Critically ill patients with a reverse blood pressure dipping phenotype at increased risk for delirium and death.

Nadim El Jamal MD[1], Thomas G. Brooks PhD[1], Antonijo Mrcela, PhD[1], Michael V. Genuardi[2], MD, Garret A. FitzGerald MD[1,2] & Carsten Skarke MD[1,2]

[1] Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics (ITMAT), University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA

[2] Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Corresponding Authors:

Nadim El Jamal MD (Nadim.ElJamal@pennmedicine.upenn.edu) & Carsten Skarke, MD (cskarke@pennmedicine.upenn.edu, skarkec@gmail.com) Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics (ITMAT), University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Smilow Center for Translational Research 10-101, 3400 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

Abstract

Background: The ICU environment is disruptive to a patient's biological rhythms where sleep-wake cycles are often desynchronized from the environmental day-night changes. This puts patients at increased risk to develop delirium with consequent fiscal pressure for the health care system. An underappreciated dimension is how time-specific patient phenotypes in the critical care environment relate to clinical outcomes. We set out to analyze how rhythmic components (or the lack thereof) in physiological data streams sampled at high resolution in the ICU were associated with the future incidence of delirium and death. To offer cues for further interrogation into mechanism and risk prognosis, we examined differences in 24-hour fluctuations of clinical labs in ICU patient populations at risk.

Methods: Rhythmic components using dipping ratios and JTK_CYCLE statistics were derived from 24-hour blood pressure and heart rate measurements available from ICU patient admissions recorded in the MIMIC IV database. Logistic adjusted regression models assessed the association between disrupted vital sign rhythms and the future incidence of delirium during the same hospital admission and death. Aggregation of numeric clinical lab measurements across the first 24 hours from all patient admissions allowed modelling of rhythmic patterns and subsequent association studies to link potential biochemical mechanisms to perturbed vital sign rhythms and adverse ICU outcomes.

Results: Patients with reverse blood pressure dipping were at a 40% higher risk to have a diagnosis of delirium (Odds Ratio: 1.40, 95% CI: 1.14-1.72) and a 13% increased risk of death (Odds Ratio: 1.13, 95% CI: 1.02-1.26). Compared to the patient population with nocturnal blood

pressure dip, reverse dippers showed 24-hour biochemistry profiles suggestive of altered

circadian programs specifically in clinical parameters of renal, metabolic, and hemostatic

function.

Conclusions: Reverse blood pressure dipping can be an early sign for the future development of

delirium in the ICU and is accompanied by disrupted biorhythms across multiple organ systems.

Dampened and reversed heart rate and blood pressure rhythms are associated with a higher risk

for death in ICU patients. Considering the inclusion of these risk factors in preventive care may

improve patient outcomes and reduce burden on the health care system.

Keywords

Delirium, mortality, blood pressure, heart rate, biological rhythms, circadian disruption, critical

care, diurnal variability, clinical biochemistry, risk assessment.

Background

The environment in the intensive care unit (ICU) with frequent checkups, procedures and abundant light and sound exposure is disruptive to a patient's biological rhythms. The most common concern in the ICU are sleep-wake cycles desynchronized from the environmental day-night changes. Large-scale quantification of disrupted sleep-wake cycles remains a challenge and requires dedicated tools ranging from surveys to wearable devices[1]. However, physiological oscillators, such as heart rate, blood pressure, and body temperature, are recorded in the ICU at high frequencies[1-3] and may serve as proxy features to quantify the disruption of diurnal rhythms, allowing evaluation of the association of circadian disruption with clinical outcomes.

About a third of critically ill patients admitted to the ICU develop delirium, a condition described as a mental state of confusion with reduced awareness of surroundings.[4] Characteristic is a sudden onset over hours to days, and patients diagnosed with delirium face an increased incidence of dementia and mortality among other poor outcomes.[4-6] Delirium is multifactorial but notable risk factors for developing this condition include day to night disorientation and sleep deprivation which both indicate disrupted circadian programs.[4, 7] Longer hospital admissions, additional outpatient visits and increased needs for rehabilitation put an enormous strain on the health care system. The costs attributable to this have been estimated to amount to hundreds of billions of US dollars.[8]

Parsing diurnal variability in time series data allows to identify time-specific differences and their association to disease outcomes. In 24-hour blood pressure monitoring, for example, the

nocturnal dip is a commonly used metric to gauge a patient's risk to develop cardiovascular and

kidney disease.(9-12) However, more comprehensive methods to interrogate daily oscillations

have been developed. Adopting the JTK_CYCLE algorithm that assesses the significance of

rhythmic components, defined as repeat cycles in time series data where a steady increase

towards a peak is followed by a steady decrease towards a trough, (13) we were able to improve

risk stratification for patients with chronic renal insufficiency based on their 24-hour blood

pressure profile.(14) For large cohort studies, we demonstrated the utility of rhythm

measurement by associating dampened amplitudes of wrist temperature traces with the future

onset of 73 diseases.(15) We extended this work to ask if we can identify rhythmic components

in time series data collected in the ICU environment and how these relate to clinical outcomes.

We leveraged MIMIC IV as an electronic health record dataset to parse time-specific vital signs

and patient outcomes. We found that in the ICU environment, patients with reverse blood

pressure dipping - known to be a high-risk phenotype for CVD - were at higher odds to be

diagnosed in the future with delirium and to have higher mortality compared to patients with

blood pressure dipping at night. Furthermore, we discerned diurnal fluctuations in clinical labs

and found perturbed rhythms in markers of kidney metabolism and hemostasis which offer cues

for further interrogation into mechanism and risk prognosis.

Methods

The MIMIC-IV Database

The Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care-IV (MIMIC-IV) database contains Electronic Health Record data of real ICU patient admissions from 73,181 ICU admissions at the Beth-Israel Deaconess Medical Center in Boston between 2008 and 2019.(16, 17) It contains vital signs recorded in patient charts at hourly intervals embedded in comprehensive in-hospital data such as diagnoses, procedures, diagnostic tests, medications, and intakes/outputs. Each patient is also followed up for out of hospital mortality for a maximum of 1 year post discharge from the last admission on record by tracking social security mortality data. The data were de-identified to maintain HIPAA standards with identifiers replaced by random ciphers. Dates were also shifted randomly such that a single date shift is applied to all data from the same individual. However, time of day and seasonality were preserved. We pulled the data from the study website (https://physionet.org/content/mimiciv/2.2/, version 2.2, downloaded January 5, 2023).

