence in girls in one study (F: M OR = 2.7, $p < 0.001$; Harford, 2015) and a trend in the same direction in another (F:M OR = 1.8, $p = 0.16$; Fernández-Artamendi, 2021). Similarly, cannabis use in adolescents has been linked to higher OCD prevalence in girls (F:M = 6.9 $p < 0.01$; Fernández-Artamendi, 2021).

3.3.3.6. Impulse control disorders (ICDs) are more common in boys and men in the general population (Leclercq and Corvol, 2024). In children with OCD, prevalence of any impulse control disorder is similar in boys and girls (F:M OR = 0.77 $p > 0.20$; Vivian, 2014). Among adults with OCD, one study reported higher lifetime ICD prevalence — including compulsive sexual behavior disorder (CSBD), pyromania, kleptomania, and intermittent explosive disorder (IED)—in men (F:M OR = 0.26 – 0.57; Fuss, 2019). In contrast, another study found a higher prevalence of ICDs in women (F:M OR = 1.34, $p < 0.05$), though the prevalence of specific ICDs did not significantly between genders (Torresan, 2013).

Pathological gambling in adults with OCD is predominantly observed in men (F:M OR = 0.05–0.08; Al-Asadi, 2015; Fuss, 2019). However, among individuals with pathological gambling, OCD prevalence is similar across genders (Al-Asadi, 2015).

Internet addiction is more common in men in the general population (J Kuss et al., 2014). OCD increases the risk of internet addiction similarly in both genders (men: $d = 0.66$, $p < 0.001$; women: $d = 0.93$, $p < 0.001$; Potembska, 2019). Addiction to video games is more prevalent in men with OCD than in women (F:M OR = 0.16, $p < 0.001$; Torresan, 2013), reflecting general population trends. Additionally, among men in addiction treatment centers, internet addiction severity is positively correlated with OCD symptom severity ($d = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$; Wölfling, 2013).

Overall, psychiatric conditions more prevalent in men in the general population, such as schizophrenia, psychotic disorders, ASD, externalizing disorders, and impulse control disorders, also tend to show a male bias among OCD patients. However, certain conditions, such as SUD (excluding AUD) and smoking, may have greater relative risk in women with OCD. Results related to comorbid tic disorders and Tourette syndrome remain inconsistent and warrant more research.

3.3.4. Other Medical co-occurring conditions

The co-occurrence of general medical conditions (GMCs) and major psychiatric disorders is well documented (De Hert et al., 2011a; De Hert et al., 2011b; De Hert et al., 2009; Scott et al., 2007). Aguglia et al. (2017) found that such co-occurrence is more common in women than in men with OCD (F:M OR = 3.65, $p < 0.01$). Three studies in children and thirteen in adults examined gender differences in the co-occurrence of specific medical conditions with OCD. In children with OCD, sleep problems (Cohen's $d = 0.07$, $p = 0.63$; Ivarsson, 2015; M:F OR $\cong 1$, $p > 0.20$; Nabinger de Diaz, 2019) and developmental delay (M:F OR = 0.72, $p > 0.20$; Rintala, 2017) were similarly prevalent in boys and girls. Among adults with OCD, women were more likely to experience sleep problems (F:M OR = 1.66–2.0, $p < 0.01$; Al-Asadi, 2015), weight gain

(F OR = 1.51, $p < 0.01$; M OR = 0.76, $p > 0.20$; Husky, 2018), and sexual dysfunction (F:M OR = 12.43, $p < 0.001$; Ghassemzadeh, 2017). Men were more likely to report high stress levels (F:M OR = 0.33, $p < 0.01$; Ashraf, 2017). Notably, stress interacted with OCD symptoms differently across genders: high-stress women were more likely to experience contamination/washing and harm-related obsessions, while high-stress men were more vulnerable to intrusive impulses (Ashraf, 2017).

A history of migraines similarly predicted OCD in both genders, with positive predictive values (PPV) ranging from 2.3 to 5.6 for men and 2.3 to 4.7 for women. However, daily migraines were significantly more predictive of OCD in men (M PPV = 29.86; F PPV = 3.2; Goulart, 2014). For other conditions, including epilepsy (F:M OR = 0.85–1.24; Hamed, 2013; Kilicaslan, 2020), restless leg syndrome (F:M OR = 1.19; Ghorayeb, 2019), and Parkinson's disease (Cohen's d = 0.18, p = 0.31; Saadat, 2020), no significant gender differences in prevalence of OCD or in severity of OCS were observed.

Prior research has identified a significant correlation between OCD and autoimmune disorders (Endres et al., 2022). Two studies suggest this link may be stronger in women. Rheumatic fever was a stronger risk factor for OCD in women than in men (M=0.0 [95%CI, 0.0—0.5], F = 1.0 [95%CI, 0.5 – 2.1], p = 0.01; Westwell-Roper, 2019). Wang et al. (2019) reported that systemic autoimmune diseases were associated with a higher incidence of OCD in women (F HR = 2.15 $p < 0.001$) and not in men (M HR = 1.43 $p > 0.15$). Since most systemic autoimmune conditions are more common in girls and women (Ngo et al., 2014), this disproportionate effect may reflect broader patterns of autoimmunity rather than a direct gender-specific relationship with OCD. Williams et al. (2019) examined 206 individuals with pediatric-onset OCD and 1024 adults with OCD, showing that boys with OCD had a higher likelihood of

IgA deficiency compared to other groups. This may indicate a potential age of onset by gender interaction in associations between OCD and a risk for immune system disorders.

Several studies have explored OCD in specific genetic conditions. Female carriers of FMR1 mutations associated with fragile X syndrome, who do not express the full syndrome, are at elevated risk of OCD symptoms and exhibit greater progression of symptom severity compared to male carriers (Loesch, 2020; Schneider, 2016). Additionally, individuals with Duchenne muscular dystrophy, a male-specific inherited disorder, show an elevated OCD prevalence (~14%) compared to ~1 – 3% in the general population (Lee, 2018).

Importantly, associations between medical conditions and OCD do not necessarily imply a biological relationship. It is equally possible that the stress of chronic or severe illness can induce OCS in a susceptible individual, independent of the specific source of the stress.

3.3.5. Prevalence of OCD in individuals with other primary diagnoses.

In the general population, OCD is more common in women than in men in adults but not in children; early-onset OCD diagnosis is more common in men (see Section 3.4.1). However, as Table 3 illustrates, in individuals with other psychopathologies as the primary condition, these overall prevalence patterns may be altered. For instance, in children with ADHD and in adolescents who use cannabis, girls are more likely to have an OCD diagnosis than boys. On the other hand, in adults with diagnoses of depression, hoarding disorders, schizophrenia, or ADHD, men are more likely to have comorbid OCD diagnosis than women. Additionally, high levels of stress and daily migraines are linked to OCD more strongly in men than in women. Why these psychopathologies impact risks for development of OCD differently in men and women remains unclear.

3.3.6. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological Concerns:

- Comorbidity measurements from self-report (or parent report), clinical assessments, and medical records are unlikely to align.
- Most studies are cross-sectional; more attention needs to be given to developmental trajectories. For instance, while autism and ADHD comorbidities are primarily diagnosed in male pediatric patients, there is evidence that this distribution equalizes in adults, suggesting that either male patients "outgrow" their conditions or that more female patients are diagnosed as they age.
- Only a few studies focused on the specific symptoms or other characteristics of comorbid conditions, and how these might interact with OCD.

Unanswered Questions:

- What drives differences in gender distribution in co-occurring conditions in the presence of OCD, compared to the same conditions absent OCD comorbidity (e.g. higher prevalence of SUD in women or higher prevalence of social anxiety disorder in men)?
- Do OCD and comorbidities interact to impact symptom severity and dimensions differently in males and females?
- Are there any differences in the temporal relationship between OCD and comorbidities across genders? Does gender impact the OCD predisposition to a comorbidity, does the comorbidity predispose different genders to OCD differently, or are there gender differences in shared underlying risk factors, such as neuroticism or stress? How can these be leveraged in treatment selection?

Hypotheses for Future Research:

- OCD increases risk for social anxiety disorder in men and for impulse control disorders and substance use (excluding alcohol) in women.
- OCD comorbid with neurodevelopmental disorders (e.g., tic disorders, ADHD, ASD) is phenomenologically different across gender by age groups.
- OCD comorbid with trauma and stress-related disorders (anxiety, depression, PTSD) is phenomenologically different across gender by age groups.

3.4. Epidemiology

Highlights from Section 3.4 Epidemiology

Incidence and Prevalence: OCD is more common in women than in men in adults but not in children; early-onset OCD diagnosis is more common in men.

Risk factors: Men may be more susceptible to epigenetic and familial risks, and to prenatal and early life stresses. In women, OCD may more often be linked to reproductive events (puberty, menses, peripartum, and menopause) and to stressful and traumatic life events.

Key concerns: Transgender and nonbinary individuals are not reported by large epidemiological studies. Most estimates of the prevalence of OCD in children and adolescence disproportionally rely on self- or parental reports. OCD symptoms can be difficult to distinguish from comorbid conditions (especially in children).

Future studies: More work is needed to describe the prevalence of OCD subtypes and the evolution of subthreshold symptoms across age groups, including in older individuals and following trauma (especially in highly traumatized populations).

3.4.1. Incidence and Prevelance of OCD

Recent reviews and meta-analyses of epidemiological studies (Fawcett et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2016; Mathes et al., 2019) have reported that OCD affects 1 – 5% of the general popualtion and is more common among females in late adolescence and adulthood, but may be more common among males in childhood. Results from 49 epidemiological studies identified during the review period (16 from children/adolescents, 30 from adults, and 3 from pooled

samples; Table A4.1) are largely consistent with these generalizations. They also raise a number of methodological and conceptual concerns that may affect estimates of overall incidence/prevalence and gender[4] ratios.

Incidence is defined as the number of individuals in a population who newly develop OCD over a given period of time. Six studies from the review period (2 examining all ages and 4 only children and adolescents) reported somewhat heterogeneous quantitative estimates of gender ratios in annual incidence of OCD in Northern European and Taiwanese populations using national health records; they reported incidence estimates from 0.006% to 0.28% for females and from 0.005% to 0.12% for males (for gender ratios by age groups see Figure 3A). Importantly, since these studies report only OCD that presents to clinical care and is diagnosed; they may be influenced by differences in help-seeking behaviors and diagnostic practices across time, age groups, and geographical location. Rintala (2017) and Ask (2020) analyzed data from Finland and noted increasing incidence over years for both boys and girls (consistent with the results from two studies of the Danish national cohort; Dalsgaard, 2019; Steinhausen, 2019), which the authors attributed to increased screening and diagnosis. Veldhuis (2001) examined data from Integrated Primary Care Information database of individuals of all ages (from birth to older than 50) from the Netherlands. They reported decreasing OCD incidence in males but not females from 1996 to 2007 (RR M = 0.56 $p < 0.001$; RR F ~ 1). These discrepancies could be due to differences in age groups or to regional differences and warrant further investigation.

The overall trends in gender differences in incidence rates, however, were largely similar across studies. Incidence of OCD was higher among boys in children's samples, and higher among females in samples that included adults. Three studies examined changes in gender ratios

[4] Studies that are reviewed in this section rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term 'gender' in this section.

in incidence across age groups in Northern Europe (Rintala, 2017; Dalsgaard, 2019) and Taiwan (Huang, 2014). In Northern European samples, incidence rates were higher in boys before approximately age 10 and become higher in females starting at approximately age 14. In a Taiwanese sample, the shift from male predominance to female predominance was observed later, around ages 25 – 35; female predominance attenuated after age 64.

Prevalence measures the proportion of individuals in the general population who have OCD, both new and chronic cases, over a specified period or at a point in time. The prevalence of OCD (current, 1-month, 12-month, or lifetime) in children and adolescents was evaluated by seven studies, but only one of them relied on assessments by trained clinicians. Twelve studies evaluated prevalence of current obsessive-compulsive symptoms (OCS) in adults; ten of these studies relied on clinical interviews, one study asked participants to self-report whether they had been formally diagnosed with OCD, and one study relied on computerized self-reports, supervised by trained research personnel. Self-reports and parental reports are more likely to be to be subject to systematic gender-related reporting biases [see section 3.2.1; (Rosenman et al., 2011)]; the fact that studies in children are much more likley than studies in adults to use self-report and parent-report measures may explain why studies focused on children have produced highly inconsistent results (see Figure 3B, Table A3). Notably, the one study that relied on clinical interviews (Vivan, 2014) reported the highest female bias in adolescents (F:M OR = 1.95 for clinically significant symptoms, and F:M OR = 3.36 for subthreshold symptoms). Overall, studies of prevalence in children and adolesence paralleled trends in incidence rates across age and gender groups; prevalence in OCD and OCS was higher in boys younger than 11 – 13 and higher in post-pubertal girls.

In adults, the prevalence of OCD was generally higher in women (F:M OR = 0.8 – 5; see Figure 3B, Table A.3). 12-month OCD prevalance was evaluated most commonly. The 4 studies that assessed lifetime prevalance gave estimates of the F:M odds ratio closer to 1, possibly reflecting the contribution of a history of childhood OCD (Subramaniam, 2012, 2019, 2020; Carta, 2020). Interestingly, a few studies found the prevalence of OCS or of subthreshold OCD in adults to be higher in men (F:M OR = 0.6 – 0.9; Canals, 2012; Fineberg, 2013; Subramaniam, 2020). The DSM uses relatively subjective and environmentally sensitive criteria to separate subthreshold symptoms from clinical diagnoses. Comparing gender differences in groups with symptoms below and above the DSM-based threshold may offer additional insights.

Five studies examined gender differences in self-reported symptoms among college students. They generally find similar prevelance of clinically significant levels of OCS in men and women, and higher prevelance of subthreshold symptoms in men. One of these studies specifically assessed medical school students (Asghar, 2020). Interestingly, they found markedly elevated prevelance of OCD, as compared to that reported in general population, especially in male students (11.9% in men and 7.7% in women).

Only two studies examined geriatric samples, finding some evidence of female bias (F:M OR = 1 – 3.5; Canuto, 2018; Klenfeldt, 2014). Much more research is needed in this age group.

Sex differences in help-seeking may confound results in epidemiological studies. Among adult individuals seeking help in primary care centers, women tend to predominate (F:M OR = 0.98 – 2.08; Table A.3). These individuals often report anxiety or depression symptoms as a primary concern prior to recieving an OCD diagnosis.

Among individuals seeking help from providers specialized in OCD treatment, an interesting pattern emerged: studies conducted in Western countries or worldwide report that

more adult women than men seek help (F:M OR = 1.1 – 1.7; Medeiros, 2017; Dell'Osso, 2017). In contrast, studies conducted in India report that more males than females seek help (F:M OR = 0.4 – 0.7); these studies included both adults (Cherian, 2013; Tripathi, 2018) and children (Deepthi, 2018; Sharma, 2019). Sharma et al. (2019) suggested that, in the developing world, males are often given preferential care and receive prompt attention in comparison to females, at every age. However, there is a lack of studies conducted in pediatric help-seeking samples. Conducting such studies could help to clarify the observed pattern.

In homeless women from Canada, OCD prevelance was drastically higher than that in general population: current OCD was present in 19% of study participants, and lifetime OCD was present in 62% of study participants. This may indicate significant difficulties with social functioning in individuals with OCD (Section 3.2.2), and thus an increased risk of becoming homeless, and/or that the impact of compound stresses associated with life on the streets on the risk for developing OCD (Section 3.4.2).

Overall, available data indicate that incidence and prevalence of OCD and of clinical and subthrehold OCD symptoms evolve over the lifespan differently in males and females. The prevelance of OCD diagnosis may be more common in boys than in girls in childhood, but more common in adults women than in adult men. The prevalence of OCS, in contrast, appears to be fairly evenly distributed across the lifespan, with some intriguing hits that subclinical OCS may in fact be more prevalent in men.

3.4.2. Onset, progression, and contributing factors

3.4.2.1. Onset of OCD symptoms and diagnosis. There is no consensus as to how to define the onset of OCD (e.g. as emergence of distressing symptoms or when the diagnostic criteria were first met) or how best to assess it (using retrospective self-reports, parental reports, or

contemporary medical records). Each of these approaches is associated with its own limitations. Thirteen studies from the review period (1 in children, 11 in adults, and 1 in adolescents and adults) examined gender differences in the age of onset patterns, and three examined progression of symptoms from subclinical to clinical (Table A4.2).

There is a general consensus that the onset of OCD occurs earlier in males than in females (Mathes et al., 2019). Many researchers argue that early-onset OCD (EO-OCD) may form a distinct subgroup, characterized by unique clinical and familial attributes, higher male prevalence, high tic [~ 30 – 40%, (Ferrao et al., 2013; Franklin et al., 2012)] and ADHD comorbidity [~ 25 –30%, (Geller et al., 1996; Masi et al., 2010)], and elevated familial risk (Eichstedt and Arnold, 2001; Geller et al., 1998; Geller et al., 2003). In contrast, adult-onset OCD is more prevalent in women and more frequently co-occurs with depression [≥ 25% (Sharma et al., 2021)]. This pattern was generally supported by studies from the review period. The proportion of women in adult samples tends to be higher than in pediatric samples, and early-onset OCD is more common in males (Figure 3B, Table A3). Narayanaswamy et al. (2012) relied on a combination of parental and patient reports regarding the onset of distressing symptoms and found a significantly earlier onset in males (Cohen's $d = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$). Torresan et al. (2013) also found that onset of "worst ever" symptoms and associated help-seeking also occur earlier in adult men (~ 27 – 28 years of age) than in women (~ 30 – 31 years of age).

Data-driven derivation of age of onset subgroups produced different outcomes for distressing symptoms and DSM diagnosis of OCD (Albert, 2015; Anholt, 2014; Nakatani, 2011). These studies suggest that distressing symptoms emerge in three distinct phases: early childhood (prior to ages 9 – 12), adolescence/early adulthood (from ages 10 – 12 to 18 – 23), and during

adulthood (after age 24). Symptoms reaching a severity level that satisfies DSM criteria tend to manifest in two waves: before age 20 and after ages 20 – 26). This suggests that some children with early-onset symptoms may experience subthreshold obsessive-compulsive patterns for an extended period before progressing to clinically significant levels justifying a DSM diagnosis. The onset of distressing symptoms is more common in boys in both childhood and adolescence. This could imply that boys may endure subthreshold symptoms longer than girls before manifesting clinically significant OCD. However, two studies (Coles, 2011; Thompson, 2020) that relied on retrospective self-reports found that adult women tend to recall their symptom phase (a period from the onset of distressing symptoms to meeting DSM criteria) to be longer (Sx phase ≅ 6 – 8 years) than that recalled by adult men (Sx phase ≅ 5 years).

This contradiction could be a consequence of recall bias. For instance, women may have better insight into their past symptoms (Section 3.2.1); alternatively, men with very early onset of OCs may have been too young at the time to remember or to be able to identify their behaviors as symptomatic, or men may have more autogenous symptoms that are more difficult to identify retrospectively. However, another explanation is possible. Castagnini et al. (2016) found that both an adolescent diagnosis of OCD and an adolescent diagnosis of an anxiety disorder (i.e., phobia, panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder) predicted the need for treatment in adulthood, similarly in men and women. Anxiety symptoms and diagnoses become more common in girls than in boys beginning from middle childhood (Altemus et al., 2014; Lewinsohn et al., 1998). Thus, it is possible that adult OCD emerges from other forms of anxiety more often in females, explaining their longer recalled duration of the pre-diagnostic symptom phase. Barzilay et al. (2019) found that subthreshold OCs are more prevalent in girls (OR = 1.3), a pattern that becomes significant following puberty (female gender x puberty: $p = 0.038$). This

could be due to emergence of subthreshold OCD symptoms from anxiety in prepubescent girls (Castagnini, 2016) or due to hormonal changes related to reproductive cycle events (see below).

Including older individuals may provide additional insights. Two studies (Frydman, 2014; Sharma, 2015) found a 3:1 female predominance among individuals who developed distressing obsessions and compulsions after age 40. Interestingly, when PTSD diagnosis and history of pregnancy were included in the model, these variables (rather than gender) become the significant predictors of late-onset OCD. This suggests that later-life onset of OCD in women is closely linked to life stressors.

Different types of OCD symptoms may emerge at different ages in males and females. Kichuk et al. (2013) found that female gender was associated with later onset of forbidden thoughts but with earlier onset of symmetry symptoms. Grover et al. (2018) found a male bias in adolescent onset of hoarding and symmetry symptoms (F:M OR = 0.26 $p < 0.01$), and (at a trend level) of aggressive thoughts and of sexual and religious obsessions (F:M OR = 0.67); this male bias became more pronounced in adult onset of these symptoms (F:M OR = 0.19 and F:M OR = 0.4, respectively). In contrast, onset of contamination symptoms before age 18 was significantly more likely in girls (F:M OR = 16.7); this female bias extended to adulthood, though it became less pronounced (F: M OR = 4.2). In contrast, other studies found that contamination symptoms were similarly reported by both boys and girls in child samples (Cervin, 2020; Schultz, 2018). No identified study used symptom subtypes in data-driven identification of symptom onset subgroups; such an approach might help to clarify this discrepancy.

3.4.2.2. Biosocial Vulnerabilities. Biological and social factors contribute to the emergence and persistence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms. Whether the role of these factors differs between genders, and whether sex and gender themselves function as risk or protective factors, remains

an underexplored topic. Thirty papers from the review period offer relevant data (2 in pooled samples, 5 in children/adolescents, and 23 in adults; Table A3).

