Plasma Proteomic Signatures of Physical Activity Provide Insights into Biological Impacts of Physical Activity and its Protective Role Against Dementia.

Author List

Gayatri Arani[1*], Amit Arora[1,2], Shuai Yang[1], Jingyue Wu[1], Jennifer N. Kraszewski[1], Amy Martins[1], Alexandra Miller[1,3], Zebunnesa Zeba[4] ,Ayan Jafri[1], Chengcheng Hu[1] ,Leslie V. Farland[1], Jennifer W. Bea[5,6], Dawn K. Coletta[7,8,9,10], Daniel H. Aslan[11], M Katherine Sayre[11,12], Pradyumna K Bharadwaj[13], Madeline Ally[13], Silvio Maltagliati[11,14], Mark H C Lai [11,15], Rand Wilcox[15], Eco de Geus[16,17], Gene E. Alexander[13,18,19,20,21,22], David A. Raichlen[11,15,23], Yann C. Klimentidis[1,18,24]

1. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.
2. Department of Biomedical Informatics, College of Health Solutions, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA.
3. College of Medicine, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.
4. Division of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, USA.
5. Department of Health Promotion Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
6. University of Arizona Cancer Center, Tucson, AZ, USA
7. Department of Physiology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
8. Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.
9. Department of Clinical and Translational Genomics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
10. Center for Disparities in Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.
11. Department of Anthropology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
12. Department of Anthropology, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA.
13. Department of Psychology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
14. University of Grenoble Alpes, SENS, Grenoble 38000, France.
15. Department of Psychology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States
16. Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
17. Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
18. BIO5 Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
19. Evelyn F. McKnight Brain Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.
20. Department of Psychiatry, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.
21. Neuroscience Graduate Interdisciplinary Program, University of Arizona, Tucson, USA.
22. Arizona Alzheimer's Consortium, Phoenix, AZ, USA.
23. Human and Evolutionary Biology Section, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
24. Genetics Graduate Interdisciplinary Program, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

* Corresponding author: aranin@arizona.edu

ABSTRACT

Physical activity (PA), including sedentary behavior, is associated with many diseases, including Alzheimer's disease and all-cause dementia. However, the specific biological mechanisms through which PA protects against disease are not entirely understood. To address this knowledge gap, we first assessed the conventional observational associations of three self-reported and three device-based PA measures with circulating levels of 2,911 plasma proteins measured in the UK Biobank (n_{max}=39,160) and assessed functional enrichment of identified proteins. We then used bi-directional Mendelian randomization (MR) to further evaluate the evidence for causal relationships of PA with protein levels. Finally, we performed mediation analyses to identify proteins that may mediate the relationship of PA with incident all-cause dementia. Our findings revealed 41 proteins consistently associated with all PA measures and 1,027 proteins associated with at least one PA measure. Both conventional observational and MR study designs converged on proteins that appear to increase as a result of PA, including integrin proteins such as ITGAV and ITGAM, as well as MXRA8, CLEC4A, CLEC4M, GFRA1, and ADGRG2; and on proteins that appear to decrease as a result of PA such as LEP, LPL, INHBC, CLMP, PTGDS, ADM, OGN, and PI3. Functional enrichment analyses revealed several relevant processes, including cell-matrix adhesion, integrin-mediated signaling, and collagen binding. Finally, several proteins, including GDF15, ITGAV, HPGDS, BCAN, and MENT, were found to mediate the relationship of PA with all-cause dementia, implicating processes such as synaptic plasticity, neurogenesis and inflammation, through which PA protects against dementia. Our results provide insights into how PA may affect biological processes and protect from all-cause dementia, and provide avenues for future research into the health-promoting effects of PA.

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity (PA) and sedentary behavior (SB), hereafter collectively referred to as PA, are associated with health outcomes such as cardiovascular function, weight management, cognitive function, and mental health.[1] There are well-established associations between PA and risk of several chronic diseases such as heart disease, type-2 diabetes, depression, anxiety, osteoporosis, and cancer.[2,3] PA is also increasingly recognized for its potential role in the risk and progression of dementia.[4–8]

Regular PA may enhance neuroplasticity and cognitive functioning through improved cerebral blood circulation, stimulation of neural tissue development, and the release of other immunological and endocrine factors.[9–11] Additionally, PA improves metabolic functioning, in part, by reducing systemic inflammation and oxidative stress, which are pathological mechanisms also implicated in all-cause dementia.[12–15] Although the neurocognitive benefits of PA are often observed, the precise mechanisms and biological underpinnings of this protection are not well understood.[12,16] Investigating the biological pathways that underlie the association between PA and all-cause dementia can increase opportunities for the precise and effective prevention and management of dementia.[12]

Investigating circulating plasma protein levels offers a promising avenue to uncover the biological mechanisms underlying the health-promoting effects of PA.[16,17] These insights could pave the way for innovative applications in clinical practice, enabling more targeted and effective interventions.[16,17] However, previous human studies examining the relationship between PA and plasma protein levels have been based on relatively small sample sizes or small sets of measured proteins.[18–22] For example, Stattin et al.[18] examined 184 proteins among 6,500 individuals. Moreover, only self-reported PA measures are typically examined.[18,19] While substantial progress has been made in understanding the proteomic changes associated with cardiovascular health and PA, there remains a critical gap in linking these molecular changes to cognitive outcomes such as dementia.[17–24] For instance, a study by Robbins et al.[25] demonstrated how plasma proteomic changes in response to exercise training are associated with cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) adaptations, highlighting the diverse physiological pathways through which PA can confer cardiorespiratory benefits. Furthermore, while previous research has attempted to find associations between PA and plasma protein levels, conventional observational studies may be biased by unmeasured and residual confounding factors, and reverse causation.[26] As a result, alternative study designs such as Mendelian randomization (MR) can help to assess causal relationships.[26–28]

We performed the most extensive study to date testing the associations of PA with the levels of 2,911 plasma proteins, using self-reported and device-based measures ($n_{max} = 39,160$) from the UK Biobank. We compared the evidence for causality across the different types of PA measures

108 and two study designs: a conventional observational study and a bi-directional MR study. We
109 then assessed the functional enrichment of identified proteins and assessed whether any specific
110 proteins mediate the relationship between PA and all-cause dementia in the UK Biobank (Figure
111 1).
112
113
114 **METHODS**
115

