Effects of food insecurity on infant feeding confidence and practices during the COVID-19 pandemic and its waves

1. **Maha Hussain,** MS; Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, 3 Columbus Circle, 11th Floor, New York, NY, 10019; mahashussain@gmail.com
2. **Jessica Hyun,** BS, Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, 3 Columbus Circle, 11th Floor, New York, NY, 10019; sjh2210@cumc.columbia.edu
3. **Elena Arduin**, MPH, Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, 3 Columbus Circle, 11th Floor, New York, NY, 10019; ea2984@cumc.columbia.edu
4. **Margaret H. Kyle**, BA; Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, 3 Columbus Circle, 11th Floor, New York, NY, 10019; mhk2167@cumc.columbia.edu
5. **Wanda Abreu**, MD; Division of Child and Adolescent Health, Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and NewYork-Presbyterian, 622 W 168th St, VC4-417, New York, NY 10032; wa2249@cumc.columbia.edu
6. **Tessa Scripps**, MD; Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and NewYork-Presbyterian, 622 W 168th St, VC4-417, New York, NY 10032; tscripps@gmail.com

7. **Adrita Khan**, MD; Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and NewYork-Presbyterian, 622 W 168th St, VC4-417, New York, NY 10032; adritak@gmail.com

8. **Melissa Glassman**, MD, MPH; Division of Child and Adolescent Health, Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and NewYork-Presbyterian, 622 W. 168th Street, VC-402
New York, NY 10032; me332@cumc.columbia.edu

9. **Melissa S. Stockwell**, MD, MPH; Division of Child and Adolescent Health, Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Department of Population and Family Health, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health and NewYork-Presbyterian, 622 W 168th St, VC4-417, New York, NY 10032; mss2112@cumc.columbia.edu

10. **Lauren Walzer**, DO; Division of Child and Adolescent Health, Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and NewYork-Presbyterian, 622 W 168th St, VC4-417, New York, NY 10032; law2116@cumc.columbia.edu

11. **Dani Dumitriu**, MD, PhD; Departments of Pediatrics and Psychiatry, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and NewYork-Presbyterian, 3 Columbus Circle, 11th Floor, New York, NY, 10019; idd2001@cumc.columbia.edu

12. **Cristina R. Fernández**, MD, MPH; Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and NewYork-Presbyterian, 622 W 168th St, VC4-417, New York, NY 10032; crf2101@cumc.columbia.edu

Corresponding author: Cristina R. Fernández, MD, MPH; Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and NewYork-Presbyterian, 622 W 168th St, VC4-417, New York, NY 10032; crf2101@cumc.columbia.edu; Phone: 212-342-1758; Fax: 212-305-8819

Keywords: food insecurity, maternal confidence, infant feeding, pregnancy outcomes, SARS-CoV-2

Running title or header of no more than 60 characters including spaces: Effects of food insecurity on infant feeding during COVID-19 pandemic and its waves

Abstract word count: 333

Manuscript word count: 3, 904

Funding: CF was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health, through Grant Number KL2TR001874. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Disclosure Statement: No authors have financial ties or conflicts of interest associated with topics discussed in this work.

Abstract word count: 345

Abstract:

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has intensified economic hardships, with potential negative impacts on food insecurity and infant feeding beliefs and practices. The relationship

between food insecurity and infant feeding beliefs and practices during the pandemic is not yet fully understood. Neither is how these relationships changed over the course of the waves of the pandemic. We examined these relationships in a cohort of infants born during the various waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in New York City (NYC).

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of infants enrolled from birth into the COVID-19 Mother-Baby Outcomes (COMBO) study and born March 2020 to May 2024. We measured food insecurity in the prior 30 days with a 2-item survey adapted from Hunger Vital Sign™, infant feeding confidence at hospital discharge, and current infant feeding practices.

Results: In our sample, 40% of women had been exposed to prenatal SARS-CoV-2 infection and approximately 24% of mothers were food insecure. There was a significant association between food insecurity and prenatal SARS-CoV-2 infection, Spanish as one's preferred language, and self-identifying as Latina. In unadjusted models of the entire sample, food insecurity was associated with formula feeding, with 44% of food insecure mothers opting to formula feed vs. 28% of food secure mothers ($p<0.001$), but this relationship was no longer significant after adjusting for covariates ($p=0.059$). In comparing the first and second waves of the pandemic (March 2020-December 2021 vs. January 2022-May 2024), there was no significant difference in rate of food insecurity. When comparing different waves of the pandemic, food insecurity was associated with increased likelihood of formula feeding, even after adjusting for confounders.

Discussion: Food insecurity was initially associated with feeding methods, but this relationship lost significance after adjusting for confounders. However, when analyzed separately, food insecurity was significantly linked to lower odds of exclusive breastfeeding during different waves of the pandemic, suggesting the influence of external factors like policy changes and social support variations. Other factors, such as maternal BMI, ethnicity, and delivery mode,

were also significantly associated with breastfeeding practices, highlighting the need for targeted interventions to support breastfeeding, especially among food-insecure mothers.

Manuscript word count: 3, 904

Introduction

Food insecurity is a global public health challenge that was exacerbated by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.[1] Food insecurity is defined as when nutritionally adequate and safe foods are unavailable or when the ability to acquire such foods in socially acceptable ways is limited or uncertain.[2] Though food insecurity has always been a challenge in the United States, rates of household food insecurity in the nation have increased dramatically from 11% in 2019 to 38% in March 2020.[3,4] In April 2020, 35% of households with children under 18 reported experiencing food insecurity.[4] During this period, record-high unemployment rates, supply shortages, income insecurity, and related factors not only had independent impacts but also interacted in complex ways, likely contributing to the significant rise in food insecurity observed during the pandemic, with many households experiencing food insecurity for the first time[5,6] Food insecurity is associated with household stressors that may impact feeding of the most vulnerable members of the household, namely children and infants. The effects of food insecurity and feeding this vulnerable group, especially in the context of a global pandemic, are not yet fully understood.

