META-ANALYSIS AND SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF PULMONARY VALVE ANNULUS Z-SCORE AS A PREDICTOR OF VALVE PRESERVATION IN TETRALOGY OF FALLOT RIGHT VENTRICULAR OUTFLOW TRACT RECONSTRUCTION

Johan S. Sitanggang[1]*, Salomo Purba[2]*, Dicky Fakhri[2], Pribadi W. Busro[2], Budi Rahmat[2], Panji Utomo[2], Latifa Hernisa[2], Marshal B. Djaka[3], Doddy P. Pohan[3], Henry H. Sitanggang[4], Noverita S. Vinolina[5], Anisya Z. Arvant[6].

1. Research Unit of Cardiac, Thoracic, and Vascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia.
2. Division of Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery, Department of Surgery, National Cardiovascular Centre of Harapan Kita, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.
3. Division of Cardiac, Thoracic, and Vascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, H. Adam Malik National Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia.
4. Department of Surgery, Drs. H. Amri Tambunan General Hospital, Deli Serdang, Indonesia.
5. Department of Statistics, Academic Senate, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia.
6. Medical Research Unit, National Defense Central Hospital of Commander-in-Chief Soedirman, Ministry of Defense and Military, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Corresponding author: Johan Samuel Sitanggang (email: johansitanggang0701@gmail.com), Salomo Purba (email: salpurba@yahoo.com).

Abstract

Introduction: Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF) is the most prevalent cyanotic congenital heart defect, requiring timely surgical intervention to improve survival. Two primary techniques for right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) reconstruction are transannular patch (TAP) repair and valve-sparing (VS) surgery as a less invasive alternative. While TAP resolves pulmonary stenosis, it often results in long-term pulmonary regurgitation (PR).

Aim and Objectives: This meta-analysis investigates the pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-score as a predictor for choosing VS techniques to minimize complications, mean difference and cut-off analysis.

Methods: A systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted across 32 studies (N=5,931) assessing preoperative PVA z-scores in patients undergoing TAP or VS

RVOT reconstruction. Data extraction followed PRISMA guidelines, with statistical analyses using a random-effects model by Review Manager 5.4.1 and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve evaluation.

Results: The meta-analysis showed significantly higher preoperative PVA z-scores in the VS group (MD: 0.99, 95% CI: 0.73–1.25, $p < 0.00001$). The pooled grand mean PVA z-scores were -1.48 for VS and -2.93 for TAP. ROC analysis identified a z-score threshold of ≥-2.59 (sensitivity: 81.3%, specificity: 75%) as optimal for VS surgery.

Conclusion: This study establishes the PVA z-score as a robust predictor for VS approaches in ToF repair, with a proposed threshold of ≥-2.59 for optimal outcomes. Adoption of VS techniques guided by standardized z-score criteria may reduce PR-associated complications and enhance long-term survival and quality of life.

Keywords: *Tetralogy of Fallot, valve-sparing, valve preservation, transannular patch, Z-score*

Introduction

Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF), the most prevalent cyanotic congenital heart disease (CHD), occurs in approximately 3.4 per 10,000 live births, accounting for 5% to 7% of all congenital heart anomalies.[1, 2, 3] Without prompt surgical intervention, the prognosis is poor, with only 10% of affected individuals surviving beyond 20 years of age, while the majority succumb to complications such as secondary myocardial hypertrophy and heart failure due to prolonged hypoxia. The preferred treatment strategy involves primary repair within the first year of life, with definitive corrective surgery being the optimal approach. The hallmark features of ToF

include right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) obstruction, ventricular septal defect, overriding of the aorta, and right ventricular hypertrophy.[4, 5]

Complete surgical repair of Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF) has become standard practice during infancy, achieving an impressive post-repair survival rate of 95%–98% into adulthood. However, the timing of intervention and choice of surgical techniques can have profound and enduring effects on the need for future reinterventions, overall quality of life, and long-term survival of ToF patients.[2] Reconstruction of the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) can be performed using either transannular patch (TAP) repair or valve-sparing (VS) approaches. Despite these advancements, ongoing debates persist regarding the optimal method of RVOT reconstruction, particularly in tailoring approaches to the severity of the disease in individual patients.[5, 6, 7]

The pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-score is widely utilized as a key predictor for determining the need for transannular patch (TAP) repair, although cutoff values vary globally due to differences in surgical preferences and institutional practices. When the PVA z-score is critically low, TAP repair is typically employed. However, a significant drawback of TAP is the disruption of pulmonary valve integrity, leading to progressive pulmonary regurgitation (PR). Minimizing the risk of postoperative PR during initial repair is essential, as a substantial proportion of repaired Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF) patients may require late pulmonary valve replacement to address complications such as right ventricular dilatation, ventricular arrhythmias, and sudden cardiac death. [5, 8, 9, 10]

To mitigate the long-term sequelae of PR and the need for reoperations, contemporary surgical approaches emphasize pulmonary valve preservation or

valve-sparing (VS) techniques as a less invasive approach during primary ToF repair. Although VS strategies have evolved significantly, they are associated with a trade-off: several studies show higher risk of residual pulmonary stenosis (PS) in the early postoperative but a reduced incidence of PR.[9, 10, 11, 12]

This meta-analysis aims to consolidate institutional experiences worldwide into a unified study, enabling the development of a more accurate preoperative cut-off value for the pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-score as a less invasive approach. By synthesizing data from various centers, this approach seeks to establish a standardized predictive threshold that can guide surgical decision-making. The goal is to minimize complications associated with different operative techniques by leveraging the collective expertise and outcomes reported across institutions over recent years.