116
Figure 1: *Study design and analytical framework for investigating the relationship of physical*
activity and sedentary behaviors with plasma proteins and incident all-cause dementia.
The framework consists of four main components: (1) Phenotypic (conventional observational) associations:
Analysis of associations between PA measures and 2,911 plasma proteins from the UK Biobank, with sample
sizes ranging from n = 9,496 to n = 39,160. (2) Pathway enrichment analyses: Identification of protein
signaling pathways, Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes, and GO molecular functions enriched among
the associated proteins. (3) Bi-directional Mendelian randomization: Examination of causal relationships
between PA and proteins using genetic instruments (SNPs) for both PA and protein levels, employing
Mendelian Randomization (MR) methods such as Inverse Variance Weighted (IVW), Wald Ratio, and MR-
Egger. (4) Mediation and interaction analyses: Assessment of the direct, indirect, mediated interaction, and
interaction effects of PA-associated proteins on the risk of clinically diagnosed incident all-cause dementia.
.(Created with BioRender.com)
129
UK Biobank proteomics
The UK Biobank is a large prospective study with over ~ 500,000 participants aged 39-73 years
at the time of recruitment between 2006 and 2010.[29] Between November 2020 and March 2021,
the Pharma Proteomics Project (PPP) consortium selected 54,219 representative participants
through age, sex, and study center stratification for the proteomics sub-study.[30] Blood samples
were obtained at each participant's baseline visit. The time of day of blood sample collection
varied for each individual.[30] UK Biobank has approval from the North West Multi-centre
Research Ethics Committee (MREC) as a Research Tissue Bank (RTB).

Between May 2021 - November 2022, plasma profiling was performed using Olink Explore 3072 (Uppsala, Sweden) technology, in which a matched pair of antibodies labeled with unique complementary oligonucleotides (proximity probes) bind to the respective target proteins in each sample.[30] The oligonucleotides come into proximity and hybridize with each other to enable DNA amplification of the protein signal, which is quantified on a next-generation sequencing read-out, as detailed elsewhere.[30] Antibodies for 2,923 unique plasma proteins were available in the UK Biobank PPP.[30] The protein panel covers major biological pathways in inflammation, oncology, cardiometabolic, and neurological domains.[30] The performance of each protein assay is validated for specificity, sensitivity, dynamic range, precision, scalability, detectability, and endogenous interference.[30] Additional details on sample batching, data pre-processing, and quality checking were previously published.[29] Quality control of the proteomic data for the current study was performed in R (version 4.33)[31] by excluding proteins with more than 20% missing values (n=12) and samples with more than 50% missing values, leaving a set of 2,911 proteins for analyses.

Conventional observational associations of PA with protein levels
We used linear regression to examine the cross-sectional associations of six PA-related variables with each plasma protein. Three PA variables were self-reported: Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity (MVPA), Vigorous Physical Activity (VPA), and Strenuous Sports and Other Exercises (SSOE). As previously described, these were derived from questionnaire responses obtained during the UK Biobank baseline assessment (2006-2010).[32] Additionally, three device-based PA measures were assessed in 2014 for associations with plasma protein levels measured 4-8 years earlier at the baseline visit.[32] A subset of 103,684 participants agreed to wear a 3-axis logging accelerometer (AX3; Activity) for 24 hours per day for seven days on their dominant wrist, from 2013 – 2015. These device-based measures were: average acceleration (AvgAcc), the fraction of accelerations >425 milligravities (FracAcc>425), and sedentary behavior (AccSed) derived from a machine-learning model[33] as previously applied.[7] The questions for self-reported PA measurements, participant device-wear information, and variable construction were created and transformed as previously described.[32] We applied consistent exclusion criteria and data quality control measures to the accelerometry-based measures. Individuals with at least 3 days of data were included in the study.[7,32]

The numbers of participants with proteomic data and self-reported PA measures were as follows: MVPA: n=40,787, VPA n=10,502 physically active and 18,092 controls, SSOE: n=13,179 physically active and 25,770 controls) and device-based measures (AvgAcc: n=9,851, FracAcc>425: n= 9,792, AccSed: n=9,806). We conducted linear regression for the independent relationship between each PA variable as the exposure and each protein as the outcome, resulting in a total of $6 \times 2{,}911$ regressions. All proteins, along with MVPA and AvgAcc, were inverse normalized before analysis to ensure normality and comparability across variables. Each model

assessing the PA-protein association was adjusted for age (at baseline or device use), sex, season
(questionnaire or device use), race and ethnicity, body fat percentage (measured by a Tanita
BC418MA bioimpedance scale), alcohol intake (drinks per week), smoking status (never,
former, current), education (college or higher vs. less), and the presence of major health
conditions (cancer, type-2 diabetes, hypertension). All statistical analyses of conventional
observational associations were performed using R software (version 4.3.3). We selected all PA-
protein pairs with a $p < 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ as statistically significant, based on a Bonferroni correction for
2,911 tests. Although 6 PA measures were tested, we consider this a conservative correction
given the substantial correlations among proteins and among PA measures.
187
Pathway and functional enrichment analyses
We conducted gene enrichment analyses for the gene set corresponding to the proteins
significantly associated with each PA measure and the set of proteins significantly associated
with all six PA measures. We analyzed DAVID gene enrichment and functional annotation using
the complete set of 2,911 Olink 3072 proteins as the background.[34-35,36] We derived enrichment
terms for Gene Ontology (GO) biological process, and GO molecular function. A Benjamini-
Hochberg correction was used to assess whether our identified genes are significantly enriched
for specific functional pathways.
196
Bi-directional Mendelian randomization
We performed bi-directional MR for PA and protein levels for all pairs with a significant
conventional observational association. We assessed PA exposure SNPs selected at the $p < 5 \times$
10^{-8} and $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$ thresholds. The stricter threshold ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) ensured the inclusion of
high-confidence genetic variants, while the slightly relaxed threshold ($p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$) allowed for
additional variants since some PA exposures had fewer than 5 SNPs at the $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ threshold.
We used GWAS of the same set of PA variables described above[32], plus two additional self-
reported measures based on larger sample sizes[37]: leisure screen time (n= 526,725) and MVPA
during leisure time (n= 608,595). We used publicly available GWAS summary statistics reported
in Sun et al. [38] to genetically-instrument protein levels. Specifically, we used the GWAS based
on the European ancestry subset in the UK Biobank PPP (n= 34,557) since this corresponds to
the ancestry used in the PA GWAS. Additionally, we performed MR with each protein as the
exposure, limiting our exposure SNPs to cis-pQTLs within one Mb up and downstream of the
transcription start site for the respective protein-coding gene to reduce the potential for
pleiotropic variants outside the protein-encoding gene.[39] All MR statistical analyses were
performed in R (version 4.2) using the 'TwoSampleMR' package.[40] Clumping for MR was
performed with Plink 1.9 using the 'EUR' subpopulation from 1,000 Genomes as a reference.
We first assessed results from the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method. When only one SNP
exposure instrument was available, we applied the Wald ratio method and reported those results.
To strengthen our findings, we examined the results of sensitivity analyses, including MR-Egger
regression to account for directional pleiotropy, the weighted median method to account for the

