Associations between social capital and other living conditions among young Angolan women: A cross-sectional study in three provinces

Gunilla Elise Priebe[1], Arciolanda Macama[2], Barbora Kessel[1], Francisca Van Dúnem dos Reis[2], João E Van Dúnem[2], José Katito[2], Nawi Ng[1], Karin Engström[3]

[1] School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of Gothenburg (UGOT), Box 469, 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden

[2] Faculty of Economics and Management, The Catholic University of Angola (UCAN), Av. Pedro de Castro Van-Dúnem Loy 24, Luanda, Angola

[3] The Department for Global Public Health, Karolinska Institutet, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden

*Corresponding author:

Email: gunilla.priebe@gu.se (GP)

Abstract

Social support systems play a crucial role in promoting young women's well-being and their human rights fulfilment, not least in contexts where institutions are weak and socioeconomic and gender inequalities prevail. However, research on young women's social networks and trust in their benevolent function is scarce. This study attempts to reduce this knowledge gap by exploring young Angolan women's access to social capital and how it clustered and was associated with other living conditions. The data were derived from a cross-sectional study of 2,071 women, aged 18-24 years, from rural and urban areas in Huambo, Luanda and Lunda Sul provinces. The results included a univariate description of the participants socioeconomic and social capital characteristics stratified by province and residential area, an exploratory factor analysis of 17 social capital variables, and tests for associations between social capital factors and socioeconomic variables (literacy, household wealth, work and pregnancy), the latter measured by means of logistic regressions. The study revealed that the women in Luanda had generally better living conditions, those from Lunda Sul were worse off, and those from Huambo were often in between. The factor analysis' identification of structural, cognitive, and applied factors aligned well with established research. Literate and wealthier participants often had higher structural but less cognitive social capital. Social capital measures showed less variability compared to literacy and household wealth. The social capital factors were distributed and associated differently with the socioeconomic attributes based on location. The study highlights the intricate relationship between social capital and other living conditions, conditional on where women live. It draws attention to the need for further investigations into which social spheres young women perceive as supportive. The study emphasises the importance of analysing social capital domains separately, appreciating links to other resources and local uniqueness in social capital-enhancing interventions.

Introduction

The importance of social capital for health and development at the individual and population levels has been demonstrated in numerous empirical studies [1-4]. According to this research, social capital facilitates cooperation between people and plays a vital role in the ability of societal institutions to cater to diverse groups. It has been found to be positively associated with increased self-rated health [5] and life satisfaction [6,7]. In addition, it has a bearing on who receives fair treatment, uses and has access to essential social services such as education and child and maternal healthcare [4,8-10]. For these reasons social capital is considered particularly relevant in the context of socioeconomic inequality and weak institutions [3,10], as well as in settings where collective ownership and non-state groups play an important role in the organisation of society [7,12-14].

The current study examines social capital among young women in Angola, a subject that has hitherto received negligible scholarly attention. The study focuses on young women as a priority group for two key reasons. Firstly, Angola's demographic profile, in which the majority of the population are under 25 years of age [15], necessitates a focus on this segment of the population. Secondly, the central role that young women play in their own and their family's well-being, alongside the risks that they face due to high levels of unwanted teenage pregnancy, child marriage and maternal mortality [16-18], emphasises the urgent need for knowledge that underpins feasible health promotion interventions to support this group [19,20].

Social capital: a conceptual overview

Social capital comprises sets of relationships or contacts that include mutually beneficial collaborations, trust and solidarity [21]. The basic idea is that our social environment is central to how our lives shape and the choices we make. The concept of social capital was formulated to scientifically examine how this interdependence with the social environment becomes an asset, i.e., a capital.

The social capital concept has been used in social science research for over a century, but sociologist Pierre Bourdieu's work on understanding what resources matter for the reproduction of power and privilege has been particularly significant [22]. He concluded that whereas monetary capital is essential, social and cultural resources also create value and are important to the individual's position in the social matrix [23]. Cultural capital comprises formal education, specialised knowledge and cultural objects, which become resources when translated into beneficial and socially valued behaviours. Social capital, on the other hand, comprises mutually recognised relationships. Its value lies in the fact that individuals benefit through connections with other people and their knowledge, skills, and material resources [23]. Thus, the quality and density of relationships and the amount and type of resources within a network are crucial factors in determining the value of social capital to different individuals [5,24].

Consequently, empirical studies of social capital encompass both actual social networks (so-called structural social capital) and individuals' trust in that these networks will be a resource for fulfilling needs and achieving goals (so-called cognitive social capital), [21]. There is an underlying assumption of a reciprocal dynamic between these areas, which presupposes that those involved in the network believe, feel and experience that caring for each other is part of their shared social contract [24,25]. Thus, interpersonal solidarity and community peacefulness are understood as reflecting existing social capital (so-called applied or operationalised social capital), [21].

Another critical conceptual distinction is between different social spheres. Social capital is understood to create bonds between particularised individuals or groups known to each other and at the same hierarchical level. In addition, social capital is part of more general social dynamics that create trustful bridges between groups at different social levels that diverge with respect to formal power [5,12]. Relationships and networks exhibiting different degrees of proximity to the individual, such as family and neighbours, people in general, and representatives of formal

institutions, are considered to generate distinct types of social capital; the latter is what should vertically link the desires of the public and the state [7,10,25].

Links between social capital and other contextual dynamics

There is consensus among social capital researchers that formal and informal networks, their extent and what they provide to the individual, and the degree to which the individual perceives that trusting and solidary relationships characterise their environment are key aspects of social capital [1,3,21]. However, there is still a need for empirically based reflections on how the meaning of such social phenomena fluctuate with time, place and other living conditions. In line with Bourdieu's insight that social capital contributes to the reproduction of existing socioeconomic hierarchies, scholars emphasise that the functioning of social resources cannot be separated from norms, common sense assumptions, and the distribution of material resources and power relationships [26-28]. Empirical studies have shown that social networks, as well as being a resource, can have limiting effects on already marginalised groups, such as women in low-income countries [29-33]. In addition, simplistic assumptions about trust, a factor sometimes used as the sole and most important indicator of social capital, are challenged by studies showing that expressions of trust vary depending on cultural norms as well as experiences of oppression and violence [27,29,34-35].

A recurring theme in these discussions is in what way the global north-based theories about social capital are universal and/or generalisable and whether social capital policy and research have sufficiently appreciated the distinct living conditions of different population groups. With this background, contemporary social capital researchers point to the need for empirical studies that include hitherto underrepresented regions and groups, noting that despite the contributions of some recent thought-provoking studies, relatively few studies discuss social capital in the global southern context [1-4].

Challenges and facilitators for the creation of social capital in Angola

Angola provides a particularly interesting case for broadening the empirical basis of these discussions. To understand social coexistence in Angola, it is necessary to consider the impact of colonial rule and civil war on society's organisation, demography and interpersonal relations [36-41]. For example, historical research indicates that the conditions for the creation of trust and solidarity were actively undermined in the colonial days by pitting communities against each other through the partitioning of agrarian land, the recruitment of some village elders for the slave trade, and the forced displacement of people for indentured labour in the later colonial years [37,87]. This weakening of social bonds reportedly continued during the civil war through so-called dirty warfare, that is, acts of war aimed at instilling fear and mistrust within and between societies [42,43]. The distrust-creating processes that started with colonialism continued after independence until the end of the war, just over 20 years ago. Hence, it is difficult to identify any historical period that can be characterised by peaceful and trusting coexistence, which promotes the development of social capital.