Breastfeeding continues to be regarded as an optimal form of infant feeding.[7,8] Experts from the World Health Organization recommend exclusive breastfeeding for infants up to 4-6 months of age, followed by continued breastfeeding alongside appropriate complementary foods until the age of 2 years.[9] Breastfeeding confers significant health benefits, while decreased

breastfeeding rates are often associated with poorer maternal and infant health outcomes.[10,11] Yet only 25.8%[12] of women in the United States meet recommendations to exclusively breastfeed for the first 6 months of a child's life.[13,14] Several studies have documented changes in breastfeeding rates during the pandemic. For example, a study completed in the United Kingdom found that 18.9% of mothers reported they stopped breastfeeding due to lack of support, which was more common amongst mothers from minority backgrounds.[15] Another study compared breastfeeding patterns between pandemic and pre-pandemic groups and found that women in the pandemic group were 15% less likely to exclusively breastfeed.[16]

Using data from the COVID-19 Mother Baby Outcomes (COMBO) longitudinal study, we previously found that mothers with prenatal SARS-CoV-2 infection were less likely to breastfeed at 1, 2, and 4 months of infant age than mothers without prenatal SARS-CoV-2 infection.[17] This difference was particularly evident in mothers who delivered during the second wave of the pandemic, and was present in our sample despite our hospital system's encouragement of newborns rooming-in with their mothers and direct breastfeeding, contrary to early national health recommendations. Women of Latina ethnicity who had a SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy were particularly likely to report decreased breastfeeding rates.[17] These results highlight the need to protect and increase traditional networks of breastfeeding support that may have been disrupted during the COVID-19 pandemic, and to increase accessibility of these resources to women in sociodemographic groups historically underrepresented in healthcare.

Decreased breastfeeding rates have been associated with higher levels of household food insecurity,[18-20] potentially contributing to perceived poorer maternal diet, high stress levels, and the limiting of healthy foods.[21] Initial recommendations during the beginning of the COVID-19

pandemic included mother-newborn separation and no direct breastfeeding. However, due to lack of evidence for vertical transmission, providers began to encourage mother-infant skin-to-skin contact and direct breastfeeding after appropriate hand and breast hygiene.[22] Though it appears that breastfeeding rates decreased during the acute phases of the pandemic, it is not yet well understood how these relationships developed as the social environment changed throughout its various waves.

Though the economic and social disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic are well-documented, it is unclear how infant feeding practices may have been influenced by food insecurity during this time. As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, parents experienced heightened levels of stress, with mothers likely bearing a disproportionate share of the burden, which may have affected infant feeding practices.[23-28] Further understanding of the associations between food insecurity in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and maternal-infant feeding practices is crucial to inform programs and interventions that seek to improve breastfeeding rates and support mother-infant bonding. This is especially important when considering historically marginalized populations that are disproportionally burdened by food insecurity and disparities in breastfeeding rates. As New York City (NYC) was an early epicenter of the pandemic and since a majority of our population resides in NYC's low-income Washington Heights' neighborhood primarily composed of Black and Latino-identifying individuals, it is essential to explore and understand these relationships.

The primary objectives of our study were to determine the association between household food insecurity and breastfeeding practices and maternal feeding confidence during the COVID-19 pandemic in NYC. Secondary objectives of our study were to examine this association and food insecurity and breastfeeding practices between the early and later time periods of the

COVID-19 pandemic. We hypothesized that mothers with food insecurity during the pandemic would be less likely to breastfeed and report lower infant feeding confidence.

Methods

Study design, study site, and study population

Our cross-sectional study was nested within Columbia University Irving Medical Center's (CUIMC) COVID-19 Mother Baby Outcomes (COMBO) ongoing prospective cohort study, investigating the health of mother-infant dyads with prenatal maternal severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infections versus case-matched negative controls. History of maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection was determined by nasopharyngeal PCR beginning March 22, 2020 and additionally by serological testing for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies beginning July 20, 2020. Mother-infant dyads with history of maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection (exposed) were matched to 1-3 control dyads (unexposed) with a comparable infant sex, gestational age at birth, mode of delivery and date of birth who tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 at the time of delivery and had no record of maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection before or during pregnancy. Dyads enrolled into COMBO at infants' birth to 2 months of age. Participants were recruited by research assistants and clinicians via phone calls, SMS, and emails, and then were asked to complete a 30-60-minute self-administered survey. Eligibility criteria for our study include COMBO-enrolled English- and/or Spanish-speaking mothers who gave birth from March 2020 at CUIMC/NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital (NYP).

CUIMC/NYP is located in the Washington Heights/Inwood community of northern Manhattan and handles 6,000-7,000 live births per year. Forty-six percent of residents of this

community are foreign born, a rate higher than the national average of 13.7%, and 15.5% live in poverty.[29,30]

All study procedures were approved by the Columbia University Irving Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

Exposure Variable

The main predictor variable—food insecurity in the prior 30 days—was measured using a 2-item survey, adapted from the validated Hunger Vital Sign™.[31] The 2-item survey captured both acute worry of having enough food ("Within the past 1 month have you worried that your food would run out before you got money to buy more?") and not having resources to acquire food ("Within the past 1 month did the food you bought just not last and you didn't have money to get more?"). Participants answered either "Often," "Sometimes," or "Never" to both questions. Participants responded to this question upon COMBO baseline enrollment. Mothers who answered "often" or "sometimes" to either or both items were defined as being food insecure.

Outcome Measures

Main outcomes of (i) maternal confidence with infant feeding after hospital discharge and (ii) infant feeding practices were measured via a self-administered baseline questionnaire at COMBO enrollment at either 1- or 2-months postpartum. These questions were developed by clinicians at CUIMC working in the Newborn Clinic at the onset of the pandemic for the purpose of data collection for the COMBO study. Breastfeeding practices were measured from an infant feeding practice question where mothers reported: "all breastmilk," "mostly breastmilk but some formula," "equal amounts of breastmilk and formula," "mostly formula but some breastmilk," or "all formula."[32] The 5-level variable was subsequently combined into a 3-level variable of "all

breastmilk," "breastmilk and formula" and "all formula." Maternal confidence with infant feeding (both formula and breastfeeding) was measured using a 4-item Likert scale with responses ranging from "completely confident" to "not at all confident." Maternal prenatal breastfeeding intention was also measured by an affirmative response to the questions: "Has it always been your plan to do mostly/all breastmilk?"