3.4.2.2.1. Prenatal and perinatal stresses may increase vulnerability to OCD. Fevang et al. (2016) found that the prevalence of OCD is drastically and similarly increased in boys (OR = 2.5) and girls (OR = 2.7) who were born extremely prematurely – which is more common in boys (Peelen et al., 2016) – or with extremely low birth weight, which is more common in girls (Beijers et al., 2022; Meadows et al., 2005). One study reported that the season of birth significantly impacts prevalence of OCD in males, but not in females, with an excess in males with OCD born from August to November (Cheng, 2014); this requires replication. Prenatal exposure to temperature, sunlight (which may affect levels of vitamin D), and viral infections may contribute to risk for OCD (McTigue and O'Callaghan, 2000; Vinkhuyzen et al., 2016); the differential impact of the season of birth may indicate that the prenatal period is a time of particular vulnerability for males.

3.4.2.2.2. Early biomarkers. A rare large-scale longitudinal study in men (Latvala, 2016; N = 1,039,443, from age 18 to up to 45 years follow up) found a strong association between elevated resting state heart rate and blood pressure at age 18 and risk for development of OCD in adulthood. Increased heart rate and blood pressure during a visit to a clinic may be a physiologic manifestation of anxiety. Unfortunately, we are not aware of any similar study conducted in women.

3.4.2.2.3. Family and social context. OCD symptoms appear to have a strong familial component, which includes a genetic vulnerability (Section 3.5) and shared environmental factors. Family dynamics may exacerbate predisposition to OCD. For instance, in clinical samples, individuals' responsibility attitudes, a risk factor for development of OCD in which an

inflated perception of personal responsibility for harm leads to obsessional problems and compulsive behaviors, (Hacıömeroğlu, 2008), (i) were higher among women, (ii) exhibited a positive correlation with overprotective behaviors in both mothers and fathers, and (iii) influenced the relationships between mothers' perceived behaviors and the severity of OCs (Hacıömeroğlu, 2014). But family dynamics and social context can also play a protective role. For instance, family support was associated negatively with OCD symptoms in high school students of both genders; girls reported stronger reliance on family support (Destrée, 2020). In girls, family adaptability mitigated the association between OC symptoms and anger suppression, whereas in boys, family cohesion mitigated positive associations between OC symptoms and anger proneness (Liu, 2017). Gender effects were also found in other resilience strategies that were negatively linked to OCD symptoms: boys were more likely to rely on personal competence and girls were more likely to rely on social resources (Destrée, 2020).

3.4.2.2.4. Early life stress. In individuals with increased familial risk for OCD and other disorders, adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) related to psychopathology more in boys than in girls (in boys HR = 1.13, $p < 0.05$; in girls HR = 0.94, $p > 0.10$; Someshwar, 2020). A higher total ACE severity score significantly increased the risk for an earlier age of OCD onset in boys (HR = 1.26, $p < 0.05$) but not in girls. Note, however, that this study did not control for potential presence of comorbid symptoms (e.g., attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder, ADHD) that are more common in boys and could contribute to increased risk for OCD (Section 3.3.3.5*)*

3.4.2.2.5. Stressful life events (SLEs) can accelerate the progression from subclinical OCS to clinical OCD and may differentially impact specific symptom dimensions. Female patients report SLEs preceding OCD onset more often than do males patients (F ORs = 1.5 – 2.2; Real, 2011; Rosso, 2012). It is possible that female gender-associated factors (e.g., later age of onset, higher

predominance of contamination symptoms, greater exposure to stressful events), and not female gender itself, modulates the relationship between stressful events and OCD.

SLEs may impact males and females differently. Retrospectively reported speed of progression from OCS to OCD (Destrée, 2020) was significantly affected by the termination of a romantic relationship in both genders but by death of a significant other only in men. Severity of current sexual/religious OCS was positively associated with relationship stresses in men. Severity of OCD-related hoarding symptoms was positively linked to death of a loved one in both genders. These patterns could be due to differences in subjective experience; they require careful replications.

The more extreme version of life stresses, traumatic stressful events (TSEs), involve experiencing or witnessing a possibility of immediate personal harm. TSEs have been linked to higher OCS rates (Barzilay, 2018). Women may be less often exposed to non-sexual TSEs but more susceptible to their negative consequences; rates of OCS increased more with each additional TSE in women than in men. Rates of OCS were similarly associated in men and women after physical assaults, but women showed higher OCS rates in association with non-assaultive TSEs. Among individuals with traumatic experience(s), the presence of OC symptoms was also associated with symptoms of psychosis;[5] it is unclear whether comorbidities (Section 3.3) could be contributing to the observed gender differences.

Males and females were similarly susceptible to the development of OCS after sexual TSEs (Barzilay, 2019), but females are more often exposed to such events (Snyder, 2000; Vidal-Ribas et al., 2015). Young women aged 16 – 19 are 4 times more likely than the general population to be victims of rape, attempted rape, or sexual assault (Office of Justice Programs,

[5] Gender differences in prevalence of psychotic symptoms was not tested in Barzilay (2018).

1997). This may contribute to the higher rates of OCD in general, and contamination OCs in particular, in women (Section 3.2.1). If this pattern is further confirmed, then it might be advisable to offer preventive OCD treatment to victims of trauma, especially sexual trauma.

Four studies specifically linked a history of unwanted sexual experiences in women to contamination symptoms (Ishikawa, 2015; Peles, 2014; Waller, 2014; Kennedy, 2017). Female undergraduate students' recall of unwanted sexual experiences triggered a stronger sense of being contaminated (Cohen's $d = 2.02$ $p < 0.001$) and an increased urge to wash (Cohen's $d = 0.44$ $p < 0.03$) in women who had experienced rape/attempted rape as compared those who experienced verbal or visual sexual assault (Ishikawa, 2015). In both female (Waller, 2014) and male (Kennedy, 2017) students, imagining themselves as initiators of non-consensual kiss led to mental contamination symptoms and to cleansing behaviors (Cohen's $ds > 0.53$ $p < 0.001$).

In sexually abused women (Peles, 2014), rates of OCD were strongly associated with a history of opiate addiction ($OR = 4.53$, $p < 0.01$); the most common symptoms were contamination obsessions and cleaning compulsions. This relationship may be OCD-specific, as rates of PTSD and dissociation were higher in sexually abused women with no history of opiate addiction. Among women with a history of opiate addiction, other comorbidities and adverse life events were significantly elevated among those with OCD vs. those without; it is possible that self-medicating with opioids helped with PTSD and dissociative symptoms but exacerbated OCD.

Elevated OCD rates have also been linked to stress associated with injury or impairing illness. For example, male veterans with traumatic foot injuries show a markedly elevated prevalence of OCD (14.4%; Taghva, 2017). Similarly, Mazza et al. (2020) found elevated prevalence of psychiatric symptoms, including OCD, in COVID-19 survivors. OCD symptoms

were more severe in women and individuals with a pre-existing psychiatric history (gender x psychiatric history interactions were not tested). Inflammatory markers were higher in men (Cohen's d = 0.54 – 0.67, $p < 0.001$); they also were positively associated with severity of OCS (r = 0.11 – 0.12) in pooled samples (inflammatory marker x gender interactions on OCs severity were not tested).

The stress of lockdown during the COVID-19 pandemic also increased OCS and other psychiatric symptoms (Dubey et al., 2020; Guzick et al., 2021). U.S. school children (McKune, 2021) and adults in Egypt (Ahmed, 2021) and Lebanon (Othman, 2021) had higher prevalence and severity of OCS in females than in males during lockdown (Cohen's d = 0.97 – 1.26); in contrast, adults in Saudi Arabia (AlHusseini, 2021) and students in China (Ji, 2020) reported higher prevalence/severity in men (Cohen's d = 0.20 – 0.90). Two longitudinal studies in adults found that OCD symptoms similarly increase in men and women over the course of the pandemic (Samuels, 2021; Meda, 2021). Methodological differences may contribute to these variable findings. Only Othman (2021) controlled for severity of depression; none of the studies controlled for potential effects of other comorbid psychiatric symptoms (e.g., anxiety) or for resilience strategies (e.g., family support).

3.4.2.3. Course of OCD. Four papers from the review period compared longitudinal trends in OCD symptoms in males and female, finding no differences in remission rates in adults (Marcks, 2011; Fineberg, 2013) or in chronic versus remitting course of symptoms in adults (Visser, 2014) or children (Mancebo, 2014). However, Goldberg et al. (2015) contrasted the trajectory of OCD triggered by stressful life events (SLE-OCD) and OCD unrelated to any specific stressor (nonSLE-OCD) in adults. They found that a chronic course of SLE-OCD was negatively associated with familiality in both men and women, while a chronic course of non-SLE-OCD

was more negatively associated with familiality in men. This suggests that gender differences in the course of the disorder might exist for non-stress-related OCD, while stress might exert similar effects in both genders.

3.4.2.4. Reproductive system: events and conditions (Table A4.3). In a subset of women, OCD symptoms have their onset in or are exacerbated during pregnancy and postpartum (Goodman, 2014). Guglielmi et al. (2014) extended these findings to a wider range of reproductive cycle events. In a study involving 542 women from the U.S. and the Netherlands, 26.5% reported the onset of obsessive-compulsive symptoms during different reproductive phases: menarche (13%), pregnancy (5.1%), postpartum (4.7%), or menopause (3.7%). These findings align with data showing that the impact of puberty on OCD symptoms is more pronounced in girls than in boys (Barzilay, 2019). Guglielmi et al. (2014) also noted that around one-third of women with OCD experience symptom exacerbation during the premenstrual period, pregnancy, postpartum, and/or menopause. This result is largely aligned with prior reports that severity of OCs elevates during premenstrual period in approximately half of cycling women with OCD (Vulink et al., 2006). Moreira (2012) found that women who experience heightened OCD symptoms with their menstrual cycle tend to exhibit more severe autogenous symptoms, such as aggressive, sexual, or religious obsessions. They also tend to experience adult-onset OCD and higher levels of anxiety, depression, and thoughts of suicide. These findings suggest that female reproductive hormones play a significant role in the emergence and exacerbation of OCD symptoms.

Mulligan et al. (2019) discovered a negative correlation between checking symptoms and an EEG measure of the brain's capacity to rapidly detect and evaluate errors (error-related negativity) during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, when progesterone levels peak, but not during the follicular phase, when estradiol levels peak. This suggests that progesterone may

regulate the brain correlates of checking behaviors in women. In contrast, women with polycystic ovary syndrome, which is characterized by dysregulation of estrogen and deficiency in progesterone, report higher levels of obsessive-compulsive symptoms (Cohen's d = 0.10, p = 0.04; Li, 2017) and are more frequently diagnosed with OCD (OR = 1.8 p < 0.01; Tay, 2020). More focused research and a deeper understanding of the role of sex hormones in the onset and persistence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms is needed (also see Section 3.6).

3.4.2.4.1. Pregnancy and the postpartum period are periods of major hormonal shifts and of increased emotional and physical stress. OCS during this period reduce quality of parenting experience and of interactions with the baby (d = 0.59 – 1.47; Challacombe, 2016). Prior systematic reviews (Appendix A1) have estimated that OCD has a prevalence of 0 – 5.2% in the peripartum period, and that approximately 15% of women with OCD report onset of their first clinically significant symptoms during the peripartum period. Since 2010, 29 studies have further confirmed that the peripartum period is a time of significant risk for the development or exacerbation of obsessive-compulsive symptoms, with the highest risk during postpartum (median antepartum prevalence of 3.8% [range = 2 – 3.9%] with postpartum prevalence of 8% [range = 3.6 – 34%]). Socio-cultural differences may contribute to apparent significant variability in prevalence estimates: from 2.0% (UK: Howard, 2018) to 2.9% (Canada: Fairbrother, 2016) to 4.9% (Turkey: Uguz, 2019) all the way up to 39.3% (South Africa: Malamela, 2019). For instance, differences in likelihood of discontinuing pharmacotherapy for OCD during pregnancy across cultures could contribute to this heterogeneity.

Interestingly, fathers also reported elevated OCS during the peripartum period (Coelho, 2014). In contrast to women, men demonstrated higher prevalence of OCS antenatally (prevalence = 3.4%) than postpartum (prevalence = 1.8%). OCD symptoms in fathers tend to

emerge when mothers experience OCD symptoms ("sympathy OCD"). Antenatal OCD in men tended to be comorbid with bipolar symptoms; postpartum OCD tended to be comorbid with both bipolar and unipolar depression. Much more research is needed to verify and clarify these patterns in men, which were described in only one study.

Shokuhi et al. (2020) developed a scale that assesses postpartum distress; scores loaded onto two distinct factors, with obsessive-compulsive symptoms separating from general distress, indicating that peripartum OCS are distinct from the general distress commonly experienced by new parents. Peripartum OC symptoms predominantly manifest as baby-related intrusive thoughts and compulsions (Barret, 2015); however, increased contamination, aggressive, and somatic obsessions and checking, cleaning, and repeating compulsions are also common (Miller, 2015). Peripartum OCS often coincide with other neuropsychiatric symptoms, such as depression (Malamela, 2019; Abramowitz, 2010; Miller, 2015; Altemus, 2012; Fairbrother, 2018; Howard, 2018), generalized anxiety (Malamela, 2019; Miller, 2015; Abramowitz, 2010), panic disorder, and social anxiety (House, 2013).

Integrating findings across studies, with different methodologies, suggests that OCS may particularly spike immediately following the birth (day 1), subside somewhat by week two (Yakut, 2019), gradually increase again by six months (Miller, 2013, 2015), and then remain constant (House, 2013, Abramowitz, 2010, Fairbrother, 2018). Coping skills, such as humor, were linked to a larger decrease of OCS from day 1 to week two (Yakut, 2019). The increase of OCS from week two to month six was more pronounced in mothers without comorbid depression (this progression could potentially be linked to accumulated sleep deprivation); mothers with comorbid depression had more severe OCS that largely remained constant between week two and month six.

Risk factors for the development of OCD during the peripartum period include higher maternal age (House, 2013; Malamela, 2019; Miller, 2013), prior pregnancy-related complications or losses (House, 2013; Malamela, 2019), long gestation (Malamela, 2019), cesarian vs. vaginal delivery (House, 2013), preexisting depression (Miller, 2015) and obsessive-compulsive beliefs (Fairbrother, 2018), and a history of substance use or dependence (Prevett, 2017; Osnes, 2020). Factors that are likely to be associated with elevated perinatal stress, such as being unmarried, having fewer previous children (Miller, 2015), and insomnia and poor sleep quality (Fairbrother, 2018; Osnes, 2020) positively correlate with the severity of postpartum OCD. Despite evidence that the COVID-19 pandemic triggered significant stress in the general population, an online survey study of pregnant women (N = 3,346) prior to and during the pandemic did not show any significant worsening of pregnancy-related OCD symptoms (Xie, 2020).

Reports of effects of pregnancy on women with preexisting OCD are highly heterogeneous. In a small study by Yakut et al. (2019, N = 37), 8.1% of OCD patients reported worsening symptoms and 51% reporting decreased OCD severity during pregnancy. In another small study by Uguz et al. (2011, N = 52), 32.7% of OCD patients reported worsening symptoms, 53.8% reported unchanged symptoms, and 13.5% reported decreased OCD symptoms. This heterogeneity may be linked not only to patients' resilience skills (Yakut, 2019; see above) but also to symptom profiles and socio-cultural environment. Additionally, it is possible that the decrease in OCD severity experienced by some patient subgroups relate to increases in progesterone levels during pregnancy, which may be linked to lower OCS (Mulligan, 2019; Li, 2017; also see Section 3.6.3). Patients with contamination and symmetry/ordering symptoms were more likely and patients with religious obsessions were less

likely to report worsening of OCs during the peripartum period (Uguz, 2011). Guglielmi et al. (2014) contrasted women with OCD from the U.S. (N = 352) and from the Netherlands (N = 190). During pregnancy, OCD symptoms worsened in 35.3% of individuals with OCD in the U.S. and 26.5% in the Netherlands; compared to OCD symptom improvement in 8.2% in the U.S. and 26.5% in the Netherlands. Postpartum, OCD symptoms worsened in comparable proportions of individuals with OCD in the U.S. and Netherlands (45.7% and 49.2%, respectively), with exacerbations most commonly occurring after a first pregnancy.

Preexisting OCD is a risk factor for the development of other neuropsychiatric complications during peripartum, including postpartum depression (OR = 1.36; Johansen, 2020) and a worsening of anxiety symptoms (Furtado, 2019). Lord (2010) found that OCD symptoms in the postpartum period are linked to increased blood cortisol levels and higher subjective reported stress levels.

The peripartum period presents both challenges and opportunities for treatment (Table A7). Challacombe et al. (2017) found intensive home-based CBT to be more effective than clinic-based treatments (d = 1.9). The burden of postpartum OCD may be reduced or even prevented by providing psychoeducation to expecting parents (Timpano, 2011). This represents an important opportunity for prevention.

3.4.5. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological concerns:

- Prevalence estimates of OCD in children and adolescents disproportionately rely on self- or parental reports (six out of seven studies), while adult studies rarely do so (only one out of 12). This complicates comparison of prevalence rates across age groups and genders.

- Diagnosing OCD in young children is challenging, as ritualistic behaviors can be part of normal development, and OCD symptoms can be mistaken for typical developmental phases (Nakatani et al., 2011). Symptoms can also overlap with other conditions that are more prevalent in boys (Coskun et al., 2012). The reliance on self- and parental reports may introduce gendered biases in estimates of incidence, prevalence, progression, and risk factors for pediatric OCD (see also Section 3.2.1**)**
- Using retrospective reports to determine age of onset can introduce recall bias, which may vary by gender. Other approaches, such as event history calendars, have been effective in improving data accuracy and completeness in large-scale surveys (Drasch and Matthes, 2013).
- OCD frequently co-occurs with various comorbid conditions that can affect its presentation and progression. There are significant inconsistencies across studies in accounting for these comorbidities, likely contributing to heterogeneity in findings. More systematic characterization of comorbidities and their impact is recommended (see also Section 3.3).

Unanswered Questions:

- Can small changes in the DSM-based cutoff levels for clinical significance alter our understanding of gendered patterns in incidence, prevalence, and age of onset?
- Do incidence or prevalence of different symptom subtypes vary by gender?
- How common is OCD in older populations? Are there any unique characteristics in OCD in this age group?
- Are there any early predictive biomarkers for OCD (e.g., elevated blood pressure, resting heart rate at earlier ages), and do they differ across genders or sexes?

- What drives the differences between men and women in impacts of traumatic experiences on OCD – variations in types of trauma, subjective experiences, or biological responses?
- How do peripartum experiences, social and biological, impact OCD in men?

Hypotheses for future research:

- Cultural and regional factors may influence diagnostic patterns; for instance, men are more likely to be diagnosed with OCD in Eastern cultures than in Western cultures.
- Antecedents of clinically significant symptoms may differ between genders: in boys, clinical OCS are more likely to emerge from subthreshold OCS; in girls, clinical OCS are more likely to evolve from non-specific anxiety symptoms.
- During puberty, subthreshold OCS either progress to clinical OCS or resolve; progression is more likely in girls. This period may represent an opportunity for intervention.
- Contamination OCS are linked to unwanted sexual experiences in both men and women; the higher prevalence of contamination OCS in women may be due to the substantially elevated rates of adverse sexual experiences in women, especially at ages 16 – 19. An elevated prevalence of contamination OCD in other groups with elevated rates of adverse sexual experiences is predicted.

Highlights from Section 3.5 Human Genetics and Family Risk

State of knowledge and key concerns: There is a profound lack of genome-wide studies that examine associations between genetic variants across the entire genome and OCD risk across sexes. Family risk studies (twin studies, parental age, and parental phenotype studies) offer only indirect evidence of possible differences in genetic risk factors for OCD.

Genome-wide studies (only two over the period of more than 10 years): Certain genetic factors may differentially impact OCD in men and women.

Family risk studies: The risk of OCD is higher in male:male relative pairs. Obsessions/incompleteness and numbers/luck obsessions are significantly more heritable in males only. There are contradictory findings on the roles of paternal versus maternal age. There is a higher prevalence of autoimmune conditions in biological mothers of children with OCD than in women in the general population.

Future studies: More and larger genome-wide studies of risk for OCD are needed and should be analyzed separately in males and females. There may be differences in genetic contributions in child-onset versus adult-onset OCD; consequently, polygenic risk for OCD should be analyzed in child-onset and adult-onset groups separately. Genome-wide analyses of risk for different OCD subtypes (based on OCS, comorbidity profile, or stress-relatedness) should be performed in males versus females.

3.5 Human Genetics and Family Risk

Human genetics research holds great promise for better understanding gender differences observed in epidemiological and clinical studies of OCD, by identifying specific underlying genetic causes that can be further probed in mechanistic research. During the review period, 68 human genetic studies were identified examining sex and gender differences in OCD (see Table A6); these include family, twin, candidate gene, genome-wide, and parental age studies among others.

3.5.1 Family studies

Decades of studies have demonstrated the familial transmission of OCD. Three national registry studies in Sweden and Denmark examined the role of gender in transmission of OCD risk during the review period. Steinhausen et al. (2013) examined 2,057 pediatric onset OCD cases, first-degree relatives, and 5,055 matched controls, showing that both paternal and maternal

OCD were risk factors for OCD in the probands (father OR = 34.04, $p < 0.01$; mother OR = 11.21 $p < 0.002$). Mataix-Cols et al. (2013) examined 24,768 individuals with OCD, relatives, and matched controls, finding no differences in the familial effects by gender of the probands or their relatives. This suggests similar maternal and paternal transmission of OCD risk.