Methods

A systematic review and meta-analysis examining the pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-score in the context of preoperative valve-sparing surgery and transannular patch repair was conducted over two months, from September 10 to November 10, 2024. The study adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

Eligibility Criteria

Studies were included in this systematic review if they met the following inclusion criteria:

1. Randomized controlled trials or observational studies.

2. Relevance to the clinical PICO framework:
    - Patients : Patients diagnosed with Tetralogy of Fallot.
    - Intervention : Assessment of preoperative Z-scores in patients undergoing valve-sparing right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) reconstruction.
    - Comparison : Preoperative Z-scores in patients undergoing transannular patch RVOT reconstruction.
    - Outcome : Evaluation of the preoperative mean difference, great means, and the cut-off value of the pulmonary valve annulus z-score for each surgical approach.

Meanwhile, several exclusion criteria were as follows:

1. Uncontrolled studies, such as case series or case reports.
2. Publications not categorized as original research, including review articles or commentaries.
3. Studies with full-text articles not available in English, resulting in a language barrier.

Search and Selection for Studies

A systematic literature search was conducted across multiple databases, including PubMed, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. The search strategy employed the following terms: *("tetralogy of Fallot" OR "ToF") AND ("valve sparing" OR "valve-sparing" OR "annulus sparing") AND ("transannular patch" OR "TAP") AND "z-score"*. Duplicate entries were excluded, and the remaining articles were independently screened for relevance based on their

abstracts by six authors (JS, SP, DF, PB, BR, PU). Full-text reviews of the shortlisted articles were then conducted by the other six authors (LH, MD, DP, HS, NS, AA), applying the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The search process began on September 10, 2024, and was completed on October 30, 2024.

Extraction and Quality Assesment of Data

Data extraction was independently carried out by three authors (JS, SP, AA) using standardized forms. The extracted information included the author, year of publication, study design, sample size, participant age, weight, and preoperative pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-score. The primary variable analyzed in the meta-analysis was the preoperative PVA z-score, reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), for both patient groups undergoing valve-sparing and transannular patch (TAP) right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) reconstruction.

The quality assessment of the included randomized controlled and observational cohort studies was independently conducted by other three authors (MD, DP, HS) using the six domains of the Risk of Bias-2 (RoB-2) tool developed by Cochrane for randomized trials. The results of the quality appraisal were summarized in risk-of-bias graphs and tables, which were generated and integrated into the meta-analysis using the RevMan 5.4.1 software for Windows.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis for this study was conducted using meta-analytic methods implemented in Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.4.1. Continuous variables were analyzed using the inverse-variance method with a random-effects

model, regardless of heterogeneity. The effect estimate was expressed as the mean difference (MD) in forest plot with its standard deviation (SD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Heterogeneity among studies was evaluated using the I^2 statistic, with thresholds of <25%, 26%–50%, and >50% indicating low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. Statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed p-value, with a threshold of ≤0.05.

When data were reported as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs), they were converted to means and standard deviations using the formula proposed by Wan et al.[13] These mean values from the meta-analysis were subsequently used to construct receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves in SPSS version 29. The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to assess the discriminatory power of significant parameters, and optimal cut-off values were determined based on the sensitivity and specificity at various thresholds.[14]

Results

Literature Search and Selection

A systematic literature search was conducted using MeSH terms specified in the methods section across multiple databases, yielding a total of 609 records. After removing 294 duplicate entries and excluding 3 records due to issues such as inaccessible study links or errors, 312 records were screened based on their titles and abstracts for relevance to the meta-analysis topic. From this, 248 records were excluded. The full texts of the remaining 64 studies were then evaluated, leading to the exclusion of 21 studies. Subsequently, 43 studies underwent reassessment according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, resulting in the selection of 32

studies for inclusion in this meta-analysis. The detailed process of the literature search and study selection is illustrated in Figure 1a.

Study Quality Assessment

The studies included in this research comprised both retrospective and prospective cohort designs, with all studies being randomized and controlled. To evaluate potential bias, a quality assessment was conducted using Cochrane's Risk of Bias (RoB) 2 tool. For the overall assessment of the six evaluated aspects, studies were categorized as high risk of bias if one or more aspects were deemed high risk or if two or more aspects were unclear. A study was classified as unclear risk or having some concerns if only one aspect was unclear. Studies with all aspects categorized as low risk were classified as low risk of bias. Among the included studies, 20 (62.5%) were categorized as low risk, 10 (31.25%) as high risk, and 2 (6.25%) as unclear or having some concerns. Summary graphic detailing the assessment is presented in Figure 1b.



