# Partner military deployment and war conditions increase perinatal depression and decrease postpartum mother-infant bonding

Hadas Allouche-Kam[1,2], Sabrina J Chan[1], Isha Hemant Arora[1], Christina T. Pham[1], Inbal Reuveni[3], Eyal Sheiner[4], Sharon Dekel*[1,5]

[1]Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, Boston, MA

[2]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hadassah Medical Center, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel.

[3]Department of Psychiatry, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv

[4]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Soroka University Medical Center, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel.

[5]Harvard Medical School, Department of Psychiatry, Boston, MA

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Sharon Dekel, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA; Email: sdekel@mgh.harvard.edu

# Abstract

The pregnancy and postpartum period represents a time of potentially heightened psychological vulnerability with implications for the offspring. Knowledge of the mental health of perinatal women exposed to armed conflict when their partner is in military deployment is scarce. This matched-control, survey-based study included a sample of 429 women recruited during the first months of the Israel-Hamas War who were pregnant or within six months postpartum. Women reporting partner in military deployment (n=250) were matched on background factors to women whose partner was no longer deployed (n=179). We found that nearly 44% of pregnant women with partner deployed endorsed depression symptoms at a clinical level. This group was more than two times as likely to endorse depression symptoms than matched controls. Postpartum women with partner deployed had significantly lower levels of mother-infant bonding than the matched group of partners not deployed. Mediation models revealed that social support mediated the relationship between study group and these maternal outcomes. Our findings suggest that war-exposed spouses of partners who are deployed are at increased risk for psychiatric morbidity and problems with attachment to the infant. Attention to optimizing social support in perinatal population is warranted during times of war and other large-scale traumas.



Keywords.

Postpartum Depression, Maternal Morbidity, Social Support, Terrorism, October 7th, Hamas-Israel War.

# Introduction

On October 7, 2023, the Israel-Hamas conflict escalated when the Hamas organization infiltrated southern Israel through coordinated land, sea, and air attacks. Israeli civilians residing in communities near the border, Nova festival participants, and soldiers were murdered, raped, and taken hostage, without distinction between children and elderly or Jewish and non-Jewish victims[1]. This event, classified as a war crime by the UN Human Rights Council [2], resulted in 1,163 fatalities and 251 hostages[3–5]. In response, Israel declared war, and the Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) invaded Gaza, striking multiple Hamas targets. There was a rapid and massive deployment of reserve duty by the IDF, with many soldiers serving on active duty for months. During the time of study recruitment, the northern and southern regions of Israel were under continuous missile attacks, and a one-time massive missile attack was launched toward the center of Israel, targeting Tel-Aviv. In the writing of this manuscript, the Israel-Hamas war is still ongoing.

Mental health effects of man-made trauma, such as war and terrorism, on individuals directly exposed, those indirectly exposed, and the community at large have been extensively studied[6–12]. Man-made trauma shatters people's core cognitive schemas that the world is a predictable and safe place. The amplitude of research reports ensuing maternal health symptoms and psychopathology among direct and indirect trauma-exposed individuals[10,11,13,14]—most notably post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and co-morbid symptoms, which may critically and persistently undermine the person's physical health[15–20]. In the general community, an estimated 1 in 4 individuals residing in a war-conflict zone will be affected by PTSD with potential intergenerational effects on the offspring, underscoring the heightened magnitude of psychiatric morbidity in exposed communities[9,11,12,21–23]. Not surprisingly, a national survey revealed elevated mental health complications among the Israeli community in the weeks following the war[24].

There is a critical gap in knowledge concerning the effects of war exposure on the perinatal population. Pregnancy and the postpartum period have been repeatedly documented as times of psychological equilibrium [25,26]. Perinatal depression is the leading pregnancy complication, affecting 1 out of 7 women residing in non-war-conflict zones[27]. It increases the risk for long-lasting health complications for the woman, the developing fetus, and the infant from birth and onward[8,21,28–31]. In its extreme form, it can result in maternal death and infanticide [28]. Although maternal stress has been repeatedly linked to poor pregnancy outcomes as well as the endorsement of PTSD in pregnancy, studies on the adversity of war and terrorism on the perinatal population are largely lacking[30–33].

An important factor to consider in understanding the unique impact of war on the perinatal population is partner military deployment. Partners' support, in particular, is essential for fostering a supportive environment, as partners are often the primary source of emotional reassurance and practical aid[34–36]. Lack of partner support increases the risk for perinatal depression and anxiety[37–39] . In women residing in non-war zones, it is also associated with lower maternal attachment, the emotional bond instrumental for healthy child development. Although the literature is in its infancy, existing studies on partner deployment document substantially increased depression risk for the spouse residing back home [40–44].

A large body of research underscores the adaptive role of social support in coping with trauma [34,45–50]. Social support—encompassing emotional, informational, and practical assistance—has been repeatedly documented as a protective factor that buffers against stress, PTSD, and depression, as well as a facilitator of recovery following trauma[48]. According to the Integrated Biopsychosocial Model for Posttraumatic Stress Recovery (IBM-PSR), stress recovery following trauma exposure is understood in the interpersonal context, such that relational partners enable "Interpersonal Coping," pertaining to the use of social relationships to facilitate recovery post-exposure.[50]

Partner deployment may reduce interpersonal coping and serve as a stressor that intensifies feelings of helplessness and loneliness, as well as diminishes psychological resources necessary for ongoing trauma exposure

while also managing the unique challenges of pregnancy and the postpartum [34–36,41,42,51,52]. To our knowledge, no study has examined the effect of partner deployment on mental health and maternal-infant bonding of pregnant and postpartum women who themselves are exposed directly and indirectly to stressors related to war.