Brander et al. (2021) compared 1257 individuals with tic-related OCD and 20,975 with non-tic related OCD and showed that overall the risk of OCD in relatives of individuals with tic-related OCD was greater than risk of OCD in relatives with non-tic-related OCD (HR in full siblings 10.63 [7.92 – 14.27], vs 4.52. [4.06 – 5.02], $p<.0001$). Given that tic-related OCD is more common in males, they also preformed gender stratified analyses looking at all combinations of siblings; the hazard ratio was higher for tic-related OCD across all combinations, suggesting greater familiarity. Interestingly, the risk of OCD was also higher in male:male pairs than other combinations in relatives of non-tic related OCD compared to controls.

3.5.2 Twin studies

Twin studies are a powerful approach for understanding the distinct roles of genetic and environmental factors in the development of OCD. Of the seven twin studies that examined gender differences, five (Bolhuis, 2014, Pinto, 2016, Lopez-Sola, 2014, Zohao, 2014, Krebs, 2015) reported no gender differences in the role of genetic factors in obsessive-compulsive symptoms and behaviors, consistent with the family studies reviewed above. One study by Moore et al. (2010) examined 517 adolescent twins and found that both obsessions/incompleteness and numbers/luck dimensions were significantly more heritable in males only (60% and 65%, respectively). Anckarsater et al. (2011) examined 17,220 same-sex twins and identified some potential differences in the correlation of compulsiveness (identical F

= 0.22, identical M = 0.36, fraternal F = 0.16, fraternal M = 0.09). Thus, there may be some subtle differences in heritability between genders when specific symptom dimensions are considered.

3.5.3 Candidate gene studies

Candidate gene studies focus on examining a particular genetic variant (or set of variants) that is hypothesized to be associated with OCD. These studies are limited by the polygenic nature of OCD and the fact that common variants tend to have small effect sizes. Importantly, most candidate gene studies in other psychiatric disorders have not been replicated in large-scale genome-wide studies (Border et al., 2019; Farrell et al., 2015), so these results must be interpreted with skepticism. In fact, the National Institute of Mental Health recommends that researchers abandon candidate gene studies in favor of well powered hypothesis-free genome association studies (Workgroup). Despite this guidance, our review found that the vast majority of genetic studies during the review period (40 out of 68) examining sex[6] used a candidate gene approach. These studies examined a variety of candidate genes (including *ESR1 ESR2, 5-HTTLPR, COMT, GRIN2B, SLC1A1, SLC6A4, SLC18A1, GAD1, GAD2, HTR1A, HT2A, HTR1B, HTR3B, DRD2, DRD4, DAT, NPSR1, MAO-A, DLGAPI, EFNA5, MTHFR, SAPAP3, SLITRK1, PBX1, LMX1A, RYR3*). For completeness, the findings are summarized in Table A5. However, to reiterate, such studies have a poor track record of replication.

3.6.4 Genome-wide studies

Genome-wide studies use a hypothesis-free approach to examine associations between genetic variants across the entire genome and OCD risk. These include genome-wide association

[6] Genotyping studies will often confirm reported sex/gender by examining sex chromosomes; since this assesses genotypic sex, rather than self-reported gender, we use the term 'sex' in this section.

studies (GWAS), copy number variant (CNV) analyses, and whole-exome or whole-genome DNA sequencing studies. During the review period, only two genome-wide studies that examined sex or gender impacts were identified, suggesting a need for more research in this area. Khramtsova et al. (2018) conducted a GWAS meta-analysis of 9,870 individuals with OCD and identified a strong genetic correlation between male and female OCD, with similar heritability estimates. No sex-specific genome-wide significant single nucleotide polymorphisms were identified, but there were significant gene-based associations that emerged only in females in two genes (GRID2 female p = 1.07E-07, male p = 7.23E-01; GRP135 female p = 1.55E-06, male p = 7.04E-01). Lionel et al. (2014) examined copy-number variants (CNVs) in 64,114 individuals with neurodevelopmental disorders, including OCD. They found that CNV disruption of the ASTN2/TRIM32 locus was significantly associated with neurodevelopmental disorders in males, but not females, with OCD being one of the co-occurring disorders. This data suggests that certain genetic factors may differentially impact OCD in males and females, which could provide important insight into mechanisms for observed sex and gender differences in OCD presentation.

3.6.5 Parental age studies

Past research suggests that parental age at a child's birth impacts risk for a range of neuropsychiatric disorders in offspring (de Kluiver et al., 2017). During the review period, three studies examined the association between parental age and risk of OCD, with different findings regarding the role of maternal and paternal age at birth. Wu et al. (2012) conducted a cohort study of 711 adults in China and found that the relative risk of OCD increased with paternal age, but not with maternal age; the risk was higher for male offspring. In contrast, Chudal et al. (2017) conducted a nested case control study of 1,358 individuals with OCD, 1195 individuals with chronic tic disorders, and matched controls and found that the risk of OCD was statistically

increased in offspring of older mothers and not fathers. Finally, Janecka et al. (2019) conducted a large birth cohort study in Denmark (n=1,490,745) and found that the risk of OCD was also statistically increased only in the offspring of older mothers; but gender specific analyses suggested that paternal age effects were also present, in the male offspring only. Overall, this work suggests the importance of parental age in risk of OCD, but these findings differ on the role of maternal vs paternal risk.

3.6.6 Other studies

A few studies examined gender effects for specific co-occurring conditions in families. Murphy et al. (2010) examined the biological mothers of 107 children with OCD and tics, finding that 17.8% had autoimmune conditions compared to 5% in general population. Sackl-Pammer et al. (2015) conducted a small study of 30 parents of children with separation anxiety disorder and found higher rates of lifetime OCD in fathers, but not mothers, compared to controls.

3.6.7 Single gender studies

Ten single-gender studies were identified in the review period. While it is hard to draw conclusions about the impact of gender from these studies due to the inability to statistically test sex or gender effects, for consistency with the other sections, these are described in Table A5.

3.6.8 Summary of key consideration

Methodological concerns:

- The majority of genetic studies used a candidate gene approach, which the field has moved away from given that such studies have not generally been replicated in more rigorous genome-wide studies.

- There is a need for genome-wide studies in larger cohorts to systematically test for sex and gender differences, to provide insight into whether genetic factors may have a differential impact by sex or gender.
- Large sample sizes are key to identifying specific high-confidence genetic risk factors in genome-wide approaches, which becomes even more imperative when testing for sex and gender differences.

Unanswered Questions:

- Do genome-wide studies offer any evidence of sex and gender differences in genetic predispositions to OCD?
- Do environmental factors, including significant life stresses and reproductive life events, interact with genetic predispositions differently in men and women?
- Does age of mothers or fathers contribute more strongly to risk for development of OCD in children?
- Are there any genetic markers that can predict treatment response differently in men and women?

Hypotheses for future research:

- Childhood-onset OCD has a stronger genetic component than adult-onset OCD.
- Girls with childhood-onset OCD have a higher genetic risk for OCD compared to boys.
- OCD is characterized by multiple genetic subtypes; one subtype, more prevalent in males, shows greater genetic overlap with neurodevelopmental disorders, while others do not.

Highlights from Section 3.6 Altered neurocognitive and neurobiological functioning in OCD

Self-report and behavior-based measures: Females with OCD show greater heterogeneity in neurocognitive profiles, altered metacognition, and altered processing of visuospatial and emotional information. Males with OCD demonstrate impaired goal-directed activities and cognitive rigidity.

Neuroimaging measures: Some studies suggest differential alterations of brain structure in OCD (e.g., corpus callosum thickness greater in women than in men with OCD). Studies rarely control for gender-related confounds (e.g. symptom profile or a history of trauma).

Hormonal, growth factors, and cytokine measures: Stress responses, including cortisol regulation, may be altered differently in men and women with OCD.

Key concerns: Most studies are not systematic, with a narrow focus and small samples. No studies have assessed both sex and gender.

Future studies: It will be important to integrate clinical, self-report, behavioral, neuroimaging, and hormonal measures, and to examine the impact of sex and gender on neuro-cognitive heterogeneity in OCD.

3.6. Altered neurocognitive and neurobiological functioning in OCD

Research on neurocognitive and neurobiological function in OCD has the potential to unravel the mechanisms underlying clinical differences between male and female patients and to identify gender[7]-specific endophenotypes. These differences likely result from a complex interplay between various sex- and gender-related processes. Sex and gender differences in cognitive processing styles, brain structure and connectivity, and various neurotransmitter systems may influence OCD symptoms differently in men and women. Neuroactive sex steroids like estrogen, progesterone, and testosterone may contribute to sex-specific patterns in symptomatology. Social experiences and adherence to gender norms may also shape how individuals experience and cope with their OCD symptoms. Biological sex and gender identity may differentially affect cognition and biology.

[7] Studies that are reviewed in this section rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term ‘gender’ in this section.

Research on altered neurocognitive and neurobiological functions in OCD has expanded rapidly over the past decade (Bandelow et al., 2017; Benzina et al., 2016), in an effort to identify underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and candidate targets for intervention. Studies of neurocognitive endophenotypes of OCD aim to make sense of the disorder's heterogeneity. Most studies to date have examined relatively small samples, making it difficult to rigorously examine how gender or biological sex might contribute to neurocognitive diversity in OCD. We identified twenty-two studies published during the review period that directly compared the effects of OCD on neurocognitive or neurobiological function in males and females with sufficient statistical power (5 in children and 17 in adults). Two studies examined samples of fewer than 80 participants (see Table A6), which is the threshold required to identify large effect size interactions between OCD diagnosis and gender. Eleven more studies focused exclusively on males (5 papers) or females (6 papers).

3.6.1. Neurocognitive functioning

Nine papers investigated gender differences in neurocognitive function in individuals with OCD, utilizing self-reports or behavioral tasks (2 in children/adolescents and 7 in adults). Most examined gender effects only in secondary or exploratory analyses.

Esbjørn et al. (2013) found that girls tend to report higher severity of OCD symptoms than boys; this difference was linked to deeper incorporation of obsessive-compulsive thoughts into metacognitive beliefs in girls. Gülüm (2014) found that a tendency for repetitive thinking similarly contributes to OCs in young adults of both genders. Attachment anxiety enhanced this relationship in both men and women; in women, but not in men, attachment anxiety also enhanced the impact of perceived locus of control on OCs. Vivas et al. (2019) found that, in adults, neuroticism was positively associated with OCs in both men and women, but

agreeableness was negatively associated with OCs only in women. The increased presence of such associations in women compared to men is consistent with the idea that the heterogeneity of latent cognitive mechanisms contributing to OCs is higher in adult women (Shkalim, 2017; see Section 3.3.2).

Impaired emotional processing is implicated in OCD (Thorsen et al., 2018), but very little is known about differences between males and females. In children, Shaw et al. (2021) found elevated affect intolerance in both boys and girls, in separate analyses; they did not directly contrast the genders. In adults, Cougle et al. (2013) did not find significant differences between men and women in distress intolerance. Vivas et al. (2019) found that sensitivity to the valence of emotional stimuli was higher in women with more severe OCD symptoms than in women with low OCS (Cohen's d = 0.54), with no significant difference in men (Cohen's d was not reported).

Martoni et al. (2015), using the CANTAB battery (Fray and Robbins, 1996), found that visuospatial working memory was impaired in adult women with OCD (Cohen's d ≥ 0.7); adult men with OCD showed comparable performance to healthy men and women both on the number of errors and use of optimal strategy. Similarly, Steinman et al. (2016) found sensorimotor gating (measured by prepulse inhibition of the startle response) to be impaired only in adult women with OCD (Cohen's d = 0.57); adult men with OCD performed similarly to healthy men and women (see also Ahmari, 2016). Visuospatial memory and sensorimotor gating may interact; these two areas of female-specific deficit may reflect a common underlying abnormality.

In contrast, Cillo (2019) found persistent risk taking (failure to flexibly adjust to changing conditions in the Cambridge Gambling Task) in men but not in women with OCD (Cohen's d = 0.41□0.47). Cougle et al. (2013) found that goal persistence during a behavioral task negatively

correlated with OCS in men but not in women; this effect was primarily driven by severity of obsessions (M: $\beta = -0.42$ $p < 0.01$; F: $\beta = 0.05$ $p = 0.54$). Whitehead et al. (2016) suggested that comorbid OCD may significantly moderate the impact of depression on decision making. Comorbid OCD/depression was linked to difficulties with goal-directed activities that were greater than in subjects with depression alone in men (M: OR = 1.17 $p < 0.05$) and in subjects with OCD alone in both men and women (OR = 1.10 $p < 0.05$). Impulse control difficulties were less likely to be present in both men and women with OCD, as compared to individuals with depression (OR = 0.87 $p < 0.01$) or with comorbid OCD/depression (OR = 0.83 $p < 0.01$).

Overall, these studies suggest that the relationship of underlying cognitive processes to OCD symptomology may be more heterogeneous in females. For instance, metacognitive alterations and difficulties with processing of visuospatial and emotional information may contribute to OCD symptoms in females more than in males. In males, convergent reports suggest greater impairment in goal-directed activities, possibly due to a failure to flexibly adjust to changing conditions. Much more systematic research is needed to clarify these findings.

3.6.2. Neuroimaging

Neuroimaging studies have revealed aberrant brain structure and activity in specific regions and white matter tracts in OCD (Friedlander and Desrocher, 2006; Haghshomar et al., 2023; Saxena and Rauch, 2022). These findings implicate particular neural circuits, such as the cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical (CSTC) circuit, and large-scale networks, such as the default mode and salience networks, in the development of OCD symptoms. Limited evidence suggests that the functioning of these networks may differ in males and females with OCD (Ruigrok et al., 2014).

During the review period, only seven studies (2 in children and 5 in adults) explored the moderating effects of gender on the correlates of OCD with brain structure and function (Table A6). Among these, six studies investigated the structural characteristics of the brain in both males and females with OCD; five studies compared gray and white matter volume and thickness, and one study assessed white matter fractional anisotropy, an indirect measure of the structural integrity of white matter tracts (Hawco, 2016). Only one study in the review period examined differences between boys and girls using functional neuroimaging (Suñol, 2020). Given the large number of functional neuroimaging studies being performed in OCD, it is striking that so few have reported sex and gender effects. This represents a profound knowledge gap.

Two studies from the same group examined gender effects in children (Suñol 2018, 2020). No gender differences were found in the relationships between dimensional symptom severity and gray and white matter volume. However, resting state functional connectivity between some nodes of the CSTC circuitry correlated with hoarding symptoms differently in girls and boys 8 – 10 years old. Specifically, functional connectivity between the left medial dorsal thalamus and the subthalamic nucleus was more strongly negatively correlated with hoarding symptoms in boys than in girls (M: $r = -0.82$, F: $r = -0.34$, $p = 0.04$). Connectivity between the medial dorsal thalamus and the posterior cingulate cortex was more negatively correlated with hoarding symptoms in older girls (those older than approximately 9.5 years) compared to all other children (older F: $r = -0.51$, other groups $r = -0.11$, $p = 0.004$). These findings are particularly interesting given convergent reports that obsessive-compulsive symptoms often emerge or worsen in girls following puberty (Section 3.3.4.2). Still, these results should be considered provisional and need replication.

Two studies investigated gender differences in brain structure in adults and found that gender modulates the relationship between OCD and white matter structure in the corpus callosum (CC). Fractional anisotropy (FA) was more reduced in women with OCD than in men with OCD, as compared to healthy individuals (Hawco, 2016). White matter thickness in the corpus callosum was increased in men with OCD, while in women with OCD it was comparable to that seen in healthy men and women (Park, 2011). Progesterone levels in women and testosterone levels in men have been shown to be related to corpus callosum volume (Chavarria et al., 2014). For example, in women, the total corpus callosum volume increases from the ovulatory to the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle (Khan, 2012). The corpus callosum is critical for coordinating activity across the hemispheres and plays a significant role in movement control, cognitive functions (e.g., memory, learning), and vision (Hinkley et al., 2012). Follow-up investigations examining how OCD interacts with steroid sex hormones to modulate the structural properties of the corpus callosum and associated cognitive functions are needed.

Braber (2013) explored the relationships between subthreshold OC symptoms and gray matter volume in the general population. The left middle temporal gyrus (BA21) was found to be larger in men with higher OCS than in women or in men with lower OCS. The right middle temporal gyrus was similarly larger in men with high OCS than in men with low OCS; conversely, it was higher in women with low OCS than in women with high OCS. The volume of the right precuneus (BA7) was similar in men with low OCS and women with high OCS; it was reduced in men with high OCS – the strongest effect – and in women with low OCS. These dissociations require replication. Given the middle temporal gyrus's involvement in visual perception and multimodal sensory integration (Gröhn et al., 2022; Jagiellowicz et al., 2011),

future studies should investigate sex and gender differences in the impact of OCS on sensory processing.

Real (2016) confirmed that women are more likely than men to report stressful life events (SLE) preceding the onset of OCD (see Section 3.3.4) and found that SLEs modulate gray matter volume. Individuals with OCD and no SLEs exhibited increased gray matter volume in the bilateral dorsal putamen and the central tegmental tract of the brainstem. In contrast, individuals with OCD and SLEs showed gray matter volume increases in the right anterior cerebellum. The higher incidence of SLEs in women in general may predispose women with OCD to develop changes in cerebellar gray matter to a greater degree than men.

Contrasting three data-driven subtypes of OCD patients (based on symptom presentation), Reess (2018) found that a cluster composed of patients with elevated contamination symptoms consisted predominantly of women (consistent with Section 3.3.2). They also found that men had larger hippocampal volume, while controlling for the total intracranial volume (medium effect size $\eta^2 = 0.06$ $p = 0.035$). Interestingly, the OCD subtype with elevated contamination symptoms, which was primarily women (~ 94%), had hippocampal volumes comparable to healthy controls. Two clusters with similar gender split (with approximately 60% of women) significantly differed from healthy individuals. Individuals with OCD experiencing intrusive thoughts had larger hippocampal volumes than other subtypes and controls, while those with predominant ordering/checking symptoms had smaller volumes. This may indicate that not gender but gender associated factors (e.g., symptom profiles) modulate impact of OCD on brain structure.

Overall, these results allow limited conclusions and highlight the need for more systematic research in large samples to understand whether gender (or sex) and gender- (or sex-)

associated factors, such as SLEs, predominant symptom profiles, or the impacts of sex hormones, are linked to variations in the structural properties of the brain.

3.6.3. Hormones and growth factors

Eight studies (all in adults) reported on gender effects in hormones, growth factors, and cytokines in OCD (see Table A6). Only serum cortisol showed significant gender[8] effects. Bilgiç, (2021) and Labad (2021) found that morning cortisol levels were elevated in men but not women with OCD, relative to controls (Cohen's d = 0.34 – 0.63). Melia (2019) found that OCS were associated with subtle abnormalities in cortisol dynamics in both men and women in the general population: cortisol levels at awakening correlated with the severity of OCS *positively* in men but *negatively* in women (OCI-R: $\beta = 0.23$ $p = 0.06$; OCI-R x F: $\beta = -0.32$ $p = 0.02$). Morning cortisol correlated with the number of stressful events preceding onset of OCD in men ($r = 0.30$, $p = 0.01$), but not in women. One possible explanation for the negative finding in women is that there may be multiple OCD subtypes in women, characterized by different relationships between morning cortisol and the number of stressful events; this aligns with the idea developed earlier that OCD in women may be more heterogeneous than in men. The diurnal cortisol slope was blunted in men with more severe ordering symptoms but more negative in women with more severe ordering symptoms, both in nonclinical samples (Melia, 2019) and in individuals with a diagnosis of OCD (Labad, 2021). Erbay (2015) found dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and cortisol levels to be higher in women with OCD than in female controls. In men, cortisol levels were higher in patients, while testosterone levels were lower.

[8] While these studies may have characterized biological sex of study participants, none of them distinguished between participants' sex and gender. We use term 'gender' in this subsection for consistency.

Together these results suggest that the stress response may be differently altered in men and women with OCD. Sex differences in the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis are well documented (Heck and Handa, 2019). Testosterone and estradiol differentially modulate HPA function after stress.; this may drive the observed differences in cortisol regulation in men and women. Whether these differences reflect precipitating or compensatory mechanisms remains unclear.

Marazziti (2015) found similar elevations of plasma oxytocin in men and women with OCD as compared to healthy individuals; in men, this correlated with a fearful-avoidant and dismissive attachment style ($r > 0.35$, $p < 0.05$). Oxytocin is sometimes described as a "bonding hormone" (Heinrichs et al., 2009); oxytocin levels may be elevated in response to stress to regulate stress responses and, perhaps, facilitate seeking comfort from others (Neumann, 2002, 2008). Interpersonal connectedness is complexly affected in OCD; individuals with OCD tend to be aware that their behaviors put a toll on people in their lives and sometimes overcompensate by being accommodating and 'nice' (Hyman and Pedrick, 2010); on the other hand, men with OCD often remain single (Muhlberger, 2021; Section 3.3.2) and are more likely to have comorbid social phobia (Section 3.3.3). Targeted studies are needed to empirically test these and other possible links between oxytocin, interpersonal bonding, and OCS in men and women.

3.6.4. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological concerns:

- Despite the significant growth in neuroimaging research on OCD in recent decades, with many studies utilizing well-powered samples, there is a notable absence of research on differences between the brain correlates of OCD in men and women.

- In research settings, neurocognitive functioning in men and women with OCD is typically assessed only once, implicitly assuming the stability of neurocognitive profiles across phases of menstrual cycle in menstruating participants, despite available data to the contrary (Hidalgo-Lopez and Pletzer, 2019; Holländer et al., 2005; Kheloui et al., 2021; Souza et al., 2012). In studies of depression, accounting for the effects of hormonal fluctuations on the brain has been fruitful (Gordon et al., 2015; Harald and Gordon, 2012; Payne et al., 2009), indicating a need to do the same for OCD.
- Prior work suggests the existence of OCD subtypes characterized by distinct neurocognitive and neurobiological abnormalities (Nedeljkovic et al., 2009). Most studies from the review period have correlated symptom content dimensions with selected measures, assuming independence and linearity. A more flexible, data-driven approach is recommended, using a variety of clinical and neuroimaging measures.
- As in Sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.4, we suggest that using self-reports to assess obsessive-compulsive symptoms is likely to lead to significant biases, especially in children. Neuroimaging studies that aim to evaluate relations between OCS and structural and functional properties of the brain should utilize clinician-administered instruments to reduce such biases.
- As in the other areas discussed in this review, there is an almost complete absence of studies that separately examine the correlates of sex and gender, or that describe OCD in transgender or nonbinary individuals.