presence of invalid SNPs, and a mode-based estimator that grouped similar SNPs to minimize
the impact of outliers. F-statistics for PA exposure instruments are all >10, as presented
elsewhere.[41,42] We selected all PA-protein pairs that showed evidence from MR for a causal
effect in either direction, using a pre-set significance criterion of $p < 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$. This criterion
was chosen based on a Bonferroni correction for the number of proteins tested. The significant
protein-PA pairs were forwarded to the colocalization analysis.
224
Colocalization
We performed colocalization analyses for PA-protein pairs that showed significant associations
in MR analyses to rule out the potential of pleiotropy that could bias the MR results. We used the
'coloc' package in R.[43] We applied the 'coloc.abf' function using a genomic region of +/- 250kb
around the variants to assess the colocalization of PA measures and proteins.[44] We used the
default threshold for colocalization evidence using the posterior probability (PP) of hypothesis 4
(H_4: PA and protein have one shared common associated/causal variant for both measures). A PP
of H4 > 0.8 was considered as nominal evidence of colocalization.
233
Mediation and interaction analyses (4-way decomposition)
Plasma proteins significantly associated with at least one PA measure (n=1,027) were analyzed
using a four-way decomposition method to estimate the extent to which specific protein(s)
mediate the PA-dementia relationship. Only participants aged 55 or older without a prior
dementia diagnosis at the baseline visit were included in these analyses. Two primary models
were utilized in the four-way decomposition analysis. For the exposure-mediator relationship, a
linear regression model was used to estimate the association between PA (independent variable)
and each selected protein mediator (dependent variable). This model was adjusted for the
following covariates: age, sex, season, race and ethnicity, education, alcohol consumption,
smoking status, presence of chronic diseases, and body fat percentage. A Cox proportional
hazards regression model was employed to examine the association between each protein
mediator and the incidence of all-cause dementia. Follow-up time and dementia incidence data
were extracted from the UK Biobank. All-cause dementia was identified through diagnoses
recorded in inpatient hospital records and death registry data, as previously detailed.[7] Our
definition encompassed all forms of dementia, including Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia,
and other types. The follow-up period for dementia incidence began at the baseline assessment of
self-reported PA (2006-2010) or at the time of device wear (2013-2015), depending on the
specific analysis. Follow-up continued until the earliest occurrence of a dementia diagnosis, the
last available health record, or the end of the study period in 2021, whichever occurred first. The
four-way approach decomposes the total effect between PA and dementia into four main
parameters: (1) Controlled Direct Effect (CDE): This parameter estimates the direct effect of a
PA measure on the incidence of dementia, independent of the protein mediator.[45] The protein is
set to a fixed value for the entire study population to mimic the absence of the mediator. In this
study, the fixed value of each protein was set to the mean of that protein. (2) Reference

Interaction (Intref): This parameter indicates the effect of PA on the incidence of dementia due to
only an interactive effect between the PA and the protein on dementia. (3) Mediation interaction
(Intmed): This parameter refers to the effect of a PA measure on the incidence of dementia from
both mediation by the protein and the interaction between PA and the protein. (4) Pure Indirect
Effect (PIE): Also referred to as "mediated main effect," this parameter estimates how much of
the relationship between PA and dementia is explained by the influence of a given protein, which
in turn influences dementia.[45] Proteins with mediation (PIE) $p < 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$ were considered
proteome-wide statistically significant. We also report the proportion of the total effect that
consists of pure indirect effect. We used the *med4way* command in Stata 17 (StataCorp, College
Station, TX) to perform the four-way decomposition analysis.[46]
268
269
270   **RESULTS**
271
272   Our comprehensive findings are available through an interactive web platform (
273   https://github.com/klimentidis-lab/ProteomicsofPhysicalActivity2024.git), where it is also
274   possible to visually browse the complete set of results with interactive volcano plots.
275
Conventional observational associations of PA with proteins
Participants were 54% female and had a mean age of 56.8 years (Supplementary Table S1).
Across all three self-reported (n_{max}= 39,160) and three device-based (n_{max}=9,496) PA measures
(Supplementary Table S2), there were a total of 1,027 proteins (Supplementary Table S3) that
were significantly associated with at least one measure, and 41 proteins (Supplementary Table
S4) that were significantly associated with all six measures (Supplementary Tables S5-S10).
Some of the strongest and most consistently associated proteins across self-report and device
measures included increased levels of ITGAV, ITGAM, ITGA11, MXRA8, CA14, CLEC4A,
MEGF10, MYOM3, MYL3, ADGRG2, ADAMTS8, and PON3, and decreased levels of LEP,
GDF15, and IL6, among others (Figure 2).
286

Figure 2: *Volcano plots showing the associations of physical activity (PA) and sedentary behavior (SB) measures with protein levels.* The six panels illustrate different PA measures, including self-reported measures (Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity [MVPA], Vigorous Physical Activity [VPA], Strenuous Sport or Other Exercise [SSOE]) on the top row, and device-wear measures (Average Acceleration, Fraction Acceleration milligravities, Sedentary Behavior) on the bottom row. The y-axis in all panels represents the *-log10*(p) of the associations. For continuous PA measures, the x-axis shows the β coefficient per 1 standard deviation (SD) increase in PA. In contrast, for binary measures (VPA and SSOE), it represents the β coefficient per unit increase. Each point corresponds to a protein, with significant positive associations (p < 1.7 × 10⁻⁵, denoted by the gray horizontal dashed line) highlighted in purple (self-reported measures) and blue (device-wear measures). Significant negative associations are depicted in orange (self-reported measures) and red (device-wear measures).

Pathway enrichment

The set of genes coding for the 41 proteins we found to be associated with every PA measure was enriched for several biological processes and molecular functions, including integrin-

mediated signaling, cell-matrix adhesion, and collagen binding (Table 1). Carbohydrate binding
and serine-type endopeptidase activity were significantly enriched in protein-coding genes
specific to MVPA and VPA and to the set of 1,027 proteins associated with at least one PA
measure (Supplementary Tables S11 & S12). Cell adhesion processes emerged as most
prominently enriched for VPA, SSOE, and all device-based measures (Supplementary Table
S11). The pathway enrichment analysis also revealed that cell adhesion mediated by integrins
was consistently observed across four measures, including self-reported and device-based
measures (Supplementary Table S11). Furthermore, proteins identified for AvgAcc and AccSed
were found to participate in integrin-mediated signaling pathways (Supplementary Table S11).
Integrin binding was recognized as a common pathway across the various device-based measures
examined (Supplementary Table S11).

Table 1: Enrichment of Gene Ontology biological process and molecular function terms (with
Benjamini FDR <0.05) among the genes coding for the set of 41 proteins that were associated
with all PA measures.