Research on contemporary Angola suggests that the social networking among today's youth is taking place in an environment of widespread poverty and poorly developed public services and infrastructure [44,45], limiting people's mobility and social interactions. Furthermore, urbanisation research describes social organisation in Angola as limited. It refers to irregular waves of internal migration (due to civil war and rural poverty) of people with different linguistic and regional backgrounds, creating unusually heterogeneous urban neighbourhoods [46,47]. It is argued that this, together with the authoritarian political governance has an inhibiting effect on social cohesion [48]. Other research has emphasised that community participation and organising are limited because of the continuous political and legal control of civil society organisations (CSOs), [49-52]. Harassment by security police and the detention of political opponents and human rights activists is said to have

created a “culture of fear” [53], echoing findings from social capital research on societies where creating distrustful relationships was a conscious political strategy [25].

Angola is one of the world’s most unequal countries, both in terms of monetary assets and gender rights [55,56], which in other contexts have been shown to create social divides and have negative effects on health, safety and trust between people [7,57-59]. Previous research and official statistics reinforce the importance of studying the situation of young women in particular because they clearly illustrate how gender inequality affects everything from everyday situations where women try to protect their well-being to the extent to which governments take responsibility for meeting the health needs and rights of women [8,60-62]. In Angola, women seem to fare worse than men in all areas of life associated with systemic health inequalities, such as education, employment opportunities, and access to essential healthcare [16,63,64].

However, in parallel with dynamics counterproductive to the development of socially supportive environments for young women, examples of the opposite also exist. For example, family and kinship networks are portrayed as the backbone of Angolan society, from which the individual should be able to draw emotional, social and financial support [65-67]. These are even described as dominating politics and the country’s economic systems, especially the informal economic sector, in which mainly women make their living [68,69]. Moreover, many Angolan churches have made efforts since colonial times to provide social services, such as healthcare and education, in addition to offering a kind of social insurance system [70].

There are also a growing number of CSOs focusing on women’s rights, primarily in Luanda, and their numbers have increased in recent years despite the political control mentioned above [53]. The *ondjango* council culture, symbolising the rural tradition of collective solidarity, is used as a metaphor for inspiring equality and strengthening women’s rights because it includes norms of mutual empowerment and concern for each other’s welfare [53]. However, in practice, this tradition

is said to be challenged by postwar returnees with weak ties to the traditional norms of rural reciprocity, political practices and youth culture that encourage individualism [71-73]. Finally, in terms of non-organisational networking opportunities, internet-based social platforms offer meeting places for young people; however, thus far, access to the internet is limited outside the capital [74].

Objectives

Social support systems play a crucial role in promoting young women's well-being and the fulfilment of their human rights, not least in contexts where institutions are weak and socio-economic and gender inequalities prevail. The present study contributes to this under-researched yet significant field by exploring young Angolan women's access to social capital and how it is clustered and associated with other life conditions. The study aimed to: (1) increase knowledge about how young women in different parts of Angola describe and experience access to social capital; and (2) capture how these social capital factors relate to young women's characteristics, different living conditions and the context in which they live.

Materials and methods

This study used a subset of data originating from a larger research project named SADIMA (an abbreviation of the Portuguese Saúde e Direitos das Mulheres em Angola), which investigates social determinants of young Angolan women's Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights (SRHR) with both qualitative and quantitative approaches. The subset for the current study consists of those women who answered at least one of the social capital questions (S1 Fig).

Study design

This was a cross-sectional survey-based study.

Setting

Angola gained independence from Portuguese colonisation in 1975. However, armed conflict over the country's natural resources lasted until 2002 [75]. Angola has 18 provinces with a population of approximately 35 million people, 70% of whom live in urbanised areas [55,76]. Rich in natural resources such as gems, minerals and oil, half of its people (49.9%) live in extreme poverty. Only 40% of the population has access to essential health services, and one-fifth is covered by social insurance. Among young people aged 15 to 24, the literacy rate is 80.7% for women and 85.9% for men [55,76].

For this study, three provinces—Luanda, Huambo and Lunda Sul—were purposely selected to capture the variation in living conditions in the country. These provinces differ in their colonial and war histories, Gini indices, fertility rates, education levels, employment opportunities, and health system coverage [16]. Huambo and Lunda Sul are rural provinces with land suitable for agriculture, but while Huambo is known for its strong agricultural tradition, the economy of Lunda Sul is mainly dependent on diamond prospecting. In Lunda Sul, the needs of the diamond industry have been prioritised since colonial times. The province lacks general investment in basic infrastructure and social institutions and has the highest urban poverty rate in the country [77,78]. Although Huambo also has a large poor population and the province needs major investment in infrastructure, there are signs of postwar recovery, such as the expansion of the road network and newly built suburbs [79]. Local cultural expressions continue to have a significant influence on daily lives in both provinces, with the succession of the Bailundo kingdom in Huambo and the Chokwe and Lunda cultures in Lunda Sul [80].

Outside the capital Luanda, the Luanda province is similar to other parts of the country, with large distances between smaller settlements connected by poorly maintained roads. However, whereas the city centres of the provincial capitals of Saurimo (Lunda Sul) and Huambo City (Huambo) have remained largely unchanged since colonial times, Luanda City has evolved into a hypermodern

203 metropolis with newly built, extravagant skyscrapers [39,81]. Luanda City, a centre of international
204 affairs, is home to more than 8 million people; Saurimo and Huambo City have 0.45 and 0.6 million
205 inhabitants, respectively. Although the capital has the lowest incidence of poverty in the country, it
206 has the largest number of poor people due to its high population density. Socioeconomic
207 inequalities are particularly evident on the outskirts of the city, where most of the poor population,
208 often migrants from war-torn and underserved provinces, live in humble dwellings squeezed
209 between gated communities [46,51].

210 Participants, recruitment and data collection

211 The SADIMA project targeted all women aged 18–24 years living in one of the selected areas at the
212 time of data collection. As no up-to-date household data was available, the sampling was done in
213 three steps, the objective being to ensure variation in terms of socio-economic status and urbanity.
214 In addition to the choice of provinces, six communes per province were selected on the basis of an
215 index constructed using principal component analysis (PCA) of five socio-economic indicators
216 relevant to both rural and urban areas (the indicators were: percentage of 15-24 years olds that could
217 read and write, population ≥5 years who used communication technology in the last 12 months,
218 households with proper roofing, households with proper sanitation, households with access to
219 suitable drinking water), [82]. Due to distance and impassable roads, two communes in Lunda Sul
220 and one in Huambo were replaced by communes with corresponding PCA values.

221 In the second step, when selecting urban and rural areas, different strategies were used. These
222 strategies were chosen because of a lack of up-to-date household data and inconsistent information
223 regarding permanent residents, which made it impossible to generate a random sample of
224 households within the communes. For the urban communes, data collection sites were chosen by
225 random selection of blocks using a grid [83]; for the rural communes, the main village (50 per cent
226 of the participants) and another randomly selected village (the remaining 50 per cent) were chosen.
227 In the third step, the interviewers started at a randomly selected location within the data collection

site and, using the spin-the-bottle principle [84], went in different directions from house to house informing about the study, offering participation (if the household included someone eligible) and conducting interviews until the specified number of interviews was completed (an average of four interviews per day per interviewer).

The data collection was conducted between 1st of February 19th of May 2022. In Huambo and Lunda Sul, the research team collaborated with local CSOs to establish regulatory acceptance for the study to take place and identify suitable data collectors (S2 Table). Information about the study was circulated at each study site one to three days before the data collection day to ensure maximum outreach to eligible women. In urban areas, information leaflets were displayed on bulletin boards and at building entrances. In addition, the community administrators or village leaders in urban and rural areas were informed about the focus of the study and the day the research team visited.

Trained, young university-educated women conducted the interviews to create a trusting atmosphere. Snacks and drinks were offered during the hour-long interviews to avoid participant fatigue. After the data collection, the rural participants were given 1 kg of salt and 1 kg of rice to compensate for lost work time. With limited means of communication and extensive distances, the risk of others being attracted to participate after hearing about the compensation was judged to be minimal.