Unanswered Questions:

- What drives the apparent greater heterogeneity of neurocognitive difficulties in women with OCD and how they may map onto OCD symptomology?

- Are neurocognitive profiles in individuals with OCD temporally stable (trait-level) or do they fluctuate with changes in severity of symptoms (e.g., in response to therapy)?
- Do reported gender differences in brain structure in individuals with OCD map onto gender differences in brain functioning and neurocognitive difficulties?
- How do hormone fluctuations over the phases of menstrual cycle impact brain functioning in cycling women, and are these fluctuations linked to OCD symptomology?
- How do relationships between sex and stress hormones evolve over development?

Hypotheses for future research:

- Distinct clusters of neurocognitive deficits in OCD may differ in their relationship to sex and gender- related characteristics.
- During puberty, divergent symptom trajectories in boys and girls may reflect divergent trajectories in neurodevelopment. Neural and cognitive trajectories differ in boy and girls are modulated by changing hormonal profiles and may serve as early biomarkers of the post-pubertal emergence or worsening of obsessive-compulsive symptoms.
- Stress responses, including diurnal cortisol regulation, appears to be differentially modulated in males and in females with OCD; these differences may manifest in both differences in symptom presentation and in differences in symptom trajectories across development.
- While a robust literature suggests that females with OCD report preceding stressful events more often (Section 3.3.4), cortisol modulation, including an increase in cortisol levels, may be more impacted by stressful events in males than in females.

Highlights from Section 3.7. Treatment

State of knowledge: Research on gender differences in OCD treatment is limited and fragmented, with most analyses conducted post hoc.

Treatment studies: Some studies suggest that gender might influence treatment outcomes, though results are mixed. Preliminary evidence suggests that boys may benefit more from intensive outpatient programs.

Naturalistic settings: Men are more often prescribed antipsychotics, whereas women are more likely to receive SSRIs and a range of other medications. Boys access treatment earlier in childhood than girls, while adult men tend to delay treatment compared to women, which may impact reported prevalence rates in medical records or treatment-seeking samples.

Future research: There is a need for more rigorous randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that systematically examine the role of both biological sex and gender in modulating OCD treatment outcomes, considering factors like OCD subtypes, comorbidities, and hormonal influences, including hormonal fluctuations across phases of the menstrual cycle.

3.7. Treatment

Accounting for gender[9] differences has been beneficial in many areas of evidence-based precision medicine (Gemmati et al., 2019; Shah and Kornstein, 2021). In OCD, such research is still fragmented at best. During the review period, only 20 studies with sufficiently large samples examined gender differences in treatment effectiveness (i.e., more than 50 individuals with OCD per arm, which allows detecting effects of at least a large size); seven of them were conducted in children or adolescents and 13 in adults. 12 additional studies tested gender differences in underpowered samples (see Table 4, Table A7). Most analyses of gender differences were *post hoc* or secondary. Other topics included gender differences in help-seeking (five studies) and side effects (one study). Four studies looked at effectiveness of treatments in women only. Most of these studies did not report strong gender differences. Still, some patterns emerged. Given the lack of research and heterogeneity of methods, these patterns should be interpreted as very preliminary.

[9] Studies that are reviewed in this section rely on self-reported gender; thus, we use the term 'gender' in this section.

Rudy et al. (2014) found that an intensive outpatient family-based treatment program benefited boys more than girls (Cohen's d = 0.69 $p < 0.01$). In another study, in teenagers, an intensive outpatient program that combined individualized CBT with exposure and prevention (ERP) and individualized pharmacology did not lead to significantly different outcomes in boys and girls (Højgaard, 2020). Similarly, eight observational studies in adults did not reveal any gender differences in outcomes of naturalistic treatment (Table A7). However, when Højgaard et al. (2018) controlled for existing comorbidities, they found a trend toward CBT being more effective in girls (β_{male}= -0.47 p = 0.11).

In adults, one study found the benefits of ERP over stress management (SMT) augmentation of stable pharmacotherapy to be larger in men (Maher, 2010), while another study found that women respond better to group CBT and to fluoxetine (FLX) than do men (Belotta-Silva, 2012).

Hasanpour et al. (2019) examined what combinations of patient characteristics are associated with likelihood of responding to a standardized fluvoxamine treatment (12-weeks, 150–300 mg). They investigated what clusters of individual characteristics are associated with treatment response and found an important and significant *age of onset x gender* interaction in which female patients with late onset OCD, who were also married and had lower compulsion scores, had an 85% chance to respond to FLVX, while female patients with early onset OCD had only a 29% chance of responding. This is consistent with a report that that adult women respond better to FLX than adult men (Belotta-Silva, 2012).

A large-scale study (N = 10523, Isomura 2016) revealed that, in naturalistic settings, males are more often prescribed both typical and atypical antipsychotics (F:M OR = 0.86 [95%CI, 0.75 – 0.98]; F:M OR = 0.68 [95%CI, 0.61 – 9.76], respectively); females are more

often prescribed SRIs (F:M OR =1.27 [95%CI, 1.12 – 1.44]), non-benzodiazepine anxiolytics (F:M OR = 1.26 [95%CI, 1.16 – 1.38]), benzodiazepines (F:M OR = 1.21 [95%CI, 1.10 – 1.33]), and analgesics (F:M OR = 1.64 [95%CI, 1.49–1.80]). It is unclear whether this means that antipsychotics are more effective in males or whether these differences derive from biases in prescribing practices unrelated to efficacy. It is also unclear whether gender differences in comorbid psychopathologies or co-occurring medical conditions (see Sections 3.3) could have impacted these patterns. Isomura (2016)'s findings are consistent with Batmaz et al. (2016), who found that, in naturalistic settings, individuals with autogenous obsessions tend to receive antipsychotics more often than individuals with reactive obsessions; recall that autogenous OCD symptoms are significantly more common among males (Section 2, large effect size) and tend to have an earlier age of onset (Besiroglu et al., 2006). This study also did not control for the presence of comorbid diagnoses, which could have impacted treatment strategies. For instance, antipsychotics are often used to treat tics, which tend to co-occur in early-onset OCD in males; this could contribute to the reported differences in medication use. Future studies should clarify the interactive effect of age of onset, OCD subtype, comorbidity profile, biological sex, and gender on the efficacy of different pharmacological treatments.

As already described in Section 3.3.4, the burden of postpartum OCD may be reduced or even prevented by providing psychoeducation to expecting parents (Timpano, 2011). This represents an important opportunity for prevention. It also raises the question of whether targeted intervention during other identifiable vulnerability periods, such as puberty or in the aftermath of trauma (especially sexual trauma) could be of similar benefit (Section 3.3.4).

Studies of help-seeking patterns in individuals with OCD also hint at *age of onset x gender* interactions. In children, not only is OCD diagnosed more often in boys, but boys are

more likely to receive treatment once diagnosed (Mennies, 2020). In adults, the pattern appears to reverse, with men waiting longer before seeking help and receiving a diagnosis than women (Stengler, 2012; Cohen d = 0.4 p = 0.079). These socio-cultural patterns, if replicated, may be contributing to broadly reported higher prevalence of OCD in boys than in girls and in women than in men (see Section 3.3.1). Patel et al. (2017) reports that female patients tend to prefer ERP to pharmacology, which may impact the effectiveness treatment if indeed women with late onset of OCD have a high likelihood of responding to SSRIs (Hasanpour, 2019). Sabetnejad et al. (2016) offers one potential explanation for this difference in preferences, finding a stronger decrease in sexual function in women treated with FLX than in women treated with CBT.

3.7.1. Summary of Key Considerations

Methodological concerns:

- Our review did not identify any RCT or large, well-balanced open label study in which everyone received the same treatment and the response of men and women was systematically compared.
- When evaluating intervention-related changes in symptom severity, it is advisable to assess the symptoms at multiple time points before and after treatment to account for possible symptom fluctuation in females across phases of the menstrual cycle. These assessments also need to account for potential differences in insight in men and women, as well as for changes in insight that may relate to repeated interactions with therapists.
- Factors that can impact treatment outcomes are likely to be differentially associated with sex and gender: comorbidities, age of onset, stress exposure, symptom profile (e.g., autogenous versus reactive obsessions), vulnerability periods (e.g., reproductive cycle events), and genetic profiles. Future studies should carefully control for the contributions of these factors.

For instance, treatment and control groups should be selected to make sure that they do not systematically differ in confounding characteristics.

Unanswered Questions:

- Why are men prescribed antipsychotics more often than women in naturalistic settings? Are different treatment strategies driven by differences in treatment response, patients' preferences, prescriber bias, OCD symptoms (autogenous versus reactive), or comorbidities?
- Why are intensive outpatient family-based treatment programs reported to be more effective in boys than in girls? Is this difference in outcome, if replicated, driven by gender or by different family attitudes toward boys and girls?
- What drives help-seeking behavior in individuals with OCD across gender and age groups?
- Can clinically significant OCD symptoms be prevented through targeted interventions timed to specific high-risk periods?

Hypotheses for future research:

- Effectiveness of treatments may be impacted by age of onset x gender interactions. For instance, SSRIs may be more effective in women with adult-onset OCD, while intensive outpatient treatment program may be more effective in boys.
- Early or preventive interventions for OCD may be effective if applied during key vulnerability periods, such as pregnancy or shortly after sexual assault or other severe trauma.
- Comorbidity profiles may impact effectiveness of treatments differently in males and females.

3.8. Animal models of OCD pathophysiology and related processes

Highlights from Section 3.8. Animal models of OCD pathophysiology and related processes

Impact of the Search Strategy: The search terms utilized in this study were chosen to identify studies of sex and gender differences in patients with OCD. While our search did capture a number of animal studies, it was not designed for this purpose, and it likely failed to identify studies examining relevant pathology that is not framed in the context of OCD. Here, for completeness, we describe the studies that did emerge from our search strategy.

Possible mechanisms of sex differences in OCD-related pathological behaviors: Identified studies examined the effects of estrogen and estrous cycle in females on putatively OCD-relevant circuits and behaviors, sex differences in dopamine dynamics; sex differences in the role of glia and some synaptic proteins, including various receptors and scaffolding proteins, and sex differences in the impacts of serotonin and stress manipulations.

Caveats: Animal studies cannot fully replicate complex human psychiatric conditions, nor can an animal report the cognitive symptoms and internal states that are central to our conception and assessment of OCD. It is critical not to fall into the trap of interpreting animal behaviors as equivalent to human OCD symptoms. Nevertheless, conservation of anatomy and genetics over evolution suggests that studies in non-human mammals may yield valuable insights into pathophysiology.

Future studies: In order to more comprehensively understand the literature on sex differences in animal studies of potential relevance to OCD, an additional scoping review should be undertaken with keywords selected for this purpose, to identify studies not captured here and to better identify gaps in recent research.

While our search strategy (see Section 2) was designed to capture articles about sex and gender effects in individuals with OCD, it also retrieved a significant number of studies in animal model systems, mostly in mice. These provide an important counterpoint to human literature and an opportunity to more precisely examine mechanistic questions. Rather than discard these studies, therefore, we summarize them here. We note that the coverage of the relevant literature in this section may be sparser than it would be were a search strategy constructed to focus specifically on studies in animals. For example, studies examining sex[10] effects in potentially relevant processes, such as habit learning (Quinn et al., 2007) or striatal synaptic plasticity (Campanelli et al., 2021), would not be captured by our search if they did not explicitly frame these findings in the context of OCD. We nevertheless believe that this

[10] Studies reviewed in this section access animals' sex; thus, we use the term 'sex' in this section.

summary of the papers in animal model systems retrieved by our search constitutes a useful component of this scoping review.

How best to conceptualize the relevance of studies in animal model systems to a complex human neuropsychiatric condition such as OCD merits comment. It is unlikely that a mouse can recapitulate the full syndrome of human OCD, given the approximately 100 million years of evolution that separate the two species, the relatively rudimentary nature of the mouse's frontal cortex, and the language-dependent nature of many OCD symptoms, or at least of their assessment. Even if a mouse could meaningfully recapitulate OCD, it is not clear how we could evaluate it, given how much of the evaluation depends on linguistic self-report of internal states. Invoking 'a mouse model of OCD' is therefore simplistic at best, and often misleading. On the other hand, much of the genome and many aspects of brain function are conserved among mammals, including between mice and humans, and so it would be astonishing if studies of fundamental processes in mice did not have something to teach us about the human brain in general, and OCD in particular. Conceptually, there are at least three ways that studies of sex effects in mice may provide insight into relevant sex and gender effects in human OCD: they may examine a brain circuit of clear relevance to OCD; they may examine a process of relevance to OCD; or they may characterize a model system that we have independent reason to believe captures aspects of the pathophysiology of OCD. While we will not critically evaluate each model reviewed here in light of these considerations, they provide a useful frame for the discussion that follows.

What counts as OCD-relevant behavior in mice is a vexed question. Certainly not every instance of anxiety-like behavior, repetitive behavior, or increased grooming in a mouse indicates a parallel to human OCD. Here we follow the literature that we are reviewing, in the

spirit of a scoping review: we consider such behaviors to be 'compulsive-like' or potentially relevant to OCD when the underlying literature does so, without either defending or critiquing the interpretation. Ultimately the relevance of any particular model system to human OCD is an empirical question and will be determined by future studies testing mechanisms that have been elucidated in mice in human disease.

A key advantage of an animal model system is the ability to ask causal questions, through direct genetic or other molecular manipulations, circuit disruption or manipulation, or targeted pharmacological interventions. A second advantage is the ability to examine brain function at a much higher resolution than is possible in humans. A third is that experiments can generally be parameterized, controlled, and repeated much more rigorously than in human studies, allowing for more precise conclusions and higher degrees of confidence in findings. Finally, animal models that convincingly capture aspects of OCD pathophysiology could be powerful systems in which to test novel therapeutics.

Many studies have examined animal models that purport to capture OCD pathophysiology or to probe OCD-relevant structures or processes; but only few have looked at sex as a moderator. Most studies have examined a single sex (usually males). This began to change in 2014, when the National Institutes of Health (NIH) instituted a new requirement that all NIH-funded studies address sex as a biological variable (Miller et al., 2016). This has proven to be a critical change and has led to a dramatic increase in relevant publications over the years covered by this review. For instance, one recent study showed that in rats, females develop habitual behavior much earlier during training than males (Schoenberg, 2019). In another study, mapping quantitative trait loci (QTL) in mice that relate to prepulse inhibition (PPI) showed significant QTLs on chromosomes 7 and 17 for the acoustic startle response when sex is used as

a covariate, suggesting that males and females modulate this OCD-related behavior differently (Samocha, 2010).

3.8.1. Estrogen

Estrogen can influence both differences between males and females and fluctuations in females due to the estrous cycle, pregnancy, or other hormonal changes. One study of rabbits has found that pregnancy upregulates brain activity in orbito-frontal, anterior cingulate, and piriform cortices, among other areas, in conjunction with repetitive, compulsive-like straw-carrying activity (Cano-Ramírez, 2017). This activity is also regulated by dopaminergic circuitry, as administration of either D1 or D2 antagonists reduced straw-carrying bout duration (Hoffman, 2012).

Investigations in a line of mice bred for varying levels of spontaneous compulsive nest-building behavior showed that females exhibit higher levels of nest-building behavior and had higher scores in the marble burying test, which has been suggested as a model of spontaneous compulsive behavior (Mitra, 2017a). Lactating females in the compulsive nest-building group had reduced compulsive-like behaviors compared to non-lactating and nulliparous females of the same genotype. Treatment of these non-lactating and nulliparous females with a D2 antagonist reduced their elevated nest building and marble burying behaviors (Mitra, 2017b); ovariectomy (OVX) exacerbated compulsive-like behaviors and anxiety, while treatment of OVX mice with an E2 agonist, βestradiol, reduced them (Mitra, 2016). These findings suggest that, at least in this model, states of increased estrogen mitigate compulsive behaviors, via a dopamine-regulated mechanism.

Another set of experiments found that genetic reduction of A-Kinase anchoring protein 13 (AKAP13), an estrogen receptor enhancer expressed in the hypothalamus and pituitary gland

(Eddington, 2006), induced abnormal marble-burying and grooming behaviors in female, but not male, mice, further suggesting a protective role for elevated estrogen in females (Baig, 2018). Overall, these experiments suggest an important interaction between estrogen and compulsive-like behavior that could explain some of the differences seen between males and females.

3.8.2. Serotonin

Serotonin dysfunction is commonly hypothesized to be an important contributor to OCD (Hesse et al., 2005; Marazziti et al., 1992; Sinopoli et al., 2017; Soomro et al., 2008; Stengler-Wenzke et al., 2004) primarily because of the therapeutic effect of serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SRIs) in the treatment of OCD patients (Pittenger, 2021; Skapinakis et al., 2016). In one set of genetic studies, introduction of a mutation, Thr276Ala, into the gene for the serotonin transporter (SERT), disrupting its conformation and regulation by phosphorylation, reduced dominance behavior in the tube test in male mice, but not females, and reduced marble-burying behavior in females, but not males. This supports the relevance of the serotonin system to these plausibly OCD-relevant behaviors and is an elegant demonstration of differential effects of sex despite an identical genetic alteration (Meinke, 2020).

A number of pharmacological studies have probed the serotonin system's modulation of potentially OCD-relevant behaviors. The 5-HT1A receptor agonist 8-hydroxy-2 (di-*n*-propylamino)-tetralin (8-OH-DPAT) reduces serotonin levels in the frontal cortex (Arora et al., 2013) and has frequently been used to produce repetitive behaviors of potential relevance to OCD. In one study, the 8-OH-DPAT model was examined in older rats that were either experiencing irregular cycles (compared to perimenopause in humans) or persistent diestrus (comparable to menopause) to draw parallels to the effects of menopause on OCD. Here, they found that 8-OH-DPAT enhanced perseverative choices in the T-maze only in rats with

persistent diestrus, and not in females with irregular cycles or males at a comparable age (Olvera-Hernández, 2013).

Metachlorophenylpiperazine (mCPP), a non-selective 5-HT2c agonist, produces abnormal grooming in mice regardless of sex; again, this behavior has been plausibly argued to be of relevance to OCD. Females, but not males, show deficits in extinction retention in a contextual fear paradigm, only in the metestrus/diestrus phases of the estrous cycle (Reimer, 2017). These findings suggest that serotonergic signaling interacts with estrogen in the regulation of plausibly OCD-relevant behaviors, with potential implications both for sexual dimorphism and for hormonal modulation of symptoms in women. Further investigation of these mechanisms is warranted.

3.8.3. Dopamine

Dysregulation of the dopaminergic system has long been associated with OCD (Bais et al., 2014; Denys et al., 2004; Hesse et al., 2005; Millet et al., 2003; Olver et al., 2009; Pittenger, 2021; Van Der Wee et al., 2004). Early imaging work in humans demonstrated that baseline dopaminergic function differs between the male and female brains, with women exhibiting higher dopamine synthesis capacity (Laakso et al., 2002; Pohjalainen et al., 1998) and increased dopamine transporter activity (Hsiao et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2021; Zachry et al., 2021). More recently, measurements of evoked dopamine release in the dorsal striatum of mice showed that females have higher DA release and more rapid recovery compared to males, paralleling the results in humans (Arvidsson, 2014). Further study of the contributions of these sexually dimorphic dopamine dynamics to relevant behaviors is warranted.

3.8.4. Norepinephrine

Knockout (KO) of Dopamine-β-hydroxylase (*Dbh*), which catalyzes the reaction that converts dopamine to norepinephrine, produces abnormal nest building and marble-burying behaviors in both male and female mice (Lustberg, 2020). In contrast to the effects of estrogen, serotine, and dopamine manipulations, no sexual dimorphism has been described in these studies. However, further experiments are needed.

3.8.5. Synaptic dysregulation

Structural components of excitatory synapses are implicated in the pathophysiology of several neuropsychiatric disorders, including OCD. Manipulating some of these components impacted sexes differently.

The Disks Large Associate Protein 1 (Dlgap1, aka GKAP or SAPAP1), a postsynaptic scaffolding protein (Yao and Yang, 2003), is associated with several neuropsychiatric disorders, including OCD (Mattheisen et al., 2015; Pittenger, 2015; Stewart et al., 2013), autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Mosca et al., 2017), and schizophrenia (Kajimoto et al., 2003; Kirov et al., 2012; Rasmussen et al., 2017). While both female and male Dlgap1 KO mice showed shorter grooming latencies, only males showed deficits in dig test and social approach behaviors. Interestingly, heterozygous male mice, but not full KOs, showed significant elevations in grooming bouts and grooming time. This has been interpreted as suggesting the existence of a male-specific compensatory mechanism that develops in the complete absence of Dlgap1 (Coba, 2018). Knockout of related protein SAPAP3, the mouse homolog of DLGAP3, produces compulsive-like behavioral abnormalities and striatal circuit changes (Wan et al., 2014; Wan et al., 2011; Welch et al., 2007), including network dysfunction and decreased power in local field potential oscillations in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex (Lei, 2019), but these effects have not been reported to differ between sexes.

Abnormal function of *metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGlu)* has also been implicated in OCD (Ade et al., 2016; Akkus et al., 2014; Karthik et al., 2020), and administration of ADX88178, a $mGlu_4$ receptor positive allosteric modulator, produced perseverative behavior in both male and female mice, but to a significantly higher degree in females (Kalinichev, 2014).