To this end, we studied a sample of 165 pregnant and 264 postpartum women in the first months following the events of October 7th and the outbreak of the war, including some who had partners actively deployed. We asked whether partner deployment is associated with maternal mental health and mother-infant bonding, and whether social support mediates the relationship between partner deployment and maternal outcomes.

# Methods

The study commenced on January 19th, 2024, during the first months of the Israel-Hamas war, to understand the impact of terrorism and war on maternal health. Women residing in Israel during the war who were pregnant or gave birth within the past six months were recruited for about a month and a half via social media and professional organizations. They were asked to complete a survey about maternal mental health, maternal-infant bonding, trauma exposure including partner deployment, obstetrical and childbirth-related factors, and other health information. Inclusion criteria: maternal age >=18 years old, being pregnant or within 6 months postpartum. The study was approved by the Partners Healthcare (Mass General Brigham) Human Research Committee and the research was performed in accordance with guidelines/regulations. By selecting the option to participate in the study, participants provided their implied consent to participate in this research project, providing responses on a q deemed the person a participant.

The larger study project's final sample consisted of 1,243 participants. Here, we report on a subset whose partner was in active military deployment during the time of their enrollment or was no longer deployed. A total of 466 (37.5%) of participants reported partner deployed since October 7th, 2023, either currently or no longer. 7.8% were missing information on important study outcomes, lending a sample of 429 participants. This includes 165

(38.5%) pregnant women and 264 (61.5%) postpartum women. Their average age was 31.86 years old (*SD* = 4.27); close to one third (28.44%) were primiparous; the majority were married (99.3%), with at least bachelorette education (91.8%), and Jewish (99.3%). The majority resided in the green zone (41.7%), 1 in 4 resided in the Orange and Yellow zones, and 8.86% lived in the Red zone (i.e. 60, 45, 30 and less than 15 seconds respectively to take shelter during missile attack) while participating in the study. Almost three fourths (74.1%) knew people who were murdered, killed, injured, and/or taken hostage. Detailed information regarding the sample characteristics, including demographics, trauma exposure degree, and relevant obstetrical information, for pregnant and postpartum cohorts, respectively, by partner military status is provided in Table 1 (before performance of statistical matching procedures as detailed in Statistical Analysis section).

**Insert Table 1 here**

## Measures

Depression symptoms were assessed using the well-validated Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS), a 10-item questionnaire assessing depression symptoms over the past seven days on a scale of 0-30. Higher scores indicate a greater level of depression symptom endorsement. The EPDS is the recommended depression screening tool during pregnancy and postpartum in healthcare centers, as determined by American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG)[53], National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)[54] and The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (RANZCOG)[55]. We used the cutoff score of 14 and above, which is recommended for a probable diagnosis of depression[56]. Reliability in the current study was high ($\alpha = 0.863$).

Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms were measured with the well-validated PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5), a self-report tool designed to assess the severity of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms based

on DSM-5 criteria. Here, specified to the events of October 7th. It includes 20 items concerning the DSM-5 PTSD symptoms endorsed in the past month, each rated on a scale from 0 ("Not at all") to 4 ("Extremely"). Higher scores indicate more severe PTSD symptoms. This checklist is the recommended tool by the VA for provisional PTSD[57]. We used the recommended cutoff score of 33 or above to determine probable PTSD diagnosis[57–59]. Reliability in this study was high ($\alpha = 0.913$).

The maternal-fetal attachment in pregnancy was measured using the Maternal-Fetal Attachment Scale (MFAS)[60]. This is a 24-item questionnaire designed to evaluate the emotional connection between a mother and her fetus on a scale ranging from 1 (Definitely Yes) to 5 (Definitely No). Higher scores indicate greater attachment between mother and fetus. It shows strong psychometric properties in perinatal samples[61,62]. Reliability in the current study was good ($\alpha = 0.88$).

Mother-infant bonding during the postpartum period was assessed using the Maternal Attachment Inventory (MAI)[63]. This 26-item self-report tool evaluates a mother's perceptions and emotions regarding her infant during the first year postpartum. Items are presented on a scale ranging from 1 (almost always) to 4 (almost never), with a high internal consistency reported in other peripartum samples. Higher scores pertain to less positive mother-infant bonding potentially a sign of bonding impairment. MAI scores accord with observational data of bond behavior[62]. Reliability in the study was high ($\alpha = 0.94$).

The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) is a widely used self-report questionnaire designed to assess an individual's perception of social support, comprising 12 items, on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "very strongly disagree" to "very strongly agree". Higher scores indicate greater perceived support. The scale has demonstrated strong reliability and validity across diverse trauma-exposed populations and pregnant and postpartum women[45,46]. Reliability in the study was high ($\alpha = 0.93$).

Socio-demographics, prior mental health problems, and obstetrical-related information (e.g. gestational week, giving birth before or after the war, mode of delivery, neonatal intensive care admission), and trauma exposure were measured by single items self-report of participants. Trauma exposure items included information about where participants resided on October 7th based on geographic zones determined by the IDF to define the time frame for residents to obtain shelter during missile attacks (Home Front Commands exposure zone: Red, immediately and <=15 seconds; Orange, 30 seconds; Yellow, 45 seconds; Green, 1 minute); whether participants knew people murdered, hostage, or injured, as well as degree of exposure to October 7$^{th}$ events and war via social media (e.g. exposure to uncensored information).