Figure 1. Selection of studies and bias assesment: a. PRISMA diagram regarding the selection process; b. Risk of bias assesment of included studies.

Studies Characteristics

A total of 32 studies meeting the inclusion criteria were identified, comprising data from 5,931 patients with Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF).[3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38] Among these, 3,416 patients underwent right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) reconstruction with valve sparing, while 2,515 underwent transannular patching. Regarding study design, 29 studies (90.6%) were retrospective cohort studies, and 3 studies (9.4%) were prospective cohort studies. This meta-analysis encompasses data from three continents, with 17 studies originating from Asia (53.12%), 10 from North America (31.25%), and 5 from Europe (15.63%). Detailed study characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies.

No.	Study	Year	Country	Study Design	Time Period of the Study	Institution(s)	Study Participants		Age		P-value	Weight (kg)		P-value	PVA Z-score		P-value
							VS	TAP	VS	TAP		VS	TAP		VS	TAP	
1	**Stewart et al.**	2005	USA	Retrospective Cohort	1997-2004	Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago	82	20	11 ± 8.4 months	9.4 ± 19 months	0.7	7.4 ± 5.8	7.5 ± 2.8	0.9	-1.7 ± 1.2	-4.8 ± 1.7	**<0.001**
2	**Bové et al.**	2011	Belgium	Retrospective Cohort	1994-2010	University Hospital of Gent	48	47	Median: 7 months	Median: 7.5 months	0.21	-	-	-	−0.86 ± 1.15	−3.26 ± 0.95	**<0.001**
3	**Robinson et al.**	2011	USA	Retrospective Cohort	1997-2008	Boston Children's Hospital	127	111	IBPV: 94 ± 35 days; Hegar: 93 ± 41 days	74 ± 45 days	-+ (for Hegar)	IBPV: 5.21 ± 0.89; Hegar 5.04 ± 1.35	4.56 ± 1.46	-	-2.40 ± 0.96	-2.79 ± 0.95	**<0.001**
4	**Escribano et al.**	2011	Spain	Retrospective Cohort	2001-2009	Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre	11	11	Mean (SD, range): 20.9 (0.9, 19 - 22) weeks		-	Mean (SD, Range): 2.867 (0.594, 1.940 – 4.150)		-	-2.3 ± 1.1‡	-3.3 ± 1.1‡	**0.041**
5	**Hua et al.**	2011	China	Retrospective Cohort	2006-2010	Fuwai Hospital and Cardiovascular Institute	132	7	8.1 ± 3.2 months	7.5 ± 2.1 months	-	7.8 ± 6.2	7.5 ± 3.7	-	1.5 ± 1.1	-4.7 ± 1.2	**0.001**
6	**Sasson et al.**	2012	Israel	Retrospective Cohort	2003-2009	Wolfson Medical Center	69	20	Median (Range): 27 (4–192) months	Median (Range): 36 (3–226) months	0.247	Median (Range): 11.7 (4.3–49)	Median (Range): 9.35 (2.8–42.7)	0.396	0.1 ± 0.174	−3.1 ± 2.33	**<0.0001**
7	**Ito et al.**	2013	Japan	Retrospective Cohort	2005-2011	Mt. Fuji Shizuoka Children's Hospital	11	8	Median (IQR): 6.9 (2.2-16.1) months	Median (IQR): 8.7 (1.0-13) months	0.65	Median (IQR): 6.9 (4.6 to 9.2)	Median (IQR): 6.4 (3.6 to 8.9)	0.68	−4.9 ± 6.89	−6.1 ± 6.85	**0.04**
8	**Kim et al.**	2014	South Korea	Retrospective Cohort	1989-2005	Asan Medical Center	57	57	9.3 ± 1.9 months	9.3 ± 2.2 months	0.891	8.2 ± 1.3	8.3 ± 1.3	0.702	-2.0 ± 1.4	-2.9 ± 1.6	**<0.001**
9	**Vida et al.**	2014	Italy	Retrospective Cohort	2007-Unspecified	Padova University Hospital	34	35	Median (Range):	Median (Range):	0.41	Median (Range):	Median (Range):	0.92	-2.95 ± 7.58	-3.25 ± 8.48	**0.03**