Kv7.2 channel mutations are associated with early-onset epileptic encephalopathy and autism (Dirkx et al., 2020; Gamal El-Din et al., 2021; Lauritano et al., 2019; Lehman et al., 2017; Weckhuysen et al., 2012) but may also be relevant to OCD, as some manipulations result in compulsive-like grooming behaviors, although this is a weaker association. Knocking down Kv7.2 in mice to imitate the more common loss-of-function mutations seen in patients with Kv7.2 dysregulation produced abnormal hyperactive behavior, increased open arm entries in the elevated plus maze (suggestive of reduced anxiety), and enhanced grooming in both sexes but significantly more so in males. Furthermore, Kv7.2 heterozygous males, but not females, showed elevated marble-burying behavior and heightened social dominance (Kim, 2019). The differences in severity of behavioral outcomes between sexes in OCD-related gene manipulations suggest underlying sex-dependent differences in how these mutations affect synaptic function, or sexually dimorphic compensatory mechanisms.

The CYFIP1 gene, and the protein it encodes, is necessary for the maintenance and stability of neuron dendritic organization and complexity (Pathania et al., 2014); deletion of this gene in humans is seen in Type I Prader-Willi Syndrome (PWS) (Angulo et al., 2015), which is characterized by food-related and other compulsive behaviors (Dykens, 1999). In mice, *Cyfip1* haploinsufficiency produces significant increases in compulsive behavior in the marble-burying test and trends towards increased head dips in the hole board test. These phenotypes are

modulated by genotype of a related gene, *Cyfip2*, which is implicated in binge-eating disorder, in a manner dependent on the sex of both the tested animal and the parent (Kirkpatrick et al., 2017).

3.8.6. Glia

Glial abnormalities and inflammation are associated with numerous disorders; their contribution to the pathology of OCD is unclear, although studies in *HoxB8* knockout mice, which exhibit elevated grooming, have suggested a link (Chen et al., 2010; Nagarajan et al., 2018). Depletion of Hoxb8-expressing microglia leads to compulsive grooming phenotypes in both sexes; Tränkner et al. (2019) found this grooming to be significantly more severe in females. Furthermore, OVX in female Hoxb8 KO mice reduced pathological grooming, while administration of estrogen and progesterone in male Hoxb8 KO mice enhanced it. The fact that manipulation of microglia leads to sex differences in pathological behaviors of potential relevance to OCD highlights this as a new area of interest.

3.8.7. Environmental stress

OCD is associated with elevated levels of stress and of cortisol (Adams et al., 2018; Faravelli et al., 2012; Gustafsson et al., 2008a; Labad et al., 2018; Sousa-Lima et al., 2019). In one study, early-life stress produced compulsive-like behaviors – perseverative nose poking in a lever pressing task – in female but not male rats (Brydges, 2015). Experimental preeclampsia in rats, a form of neonatal stress, induced elevated marble-burying behavior in offspring; this behavior was significantly greater in female rats than in males (Muzyko, 2020). These studies suggest that early stress may have a more significant impact on OCD-related behaviors in females than in males.

Stress is associated with activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and elevated levels of cortisol (or corticosterone in mice), which acts primarily by binding the

glucocorticoid receptor (GR/NR3C1). Knockout of GR/NR3C1 in the hindbrain produces potentially OCD-relevant behaviors: excessive barbering of the fur on the face, back, and stomach and repetitive digging behavior. Both males and females show this behavior, but they show different alterations of the HPA system: females showed elevated apoptosis in the adrenal cortex, while males showed elevated adrenal weight and blood corticosterone (Gannon, 2019). As a group, these studies suggest that stress may have greater impact on OCD-related outcomes in females. Further investigation of the interaction of stress and behavioral pathology relevant to OCD is warranted.

3.8.8. Summary of Key Considerations

The search strategy of this scoping review was not specifically designed to identify animal model studies that may inform on OCD-like behavioral pathophysiology. Thus, coverage of the relevant literature is likely incomplete. As noted above, work in animal models provides enormous advantages in terms of the fine-grained mechanistic analysis, causal manipulations, and rigor. However, seeking to establish with confidence a relationship between a study in animals and the pathophysiology of a complex human psychiatric disorder like OCD raises subtle and vexing challenges. We have not attempted to critically examine this relationship in the various models reviewed above; rather, we see them as framing a series of domains in which further work is needed. While interpretive humility is essential, the delineation of sex effects in animal model systems has the potential to powerfully refine our understanding of the underlying systems and to frame hypotheses for testing in humans in future work.

4. Overall Discussion

The study of sex and gender differences has a complex and often controversial history.

Some have argued that these differences form a mosaic of brain and behavior variations (Joel et al., 2015), possibly existing on a continuum (Zhang et al., 2021), while others dismiss the concept of a "gendered brain" all together (Eliot, 2019). A middle-ground perspective suggests that sex and gender differences exist but that their effect sizes are typically small in adults; they may be more pronounced during development (Grissom and Reyes, 2019). In the study of psychopathology, research on sex and gender has largely focused on developmental (e.g., autism, childhood schizophrenia), externalizing (e.g., ADHD, conduct disorder, substance use), internalizing (e.g., depression, anxiety, eating disorders), and personality disorders (Zahn-Waxler et al., 2006). Studies that systematically examine sex and gender effects in OCD remain limited.

Reviews of sex and gender effects in OCD have been published approximately every 10 years. They have evolved over the decades, from initially dismissing the significance of sex effects (Castle et al., 1995) to recognizing evidence of differences in epidemiological, phenomenological, and genetic studies (Lochner et al., 2004; Lochner and Stein, 2001; Mathis et al., 2011). Our scoping review aims to build on this work by systematically analyzing research published from July 2009 to March 2021, starting where Mathis et al. (2011) left off. We have reviewed original studies on sex and gender influences across clinical, epidemiological, neurobiological, genetic, and treatment-related aspects of OCD. We have also summarized meta-analyses and systematic and narrative reviews that were published during the same period (see Appendix 1).

We find a wealth of research but a lack of synthesis. Between July 2009 and March 2021, 471 original studies investigated sex and gender effects in various aspects of OCD, including clinical presentation, neurobiology, genetics, and treatment outcomes. While many studies

reported negative or contradictory findings, some clear patterns emerged. Despite 37 meta-analyses, 8 systematic reviews, and 25 narrative reviews that addressed sex and gender differences during this period, many of these patterns remain underexplored. We have outlined these patterns and attempted to synthesize evidence to develop some hypotheses for future research.

There has been profound neglect of distinctions between sex and gender in this research literature. Among 442 human studies reviewed, only one (Raution, 2020) assessed both sex and gender, identifying four transgender individuals within a cohort of 172 participants with body dysmorphic disorder. Most studies appear to conflate sex and gender, making no effort to account for participants whose sex and gender may not align. Emerging research suggests that misalignment between sex as assigned at birth and experienced gender in gender minorities is associated with chronic stress and ongoing trauma and may uniquely affect the interplay between biological and social factors (Cardona et al., 2022; Pantalone et al., 2020). Ignoring these complexities may limit the interpretability of findings and contribute to inconsistent results.

This scoping review had four key goals: (1) to provide a comprehensive and systematic survey of evidence concerning sex and gender effects in OCD; (2) to assess methodological considerations in this body of research; (3) to identify knowledge gaps; and (4) to propose a roadmap for future hypothesis-driven investigations into sex and gender effects in OCD, incorporating recommendations for precision medicine approaches. In addition to addressing these points in each section separately, we offer an overall summary of the key considerations here.

4.1. Summary of Key Considerations

4.1.1. Methodological concerns

- The lack of systematic assessment of biological sex and gender: Most of the reviewed research did not ask the participants to report both sex, as assigned at birth, and gender identity. Individuals were simply asked to report whether they are men or women (or male or female); in many studies the details of how this question was posed or a determination was made are not available. Research on OCD presentation in nonbinary and transgender individuals is largely nonexistent. This is particularly notable in large epidemiological and genetic studies.
- Variability in Assessment Methods: There are significant differences between studies using self-report measures and those employing clinician-administered assessments. These discrepancies, particularly pronounced in pediatric populations relying on parental and child reports, may introduce biases, such as gender differences in insight, perceived social desirability, and social norms. These discrepancies also complicate analysis of the role of comorbid conditions and symptoms, including across development. Self-report methods often fail to capture nuances that clinician-administered assessments can address, underscoring the need for standardized, clinician-led evaluations, especially for younger individuals.
- Diagnostic Cutoffs: The DSM criteria for distinguishing between subthreshold and clinically significant symptoms are relatively arbitrary. A focus on individuals with an OCD diagnosis can exclude individuals with near-threshold symptoms, potentially skewing observed gendered symptom profiles. Including data on subclinical symptoms may provide a more complete understanding of sex and gender differences and enhance the accuracy of symptom characterization.

- Subtyping into more homogeneous subgroups: Much research has focused on clinically identified domains of OCD symptomatology, such as checking and contamination. Recent studies suggest value in examining symptom co-occurrence using data-driven clustering. Evidence reviewed above suggests that OCD subtypes may be more heterogeneous in adult women than in men. Data-driven subtyping can complement analyses based on traditional clinical categories and dimensions and may provide insights into the underlying mechanisms of symptoms across genders and sexes. This is particularly relevant for examining comorbidities and co-occurring physical symptoms, which may contribute importantly to the experience of individuals with OCD, their distress and disability, and the mechanistic complexities that underlie their unique presentations.
- Accounting for menstrual phase in cycling women: Approximately half of women with OCD report that their symptoms fluctuate across the phases of the menstrual cycle. Despite this, most studies assess symptoms at a single time point. For instance, in treatment studies, symptoms are often measured only once before and once after treatment. This assumes the short-term temporal stability of OCD symptoms and related measures across phases of the menstrual cycle – an assumption that is often demonstrably incorrect. This may introduce significant variability, obscuring meaningful effects of the diagnosis itself and of its interactions with sex and gender factors.

4.1.2. Unanswered Questions

- How do biological sex and gender identity interact in contributing to OCD symptomology and treatment response? How do these interactions evolve across development?
- What can genome-wide studies and whole-brain functional imaging studies reveal about sex and gender effects in OCD?

- Could sex- and gender–mindful approaches to assessment of OCD symptoms improve diagnostics (e.g., different cutoff levels in males and females, different instruments for assessment, accounting for phases of menstrual cycle in cycling females)? Should such approaches also be tailored to different life stages, such as childhood, puberty, and geriatric populations?
- Do comorbid conditions impact the presentation of obsessions and compulsions differently in sexes and genders and across age groups (especially in pediatric samples where diagnosis can be more complicated)?
- Do gender differences in help-seeking across age groups bias our understanding of the phenomenology of OCD?
- Can we develop effective preventive or early interventions for OCD by targeting known vulnerability periods, such as earlier childhood for boys with high familial risk, reproductive events for adolescent girls and women, or in the aftermath of trauma?

4.1.3. Hypotheses for future studies

Research on sex and gender differences in OCD can provide valuable insights into the specific needs and challenges faced by men and women, as well as inform approaches for personalized treatment. Additionally, it offers a perspective on the heterogeneity of OCD.

Previous studies have proposed distinct OCD subtypes, including based on the age of onset (Poletti et al., 2023; Rosenberg and Keshavan, 1998; Taylor, 2011) or a history of trauma or precipitating stressors (Hühne et al., 2024; Rowsell and Francis, 2015). Early-onset OCD (before puberty) has been consistently reported to be more common in boys (Nakatani, 2011; Wang, 2011; Albert, 2015; Grover, 2018). Later-onset OCD (after age 40) has been reported as more frequent in women (Frydman, 2014; Albert, 2015; Sharma, 2015); however, this difference

disappears when controlling for PTSD diagnosis and a history of pregnancy (Frydman, 2014). Results on gender differences in stress-precipitated OCD are less consistent, with some studies reporting female bias (Real, 2011; Rosso, 2012), and others finding no difference (Fontenelle et al., 2012). The symptom presentation, course, and the structural organization of the brain may differ in stress-related OCD compared to non-stress-related OCD (Goldberg, 2015; Real, 2016).

Synthesizing literature on sex and gender differences allows us to enrich and refine these models. We propose two OCD subtypes (OCD-I and OCD-II), which we detail below focusing on two questions: "*Are males or females more susceptible to this OCD subtype?*" and "*Are risk factors for this OCD subtype more common in males or in females?*" OCD-I and OCD-II may differ in symptom presentation and progression, comorbidity profiles, stress responses, genetic markers, neurocognitive functioning, cortisol regulation, and treatment responses.

Early-onset OCD has a male preponderance and a higher familial risk (Eichstedt and Arnold, 2001; Geller et al., 1998; Geller et al., 2003). We term this form of the condition *OCD-I*. In addition to earlier onset in boys and higher familial or genetic risk, it is characterized by a less robust cortisol regulation system (Gustafsson et al., 2008b) and by frequent comorbidity with neurodevelopmental disorders such as TD, ASD, ADHD, and schizophrenia (Grassi et al., 2022). A male bias in earlier onset is frequently seen in these neurodevelopmental disorders and has been attributed to a female protective effect (or, equivalently, to differential vulnerability in males, who may be more susceptible to prenatal and early-life stressors) (Breach and Lenz, 2022; Jacquemont et al., 2014; Pinares-Garcia et al., 2018). The mechanisms underlying this female protective effect are unclear; but its evolutionary role may be to anticipate and to offset the higher reproductive stress load that females typically experience (Singh et al., 2021).

We suggest that OCD-I may occur more frequently in females than is generally recognized and may even occur at similar rates across men and women, but that its onset is later in girls and women, due to this female protective effect. This would be analogous to the later age of onset of major symptoms in girls and women that is seen in autism and schizophrenia (Bargiela et al., 2016; Selvendra et al., 2022). Later onset in females may occur if and when life stresses – particularly reproductive cycle events – overwhelm the female protective effect (Real, 2011; Rosso, 2012). To experience early onset of OCD-I, girls may need to have higher familial risk for neurodevelopmental difficulties and may therefore have elevated rates of neurodevelopmental comorbidities. Consistent with this idea, our review revealed that in children with neurodevelopmental diagnoses, the male bias in early-onset OCD seen in the general population is reduced, or even reversed to a female bias (see Table 3 and Section 3.3). Similarly, the male bias in the prevalence of ADHD and impulse control disorders seen in the general population is reduced in children and adults with OCD (see Table 2 and Section 3.3).

We propose that a second subtype of OCD, which we term *OCD-II*, is less strongly genetic/familial and tends to onset following stress, including traumatic stress. Because the likelihood of experiencing a significant stressful event increases with age (Benjet et al., 2016; Cohen et al., 2019), OCD-II tends to have a later onset than OCD-I (especially in males, in whom OCD-I onset is particularly early) and is more common in adults. OCD-II is likely to be more common in women, simply because women are more frequently exposed to reproductive and interpersonal stresses and to trauma (especially, intimate partner and sexual trauma) in our society (Benjet et al., 2016; Valentine et al., 2019). If this is so, OCD-II would be predicted to show no female bias in highly stressed or traumatized populations. Consistent with this, OCD comorbid with PTSD shows no gender differences (Nacasch, 2011). In highly traumatized

populations, such as hospitalized veterans, OCD prevalence is similarly high in men and women (Nacasch, 2011; Taghva, 2017). Sexual trauma and unwanted sexual experiences are far more common in adolescent girls and women (Office of Justice Programs, 1997), but the likelihood of experiencing OCS following these experiences, when they occur, appears to be similar across genders (Barzilay, 2018).

Strong evidence links unwanted sexual experiences to contamination-related OCD symptoms (Peles, 2014; Waller, 2014; Ishikawa, 2015; Kennedy, 2017). Contamination symptoms occur at similar rates in boys and girls (Cervin, 2020; Schultz, 2018), but their onset after puberty and before age 18 is significantly more likely in girls (F:M OR = 16.7; Grover, 2018). This female bias continues into adulthood, though it becomes less pronounced (F:M OR = 4.2; Grover, 2018), mirroring gender differences in the prevalence of unwanted sexual experiences across age groups (Benjet et al., 2016; Office of Justice Programs, 1997).

Further systematic investigations are needed to examine how sexual and other types of trauma impact symptoms presentation in males and females and what factors lead to the development of OCD-II —rather than, for example, PTSD or anxiety disorders—following significant stressors. While differential genetic predispositions may play a role, environmental factors and variable use of coping strategies could also contribute. For example, Peles et al. (2014) found that sexually abused women with a history of opioid addiction were more likely to develop OCD, whereas those without opioid addiction exhibited higher rates of PTSD and dissociation. They speculated that opioid use might help mitigate PTSD and dissociative symptoms but increase risk for OCS. Future studies should explore this hypothesis across diverse traumatized populations. Overall, while the course and presentation of OCD-II is likely to be similar in males and females, this subtype may be more common in adolescent girls and adult

women—not due to greater susceptibility but because they are more likely to experience the stressors that trigger OCD-II.

Differentiating OCD-I and OCD-II based on the age of onset and history of precipitating stressors may work well in males. However, in females, both OCD-I and OCD-II are more likely to present later in life (during or after puberty) and be linked to stressful (e.g., reproductive) events, making differentiation more challenging. The co-occurrence of OCD-I and OCD-II in adult women could help to explain the higher heterogeneity in cognitive and symptom profiles seen in women with OCD, compared to men (Raines, 2014; Shkalim, 2017; Sections 3.2.1 and 3.6.1). A subset of women experience OCD onset during reproductive events, and one-third to one-half of women with OCD report symptom fluctuations across the phases of the menstrual cycle (Guglielmi et al., 2014; Vulink et al., 2006); these differences might serve as potential biomarkers for distinguishing OCD-I and OCD-II in women. Detailed examination of natural history, genetic predispositions, and comorbidity profiles in women whose symptoms are linked to reproductive stresses, compared to those without such links, could help address this question. If the two proposed subtypes are validated, differentiating between them will improve diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment selection for males and females with OCD.

4.2. Conclusion

Researching sex and gender differences in OCD is not just about uncovering variations in clinical presentation and underlying mechanisms—it is also about advancing a more inclusive and precise understanding of a complex disorder that affects millions of lives. Recognizing how biological and social factors impact individuals with OCD will help us develop targeted treatments, identify underexplored risk factors, and ultimately improve outcomes for diverse populations. Ignoring these differences risks perpetuating gaps in care, particularly for groups

like women, gender minorities, and trauma-affected individuals whose unique needs have historically received less attention. Understanding sex and gender effects in OCD is thus a step toward equity in mental health research and care, and a critical piece of the puzzle in understanding and addressing the full complexity of OCD.

Funding: This work was funded in part by the State of Connecticut through its support of the Ribicoff Research Facilities at the Connecticut Mental Health Center. The views described are those of the authors and not of the State of Connecticut or of the CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services.

Declaration of Interests:

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. In the past three years, C.P. has consulted for Biohaven Pharmaceuticals, Ceruvia Neurosciences, UCB BioPharma, Freedom Biosciences, Transcend Therapeutics, Alco Therapeutics, Lucid/Care, Nobilis Therapeutics, Mind Therapeutics, F-Prime Capital, and Madison Avenue Partners. He holds equity in Alco Therapeutics, Mind Therapeutics, and Lucid/Care. He receives or has received research support from Biohaven Pharmaceuticals, Freedom Biosciences, and Transcend Therapeutics. He receives royalties from Oxford University Press and UpToDate. He has filed patents on pharmacological treatments for OCD and related disorders, psychedelic therapeutics, and autoantibodies in OCD. M.H.B. reports grant or research support from SciSparc, Emalex Biosciences, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Neurocrine Biosciences, and the National Institute of Health. He has also received royalties from Wolters Kluwer for Lewis ′s Child and Adolescent Psychiatry: A Comprehensive Textbook, Fifth Edition and moonlighting pay from the Veteran ′s Administration. In the past three years, E.O. has received research support or grants from the National Institutes of Health, Hartwell Foundation, Tourette Association of America, International OCD Foundation, Misophonia Research Fund, the Klingenstein Third Generation Foundation, Yale Center for Clinical Investigation, and Yale Child Study Center. She serves as chair of the Early Career Investigator Program Committee and on the Board of Directors for the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics (unpaid). She is also a member of

the Research Committee for American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry (unpaid). None of these relationships are of direct relevance to the work described here. The other authors declare no competing interests.

Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing:

During the preparation of this work the authors used ChatGPT in order to improve the readability and language of the manuscript. After using this tool/service, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the published article.

Tables:

Table 1. Gender differences in symptoms and other burden in individuals with Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder.