## Data Analysis

Demographic data for maternal age and Home Front Commands exposure zone were noted to be missing at random, and multiple imputations using the *mice* R package[64] were performed. We conducted a full propensity score matching procedure using *MatchIt* R package[65] to match groups who share similar background characteristics between participants whose partner was in active military deployment and participants whose partner was no longer in active deployment for pregnant and postpartum participants separately. Full propensity score matching involves utilizing all individuals in the dataset by organizing them into matched sets, where each set contains at least one study participant and often includes several control participants; weights are then assigned to each participant, which are later applied in statistical analyses. Study groups were matched on demographics (maternal age, education, income), primiparity, prior mental health, extent of exposure to October 7th and the war (residing in a red zone based on Home Front Commands, knowing civilians who were killed, knowing civilians and/or soldiers who were kidnapped, watching uncensored videos). Additionally, we matched pregnant women on gestational week and postpartum women on childbirth occurring before or after October 7th.

Following the matching procedure, we compared groups on psychological factors, i.e. clinical depression symptoms, clinical PTSD symptoms, mother-infant bonding, and perceived social support. Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests revealed that all factors in consideration were significantly positively skewed ($p < .001$). To estimate differences between both, pregnant and postpartum groups with partner currently in active deployment or not, Mann-Whitney U test was performed in quantitative measures and logistic regression for estimating differences in categorical measures. CI for the test was based on bootstrapping of 1000 resampling cycles. All analyses were conducted in R[66].

To examine whether perceived social support mediated the effects of study groups (partner active deployed vs. partner no longer deployed) on maternal depression during pregnancy and mother-infant bonding during the postpartum period, we estimated a mediation model using observed measures in Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) and a saturated model using the *lavaan* R package[67]. Significance was estimated by bias-corrected bootstrap analysis with 2000 sampling cycles. Missing data were handled with the Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) procedure. The study group (0 = partner in non-active deployment, 1 = partner in active deployment) served as the predictor, social support as the mediator, and maternal depression during pregnancy and mother-infant bonding during postpartum as the outcome measures.

# Results

There were significant differences in depression levels during pregnancy between women with partner deployed and those without partner deployed. As seen in Table 2, odds ratio analysis indicated that the prevalence of clinically significant depression symptoms (≥ 14 EPDS total score), indicative of probable depression, among pregnant women with partners in active deployment was significantly higher than those with partners not currently deployed ($\chi^2 = 4.007$, *Odds Ratio, OR* = 2.293, *95% CI* = 1.098 – 5.001, $p = 0.045$). The prevalence of depression

among pregnant women with partner deployed was significantly higher also in comparison to the group affiliation of postpartum women with partner deployed ($\chi^2 = 8.553$, *OR* = 2.527, *95% CI* = 1.397 – 4.635, $p = 0.003$).

No group differences were found regarding depression prevalence in the postpartum by partner deployment status or for clinical PTSD symptoms (≥ 33 PCL-5 total score) rates for both pregnant and postpartum women.

**Insert Table 2 here**

There were significant differences between postpartum women with partners actively deployed in comparison to those with partners not currently deployed on degree of maternal bonding with the infant. The active deployment group had significantly lower levels of mother-infant bonding than the control group ($Z = 2.364$, $r = 0.146$, *CI* = 0.028 – 0.258, $p = 0.018$) (Table 2). There were no differences between groups on mode of delivery ($\chi^2 = 4.825$, p = 0.185) and infant health (defined by neonatal intensive care admissions) ($\chi^2 = 7.5e^{-30}$, $p = 1$) (Table 1). Pregnant women did not show any group differences in maternal-fetal bonding.

Finally, both pregnant and postpartum participants whose partners were in active deployment had significantly lower levels of perceived social support than those whose partners were no longer in active deployment (Pregnant participants: $Z = 3.156$, $r = 0.246$, *CI* = 0.097 – 0.382, $p < 0.001$; Postpartum participants: $Z = 3.07$, $r = 0.189$, *CI* = 0.074 – 0.297, $p = 0.002$) (Table 2).

To understand the contribution of social support to maternal outcomes, we performed two mediation analyses (Figure 1 for pregnant women and Figure 2 for postpartum women).

The mediation model for depression (Figure 1) revealed that social support during pregnancy significantly mediated the paths between study group and maternal depression. Partner in active deployment was related to lower social support during pregnancy, which in turn was associated with higher depression symptoms ($\beta = .39$, $p < .001$).

The mediation model for mother-infant bonding (Fig. 2) revealed that social support during postpartum mediating the paths between study group and mother-infant bonding was slightly near significance. Partner in active

deployment was related to lower social support during the postpartum, which in turn was associated with lower mother-infant bonding levels ($\beta = .16$, $p < .1$).

**Insert Mediation Analysis here**

# Discussion

This study is the first of its kind to examine maternal mental health and maternal-infant bonding during unique conditions of exposure to the aftermath of terrorism and war related stressors. The findings provide knowledge on the critical role of partner military deployment in regard to pregnant and postpartum women's psychological adjustment living under conditions of armed conflict. We found that when comparing pregnant women who were similar on demographic background information, prior mental health, war-related trauma exposure, and gestational week, women with partner actively deployed were two times more likely to endorse depression symptoms at a clinical level in comparison to women whose partner was no longer in active deployment. Postpartum women with partner deployed had lower levels of maternal-infant attachment than those with partner not actively deployed, after accounting for potential differences in other important factors. Moreover, maternal adversity associated with having a partner deployed was mediated via reduced social support. These findings underscore the potentially robust impact of partner absence on maternal psychological coping during times of collective man-made trauma, such as war.