									115 (36-521) days	113 (65-454) days		5.7 (4.6-10)	6.0 (3.2-10.1)				
10	**Choi et al.**	2016	South Korea	Retrospective Cohort	2007-2015	Pusan National University Yangsan Hospital	82	40	10.4 21.5 months	9.6 8.7 months	0.775	7.5 ± 3.8	7.9 ± 2.1	0.464	−0.85 ± 1.27	−2.46 ± 1.47	**<0.001**
11	**Simon et al.**	2017	USA	Retrospective Cohort	2000-2010	University of Rochester Medical Center, SUNY Upstate Medical University	42	48	101.9 ± 60.9 days	147.7 ± 83.2 days	0.002	6.1 ± 1.7	5.6 ± 1.8	0.17	–0.91 ± 1.56	–2.72 ± 1.35	**<0.001**
12	**Stephens et al.**	2017	USA	Retrospective Cohort	2005-2014	New York-Presbyterian Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital, Komansky Center for Children's Health	6	14	94 ± 142 weeks	21 ± 10 weeks	0.494	Median (IQR): 5.7 (5.1 to 6.7)	Median (IQR): 6.4 (5.3 to 7.0)	0.559	-2.0 ± 0.438	-3.1 ± 2.1	**<0.001**
13	**Hickey et al.**	2018	Canada	Retrospective Cohort	2000-2012	The Hospital for Sick Children	296	138	Median (Range): 182.5 (29 - 5527) days	Median (Range): 171.5 (16 - 4235) days	0.576	Median (Range): 6.9 (2.1–67)	Median (Range): 6.8 (2.5–85) kg	0.718	-4.5 ± 17.22	-6.9 ± 17.67	**<0.001**
14	**Jiang et al.**	2020	China	Retrospective Cohort	2012-2017	Fuwai Hospital and Cardiovascular Institute	944	729	Median (Range) 320 (54–6497) days	Median (Range): 318 (57–5969) days	0.934	10.1 ± 5.5	10.0 ± 5.0	0.829	−1.9 ± 13.14	−2.6 ± 15.78	**<0.001**
15	**Pawan et al.**	2020	India	Retrospective Cohort	2019	G. B. Pant Hospital	10	19	18.52 ± 3.45 years	17.28 ± 3.22 years	0.35	35 ± 6.8	36 ± 5.9	0.99	-1.2 ± 0.31	-2.75 ± 0.26	**0.001**
16	**Zhao et al.**	2020	China	Retrospective Cohort	2016-2017	Guangdong Cardiovascular Institute, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangzhou	81	156	9 (6-17) months	8 (6-13) months	0.173	7.5 (6.5-9)	8.0 (7.0-9.0)	0.284	−1.5 ± 1.4	−3.6 ± 1.7	**<0.001**

17	**Stephens et al.**	2021	USA	Retrospective Cohort	2008-2018	Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago	50	17	Median (IQR): 4.9 (2.9 to 5.7) months	Median (IQR): 4.5 (3.5 to 6.0) months	0.23	4.7 ± 0.9	8.0 ± 2.1	**<0.001**	0.87 ± 0.89	-1.1 ± 1.1	**<0.001**
18	**Lyu et al.**	2021	China	Retrospective Cohort	2018-2019	Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University	62	50	21.01 ± 15.73 months	25.22 ± 15.21 months	0.469	9.54 ± 3.75	10.45 ± 4.46	0.246	−0.43 ± 1.42	−2.24 ± 0.94	**<0.001**
19	**Kobayashi et al.**	2022	Japan	Retrospective Cohort	1991-2019	Okayama University Hospital	207	123	Median (IQR): 428 (317-570) days	Median (IQR): 527 (331-714) days	0.081	Median (IQR): 8.4 (7.4-9.6)	Median (IQR): 9 (7.9-10.3)	**0.018**	-1.5 ± 1.7	-3.5 ± 1.5	**<0.001**
20	**Ono et al.**	2022	Japan	Retrospective Cohort	1978-2003	National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center	198	242	Median (IQR): 1.6 (0.9-2.5) years	Median (IQR): 1.9 (1.3-2.7) years	**0.001**	Median (IQR): 10.4 (8.7-12.1)	Median (IQR): 9.3 (7.9-11.7)	**0.002**	0.05 ± 0.15	-1.89 ± 6.51	**<0.001**
21	**Samal et al.**	2022	India	Retrospective Cohort	2021	G.B. Pant institute of postgraduate medical education and research (GIPMER)	46	44	14.2 ± 4.6 months	11.5 ± 6.1 months	0.513	8.3 ± 2.8	7.9 ± 3.5	0.542	− 0.9 ± 1.1	− 3.2 ± 1.2	**0.021**
22	**Bonura et al.**	2023	USA	Retrospective Cohort	2006-2016	Saint Louis University School of Medicine	43	19	-	-	-	6.73 ± 1.24	6.42 ± 1.14	0.36	-1.30 ± 1.08	-2.56 ± 0.71	**<0.001**
23	**Schulte et al.**	2023	USA	Retrospective Cohort	2007-2021	Washington University School of Medicine	71	95	Median (IQR): 4.8 (3.5-6.6) months	Median (IQR): 5 (3.8-6.0) months	0.39	Median (IQR): 6 (5.3-7.0)	Median (IQR): 6.0 (4.98-7.3)	0.49	-2.0 ± 3.54	-3.7 ± 7.72	**<0.001**
24	**Minh et al.**	2024	Vietnam	Retrospective Cohort	2010-2020	Vietnam National Children's Hospital	398	134	Median (IQR): 12.0 (8.9–18.3) months	Median (IQR): 11.7 (8.7–16.9) months	0.66	-	-	-	−0.68 ± 3.03	−2.64 ± 6.65	**<0.001**