Rhythmic Assessments

We extracted the hourly recordings of systolic blood pressure (both arterial and non-invasive), temperature (the body site of measurement is not further specified), and heart rate. We excluded any readings that were outside the range of 50 mmHg to 200 mmHg for systolic blood pressure, 30 °C to 43 °C for temperature, and 50 bpm to 150 bpm for heart rate. These criteria eliminated 0.25% of all blood pressure readings, 0.08% of temperature readings, and 1.17% of heart rate readings. For each individual vital sign, we only included ICU admissions that had at least 14

daytime and 6 nighttime measurements to ensure an representative coverage of both day and

night.(10) We specified nighttime as the interval between midnight and 6 am and daytime as that

between 6 am and midnight. Because only 20% of ICU admissions fulfilled our inclusion criteria

for temperature measurements, we excluded temperature from further analysis to decrease the

risk of selection bias (Figure S1 bottom panel). We calculated non-parametric Jonckheere-

Terpstra-Kendall (JTK) *p*-values, amplitude, as well dipping ratio (nighttime mean divided by

daytime mean) for included admissions. Values for each admission were binned by hour of the

day and the mean of each bin was used as input to JTK, thereby ensuring all admissions had

between 20 and 24 datapoints, allowing *p*-values comparisons across admissions.(14) We used

the JTK p-value to determine rhythmicity for each time series of vital signs (p≤0.05).

To account for non-linear associations with amplitude, we categorized amplitude based on the

quartiles of the studied populations (Table S1). Dipping status for both blood pressure and heart

rate was categorized as dippers (dipping ratio ≤0.9), non-dippers (0.9< dipping ratio ≤1) and

reverse dippers (dipping ratio >1).(10) To study whether the disruption of rhythms in the first 24

hours is associated with future adverse outcomes, we limited our study to vital sign data

collected only during the first 24 hours of ICU admission. Admissions with less than 24 hours

between first and last measurements were excluded from analysis. For each analysis, patients

fulfilling our rhythm quality control criteria for more than one admission had one of their

admissions chosen at random for inclusion.

Clinical Outcomes and Statistical Analyses

Incident delirium was the primary outcome of interest. MIMIC-IV reports results from each

component of the confusion assessment method (CAM).(18) As per CAM guidelines, we defined

delirium as the presence of both a fluctuation in mental status from baseline and inattention and

the presence of either a decreased level of consciousness or disorganized thinking.(18) For our

delirium analysis, we included patients with a negative CAM in the first 24 hours and with a

repeat CAM after the first 24 hours. We then ran a logistic regression model containing each

tested rhythmic parameter individually with the components of the OASIS score (Oxford Acute

Severity of Illness Score); variables: Pre-ICU length of stay, age, Glasgow coma scale, heart rate,

mean arterial pressure (MAP), respiratory rate, temperature, urine output, mechanical ventilation,

elective surgery.(19) To adjust better, vital sign components were computed as actual 24-hour

averages and included in the model with normal cubic spline functions; other components were

derived from the PostgreSQL code provided by the MIMIC code repository.(20) We also studied

mortality during the ICU visit or up to one month (30 days) afterwards from the day of

admission using the same methodology. Resulting *p*-values for both outcome analyses were

adjusted for multiple testing by the Benjamini-Hochberg method.(21) A *q*-value cutoff of 0.1 was

considered as the threshold for statistical significance.

To explore rhythms in biochemical processes and their association with vital sign rhythms we

aggregated all numeric clinical lab measurements across the first 24 hours from all patients with

a vital sign rhythm pattern of interest after removing outlier values (beyond 1.5 times the second

and third quartiles) and labs with less than 100 samples. If a patient had multiple visits, all were

included, and dipping status was determined for each visit separately. We then ran a Cosinor

analysis, with random intercept effects for subjects and admissions, using the lme4 R

package.(22) To test for amplitude and rhythm differences among the patient groups, we used an

F-test with the lmerTest package and Kenward-Rogers degrees of freedom to compare the nested

model where both groups (dipping or reverse dipping) had separate cosinor fits to the model

where all groups had the same cosinor fit but separate intercepts.(23) We considered a *q*-value

cutoff of 0.1 as the threshold for significant rhythm differences across compared groups.

175 Results

<u>Patient Data Base Characteristics</u>

Data recordings from most ICU patient admissions met the day-/nighttime coverage criteria for

blood pressure (45,287 out of 73,181, 61.9%) and for heart rate (47,130 out of 73,181, 64.4%),

(Figures S1 and S2). Illness severity parameters showed that a less healthy population was

included and analyzed after filtering for rhythm quality control criteria for both heart rate and

blood pressure (Table 1). After excluding repeat stays and stays with unmet CAM criteria, 7,346

out of 73,181 (10%) and 7,552 out of 73,181 (10.3%) patient admissions remained for blood

pressure and heart rate, respectively, which also qualified for delirium analysis (Figure S2). Most

patients (76%) had only one ICU admission (Figure S3). A total of 33,970 (46.4% of all

admissions) and 35,101 (48% of all admissions) admissions were submitted to the mortality

analysis for blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR), respectively (Figure S4).