The findings reveal amplified, clinically significant depression symptoms among both pregnant and postpartum women during war times, much higher than depression reported during times of normalcy. Partner deployment was found to further amplify the risk for clinical depression in the pregnant sample, although it was not related to depression risk in the postpartum. Additionally, the heightened rate of clinically significant depression among pregnant women whose partner was deployed, in comparison to those whose partner was no longer deployed, was not attributed to differences in war related exposure, prior mental health, gestational week, or another important demographic status known to increase the risk for depression (e.g. income, age)[68,69]. Amplitude of studies link perinatal depression during pregnancy to various adverse obstetrical outcomes; the most notable were preterm labor and low birth weight, with higher symptoms linked to higher risks[21,29,31,70]. Elevated depression during pregnancy

may increase unhealthy behaviors such as smoking and drinking, which further increase adverse outcomes for the fetus. Maternal depression in pregnancy may also influence the infant's developmental trajectory, and is linked to infant neurodevelopmental risks; one such mechanism is fetal programming, in which maternal emotional distress may contribute to the maternal-fetal biological milieu and, in turn, relate to the offspring's susceptibility to physical and mental disease states. Untreated depression is a strong predictor of enduring symptoms that manifest during the important transition to motherhood[71]; it is also a salient risk factor for endorsing depression in subsequent pregnancies. Our findings accord with previous studies of American samples documenting increased risk for depression in pregnant women whose partner was deployed when residing in non-active war zones[40,41,43,72,73].

To our knowledge, this study is the first to examine how partner deployment may undermine the formation of mother-infant bonding. This bond is derived from daily, positive mother-infant interactions that are instrumental to the child's emotional and social development[74,75]. While various mechanisms have been put forth for explaining the transmission of trauma across generations[23,76], maternal-infant attachment may mediate the effect of maternal trauma exposure and maternal distress on the offspring's welfare[77]. Stress and trauma may undermine maternal emotional regulation and maternal sensitive care[74]; based on our findings, partner deployment may worsen the negative effect of trauma exposure on mother-infant bonding. Interestingly, partner deployment was not associated with risk for PTSD in this study, though clinically significant symptoms were reported in a quarter of the sample. This underscores the heightened level of traumatization in the study cohort.

Our findings reveal the importance of a partner's social support in shaping maternal adjustment in pregnant and postpartum women during conditions of heightened war-related stressors. This accords with the large body of research on the protective role of social support to buffer psychopathology following trauma exposure and promote resilience[34,45–50,78]. The findings reveal that partner deployment not only shatters the women's perceived social

support, but also in turn negatively affects maternal health and bonding. Although not examined empirically, our findings suggest that certain features of social support are uniquely and specifically relevant to pregnant and postpartum women. Partner deployment related to maternal depression during pregnancy may point to the direction of impaired emotional support due to the partners' absence, whereas partner deployment related to reduced postpartum maternal-infant bonding may suggest insufficient partner-related instrumental support, such as lack of aid in managing postpartum demands. Our work expands previous studies of perinatal women living in non-war zones, in which perceived positive partner support has been consistently linked with decreased maternal depression, enhanced maternal bonding, and increased infant wellbeing; it additionally expands studies of deployed personnel which attribute maternal morbidity to lack of partner support as among the important risk factors[52]. Future studies are warranted to expand our findings by examining the multi-dimensional aspects of reduced partner social support associated with partner deployment, in order to critically identify ways to minimize the heavy psychological toll of partner absence on pregnant and postpartum women during times of war.

Distinguishing strengths of this study include the assessment of perinatal people exposed to twofold levels of adversity: from partner military deployment and from residing in war zones themselves. Shortcomings include the use of a cross-sectional design, an internet-based sample, and a lack of clinical assessments. Nevertheless, we used well-validated self-report measures that perform strongly against diagnostic assessments. Depression was measured with the most recommended screening tool in hospitals worldwide, and PTSD with the VA measure for provisional PTSD. The use of the internet enabled rapid recruitment of women under conditions of armed conflict, which would otherwise be difficult to enroll. Furthermore, the employment of within-group comparison and the use of match-controlled design may control for sample and reporting bias. Future longitudinal assessments of health outcomes of pregnant and postpartum women exposed to war at times of partner deployment are warranted, in order

301 to fully capture the impact of these stressors on long-term, maternal and infant health, and identify risk and protective
302 factors to promote maternal resilience and even posttraumatic psychological growth[79].
303
304 In summary, among women with deployed partners, we find elevated clinical symptoms of depression during
305 pregnancy, as well as reduced maternal-infant attachment in the postpartum. Partner deployment adds a significant
306 amount of stress by reducing social support and significantly reducing maternal psychological coping during times
307 of heightened stress, such as living under conditions of war. Because maternal wellbeing is a bedrock of a healthy
308 society, there is a critical need to ensure that resources of social support are in place and available. On a community
309 level, attention to the welfare of perinatal women exposed to conditions of war and other large-scale traumas
310 involving family separation is warranted.
311

# 312 Data availability

313 The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to anticipated
314 ongoing collection of data for this study but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