Covariates

Additional sociodemographic covariates associated with food insecurity and breastfeeding practices *a priori* were abstracted from electronic health records: maternal age, infant age, self-reported ethnicity and race, insurance status, gestational age, delivery method, and infant sex based on results from other studies.[21,33-36]

Statistical analysis

Mother-infant dyads with complete exposure and outcome data were included in the final analytic sample. Bivariate analyses compared covariates and outcomes by food insecurity status. Categorical variables were analyzed using Chi-square and Fisher Exact tests and continuous variables were analyzed using Mann-Whitney U tests. Ordinal logistic regression models estimated the association between food insecurity and outcome measures significant on bivariate analyses. After assessing for collinearity among variables, models were adjusted for non-collinear *a priori* covariates and covariates significant at the $p < 0.25$ level during model-building: maternal prenatal SARS-CoV-2 infection status, main language spoken in the home, infant sex, infant age at COMBO enrollment, and maternal age. Secondary analyses compared food insecurity level of maternal infant feeding confidence levels between waves of the NYC COVID-19 pandemic (Wave 1 March 2020-December 2021 and Wave 2 January 2022-May 2024). The adjusted models were then stratified by wave. Statistical analyses were conducted

using RStudio (Version 2024.04.2+764, R Core Team, 2025), and statistical significance was at the $P < 0.05$ level.

Results

Our sample was comprised of N=606 mother-infant dyads who gave birth between March and May of 2024, of which 40% of dyads had been exposed to prenatal SARS-CoV-2 infection. Sample descriptive statistics are summarized in Table 1. Half (48% [N=289]) of mothers self-identified as Latina, 33% as White (N=200), 10% as Black (N=63), and 4% as Asian (N=27). Mean maternal age was 31.6 ± 5.7 years, mean maternal body mass index (BMI) at delivery was 31.8 ± 6.7 kg/m^2, and 36% (N=231) mothers delivered via C-section. Mean gestational age for all infants was 38.7 ± 1.9 weeks. More than half of the mothers (52%, N=316) had private insurance.

Bivariate statistics comparing the relationship between food insecurity status and demographic characteristics are also summarized in Table 1. Approximately 24% of mothers were food insecure in the last 30 days based on the criteria of the validated Hunger Vital Sign criteria.[31] There was a significant association between food insecurity and prenatal SARS-CoV-2 infection, with mothers who experienced prenatal SARS-CoV-2 infection more likely to experience food insecurity in the last month ($p=0.04$). Spanish-speaking mothers (45% food insecure mothers vs. 9% of food secure Spanish-speaking mothers, $p<0.001$) and mothers who self-identified as of Latina (83% vs. 17% of non-Latina food insecure mothers, $p<0.001$) were more likely to experience food insecurity. Mothers experiencing food insecurity were also more likely to be younger (mean age 30.0 ± 6.2 years vs. 32.2 ± 5.5 years, $p<0.001$), have public health coverage (80% of food insecure mothers had public health coverage, while 19% had

private coverage, $p<0.001$), have lower levels of education ($p<0.001$), and have more children in their households ($p=0.01$). Mothers who delivered via C-section were also more likely to experience food insecurity ($p=0.03$).

Approximately 54% of the entire sample reported being completely confident in feeding their infant after hospital discharge. Food insecurity was not significantly associated with maternal infant feeding confidence ($p=0.062$). There was no significant difference between food insecure and food secure mothers' intention to breastfeed. 70% of food insecure mothers reported an intention to breastfeed vs. 75% of food secure mothers.

In unadjusted models of the entire sample, food insecurity was associated with formula feeding ($p<0.001$). Only 18% of mothers experiencing food insecurity exclusively breastfed their child, while 26% exclusively formula-fed. By contrast, 41% of mothers not experiencing food insecurity exclusively breastfed their child, while 13% of them only formula-fed.

Although not statistically significant, after adjusting for covariates, mothers experiencing food insecurity had approximately twice the odds of formula feeding compared to food-secure mothers (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] of exclusively breastfeeding 0.47, 95% confidence interval -1.54, 0.03, $p=0.059$) (Table 2).

In the adjusted model that includes food insecurity and COVID-19 wave, the interaction was significant ($p=0.021$). In comparing the first and second waves of the pandemic (March 2020-December 2021 vs. January 2022-May 2024), there was no significant difference in rate of food insecurity ($p=0.09$) or in mothers' confidence in their ability to feed their infant after hospital discharge ($p=0.74$). Mothers who gave birth in the first wave of the pandemic were more likely to exclusively breastfeed compared to others who gave birth in the second wave of the pandemic (38% vs. 24%, $p=0.004$). Food insecurity was significantly associated with less of a

likelihood of formula feeding in both waves, with ORs of 0.33 (95% CI: -1.87, -0.34, p=0.0044) in Wave 1 and 0.034 (95% CI: -6.74, -1.14, p=0.012) in Wave 2. This suggests that food-insecure mothers are more likely to formula feed.

Infant's adjusted age shows a positive but non-significant association with formula feeding in Wave 1 (OR=1.37, p=0.063), whereas in Wave 2, it is significantly associated with lower odds of formula feeding (OR=0.18, p=0.036), indicating that as infants grow older, they may be more likely to continue breastfeeding. Maternal BMI is consistently associated with increased formula feeding across both waves, with significant ORs of 1.11 (p<0.001) in Wave 1 and 1.18 (p=0.0095) in Wave 2, highlighting that higher maternal BMI may be a predictor of formula feeding. Additionally, maternal age is inversely associated with formula feeding, with significant results in both waves (p<0.001 in Wave 1, p=0.049 in Wave 2), suggesting older mothers are more likely to breastfeed. Mode of delivery (C-section) is linked to a lower likelihood of formula feeding in Wave 1 (OR=0.39, p=0.0087), but the effect is not statistically significant in Wave 2 (p=0.11), indicating that the impact of delivery method on feeding choice may diminish over time.