Enriched term	Gene (n)	Benjamini FDR q-value	Gene Names
Integrin binding	12	8.8E-07	ADAMTS8,GFRA1,COMP,EGFR,FAP,ITGA11, ITGA2,ITGAM,ITGAV,ITGB1,ITGB2,ITGB5
Cell adhesion mediated by integrin	7	3.3E-05	ITGA11,ITGA2,ITGAM,ITGAV,ITGB1,ITGB2, ITGB5
Cell-matrix adhesion	9	5.1E-05	CD34,L1CAM,ITGA11,ITGA2,ITGAM,ITGAV, ITGB1,ITGB2,ITGB5
Virus receptor activity	8	2.5E-04	CLEC4M,CD209,DPP4,EGFR,ITGA2,ITGAV, ITGB1,ITGB5
Integrin-mediated signaling pathway	8	5.7E-04	APOA1,ITGA11,ITGA2,ITGAM,ITGAV,ITGB1,ITGB2,ITGB5
Symbiont entry into host cell	8	1.8E-03	CLEC4M,CD209,DPP4,EGFR,ITGA2,ITGAV, ITGB1,ITGB5
Cell-cell adhesion	9	5.1E-03	CD34,EGFR,ITGA11,ITGA2,ITGAM,ITGAV, ITGB1,ITGB2,ITGB5
Cell adhesion	12	1.2E-02	CLEC4A,L1CAM,COMP,DPP4,FAP,ITGA11, ITGA2,ITGAM,ITGAV,ITGB1,ITGB2,MXRA8
Collagen binding involved in cell-matrix adhesion	3	2.5E-02	ITGA11,ITGA2,ITGB1
D-mannose binding	4	2.7E-02	CLEC10A,CLEC4A,CLEC4M,CD209
Negative regulation of vasoconstriction	3	4.7E-02	ADM,ITGB1,LEP

317   **Benjamini FDR q-value-** This represents the false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p according to the Benjamini-
318   Hochberg procedure, presenting here significant results after correcting for the multiple testing. This ensures that the
319   reported enriched terms are statistically significant with an acceptable rate of false positives. **Enriched term-** The
320   enriched Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes and molecular function terms that were identified as
321   overrepresented among the genes coding for the proteins significantly associated with PA measures.

Mendelian randomization

Of 1,027 proteins tested based on showing at least one significant ($p < 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$) association in the above conventional observational analyses, we found 194 significant ($p < 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$) MR IVW associations between PA exposure and protein level (with PA exposure SNPs at $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) and 280 proteins (IL6, LEP, ITGAV, MXRA8 and TNF were significantly associated with four or more measures) with the PA exposure SNPs at the $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$ threshold (Figure 3). Three proteins (DUSP13, FGR, and RAB6A) and one protein (FGR) were significant in the reverse direction at the $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ and $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$ thresholds for selecting the protein exposure SNPs, respectively. Using only cis-pQTL SNPs as protein exposure instruments revealed four (SLC9A3R2, DUSP13, GOLM2, CCL11) and three (SLC9A3R2, AP3B1, CCL11) proteins at the $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ and $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$ thresholds, respectively. MR sensitivity analyses generally revealed directionally consistent estimates for most IVW top hits (Supplementary Tables S13 to S22). Based on our colocalization analyses, 110 distinct proteins and PA-related SNP pairs were observed to have a posterior probability of > 0.80 for a potential pleiotropic relationship (see Supplementary Table S23).

Figure 3: *Volcano plots depicting Mendelian randomization results of genetically-predicted physical activity (PA) and sedentary behavior (SB) measures on protein levels, using IVW or Wald ratio methods.* The top row shows results using exposure SNPs with p-values below the stringent genome-wide significance threshold ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), while the bottom row displays results using exposure SNPs with associations at a more liberal genome-wide threshold ($p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$). Both rows highlight each PA and SB measure related to protein levels, with a statistical significance threshold of $p < 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$, indicated by the horizontal gray dashed line. Proteins with significant positive associations are shown in purple for self-reported measures and blue for device-wear measures, while proteins with significant negative associations are shown in orange and red.

To assess the consistency of the effect estimates of PA on protein levels across conventional observational and MR analyses, we plotted the beta coefficient estimates from the conventional observational analyses against the beta coefficient estimates from the MR analyses for each of the 1,027 proteins (Figure 4). When evaluating our results across the two study designs, we emphasized proteins exhibiting directionally consistent effects and statistically significant at $p < 1 \times 10^{-3}$ in both conventional observational and MR analyses. We used a more liberal threshold for statistical significance, considering the lower null likelihood of directionally consistent

results in both designs. We identified ITGAV, MXRA8, and LEP as exhibiting consistent
associations across study designs and PA measures.

Figure 4. *Comparison of observational and Mendelian Randomization effect estimates for the*
associations between PA exposures and protein levels. The figures demonstrate the relationship between
beta coefficients derived from conventional observational associations (y-axis) and MR analyses (x-axis) for self-
reported PA measures (Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity, Vigorous Physical Activity, Strenuous Sports or
Other Exercises) and device-wear measures (Acceleration Average, Fraction Acceleration > 425 milligravities).
Each colored data point corresponds to a protein, with significant associations in both the observational and MR
analyses ($p < 1 \times 10^{-3}$) highlighted. These plots offer a visual comparison to evaluate the consistency in effect
estimates across both observational and MR analyses. (Created in part with BioRender.com)

Mediation and interaction analyses

There were up to 859 incident dementia cases identified among participants with both proteomic
and PA data (Supplementary Table S24). Our investigation employing the 4-way decomposition
method identified 15 proteins that significantly mediated the relationship between PA and
incident dementia ($p < 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$). Five proteins were found to mediate the relationship between
MVPA and dementia; three proteins mediated the relationship between VPA and dementia, and
five proteins mediated the relationship between SSOE and dementia (Table 2; Supplementary
Tables S25). We did not identify any protein as a significant mediator of the effect of device-
based measures on dementia.

Growth Differentiation Factor 15 (GDF15), Hematopoietic Prostaglandin D Synthase (HPGDS) and Integrin Subunit Alpha V (ITGAV) demonstrated consistent mediating effects across MVPA, VPA, and SSOE on dementia risk. Integrin Subunit Alpha M (ITGAM) mediated the effects of MVPA and SSOE on dementia risk. Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP) showed mediating effects of MVPA and VPA on dementia. Brevican core protein (BCAN) was also shown to mediate the effect of SSOE on dementia.

Table 2. Mediation analysis results show the proteins that significantly mediated the relationship between PA and all-cause dementia.