# References


1. Boxerman, A. Arab citizens of Israel were not spared in the hamas-led massacres, Oct 13, 2023. *The New York Times*.
2. *Mission Report Visit of the Office of the SRSG-SVC to Israel and the Occupied West Bank January – 14 February 2024*. https://unsco.unmissions.org/security-council-briefing-situation-middle-east-.
3. U.S. Department of Defense. Statement by Secretary of Defense Lloyd J. Austin III Marking One Year Since Hamas's October 7th Terrorist Assault on the State of Israel. *Oct. 7, 2024*.
4. Mora Deitch, R. M. S. C. A Year of War: A Summary of Data from All Fronts. *INSS; The Institute for National Security Studies*.
5. Levany, S., Shahar, G. & Greenberg, D. Calling for an immediate release of captive children in Gaza. *The Lancet* vol. 402 2070–2071 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)02519-9 (2023).
6. Yehuda, R. & Hyman, S. E. The impact of terrorism on brain, and behavior: What we know and what we need to know. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**, 1773–1780 (2005).
7. Cohen Silver, R., Holman, E. A., Mcintosh, D. N. & Poulin, M. *Nationwide Longitudinal Study of Psychological Responses to September 11*. *JAMA* vol. 288 1235 www.jama.com (2002).
8. Perlman, S. E. *et al.* Short-term and medium-term health effects of 9/11. *The Lancet* vol. 378 925–934 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60967-7 (2011).
9. Castro-Vale, I., Severo, M., Carvalho, D. & Mota-Cardoso, R. Intergenerational transmission of war-related trauma assessed 40 years after exposure. *Ann Gen Psychiatry* **18**, (2019).
10. Morina, N., Stam, K., Pollet, T. V. & Priebe, S. Prevalence of depression and posttraumatic stress disorder in adult civilian survivors of war who stay in war-afflicted regions. A systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders* vol. 239 328–338 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.07.027 (2018).
11. Hoppen, T. H., Priebe, S., Vetter, I. & Morina, N. Global burden of post-traumatic stress disorder and major depression in countries affected by war between 1989 and 2019: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Global Health* vol. 6 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-006303 (2021).
12. Brown, R. The Intergenerational Impact of Terror: Did the 9/11 Tragedy Impact the Initial Human Capital of the Next Generation? *Demography* **57**, 1459–1481 (2020).
13. Steel, Z. *et al.* Association of Torture and Other Potentially Traumatic Events With Mental Health Outcomes Among Populations Exposed to Mass Conflict and Displacement. *JAMA* **302**, 537 (2009).
14. Dekel, S. *et al.* Trauma and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. in vol. 2 380–394 (Elsevier Philadelphia, PA, 2016).
15. Nemeroff, C. B. & Goldschmidt-Clermont, P. J. Heartache and heartbreak-the link between depression and cardiovascular disease. *Nature Reviews Cardiology* vol. 9 526–539 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2012.91 (2012).
16. Glaesmer, H., Brähler, E., Gündel, H. & Riedel-Heller, S. G. The association of traumatic experiences and posttraumatic stress disorder with physical morbidity in old age: A german population-based study. *Psychosom Med* **73**, 401–406 (2011).

17. Perkins, J. D., Wilkins, S. S., Kamran, S. & Shuaib, A. Post-traumatic stress disorder and its association with stroke and stroke risk factors: A literature review. *Neurobiology of Stress* vol. 14 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2021.100332 (2021).
18. VanItallie, T. B. Stress: A risk factor for serious illness. *Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental* vol. 51 40–45 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1053/meta.2002.33191 (2002).
19. Roberts, A. L. *et al.* Posttraumatic stress disorder and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in a sample of women: A 22-year longitudinal study. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 203–210 (2015).
20. Rosenbaum, S. *et al.* The prevalence and risk of metabolic syndrome and its components among people with posttraumatic stress disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental* vol. 64 926–933 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2015.04.009 (2015).
21. Devakumar, D., Birch, M., Osrin, D., Sondorp, E. & Wells, J. C. *The Intergenerational Effects of War on the Health of Children*. http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/12/57.
22. Weinstein, Y. *et al.* Association of maternal exposure to terror attacks during pregnancy and the risk of schizophrenia in the offspring: A population-based study. *Schizophr Res* **199**, 163–167 (2018).
23. Yehuda, R. & Lehrner, A. Intergenerational transmission of trauma effects: putative role of epigenetic mechanisms. *World Psychiatry* **17**, 243–257 (2018).
24. Levi-Belz, Y., Groweiss, Y., Blank, C. & Neria, Y. PTSD, depression, and anxiety after the October 7, 2023 attack in Israel: a nationwide prospective study. *EClinicalMedicine* **68**, (2024).
25. Howard, L. M. *et al.* Non-psychotic mental disorders in the perinatal period. *The Lancet* vol. 384 1775–1788 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61276-9 (2014).
26. Jones, I., Chandra, P. S., Dazzan, P. & Howard, L. M. Bipolar disorder, affective psychosis, and schizophrenia in pregnancy and the post-partum period. *The Lancet* vol. 384 1789–1799 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61278-2 (2014).
27. Curry, S. J. *et al.* Interventions to Prevent Perinatal Depression: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association* vol. 321 580–587 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.0007 (2019).
28. Admon, L. K. *et al.* Trends in Suicidality 1 Year before and after Birth among Commercially Insured Childbearing Individuals in the United States, 2006-2017. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**, 171–176 (2021).
29. Tegethoff, M., Greene, N., Olsen, J., Schaffner, E. & Meinlschmidt, G. Stress during pregnancy and offspring pediatric disease: A national cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect* **119**, 1647–1652 (2011).
30. Yehuda, R. *et al.* Transgenerational effects of posttraumatic stress disorder in babies of mothers exposed to the World Trade Center attacks during pregnancy. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **90**, 4115–4118 (2005).
31. Engel, S. M., Berkowitz, G. S., Wolff, M. S. & Yehuda, R. Psychological trauma associated with the World Trade Center attacks and its effect on pregnancy outcome. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **19**, 334–341 (2005).
32. Klapper-Goldstein, H. *et al.* The association of delivering during a war with the risk for postpartum depression, anxiety and impaired maternal-infant bonding. (2024) doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-4645981/v1.
33. Krupelnytska, L. & Morozova-Larina, O. Perinatal experiences of Ukrainian women at the beginning of the war. *J Reprod Infant Psychol* (2023) doi:10.1080/02646838.2023.2240827.
34. Gariépy, G., Honkaniemi, H. & Quesnel-Vallée, A. Social support and protection from depression: Systematic review of current findings in western countries. *British Journal of Psychiatry* vol. 209 284–293 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.115.169094 (2016).