Discussion

Almost one-third of the mothers in our sample experienced food insecurity, a rate higher than the national household food insecurity rate of 11% from 2019,[4] suggesting that the pandemic may have exacerbated rates of food insecurity in New York City, consistent with reports of increased rates of food insecurity across the nation. In 2022, food insecurity rates were reported to be 16.6% in the Washington Heights and Inwood area.[37] Though most would associate breastfeeding as a way of saving money, reports from food insecure mothers in Canada

found that breastfeeding did not actually save families money as there was often little money for food to begin with in these households, meaning that infant feeding method had little to no effect on finances.[38] Food insecure mothers reported that breastfeeding was still costly for them, as they had to purchase groceries to ensure that their breastmilk provided their children with all of the necessary nutrients. Mothers also feared that their own inability to prioritize their nutrition would negatively affect their children. In Nova Scotia, the cost for the extra food needed for a lactating mother (between the ages 19 to 30) cost $35.33 per month on top of the cost for a basic nutritious diet, which was $222.47 in 2012.[38] Increased financial insecurity during the pandemic[39] may have exacerbated these concerns.

In the overall sample of mothers who delivered an infant during the first and second waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in New York City, food insecurity was significantly associated with feeding method, but was no longer significantly associated after adjusting for confounders. However, it is important to note that these results trended towards significance ($p=0.059$). Though food insecurity only appears to trend towards significance, Maternal Ethnicity, Infant's Adjusted Age, Maternal BMI, and Mode of Delivery all were significantly associated with exclusive breastfeeding practices. By contrast, secondary analyses determined that food insecurity was significantly associated with lower adjusted odds of exclusive breastfeeding in Wave 1 and Wave 2 when the waves were analyzed separately. This suggests that possibility of external factors not captured by the study, such as changes in NYC and governmental policies, during Wave 1 and Wave 2 that may have dampened the relationship between food insecurity status and infant feeding across the entire time period of the overall sample.

Buccini et al. conducted a meta-analysis of 12 studies and found that household food insecurity was associated with reduced rates of exclusive breastfeeding.[40] In this meta-analysis, the study with the largest sample size (N=7950),[33] found that women with food security were more likely to exclusive breastfeed their child. Yet previous studies have found no association between food insecurity and exclusive breastfeeding duration and practices or with other poor feeding behaviors.[20,33,36,41] During other stressful conditions, such as natural disasters and conflict situations, mothers reported that lacking privacy, spaces conducive to breastfeeding, limited fluid and energy intake, stress, and exhaustion deterred them from breastfeeding.[42,43] Mothers may have similar concerns in the context of a pandemic. Work-from-home and stay-at-home orders may have conferred less privacy to mothers seeking to breastfeed. Moreover, increased access to certain resources such as infant formula through programs like WIC, which saw a 2.1% increase in use during the first year of the pandemic, and other food emergency programs may have disincentivized women from exclusive breastfeeding.[44,45]

The COVID-19 pandemic's effects on breastfeeding practices are yet to be fully understood. Mothers in our sample with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were less likely to breastfeed. Studies suggest that COVID-19 is not transmitted through breastmilk,[46] yet multiple studies found that mothers with SARS-CoV-2 infection were less likely to breastfeed their child or have reduced breastfeeding duration.[47,48] Some studies found overall lower breastfeeding rates during the pandemic,[46] while others reported increased breastfeeding duration due to the pandemic.[49] Decreased breastfeeding rates could be a result of increased stressors during the pandemic, while work-from-home opportunities and stay-at-home orders may have increased the amount of time parents spent near their children, thus providing opportunities for breastfeeding.

Women from our sample who identified as Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin were also less likely to exclusively breastfeed. Other studies have found that minority women were less likely to continue breast feeding during the pandemic, citing a lack of face-to-face support.[50] Services like breastfeeding support can result in additional expenses that individuals more likely to experience socioeconomic stressors, like women of Latino or Hispanic or Spanish origin,[51] may be unable to afford, which may have negatively impacted their ability to secure the supports needed to increase their breastfeeding rates and duration. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic drastically limited both the overall availability of services and in-person service opportunities, as well as options for families to connect in-person with extended relatives, all of which may have contributed to decreased breastfeeding rates.

Mothers with lower BMI were more likely to breastfeed. Previous studies have similarly seen that higher BMI levels can adversely impact breastfeeding initiation and duration.[52,53] Women with higher BMIs often experience lactogenesis and an increase in medical conditions that may prevent them from breastfeeding.[53] Women with higher BMIs are more likely to belong to social groups who are less likely to breastfeed (such as those of lower socio-economic status) and experience greater body image dissatisfaction, both of which have been associated with lower breastfeeding rates.[53] Mothers who delivered via C-section were less likely to breastfeed, which has also been seen in other studies,[54,55] due to problems with latching, positioning and more pain when compared to women who delivered vaginally.[55] The maternal and fetal stress responses associated with complications during C-section delivery may be related to increased difficulties in breastfeeding, while lactogenesis may also be affected by the surgery.[55]

By contrast to our hypothesis, we did not find significant relationship between food insecurity and maternal infant feeding confidence. Maternal feeding confidence has been

associated with perception of control during labor,[56] past performance (e.g., past breastfeeding experiences with other children), vicarious experiences (e.g., watching other women breastfeed), verbal persuasion (e.g., encouragement from influential others, such as friends, family, and lactation consultants), and physiological responses (e.g., fatigue, stress, and anxiety).[57] Food insecure mothers were more likely to have more children; this increased parity, which implies increased feeding experience, may have been a stronger driver of their infant feeding confidence than current experiences of economic hardship with food insecurity. People experiencing food insecurity are more likely to be unemployed[58], meaning they may have had more time to spend time with family and friends, thereby potentially engaging in more vicarious experiences and verbal persuasion, and have increased opportunities for breastfeeding.