At the end of each day, the completed surveys were transferred to a Microsoft Excel worksheet without any individual ID information and saved on a secure hard drive. During data collection, controls were performed daily for internal consistency and after each team's first workweek for interviewer bias.

The absence of reliable household data prevented the determination of the number of eligible women who were not included in the study. However, information about the eligibility of the

household and whether the household participated in the study were recorded: 95% of eligible individuals who were approached participated.

Ethics approval and considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee Institutional Review Board of the Angolan Ministry of Health (24/C.E.). U/2021, 2021-07-07), the Ethics Committee at the Universidade Católica de Angola (Approvação 153, CEIH 230, 2021-06-30) and the Swedish Ethics Review Authority (Dnr 2022-06393-01, 2023-01-25).

Verbal informed consent was obtained using the DHS model [85]. Each question included an option to decline to answer ensuring continuous voluntary participation. Ethical considerations characterised all steps of the research process, including the development of the questionnaire, the implementation of data collection, analysis and interpretation of data.

Data collection instrument

The SADIMA questionnaire was developed between July and December 2021 based on literature searches and key informant interviews with ten public health experts and nine CSOs in the three provinces. The first draft was assessed for appropriateness and understandability in focus group discussions with five female students in Luanda and ten data collectors in Huambo. The paper and Tablet versions were then tested in interviews with 66 members of the target population in Huambo. The development of the questionnaire continued until the questions were perceived as logical and ethical (sensitive questions towards the end), and the wording was precise, understandable and respectful. To improve comprehensibility, common idiomatic expressions were added in parentheses, and keywords in the two local languages of Umbundu (Huambo) and Chokwe (Lunda Sul) were recorded on a separate sheet. The final version of the questionnaire was prepared using Adobe InDesign for use on Tablets or paper, the latter only to be used in case of technical problems.

275 The SADIMA questionnaire consists of 150 questions in five sections. Section one asks about the
276 respondents' general living conditions. Section two focuses on psychological well-being, and
277 section three asks about gender norms. The fourth and main section covers the SRHR thematic
278 areas of pregnancy and childbirth, family planning, and domestic violence. The survey ends with a
279 section on social capital.

280 Study size

281 The initial estimated sample size of 754 young women, calculated based on the latest Angolan DHS
282 report of women aged 15–19 years [16], was further adjusted for an expected response rate of 80%
283 and a design effect of 2, resulting in a target of at least 1,885 young women to be recruited. This
284 number was distributed across the included provinces proportional to the cubic root of the
285 population size of selected communes [82].

286 Variables

287 From the SADIMA questionnaire, 21 questions related to social capital and 25 related to living
288 conditions were included in this study. S3 Table describes the original instruments used to derive
289 the survey questions and response alternatives, and how the related variables were created.

290 All *social capital variables* were derived from Grootaert' s extensive instrument [21], which was
291 constructed specifically for developing countries, is theoretically well-founded, and is a key
292 reference in developing newer and narrower variants [19,86]. Following recommendations from
293 Grootaert et al., survey questions considered relevant to the context and provided sufficient
294 information about theoretically defined areas were selected (structural social capital n = 10;
295 cognitive social capital n = 6; operationalised and applied social capital n = 3). Three questions
296 were adapted for context relevance (type of formal groups; type of benefits from formal groups;
297 type of people to trust). For politically sensitive reasons, questions about trust in government
298 officials were reformulated into one multiple-choice question.

As for the *general living condition variables* the Angola DHS 2014 questionnaire [85] was the source of all questions except for those on food insecurity [87] and IPV [88]. Formal education, literate, work, pregnancy, relationship status, intimate partner violence (IPV), household night hunger, household wealth, province and type of residential area were included. The variables literacy (Yes/No) and household wealth (quintiles) were included in the advanced analysis (reported in Table 3 and S6 Table) to capture the theoretical literature's emphasis on the interaction between cultural, financial and social capital [23]. Furthermore, the variables of work (Yes, permanent/Yes, temporary work/No) and pregnancy (Never/ Yes, ≥18 first pregnancy/Yes, <18 first pregnancy) were considered relevant to the respondents' networking opportunities and hence were also included in the advanced analysis [29,89].

Statistical methods

The analyses were performed in five steps. First, the participants' general characteristics and responses to social capital questions were summarised as the number and proportion per province and type of residential area.

Second, exploratory factor analysis was applied to 17 constructed social capital variables to identify underlying social capital factors using principal axes factoring with promax rotation based on polychoric/tetrachoric correlations. The analysis was performed on complete data. Three possible numbers of factors (4, 5, or 6), of which Kaiser's criterion suggested one, were investigated. The final choice was guided by balancing the theoretical underpinnings and interpretability while explaining the proportion of the variance (especially the change when adding further factors). The stability of the final factor solution was examined with data stratified by province. The social capital factors that were identified, were evaluated as the sum score of the included variables and further dichotomised (high; low). Third, pairwise correlations between the dichotomised social capital factors were evaluated and stratified by province and type of residential area. Tetrachoric correlations with 95% bootstrap confidence intervals (500 iterations) were calculated, and the

correlation, if 0 was not included in the interval, was considered to be statistically significant. No adjustment for multiple testing was performed.

Fourth, the distribution of the dichotomised social capital factors was summarised as the number and proportion per province and type of residential area. Fifth, the associations between the four selected participant characteristics and the five factors of social capital were modelled using logistic regression. These are presented as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. Crude odds ratios and odds ratios with mutual adjustment for the selected participant characteristics were calculated for one social capital factor at a time. The analysis was stratified by province and by type of residential area. Stratifying by province (type of area) is equivalent in this case to fitting a model for all data with province (type of area) and interactions between province (type of area) and the participant characteristic variables as additional terms in the model. This complex model was used to test general associations between the participant characteristic variables and the social capital factors as well as tests for homogeneity of these associations (i.e., presence of any interactions). The statistical significance of the associations was assessed using likelihood ratio tests without adjusting for multiple testing. We used a 5% significance level.

The analyses were performed using SPSS (version 29.0.0.0), R (version 4.3.2), package psych (version 2.3.3), [90] (Revelle 2023) and rms (version 6.7-1), [91].

Results

Participants

A total of 2,109 women met the inclusion criteria and consented to participate in the study. Of these, five lacked information on age and were excluded. The 34 respondents who had not answered any of the social capital questions were also excluded, leaving a total of 2,071 respondents considered for further analysis (S1 Fig).

Characteristics of the study participants

Table 1 presents the characteristics of those women overall and stratified by province and type of residential area.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population stratified by province and type of residential area.

Variable	Category	Luanda n=907	Huambo n=678	Lunda Sul n=486	Urban n=796	Semiurban n=484	Rural n=791	Total n=2071
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Formal education	High	60.6	32.0	19.3	67.0	50.2	10.7	41.6
	Middle	28.7	36.1	32.1	26.8	31.4	37.4	31.9
	Low	10.7	31.6	48.6	6.3	18.0	51.8	26.4
	Missing	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1
Literate	Yes	85.7	53.8	41.4	87.8	69.0	39.2	64.8
	No	13.9	44.7	58.4	11.7	29.3	60.4	34.4
	Missing	0.4	1.5	0.2	0.5	1.7	0.4	0.7
Work	Yes, permanent	18.6	17.7	5.8	20.0	14.0	11.4	15.3
	Yes, temporary	31.8	29.2	15.2	32.0	27.5	21.7	27.0
	No	49.3	52.8	79.0	47.6	58.3	66.8	57.4
	Missing	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2
Pregnancy	Never	56.0	26.5	20.6	60.4	33.5	18.3	38.0
	Yes, ≥18 first pregnancy	25.1	29.2	26.1	23.6	32.0	26.5	26.7
	Yes, <18 first pregnancy	18.2	43.7	53.1	15.2	33.9	54.9	34.7
	Missing	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.5
Currently in a relationship	No	51.8	35.5	38.1	54.1	40.3	34.1	43.3
	Yes, not cohabiting	34.3	37.2	8.2	35.3	30.2	22.3	29.1
	Yes, cohabiting	13.9	27.3	53.3	10.6	29.5	43.4	27.5
	Missing	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1
Intimate partner violence	No	53.4	52.7	32.1	53.3	47.9	43.1	48.1
	Yes	37.4	43.5	66.5	36.8	49.2	54.0	46.3
	Missing	9.2	3.8	1.4	9.9	2.9	2.9	5.6
Household night hunger	No	71.6	49.9	40.5	75.0	57.4	39.1	57.2
	Yes	28.2	49.4	59.3	24.7	41.7	60.7	42.4
	Missing	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.4
Household wealth quintile	5th (better-off)	38.1	9.3	1.4	43.5	14.0	0.3	20.1
	4th	34.9	11.3	4.8	38.1	20.9	1.4	20.1
	3d	19.1	22.2	18.6	16.8	41.0	10.4	20.0
	2d	4.6	32.1	31.3	1.5	18.0	39.4	19.9
	1st	3.4	25.1	43.9	0.0	6.1	48.6	20.0
	Missing	1.3	1.8	0.6	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.3