35. Bilszta, J. *et al.* Single motherhood versus poor partner relationship: Outcomes for antenatal mental health. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **42**, 56–65 (2008).
36. Houts, R. M., Barnett-Walker, K. C., Paley, B. & Cox, M. J. *Patterns of Couple Interaction during the Transition to Parenthood*.
37. Biaggi, A., Conroy, S., Pawlby, S. & Pariante, C. M. Identifying the women at risk of antenatal anxiety and depression: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders* vol. 191 62–77 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.11.014 (2016).
38. Wickramaratne, P. J. *et al.* Social connectedness as a determinant of mental health: A scoping review. *PLoS ONE* vol. 17 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275004 (2022).
39. Khademi, K. & Kaveh, M. H. Social support as a coping resource for psychosocial conditions in postpartum period: a systematic review and logic framework. *BMC Psychol* **12**, (2024).
40. Haas, D. M., Pazdernik, L. A. & Olsen, C. H. A cross-sectional survey of the relationship between partner deployment and stress in pregnancy during wartime. *Women's Health Issues* **15**, 48–54 (2005).
41. Pretorius, K., Sposato, M. F. & Trueblood-Miller, W. Perinatal mental health and active-duty military spouses: a scoping review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **24**, (2024).
42. Robrecht DT, M. J. L. L. C. J. M. RN. Spousal military deployment as a risk factor for postpartum depression. *J Reprod Med. 2008 Nov;53(11):860-4. PMID: 19097519.*
43. Tarney, C. M. *et al.* Association of spouse deployment on pregnancy outcomes in a U.S. Military Population. in *Obstetrics and Gynecology* vol. 126 569–574 (Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2015).
44. Haas DM, P. LA. Partner deployment and stress in pregnant women. . *J Reprod Med. 2007 Oct;52(10):901-6.* .
45. Bedaso, A., Adams, J., Peng, W. & Sibbritt, D. The relationship between social support and mental health problems during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reproductive Health* vol. 18 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-021-01209-5 (2021).
46. Dambi, J. M. *et al.* A systematic review of the psychometric properties of the cross-cultural translations and adaptations of the Multidimensional Perceived Social Support Scale (MSPSS). *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* vol. 16 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-018-0912-0 (2018).
47. House, J. S., Umberson, D. & Landis, K. R. *STRUCTURES AND PROCESSES OF SOCIAL SUPPORT*. www.annualreviews.org (1988).
48. Cohen, S. & Wills, T. A. *Stress, Social Support, and the Buffering Hypothesis*. *Psychologkal Bulletin* vol. 98 (1985).
49. Wickramaratne, P. J. *et al.* Social connectedness as a determinant of mental health: A scoping review. *PLoS ONE* vol. 17 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275004 (2022).
50. Calhoun, C. D. *et al.* The Role of Social Support in Coping with Psychological Trauma: An Integrated Biopsychosocial Model for Posttraumatic Stress Recovery. *Psychiatric Quarterly* vol. 93 949–970 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-022-10003-w (2022).
51. Klaman, S. L. & Turner, K. Prevalence of Perinatal Depression in the Military: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Matern Child Health J* **20**, 52–65 (2016).
52. Godier-McBard, L. R., Ibbitson, L., Hooks, C. & Fossey, M. Military spouses with deployed partners are at greater risk of poor perinatal mental health: a scoping review. *BMJ Mil Health* **165**, 363–370 (2019).
53. Screening and Diagnosis of Mental Health Conditions During Pregnancy and Postpartum. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* **141**, 1232–1261 (2023).