<u>Reverse blood pressure dipping phenotype predictive of future diagnosis of delirium.</u>

Incident delirium was diagnosed in 15.9% (1,171 out of 7,346) and 15.7% (1,189 out of 7,552)

of the included BP and HR ICU admissions, respectively. (Figure S2). Patients with a reverse

blood pressure dipping phenotype had a 40% greater risk for developing delirium during their

ICU admission (Odds Ratio: 1.40, 95% CI: 1.14-1.72, *q*=0.017, Figure 1 left, Table S2)

compared to patients with a dipping blood pressure profile. Patients with a non-dipping BP

profile, in contrast, did not show this association when compared to BP dippers (Odds Ratio:

1.01, 95% CI 0.81-1.24, q=0.971). Assessment of rhythmic components of BP suggested a link

between non-oscillating blood pressure profile (*JTK p*-value>0.05) and delirium (19% elevated

risk), but this relationship has a higher false discovery rate (Odds Ratio: 1.19, 95%CI: 0.99-1.41,

q=0.238). Though higher amplitudes of blood pressure oscillations showed a lower risk of

delirium incidence compared to low amplitudes, this effect was small and non-significant (Odds

Ratio: 0.94, 95%CI: 0.77-1.14, q=0.693 comparing JTK Amplitude Q1 versus Q4 in Figure 1

left).

Examining heart rate, patients with a reverse dipping phenotype showed a 22% higher risk for

developing delirium during their ICU admission (Odds Ratio: 1.22, 95% CI: 0.99-1.49, q=0.238,

Figure 1 right) but that effect was not statistically significant.

<u>Dampened rhythms and reverse blood pressure dipping linked to increased risk of death.</u>

The mortality rate among patients with sufficient blood pressure recordings but excluding repeat

ICU admissions was 15.9% (5,403 deaths within a month from ICU admission) compared to

16.3% (5,708 deaths) for the patient cohort meeting inclusion criteria for heart rate. Patients with

a reverse dipping blood pressure profile had a 13% higher risk to die in the ICU setting within a

month (Odds Ratio: 1.13, 95% CI: 1.02-1.26, q=0.07, Figure 2, Table S3). Also, compared to

patients with a blood pressure amplitude in the first quartile, those in the third quartile were at a

significantly lower risk of death (Odds Ratio 0.89 95% CI: 0.81-0.97, q=0.07) and there was a

non-significant trend in the same direction for those in the second and fourth quartile.

Furthermore, patients with a more robust heart rate rhythm belonging to the third amplitude

quartile were protected from dying (Odds Ratio: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.75-0.92, q=0.004) as compared

to those in the lowest heart rate amplitude quartile (Figure 2, Table S3), and patients in the

second and fourth quartile had a non-significant trend in the same direction.

<u>Reverse blood pressure dipping is associated with multi-system rhythmic disruptions.</u>

We explored whether reverse blood pressure dipping correlates with altered diurnal variability in

biochemical processes assessed by clinical laboratory measurements (Figure S5). Because a

single patient would rarely have enough repeated laboratory measurements to cover a 24-hour

time series, we analyzed measurements across all patients belonging to either blood pressure

dippers (controls) or reverse dippers (cases) in a mixed effects cosinor model. Among 101

laboratory tests recorded in the first 24 hours in patients with representative coverage of both day

and night (at least 14 daytime and 6 nighttime measurements as used for blood pressure rhythm

quality control criteria), a total of 45 laboratory tests demonstrated a statistically significant

difference in rhythmicity in the cosinor fits comparing BP reverse dippers to dippers (q-

value<0.01, Figure 3, Table S4, Figure S5). Candidates include hematocrit (q-value≤0.001),

hemoglobin (q-value<0.001), arterial O2 pressure (q-value<0.001), platelet count (q-

value<0.001), glucose (q-value<0.001), creatinine (q-value<0.001), prothrombin time (q-

value<0.001), INR (q-value<0.001), lymphocytes (q-value=0.002), WBC (q-value≤0.001), PTT

(q-value<0.001), and monocytes (q-value<0.001), all reported to be oscillatory though some with

conflicting results particularly in PT, PTT, and INR.(24-28)

Next, we examined rhythmic characteristics of these 45 labs to ascertain differences and

commonalities between blood pressure dippers and reverse dippers (Figure 4A). Most of these

clinical labs (n=29, 69%) displayed significant diurnal variability consistently in both groups

(cosinor *q*-value≤0.1). About 14% (n=6) were only rhythmic in dippers. Among these were

albumin and cortisol (Figure 4 B). The diurnal fluctuations in serum albumin levels in a healthy

population likely contribute to time-specific blood pressure regulation through associated

changes in oncotic pressure.(29) This functional relationship might deteriorate in reverse dippers

as suggested by the lack of oscillatory albumin serum concentrations (Figure 4B). Cortisol's

diurnal secretion patterns in healthy volunteers likely drive the time-specific sensitization of the

vasculature to the noradrenergic system (30, 31) a mechanism linked to blood pressure

control.(32) Here, the lack of significant oscillations in reverse dippers compared to dippers may

suggest that disrupted diurnal cortisol levels contribute to this pathology (Figure 4 B).

About 17% (n=7) lab tests showed significant oscillations only in patients with the reverse blood

pressure dipping phenotype (Figure 4A). This is an unexpected finding and warrants further

examination in future studies since time-of-day specific fluctuations have been reported in the

healthy for the coagulation system,(33) immune system,(34) lactic acid and uric acid (35, 36).

Conceivable, however, is that gain in diurnal fluctuations in the coagulation system are indicative

of transient states of hypercoagulability, a known hemostatic abnormality in critical illness

leading to poorer outcomes.(4, 37)

We then turned our focus to laboratory features significantly oscillating in both groups to assess

differences in rhythmic components between dippers and reverse dippers. To examine the

rhythmic components of the 29 clinical labs that were rhythmic in both dippers and reverse

dippers we quantified cosinor amplitudes and acrophases (time of day of the peak) (Figure 4C,

Table S4). Kidney function as measured by serum creatinine showed a 60% decrease in

amplitude in reverse dippers compared to dippers. This was accompanied by a phase advance of

11.8 hours in reverse dippers compared to dippers. BUN similarly demonstrated a reduction in

amplitude (60% in reverse dippers compared to dippers). Here, the phase differences were

smaller (3.3 hours in dippers respectively compared to dippers). Lab values indicative of acid

base balance showed higher amplitude fluctuations in reverse dippers compared to dippers, for

instance, 145% higher amplitude in arterial base excess, 76% in arterial pH amplitude and 36%

in arterial CO_2 pressure amplitude. Electrolyte levels diverged, for example, by a 11-hour phase

advance in non-ionized calcium levels in reverse dippers compared to dippers accompanied by a

60% amplitude dampening. This contrasted with a 159% increase in ionized calcium amplitude

in reverse dippers with minimal phase changes. Several hemostatic parameters showed distinct

rhythmic components in reverse dippers compared to dippers. Prothrombin time (PT) fluctuated

with a 333% higher amplitude in reverse dippers and amplitude was 40% higher in partial

thromboplastin time (PTT). Thus, reverse blood pressure dipping was associated on a population

level with perturbed rhythms in clinical parameters of renal, metabolic, and hemostatic function.