It shows a pattern of individuals from Luanda being in better life situations, those from Lunda Sul in the worst life situations, and those from Huambo often being in between. For instance, 85.7% of the Luanda participants, 53.8% in Huambo, and 41.4% in Lunda Sul were literate. Additionally, material assets varied across the provinces, with 73% of the women from Luanda belonging to the two upper household wealth quintiles, compared to 20.6% from Huambo and 6.2% from Lunda Sul.

Conversely, reports of household members going to bed hungry in the last four weeks increased from Luanda to Huambo and Lunda Sul (28.2, 49.4, and 59.3%, respectively). For its part, experiences of IPV varied from 37.4% among women in Luanda to 43.5 in Huambo and 66.5% in Lunda Sul.

Similar variations were observed when comparing types of residential areas, to the detriment of those living in rural and semiurban areas. Illiteracy was nearly five times more common in rural areas than in urban areas, and household night hunger was reported more than twice as often by rural participants than by urban participants. Participants from semiurban areas fell in between. Regarding pregnancy history, 15.2% of the participants in urban areas reported a first pregnancy before turning 18 years, compared with 33.9% in semiurban areas and 54.9% in rural areas. For household wealth, 81.6% of urban participants were situated in the two upper quintiles, compared to 34.9% of semiurban participants and 1.7% of rural participants.

Participants' social capital by province and type of residential area

Table 2 presents the results for the social capital variables, ordered according to the type of social capital they relate to: structural, cognitive, and operationalised and applied.

Table 2. Responses to social capital questions stratified by province and type of residential area.

Variable	Category	Luanda n=907	Huambo n=678	Lunda Sul n=486	Urban n=796	Semiurban n=484	Rural n=791	Total n=2071
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STRUCTURAL SOCIAL CAPITAL								
Group membership	No	13.8	14.5	46.9	14.1	25.0	27.6	21.8
	Yes	85.7	85.0	52.3	85.3	75.0	71.4	77.6
Type of group memberships*	Religious or spiritual group	89.2	94.1	90.2	88.5	91.2	94.2	91.1
	Social networks (internet)	60.5	15.5	15.7	64.8	33.1	6.9	37.3
	Political group or movement	15.8	53.0	50.8	15.2	41.6	53.6	34.7
	Cultural group or association	27.3	15.6	14.6	28.6	20.9	12.2	21.1
	Work group or cooperative	16.2	25.7	18.1	11.9	15.4	32.4	19.9

	Neighbourhood or village committee	12.6	31.9	13.4	12.2	24.5	25.5	19.7
	Financial, savings or credits group	27.5	7.3	13.0	29.0	12.4	8.3	18.0
	Health promotion group	14.4	6.3	5.5	15.5	8.0	5.0	10.1
	Language/region of origin-based group	5.4	4.0	4.3	6.2	5.0	2.8	4.7
	Other	13.4	18.8	4.7	14.3	16.8	11.7	13.9
Most important group membership*	Religious or spiritual group	78.5	80.6	77.2	78.4	80.2	79.1	79.0
	Other	21.5	19.5	22.8	21.6	19.8	20.9	21.0
Benefit from most important group*	Education or professional training	52.0	42.0	39.4	53.9	44.6	38.6	46.4
	Health services	40.7	45.5	29.1	40.8	44.4	37.9	40.6
	Agricultural services	10.4	23.4	12.2	8.2	13.8	25.0	15.4
	Credit or savings	19.2	12.2	9.4	19.9	10.5	12.4	15.1
	Water supply or sanitation	14.4	14.1	16.9	13.3	12.7	17.7	14.7
	Transport	18.5	12.3	6.3	18.0	12.4	11.3	14.4
	Legal or administrative	15.3	15.8	5.5	15.5	11.3	13.8	13.9
	Other	35.3	34.9	31.1	36.5	29.8	35.0	34.5
Group participation*	Yes, frequent	61.4	38.5	38.6	60.8	37.7	43.7	49.6
	Yes, occasional	27.3	50.7	51.2	27.4	50.7	46.7	39.5
	No	10.3	9.7	10.2	10.6	9.9	9.6	10.1
Group community interaction*	Yes, frequent	17.0	15.6	20.9	17.4	13.8	18.9	17.1
	Yes, occasional	30.9	64.1	54.7	30.9	57.0	58.6	46.5
	No	50.7	18.8	24.4	50.1	27.3	22.1	35.1
Group external interaction*	Yes, frequent	19.3	18.4	20.1	19.4	15.4	21.1	19.1
	Yes, occasional	37.6	61.8	54.7	38.0	56.2	57.5	49.0
	No	41.6	17.7	25.2	40.8	25.6	21.1	30.4
Emotional network	Yes, ≥3 close friends	50.1	35.7	43.4	52.4	35.5	40.2	43.8
	Yes, 1-2 close friends	41.3	56.5	39.3	39.3	51.4	48.9	45.8
	No close friend	8.5	6.6	17.1	8.2	12.2	10.2	9.9
	Missing	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.1	0.8	0.6	0.5
Economic network	Yes, ≥3 willing and able	31.8	15.9	12.3	33.3	20.0	11.9	22.0
	Yes, <3 willing and able	47.5	58.6	42.8	47.0	52.3	51.7	50.0
	No-one to help	20.3	25.1	44.4	19.2	27.3	36.0	27.5
	Missing	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
Emergency network	Yes, ≥3 willing and able	39.5	25.1	17.5	41.1	27.9	19.1	29.6
	Yes, <3 willing and able	38.3	44.5	41.8	37.8	44.2	42.6	41.1