25	**Taksaudom et al.**	2024	Thailand	Retrospective Cohort	2006-2016	Chiang Mai University	45	88	Median (IQR): 40 (27-56.5) months	Median (IQR): 41 (33-55) months	0.708	Median (IQR): 12 (11-14.5)	Median (IQR): 12.5 (11.2-14.5)	0.149	-0.8 ± 1.25	-2.3 ± 5.29	**<0.001**
26	**Singh et al.**	2011	India	Prospective Cohort	2008	G. B. Pant Hospital	20	23	Mean (Range): 28 (6–260) months	Mean (Range): 26 (6–180) months	0.19	Mean ± SD (Range): 10.1 ± 5.9 (6–25)	Mean ± SD (Range): 10.6 ± 4.8 (6–54)	0.76	−2.8 ± 1.5	−3.0 ± 1.2	0.64
27	**Mandigma et al.**	2016	Philippines	Prospective Cohort	2012-2014	Philippine Heart Center	40	23	7.0 + 3.8 years	7.5 + 3.5 years	0.64	19.5 + 9.89	22.5 + 10.64	0.27	- 2.1 ± 1.1	- 2.5 ± 1.7	0.27
28	**Sen et al.**	2016	USA	Retrospective Cohort	2010-2014	New York-Presbyterian Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital	19	32	Median (Range): 109 (5–329) days	Median (Range): 156 (6–350) days	0.279	Median (Range): 6.0 (1.9–8.5)	Median (Range): 5.6 (2.3–10.5)	0.941	-2.51 ± 5.57	-3.07 ± 9.08	0.065
29	**Hofferberth et al.**	2017	USA	Retrospective Cohort	2007-2015	Boston Children's Hospital	53	53	96 ± 55 days	83 ± 49 days	0.181	-	-	-	-2.21 ± 0.55	-2.26 ± 0.65	0.67
30	**Borodinova et al.**	2019	Ukraine	Prospective Cohort	2016-2017	Ukrainian Children Cardiac Center	42	16	Median (Range) 7.6 (2.1 - 46.3) months	Median (Range) 7.0 (0.9 - 41.7) months	0.37	Median (Range): 7.8 (4.2 - 15.5)	Median (Range): 6.7 (3.2 - 24.4)	0.14	-3.0 ± 1.9	-3.9 ± - 2	0.063
31	**Wu et al.**	2021	China	Retrospective Cohort	2009-2019	The Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Hunan Children's Hospital, Hunan Provincial People's Hospital	63	65	12.6 ± 4.8 months	14.2 ± 6.7 months	0.113	9.32 ± 1.63	9.12 ± 1.16	0.423	−2.58 ± 0.30	−2.61 ± 0.26	0.502
32	**Lv et al.**	2022	China	Retrospective Cohort	2014-2017	Fuwai Hospital and Cardiovascular Institute	27	27	Median (IQR): 13.0 (6.6-24.0) months	Median (IQR): 11.0 (8.0-19.0) months	0.945	11.6 ± 9.1	11.9 ± 9.6	0.885	−3.2 ± 1.9	−3.5 ± 0.9	0.208

Metaanalysis Outcomes

Preoperative Mean Difference of Z-Score between Valve Sparing and Transannular Patch Group

The quantitative analysis of the preoperative mean difference Z-score was summarized in Figure 2, with 32 inclusion studies. These studies encompassed 5,424 patients with significant p-values across 25 studies and 507 patients from 7 studies with nonsignificant p-values. The overall findings of the meta-analysis demonstrated a positive mean difference, with a statistically significant p-value ($p < 0.05$), indicating an increase in preoperative Z-scores associated with the use of valve-sparing right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) reconstruction (total mean difference [MD]: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.73–1.25; $p < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 97.1\%$). The high heterogeneity of the meta-analysis ($I^2 > 50\%$) necessitated the use of a random-effects model. In the subgroup of studies with significant p-values, the analysis also revealed a positive mean difference, a significant overall p-value, and substantial heterogeneity (total mean difference [MD]: 1.23; 95% CI: 0.92–1.54; $p < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 95\%$).



Figure 2. Forest plot illustrating the meta-analysis of preoperative Z-score mean differences stratified by the type RVOT reconstruction in Tetralogy of Fallot.

Preoperative Grand Mean of Z-Score in Valve Sparing Group

This meta-analysis also evaluated the grand mean of the preoperative Z-score in the valve-sparing group, based on data from 32 studies, as illustrated in Figure 3a. The grand mean preoperative Z-score, calculated from 3,416 patients in the valve-sparing group, was -1.48 (95% CI: -1.88 to -1.09; $p < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 98.1\%$). These results are statistically significant, as indicated by a p-value < 0.05. Due to the high heterogeneity of this analysis ($I^2 > 50\%$), a random-effects model was employed to calculate the grand mean.

Preoperative Grand Mean of Z-Score in Transannular Patch Group

The grand mean of preoperative Z-scores in the transannular patch group, derived from 32 studies, is presented in Figure 3b. Among 2,515 patients in this group, the grand mean preoperative Z-score was -2.93 (95% CI: -3.12 to -2.51; $p < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 89\%$). This result is statistically significant, with a p-value < 0.05. Due to the heterogeneity of the analysis ($I^2 > 50\%$), a random-effects model was applied to calculate the grand mean in this meta-analysis.



Figure 3. Forest plot graphics of preoperative grand mean Z-score in: a. Patients undergoing valve-sparing technique; b. Patients undergoing transannular patch technique.