Discussion

Our present study demonstrates that patients with a reverse blood pressure dipping phenotype

had a 40% greater risk of developing delirium during their ICU admission compared to patients

with the blood pressure dipping phenotype. We showed that patients with reverse blood pressure

dipping and dampened amplitudes for blood pressure and heart rate within the first 24 hours of

ICU admission had a 13% higher risk of death within one month of their ICU admission. We

postulate that the link between reverse BP dipping and delirium/death is accompanied by

perturbed rhythms in clinical parameters of renal, metabolic, and hemostatic function, thus

offering potential biomarkers for further interrogation of mechanism and risk prognosis.

Our finding that reverse blood pressure dipping precedes a diagnosis of delirium is supported by

published data. We also take studies on long term cognitive decline and dementia into

consideration since delirium is an established risk factor for developing chronic forms of

cognitive impairment.(5) A longitudinal cohort study in older men in Sweden found a 64%

higher risk for future dementia (and Alzheimer's disease) among reverse dippers (Hazard Ratio:

1.64, 95% CI: 1.14-2.34).(38) The authors suggested reverse dipping as an independent risk

factor for dementia (and Alzheimer's).(38) Several cross sectional studies support the link

between reverse blood pressure dipping and chronic forms of cognitive decline.(39-41) A

preliminary report of a functional study using the head-up tilt test detected a poorer autonomic

function in participants with delirium compared to controls.(42)

The higher mortality we observed in patients with reverse blood pressure dipping and blunted

diurnal rhythms of blood pressure and heart rate highlights how time series of vital sign

measurements routinely assessed in the critical care environment harbor information to

understand patients' risk profile. Though our results strengthen comparable findings in the eICU

Collaborative Research Database (43), other studies in the same database surprisingly reported

that a higher amplitude of heart rate oscillations was associated with elevated in-hospital

mortality. (44)These discrepant findings might be in part driven by varying numbers of day- and

nighttime measurements.   Our study addresses this potential limitation by ensuring both

nighttime and daytime periods were adequately represented in every included time series (at least

14 daytime and 6 nighttime measurements). Here, we advocate for a concerted effort to address

research challenges in this space.(45)

Reverse blood pressure dipping is an alteration of the normal diurnal phenotype and is likely

accompanied by time-specific changes in biochemical processes. Indeed, our results showed that

reverse blood pressure dipping was associated with altered diurnal phenotypes in various clinical

laboratory measurements. An important finding, for example, is the absence of rhythmic cortisol

levels in reverse dippers (Figure 4). While we cannot directly assess catecholamines in this

database, activation of the hypothalamic pituitary axis usually accompanies increased

sympathetic tone.(4) Based on that we speculate that a disturbance in cortisol rhythms is also

mirrored by a disturbance in sympathetic tone thatis oscillatory and plays a substantial role in

regulating the diurnal variability in blood pressure.(46) Both elevated cortisol and sympathetic

tone are associated with post-operative delirium.(4, 47) Reverse blood pressure dipping can thus

be a consequence of disturbed autonomic nervous system rhythms that may increase the risk for

developing delirium and other adverse outcomes. Given the specific roles the autonomic nervous

system exerts on different physiologic systems including metabolism, renal function, and lung

function (48), we speculate that the autonomic nervous system may thus represent a unifying

candidate biomarker justifying further interrogation in future experimental studies. (10)

Our study has several strengths. We used a well curated, well documented, and commonly used

database containing patient trajectories from a respected academic center. The size of the

database permitted our application of strict inclusion criteria to ensure an adequate coverage of

the first 24 hours for our rhythmic assessments. The database also recorded time-stamped CAM

assessments, a well validated commonly used instrument to detect delirium. This enabled us to

assign delirium diagnoses with a higher level of certainty than relying on diagnostic codes,

which might have missed true positives.(4) Due to a low frequency of body temperature

measurements and the consequent lack of confidence in their rhythmic assessments we had to

abandon this biomarker. We also found that body temperature data were skewed; patients that

had their temperature measured frequently were sicker. Another limitation inherent to this

database is the observational design with a high degree of variability in patients, disease

conditions, co-morbidities, and treatments. Overall, sicker patients might be over-represented

since they likely had more interactions documented in the database due to higher care intensity.

While pooling lab results from different patients is valuable to enable time-series statistics,

options should be explored for repeat within-person biosampling. Lastly, the biomarker

discovery is predetermined by the clinical lab panel.

Conclusion

Reverse blood pressure dipping can be an early sign for the future development of delirium in the

ICU and is accompanied by disrupted biorhythms across multiple organ systems. Dampened and

reversed heart rate and blood pressure rhythms are associated with a higher risk for death in ICU

patients. Considering the inclusion of these risk factors in preventive care may improve patient

outcomes and reduce burden on the health care system.

Abbreviations

BP: Blood Pressure

CAM: Confusion Assessment Method

JTK: Jonckheere-Terpstra-Kendall

HR: Heart Rate

OASIS: Oxford Acute Severity of Illness Score

PT: Prothrombin Time

PTT: Partial Thromboplastin Time

Competing Interests

CS is the Robert L. McNeil Jr. Fellow in Translational Medicine and Therapeutics. GAF is the

McNeil Professor of Translational Medicine and Therapeutics. The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Availability of data and materials

Data used in this study is available for credentialed users at

https://physionet.org/content/mimiciv/2.2/. All statistics derived from the dataset are available

with the supplemental material attached to this manuscript.