	No-one to help	21.6	29.8	40.7	20.4	27.3	38.2	28.8
	Missing	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.5
Consulted by network	Yes, ≥3 consulted her	53.6	41.4	14.0	56.2	39.3	25.0	40.3
	Yes, <3 consulted her	28.1	36.1	31.7	27.4	37.8	32.0	31.6
	No-one	18.1	21.4	53.7	16.2	22.1	42.2	27.5
	Missing	0.2	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.6
COGNITIVE SOCIAL CAPITAL								
Generalised trust	Yes	12.1	22.6	23.3	12.1	15.1	26.2	18.2
	No	87.8	77.1	76.7	87.8	84.7	73.7	81.7
	Missing	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Community trust	Yes (4-5)	8.7	15.2	22.8	8.3	8.1	23.8	14.1
	No or hesitant (1-3)	91.1	84.4	77.2	91.5	91.9	75.9	85.6
	Missing	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.2
Nonexploitation trust	Yes (4-5)	13.2	8.8	27.8	12.6	11.8	20.0	15.2
	No or hesitant (1-3)	86.4	90.9	72.2	87.1	88.0	79.9	84.5
	Missing	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2
Community solidarity	Yes (4-5)	41.3	36.9	38.5	40.3	29.8	43.9	39.2
	No or hesitant (1-3)	57.9	62.7	61.1	58.9	69.8	55.6	60.2
	Missing	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.1
Economic trust	Yes (4-5)	26.4	27.9	40.7	25.8	35.1	31.7	30.2
	No or hesitant (1-3)	72.8	71.2	59.3	73.4	64.0	67.9	69.1
	Missing	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.2
Respondent trust in 0-3 specified others	Family and friends	81.9	96.6	92.2	83.2	93.2	92.7	89.1
	Health care staff	9.0	34.1	20.0	9.2	31.4	23.4	19.8
	CSO/NGO	6.6	26.0	2.1	6.8	16.1	14.4	11.9
	Teachers	6.0	13.6	12.8	5.5	15.3	11.4	10.0
	Police/military	4.3	13.6	1.4	4.0	11.0	6.7	6.7
	Government officials	0.7	8.0	4.7	0.6	3.3	7.8	4.0
	Traditional midwifes/healers	0.2	4.1	5.8	0.1	2.1	5.9	2.8
	Other	13.8	14.3	7.2	14.1	11.6	11.3	12.4
	Noone	13.0	1.2	5.8	12.7	4.5	3.9	7.4
OPERATIONALISED OR APPLIED SOCIAL CAPITAL								
Emergency cooperation	Yes (4-5)	78.1	66.7	50.0	77.8	60.1	62.3	67.7
	No or hesitant (1-3)	21.3	33.0	50.0	21.5	39.9	37.4	31.9
	Missing	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.4

Peaceful coexistence	Yes (4-5)	62.6	51.5	65.2	60.7	47.7	65.7	59.6
	No or hesitant (1-3)	37.2	48.1	34.6	39.1	51.9	34.0	40.1
	Missing	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
Sources of conflict in neighbourhood or community	Economy and wealth	47.6	58.0	49.6	47.2	56.6	52.6	51.5
	Land ownership (soil)	33.7	67.8	30.5	31.0	50.0	53.7	44.1
	Educational level or work success	39.9	41.2	43.6	41.1	43.0	40.2	41.2
	Between men and women	38.3	40.3	30.9	37.1	39.3	36.0	37.2
	Between older and younger	34.5	42.6	32.3	33.8	40.1	37.4	36.6
	Basic natural resources (water)	40.1	26.0	41.6	41.7	31.2	32.7	35.8
	Politics	30.7	39.1	36.6	30.0	34.1	40.1	34.8
	Other	26.6	31.7	25.5	26.4	25.4	31.2	28.0
	Religion	22.8	34.4	25.3	23.6	30.6	28.7	27.2

* Percentage of participants with household group membership (Luanda n = 777; Huambo n = 576; Lunda Sul 254; Urban n = 679; Semiurban n = 363; Rural n = 791)

Only 20% in total answered that their households did not belong to any formal group (cultural, political, religious etcetera). Among women who reported household group membership, nearly all (91.1%) reported membership in a religious group, and 79.0% indicated that this group was the most important for their household. Education or healthcare were the most reported benefits from such group membership (46.4% and 40.6%, respectively).

The responses regarding group membership varied considerably between the provinces (13.8, 14.5 and 46.9% in Luanda, Huambo and Lunda Sul, respectively). Similar patterns were observed for the questions about supportive informal networks. The women in Luanda and Huambo more often reported having access to financial or emergency support than did those from Lunda Sul (79.3 and 77.7% in Luanda; 74.5 and 69.6 in Huambo; and 55.5 and 59.3 in Lunda Sul).

Few of the study participants expressed trust in people in general (18.2%) or in people in the community (14.1%). Minimal trust was expressed for government officials (4.0%) and traditional midwives and healers (2.8%). However, when asked to choose the categories of people whom they trusted the most, almost nine in ten (89.1%) indicated family and friends, whereas approximately

half stated that they only trusted family and friends (results not shown), even though they were asked to identify three categories.

Compared to structural social capital, a reverse pattern was observed for cognitive social capital: Overall, few respondents expressed trust in others, but in Lunda Sul and in rural areas, twice as many respondents (about 20%) did so compared to the other residential areas. The exceptions were trust in healthcare workers and CSO representatives, which reached 34.1 and 26.0%, respectively in Huambo but were lower in the other two provinces (20.0 and 2.1% in Lunda Sul; 9.0 and 6.6% in Luanda). In addition, few indicated trust for teachers and police/military (13.6 and 13.6 in Huambo; 12.8 and 1.4 in Lunda Sul; 6.0 and 4.3% in Luanda).

When asked about conflicts, 'economy and wealth' and 'educational level and work success' were most often mentioned as causes of conflicts among the total study population (51.5 and 44.1%, respectively). The women in Huambo stood out with many reporting conflicts over land ownership (67.8, 33.7 and 30.5% in Huambo, Luanda and Lunda Sul, respectively), while basic natural resources such as water was more commonly stated as a source of conflicts by those in Lunda Sul and Luanda (41.6, 40.1 and 26.0% in Lunda Sul, Luanda and Huambo, respectively).

Exploratory factor analysis of social capital variables

The exploratory factor analysis identified five social capital factors. Based on complete cases (n = 1930) of the 17 social capital variables, the first analysis explored 4-, 5- and 6-factor solutions and identified institutional trust and economic trust as poorly explained by the underlying factors. In the 6-factor solution, institutional trust was assigned a separate factor, while the uniqueness of economic trust was 0.9 or greater in all cases. It was decided to keep institutional trust as a factor on its own and rerun the factor analysis without institutional trust and economic trust. The second analysis was based on complete cases (n = 1945) with a satisfactory Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measure of factor adequacy of 0.74. A 4-factor model explaining 45% of the variance was retained: 1) group

membership, 2) informal network, 3) interpersonal trust, and 4) solidarity interaction (see Figure 1, showing factor loadings larger than 0.25 in absolute value and correlations between the variables).

Besides interpretability, the retention of 4 factors was supported by Kaiser's criterion. For comparison, a 5-factor solution suggested splitting the solidarity interaction factor in two, but the additional granularity was not considered to be worth the 4% increase (49% vs 45%) in the explained variance. The four identified factors were satisfactorily recovered when the data were analysed for each province separately (Figure 1). With institutional trust, the analysis thus arrived at five social capital factors. These five factors have consistently been analysed separately.

The proceeding correlation analysis revealed that the identified factors varied in correlation patterns (see S4 Table). The two structural factors correlated with each other in all study groups, but none of these factors correlated with interpersonal trust or solidarity interaction. However, they correlated with the institutional trust factor but only in some study groups (group membership in all study groups except for Lunda Sul; informal network in the Huambo, semiurban and rural study groups). The institutional trust factor was also correlated with solidarity interaction, but only in the Huambo and rural study groups. Finally, the interpersonal trust factor correlated only with solidarity interaction but only in the Lunda Sul, semiurban and rural groups.

Social capital factors across provinces and area types

The polar graph in Figure 2 displays the proportion of the respective study group ranking high on the dichotomised social capital factors (see S5 Table for detailed results). It shows that the differences between the study groups were greater when the study population was stratified by province than when it was stratified by type of residential area. A lower percentage of women in Lunda Sul (approximately 40%) than in all remaining study groups (approximately 60%) ranked high in terms of group membership and informal networks.

A different pattern was observed for the two cognitive factors; proportionally, more women in Lunda Sul and the rural areas ranked high in terms of interpersonal trust (48.6 and 45.1%, respectively, compared to 27.1-34.1% in the other study groups), while more women in Huambo did so in terms of institutional trust (46.2% compared to 14.8-40.1% in the other study groups).