Age	M < F	M ~ F	M > F
Presence of Symptoms			
< 18	Symmetry/hoarding (Højgaard 2017) 'Not-just-right' experiences in younger kids (Ravid 2013)	Sexual obsessions (Fernández 2013) Harm Avoidance, Sexual, Contamination (Højgaard 2017) Religious (Wu 2018) Incompleteness (Sibrava 2016)	'Not-just-right' experiences in older kids (Ravid 2013)
18+	Contamination (Matsunaga 2010) Overall OCs, Aggressive, Contamination, Hoarding (Torresan 2013) Reactive OCs (Atli 2014)	Scrupulosity (Siev 2011) Avoidance (Starcevik 2011) Checking (Citkowska-Kisielewska 2020)	Sexual orientation (Williams 2011) Sexual, Religious, Checking, Counting, Repeating (2014) Sexual orientation (Williams 2015) Autogenous Obsessions (Atli 2014) Autogenous Obsessions (Batmaz 2016)
All Ages	Contamination (Zhang, 2013)		
Severity of Symptoms			
< 18	Overall OCs (Alvarenga 2015) Overall OCs (Pozza 2017) Overall OCs, Symmetry (Cervin 2020) Ordering (Rough 2020) Overall OCs (Piqueras 2021)	Aggressive, Contamination (Cervin 2020) Overall OCs (Orgilés, 2012) Overall OCs (Schultz 2018)	Sexual, Religious (Vivan 2013)
18+	Contamination (Jang 2010) Overall OCs, Contamination, Harm Avoidance, Symmetry (López-Solà 2014) Overall OCs (Storch 2015) Overall OCs (Chamberlain 2016)	Aggressive (Cherian 2014) Symmetry, Ordering (Torresan 2013)	Unacceptable Thoughts (Yap 2018) Sexual, Religious (Destrée 2020) Contamination + Unacceptable Thoughts, Harm Avoidance + Symmetry, Unacceptable Thoughts + Symmetry (Raines 2014) Sexual, Religious (Torresan 2013)
Other burden			
18+	Marital Maladjustment (Rosa 2012) Reduced QOL (Jahangard 2018) Sexual Inhibition (Pozza 2019) Reduced emotional QOL (Kugler 2013)	Reduced social/general/physical QOL (Kugler 2013)	Never married (Muhlbauer 2021) Labor market marginalization (Pérez-Vigil 2018)

Note: OCs **–** obsessive-compulsive symptoms; QOL – quality of life.

Table 2. Gender differences in prevalence of comorbid and cooccurring conditions in OCD as compared to that in the general population.

Age	M < F	M ~ F	M > F
Any or multiple comorbid conditions			
< 18	Any CC (Rintala 2017) Internalizing (Ortiz 2016)	Any CC (Huang 2014, Vivan 2014, Arildskov 2016) 1 CC (Arildskov 2016)	Any CC (Farrell 2012) 2+ CC (Arildskov 2016) Developmental (Rintala 2017) OC-related (Öcal 2019)
18+	Any CC (Huang 2014, Benatti 2020)		Any (Torres 2013; Torresan 2013)
Conditions more prevalent in women than in men in the general population (M < F)			
Anxiety spectrum			
< 18	Any anxiety (Rintala 2017) GAD (Tanidir 2015) Specific phobia (Tanidir 2015)	Any anxiety (Tanidir 2015; Peris 2017; Vivan 2014; Arildskov 2016)	Any anxiety (Huang 2014)
18+	GAD (Al-Asadi 2015) SAD (Al-Asadi 2015) Panic /Panic with Agoraphobia (Torres 2014; Al-Asadi 2015) Specific phobia (Al-Asadi 2015; Torresan 2013) Separation anxiety (Torresan 2013)	Any anxiety (Benatti 2020; Huang 2014; Torresan 2013) GAD (Sharma 2021; Huang 2014; Torresan 2013) Agoraphobia (Torres 2014) Specific phobia (Rudy 2014) Panic (Huang 2014; Torresan 2013)	Social phobia (Assunção 2012; Huang 2014; Cherian 2013; Torresan 2013)
Depression			
< 18	DD (Storch 2012; Brown 2015; Rintala 2017) Severity of Depressive Sx (Vivan 2014) DD (Viswanath 2012)	DD (Tanidir 2015; Peris 2017; Huang 2014; Arildskov 2016) MDD (Vivan 2014; Huang 2014)	
18+	MDD (Cherian 2013)	DD (Timpano 2012; Huang 2014) MDD (Quarantini 2011; Altıntaş 2015; Al-Asadi 2015; Huang 2014; Torresan 2013)	
Suicidality			
18+	SI/SA (Chaudhary 2016, Velloso 2016, De la Cruz 2017, Breet, 2019, Chaudhary 2016;	SI ↑↑ Compulsive D/O (Veisani 2017) SA (Demirkol 2019)	

	Cherian 2013)		
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)			
< 18		PTSD (Vivan 2014)	
18+	PTSD (Al-Asadi 2015)	PTSD (Huang 2014; Torresan 2013)	
Eating Disorders			
< 18	ED (Rintala 2017)		
18+	ED (Torresan 2013) SSD, AN, BN (Torresan 2013)	ED (Al-Asadi 2015; Benatti 2020) AN/ BN (Al-Asadi 2015)	Binge* (Torresan 2013)
Compulsive buying disorder (CBD)			
18+	CBD (Kim 2018; Torresan 2013)		
Trichotillomania (TTM), Skin Picking Disorder (SPD), Hair Pooling Disorder (HPD), and Grooming disorders (GD)			
18+	GD (Lovato 2012) TTM (Gerstenblith 2019) TTM (Torresan 2013) SPD (Torresan 2013)		
Conditions similarly prevalent in women and in men in the general population (M ~ F)			
Bipolar Disorder (BD)			
< 18	BD (Rintala 2017)	BD (Huang 2014; Vivan 2014)	
18+		BD (Timpano 2012; Huang 2014; Torresan 2013)	
Body Dysmorphic Disorders (BDD)			
18+	BDD (Al-Asadi 2015)	BDD (Costa 2012; Torresan 2013)	
Hoarding Disorder (HD)			
18+	HD Sx (Torres 2012; Catb 2017)	HD (Chen 2017; Samuels 2018; Boerema 2019)	HD (Mathews 2014)
Personality Disorders			
18+		Any (Benatti 2020) OCPD (Lochner 2011)	
Conditions more prevalent in men than in women in the general population (M > F)			
Schizophrenia (SZ)			
< 18		SZ (Huang 2014)	
18+			SZ (Huang 2011; Huang 2014)
Psychotic disorders			
< 18			Psychosis in OCD (Rintala 2017)

18+		Psychosis (Benatti 2020)	
Autism spectrum disorder (ASD)			
< 18		ASD (Peris 2017; Huang 2014)	ASD (Arildskov 2016; Martin 2020; Rintala 2017; Zijlmans 2017) ASD Sx (Arildskov 2016) ASD Sx severity (Arildskov 2016)
18+		ASD (Mito 2014)	
Tic Disorders (TD) and Tourette's Syndrome (TS)			
< 18		TD (Tanidir 2015; Peris et al., 2017)	TD (Vivan 2014; Højgaard 2016; Rintala 2017; Arildskov 2016; Nikolajsen 2011)
18+		TD (Pinto 2016; Benatti 2020) TD + ADHD (Pinto 2016) TS (Torresan 2013)	TD (Vries 2016; Ekinci 2020; Rintala 2017; Torresan 2013)
Externalizing			
< 18		Any externalizing (Arildskov 2016) ADHD (Tanidir 2015; Vivan 2014)	Any Externalizing (Peris 2017; Zijlmans 2017; Ortiz 2016) ADHD (Rintala et al., 2017; Arildskov 2016; Pinto 2016) CD (Rintala 2017) ODD (Tanidir 2015)
18+		ADHD (Blanco-Viera 2018) ADHD + TD (Pinto 2016)	
Substance Use			
18+	Smoking (Dell'Osso 2015)	SUD (Benatti 2020; Al-Asadi 2015)	AUD (Torresan 2013; Al-Asadi 2015)
Impulse control			
< 18		Any impulse control (Vivan 2014)	
18+	Impulse control (Torresan 2013) Kleptomania (Fuss 2019)	Explosive Disorder (Torresan 2013) Internet addict (Torresan 2013) Kleptomania (Torresan 2013) Path. gambling (Torresan 2013) Pyromania /IED (Fuss 2019; Torresan 2013)	Any impulse control (Fuss 2019) CSBD (Fuss 2019) NPSD (Torresan 2013), Pathological gambling (Al-Asadi 2015) Videogame addict (Torresan 2013)

Note: Given the variety of methods used to assess presence and severity of psychiatric conditions, we expect to see some heterogeneity in estimates of F:M odds ratios in prevalence of co-occurring conditions. Shaded in grey cells represent the relative prevalence across genders of these conditions in the general population, to facilitate the comparison.

Table 3. Gender differences in prevalence of OCD in other Psychiatric and general medical conditions as compared to that in the general population.

OCD prevalence M < F	OCD prevalence M ~ F	OCD prevalence M > F
Children: prevalence in the general population tends to be greater in boys than in girls (M > F)		
OCD in ASD (Martin 2020) OCD in ADHD (Inci 2019) OCD in CUD (Fernández- Artamendi 2021) OCD in AUD (Harford 2015)	OCD in BDD (Schneider 2019; Rautio 2020) OCD in ASD (Margari 2019) OCD in TD (Groth 2017; Greenberg 2018) OCD in AUD (Fernández- Artamendi 2021) OCD in cancer pts (Zahed 2020)	
Adults: prevalence in the general population tends to be higher in women than in men (M < F)		
OCD in BD-I (Saunders 2012) OCD in TD (Pinto 2016) OCs in TD (Rodgers 2013) OCD in TD+ ADHD (Pinto 2016) OCD in TD+ ADHD (Pinto 2016) OCD ↑↑ risk SUD (trend, Blom 2011) OCD in systemic autoimmune diseases (Wang 2019) FMR1 ↑↑ OCs severity (Schneider 2016)	OCD in PTSD (Nacasch 2011) OCD in CBD (De Mattos 2016) OCD in TTM (Grant 2016) OCD in BD-I (Kim 2014; Kazhungil 2017) OCD in BPD (Silberschmidt 2015) OCD in SZ (Faragian 2012; Bener 2018; Kokurcan 2020) OCD in Psychosis (Hagen 2013; Sullivan 2018) OCD in SUD (Blom 2011; Al-Asadi 2015) OCD/OCs in epilepsy (Hamed 2013; Kilicaslan 2020) OCD in migraine (Goulart 2014) OCD in restless leg syndrome (Ghorayeb 2019) OCs in Parkinson's (Saadat 2020)	OCs in DD (Hofmeijer-Sevink 2018) OCD in BD-I, II, NOS (Di Salvo 2020) OCD in HD (Mathews 2014) OCD in SZ (Bosanac 2013; Devi 2015; Baek 2020) OCD ↑↑ risk for SZ (Cheng 2019) OCD in ADHD (Pinto 2016) OCD in daily migraine (Goulart 2014) OCD in stress (Ashraf 2017)

Note: Shaded in grey cells represent the relative prevalence across genders of these conditions in the general population, to facilitate the comparison.

Table 4. Gender differences in treatment response.

Treatment	Age	M<F	M~F	M>F
SRIs	< 18	FLX, norfluoxetine, and FLX+NORFLX concentrations (Blazquez, 2014)		
	18 +	Fluvoxamine*(Hasanpour, 2019)	Folic acid+fluoxetine ineffective (Tural, 2019) Obsessive thought decline with SSRI (Selvi, 2011) Caffeine (Shams, 2019)	Compulsions in Fluvoxamine tx (Marazziti, 2012)
CBT, ERP	< 18		CBT booster sessions (Negreiros, 2019) Group-based ERP (Olino, 2011) Family CBT (Peris, 2020) CBT (Torp 2015)	Family-based CBT (Rudy, 2014)
	18 +	CBT (Højgaard, 2018)	Group CBT (Safak, 2014) Group ERP (Sunde, 2019) GCBT or fluoxetine (Jakubowski, 2013)	ERP > SMT (Maher, 2010)
Combined	< 18		Family ERP+CBT (Storch 2018)	
	18 +	GCBT+fluoxetine (Belotto-Silva 2012)	Intensive CBT + SSRIs / clomipramine (Nanjundaswamy 2020) CBT+ERP+individualized pharmacology (Højgaard 2020) CBT or SSRI (Samuels 2019)	
Other	18 +		tDCS (Bation 2019) TMS (Storch 2021)	Capsulotomy (Ruck 2012)

Figures:



Figure 1. Flowchart of the process of identification of studies for the review.



Figure 2. The number of papers on each reviewed topic is presented by year. Publications from 2010 and 2021 are excluded as the review period did not cover all months of these years. In 2015, the NIH mandated the use of both male and female animals in funded research. A two-year lag was included to account for the time required to publish results. Data from years before the policy's impact are color-coded in grey, while data following the policy's impact are shown in blue.

A. Incidence.



B. Prevalence



Figure 3. Female-to-male odds ratios in incidence and prevalence of OCD in pediatric and adult samples. F – female, M – male.

References:

Abramovitch, A., Pizzagalli, D.A., Geller, D.A., Reuman, L., Wilhelm, S., 2015. Cigarette smoking in obsessive-compulsive disorder and unaffected parents of OCD patients. European psychiatry 30 (1), 137-144.

Abramovitch, A., Pizzagalli, D.A., Reuman, L., Wilhelm, S., 2014. Anhedonia in obsessive-compulsive disorder: beyond comorbid depression. Psychiatry research 216 (2), 223-229.

Adams, T.G., Kelmendi, B., Brake, C.A., Gruner, P., Badour, C.L., Pittenger, C., 2018. The role of stress in the pathogenesis and maintenance of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Chronic Stress 2, 2470547018758043.

Ade, K.K., Wan, Y., Hamann, H.C., O'Hare, J.K., Guo, W., Quian, A., Kumar, S., Bhagat, S., Rodriguiz, R.M., Wetsel, W.C., 2016. Increased metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 signaling underlies obsessive-compulsive disorder-like behavioral and striatal circuit abnormalities in mice. Biological Psychiatry 80 (7), 522-533.

Akkus, F., Terbeck, S., Ametamey, S.M., Rufer, M., Treyer, V., Burger, C., Johayem, A., Mancilla, B.G., Sovago, J., Buck, A., 2014. Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 binding in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. International journal of neuropsychopharmacology 17 (12), 1915-1922.

Altemus, M., Sarvaiya, N., Epperson, C.N., 2014. Sex differences in anxiety and depression clinical perspectives. Frontiers in neuroendocrinology 35 (3), 320-330.

Angulo, M., Butler, M., Cataletto, M., 2015. Prader-Willi syndrome: a review of clinical, genetic, and endocrine findings. Journal of endocrinological investigation 38, 1249-1263.

Arksey, H., O'Malley, L., 2005. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. International journal of social research methodology 8 (1), 19-32.

Arora, T., Bhowmik, M., Khanam, R., Vohora, D., 2013. Oxcarbazepine and fluoxetine protect against mouse models of obsessive compulsive disorder through modulation of cortical serotonin and CREB pathway. Behavioural Brain Research 247, 146-152.

Bais, M., Figee, M., Denys, D., 2014. Neuromodulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatric Clinics 37 (3), 393-413.

Bandelow, B., Baldwin, D., Abelli, M., Bolea-Alamanac, B., Bourin, M., Chamberlain, S.R., Cinosi, E., Davies, S., Domschke, K., Fineberg, N., 2017. Biological markers for anxiety disorders, OCD and PTSD: A consensus statement. Part II: Neurochemistry, neurophysiology and neurocognition. The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry 18 (3), 162-214.

Bargiela, S., Steward, R., Mandy, W., 2016. The experiences of late-diagnosed women with autism spectrum conditions: An investigation of the female autism phenotype. Journal of autism and developmental disorders 46, 3281-3294.

Bartz, J.A., Hollander, E., 2006. Is obsessive–compulsive disorder an anxiety disorder? Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry 30 (3), 338-352.

Bauermeister, J.J., Shrout, P.E., Chávez, L., Rubio-Stipec, M., Ramírez, R., Padilla, L., Anderson, A., García, P., Canino, G., 2007. ADHD and gender: are risks and sequela of ADHD the same for boys and girls? Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 48 (8), 831-839.

Beijers, L., van Loo, H.M., Romeijn, J.-W., Lamers, F., Schoevers, R.A., Wardenaar, K.J., 2022. Investigating data-driven biological subtypes of psychiatric disorders using specification-curve analysis. Psychological Medicine 52 (6), 1089-1100.

Bekker, M.H., van Mens-Verhulst, J., 2007. Anxiety disorders: sex differences in prevalence, degree, and background, but gender-neutral treatment. Gender medicine 4, S178-S193.

Benjet, C., Bromet, E., Karam, E.G., Kessler, R.C., McLaughlin, K.A., Ruscio, A.M., Shahly, V., Stein, D.J., Petukhova, M., Hill, E., 2016. The epidemiology of traumatic event exposure worldwide: results from the World Mental Health Survey Consortium. Psychological Medicine 46 (2), 327-343.

Benzina, N., Mallet, L., Burguière, E., N'diaye, K., Pelissolo, A., 2016. Cognitive dysfunction in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Current psychiatry reports 18, 1-11.

Besiroglu, L., Agargun, M.Y., Ozbebit, O., Aydin, A., 2006. A discrimination based on autogenous versus reactive obsessions in obsessive-compulsive disorder and related clinical manifestations. CNS spectrums 11 (3), 179-186.

Bloch, M.H., Landeros-Weisenberger, A., Rosario, M.C., Pittenger, C., Leckman, J.F., 2008. Meta-analysis of the symptom structure of obsessive-compulsive disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 165 (12), 1532-1542.

Border, R., Johnson, E.C., Evans, L.M., Smolen, A., Berley, N., Sullivan, P.F., Keller, M.C., 2019. No support for historical candidate gene or candidate gene-by-interaction hypotheses for major depression across multiple large samples. American Journal of Psychiatry 176 (5), 376-387.

Breach, M.R., Lenz, K.M., 2022. Sex differences in neurodevelopmental disorders: a key role for the immune system, Sex Differences in Brain Function and Dysfunction. Springer, pp. 165-206.

Brugha, T.S., Spiers, N., Bankart, J., Cooper, S.-A., McManus, S., Scott, F.J., Smith, J., Tyrer, F., 2016. Epidemiology of autism in adults across age groups and ability levels. The British Journal of Psychiatry 209 (6), 498-503.

Buccheri, R.K., Sharifi, C., 2017. Critical appraisal tools and reporting guidelines for evidence-based practice. Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing 14 (6), 463-472.

Burns, G.L., Keortge, S.G., Formea, G.M., Sternberger, L.G., 1996. Revision of the Padua Inventory of obsessive compulsive disorder symptoms: Distinctions between worry, obsessions, and compulsions. Behaviour research and therapy 34 (2), 163-173.

Campanelli, F., Laricchiuta, D., Natale, G., Marino, G., Calabrese, V., Picconi, B., Petrosini, L., Calabresi, P., Ghiglieri, V., 2021. Long-term shaping of corticostriatal synaptic activity by acute fasting. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22 (4), 1916.

Cardona, N.D., Madigan, R.J., Sauer-Zavala, S., 2022. How minority stress becomes traumatic invalidation: An emotion-focused conceptualization of minority stress in sexual and gender minority people. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice 29 (2), 185.

Castillejos, M.d.C., Martín-Pérez, C., Moreno-Küstner, B., 2018. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the incidence of psychotic disorders: the distribution of rates and the influence of gender, urbanicity, immigration and socio-economic level. Psychological Medicine 48 (13), 2101-2115.

Castle, D.J., Deale, A., Marks, I.M., 1995. Gender differences in obsessive compulsive disorder. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 29 (1), 114-117.

Chamberlain, S.R., Menzies, L., Sahakian, B.J., Fineberg, N.A., 2007. Lifting the veil on trichotillomania. American Journal of Psychiatry 164 (4), 568-574.

Chavarria, M.C., Sánchez, F.J., Chou, Y.-Y., Thompson, P.M., Luders, E., 2014. Puberty in the corpus callosum. Neuroscience 265, 1-8.

Chen, S.-K., Tvrdik, P., Peden, E., Cho, S., Wu, S., Spangrude, G., Capecchi, M.R., 2010. Hematopoietic origin of pathological grooming in Hoxb8 mutant mice. Cell 141 (5), 775-785.

Cherian, A.V., Narayanaswamy, J.C., Viswanath, B., Guru, N., George, C.M., Math, S.B., Kandavel, T., Reddy, Y.J., 2014. Gender differences in obsessive-compulsive disorder: findings from a large Indian sample. Asian journal of psychiatry 9, 17-21.

Citkowska-Kisielewska, A., Rutkowski, K., Sobański, J.A., Dembińska, E., Mielimąka, M., 2019. Anxiety symptoms in obsessive-compulsive disorder and generalized anxiety disorder. Psychiatria Polska 53 (4), 845-864.

Clemente, M.J., Silva, A.S.M., Pedro, M.O.P., Paiva, H.S., Périco, C.d.A.M., Torales, J., Ventriglio, A., Castaldelli-Maia, J.M., 2022. A meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis of the global prevalence of obsessive-compulsive personality disorder. Heliyon 8 (7).

Cohen, S., Murphy, M.L., Prather, A.A., 2019. Ten surprising facts about stressful life events and disease risk. Annual review of psychology 70 (1), 577-597.

Coskun, M., Zoroglu, S., Ozturk, M., 2012. Phenomenology, psychiatric comorbidity and family history in referred preschool children with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health 6, 1-9.

da Silva Prado, H., do Rosário, M.C., Lee, J., Hounie, A.G., Shavitt, R.G., Miguel, E.C., 2008. Sensory phenomena in obsessive-compulsive disorder and tic disorders: a review of the literature. CNS spectrums 13 (5), 425-432.

De Hert, M., Cohen, D., Bobes, J., Cetkovich-Bakmas, M., Leucht, S., Ndetei, D.M., Newcomer, J.W., Uwakwe, R., Asai, I., Moeller, H.-J., 2011a. Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. II. Barriers to care, monitoring and treatment guidelines, plus recommendations at the system and individual level. World psychiatry 10 (2), 138.

De Hert, M., Correll, C.U., Bobes, J., Cetkovich-Bakmas, M., Cohen, D., Asai, I., Detraux, J., Gautam, S., Möller, H.-J., Ndetei, D.M., 2011b. Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. I. Prevalence, impact of medications and disparities in health care. World psychiatry 10 (1), 52.