Preoperative Z-score Cut-Off Assessment for Valve Sparing

An analysis was performed using the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve based on preoperative Z-score data from 32 included studies to determine the optimal Z-score cut-off for valve-sparing procedures, as shown in Figure 4a. The ROC analysis demonstrated an Area Under the Curve (AUC) value of 0.84 (>0.80), indicating excellent discriminatory ability of the preoperative Z-score, with statistically significant results ($p = 0.0001$; <0.05).

The determination of the optimal Z-score cut-off values was based on identifying the best sensitivity and specificity at various points on the curve. Three recommended Z-score cut-offs for guiding valve-sparing procedures were identified: Z-score ≥ -2.59 (sensitivity 81.3%, specificity 75%), Z-score ≥ -2.535 (sensitivity 78.1%, specificity 78.1%), and Z-score ≥ -2.43 (sensitivity 75%, specificity 84.4%). The detailed analysis of these cut-off points is presented in Figure 4b.



Figure 4. Preoperative Z-score cut off evaluation: a. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis; b. Sensitivity and specificity graphic for determining the optimal cut-off point.

Discussion

Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF) is among the most common cyanotic congenital heart defects and carries a poor prognosis without timely surgical intervention. Definitive corrective surgery remains the standard of care for managing ToF. While transannular patch (TAP) repair effectively alleviates pulmonary annular stenosis, its disadvantages include suboptimal long-term outcomes, primarily due to significant postoperative pulmonary regurgitation (PR) and its associated impact on survival rates. Consequently, recent surgical advancements have emphasized valve-sparing techniques aimed at preserving pulmonary valve function, minimizing PR, and enhancing long-term survival and quality of life.[21, 27, 39]

The pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) size is a critical determinant in selecting the appropriate right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) reconstruction strategy for patients with Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF). Patients with a markedly small PVA typically require transannular patch (TAP) repair, whereas those with a sufficiently large PVA are more suitable candidates for valve-sparing approaches. However, for patients with intermediate PVA sizes, the choice of RVOT reconstruction method often depends on the surgeon's expertise, preferences, or institutional practices, highlighting variability in clinical decision-making.[5, 10, 22]

To minimize bias, this meta-analysis, coupled with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis of the preoperative pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-score, aims to provide insights into long-term outcomes, spanning over 20 years, across a large, global cohort of patients who have undergone either transannular patch (TAP) or valve-sparing (VS) RVOT reconstruction strategies. This comprehensive approach allows for a more robust understanding of the long-

term effects of these surgical methods. In this meta-analysis, the mean difference (MD) in pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) Z-scores between patient groups undergoing right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) reconstruction with valve sparing (VS) and transannular patch (TAP) was positive. This result was observed both across all studies (MD: 0.99) and within studies with statistically significant p-values (MD: 1.23). These findings suggest that higher preoperative Z-score values are associated with a greater likelihood of employing valve-sparing techniques. [5, 11, 29, 32]

This meta-analysis also included a grand mean z-score assessment across 32 studies comparing valve-sparing (VS) and transannular patch (TAP) groups. The pooled grand mean pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-scores were -1.48 (95% CI: -1.88 to -1.09) for the VS group and -2.93 (95% CI: -3.12 to -2.51) for the TAP group. The higher grand mean z-score observed in the VS group aligns with the results of the mean difference analysis, supporting the association between larger PVA z-scores and the feasibility of valve-sparing procedures. [23, 25, 28]

While valve-sparing surgery for Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF) could help protect the right ventricle from volume overload, the potential for residual obstruction remains a concern. The preoperative echocardiographic pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-score is commonly used to guide the decision between transannular patch (TAP) and valve-sparing surgery (VS). However, its application is inconsistent, as reflected in the variability of reported median z-scores. Additionally, a definitive "cut-off" value for the PVA z-score to differentiate between these two surgical options has not been universally established, with conflicting evidence in the literature. Some studies suggest a PVA z-score of -2 as a threshold for TAP.[40]

Meanwhile others, such as Stewart et al., found that a PVA z-score greater than -4 predicted successful pulmonary valve preservation with minimal recurrent obstruction.[15] Conversely, a Japanese study reported that even in patients with PVA z-scores below -4 (ranging from -6.3 to -4.3), valve-sparing surgery was successful in 58% of cases.[21] Furthermore, other studies indicate that valve-sparing techniques can be effectively performed in patients with PVA z-scores as low as -2.5, without increasing the risk of reintervention for residual RVOT obstruction, compared to TAP.[3]

Currently, no definitive pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-score cut-off value exists to guide the choice of right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) reconstruction. This study proposes three optimal cut-off values with the highest sensitivity and specificity for discrimination. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis demonstrated significant results, indicating an excellent discriminatory ability of the PVA z-score. The recommended cut-off values are as follows: z-score ≥ -2.59 (sensitivity 81.3%, specificity 75%), z-score ≥ -2.535 (sensitivity 78.1%, specificity 78.1%), and z-score ≥ -2.43 (sensitivity 75%, specificity 84.4%). Among these, the z-score ≥ -2.59 is preferred due to its higher sensitivity, enhancing the detection rate for valve-sparing (VS) reconstruction. This recommendation aligns with recent studies emphasizing the benefits of maximizing VS techniques over transannular patch (TAP) repair due to minimizing complications of TAP such as severe pulmonary insuficiency, cardiac arrhythmia, right ventricular dilatation, even sudden cardiac death. [9, 21, 22, 37, 38]