Regarding social capital related to ambient solidarity interaction, women in semi-urban areas stood out as the least advantaged (80.8, 72.4 and 61.8% in urban, rural and semi-urban areas respectively). The results for this applied factor were closer to the structural than the cognitive factors.

Associations between social capital and other living conditions

Table 3 presents the associations that proved significant after adjustment, with regard to the association analysis between each of the living condition variables and the social capital factors per province and type of residential area (see S6 Table the detailed results).

Table 3. Simplified overview of associations between the dichotomised social capital factors and the selected participant characteristics based on multivariable logistic regressions.

Odds of having high social capital		**Luanda**		**Huambo**		**Lunda Sul**		**Urban**		**Semiurban**		**Rural**	
	Literacy												
	Illiteracy	Literate		Literate		Literate		Literate		Literate		Literate	
Group membership	1	1.67						2.06					
Informal network		1.79				1.76		1.97				1.53	
Interpersonal trust		0.56		0.51				0.58				0.50	
Institutional trust				0.57						0.43			
Solidarity interaction													
Household wealth													
	1st-2d	3d	4-5th	3d	4-5th	3d	4-5th	3d	4-5th	3d	4-5th	3d	4-5th
Group membership	1											2.28	
Informal network			2.83		2.05	1.83				2.90	4.68		
Interpersonal trust				0.49									
Institutional trust													
Solidarity interaction		0.38	0.38	0.51									
Work													
	No work	Temp	Perm	Temp	Perm	Temp	Perm	Temp	Perm	Temp	Perm	Temp	Perm
Group membership	1				2.42		3.98				2.89	2.03	3.39

Informal network				2.07	2.77						4.02	2.01	2.94
Interpersonal trust											0.41		
Institutional trust													1.68
Solidarity interaction													
Pregnancy													
	1st at <18	≥18	Never	≥18	Never	≥18	Never	≥18	Never	≥18	Never	≥18	Never
Group membership	1												
Informal network			1.78						1.87	1.92			
Interpersonal trust													
Institutional trust													
Solidarity interaction													

It demonstrates that when an association existed with favourable living conditions, it was positive for structural social capital factors and almost always negative for the cognitive ones. Thus, women with a generally better life situation were more likely to have supportive group and network connections, but they tended to trust other people to a lesser extent than the less fortunate. The informal network factor was most strongly associated with the other living conditions, while the solidarity interaction factor was seldom associated with these conditions.

Literacy is an example of this pattern. For urban women, being literate doubled the odds of benefitting from favourable group membership (OR = 2.06, 95% CI = 1.27-3.34) or informal networks (OR = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.17-3.30), while it almost halved the odds of having high interpersonal trust (OR = 0.58, 95% CI = 0.36-0.95). Similarly, for rural women, being literate raised the odds of ranking high on the informal network factor (OR = 1.53, 95% CI = 1.12-2.09), while it halved the odds for high interpersonal trust (OR = 0.50, 95% CI = 0.37-0.68).

Household wealth was primarily associated with strong informal networks among women outside the capital. This was most obvious among women from semiurban areas where wealthier individuals had three to four times greater odds of having elevated informal network levels (OR = 4.68, 95% CI = 2.48-8.82; OR = 2.90, 95% CI = 1.71-4.92 for the 4-5th and 3rd quintiles, respectively). This association was also found, albeit less strongly, for the wealthiest quintiles in

Luanda (OR = 2.83, 95% CI = 1.59-5.05) and Huambo (OR = 2.05, 95% CI = 1.18-3.57) and the intermediary wealth group in Lunda Sul (OR = 1.83, 95% CI = 1.09-3.09). The only association between household wealth and group membership was found for the intermediary wealthy rural category where odds more than doubled (OR = 2.28, 95% CI = 1.27-4.11).

As for the associations between the women's work situation and their structural social capital, it was, above all, a permanent job that made a difference but only for women in the Huambo and Lunda Sul provinces. Having a permanent job substantially increased the odds of benefitting from group membership (OR = 2.42, 95% CI = 1.38-4.24; OR = 3.98, 95% CI = 1.61-9.84, respectively) in these rural provinces. Having a permanent job was also positively associated with a high rank on informal networks for semiurban, rural and Huambo women (OR = 4.02, 95% CI = 1.78-9.10; OR = 2.94, 95% CI = 1.72-5.01; OR = 2.77, 95% CI = 1.61-4.77, respectively). Additionally, having a temporary job doubled the odds for rural women to rank high on group membership (OR = 2.03, 95% CI = 1.38-2.98) or informal networks (OR = 2.01, 95% CI = 1.38-2.92).

Finally, the women's pregnancy history was the living condition with the fewest associations with social capital. A positive association with informal networks was found in the three following instances: for women in Luanda and urban areas who had never been pregnant (OR = 1.78, 95% CI = 1.11-2.85; OR = 1.87, 95% CI = 1.10-3.21, respectively), and for those in semiurban areas who had their first pregnancy after the age of 18 (OR = 1.92, 95% CI = 1.14-3.23).

Discussion

Key results

This study investigates, to date, an under-researched population of young women in a postwar setting with striking socioeconomic and gender inequalities. A comprehensive approach, incorporating a vast range of social capital domains proposed in the literature, was adopted to investigate how social capital materialises among them. The one-by-one description of the social

capital variables showed, all respondents aggregated, that more participants had experienced beneficial networks and solidarity between people than had trust in people outside the family and friends' circle. The variation in access to social capital was less pronounced than for literacy and household wealth. This raises questions about whether women with the same amount of social capital, but different access to material and educational resources, equally benefit from the social capital resources they have.

The factor analysis of the social capital variables aligns with established social capital research. Factor resolution could be achieved once economic trust and institutional trust were excluded. This resolution showed that the first set of variables aggregated pertained to structural capital (group membership and informal network), and the following variables pertained to cognitive (interpersonal trust) and applied social capital (solidarity interaction). The institutional trust factor was kept as an independent factor. These five factors mirrored the theory of the various aspects and levels of social capital well.

As the literature suggests, the participants with better access to education, work and material resources also ranked higher on structural social capital. However, this pattern did not hold for the cognitive factors. Thus, even those young women who were more materially and educationally advantaged scored low on trust, one of the social phenomena that has the potential to transform into a health-promoting resource. This highlights the need to further investigate what social spheres young women in differing circumstances find supportive.

The difference in social capital access was greater between the provinces than between the rural and urban populations, suggesting the influence of macro factors, in addition to rurality. In line with theories of reciprocal dynamics of combined resources, the participants in the poorest province exhibited the lowest levels of structural social capital. Furthermore, the research revealed intricate connections between social capital and the other tested living conditions. Whilst the research

516 indicated geographical variation in which living conditions increased the odds of having high social
517 capital, there was a consistency when associations proved significant. In these cases, the odds ratios
518 were often similar across the different groups of women.

519 Overall, the results suggest that multifaceted combinations of personal and societal dynamics
520 influence the formation and meaning of the different social capital factors. Thus, this study supports
521 previous research that underscores the importance of studying different social capital aspects
522 separately. Furthermore, it highlights the necessity of considering how social capital relates to other
523 resources and each locality's unique conditions when considering the integration of social capital-
524 enhancing initiatives as components of health and human rights promotion interventions.

525 Limitations

526 The study identified areas that require further research to understand better the relationships
527 between diverse groups of people, their roles for young women in different living areas, and what
528 trust represents. This highlights the complexity of social capital and the need to use multiple factors
529 that reflect social forces and spheres in the respective context.

530 The research team opted for an interview-based data collection rather than a self-administered one,
531 based on a sample that allowed for variability among the respondents that could reasonably mirror
532 that of the country. The high level of illiteracy in the study population and the broad geographical
533 dispersion in the country required a data collection procedure that could counterbalance the material
534 and practical limitations of a countrywide randomised sample.