54. *Antenatal and Postnatal Mental Health: Clinical Management and Service Guidance Clinical Guideline*. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg192 (2014).
55. RANZCOG_Mental-Health-Care-in-the-Perinatal-Period-C-Obs-48.
56. Cox, J. L., Holden, J. M. & Sagovsky, R. Detection of Postnatal Depression: Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression scale. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **150**, 782–786 (1987).
57. *Using the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5) Www.Ptsd.va.Gov*. www.ptsd.va.gov.
58. Thiel, F., Ein-Dor, T., Dishy, G., King, A. & Dekel, S. Examining Symptom Clusters of Childbirth-Related Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord* **20**, (2018).
59. Arora, I. H. *et al.* Establishing the validity of a diagnostic questionnaire for childbirth-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (2023) doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2023.11.1229.
60. Cranley, M. S. (1981b). Development of a tool for measurement of maternal attachment during pregnancy. *Nurs Res* **30**, 281–284 (1981).
61. Lindgren, K. Relationships among maternal–fetal attachment, prenatal depression, and health practices in pregnancy. *Res Nurs Health* **24**, 203–217 (2001).
62. Wittkowski, A., Vatter, S., Muhinyi, A., Garrett, C. & Henderson, M. Measuring bonding or attachment in the parent-infant-relationship: A systematic review of parent-report assessment measures, their psychometric properties and clinical utility. *Clin Psychol Rev* **82**, 101906 (2020).
63. Müller, M. E. A Questionnaire to Measure Mother-to-Infant Attachment. *J Nurs Meas* **2**, 129–141 (1994).
64. Buuren, S. van & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. **mice** : Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in *R*. *J Stat Softw* **45**, (2011).
65. Ho, D. E., Imai, K., King, G. & Stuart, E. A. **MatchIt** : Nonparametric Preprocessing for Parametric Causal Inference. *J Stat Softw* **42**, (2011).
66. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Preprint at (2023).
67. Rosseel, Y. **lavaan** : An *R* Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *J Stat Softw* **48**, (2012).
68. Gelaye, B., Rondon, M. B., Araya, R. & Williams, M. A. Epidemiology of maternal depression, risk factors, and child outcomes in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet Psychiatry* **3**, 973–982 (2016).
69. Silverman, M. E. *et al.* The risk factors for postpartum depression: A population-based study. *Depress Anxiety* **34**, 178–187 (2017).
70. Ohlsson, A. & Shah, P. S. Effects of the September 11, 2001 disaster on pregnancy outcomes: A systematic review. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica* vol. 90 6–18 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0412.2010.01020.x (2011).
71. Dekel, S. *et al.* The dynamic course of peripartum depression across pregnancy and childbirth. *J Psychiatr Res* **113**, 72–78 (2019).
72. Levine, J. A., Bukowinski, A. T., Sevick, C. J., Mehlhaff, K. M. & Conlin, A. M. S. Postpartum depression and timing of spousal military deployment relative to pregnancy and delivery. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* **292**, 549–558 (2015).
73. Smith, D. C., Munroe, M. L., Foglia, L. M., Nielsen, P. E. & Deering, S. H. *Effects of Deployment on Depression Screening Scores in Pregnancy at an Army Military Treatment Facility LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: II*. *Obstet Gynecol* vol. 116 http://journals.lww.com/greenjournal (2010).
74. O'Dea, G. A. *et al.* Associations between maternal psychological distress and mother-infant bonding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Women's Mental Health* vol. 26 441–452 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-023-01332-1 (2023).

75. Moehler, E., Brunner, R., Wiebel, A., Reck, C. & Resch, F. Maternal depressive symptoms in the postnatal period are associated with long-term impairment of mother-child bonding. *Arch Womens Ment Health* **9**, 273–278 (2006).
76. Bhattacharya, S., Fontaine, A., Maccallum, P. E., Drover, J. & Blundell, J. Stress across generations: DNA methylation as a potential mechanism underlying intergenerational effects of stress in both post-traumatic stress disorder and pre-clinical predator stress rodent models. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* vol. 13 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00113 (2019).
77. Enlow, M. B., Egeland, B., Carlson, E., Blood, E. & Wright, R. J. Mother-infant attachment and the intergenerational transmission of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Dev Psychopathol* **26**, 41–65 (2014).
78. Barrera, M. & Ainlay, S. L. The structure of social support: A Conceptual and empirical analysis. *J Community Psychol* **11**, 133–143 (1983).
79. Berman, Z., Thiel, F., Dishy, G. A., Chan, S. J. & Dekel, S. Maternal psychological growth following childbirth. doi:10.1007/s00737-020-01053-9/Published.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank The Briah Foundation, Alex Gelper, RN MPH MBA and Varda Shtanger, PhD, for their assistance in distributing information about the study.


# Author Contributions

HAK was involved in various activities during the active phase of the study and wrote the main manuscript. SC created the online survey. IHA conducted the statistical analysis and wrote the statistical approach and results section. CP contributed to the manuscript writing. IR and ES served as consultants of the study. SD is the principal investigator of the larger project. She designed the conceptual study paradigm, collected the data, supervised the manuscript preparation, and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.


# Funding Information

SD received funding from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01HD108619) .

HAK is a recipient of a Fellowship Grant from The American Physicians Fellowship for Medicine in Israel (APF).


# Additional Information

The authors declare no competing interests.

522 **Table 1. Sociodemographics, trauma exposure, and obstetrical information for pregnant and postpartum**
523 **women by study group**