PA measure	Protein	Pure indirect effect	P[1]
MVPA			
	GDF15; Growth/differentiation factor 15	-0.01 [-0.02, 0.01]	1.69E-07
	HPGDS; Hematopoietic prostaglandin D synthase	-0.01 [-0.02, -0.01]	4.81E-07
	ITGAV; Integrin alpha V	-0.02 [-0.02, -0.01]	8.38E-07
	MENT; Protein MENT	0.01 [-0.02, -0.01]	3.06E-06
	ITGAM; Integrin alpha M	-0.01 [-0.02, -0.01]	7.31E-06
VPA			
	ITGAV; Integrin alpha V	-0.06 [-0.08, -0.03]	2.62E-06
	HPGDS; Hematopoietic prostaglandin D synthase	-0.04 [-0.06, -0.02]	3.28E-06
	GDF15; Growth/differentiation factor 15	-0.04 [-0.06, -0.02]	1.18E-05
SSOE			
	GDF15; Growth/differentiation factor 15	-0.05 [-0.06, -0.03]	8.38E-08
	HPGDS; Hematopoietic prostaglandin D synthase	-0.03 [-0.04, -0.03]	6.52E-07
	ITGAV; Integrin alpha V	-0.03 [-0.05, -0.02]	1.13E-05
	ITGAM; Integrin alpha M	-0.02 [-0.03, -0.01]	3.27E-05
	BCAN; Brevican core protein	-0.02 [-0.03, -0.01]	3.38E-05

Pure indirect effect (PIE): This represents the estimated indirect effect of the protein on the relationship between PA and dementia based on the 4-way decomposition, with 95% confidence intervals provided in parentheses. **P**: The p-value for the pure indirect effect[1] Presented here are the protein mediators that are statistically significant after correction for multiple testing ($p < 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$)

DISCUSSION

In this study, we aimed to explore how PA influences the plasma proteomic landscape and to identify proteins that mediate PA's protective effect on dementia. We conducted thorough analyses across 2,911 proteins to identify consistent findings across different PA measures and study designs. Conventional observational analyses revealed 1,027 proteins significantly associated with at least one of the six PA measures, and 41 proteins significantly associated with all six PA measures. We leveraged bi-directional MR analyses to validate and enhance the robustness of our findings regarding the putative causal effects of PA on protein levels. Pathway

403 enrichment analyses revealed several biological pathways that may be upregulated in response to
404 PA, and mediation analyses revealed specific proteins that may mediate the relationship between
405 PA and dementia.
406
Our study extends previous findings by replicating several proteins such as FAP, LEP, CD209,
CD248, MXRA8, WFIKKN2, ADM, APOA1, and IL6.[18,21,24,25] We also identified many novel
proteins and evaluated the evidence for causality and direction of effect between PA and each
protein using a genetically-informed study design. The identified proteins fall into several broad
functional categories, including cardiovascular and vascular regulation (e.g., ADM, ITGB5),
lipid metabolism (e.g., APOA1, LPL), inflammatory and metabolic regulation (e.g., DPP4,
GDF15, HPGDS), and tissue remodeling and fibrosis (e.g., FAP), highlighting the diverse
physiological mechanisms through which PA influences critical cellular functions.[47]
415
Across conventional observational, MR, mediation, and pathway enrichment analyses, we
consistently identified the integrin family of proteins (e.g. ITGAV, ITGAM, and ITGA11) as
increasing in response to PA, decreasing in response to SB, and mediating the effect of PA on
all-cause dementia. Integrins are transmembrane receptors involved in cell adhesion and
signaling and have been shown to significantly influence the extracellular matrix (ECM), critical
for maintaining tissue integrity and facilitating cellular interactions.[48] Integrins such as ITGAV,
ITGAM, and ITGA11 were consistently associated across multiple PA measures, underscoring
the role of PA in ECM remodeling and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion. Another protein that
we consistently identified was MXRA8, which is also involved in ECM processes.[49] MXRA8
may interact with integrins such as ITGAV, playing a role in the maturation and maintenance of
the blood-brain barrier.[49] Integrins could also explain the potential roles of PA in reducing the
risk of all-cause dementia and Alzheimer's disease via facilitating cell-extracellular matrix
adhesion functions that impact neuronal signaling pathways, synaptic plasticity,
neuroinflammation, and blood-brain-barrier integrity.[50] Additionally, integrin-mediated
pathways, such as those involving ITGA2β1 and ITGAVβ1, have been found to reduce amyloid-
beta toxicity, further linking the positive effects of PA with brain health and reduced
neurodegenerative risk.[51] Finally, integrins are strongly linked to irisin, a previously identified
exerkine.[52,53] Irisin facilitates neurogenesis and amyloid-beta degradation through integrin
receptors, such as ITGAVβ5, on astrocytes and may also be involved with BDNF activation via
integrin receptor complexes.[52,53]
436
We also found that proteins such as IL-6, HPGDS, and GDF15 were consistently associated with
PA and SB, implicating the modifications of inflammatory, metabolic, and vascular
processes.[54,55] Previous studies have indicated that IL-6 and GDF15 levels may transiently rise
following acute PA, but tend to decrease with sustained long-term PA, suggesting that our
measurements may capture these lasting benefits effectively.[56–60] HPGDS was previously found
to have a role in dementia via inflammatory pathways.[55] Notably, HPGDS and GDF15 also

emerged as significant mediators between PA and the risk of all-cause dementia, suggesting that
PA protects against dementia by reducing inflammation. The findings reinforce the broader
evidence supporting the involvement of these proteins in neurodegenerative pathways.[61] The
increase of HPGDS via PA could be related to prostaglandin-mediated inflammatory processes
implicated in neurodegeneration.[55] Elevated GDF15 has been previously associated with
increased risks of all-cause dementia, vascular dementia, and Alzheimer's disease, particularly in
the context of cerebrovascular damage.[54,61,62] The reduction in GDF15 that we observed with
long-term PA suggests an anti-inflammatory compensatory response in the brain, which may
minimize damage and promote recovery.[61,62] These results underscore the need to explore further
associations between PA and these inflammatory markers and their role in vascular health,
reducing neuroinflammation and promoting neuronal resilience.
454
Other proteins that were consistently associated with PA included LPL, DPP4, FAP, LEP, and
IGFBP1, which may play roles in cardiometabolic diseases and cancer. LPL, a protein that
breaks down triglycerides into free fatty acids for energy, is increased with PA, which might help
improve lipid profiles.[63–65] Another protein, FAP, which is primarily involved in tissue
remodeling and fibrosis, was found to increase with PA. In previous research, elevated FAP
expression after exercise correlated with improved cardiovascular metrics, such as VO_2 max,
suggesting FAP's possible role in musculoskeletal tissue repair and cardiovascular health.[25,66–69]
Moreover, we also identified LEP, a well-known metabolic hormone that regulates energy
balance and satiety.[70] It consistently decreased with PA after controlling for percent body fat.
This supports the previously reported role of PA in regulating appetite, possibly via LEP.[71,72]
IGFBP1, which increases with PA, regulates insulin-like growth factors essential for glucose
metabolism and cell growth.[73] Elevated IGFBP1 levels aid in better insulin regulation and
metabolic stability, which may be crucial for preventing type-2 diabetes and supporting tissue
repair.[73,74] Finally, we found that EGFR, another protein known for its role in cell growth and
differentiation, increases with PA, which may help reduce cancer risk by preventing aberrant
cellular proliferation.[75] Although PA has been studied as a protective factor for cancer, the
relationship between PA and EGFR is not well understood, warranting further study.[76]
472
Our study is notable because it is the largest and most comprehensive study of PA and plasma
protein levels. Our approach included various self-reported and device-based PA measures,
which allowed us to identify proteins with the most consistent associations across these
measurement types, and proteins that exhibited associations with only a subset of measures.
Employing a genetically-informed study design enabled us to examine the existence and
direction of causal links between proteins and PA. Thus, specific proteins with consistent
magnitudes and directions of association across study designs and PA measures could be
identified. To assess the mediation of the PA-dementia relationship through specific proteins, we
employed the 4-way decomposition method to disentangle the distinct contributions of PA's
direct, indirect, and interactive effects on dementia through a given protein. This approach