535 Several measures were taken to create a comfortable and permissive interview environment; for
536 ethical reasons as well as to prevent desirability bias that may arise due to the potential power
537 imbalance between the interviewer and participant. To reduce the risk of misclassification, the data
538 collection instrument was thoroughly conceived and adapted to the local context. It covered a wide

variety of social capital aspects and other relevant constructs and aligned as closely as possible with the theories and conceptual frameworks from which its different parts were derived.

Regarding the household wealth variable, it is worth noting that the overall distribution of wealth obtained in the study group mirrors well the wealth distribution reported in the latest Angola DHS (see S3 Table). However, due to the skewed distribution of wealth in the included provinces, there was a loss of precision in the association analyses.

Interpretation

Angola's future development relies heavily on its young women, as they constitute a significant portion of the population and play a critical role in maintaining their own and their family's well-being. Their ability to receive support from relationships within the community and from representatives of societal institutions is crucial. It is therefore important to increase knowledge about young women's access to these social resources, especially in the context of an undersized welfare system, and socioeconomic and gender inequality [3,4,9,12,13,20,4].

The study shows that social capital among young Angolan women is multifaceted and heterogeneous. The associations between individual socioeconomic variables and any of the social capital factors were far from uniform and manifested differently depending on where the women lived (province or type of residential area). Regarding structural social capital, the study echoes the claim that having access to supportive networks is linked to the possession of material and educational resources [2,5,23,29,30]. It revealed that structural social capital and positive experiences of solidarity interactions were most readily available to women residing in the capital province, were better literacy and financial status were more common. Similarly, the study group living in the poorest province, Lunda Sul, had the lowest access to structural capital and solidarity interaction, its urbanised areas included. The study does not explain the reasons behind this but recalls research suggesting that repeated social shocks and the struggle for survival could lower the

ability of rural communities to maintain a strong culture of collective bonds and lead to difficulties in exercising interpersonal solidarity [27,34-36,50-52,83]. These results point towards a need to research how various aspects and levels of poverty, area deprivation, historical and gender-based dynamics impact the scope and nature of social capital [7,33].

Moreover, the study points to previous research showing that the value or usability of existing social networks is conditional on the availability of other resources [5,23,26,30]: in this study, structural social capital was more evenly distributed among participants than educational and material resources were. Further research is therefore needed to clarify in which situations a social network, backed only by limited material resources, can play a supportive role for young women in this context. The complexity of this issue showed in the patterns of associations between social capital and other living conditions. Literacy was, for example, the attribute most often found to be positively associated with social capital, but the strength of associations with household wealth and work situation were greater (higher odds ratio). Among other things, this casts doubt on the idea that social capital could substitute for financial or other assets [14]. Instead, it indicates that the functioning of social capital is intertwined with other assets and that its usability should be evaluated in relation to these other assets and the specific situation in question.

Regarding the content and character of structural social capital, the study found that most women were affiliated with a religious organisation. This ties in with previous research on the historical role of churches providing education, healthcare, and social services in Angola [70], and shows that they still play such a role. The study did not investigate how their support compared to that provided by current governmental or nongovernmental actors, nor did it address whether any of them supported women's rights. However, it showed that the participating women's trust in institutional representatives, such as health workers, teachers, and CSO representatives (including from churches), was consistently low. This is reminiscent of research pointing out the complexity of group belonging, particularly for vulnerable individuals: even if a group provides certain types of

support, its positive role in other aspects of life is not self-evident [28,29,31,32,34]. In view of the challenges reported by a considerable proportion of the participants, it is imperative to further explore which network characteristics have the potential to promote health and in what respect.

About the nature of interpersonal trust and its applied factor of solidarity interaction, the results connect to certain social capital research but challenge others. As is the case in our study, previous research has shown that difficult living conditions may lead to low trust in others [5,34-36]. Trust was consistently low but slightly higher among rural women than their urban counterparts, and interpersonal trust only correlated with solidarity interaction in the study groups outside of Luanda. This is consistent with social capital research pointing towards rural women's role in community cohesion [7], as well as research on Angola claiming that the rural environment maintains a culture of collective togetherness, while urban areas are characterised by social fragmentation [42,47,71,73].

Conversely, the study's results emphasise the need for further research into the character and role of the various spheres where social capital is generated, operationalised and applied. The participants expressed greater trust in their family and friends compared to people in the neighbourhood or the wider community, indicating that they viewed these social spheres as separate. This notion is further supported by the fact that trust in the neighbourhood and the general community was considerably lower than reports of perceived solidarity interaction. This implies that the latter – the applied solidarity interaction factor – may have captured the young women's cognitive capital derived from family and friends rather than the neighbourhood sphere. It is an example of how researching a hitherto understudied group sheds new light on the dynamics of social capital, in this case, young women who may have distinct reasons to place more trust in those within their immediate circle than in those outside of it [20,53].

Methodology-wise, these results entail that the role of family, friends, neighbours, and community members should be investigated separately and not, as is often the case [25,21], considered part of the same category of particularised, known others in relation to which bonding social capital is assumed to be created. Since previous research has shown that trust in this category is mostly associated with health outcomes, it should be clarified who is perceived to belong to what unit, what role the respective unit has and what contextual dynamics can influence such appraisals [1,5].

In Angola, as in many parts of the world, the concept of family extends well beyond the nuclear family household, and the strength of familial bonds or friendship alliances can even surpass that of the state [66,67,69,71]. Thus, the distinctive results regarding trust in family versus community and institutional representatives also raises questions about how trust in random government officials or institutional representatives should be understood. For example, to what extent it uniquely represents a relationship with the state as a distinct entity. In this context. a more thorough attention to the interaction between family and kinship networks, and informal and state institutions is warranted; because it involves the very foundation on which social networks are established, their composition, the definition of social spheres, and the connections between individuals and larger society including the state [10,25,59,65].

The study showed that several living conditions were associated with social capital, and it is therefore possible that social capital also influences young women's life opportunities. However, further research is required to determine the meaning and importance of the various types of social capital for young Angolan women's well-being. For instance, the manner in which the extent and quality of social networks, in addition to trust in diverse social spheres, is linked to access to health services or the protection of young women from various forms of gender-related vulnerability.

Generalisability

This study has identified areas for further research, which should be considered when generalising the findings to young women in Angola and elsewhere. In addition, in other parts of the country, there may be networking and trust aspects other than those seen in the included provinces. However, the respondents share many life circumstances with young women in other contexts were socioeconomic and gender inequality shape everyday life. Therefore, our results have the potential to provide insights into how social capital works for comparable populations.

Other information

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our warmest gratitude to Cidália Gomes and Juliana Tchimoko (ADRA Huambo), Maria Malomalo and Juliana António (Mwana Pwo Lunda Sul), and Francisco Quemba and Yara Sicato (UCAN Luanda) for assuming operational responsibilities during the data collection, Francisco Quemba (UCAN) for digitising the questionnaire, Professor Lucie Laflamme (Karolinska Institute) for her input on the methodology and the draft manuscript.

References

1. Agampodi, TC, SB Agampodi, N Glozier, S Siribaddana. Measurement of social capital in relation to health in low and middle income countries (LMIC): a systematic review. Social Science & Medicine (1982) 2015;128:95-104.

2. Ehsan, A, HS Klaas, A Bastianen, D Spini. Social capital and health: a systematic review of systematic reviews. Social Science & Medicine - Population Health 2019;8:100425.

3. Story, WT. Social capital and health in the least developed countries: a critical review of the literature and implications for a future research agenda. Global Public Health 2013;8(9):983-999.

655 4. Mengesha, EW, GD Alene, D Amare, Y Assefa, GA Tessema. Social capital and maternal and
656 child health services uptake in low- and middle-income countries: mixed methods systematic
657 review. BMC Health Services Research 2021;21(1):1142-1146, Art. #1142.

658 5. Glanville, JL, WT Story. Social capital and self-rated health: clarifying the role of trust. Social
659 Science Research 2018;71:98-108.