The code developed for this study is freely available at:

https://zenodo.org/records/14750969?preview=1&token=eyJhbGciOiJIUzUxMiJ9.eyJpZCI6ImE

Ethics declarations:

The MIMIC IV study was approved by the institutional review board at the Beth Israel
Deaconess Medical Center and was granted a waiver of informed consent.

Consent for publication:

 Not applicable

Funding:

Supported in part by the University of Pennsylvania Health System (UPHS). Research reported
in this publication was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of
the National Institutes of Health under Award Number UL1TR001878. The content is solely the
responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: NE, CS. Methodology: NE, CS, TB, AM, MG. Supervision: GF, CS. Writing
original draft: NE, CS. Writing-review and editing: CS, GF, TB, AM, MG. All authors read and
approved the final manuscript.

References

1.   Reid KJ. Assessment of Circadian Rhythms. Neurol Clin. 2019;37(3):505-26. DOI: 10.1016/j.ncl.2019.05.001

2.   Hayter EA, Wehrens SMT, Van Dongen HPA, Stangherlin A, Gaddameedhi S, Crooks E, et al. Distinct circadian mechanisms govern cardiac rhythms and susceptibility to arrhythmia. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):2472. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-22788-8

3.   Smolensky MH, Hermida RC, Portaluppi F. Circadian mechanisms of 24-hour blood pressure regulation and patterning. Sleep Med Rev. 2017;33:4-16. DOI: 10.1016/j.smrv.2016.02.003

4.   Wilson JE, Mart MF, Cunningham C, Shehabi Y, Girard TD, MacLullich AMJ, et al. Delirium. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2020;6(1):90. DOI: 10.1038/s41572-020-00223-4

5.   Fong TG, Inouye SK. The inter-relationship between delirium and dementia: the importance of delirium prevention. Nat Rev Neurol. 2022;18(10):579-96. DOI: 10.1038/s41582-022-00698-7

6.   Jackson TA, Wilson D, Richardson S, Lord JM. Predicting outcome in older hospital patients with delirium: a systematic literature review. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2016;31(4):392-9. DOI: 10.1002/gps.4344

7.   Daou M, Telias I, Younes M, Brochard L, Wilcox ME. Abnormal Sleep, Circadian Rhythm Disruption, and Delirium in the ICU: Are They Related? Front Neurol. 2020;11:549908. DOI: 10.3389/fneur.2020.549908

8.   Goldberg TE, Chen C, Wang Y, Jung E, Swanson A, Ing C, et al. Association of Delirium With Long-term Cognitive Decline: A Meta-analysis. JAMA Neurology. 2020;77(11):1373-81. DOI: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.2273

9.   Komori T, Eguchi K, Saito T, Hoshide S, Kario K. Riser Pattern Is a Novel Predictor of Adverse Events in Heart Failure Patients With Preserved Ejection Fraction. Circ J. 2017;81(2):220-6. DOI: 10.1253/circj.CJ-16-0740

10.   Rahman M, Wang X, Bundy JD, Charleston J, Cohen D, Cohen J, et al. Prognostic Significance of Ambulatory BP Monitoring in CKD: A Report from the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) Study. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2020;31(11):2609-21. DOI: 10.1681/ASN.2020030236

11.   Sawamura A, Okumura T, Takeshita K, Watanabe N, Kano N, Mori H, et al. Abnormal Circadian Blood Pressure Profile as a Prognostic Marker in Patients with Nonischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy. Cardiology. 2017;136(1):1-9. DOI: 10.1159/000446868

12.   Ueda T, Kawakami R, Nakada Y, Nakano T, Nakagawa H, Matsui M, et al. Differences in blood pressure riser pattern in patients with acute heart failure with reduced mid-range and preserved ejection fraction. ESC Heart Fail. 2019;6(5):1057-67. DOI: 10.1002/ehf2.12500

13.   Hughes ME, Hogenesch JB, Kornacker K. JTK_CYCLE: an efficient nonparametric algorithm for detecting rhythmic components in genome-scale data sets. J Biol Rhythms. 2010;25(5):372-80. DOI: 10.1177/0748730410379711

14.   El Jamal N, Brooks TG, Cohen J, Townsend RR, Sosa GR, Shah V, et al. Prognostic utility of rhythmic components in 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring for the risk stratification of chronic kidney disease patients with cardiovascular co-morbidity. J Hum Hypertens. 2024. DOI: 10.1038/s41371-023-00884-0