facilitated the identification of specific pathways and mechanisms that could underlie the
protective effect of PA.
485
However, analyses of device-based measures in our conventional observational design may be
vulnerable to temporal ambiguity and potential reverse causation since there is a 4–8-year time
gap between baseline blood sample collection and the device-based measure. Our bi-directional
MR analyses could provide some clarity as to any potential reverse causation. Mediation
analyses might be prone to residual confounding and temporal ambiguity for the device-based
measures, as mentioned above. Moreover, in mediation and interaction analyses, the total effect
is only represented by the exposure and mediator, making strong assumptions about unaccounted
confounding and the independence of protein mediation. The study population primarily consists
of middle-aged individuals of European descent, which limits the generalizability of the findings
to other ancestries and age groups. Additionally, the MR approach relies on several assumptions,
some of which are challenging to test. The gene-environment equivalence assumption posits that
the downstream effects of genetic variants on a given exposure are like those of the
environmental exposure itself, which may not always hold. Pleiotropy, as indicated in some
cases by the colocalization analyses, is another assumption that may not hold.
500
We identified several proteins influenced by PA that span functions such as cell adhesion,
inflammation, and cardiometabolic and neuroprotective roles. By integrating proteomic data with
detailed epidemiological information and various study designs, our study provides novel
insights into how PA affects health at the molecular level, potentially guiding precision public
health interventions. These proteins reveal biological mechanisms that warrant closer
investigation, especially in their role as molecular transducers of PA. The findings could inform
strategies for tracking and enhancing PA behaviors and highlight biological pathways linked to
dementia, which may be prioritized for therapeutic intervention.
509
510
511   **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**
512
513   Supplementary tables can be found in our GitHub repository: **https://github.com/klimentidis-**
514   **lab/ProteomicsofPhysicalActivity2024.git**
515
516
517   **DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**
518
Individual-level data from the UK Biobank can be requested at
https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/enable-your-research/apply-for-access. Summary statistics for all
GWAS used in this study are publicly available from the GWAS catalog ([64]) and UKB-PPP at
https://doi.org/10.7303/syn51364943. Our supplementary tables, analysis codes, and results

including interactive visualizations of results, are available in a GitHub repository:

https://github.com/klimentidis-lab/ProteomicsofPhysicalActivity2024.git

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number

21259. It uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and

support. The authors thank the UK Biobank's organizers and participants.

FUNDING

The authors would also like to acknowledge funding from NIH R01AG072445.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

REFERENCES

1. King AC, Whitt-Glover MC, Marquez DX, et al. Physical Activity Promotion: Highlights from the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Systematic Review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2019;51(6):1340-1353. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000001945

2. Hu MX, Turner D, Generaal E, et al. Exercise interventions for the prevention of depression: a systematic review of meta-analyses. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1255. doi:10.1186/s12889-020-09323-y

3. Schuch FB, Stubbs B. The Role of Exercise in Preventing and Treating Depression. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2019;18(8):299-304. doi:10.1249/JSR.0000000000000620

4. Dougherty RJ, Jonaitis EM, Gaitán JM, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness mitigates brain atrophy and cognitive decline in adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement Diagn Assess Dis Monit*. 2021;13(1):e12212. doi:10.1002/dad2.12212

5. Barnes DE, Yaffe K, Satariano WA, Tager IB. A longitudinal study of cardiorespiratory fitness and cognitive function in healthy older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2003;51(4):459-465. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51153.x

6. Hamer M, Chida Y. Physical activity and risk of neurodegenerative disease: a systematic review of prospective evidence. *Psychol Med*. 2009;39(1):3-11. doi:10.1017/S0033291708003681

7. Raichlen DA, Aslan DH, Sayre MK, et al. Sedentary Behavior and Incident Dementia Among Older Adults. *JAMA*. 2023;330(10):934-940. doi:10.1001/jama.2023.15231

8. Vesperman CJ, Wang R, Schultz SA, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and cognition in persons at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement Diagn Assess Dis Monit*. 2022;14(1):e12330. doi:10.1002/dad2.12330

9. Rody T, De Amorim JA, De Felice FG. The emerging neuroprotective roles of exerkines in Alzheimer's disease. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2022;14. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2022.965190

10. Bray NW, Pieruccini-Faria F, Bartha R, Doherty TJ, Nagamatsu LS, Montero-Odasso M. The effect of physical exercise on functional brain network connectivity in older adults with and without cognitive impairment. A systematic review. *Mech Ageing Dev*. 2021;196:111493. doi:10.1016/j.mad.2021.111493

11. Hillman CH, Erickson KI, Kramer AF. Be smart, exercise your heart: exercise effects on brain and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2008;9(1):58-65. doi:10.1038/nrn2298

12. Dishman RK, Berthoud HR, Booth FW, et al. Neurobiology of Exercise. *Obesity*. 2006;14(3):345-356. doi:10.1038/oby.2006.46

13. Intlekofer KA, Cotman CW. Exercise counteracts declining hippocampal function in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2013;57:47-55. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2012.06.011

585   14.   Rabin JS, Klein H, Kirn DR, et al. Associations of Physical Activity and β-Amyloid With
586   Longitudinal Cognition and Neurodegeneration in Clinically Normal Older Adults. *JAMA*
587   *Neurol*. 2019;76(10):1203-1210. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.1879

588   15.   Mandolesi L, Polverino A, Montuori S, et al. Effects of Physical Exercise on Cognitive
589   Functioning and Wellbeing: Biological and Psychological Benefits. *Front Psychol*. 2018;9.
590   doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00509

591   16.   Neufer PD, Bamman MM, Muoio DM, et al. Understanding the Cellular and Molecular
592   Mechanisms of Physical Activity-Induced Health Benefits. *Cell Metab*. 2015;22(1):4-11.
593   doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2015.05.011

594   17.   Smelik M, Zhao Y, Li X, et al. An interactive atlas of genomic, proteomic, and
595   metabolomic biomarkers promotes the potential of proteins to predict complex diseases. *Sci*
596   *Rep*. 2024;14(1):12710. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-63399-9