De Hert, M., Dekker, J., Wood, D., Kahl, K., Holt, R., Möller, H.-J., 2009. Cardiovascular disease and diabetes in people with severe mental illness position statement from the European Psychiatric Association (EPA), supported by the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). European psychiatry 24 (6), 412-424.

de Kluiver, H., Buizer-Voskamp, J.E., Dolan, C.V., Boomsma, D.I., 2017. Paternal age and psychiatric disorders: A review. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics 174 (3), 202-213.

Denys, D., Zohar, J., Westenberg, H., 2004. The role of dopamine in obsessive-compulsive disorder: preclinical and clinical evidence. J Clin Psychiatry 65 (Suppl 14), 11-17.

Diniz, J.B., Rosario-Campos, M.C., Shavitt, R.G., Curi, M., Hounie, A.G., Brotto, S.A., Miguel, E.C., 2004. Impact of age at onset and duration of illness on the expression of comorbidities in obsessive-compulsive disorder. The Journal of clinical psychiatry.

Dirkx, N., Miceli, F., Taglialatela, M., Weckhuysen, S., 2020. The role of Kv7. 2 in neurodevelopment: insights and gaps in our understanding. Frontiers in Physiology 11, 570588.

Drasch, K., Matthes, B., 2013. Improving retrospective life course data by combining modularized self-reports and event history calendars: experiences from a large scale survey. Quality & Quantity 47 (2), 817-838.

Dubey, S., Biswas, P., Ghosh, R., Chatterjee, S., Dubey, M.J., Chatterjee, S., Lahiri, D., Lavie, C.J., 2020. Psychosocial impact of COVID-19. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: clinical research & reviews 14 (5), 779-788.

Dykens, E.M., 1999. Prader-Willi syndrome: Toward a behavioral phenotype.

Ecker, W., Gönner, S., 2008. Incompleteness and harm avoidance in OCD symptom dimensions. Behaviour research and therapy 46 (8), 895-904.

Eichstedt, J.A., Arnold, S.L., 2001. Childhood-onset obsessive-compulsive disorder: a tic-related subtype of OCD? Clinical psychology review 21 (1), 137-157.

Eisen, J.L., Phillips, K.A., Baer, L., Beer, D.A., Atala, K.D., Rasmussen, S.A., 1998. The brown assessment of beliefs scale: reliability and validity. American Journal of Psychiatry 155 (1), 102-108.

Eliot, L., 2019. Neurosexism: the myth that men and women have different brains. Nature 566 (7745), 453-455.

Endres, D., Pollak, T.A., Bechter, K., Denzel, D., Pitsch, K., Nickel, K., Runge, K., Pankratz, B., Klatzmann, D., Tamouza, R., 2022. Immunological causes of obsessive-compulsive disorder: is it time for the concept of an “autoimmune OCD” subtype? Translational Psychiatry 12 (1), 5.

Faheem, M., Akram, W., Akram, H., Khan, M.A., Siddiqui, F.A., Majeed, I., 2022. Gender-based differences in prevalence and effects of ADHD in adults: A systematic review. Asian journal of psychiatry 75, 103205.

Faravelli, C., Sauro, C.L., Godini, L., Lelli, L., Benni, L., Pietrini, F., Lazzeretti, L., Talamba, G.A., Fioravanti, G., Ricca, V., 2012. Childhood stressful events, HPA axis and anxiety disorders. World journal of psychiatry 2 (1), 13.

Farrell, M., Werge, T., Sklar, P., Owen, M.J., Ophoff, R., O'Donovan, M.C., Corvin, A., Cichon, S., Sullivan, P.F., 2015. Evaluating historical candidate genes for schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry 20 (5), 555-562.

Fawcett, E.J., Power, H., Fawcett, J.M., 2020. Women are at greater risk of OCD than men: a meta-analytic review of OCD prevalence worldwide. The Journal of clinical psychiatry 81 (4), 13075.

Ferrao, Y., De Alvarenga, P.G., Hounie, A.G., De Mathis, M.A., De Rosario, M.C., Miguel, E.C., 2013. The phenomenology of obsessive-compulsive symptoms in Tourette syndrome, Tourette syndrome. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 45-64.

Fonseca, F., Robles-Martínez, M., Tirado-Muñoz, J., Alías-Ferri, M., Mestre-Pintó, J.-I., Coratu, A.M., Torrens, M., 2021. A gender perspective of addictive disorders. Current addiction reports 8, 89-99.

Fontenelle, L.F., Cocchi, L., Harrison, B.J., Shavitt, R.G., do Rosário, M.C., Ferrão, Y.A., de Mathis, M.A., Cordioli, A.V., Yücel, M., Pantelis, C., 2012. Towards a post-traumatic subtype of obsessive–compulsive disorder. Journal of anxiety disorders 26 (2), 377-383.

Franceschini, A., Fattore, L., 2021. Gender-specific approach in psychiatric diseases: because sex matters. European journal of pharmacology 896, 173895.

Franklin, M.E., Harrison, J.P., Benavides, K.L., 2012. Obsessive-compulsive and tic-related disorders. Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics 21 (3), 555-571.

Fray, P., Robbins, T., 1996. CANTAB battery: proposed utility in neurotoxicology. Neurotoxicology and teratology 18 (4), 499-504.

Friborg, O., Martinussen, M., Kaiser, S., Øvergård, K.T., Rosenvinge, J.H., 2013. Comorbidity of personality disorders in anxiety disorders: A meta-analysis of 30 years of research. Journal of Affective Disorders 145 (2), 143--155.

Friedlander, L., Desrocher, M., 2006. Neuroimaging studies of obsessive–compulsive disorder in adults and children. Clinical psychology review 26 (1), 32-49.

Gagnon, M., Singer, I., Morand-Beaulieu, S., O’Connor, K.P., Gauthier, B., Woods, D.W., Blanchet, P., Lavoie, M.E., Leclerc, J.B., 2024. Sex Differences in Youth with Chronic Tic Disorder and Tourette Syndrome: Evaluation of Tic Severity, Psychological Profiles, and Quality of Life. Journal of Clinical Medicine 13 (9), 2477.

Gamal El-Din, T.M., Lantin, T., Tschumi, C.W., Juarez, B., Quinlan, M., Hayano, J.H., Li, J., Zweifel, L.S., Catterall, W.A., 2021. Autism-associated mutations in KV7 channels induce gating pore current. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118 (45), e2112666118.

Geller, D.A., Biederman, J., Griffin, S., Jones, J., Lefkowitz, T.R., 1996. Comorbidity of juvenile obsessive-compulsive disorder with disruptive behavior disorders. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 35 (12), 1637-1646.

Geller, D.A., Biederman, J., Jones, J., Shapiro, S., Schwartz, S., Park, K.S., 1998. Obsessive-compulsive disorder in children and adolescents: a review. Harvard review of psychiatry 5 (5), 260-273.

Geller, D.A., Coffey, B., Faraone, S., Hagermoser, L., Zaman, N.K., Farrell, C.L., Mullin, B., Biederman, J., 2003. Does comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder impact the clinical expression of pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder? CNS spectrums 8 (4), 259-264.

Gemmati, D., Varani, K., Bramanti, B., Piva, R., Bonaccorsi, G., Trentini, A., Manfrinato, M.C., Tisato, V., Carè, A., Bellini, T., 2019. "Bridging the gap" everything that could have been avoided if we had applied gender medicine, pharmacogenetics and personalized medicine in the gender-omics and sex-omics era. International journal of molecular sciences 21 (1), 296.

Giarelli, E., Wiggins, L.D., Rice, C.E., Levy, S.E., Kirby, R.S., Pinto-Martin, J., Mandell, D., 2010. Sex differences in the evaluation and diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders among children. Disability and health journal 3 (2), 107-116.

Goodman, W.K., Price, L.H., Rasmussen, S.A., Mazure, C., Delgado, P., Heninger, G.R., Charney, D.S., 1989a. The yale-brown obsessive compulsive scale: II. Validity. Archives of general psychiatry 46 (11), 1012-1016.

Goodman, W.K., Price, L.H., Rasmussen, S.A., Mazure, C., Fleischmann, R.L., Hill, C.L., Heninger, G.R., Charney, D.S., 1989b. The Yale-Brown obsessive compulsive scale: I. Development, use, and reliability. Archives of general psychiatry 46 (11), 1006-1011.

Gordon, J.L., Girdler, S.S., Meltzer-Brody, S.E., Stika, C.S., Thurston, R.C., Clark, C.T., Prairie, B.A., Moses-Kolko, E., Joffe, H., Wisner, K.L., 2015. Ovarian hormone fluctuation, neurosteroids, and HPA axis dysregulation in perimenopausal depression: a novel heuristic model. American Journal of Psychiatry 172 (3), 227-236.

Grassi, G., Cecchelli, C., Mazzocato, G., Vignozzi, L., 2022. Early onset obsessive–compulsive disorder: the biological and clinical phenotype. CNS spectrums 27 (4), 421-427.

Grenier, S., Payette, M.C., Gunther, B., Askari, S., Desjardins, F.F., Raymond, B., Berbiche, D., 2019. Association of age and gender with anxiety disorders in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. International journal of geriatric psychiatry 34 (3), 397-407.

Grissom, N.M., Reyes, T.M., 2019. Let's call the whole thing off: evaluating gender and sex differences in executive function. Neuropsychopharmacology 44 (1), 86-96.

Gröhn, C., Norgren, E., Eriksson, L., 2022. A systematic review of the neural correlates of multisensory integration in schizophrenia. Schizophrenia Research: Cognition 27, 100219.

Guglielmi, V., Vulink, N.C., Denys, D., Wang, Y., Samuels, J.F., Nestadt, G., 2014. Obsessive–compulsive disorder and female reproductive cycle events: Results from the OCD and reproduction collaborative study. Depression and anxiety 31 (12), 979-987.

Guo, X., Meng, Z., Huang, G., Fan, J., Zhou, W., Ling, W., Jiang, J., Long, J., Su, L., 2016. Meta-analysis of the prevalence of anxiety disorders in mainland China from 2000 to 2015. Scientific reports 6 (1), 1-15.

Gustafsson, K., Lindfors, P., Aronsson, G., Lundberg, U., 2008a. Relationships between self-rating of recovery from work and morning salivary cortisol. Journal of occupational health 50 (1), 24-30.

Gustafsson, P.E., Gustafsson, P.A., Ivarsson, T., Nelson, N., 2008b. Diurnal cortisol levels and cortisol response in youths with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Neuropsychobiology 57 (1-2), 14-21.

Guzick, A.G., Candelari, A., Wiese, A.D., Schneider, S.C., Goodman, W.K., Storch, E.A., 2021. Obsessive–compulsive disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. Current psychiatry reports 23 (11), 1-10.

Hacıömeroğlu, A.B., 2008. Perceived parental rearing behaviors, responsibility attitudes and life events as predictors of obsessive compulsive symptomatology: Test of a cognitive model.

Haghshomar, M., Mirghaderi, S.P., Shobeiri, P., James, A., Zarei, M., 2023. White matter abnormalities in paediatric obsessive–compulsive disorder: a systematic review of diffusion tensor imaging studies. Brain Imaging and Behavior 17 (3), 343-366.

Harald, B., Gordon, P., 2012. Meta-review of depressive subtyping models. Journal of affective disorders 139 (2), 126-140.

Heck, A.L., Handa, R.J., 2019. Sex differences in the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis' response to stress: an important role for gonadal hormones. Neuropsychopharmacology 44 (1), 45-58.

Heinrichs, M., von Dawans, B., Domes, G., 2009. Oxytocin, vasopressin, and human social behavior. Frontiers in neuroendocrinology 30 (4), 548-557.

Hesse, S., Müller, U., Lincke, T., Barthel, H., Villmann, T., Angermeyer, M.C., Sabri, O., Stengler-Wenzke, K., 2005. Serotonin and dopamine transporter imaging in patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging 140 (1), 63-72.

Hidalgo-Lopez, E., Pletzer, B., 2019. Individual differences in the effect of menstrual cycle on basal ganglia inhibitory control. Scientific Reports 9 (1), 1-11.

Higgins, S.T., Kurti, A.N., Redner, R., White, T.J., Gaalema, D.E., Roberts, M.E., Doogan, N.J., Tidey, J.W., Miller, M.E., Stanton, C.A., 2015. A literature review on prevalence of gender differences and intersections with other vulnerabilities to tobacco use in the United States, 2004–2014. Preventive medicine 80, 89-100.

Hinkley, L.B., Marco, E.J., Findlay, A.M., Honma, S., Jeremy, R.J., Strominger, Z., Bukshpun, P., Wakahiro, M., Brown, W.S., Paul, L.K., 2012. The role of corpus callosum development in functional connectivity and cognitive processing.

Hoek, H.W., 2006. Incidence, prevalence and mortality of anorexia nervosa and other eating disorders. Current opinion in psychiatry 19 (4), 389-394.

Holdcroft, A., 2007. Gender bias in research: how does it affect evidence based medicine? SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England, pp. 2-3.

Holländer, A., Hausmann, M., Hamm, J.P., Corballis, M.C., 2005. Sex hormonal modulation of hemispheric asymmetries in the attentional blink. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society 11 (3), 263-272.

Holzer, J.C., McDougle, C.J., Boyarsky, B.K., Price, L.H., Goodman, W.K., Baer, L., Leckman, J.F., 1994. Obsessive–compulsive disorder with and without a chronic tic disorder: A comparison of symptoms in 70 patients. The British Journal of Psychiatry 164 (4), 469-473.

Hsiao, M.-C., Lin, K.-J., Liu, C.-Y., Schatz, D.B., 2013. The interaction between dopamine transporter function, gender differences, and possible laterality in depression. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging 211 (1), 72-77.

Hühne, V., dos Santos-Ribeiro, S., Moreira-de-Oliveira, M.E., de Menezes, G.B., Fontenelle, L., 2024. Towards the correlates of Stressful Life Events as precipitants of OCD: a systematic review and metanalysis. CNS spectrums, 1-30.

Hyman, B.M., Pedrick, C., 2010. The OCD workbook: Your guide to breaking free from obsessive-compulsive disorder. New Harbinger Publications.

J Kuss, D., D Griffiths, M., Karila, L., Billieux, J., 2014. Internet addiction: A systematic review of epidemiological research for the last decade. Current pharmaceutical design 20 (25), 4026-4052.

Jacquemont, S., Coe, B.P., Hersch, M., Duyzend, M.H., Krumm, N., Bergmann, S., Beckmann, J.S., Rosenfeld, J.A., Eichler, E.E., 2014. A higher mutational burden in females supports a "female protective model" in neurodevelopmental disorders. The American Journal of Human Genetics 94 (3), 415-425.

Jagiellowicz, J., Xu, X., Aron, A., Aron, E., Cao, G., Feng, T., Weng, X., 2011. The trait of sensory processing sensitivity and neural responses to changes in visual scenes. Social cognitive and affective neuroscience 6 (1), 38-47.

Joel, D., Berman, Z., Tavor, I., Wexler, N., Gaber, O., Stein, Y., Shefi, N., Pool, J., Urchs, S., Margulies, D.S., 2015. Sex beyond the genitalia: The human brain mosaic. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112 (50), 15468-15473.

Kajimoto, Y., Shirakawa, O., Lin, X.-H., Hashimoto, T., Kitamura, N., Murakami, N., Takumi, T., Maeda, K., 2003. Synapse-associated protein 90/postsynaptic density-95-associated protein (SAPAP) is expressed differentially in phencyclidine-treated rats and is increased in the nucleus accumbens of patients with schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology 28 (10), 1831-1839.

Karthik, S., Sharma, L.P., Narayanaswamy, J.C., 2020. Investigating the role of glutamate in obsessive-compulsive disorder: current perspectives. Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment, 1003-1013.

Kennedy, N., Boydell, J., Kalidindi, S., Fearon, P., Jones, P.B., van Os, J., Murray, R.M., 2005. Gender differences in incidence and age at onset of mania and bipolar disorder over a 35-year period in Camberwell, England. American Journal of Psychiatry 162 (2), 257-262.

Kessing, L.V., 2004. Gender differences in the phenomenology of bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disorders 6 (5), 421-425.

Kessler, R.C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K.R., Walters, E.E., 2005. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Archives of general psychiatry 62 (6), 593-602.

Kessler, R.C., Petukhova, M., Sampson, N.A., Zaslavsky, A.M., Wittchen, H.U., 2012. Twelve-month and lifetime prevalence and lifetime morbid risk of anxiety and mood disorders in the United States. International journal of methods in psychiatric research 21 (3), 169-184.

Khan, I.N., 2012. Sex differences and the effects of sex hormones on the structure of the corpus callosum. University of Nottingham.

Kheloui, S., Brouillard, A., Rossi, M., Marin, M.-F., Mendrek, A., Paquette, D., Juster, R.-P., 2021. Exploring the sex and gender correlates of cognitive sex differences. Acta psychologica 221, 103452.

Kirkpatrick, S.L., Goldberg, L.R., Yazdani, N., Babbs, R.K., Wu, J., Reed, E.R., Jenkins, D.F., Bolgioni, A.F., Landaverde, K.I., Luttik, K.P., 2017. Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 2 is a major genetic factor underlying binge eating. Biological Psychiatry 81 (9), 757-769.

Kirov, G., Pocklington, A., Holmans, P., Ivanov, D., Ikeda, M., Ruderfer, D., Moran, J., Chambert, K., Toncheva, D., Georgieva, L., 2012. De novo CNV analysis implicates specific abnormalities of postsynaptic signalling complexes in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. Molecular Psychiatry 17 (2), 142-153.

Klein, D.N., Glenn, C.R., Kosty, D.B., Seeley, J.R., Rohde, P., Lewinsohn, P.M., 2013. Predictors of first lifetime onset of major depressive disorder in young adulthood. Journal of abnormal psychology 122 (1), 1.

Knight, T., Steeves, T., Day, L., Lowerison, M., Jette, N., Pringsheim, T., 2012. Prevalence of tic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatric neurology 47 (2), 77-90.

Koran, L.M., Abujaoude, E., Large, M.D., Serpe, R.T., 2008. The prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder in the United States adult population. CNS spectrums 13 (4), 316-322.

Laakso, A., Vilkman, H., Örgen Bergman, J., Haaparanta, M., Solin, O., Syvälahti, E., Salokangas, R.K., Hietala, J., 2002. Sex differences in striatal presynaptic dopamine synthesis capacity in healthy subjects. Biological Psychiatry 52 (7), 759-763.

Labad, J., Menchon, J.M., Alonso, P., Segalas, C., Jimenez, S., Jaurrieta, N., Leckman, J.F., Vallejo, J., 2008. Gender differences in obsessive–compulsive symptom dimensions. Depression and anxiety 25 (10), 832-838.

Labad, J., Soria, V., Salvat-Pujol, N., Segalàs, C., Real, E., Urretavizcaya, M., de Arriba-Arnau, A., Ferrer, A., Crespo, J.M., Jiménez-Murcia, S., 2018. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity in the comorbidity between obsessive-compulsive disorder and major depression. Psychoneuroendocrinology 93, 20-28.

Lauritano, A., Moutton, S., Longobardi, E., Tran Mau-Them, F., Laudati, G., Nappi, P., Soldovieri, M.V., Ambrosino, P., Cataldi, M., Jouan, T., 2019. A novel homozygous KCNQ3 loss-of-function variant

causes non-syndromic intellectual disability and neonatal-onset pharmacodependent epilepsy. Epilepsia Open 4 (3), 464-475.
Leclercq, V., Corvol, J.-C., 2024. Impulse control disorder: Review on clinical, pharmacologic, and genetic risk factors. Revue Neurologique.
Lee, H.-J., Kwon, S.-M., 2003. Two different types of obsession: autogenous obsessions and reactive obsessions. Behaviour research and therapy 41 (1), 11-29.
Lee, H.J., Kwon, S.M., Kwon, J.S., Telch, M.J., 2005. Testing the autogenous–reactive model of obsessions. Depression and anxiety 21 (3), 118-129.
Lee, H.K., 2024. Sex/Gender Differences in Addictive Disorders, Sex/Gender-Specific Medicine in Clinical Areas. Springer, pp. 381-388.
Lee, J.J., Ham, J.H., Lee, P.H., Sohn, Y.H., 2015. Gender differences in age-related striatal dopamine depletion in Parkinson's disease. Journal of movement disorders 8 (3), 130.
Lehavot, K., Katon, J.G., Chen, J.A., Fortney, J.C., Simpson, T.L., 2018. Post-traumatic stress disorder by gender and veteran status. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 54 (1), e1-e9.
Lehman, A., Thouta, S., Mancini, G.M., Naidu, S., van Slegtenhorst, M., McWalter, K., Person, R., Mwenifumbo, J., Salvarinova, R., Adam, S., 2017. Loss-of-function and gain-of-function mutations in KCNQ5 cause intellectual disability or epileptic encephalopathy. The American Journal of Human Genetics 101 (1), 65-74.
Lewinsohn, P.M., Gotlib, I.H., Lewinsohn, M., Seeley, J.R., Allen, N.B., 1998. Gender differences in anxiety disorders and anxiety symptoms in adolescents. Journal of abnormal psychology 107 (1), 109.
Lindqvist, A., Sendén, M.G., Renström, E.A., 2021. What is gender, anyway: a review of the options for operationalising gender. Psychology & sexuality 12 (4), 332-344.
Lochner, C., Hemmings, S.M., Kinnear, C.J., Moolman-Smook, J.C., Corfield, V.A., Knowles, J.A., Niehaus, D.J., Stein, D.J., 2004. Gender in obsessive–compulsive disorder: clinical and genetic findings. European Neuropsychopharmacology 14 (2), 105-113.
Lochner, C., Stein, D.J., 2001. Gender in obsessive-compulsive disorder and obsessive-compulsive spectrum disorders. Archives of Women's Mental Health 4 (1), 19-26.
Lochner, C., Stein, D.J., 2003. Heterogeneity of obsessive-compulsive disorder: a literature review. Harvard review of psychiatry 11 (3), 113-132.
Long, H.A., French, D.P., Brooks, J.M., 2020. Optimising the value of the critical appraisal skills programme (CASP) tool for quality appraisal in qualitative evidence synthesis. Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences 1 (1), 31-42.
Loomes, R., Hull, L., Mandy, W.P.L., 2017. What is the male-to-female ratio in autism spectrum disorder? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 56 (6), 466-474.
Maraz, A., Griffiths, M.D., Demetrovics, Z., 2016. The prevalence of compulsive buying: a meta-analysis. Addiction 111 (3), 408-419.
Marazziti, D., Hollander, E., Lensi, P., Ravagli, S., Cassano, G.B., 1992. Peripheral markers of serotonin and dopamine function in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatry research 42 (1), 41-51.
Markarian, Y., Larson, M.J., Aldea, M.A., Baldwin, S.A., Good, D., Berkeljon, A., Murphy, T.K., Storch, E.A., McKay, D., 2010. Multiple pathways to functional impairment in obsessive–compulsive disorder. Clinical psychology review 30 (1), 78-88.
Masi, G., Millepiedi, S., Perugi, G., Pfanner, C., Berloffa, S., Pari, C., Mucci, M., Akiskal, H.S., 2010. A naturalistic exploratory study of the impact of demographic, phenotypic and comorbid features in pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychopathology 43 (2), 69-78.
Mathes, B.M., Morabito, D.M., Schmidt, N.B., 2019. Epidemiological and clinical gender differences in OCD. Current psychiatry reports 21 (5), 1-7.