15.   Brooks TG, Lahens NF, Grant GR, Sheline YI, FitzGerald GA, Skarke C. Diurnal
rhythms of wrist temperature are associated with future disease risk in the UK Biobank. Nat
Commun. 2023;14(1):5172. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-023-40977-5
16.   Johnson A, Bulgarelli L, Pollard T, Horng S, Celi LA, Mark R. MIMIV-IV (version 2.2).
Physionet [Internet]. 2023 10-13-2023. Available from: https://doi.org/10.13026/6mm1-ek67.
17.   Johnson AEW, Bulgarelli L, Shen L, Gayles A, Shammout A, Horng S, et al. MIMIC-IV,
a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. Sci Data. 2023;10(1):1. DOI:
10.1038/s41597-022-01899-x
18.   SK I. The Short Confusion Assessment Method (Short CAM) Training Manual and
Coding Guide. Boston: Hospital Elder Life Program. 2014.
19.   Johnson AE, Kramer AA, Clifford GD. A new severity of illness scale using a subset of
Acute Physiology And Chronic Health Evaluation data elements shows comparable predictive
accuracy. Crit Care Med. 2013;41(7):1711-8. DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31828a24fe
20.   Johnson AE, Stone DJ, Celi LA, Pollard TJ. The MIMIC Code Repository: enabling
reproducibility in critical care research. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2018;25(1):32-9. DOI:
10.1093/jamia/ocx084
21.   Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and
Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B:
Statistical Methodology. 1995;57(1):289-300. DOI: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x
22.   Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
Usinglme4. Journal of Statistical Software. 2015;67(1). DOI: 10.18637/jss.v067.i01
23.   Cornelissen G. Cosinor-based rhythmometry. Theor Biol Med Model. 2014;11:16. DOI:
10.1186/1742-4682-11-16
24.   Cristancho E, Riveros A, Sanchez A, Penuela O, Boning D. Diurnal changes of arterial
oxygen saturation and erythropoietin concentration in male and female highlanders. Physiol Rep.
2016;4(17). DOI: 10.14814/phy2.12901
25.   Van Cauter E, Polonsky KS, Scheen AJ. Roles of circadian rhythmicity and sleep in
human glucose regulation. Endocr Rev. 1997;18(5):716-38. DOI: 10.1210/edrv.18.5.0317
26.   Eckerbom P, Hansell P, Cox E, Buchanan C, Weis J, Palm F, et al. Circadian variation in
renal blood flow and kidney function in healthy volunteers monitored with noninvasive magnetic
resonance imaging. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2020;319(6):F966-F78. DOI:
10.1152/ajprenal.00311.2020
27.   Busza A, Sharma V, Ferguson K, Fawcett A, Knoll J, Zee P, et al. 0028 Systematic
Review: Time of day differences in complete blood count values. Sleep.
2023;46(Supplement_1):A12-A. DOI: 10.1093/sleep/zsad077.0028
28.   West AS, Schonsted MI, Iversen HK. Impact of the circadian clock on fibrinolysis and
coagulation in healthy individuals and cardiovascular patients - A systematic review. Thromb
Res. 2021;207:75-84. DOI: 10.1016/j.thromres.2021.09.011
29.   Jubiz W, Canterbury JM, Reiss E, Tyler FH. Circadian rhythm in serum parathyroid
hormone concentration in human subjects: correlation with serum calcium, phosphate, albumin,
and growth hormone levels. J Clin Invest. 1972;51(8):2040-6. DOI: 10.1172/JCI107010
30.   Yang S, Zhang L. Glucocorticoids and vascular reactivity. Curr Vasc Pharmacol.
2004;2(1):1-12. DOI: 10.2174/1570161043476483
31.   Weitzman ED, Fukushima D, Nogeire C, Roffwarg H, Gallagher TF, Hellman L. Twenty-
four hour pattern of the episodic secretion of cortisol in normal subjects. J Clin Endocrinol
Metab. 1971;33(1):14-22. DOI: 10.1210/jcem-33-1-14

32.   Holt-Lunstad J, Steffen PR. Diurnal cortisol variation is associated with nocturnal blood
pressure dipping. Psychosom Med. 2007;69(4):339-43. DOI: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e318050d6cc
33.   Kapiotis S, Jilma B, Quehenberger P, Ruzicka K, Handler S, Speiser W. Morning
hypercoagulability and hypofibrinolysis. Diurnal variations in circulating activated factor VII,
prothrombin fragment F1+2, and plasmin-plasmin inhibitor complex. Circulation.
1997;96(1):19-21. DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.96.1.19
34.   Scheiermann C, Kunisaki Y, Frenette PS. Circadian control of the immune system. Nat
Rev Immunol. 2013;13(3):190-8. DOI: 10.1038/nri3386
35.   Devgun MS, Dhillon HS. Importance of diurnal variations on clinical value and
interpretation of serum urate measurements. J Clin Pathol. 1992;45(2):110-3. DOI:
10.1136/jcp.45.2.110
36.   Feneberg R, Sparber M, Veldhuis JD, Mehls O, Ritz E, Schaefer F. Synchronous
fluctuations of blood insulin and lactate concentrations in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.
1999;84(1):220-7. DOI: 10.1210/jcem.84.1.5377
37.   Levi M, Opal SM. Coagulation abnormalities in critically ill patients. Crit Care.
2006;10(4):222. DOI: 10.1186/cc4975
38.   Tan X, Sundstrom J, Lind L, Franzon K, Kilander L, Benedict C. Reverse Dipping of
Systolic Blood Pressure Is Associated With Increased Dementia Risk in Older Men: A
Longitudinal Study Over 24 Years. Hypertension. 2021;77(4):1383-90. DOI:
10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.16711
39.   Gavriilaki M, Anyfanti P, Mastrogiannis K, Gavriilaki E, Lazaridis A, Kimiskidis V, et al.
Association between ambulatory blood pressure monitoring patterns with cognitive function and
risk of dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2023;35(4):745-
61. DOI: 10.1007/s40520-023-02361-7
40.   Shanahan E, Carew S, Costelloe A, Sheehy T, Kiernan T, Peters C, et al. 108abnormal
Diurnal Blood Pressure Variability in Patients with Recent Delirium. Age and Ageing.
2019;48(Supplement_1):i32-i5. DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afy204.01
41.   Paganini-Hill A, Bryant N, Corrada MM, Greenia DE, Fletcher E, Singh B, et al. Blood
Pressure Circadian Variation, Cognition and Brain Imaging in 90+ Year-Olds. Front Aging
Neurosci. 2019;11:54. DOI: 10.3389/fnagi.2019.00054
42.   Shanahan E, Ryan S, Costelloe A, Sheehy T, Peters C, Lyons D, et al. 68 Autonomic
Impairment and its Manifestations in Patients with Delirium. Age and Ageing.
2019;48(Supplement_3):iii17-iii65. DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afz103.37
43.   Beyer SE, Salgado C, Garcao I, Celi LA, Vieira S. Circadian rhythm in critically ill
patients: Insights from the eICU Database. Cardiovasc Digit Health J. 2021;2(2):118-25. DOI:
10.1016/j.cvdhj.2021.01.004
44.   Yang Z, Li Z, He X, Yao Z, Xie X, Zhang S, et al. The impact of heart rate circadian
rhythm on in-hospital mortality in patients with stroke and critically ill: Insights from the eICU
Collaborative Research Database. Heart Rhythm. 2022;19(8):1325-33. DOI:
10.1016/j.hrthm.2022.03.1230
45.   Kervezee L, Dashti HS, Pilz LK, Skarke C, Ruben MD. Using routinely collected clinical
data for circadian medicine: A review of opportunities and challenges. PLOS Digit Health.
2024;3(5):e0000511. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000511
46.   El Jamal N, Lordan R, Teegarden SL, Grosser T, FitzGerald G. The Circadian Biology of
Heart Failure. Circ Res. 2023;132(2):223-37. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.122.321369

47.   Mu DL, Wang DX, Li LH, Shan GJ, Li J, Yu QJ, et al. High serum cortisol level is
associated with increased risk of delirium after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a
prospective cohort study. Crit Care. 2010;14(6):R238. DOI: 10.1186/cc9393
48.   Sullivan RP, Houser SR, Koch WJ. Neurotransmission: The Autonomic and Somatic
Motor Nervous Systems. In: Brunton LL, Knollmann BC, editors. Goodman & Gilman's:
The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 14th Edition. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill
Education; 2023.