Conclusions

Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF) remains one of the most prevalent cyanotic congenital heart defects, with surgical intervention being crucial for long-term survival. While transannular patch (TAP) repair has been the standard for addressing pulmonary annular stenosis, its associated long-term complications, particularly severe pulmonary regurgitation (PR), necessitate alternative approaches. Valve-sparing techniques offer a promising strategy by preserving pulmonary valve function, potentially enhancing long-term outcomes and patient quality of life. This meta-analysis supports the association between larger preoperative pulmonary valve annulus (PVA) z-scores and the successful application of valve-sparing surgery. Furthermore, the study provides valuable insights into optimal PVA z-score cut-off values, which can guide surgical decision-making and improve patient outcomes. The proposed z-score thresholds ($\geq$ -2.59) demonstrate high sensitivity and specificity, offering a more reliable tool for distinguishing between valve-sparing and transannular patch reconstruction options. These findings underscore the importance of individualized surgical planning based on precise preoperative assessments and advocate for the broader use of valve-sparing techniques to minimize the adverse effects associated with TAP repair, ultimately improving survival and reducing long-term complications.

Funding Statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency.

Competing Interest

The authors have no competing interests to disclose in relation to this meta-analysis and systematic review.

References

1. Van der Ven, Jelle PG, et al. "Current outcomes and treatment of tetralogy of Fallot." *F1000Research* 8 (2019).
2. Vanderlaan, Rachel D., and David J. Barron. "Optimal surgical management of tetralogy of Fallot." *CJC Pediatric and Congenital Heart Disease* (2023).
3. Hofferberth, Sophie C., et al. "Valve-sparing repair with intraoperative balloon dilation in tetralogy of Fallot: midterm results and therapeutic implications." *The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery* 155.3 (2018): 1163-1173.
4. Martins, Izabela F., et al. "When is the best time for corrective surgery in patients with tetralogy of Fallot between 0 and 12 months of age?." *Brazilian journal of cardiovascular surgery* 33.5 (2018): 505-510.
5. Kim, Gwan Sic, Seungbong Han, and Tae-Jin Yun. "Pulmonary annulus preservation lowers the risk of late postoperative pulmonary valve implantation after the repair of tetralogy of Fallot." *Pediatric cardiology* 36 (2015): 402-408.
6. Kwon, Michael H., and Emile A. Bacha. "Pulmonary valve-sparing techniques for tetralogy of Fallot: a systematic approach for maximizing success and minimizing risk." *Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery: Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Annual*. Vol. 23. WB Saunders, (2020).

7. Jiang, Xianchao, et al. "Impact of annulus-sparing on surgical adequacy of pulmonary valve in complete repair of tetralogy of fallot with right ventricular outflow tract incision." *Pediatric Cardiology* 42 (2021): 379-388.
8. Lv, Lizhi, et al. "Feasibility and Surgical Effect of Annulus Sparing in Consecutive Patients with Tetralogy of Fallot: A Retrospective Cohort Study." Cardiology Discovery 2.04 (2022): 218-225.
9. Lyu, Zhenyu, Mei Jin, and Yifei Yang. "Value of pulmonary annulus index in predicting transannular patch in tetralogy of Fallot repair." *Journal of Cardiac Surgery* 36.7 (2021): 2197-2203.
10. Samal, Satyajit, et al. "Role of great artery annulus ratio to predict transannular patch enlargement in repair of tetralogy of Fallot." *The Cardiothoracic Surgeon* 30.1 (2022): 25.
11. Vida, Vladimiro L., et al. "Evolving strategies for preserving the pulmonary valve during early repair of tetralogy of Fallot: mid-term results." *The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery* 147.2 (2014): 687-696.
12. Zhao, Junfei, et al. "Value of pulmonary annulus area index in predicting transannular patch placement in tetralogy of Fallot repair." *Journal of Cardiac Surgery* 35.1 (2020): 48-53.
13. Wan, Xiang, et al. "Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range." *BMC medical research methodology* 14 (2014): 1-13.
14. Hariyanto, Timotius Ivan, et al. "Inflammatory and hematologic markers as predictors of severe outcomes in COVID-19 infection: A systematic review

and meta-analysis." *The American journal of emergency medicine* 41 (2021): 110-119.