As previously discussed, several studies have found a relationship between food insecurity and lack of breastfeeding.[40] Though food insecurity only appeared to trend towards significance in our overall sample, interestingly it was a significant factor when analyzing data in the context of the different waves of the pandemic. These results indicate that maternal factors, food security status, and delivery mode all play roles in feeding choices, with significant shifts between time points throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. The observed significant association between food insecurity and infant feeding practices in the wave-specific analyses, but not in the overall sample, suggests potential temporal variations in the relationship between economic hardship and breastfeeding behaviors. One possible explanation is that external factors, such as changes in social support, government assistance programs, or pandemic-related disruptions, may have influenced feeding decisions differently across time points. Additionally, the stratification of data into waves may have allowed for the detection of nuanced patterns that were obscured in the pooled analysis, where heterogeneity across waves could dilute statistical

significance. It is also possible that different sample characteristics, such as maternal stress levels or access to lactation support, varied between waves and impacted breastfeeding continuation disproportionately. Similar to our overall sample, maternal BMI was consistently associated with increased odds of formula feeding in both waves, suggesting that maternal health and body composition may play a role in feeding decisions. Prior studies have indicated that higher maternal BMI is linked to physiological and psychological barriers to breastfeeding, including experiencing delayed lactogenesis, perceived insufficient milk supply, and lower breastfeeding self-efficacy.[59] These findings highlight the multifaceted nature of infant feeding choices and the need to better understand the factors that influence them and the urgent need for targeted interventions to support breastfeeding.

Here we present food insecurity prevalence and key breastfeeding behaviors and infant feeding outcomes among postpartum mothers during the first waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in New York City, one of the earliest pandemic epicenters in the U.S. Limitations of our study include the cross-sectional design and the sample from a single hospital system, limiting generalizability to other health systems and other regions of the U.S. that experienced first waves of the COVID-19 pandemic later than NYC. Similarly, our sample size may limit the power to identify small effect sizes. The sample included self-reported baseline questionnaires from mothers with infants between 0-4 months-old, which had the potential to allow for self-reporting biases. Unlike the validated Hunger Vital Sign™ that measures food insecurity in the last 12 months, our adapted food insecurity measure only captured acute food insecurity experiences in the prior month, which does not account for food insecurity experiences from earlier time points.

Next steps include longitudinal assessment of food insecurity across multiple time points in the ongoing COMBO study, and collection of quantitative and qualitative data around

prenatal, perinatal, and postpartum maternal feeding expectations and maternal-infant feeding experiences to support the development of interventions to support breastfeeding initiation, continuation, and maternal confidence. Further research into how already existing health disparities such as food insecurity and low breastfeeding effects are affected in the context of the pandemic will also be beneficial in understanding this complex topic. Future implications of our research include informing prenatal and postpartum interventions to increase breastfeeding knowledge, education, community support and resources and to increase breastfeeding among women with food insecurity and other environmental hardship experiences. Future research should also consider longitudinal approaches to disentangle the dynamic effects of food insecurity on breastfeeding and explore potential policy interventions that may mitigate these disparities.

Table 1. Cohort Characteristics

Variable No. (%) or Mean (± Standard Deviation)	Overall Sample (N=606)	Food Insecure (N=144)	Food Secure (N=446)	P-value
Maternal Characteristics				
SARS-CoV-2 Exposure				
Exposed	244 (40%)	60 (42%)	161 (36%)	0.041
Unexposed	289 (48%)	55 (38%)	233 (52%)	
Unknown	73 (12%)	29 (20%)	52 (12%)	
Language				
English	464 (77%)	77 (54%)	377 (85%)	p<0.001
Spanish	109 (17%)	64 (45%)	39 (9%)	
Other	22 (4)	1 (1%)	21 (5%)	

Ethnicity				
Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin	289 (48%)	118 (83%)	171(38%)	p<0.001
Not Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin	290 (48%)	24 (17%)	266 (60%)	
Race (Asian)				
Asian	27 (4%)	1 (1%)	25 (6%)	p<0.001
Black or African American	63 (10%)	17 (12%)	43 (10%)	
White	200 (33%)	22 (15%)	176 (40%)	
Other	305 (50%)	102 (71%)	193 (43%)	
Medical Coverage				
Public	279 (46%)	115 (80%)	150 (34%)	p<0.001
Private	316 (52%)	27 (19%)	287 (64%)	
Maternal Age at Delivery	31.6 (± 5.7)	30.0 (± 6.2)	32.2 (± 5.5)	p<0.001
Maternal BMI at Delivery	31.8 (± 6.7)	32.1 (± 5.5)	31.8 (± 7.1)	0.24
Maternal Educational Level				
7-12th grade	26 (4%)	16 (11%)	10 (2%)	p<0.001
High school degree/GED	42 (7%)	15 (10%)	27 (6%)	
Partial college	52 (9%)	20 (14%)	32 (7%)	
2-year college degree/4-year college degree/Trade school/apprenticeship	154 (25%)	36 (25%)	117 (26%)	
Graduate degree	154 (25%)	14 (10%)	140 (31%)	
Other	4 (1%)	1 (1%)	3 (1%)	
<u>Infant Characteristics</u>				
Baby Sex				
Male	329 (54%)	87 (60%)	233 (52%)	0.11
Female	277 (46%)	57 (40%)	213 (48%)	
Mode of Delivery				
Vaginal	375 (62%)	77 (53%)	286 (64%)	0.029
C-section	231 (36%)	67 (47%)	160 (36%)	
Number of Children in Household				
1	163 (27%)	27 (19%)	135 (30%)	0.008
2-3	156 (26%)	43 (30%)	113 (25%)	
4+	32 (5%)	12 (8%)	19 (4%)	
Gestational Age	38.7 (± 1.9)	38.6 (± 1.7)	38.7 (± 1.8)	0.34

Birth Weight in Grams	3255.3 (± 557.6)	3269.6 (±571.7)	3258.4 (± 543.9)	0.78

Exposed: *in utero* maternal SARS-CoV-2 exposed infants born during the pandemic; Unexposed: Unexposed infants born during the pandemic; Stress Controls: Infants born pre-pandemic in February 2020.
*Comparisons performed using Chi Squared Test for binomial variables with expected cell values of five and over, Fisher's Exact Test for binomial variables with expected cell values under five, and Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables.
*Some data not available for all participants due to nonresponse.