| | Pregnant Women (N = 165) | | Postpartum Women (N = 264) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Active Partner Deployment | Non-Active Partner Deployment | Active Partner Deployment | Non-Active Partner Deployment |
| | n (%) / M (SD) | n (%) / M (SD) | n (%) / M (SD) | n (%) / M (SD) |
| **Demographic Factors** | | | | |
| Maternal Age | 31.86 ± 4.06 | 31.31 ± 4.43 | 31.79 ± 4.55 | 32.22 ± 4.05 |
| Mental Illness before October 7 | 9 (8.41%) | 2 (3.45%) | 10 (6.99%) | 14 (11.57%) |
| Marital Status | | | | |
| Married or domestic partnership | 106 (99.07%) | 57 (98.28%) | 142 (99.3%) | 121(100%) |
| Single, in a relationship | 1 (0.93%) | 1 (1.72%) | 1 (0.7%) | 0 |
| Employment | | | | |
| Employed | 75 (70.09%) | 45 (78.95%) | 97 (68.31%) | 91 (75.83%) |
| Home-employed | 10 (9.35%) | 4 (7.02%) | 10 (7.04%) | 7 (5.83%) |
| Self-employed | 10 (9.35%) | 4 (7.02%) | 15 (10.56%) | 13 (10.83%) |
| Student | 11 (10.28%) | 4 (7.02%) | 12 (8.45%) | 5 (4.17%) |
| Unemployed | 1 (0.93%) | 0 | 8 (5.63%) | 4 (3.33%) |
| Education | | | | |
| High School or equivalent | 5 (4.67%) | 3 (5.17%) | 5 (3.5%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Some college | 5 (4.67%) | 1 (1.72%) | 7 (4.9%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| Bachelor's Degree | 57 (53.27%) | 36 (62.07%) | 78 (54.55%) | 56 (46.28%) |
| Master's Degree | 34 (31.76%) | 15 (25.86%) | 43 (30.07%) | 46 38.02(%) |
| Doctoral or Medical Degree | 6 (5.61%) | 3 (5.17%) | 10 (6.99%) | 9 (7.44%) |
| Household Income | | | | |
| Up to 7106 NIS | 7 (6.54%) | 4 (6.9%) | 7 (4.9%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| Up to 12862 NIS | 20 (18.69%) | 11 (18.97%) | 36 (25.17%) | 29 (23.97%) |
| Up to 22847 NIS | 46 (42.99%) | 26 (44.83%) | 57 (39.86%) | 33 (27.27%) |
| Over 22847 NIS | 34 (31.78%) | 17 (29.31%) | 43 (30.07%) | 51 (42.25%) |
| **Trauma Exposure Factors** | | | | |
| Home Zone according Home Front Command on October 7th | | | | |
| Red | 9 (8.41%) | 5 (8.62%) | 15 (10.49%) | 9 (7.44%) |
| Orange | 27 (25.23%) | 13 (22.41%) | 35 (24.48%) | 32 (26.45%) |
| Yellow | 30 (28.04%) | 10 (17.24%) | 35 (24.48%) | 30 (24.79%) |
| Green | 41 (38.32%) | 30 (51.72%) | 58 (40.56%) | 50 (41.32%) |
| Watch uncensored videos in the past week | 7 (6.54%) | 0 | 12 (8.39%) | 12 (9.92%) |
| Know civilians killed | 42 (39.25%) | 20 (34.48%) | 61 (42.66%) | 39 (32.23%) |
| Know civilians and/or soldiers taken hostage | 16 (14.95%) | 7 (12.07%) | 25 (17.48%) | 21 (17.36%) |
| **Obstetrical related Factors** | | | | |
| Primiparity | 25 (23.36%) | 17 (29.31%) | 36 (25.17%) | 43 (35.54%) |
| Mode of Delivery | | | | |
| Vaginal delivery | - | - | 106 (79.7%) | 85 (71.43%) |
| Assisted vaginal delivery | - | - | 11 (8.27%) | 8 (6.72%) |
| Scheduled caesarean delivery | - | - | 6 (4.51%) | 11 (9.24%) |
| Emergent caesarean delivery | - | - | 10 (7.52%) | 15 (12.61%) |
| NICU admittance | - | - | 9 (6.82%) | 8 (6.72%) |

Note.
NIS, New Israeli Shekel.
Home Front Command zone classification is the formal Israeli Defence Force (IDF) classification used to classify the level of proximity to war-related threats. All exposure factors related to the October 7th events and the war. Red zone < 15 seconds to take shelter during a missile attack, Orange zone 30 seconds, Yellow zone 45 seconds, and Green zone 60 seconds.
Watched uncensored videos in the past week; Values pertain to high frequency of exposure (score 8 or above on a 1-10 scale regarding hours of exposure daily).
NICU = neonatal intensive care.

**Table 2. Comparison between study group on maternal mental health, mother-infant bonding, and social support**

| | Pregnant Women (N = 165) | | | | | Postpartum Women (N = 264) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Study Group | | | | $\chi^2$ / Z | Study Group | | | | $\chi^2$ / Z | OR (95% CI) / Effect Size |
| | Active Partner Deployment | | Non-Active Partner Deployment | | | Active Partner Deployment | | Non-Active Partner Deployment | | | |
| | %/Mean | n/SD | %/Mean | n/SD | | %/Mean | n/SD | %/Mean | n/SD | | |
| Clinical Depression | 43.956 | 40 | 25.49 | 13 | 4.007* | 23.894 | 27 | 23.077 | 24 | 0- | 2.293 (1.098 – 5.001)[1] |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1.047 (0.558 – 1.971)[2] |
| Clinical PTSD | 20.238 | 17 | 19.149 | 9 | $1.8e^{-30}$- | 21 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 0- | 1.071 (0.443 – 2.731)[1] |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1.063 (0.525 – 2.171)[2] |
| Social Support | 45.759 | 9.597 | 50.84 | 6.106 | 3.156*** | 47.53 | 9.653 | 52.117 | 6.985 | 3.07** | 0.246 (0.097 – 0.382)[1] |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 0.189 (0.074 – 0.297)[2] |
| Maternal Bonding | 61.645 | 13.998 | 63.022 | 15.118 | 0.605- | 32.443 | 7.282 | 30.266 | 5.502 | 2.364* | 0.047 (0.003 – 0.205)[1] |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 0.146 (0.028 – 0.258)[2] |

Note.
Clinical depression symptoms were defined based on a score of 14 or higher based on the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS ≥ 14)
Clinical posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms regarding the October 7th events were defined based on a score of 33 or higher on the Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL ≥ 33)
OR - odd ratios, 95% CI - 95% confidence interval
[1] = Active Partner Deployment v/s Non-Active Partner Deployment for Pregnant Women
[2] = Active Partner Deployment v/s Non-Active Partner Deployment for Postpartum Women
***p<.001, **p<.01, *p<.05, +<0.1, - not significant

**Mediation Models**




Figure. 1. Simple mediation model linking study group (partner actively deployed v/s not) to symptoms of depression during pregnancy via perceived social support. Solid lines represent significant paths; Gray dashed lines represent non-significant paths. Values are standardized scores.
***p<.001, **p<.01, *p<.05.

Figure. 2. Simple mediation model linking study group (partner actively deployed v/s not) to mother-infant bonding during postpartum via perceived social support. Solid lines represent significant paths. Values are standardized scores.
***p<.001, **p<.01, *p<.05, $^{+}$<0.1