15. Stewart, Robert D., et al. "Tetralogy of Fallot: results of a pulmonary valve-sparing strategy." *The Annals of thoracic surgery* 80.4 (2005): 1431-1439.
16. Bové, Thierry, et al. "Assessment of a right-ventricular infundibulum-sparing approach in transatrial–transpulmonary repair of tetralogy of Fallot." *European journal of cardio-thoracic surgery* 41.1 (2012): 126-133.
17. Escribano, D., et al. "Tetralogy of Fallot: prediction of outcome in the mid-second trimester of pregnancy." *Prenatal diagnosis* 31.12 (2011): 1126-1133.
18. Hua, Zhongdong, et al. "A new pulmonary valve cusp plasty technique markedly decreases transannular patch rate and improves midterm outcomes of tetralogy of Fallot repair." *European journal of cardio-thoracic surgery* 40.5 (2011): 1221-1226.
19. Robinson, Joshua D., et al. "The evolving role of intraoperative balloon pulmonary valvuloplasty in valve-sparing repair of tetralogy of Fallot." *The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery* 142.6 (2011): 1367-1373.
20. Sasson, Lior, et al. "Right ventricular outflow tract strategies for repair of tetralogy of Fallot: effect of monocusp valve reconstruction." *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery* 43.4 (2013): 743-751.
21. Ito, Hiroki, et al. "Technical modification enabling pulmonary valve-sparing repair of a severely hypoplastic pulmonary annulus in patients with tetralogy of Fallot." *Interactive cardiovascular and thoracic surgery* 16.6 (2013): 802-807.

22. Choi, Kwang Ho, et al. "A novel predictive value for the transannular patch enlargement in repair of tetralogy of Fallot." *The Annals of thoracic surgery* 101.2 (2016): 703-707.
23. Simon, Bartholomew V., et al. "Use of a Dacron annular sparing versus limited transannular patch with nominal pulmonary annular expansion in infants with tetralogy of Fallot." *The Annals of thoracic surgery* 103.1 (2017): 186-192.
24. Stephens, Elizabeth H., et al. "Cardiac function after tetralogy of Fallot/complete atrioventricular canal repair." *World Journal for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery* 8.2 (2017): 189-195.
25. Hickey, Edward, et al. "Annulus-sparing tetralogy of Fallot repair: low risk and benefits to right ventricular geometry." *The Annals of thoracic surgery* 106.3 (2018): 822-829.
26. Pawan S, Agarwal P, Saha D.. Effect of transannular patching on right ventricular function as compared to non transannular patch during early postoperative recovery in repair of adult tetralogy of fallot. Ind J Basic Appl Med Res (2020);9:240-47.
27. Stephens, Elizabeth H., et al. Applicability and durability of valve-sparing tetralogy of Fallot repair. World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg (2021);12:628–34.
28. Kobayashi, Yasuyuki, et al. "Staged repair of tetralogy of Fallot: a strategy for optimizing clinical and functional outcomes." *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 113.5 (2022): 1575-1581.

29. Ono, Yoshikazu, et al. "Impact of right ventriculotomy for tetralogy of Fallot repair with a pulmonary valve–sparing procedure." *JTCVS open* 9 (2022): 191-205.
30. Bonura, Erica D., Zidong Zhang, and Renuka Peterson. "Incidence of branch pulmonary artery stenosis requiring intervention based on surgical repair type for tetralogy of Fallot." *Progress in Pediatric Cardiology* 68 (2023): 101606.
31. Schulte, Linda J., et al. "Evolution of pulmonary valve management during repair of tetralogy of Fallot: a 14-year experience." *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 115.2 (2023): 462-469.
32. Minh, Dien T., et al. "Effectiveness of pulmonary valve-sparing strategy for transatrial-transpulmonary repair of tetralogy of Fallot: a single institution experience." *Cardiology in the Young* (2024): 1-8.
33. Taksaudom, Noppon, et al. "Comparison of Right Ventricular Outflow Tract Reconstruction Techniques on Mid-Term Pulmonic Valve Fate." *World Journal for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery* (2024): 21501351241237957.
34. Singh, Sanjeev, et al. "Pulmonary valve preservation in Tetralogy of Fallot with a mildly hypoplastic annulus-should we do it?." *Indian Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* 27 (2011): 76-82.
35. Sen, Danielle Gottlieb, et al. "Aiming to preserve pulmonary valve function in tetralogy of Fallot repair: comparing a new approach to traditional management." *Pediatric cardiology* 37 (2016): 818-825.

36. Mandigma, Lorielyn G., and Ma Bernadette A. Azcueta. "Surgical Outcomes in Pediatric Patients, Who Underwent Tetralogy of Fallot Correction, Relative to Different Techniques Used to Relieve Right Ventricular Outflow Obstruction." (2016).
37. Borodinova, Olga, Yaroslav Mykychak, and Illya Yemets. "Transesophageal echocardiographic predictor of significant right ventricular outflow tract obstruction after tetralogy of Fallot repair." *Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. Vol. 32. No. 2. WB Saunders, 2020.
38. Wu, Ming, et al. "Clinical Application of Individualized Pulmonary Bi-Orifice for the Reconstruction of Right Ventricular Outflow Tract in Tetralogy of Fallot." *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine* 8 (2021): 772198.
39. Yi, Kang, et al. "Surgical strategies for preservation of pulmonary valve function in a radical operation for tetralogy of Fallot: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine* 9 (2022): 888258.
40. Awori, Mark Nelson, et al. "Optimal use of Z-scores to preserve the pulmonary valve annulus during repair of tetralogy of Fallot." *World Journal for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery* 9.3 (2018): 285-288.