Table 2. Infant Feeding Method, Adjusted OR and CI: Modelling the Adjusted Odds of Formula Feeding vs. Breastfeeding

	OR	95% CI	P-value
Reference Group			
Food Insecurity Status (Food Secure)			
Food Insecure	0.47	-1.54, 0.030	0.059
SARS-CoV-2 Infection (Unexposed)			
Exposed	0.58	-1.22, 0.13	0.12
Maternal Ethnicity (Not of Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin)			
Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin	0.21	-2.30, -0.85	<0.001
Infant's Adjusted Age	1.43	0.020, 0.70	0.039
Maternal BMI	1.09	0.031, 0.14	0.0022
Mode of Delivery (Vaginal)			
C-section	0.48	-1.44, -0.051	0.035

Table 3. Infant Feeding Method, Adjusted OR and CI: Modelling the Adjusted Odds of Formula Feeding vs. Breastfeeding

	OR	95% CI	P-value
Reference Group			
Food Insecurity Status (Food Secure)			
Food Insecure	0.266	-1.98, -0.68	<0.001
Wave			
Wave 1	12.0	0.75, 5.42	0.021

Table 4. Infant Feeding Method, Adjusted OR and CI: Modelling the Adjusted Odds of Formula Feeding vs. Breastfeeding

	OR	95% CI	P-value
Reference Group			
Food Insecurity Status (Food Secure)			
Food Insecure	0.70	-1.22, 0.53	0.43
SARS-CoV-2 Infection (Unexposed)			
Exposed	0.49	-1.45, 0.0022	0.052
Wave			
Wave 1	3.66×10^6	NA, NA	<0.001
Maternal Ethnicity (Not of Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin)			
Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin	0.31	-2.00, -0.40	0.0036
Medical Coverage (Public)			
Private	2.75	0.14, 1.89	0.023
Baby Sex (Male)			
Female	1.66	-0.22, 1.25	0.17
Maternal Age		-0.14, 0.0061	0.078
Infant's Adjusted Age	1.35	-0.054, 0.65	0.010
Maternal BMI	0.94	0.037, 0.16	0.0016
Mode of Delivery (Vaginal)			
C-section	0.40	-1.68, -0.16	0.018

Table 4. Infant Feeding Method, Adjusted OR and CI: Modelling the Adjusted Odds of Formula Feeding vs. Breastfeeding by Wave

	Wave 1			Wave 2		
	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value
Reference Group						
Food Insecurity Status (Food Secure)						
Food Insecure	0.33	-1.87, -0.34	0.0044	0.034	-6.74, -1.14	0.012
Infant's Adjusted Age	1.37	-0.018, 0.65	0.063	0.18	-3.57, -0.25	0.036
Maternal BMI	1.11	0.047, 0.16	<0.001	1.18	0.054, 0.32	0.0095
Maternal Age	0.89	-0.18, -0.049	<0.001	0.88	-0.28, -0.0051	0.049
Mode of Delivery (Vaginal)						

C-section	0.39	-1.68, -0.25	0.0087	0.26	-3.10, 0.24	0.11

Supplemental Table 1. Demographic Characteristics between COMBO Study Waves

Variable	Wave 1 (March 2020-December 2021) (N=487)	Wave 2 (January 2022-May 2024) (N=110)[¥]	P-value
	No. (%) or Mean (+/- Standard Deviation)		
Maternal Characteristics			
SARS-CoV-2 Exposure			
Exposed	209 (43%)	15 (14%)	<0.001
Unexposed	276 (57%)	13 (12%)	
Unknown	0 (0%)	39 (35%)	
Language			
English	372 (76%)	84 (76%)	0.015
Spanish	82 (17%)	26 (24%)	
Other	22 (5%)	0 (0%)	
Ethnicity			
Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin	228 (47%)	70 (64%)	0.0041
Not Latino or Hispanic or Spanish Origin	248 (51%)	40 (36%)	
Race (Asian)			
Asian	21 (4%)	6 (5%)	0.20
Black or African American	46 (9%)	16 (15%)	
White	167 (34%)	29 (26%)	
Other	242 (50%)	59 (53%)	
Medical Coverage			
Public	212 (44%)	64 (58%)	0.013
Private	264 (54%)	46 (42%)	
Maternal Age at Delivery	31.6 (± 5.6)	31.3 (± 6.1)	0.64
Maternal BMI at Delivery	31.7 (± 6.7)	32.6 (±6.8)	0.25
Maternal Educational Level			
7-12th grade	19 (4%)	7 (6%)	<0.001

High school degree/GED	24 (5%)	16 (15%)	
Partial college	37 (8%)	14 (13%)	
2-year college degree/4-year college degree/Trade school/apprenticeship	134 (28%)	18 (16%)	
Graduate degree	128 (26%)	22 (20%)	
Other	3 (0.6%)	1 (0.9%)	
Number of Children in Household			
1	132 (27%)	31 (28%)	0.41
2-3	117 (24%)	39 (35%)	
4+	24 (5%)	8 (7%)	
Food insecurity status			
Food insecure	112 (23%)	31 (28%)	0.087
Food secure	372 (76%)	66 (60%)	
Maternal Infant Feeding Confidence			
Not at all confident	26 (5%)	6 (5%)	0.63
A little bit confident	45 (9%)	11 (10%)	
Somewhat confident	148 (30%)	29 (26%)	
Completely confident	265 (54%)	63 (57%)	
Don't remember	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.9%)	
Infant Characteristics			
Baby Sex			
Male	267 (55%)	58 (53%)	0.77
Female	220 (45%)	52 (47%)	
Mode of Delivery			
Vaginal	302 (62%)	70 (64%)	0.83
C-section	185 (38%)	40 (36%)	
Gestational Age	38.7 (±1.8)	38.7 (± 2.1)	0.92
Birth Weight in Grams	3260.7 (±553.5)	3258.6 (± 558.6)	0.73