Tables

Table 1. Patient characteristics collected during the first 24 hours for comparing admissions in the MIMIC IV database fulfilling quality control criteria of vital sign measurements to those that do not fulfill the criteria. **GCS:** Glasgow Coma Scale, **MAP:** Mean Arterial Pressure.

	BP Rhythm Inclusion Criteria Absent (n=27,597)	BP Rhythm Inclusion Criteria Present (n=45,287)		HR Rhythm Inclusion Criteria Absent (n=25,936)	HR Rhythm Inclusion Criteria Present (n=47,130)	
Parameter	Mean (SD), or n (%)	Mean (SD), or n (%)	*p-Value*	Mean (SD), or n (%)	Mean (SD), or n (%)	*p-Value*
OASIS score	28.81 (8.58)	31.40 (8.42)	<0.001	28.70 (8.53)	31.36 (8.47)	<0.001
Age (years)	63.44 (17.49)	65.43 (16.46)	<0.001	63.66 (17.42)	65.23 (16.55)	<0.001
Race			<0.001			0.006
American Indian or Alaska Native	46 (0.2)	92 (0.2)		42 (0.2)	96 (0.2)	
Asian	838 (3.0)	1312 (2.9)		785 (3.0)	1364 (2.9)	
Black	3124 (11.4)	4795 (10.6)		2884 (11.1)	5060 (10.7)	

More than one race	22 (0.1)	46 (0.1)		23 (0.1)	45 (0.1)	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	48 (0.2)	62 (0.1)		44 (0.2)	66 (0.1)	
Other	902 (3.3)	1459 (3.2)		854 (3.3)	1511 (3.2)	
Unknown	2729 (9.9)	4911 (10.8)		2568 (9.9)	5101 (10.8)	
White	19808 (72.0)	32610 (72.0)		18707 (72.2)	33887 (71.9)	
Sex (M)	15178 (55.2)	25411 (56.1)	0.012	14480 (55.9)	26253 (55.7)	0.628
Pre-ICU length of stay (hours)	2147.19 (6893.04)	3463.88 (10243.00)	<0.001	2151.87 (7048.70)	3423.31 (10097.05)	<0.001
(days)	89.46 (287.2)	144.33 (426.79)		89.66 (293.70)	142.64 (420.71)	
GCS	13.93 (2.41)	13.52 (2.73)	<0.001	13.94 (2.41)	13.53 (2.73)	<0.001
Heart rate (bpm)	83.63 (14.97)	85.87 (15.52)	<0.001	82.59 (15.54)	86.39 (15.09)	<0.001
SBP (mmHg)	119.44 (17.24)	117.73 (16.04)	<0.001	119.36 (16.90)	117.83 (16.28)	<0.001
Respiratory rate (/min)	24.40 (9.38)	26.55 (9.47)	<0.001	24.07 (9.41)	26.65 (9.42)	<0.001

Temperature (Celsius)	36.77 (0.51)	36.86 (0.50)	<0.001	36.76 (0.52)	36.87 (0.50)	<0.001
Urine output (ml)	1553.69 (1146.38)	1880.69 (1278.78)	<0.001	1531.32 (1113.07)	1882.27 (1290.12)	<0.001
Mechanical ventilation (%)	6973 (25.3)	17603 (38.9)	<0.001	6616 (25.5)	18068 (38.3)	<0.001
Elective surgery (%)	764 (2.8)	1200 (2.6)	0.318	747 (2.9)	1226 (2.6)	0.026

545   **Table 2.** Rhythmic parameter summary statistics for admissions fulfilling rhythm quality control

546   criteria. Rhythmic parameter summary statistics are not possible in excluded visits due to low

547   result confidence in time series data that does not fulfil quality control criteria.

	BP Rhythm Inclusion Criteria Present (n=45,287)	HR Rhythm Inclusion Criteria Present (n=47,130)
Parameter	Mean (SD), or n (%)	Mean (SD), or n (%)
JTK Amplitude	9.27 (4.71)	6.72 (4.43)
JTK p value >0.05 (%)	36383 (80.3)	27396 (58.1)
Dipping Category		
Dipper (%)	5981 (13.2)	6706 (14.2)
Non-Dipper	20971 (46.3)	20360 (43.2)

(%)		
Reverse-Dippers	18335 (40.5%)	20064 (42.6)

Figures

Figure 1. Associations between different rhythm parameters and the future development of delirium. The left panel displays results from the logistic regression models testing blood pressure rhythmic parameters, the right panel displays results from heart rate rhythmic parameters. Reference categories are Dippers, JTK *p*-value ≤0.05, and JTK Amplitude Q1 respectively. *q*-values are the results of multiple testing corrections of *p*-values using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. * *q*-value ≤0.1.

Figure 2. Associations between different rhythm parameters and 1 year mortality. The left panel displays results from the logistic regression models testing blood pressure rhythmic parameters, the right panel displays results from heart rate rhythmic parameters. Reference categories are Dippers, JTK *p*-value ≤0.05, and JTK Amplitude Q1 respectively. q- values are the results of multiple testing corrections of p-values using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. * q-Value ≤0.1.