597   18.   Stattin K, Lind L, Elmståhl S, et al. Physical activity is associated with a large number of
598   cardiovascular-specific proteins: Cross-sectional analyses in two independent cohorts. *Eur J*
599   *Prev Cardiol*. 2019;26(17):1865-1873. doi:10.1177/2047487319868033

600   19.   Steffen BT, McDonough DJ, Pankow JS, et al. Plasma Neuronal Growth Regulator 1
601   May Link Physical Activity to Reduced Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: A Proteome-Wide Study of
602   ARIC Participants. *Diabetes*. 2024;73(2):318-324. doi:10.2337/db23-0383

603   20.   Li H, Zhang Z, Qiu Y, et al. Proteome-wide mendelian randomization identifies causal
604   plasma proteins in venous thromboembolism development. *J Hum Genet*. 2023;68(12):805-
605   812. doi:10.1038/s10038-023-01186-6

606   21.   Corlin L, Liu C, Lin H, et al. Proteomic Signatures of Lifestyle Risk Factors for
607   Cardiovascular Disease: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of the Plasma Proteome in the
608   Framingham Heart Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2021;10(1):e018020.
609   doi:10.1161/JAHA.120.018020

610   22.   Kruger R. Proteomics insights on how physical inactivity can influence cardiovascular
611   health. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2019;26(17):1862-1864. doi:10.1177/2047487319872019

612   23.   Lin X, Zhang X, Guo J, et al. Effects of Exercise Training on Cardiorespiratory Fitness
613   and Biomarkers of Cardiometabolic Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of
614   Randomized Controlled Trials. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015;4(7):e002014.
615   doi:10.1161/JAHA.115.002014

616   24.   Robbins JM, Peterson B, Schranner D, et al. Human plasma proteomic profiles indicative
617   of cardiorespiratory fitness. *Nat Metab*. 2021;3(6):786-797. doi:10.1038/s42255-021-00400-z

618   25.   Robbins JM, Rao P, Deng S, et al. Plasma proteomic changes in response to exercise
619   training are associated with cardiorespiratory fitness adaptations. *JCI Insight*. 2023;8(7).
620   doi:10.1172/jci.insight.165867

26. Hammerton G, Munafò MR. Causal inference with observational data: the need for
triangulation of evidence. *Psychol Med*. 2021;51(4):563-578.
doi:10.1017/S0033291720005127

27. Lawlor DA, Tilling K, Smith GD. Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. *Int J
Epidemiol*. 2016;45(6):1866. doi:10.1093/ije/dyw314

28. Lauer DJ, Russell AJ, Lynch HN, Thompson WJ, Mundt KA, Checkoway H.
Triangulation of epidemiological evidence and risk of bias evaluation: A proposed framework
and applied example using formaldehyde exposure and risk of myeloid leukemias. *Glob
Epidemiol*. 2024;7:100143. doi:10.1016/j.gloepi.2024.100143

29. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for
identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med*.
2015;12(3):e1001779. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779

30. Sun J, Zhao J, Jiang F, et al. Identification of novel protein biomarkers and drug targets
for colorectal cancer by integrating human plasma proteome with genome. *Genome Med*.
2023;15(1):75. doi:10.1186/s13073-023-01229-9

31. R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

32. Klimentidis YC, Raichlen DA, Bea J, et al. Genome-wide association study of habitual
physical activity in over 377,000 UK Biobank participants identifies multiple variants
including CADM2 and APOE. *Int J Obes 2005*. 2018;42(6):1161-1176. doi:10.1038/s41366-
018-0120-3

33. Walmsley R, Chan S, Smith-Byrne K, et al. Reallocation of time between device-
measured movement behaviours and risk of incident cardiovascular disease. *Br J Sports Med*.
2022;56(18):1008-1017. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2021-104050

34. Chicco D, Agapito G. Nine quick tips for pathway enrichment analysis. *PLOS Comput
Biol*. 2022;18(8):e1010348. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1010348

35. Dennis G, Sherman BT, Hosack DA, et al. DAVID: Database for Annotation,
Visualization, and Integrated Discovery. *Genome Biol*. 2003;4(5):P3. doi:10.1186/gb-2003-4-
5-p3

36. DAVID Functional Annotation Bioinformatics Microarray Analysis. Accessed July 2,
2024. https://david.ncifcrf.gov/

37. Wang Z, Emmerich A, Pillon NJ, et al. Genome-wide association analyses of physical
activity and sedentary behavior provide insights into underlying mechanisms and roles in
disease prevention. *Nat Genet*. 2022;54(9):1332-1344. doi:10.1038/s41588-022-01165-1

38.   Sun BB, Chiou J, Traylor M, et al. Plasma proteomic associations with genetics and
health in the UK Biobank. *Nature*. 2023;622(7982):329-338. doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06592-
6

39.   Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal
inference in epidemiological studies. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2014;23(R1):R89-98.
doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu328

40.   Two Sample MR Functions and Interface to MR Base Database. Accessed July 2, 2024.
https://mrcieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/

41.   Ramadan FA, Bea JW, Garcia DO, et al. Association of sedentary and physical activity
behaviours with body composition: a genome-wide association and Mendelian randomisation
study. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*. Published online August 2, 2022. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-
2021-001291

42.   Wang Z, Davey Smith G, Loos RJF, den Hoed M. Distilling causality between physical
activity traits and obesity via Mendelian randomization. *Commun Med*. 2023;3(1):1-6.
doi:10.1038/s43856-023-00407-5

43.   Colocalisation Tests of Two Genetic Traits. Accessed July 2, 2024.
https://chr1swallace.github.io/coloc/

44.   Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, Schadt EE, et al. Bayesian test for colocalisation
between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. *PLoS Genet*.
2014;10(5):e1004383. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004383

45.   VanderWeele TJ. A unification of mediation and interaction: a 4-way decomposition.
Epidemiol Camb Mass. 2014;25(5):749-761. doi:10.1097/EDE.0000000000000121

46.   Discacciati A, Bellavia A, Lee JJ, Mazumdar M, Valeri L. Med4way: a Stata command
to investigate mediating and interactive mechanisms using the four-way effect decomposition.
Int J Epidemiol. Published online November 16, 2018. doi:10.1093/ije/dyy236

47.   UniProt. Accessed December 15, 2024. https://www.uniprot.org/

48.   Danen EHJ. Integrins: An Overview of Structural and Functional Aspects. In: *Madame
Curie Bioscience Database [Internet]*. Landes Bioscience; 2013. Accessed July 2, 2024.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK6259/

49.   Han SW, Jung YK, Lee EJ, et al. DICAM inhibits angiogenesis via suppression of AKT
and p38 MAP kinase signalling. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2013;98(1):73-82. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvt019