Exposed: *in utero* maternal SARS-CoV-2 exposed infants born during the pandemic; Unexposed: Unexposed infants born during the pandemic; Stress Controls: Infants born pre-pandemic in February 2020.
*Comparisons performed using Chi Squared Test for binomial variables with expected cell values of five and over, Fisher's Exact Test for binomial variables with expected cell values under five, and Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables.
[¥]Some data not available for all participants due to nonresponse and row percentages may not sum to 100%

1. Niles MT, Bertmann F, Belarmino EH, Wentworth T, Biehl E, Neff R. The Early Food Insecurity Impacts of COVID-19. *Nutrients.* 2020;12(7):2096.
2. Anderson SA. Core Indicators of Nutritional State for Difficult-to-Sample Populations. *The Journal of Nutrition.* 1990;120:1555-1598.
3. Fitzpatrick KM, Harris C, Drawve G. Assessing food insecurity in the United States during COVID-19 pandemic. 2020.
4. Bauer L. The COVID-19 crisis has already left too many children hungry in America. 2020.
5. Coleman-Jensen A, Rabbitt MP, Gregory CA, Singh A. *Household Food Security in the United States in 2020.* 2021-09 2021.
6. Morales DX, Morales SA, Beltran TF. Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Household Food Insecurity During the COVID-19 Pandemic: a Nationally Representative Study. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities.* 2021;8(5):1300-1314.
7. Vandenplas Y, Badriul H, Basrowi RW. Breastfeeding is Best. But What After Breastfeeding? *World Nutrition Journal.* 2019;2(2):i-ii.
8. Couto GR, Dias V, de Jesus Oliveira I. Benefits of exclusive breastfeeding: An integrative review. *Nursing Practice Today.* 2020.
9. Organization WH. The optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: a systematic review. 2001.
10. Ip S, Chung M, Raman G, et al. Breastfeeding and maternal and infant health outcomes in developed countries. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep).* 2007(153):1-186.
11. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJD, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *The Lancet.* 2016;387(10017):475-490.
12. Control CfD, Prevention. Breastfeeding among US children born 2011–2018, CDC national immunization survey. *URL: https://www cdc gov/breastfeeding/data/nis_data/results html (date of access December 10, 2021).* 2021.
13. Pediatrics AAo. Breast-feeding and the use of human milk. Pediatrics. 2012.
14. File P-UD. National Immunization Survey-Child. 2020.
15. Brown A, Shenker N. Experiences of breastfeeding during COVID-19: Lessons for future practical and emotional support. *Maternal & Child Nutrition.* 2021;17(1):e13088.
16. Zanardo V, Tortora D, Guerrini P, et al. Infant feeding initiation practices in the context of COVID-19 lockdown. *Early Human Development.* 2021;152:105286.
17. Walzer L, Nichols P, Amoo-Achampong MK, et al. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic and maternal SARS-CoV-2 status on breastfeeding practices in the COMBO cohort. *medRxiv.* 2024:2024.2007.2014.24309868.
18. Miller JD, Young SL, Boateng GO, Oiye S, Owino V. Greater household food insecurity is associated with lower breast milk intake among infants in western Kenya. *Maternal & Child Nutrition.* 2019;15(4):e12862.
19. Young SL, Plenty AHJ, Luwedde FA, et al. Household Food Insecurity, Maternal Nutritional Status, and Infant Feeding Practices Among HIV-infected Ugandan Women Receiving Combination Antiretroviral Therapy. *Maternal and Child Health Journal.* 2014;18(9):2044-2053.

20. Dinour LM, Rivera Rodas EI, Amutah-Onukagha NN, Doamekpor LA. The role of prenatal food insecurity on breastfeeding behaviors: findings from the United States pregnancy risk assessment monitoring system. *International Breastfeeding Journal.* 2020;15(1):30.
21. Gross RS, Mendelsohn AL, Arana MM, Messito MJ. Food Insecurity During Pregnancy and Breastfeeding by Low-Income Hispanic Mothers. *Pediatrics.* 2019;143(6).
22. Dumitriu D, Emeruwa UN, Hanft E, et al. Outcomes of Neonates Born to Mothers With Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection at a Large Medical Center in New York City. *JAMA Pediatrics.* 2021;175(2):157-167.
23. Carli LL. Women, Gender equality and COVID-19. *Gender in Management: An International Journal.* 2020;35(7/8):647-655.
24. Minello A, Martucci S, Manzo LKC. The pandemic and the academic mothers: present hardships and future perspectives. *European Societies.* 2021;23(sup1):S82-S94.
25. Ohlbrecht H, Jellen J. Unequal tensions: the effects of the coronavirus pandemic in light of subjective health and social inequality dimensions in Germany. *European Societies.* 2021;23(sup1):S905-S922.
26. Ben-Yaakov O, Ben-Ari OT. COVID-19-Related anxieties and parenting stress among first-time mothers and fathers in their first year of parenthood. *Psychology & Health.* 2022;37(11):1327-1341.
27. Tchimtchoua Tamo AR. An analysis of mother stress before and during COVID-19 pandemic: The case of China. *Health Care for Women International.* 2020;41(11-12):1349-1362.
28. Liz Hamel AS. Is There a Widening Gender Gap in Coronavirus Stress? April 6, 2020.
29. Center NF. Washington Heights/Inwood MN12. 2024.
30. Francis J, Tontisirin N, Anantsuksomsri S, Vink J. New York State PUMAs.
31. Hager ER, Quigg AM, Black MM, et al. Development and Validity of a 2-Item Screen to Identify Families at Risk for Food Insecurity. *Pediatrics.* 2010;126(1):e26-e32.
32. Gassmann O. Multicultural Teams: Increasing Creativity and Innovation by Diversity. *Creativity and Innovation Management.* 2001;10(2):88-95.
33. Orr SK, Dachner N, Frank L, Tarasuk V. Relation between household food insecurity and breastfeeding in Canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal.* 2018;190(11):E312.
34. Venu I, van den Heuvel M, Wong JP, et al. The breastfeeding paradox: Relevance for household food insecurity. *Paediatrics & Child Health.* 2017;22(4):180-183.
35. Lesorogol C, Bond C, Dulience SJL, Iannotti L. Economic determinants of breastfeeding in Haiti: The effects of poverty, food insecurity, and employment on exclusive breastfeeding in an urban population. *Maternal & Child Nutrition.* 2018;14(2):e12524.
36. Orozco J, Echeverria SE, Armah SM, Dharod JM. Household Food Insecurity, Breastfeeding, and Related Feeding Practices in US Infants and Toddlers: Results From NHANES 2009–2014. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior.* 2020;52(6):588-594.
37. data2go.Nyc. Data2Go: Washington Heights, Inwood & Marble Hill. https://data2go.nyc/map/?id=112*36047015900*food_insecure_puma!undefined!ns*!buttonHolder~other_pop_cd_506~ahdi_puma_1~sch_enrol_cd_112~age_pyramid_male_85_plus_cd_20~median_household_income_puma_397~median_personal_earnings_puma_400~dis_y_perc_puma_102~poverty_ceo_cd_417~unemployment_cd_408~pre