569   **Figure 3.** Significance levels of differences between blood pressure dipping statuses in cosinor

570   fits of clinical laboratory measurements across the first 24 hours. The red line indicates a q-value

571   cutoff

572   of 0.1 for statistical significance. The actual values behind the graph are in Table S4.

Figure 4. Amplitude and phase differences calculated from cosinor fits for laboratory measurements that showed statistically significant differences in cosinor fits between blood

576   pressure dippers and reverse dippers. Values shown are relative to dippers and sorted by

577   decreasing amplitude and phase differences. The actual values behind the graphs are in Table S4.

579 **Supplementary Figures**

580
581 I

Figure S1. Histograms showing the number of admissions having each maximum number of

hours of data recording in the daytime (left) and the nighttime (right). The blue bars represent the

admissions that fulfilled our time series inclusion criteria, and the orange bars represent the

admissions that do not meet the criteria. The majority of admissions have blood pressure and

heart rate time series data that fulfil the inclusion criteria while only a minority of cases meet the

criteria in temperature. Note the different scales of x and y axes.

Figure S2. Flow chart representing the selection of admissions included in the delirium logistic

regression analysis for each vital sign. Admissions included in the delirium analysis were those

592   with a negative delirium assessment by CAM in the first 24 hours and a valid repeat CAM after

593   that. Patients with multiple admissions fulfilling these criteria had one admission chosen at

594   random.

Figure S3: Histogram showing the distribution of patients across the number of admissions per

599   patient.

Figure S4. Flow chart representing the selection of admissions included in the 1-month mortality

logistic regression analysis for each vital sign. Admissions included in the mortality analysis

were those fulfilling our rhythm quality control criteria. Patients with multiple admissions

fulfilling these criteria had one admission chosen at random.

Figure S5: Flow chart representing the analysis process of the clinical lab dataset. The

corresponding results are shown in figures 3 and 4.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1 Amplitude quartiles and their corresponding minima and maxima

Vital Sign	Amplitude Quartile	Minimum Amplitude	Maximum Amplitude	Mean Amplitude	Amplitude SD
Blood Pressure (mmHg)	1	0.00	5.90	4.53	1.01
	2	5.91	8.40	7.10	0.64
	3	8.41	11.30	9.57	0.81
	4	11.31	48.79	15.36	4.26
Heart Rate (bpm)	1	0.00	3.50	2.20	0.83
	2	3.50	5.69	4.57	0.75
	3	5.70	8.49	7.19	0.79
	4	8.52	49.67	12.89	4.50

618   **Table S2:** Numeric results of the delirium logistic regression models represented graphically in

619   figure 1

vital_sign	parameter	estimate	p.value	q_value	odds_ratio	ci_lower	ci_upper
Blood Pressure	JTK p >0.05	0.172787	0.050074	0.237977	1.188613	0.999941	1.412884
Blood Pressure	JTK Amplitude Q2	-0.12145	0.215618	0.646854	0.885639	0.730755	1.073351
Blood Pressure	JTK Amplitude Q3	-0.07304	0.46304	0.69307	0.929562	0.764811	1.129802
Blood Pressure	JTK Amplitude Q4	-0.06572	0.504708	0.69307	0.936397	0.77198	1.13583
Blood Pressure	Dipping Ratio: Non-Dipper	0.003814	0.971714	0.971714	1.003821	0.81301	1.239416
Blood Pressure	Dipping Ratio: Reverse-Dipper	0.338238	0.001426	0.017109	1.402475	1.139259	1.726504
Heart Rate	JTK p >0.05	0.020002	0.768861	0.856442	1.020203	0.892788	1.165803
Heart Rate	JTK Amplitude Q2	-0.06324	0.519802	0.69307	0.938717	0.774282	1.138073
Heart Rate	JTK Amplitude Q3	-0.07962	0.454634	0.69307	0.923463	0.749503	1.137801
Heart Rate	JTK Amplitude Q4	-0.02941	0.785072	0.856442	0.97102	0.786024	1.199555
Heart Rate	Dipping Ratio: Non-Dipper	0.111901	0.290875	0.69307	1.118403	0.908687	1.376518
Heart Rate	Dipping Ratio: Reverse-Dipper	0.196836	0.059494	0.237977	1.217544	0.992148	1.494146

622 **Table S3**: Numeric results of the mortality logistic regression models represented graphically in

623 Figure 2

vital_sign	parameter	estimate	p.value	q_value	odds_ratio	ci_lower	ci_upper
Blood Pressure	JTK p >0.05	0.068902	0.108148	0.185397	1.071332	0.984957	1.165281
Blood Pressure	JTK Amplitude Q2	-0.06583	0.163673	0.21823	0.936287	0.853444	1.027171
Blood Pressure	JTK Amplitude Q3	-0.12083	0.013475	0.071496	0.886185	0.805193	0.975324
Blood Pressure	JTK Amplitude Q4	-0.04166	0.387489	0.387489	0.959197	0.872719	1.054245
Blood Pressure	Dipping Ratio: Non-Dipper	0.094311	0.072843	0.145687	1.098901	0.991301	1.218181
Blood Pressure	Dipping Ratio: Reverse-Dipper	0.125297	0.017874	0.071496	1.133485	1.021833	1.257337
Heart Rate	JTK p >0.05	-0.03946	0.231283	0.263046	0.961306	0.901156	1.02547
Heart Rate	JTK Amplitude Q2	-0.09864	0.037281	0.111844	0.906067	0.825741	0.994207
Heart Rate	JTK Amplitude Q3	-0.18568	0.000312	0.003748	0.830543	0.75079	0.918769
Heart Rate	JTK Amplitude Q4	-0.07606	0.134975	0.202462	0.926765	0.8388	1.023954
Heart Rate	Dipping Ratio: Non-Dipper	-0.05802	0.241125	0.263046	0.943629	0.856379	1.039767
Heart Rate	Dipping Ratio: Reverse-Dipper	-0.08966	0.068343	0.145687	0.914239	0.830209	1.006775

626 **Table S4:** External csv file with all the statistics from the cosinor fits and rhythm difference tests

627 used to graph figures 3 and 4.