50.   Biose IJ, Ismael S, Ouvrier B, White AL, Bix GJ. The Potential Role of Integrin
Signaling in Memory and Cognitive Impairment. *Biomolecules*. 2023;13(1):108.
doi:10.3390/biom13010108

51. Ortiz-Sanz C, Llavero F, Zuazo-Ibarra J, et al. Recombinant Integrin β1 Signal Peptide
Blocks Gliosis Induced by Aβ Oligomers. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2022;23(10):5747.
doi:10.3390/ijms23105747

52. Kim H, Wrann CD, Jedrychowski M, et al. Irisin Mediates Effects on Bone and Fat via
αV Integrin Receptors. *Cell*. 2018;175(7):1756-1768.e17. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.025

53. A M, Wales TE, Zhou H, et al. Irisin acts through its integrin receptor in a two-step
process involving extracellular Hsp90α. *Mol Cell*. 2023;83(11):1903-1920.e12.
doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2023.05.008

54. Wischhusen J, Melero I, Fridman WH. Growth/Differentiation Factor-15 (GDF-15):
From Biomarker to Novel Targetable Immune Checkpoint. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11:951.
doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.00951

55. Mohri I, Kadoyama K, Kanekiyo T, et al. Hematopoietic prostaglandin D synthase and
DP1 receptor are selectively upregulated in microglia and astrocytes within senile plaques
from human patients and in a mouse model of Alzheimer disease. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*.
2007;66(6):469-480. doi:10.1097/01.jnen.0000240472.43038.27

56. Quist JS, Klein AB, Færch K, et al. Effects of acute exercise and exercise training on
plasma GDF15 concentrations and associations with appetite and cardiometabolic health in
individuals with overweight or obesity – A secondary analysis of a randomized controlled
trial. *Appetite*. 2023;182:106423. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2022.106423

57. Kleinert M, Clemmensen C, Sjøberg KA, et al. Exercise increases circulating GDF15 in
humans. *Mol Metab*. 2018;9:187-191. doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2017.12.016

58. Klein AB, Kleinert M, Richter EA, Clemmensen C. GDF15 in Appetite and Exercise:
Essential Player or Coincidental Bystander? *Endocrinology*. 2022;163(1):bqab242.
doi:10.1210/endocr/bqab242

59. Nash D, Hughes MG, Butcher L, et al. IL-6 signaling in acute exercise and chronic
training: Potential consequences for health and athletic performance. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*.
2023;33(1):4-19. doi:10.1111/sms.14241

60. Shobeiri P, Seyedmirzaei H, Karimi N, et al. IL-6 and TNF-α responses to acute and
regular exercise in adult individuals with multiple sclerosis (MS): a systematic review and
meta-analysis. *Eur J Med Res*. 2022;27(1):185. doi:10.1186/s40001-022-00814-9

61. Walker KA, Chen J, Zhang J, et al. Large-scale plasma proteomic analysis identifies
proteins and pathways associated with dementia risk. *Nat Aging*. 2021;1(5):473-489.
doi:10.1038/s43587-021-00064-0

62. Guo Y, You J, Zhang Y, et al. Plasma proteomic profiles predict future dementia in
healthy adults. *Nat Aging*. 2024;4(2):247-260. doi:10.1038/s43587-023-00565-0

63. Wang Y, Xu D. Effects of aerobic exercise on lipids and lipoproteins. *Lipids Health Dis*.
2017;16(1):132. doi:10.1186/s12944-017-0515-5

64. Harrison M, Moyna NM, Zderic TW, et al. Lipoprotein particle distribution and skeletal
muscle lipoprotein lipase activity after acute exercise. *Lipids Health Dis*. 2012;11(1):64.
doi:10.1186/1476-511X-11-64

65. Duncan GE, Perri MG, Theriaque DW, Hutson AD, Eckel RH, Stacpoole PW. Exercise
Training, Without Weight Loss, Increases Insulin Sensitivity and Postheparin Plasma Lipase
Activity in Previously Sedentary Adults. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26(3):557-562.
doi:10.2337/diacare.26.3.557

66. Cui Y, Wang Y, Wang S, Du B, Li X, Li Y. Highlighting Fibroblasts Activation in
Fibrosis: The State-of-The-Art Fibroblast Activation Protein Inhibitor PET Imaging in
Cardiovascular Diseases. *J Clin Med*. 2023;12(18):6033. doi:10.3390/jcm12186033

67. Brokopp CE, Schoenauer R, Richards P, et al. Fibroblast activation protein is induced by
inflammation and degrades type I collagen in thin-cap fibroatheromata. *Eur Heart J*.
2011;32(21):2713-2722. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehq519

68. Fitzgerald AA, Weiner LM. The role of fibroblast activation protein in health and
malignancy. *Cancer Metastasis Rev*. 2020;39(3):783-803. doi:10.1007/s10555-020-09909-3

69. Zhang Z, Tao J, Qiu J, et al. From basic research to clinical application: targeting
fibroblast activation protein for cancer diagnosis and treatment. *Cell Oncol*. 2024;47(2):361-
381. doi:10.1007/s13402-023-00872-z

70. Vilariño-García T, Polonio-González ML, Pérez-Pérez A, et al. Role of Leptin in
Obesity, Cardiovascular Disease, and Type 2 Diabetes. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2024;25(4):2338.
doi:10.3390/ijms25042338

71. Jadhav RA, Maiya GA, Hombali A, Umakanth S, Shivashankar KN. Effect of physical
activity promotion on adiponectin, leptin and other inflammatory markers in prediabetes: a
systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Acta Diabetol*.
2021;58(4):419-429. doi:10.1007/s00592-020-01626-1

72. Anche P, Maiya GA, Kamath SU, Shastry BA. Influence of a 12-week physical activity
program on leptin resistance in metabolic syndrome: a preliminary study. *Int J Diabetes Dev
Ctries*. 2021;41(4):600-606. doi:10.1007/s13410-021-00928-6

73. Rajwani A, Ezzat V, Smith J, et al. Increasing Circulating IGFBP1 Levels Improves
Insulin Sensitivity, Promotes Nitric Oxide Production, Lowers Blood Pressure, and Protects
Against Atherosclerosis. *Diabetes*. 2012;61(4):915-924. doi:10.2337/db11-0963

74. Aziz A, Haywood NJ, Cordell PA, et al. Insulinlike Growth Factor–Binding Protein-1
Improves Vascular Endothelial Repair in Male Mice in the Setting of Insulin Resistance.
Endocrinology. 2018;159(2):696-709. doi:10.1210/en.2017-00572

75.   Thomas R, Weihua Z. Rethink of EGFR in Cancer With Its Kinase Independent Function
on Board. *Front Oncol*. 2019;9. doi:10.3389/fonc.2019.00800

76.   McTiernan A, Friedenreich CM, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Physical Activity in Cancer
Prevention and Survival: A Systematic Review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2019;51(6):1252.
doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000001937