Mathis, M.A.d., Alvarenga, P.d., Funaro, G., Torresan, R.C., Moraes, I., Torres, A.R., Zilberman, M.L., Hounie, A.G., 2011. Gender differences in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a literature review. Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry 33 (4), 390-399.

Mattheisen, M., Samuels, J.F., Wang, Y., Greenberg, B.D., Fyer, A.J., McCracken, J.T., Geller, D.A., Murphy, D.L., Knowles, J.A., Grados, M.A., 2015. Genome-wide association study in obsessive-compulsive disorder: results from the OCGAS. Molecular Psychiatry 20 (3), 337-344.

Maughan, B., Rowe, R., Messer, J., Goodman, R., Meltzer, H., 2004. Conduct disorder and oppositional defiant disorder in a national sample: developmental epidemiology. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 45 (3), 609-621.

McTigue, O., O'Callaghan, E., 2000. Season of birth as a risk factor. International Journal of Mental Health 29 (3), 66-78.

Meadows, S.O., Land, K.C., Lamb, V.L., 2005. Assessing Gilligan vs. Sommers: Gender-specific trends in child and youth well-being in the United States, 1985-2001. Social Indicators Research, 1-52.

Mendrek, A., 2015. Is it important to consider sex and gender in neurocognitive studies? Frontiers in psychiatry 6, 83.

Miller, L.R., Marks, C., Becker, J.B., Hurn, P.D., Chen, W.-J., Woodruff, T., McCarthy, M.M., Sohrabji, F., Schiebinger, L., Wetherington, C.L., 2016. Considering sex as a biological variable in preclinical research. The FASEB Journal 31 (1), 29.

Millet, B., Chabane, N., Delorme, R., Leboyer, M., Leroy, S., Poirier, M.F., Bourdel, M.C., Mouren-Simeoni, M.C., Rouillon, F., Loo, H., 2003. Association between the dopamine receptor D4 (DRD4) gene and obsessive-compulsive disorder. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics 116 (1), 55-59.

Montgomery, S.A., 1993. Obsessive compulsive disorder is not an anxiety disorder. International clinical psychopharmacology 8, 57-62.

Mosca, E., Bersanelli, M., Gnocchi, M., Moscatelli, M., Castellani, G., Milanesi, L., Mezzelani, A., 2017. Network diffusion-based prioritization of autism risk genes identifies significantly connected gene modules. Frontiers in Genetics 8, 129.

Murray, C.J., Lopez, A.D., Organization, W.H., 1996. The global burden of disease: a comprehensive assessment of mortality and disability from diseases, injuries, and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020: summary. World Health Organization.

Nagarajan, N., Jones, B.W., West, P.J., Marc, R., Capecchi, M., 2018. Corticostriatal circuit defects in Hoxb8 mutant mice. Molecular Psychiatry 23 (9), 1868-1877.

Nakatani, E., Krebs, G., Micali, N., Turner, C., Heyman, I., Mataix-Cols, D., 2011. Children with very early onset obsessive-compulsive disorder: Clinical features and treatment outcome. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 52 (12), 1261-1268.

Neal, M., Cavanna, A.E., 2013. "Not just right experiences" in patients with Tourette syndrome: complex motor tics or compulsions? Psychiatry research 210 (2), 559-563.

Nedeljkovic, M., Kyrios, M., Moulding, R., Doron, G., Wainwright, K., Pantelis, C., Purcell, R., Maruff, P., 2009. Differences in neuropsychological performance between subtypes of obsessive–compulsive disorder. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 43 (3), 216-226.

Neumann, I.D., 2002. Involvement of the brain oxytocin system in stress coping: interactions with the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis. Progress in brain research 139, 147-162.

Neumann, I.D., 2008. Brain oxytocin: a key regulator of emotional and social behaviours in both females and males. Journal of neuroendocrinology 20 (6), 858-865.

Ngo, S.T., Steyn, F.J., McCombe, P.A., 2014. Gender differences in autoimmune disease. Frontiers in neuroendocrinology 35 (3), 347-369.

NIH, N.I.o.H., 2015. Consideration of sex as a biological variable in NIH-funded Research, 2015. .

Nordahl, C.W., 2023. Why do we need sex-balanced studies of autism? Autism Research 16 (9), 1662-1669.

Ochoa, S., Usall, J., Cobo, J., Labad, X., Kulkarni, J., 2012. Gender differences in schizophrenia and first-episode psychosis: A comprehensive literature review. Schizophrenia research and treatment 2012 (1), 916198.

Office of Justice Programs, B.o.J.S., 1997. Sex Offenses and Offenders. Department of Justice.

Ohayon, M.M., Schatzberg, A.F., 2010. Social phobia and depression: prevalence and comorbidity. Journal of Psychosomatic Research 68 (3), 235-243.

Olver, J.S., O'Keefe, G., Jones, G.R., Burrows, G.D., Tochon-Danguy, H.J., Ackermann, U., Scott, A., Norman, T.R., 2009. Dopamine D1 receptor binding in the striatum of patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder. Journal of affective disorders 114 (1-3), 321-326.

Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A., Brennan, S.E., 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. International journal of surgery 88, 105906.

Pantalone, D.W., Gorman, K.R., Pereida, E.T., Valentine, S.E., 2020. Trauma among sexual and gender minority populations. Rothblum,(Ed.), The Oxford handbook of sexual and gender minority mental health, 59-71.

Parial, S., 2015. Bipolar disorder in women. Indian Journal of Psychiatry 57 (Suppl 2), S252-S263.

Pathania, M., Davenport, E., Muir, J., Sheehan, D., López-Doménech, G., Kittler, J., 2014. The autism and schizophrenia associated gene CYFIP1 is critical for the maintenance of dendritic complexity and the stabilization of mature spines. Translational Psychiatry 4 (3), e374-e374.

Payne, J.L., Palmer, J.T., Joffe, H., 2009. A reproductive subtype of depression: conceptualizing models and moving toward etiology. Harvard review of psychiatry 17 (2), 72-86.

Peelen, M.J., Kazemier, B.M., Ravelli, A.C., De Groot, C.J., Van Der Post, J.A., Mol, B.W., Hajenius, P.J., Kok, M., 2016. Impact of fetal gender on the risk of preterm birth, a national cohort study. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica 95 (9), 1034-1041.

Pinares-Garcia, P., Stratikopoulos, M., Zagato, A., Loke, H., Lee, J., 2018. Sex: a significant risk factor for neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders. Brain Sciences 8 (8), 154.

Pittenger, C., 2015. Animal models of Tourette syndrome and obsessive-compulsive disorder, Movement Disorders. Elsevier, pp. 747-764.

Pittenger, C., 2021. Pharmacotherapeutic strategies and new targets in OCD. The neurobiology and treatment of OCD: Accelerating progress, 331-384.

Pittenger, C., 2023. The Pharmacological Treatment of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. Psychiatric Clinics 46 (1), 107-119.

Pohjalainen, T., Rinne, J.O., Någren, K., SyvÄlahti, E., Hietala, J., 1998. Sex differences in the striatal dopamine D2 receptor binding characteristics in vivo. American Journal of Psychiatry 155 (6), 768-773.

Poletti, M., Gebhardt, E., Pelizza, L., Preti, A., Raballo, A., 2023. Neurodevelopmental antecedents and sensory phenomena in obsessive compulsive disorder: a systematic review supporting a phenomenological-developmental model. Psychopathology 56 (4), 295-305.

Ponzini, G.T., Steinman, S.A., 2022. A systematic review of public stigma attributes and obsessive–compulsive disorder symptom subtypes. Stigma and Health 7 (1), 14.

Postlethwaite, A., Kellett, S., Mataix-Cols, D., 2019. Prevalence of hoarding disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of affective disorders 256, 309-316.

Quinn, J.J., Hitchcott, P.K., Umeda, E.A., Arnold, A.P., Taylor, J.R., 2007. Sex chromosome complement regulates habit formation. Nature neuroscience 10 (11), 1398-1400.

Rasmussen, A.H., Rasmussen, H.B., Silahtaroglu, A., 2017. The DLGAP family: neuronal expression, function and role in brain disorders. Molecular brain 10, 1-13.

Reid, J.E., Laws, K.R., Drummond, L., Vismara, M., Grancini, B., Mpavaenda, D., Fineberg, N.A., 2021. Cognitive behavioural therapy with exposure and response prevention in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Comprehensive psychiatry 106, 152223.

Rosenberg, D.R., Keshavan, M.S., 1998. Toward a neurodevelopmental model of obsessive–compulsive disorder. Biological Psychiatry 43 (9), 623-640.

Rosenman, R., Tennekoon, V., Hill, L.G., 2011. Measuring bias in self-reported data. International journal of behavioural & healthcare research 2 (4), 320.

Rowsell, M., Francis, S.E., 2015. OCD subtypes: Which, if any, are valid? Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice 22 (4), 414.

Ruigrok, A.N., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Lai, M.-C., Baron-Cohen, S., Lombardo, M.V., Tait, R.J., Suckling, J., 2014. A meta-analysis of sex differences in human brain structure. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 39, 34-50.

Salari, N., Hosseinian-Far, A., Jalali, R., Vaisi-Raygani, A., Rasoulpoor, S., Mohammadi, M., Rasoulpoor, S., Khaledi-Paveh, B., 2020. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Globalization and health 16, 1-11.

Saxena, S., Funk, M., Chisholm, D., 2015. Comprehensive mental health action plan 2013–2020. EMHJ-Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal 21 (7), 461-463.

Saxena, S., Rauch, S.L., 2022. Functional neuroimaging and the neuroanatomy of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Tourette's Syndrome, 159-182.

Schein, J., Houle, C., Urganus, A., Cloutier, M., Patterson-Lomba, O., Wang, Y., King, S., Levinson, W., Guerin, A., Lefebvre, P., 2021. Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder in the United States: a systematic literature review. Current medical research and opinion 37 (12), 2151-2161.

Scott, K.M., Bruffaerts, R., Tsang, A., Ormel, J., Alonso, J., Angermeyer, M.C., Benjet, C., Bromet, E., De Girolamo, G., De Graaf, R., 2007. Depression–anxiety relationships with chronic physical conditions: results from the World Mental Health Surveys. Journal of affective disorders 103 (1-3), 113-120.

Selles, R.R., Storch, E.A., Lewin, A.B., 2014. Variations in symptom prevalence and clinical correlates in younger versus older youth with obsessive–compulsive disorder. Child Psychiatry & Human Development 45 (6), 666-674.

Selvendra, A., Toh, W.L., Neill, E., Tan, E.J., Rossell, S.L., Morgan, V.A., Castle, D.J., 2022. Age of onset by sex in schizophrenia: Proximal and distal characteristics. Journal of psychiatric research 151, 454-460.

Shah, B.M., Kornstein, S.G., 2021. Mental health: Sex and gender evidence in depression, generalized anxiety disorder, and schizophrenia. How Sex and Gender Impact Clinical Practice, 153-169.

Sharma, E., Sharma, L.P., Balachander, S., Lin, B., Manohar, H., Khanna, P., Lu, C., Garg, K., Thomas, T.L., Au, A.C.L., 2021. Comorbidities in obsessive-compulsive disorder across the lifespan: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in psychiatry 12, 703701.

Sheikh, J.I., Leskin, G.A., Klein, D.F., 2002. Gender differences in panic disorder: findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. American Journal of Psychiatry 159 (1), 55-58.

Silberschmidt, A., Lee, S., Zanarini, M., Schulz, S.C., 2015. Gender Differences in Borderline Personality Disorder: Results From a Multinational, Clinical Trial Sample. J Pers Disord 29 (6), 828---838.

Singh, R.S., Singh, K.K., Singh, S.M., 2021. Origin of sex-biased mental disorders: an evolutionary perspective. Journal of Molecular Evolution 89, 195-213.

Sinopoli, V.M., Burton, C.L., Kronenberg, S., Arnold, P.D., 2017. A review of the role of serotonin system genes in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 80, 372-381.

Skapinakis, P., Caldwell, D.M., Hollingworth, W., Bryden, P., Fineberg, N.A., Salkovskis, P., Welton, N.J., Baxter, H., Kessler, D., Churchill, R., 2016. Pharmacological and psychotherapeutic interventions for management of obsessive-compulsive disorder in adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. The Lancet Psychiatry 3 (8), 730-739.

Snyder, H.N., 2000. Sexual Assault of Young Children as Reported to Law Enforcement: Victim, Incident, and Offender Characteristics. A NIBRS Statistical Report.

Soomro, G.M., Altman, D.G., Rajagopal, S., Browne, M.O., 2008. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs) versus placebo for obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD). Cochrane database of systematic reviews(1).

Sousa-Lima, J., Moreira, P.S., Raposo-Lima, C., Sousa, N., Morgado, P., 2019. Relationship between obsessive compulsive disorder and cortisol: Systematic review and meta-analysis. European Neuropsychopharmacology 29 (11), 1185-1198.

Souza, E.G.V., Ramos, M.G., Hara, C., Stumpf, B.P., Rocha, F.L., 2012. Neuropsychological performance and menstrual cycle: a literature review. Trends in Psychiatry and Psychotherapy 34 (1), 5-12.

Stengler-Wenzke, K., Müller, U., Angermeyer, M.C., Sabri, O., Hesse, S., 2004. Reduced serotonin transporter–availability in obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD). European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 254, 252-255.

Stewart, S.E., Yu, D., Scharf, J.M., Neale, B.M., Fagerness, J.A., Mathews, C.A., Arnold, P.D., Evans, P.D., Gamazon, E.R., Osiecki, L., 2013. Genome-wide association study of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Molecular Psychiatry 18 (7), 788-798.

Striegel-Moore, R.H., Bulik, C.M., 2007. Risk factors for eating disorders. American psychologist 62 (3), 181.

Striegel-Moore, R.H., Rosselli, F., Perrin, N., DeBar, L., Wilson, G.T., May, A., Kraemer, H.C., 2009. Gender difference in the prevalence of eating disorder symptoms. International Journal of Eating Disorders 42 (5), 471-474.

Summerfeldt, L.J., Kloosterman, P.H., Antony, M.M., Swinson, R.P., 2014. Examining an obsessive-compulsive core dimensions model: Structural validity of harm avoidance and incompleteness. Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders 3 (2), 83-94.

Suominen, K., Mantere, O., Valtonen, H., Arvilommi, P., Leppämäki, S., Isometsä, E., 2009. Gender differences in bipolar disorder type I and II. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 120 (6), 464-473.

Taqui, A.M., Shaikh, M., Gowani, S.A., Shahid, F., Khan, A., Tayyeb, S.M., Satti, M., Vaqar, T., Shahid, S., Shamsi, A., 2008. Body Dysmorphic Disorder: gender differences and prevalence in a Pakistani medical student population. BMC psychiatry 8, 1-10.

Taylor, S., 2011. Early versus late onset obsessive–compulsive disorder: evidence for distinct subtypes. Clinical psychology review 31 (7), 1083-1100.

Thorsen, A.L., Hagland, P., Radua, J., Mataix-Cols, D., Kvale, G., Hansen, B., van den Heuvel, O.A., 2018. Emotional processing in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 25 functional neuroimaging studies. Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging 3 (6), 563-571.

Tolin, D.F., Abramowitz, J.S., Kozak, M.J., Foa, E.B., 2001. Fixity of belief, perceptual aberration, and magical ideation in obsessive–compulsive disorder. Journal of anxiety disorders 15 (6), 501-510.

Transue, B., 2019. APA Style 7th edition.

Turecki, G., Brent, D.A., Gunnell, D., O'Connor, R.C., Oquendo, M.A., Pirkis, J., Stanley, B.H., 2019. Suicide and suicide risk. Nature reviews Disease primers 5 (1), 74.

Valentine, S.E., Marques, L., Wang, Y., Ahles, E.M., De Silva, L.D., Alegría, M., 2019. Gender differences in exposure to potentially traumatic events and diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) by racial and ethnic group. General hospital psychiatry 61, 60-68.

Van Der Wee, N.J., Stevens, H., Hardeman, J.A., Mandl, R.C., Denys, D.A., van Megen, H.J., Kahn, R.S., Westenberg, H.M., 2004. Enhanced dopamine transporter density in psychotropic-naive patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder shown by [123I] β-CIT SPECT. American Journal of Psychiatry 161 (12), 2201-2206.

Vidal-Ribas, P., Stringaris, A., Rück, C., Serlachius, E., Lichtenstein, P., Mataix-Cols, D., 2015. Are stressful life events causally related to the severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms? A monozygotic twin difference study. European psychiatry 30 (2), 309-316.

Vinkhuyzen, A.A., Eyles, D.W., Burne, T.H., Blanken, L.M., Kruithof, C.J., Verhulst, F., Jaddoe, V.W., Tiemeier, H., McGrath, J.J., 2016. Prevalence and predictors of vitamin D deficiency based on maternal mid-gestation and neonatal cord bloods: The Generation R Study. The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology 164, 161-167.

Vulink, N.C., Denys, D., Bus, L., Westenberg, H.G., 2006. Female hormones affect symptom severity in obsessive–compulsive disorder. International clinical psychopharmacology 21 (3), 171-175.

Wadsworth, L.P., Potluri, S., Schreck, M., Hernandez-Vallant, A., 2020. Measurement and impacts of intersectionality on obsessive-compulsive disorder symptoms across intensive treatment. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 90 (4), 445.

Wan, Y., Ade, K.K., Caffall, Z., Ozlu, M.I., Eroglu, C., Feng, G., Calakos, N., 2014. Circuit-selective striatal synaptic dysfunction in the Sapap3 knockout mouse model of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Biological Psychiatry 75 (8), 623-630.

Wan, Y., Feng, G., Calakos, N., 2011. Sapap3 deletion causes mGluR5-dependent silencing of AMPAR synapses. Journal of Neuroscience 31 (46), 16685-16691.

Waschbusch, D.A., Willoughby, M.T., 2008. Parent and teacher ratings on the IOWA Conners Rating Scale. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment 30, 180-192.

Weckhuysen, S., Mandelstam, S., Suls, A., Audenaert, D., Deconinck, T., Claes, L.R., Deprez, L., Smets, K., Hristova, D., Yordanova, I., 2012. KCNQ2 encephalopathy: emerging phenotype of a neonatal epileptic encephalopathy. Annals of neurology 71 (1), 15-25.

Welch, J.M., Lu, J., Rodriguiz, R.M., Trotta, N.C., Peca, J., Ding, J.-D., Feliciano, C., Chen, M., Adams, J.P., Luo, J., 2007. Cortico-striatal synaptic defects and OCD-like behaviours in Sapap3-mutant mice. Nature 448 (7156), 894-900.

Wilhelm, S., Keuthen, N.J., Deckersbach, T., Engelhard, I.M., Forker, A.E., Baer, L., O'Sullivan, R.L., Jenike, M.A., 1999. Self-injurious skin picking: clinical characteristics and comorbidity. Journal of clinical psychiatry 60 (7), 454-459.

Williams, O.O., Coppolino, M., George, S.R., Perreault, M.L., 2021. Sex differences in dopamine receptors and relevance to neuropsychiatric disorders. Brain Sciences 11 (9), 1199.

Workgroup, N.G., NAMHC Genomics Workgroup: Research Recommendations Summary.

Yao, Y.-L., Yang, W.-M., 2003. The metastasis-associated proteins 1 and 2 form distinct protein complexes with histone deacetylase activity. Journal of Biological Chemistry 278 (43), 42560-42568.

Zachry, J.E., Nolan, S.O., Brady, L.J., Kelly, S.J., Siciliano, C.A., Calipari, E.S., 2021. Sex differences in dopamine release regulation in the striatum. Neuropsychopharmacology 46 (3), 491-499.

Zahn-Waxler, C., Crick, N.R., Shirtcliff, E.A., Woods, K.E., 2006. The origins and development of psychopathology in females and males.

Zhang, Y., Luo, Q., Huang, C.-C., Lo, C.-Y.Z., Langley, C., Desrivières, S., Quinlan, E.B., Banaschewski, T., Millenet, S., Bokde, A.L., 2021. The Human Brain Is Best Described as Being on a Female/Male Continuum: Evidence from a Neuroimaging Connectivity Study. Cerebral Cortex 31 (6), 3021-3033.