k_cd_107!*air_qual_cd~ahdi_puma*family_homeless_cd_245#14/40.8332/-73.8489. Accessed.
38. Frank L. Exploring Infant Feeding Pratices In Food Insecure Households: What Is The Real Issue? *Food and Foodways.* 2015;23(3):186-209.
39. Parolin Z, Curran M, Matsudaira J, Waldfogel J, Wimer C. Estimating Monthly Poverty Rates in the United States. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management.* 2022;41(4):1177-1203.
40. Buccini G, Larrison C, Neupane S, et al. Complex intertwined association between breastfeeding practices and household food insecurity: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Maternal & Child Nutrition.* 2024;20(4):e13696.
41. Wong PD, Parkin PC, Moodie RG, et al. Total Breastfeeding Duration and Household Food Insecurity in Healthy Urban Children. *Academic Pediatrics.* 2019;19(8):884-890.
42. Hwang CH, Iellamo A, Ververs M. Barriers and challenges of infant feeding in disasters in middle- and high-income countries. *International Breastfeeding Journal.* 2021;16(1):62.
43. United Nations Children's Fund WHO. Advocacy Brief: Breastfeeding in Emergency Situations. 2018.
44. Center FRA. One Year of WIC During COVID-19: Waivers are Vital to Participation and Benefit Redemption. 2025.
45. Health NYSDo. WIC Program. 2024.
46. Al-kuraishy HM, Al-Gareeb AI, Atanu FO, et al. Maternal Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: Safety of Breastfeeding in Infants Born to Infected Mothers. *Frontiers in Pediatrics.* 2021;9.
47. Pérez-Bermejo M, Peris-Ochando B, Murillo-Llorente MT. COVID-19: Relationship and Impact on Breastfeeding—A Systematic Review. *Nutrients.* 2021;13(9):2972.
48. Chien LY, Lee EY, Coca KP, Paek SC, Hong SA, Chang YS. Impact of COVID-19 on breastfeeding intention and behaviour among postpartum women in five countries. *Women Birth.* 2022;35(6):e523-e529.
49. Pritz H, Henkes Z, Graham VM, Romo-Palafox MJ. Breastfeeding During COVID-19 Stay-at-Home Orders: Implications for Future Maternal Work Policies and Health Equity. *Maternal and Child Health Journal.* 2024;28(11):1961-1973.
50. Adesanya AM, Barrett S, Moffat M, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on expectant and new parents' experience of pregnancy, childbirth, breast feeding, parental responsiveness and sensitivity, and bonding and attunement in high-income countries: a systematic review of the evidence. *BMJ Open.* 2022;12(12):e066963.
51. Velasco-Mondragon E, Jimenez A, Palladino-Davis AG, Davis D, Escamilla-Cejudo JA. Hispanic health in the USA: a scoping review of the literature. *Public Health Reviews.* 2016;37(1):31.
52. Wojcicki JM. Maternal Prepregnancy Body Mass Index and Initiation and Duration of Breastfeeding: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Women's Health.* 2011;20(3):341-347.
53. Amir LH, Donath S. A systematic review of maternal obesity and breastfeeding intention, initiation and duration. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth.* 2007;7(1):9.
54. Li L, Wan W, Zhu C. Breastfeeding after a cesarean section: A literature review. *Midwifery.* 2021;103:103117.

55. Hobbs AJ, Mannion CA, McDonald SW, Brockway M, Tough SC. The impact of caesarean section on breastfeeding initiation, duration and difficulties in the first four months postpartum. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth.* 2016;16(1):90.
56. Wang S, Liu J, Guo N, Jiang H. The relationship between birthing related factors and maternal breastfeeding confidence in China. *Women and Birth.* 2021;34(2):196-202.
57. Blyth R, Creedy DK, Dennis C-L, Moyle W, Pratt J, De Vries SM. Effect of Maternal Confidence on Breastfeeding Duration: An Application of Breastfeeding Self-Efficacy Theory. *Birth.* 2002;29(4):278-284.
58. Raifman J, Bor J, Venkataramani A. Association Between Receipt of Unemployment Insurance and Food Insecurity Among People Who Lost Employment During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the United States. *JAMA Network Open.* 2021;4(1):e2035884-e2035884.
59. Brown A. What Do Women Lose if They Are Prevented From Meeting Their Breastfeeding Goals? *Clinical Lactation.* 2018;9(4):200-207.