660 6. Elgar, FJ, CG Davis, MJ Wohl, SJ Trites, JM Zelenski, MS Martin. Social capital, health and life
661 satisfaction in 50 countries. Health & Place 2011;17(5):1044-1053.

662 7. Laishram, C, K Haokip. Implications of social capital on life satisfaction in a stratified society:
663 gendering the bonding, bridging, linking framework using representative samples of India. Quality
664 & Quantity 2023;57(4):3039–3063.

665 8. Story, WT. Social capital and the utilization of maternal and child health services in India: a
666 multilevel analysis. Health & Place 2014;28:73-84.

667 9. Ananga, MK, RK Agbefu, PN Doku, A Manu, DO Alangea, JK Ganle. The influence of social
668 capital in the utilisation of sexual reproductive health services among the youth in Ghana. A
669 community-based cross-sectional study. PLOS Global Public Health 2023;3(10):e0001225.

670 10. Adams, C. Toward an institutional perspective on social capital health interventions: lay
671 community health workers as social capital builders. Sociology of Health & Illness 2020;42(1):95-
672 110.

673 11. Szreter, S, M Woolcock. Health by association? Social capital, social theory, the political
674 economy of public health. International Journal of Epidemiology 2004;33(4):650-667.

675 12. Craig, A, CW Hutton, J Sheffield. Social capital typologies and sustainable development: spatial
676 patterns in the central and southern regions of Malawi. Sustainability 2022;14:9374.

13. Migheli, M. Ubuntu and social capital: a strong relationship and a possible instrument of socio-economic development. Cambridge Journal of Economics 2017;41(4):1213-1235.

14. Woolcock, M, D Narayan. Social capital: implications for development theory, research, policy. The World Bank Research Observer 2000;15(2):225-249.

15. United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). Country programme document for Angola, DP/FPA/CPD/AGO/9, 3 July 2023, New York: United Nations; 2023. Available from: https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/4016426?v=pdf

16. Instituto Nacional de Estatística (INE), Ministério da Saúde (MINSA), Ministério do Planeamento e do Desenvolvimento Territorial (MPDT) e ICF. Principais resultados do IIMS 2015-2016, Luanda, Angola. Rockville, Maryland, EUA: INE, MINSA, MPDT e ICF; 2017. Available from: https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/SR238/SR238.P.pdf

17. World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations Population Fund (UNPF). Ending Preventable Maternal Mortality (EPMM). A renewed focus for improving maternal and newborn health and wellbeing. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240040519

18. World Health Organization (WHO). Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2020: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and UNDESA/Population Division. Geneva: World Health Organization, Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; 2023. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240068759

19. Agampodi, TC, SB Agampodi, N Glozier, TA Lelwala, KDPS Sirisena, S Siribaddana. Development and validation of the social capital assessment tool in pregnancy for maternal health in low and middle income countries (LSCAT-MH). BMJ Open 2019;9**:**e027781.

20. Ndu, M. Examining Bourdieu's concepts of capital, habitus, field in women's health research in Nigeria: a feminist perspective. International Journal of Qualitative Methods 2022;21.

700 21. Grootaert, C. (ed.) Measuring social capital: an integrated questionnaire, No. 18. World Bank
701 Publications; 2004. Available from:
702 https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/515261468740392133/pdf/281100PAPER0Measurin
703 g0social0capital.pdf

704 22. Richardson, JG, P Bourdieu. The forms of capital. in: John G Richardson (ed.), Handbook of
705 theory and research for the sociology of education, Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press; 1986.

706 23. Bourdieu, P. Reproduction in education, society and culture, London, UK: Sage; 1990.

707 24. Herreros, F. The problem of forming social capital: Why trust?, New York: Palgrave
708 Macmillan; 2004.

709 25. Glanville, JL, EJ Bienenstock. A typology for understanding the connections among different
710 forms of social capital. American Behavioural Science 2009;52(11):1507-30.

711 26. Sen, S, JP Aguilar, J Goldbach. Does social capital act as a buffer against HIV risk among
712 migrant men in Sub-Saharan Africa? Journal of HIV/AIDS & Social Services 2010;9(2):190-211.

713 27. Alcorta, L, J Smith, HJ Swedlund, ED de Jong. The 'dark side' of social capital: a cross-
714 national examination of the relationship between social capital and violence in Africa. Social
715 Indicators Research 2020;149(2):445-65.

716 28. Villalonga-Olives, E, I Kawachi. The dark side of social capital: a systematic review of the
717 negative health effects of social capital. Social Science & Medicine (1982) 2017;194:105-127.

718 29. Hung, SS, K Fung, SS Ho, J Feng. Chapter 6. Gender and social capital: the case of a deprived
719 urban community in Hong Kong. In: Asia and China in the Global Era, Adrian J. Bailey and
720 Ricardo KS Mak (eds.), Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter Mouton:2021;103-134.

721 30. Murray, SF, MS Akoum, KT Storeng. Capitals diminished, denied, mustered and deployed. A
722 qualitative longitudinal study of women's four year trajectories after acute health crisis, Burkina
723 Faso. Social Science & Medicine (1982) 2012;75(12):2455-2462.

724 31. Maclean, K. Capitalizing on women's social capital? Women-targeted microfinance in Bolivia.
725 Development and Change 2010;41(3):495-515.

726 32. Mayoux, L. Tackling the down side: social capital, women's empowerment and micro-finance
727 in Cameroon. Development and Change 2001;32(3):435-64.

728 33. Silvey, R, R Elmhirst. Engendering social capital: women workers and rural–urban networks in
729 Indonesia's crisis. World Development 2003;31(5):865-879.

730 34. Brune, NE, T Bossert. Building social capital in post-conflict communities: evidence from
731 Nicaragua. Social Science & Medicine (1982) 200;68(5):885-93.

732 35. Deng, LB. Social capital and civil war: the Dinka communities in Sudan's civil war. African
733 Affairs 2010;109(435):231-50.

734 36. Nunn, N, L Wantchekon. The slave trade and the origins of mistrust in Africa. The American
735 Economic Review 2011;101(7):3221-3252.

736 37. Thornton, J. The slave trade in eighteenth century Angola: effects on demographic structures.
737 Canadian Journal of African Studies 1980;14(3):417-427.

738 38. Keese, A. The constraints of late colonial reform policy: forced labour scandals in the
739 Portuguese Congo (Angola) and the limits of reform under authoritarian colonial rule, 1955–61.
740 Portuguese Studies 2012;28(2):186-200.

741 39. Catoto Capitango, JA, MSG de Marin, ES Flores, MAR Gutiérrez, MG Villar, FÁD Prados.
742 Inequalities and asymmetries in the development of Angola's provinces: the impact of colonialism
743 and civil war. Social Sciences 2022;11(8):334.

40. Dias Pereira, J. Engaging with colonial knowledge on African associational life: Angola case study from 1860 to 1974. Voluntas 2023;34(6):1258-1269.

41. Van Dúnem, JE. Inequality by design: tracing the roots of economic inequality under Portuguese colonial rule (1880-1975). Conference presentation: EADI CEsA General Conference 2023: Towards New Rhythms of Development, Lisbon, Portugal; 2022.

42. Robson, P, S Roque. ”Here in the city, everything has to be paid for”: locating the community in peri-urban Angola. Review of African Political Economy 2001;28(90):619-628.

43. Makana, SS. The war needed women: gender and militarization in Angola, 1961–2002. Ph.D. thesis, University of California: Berkeley; 2017.

44. Oliveira, RSd. Magnificent and beggar land: Angola since the civil War, Cary: Oxford University Press, Inc.; 2015.

45. Schubert, J. ‘2002, year zero: history as anti-politics in the ‘new Angola’. Journal of South African Studies 2015;41(4):835-852.

46. Rodrigues, CU. Survival and social reproduction strategies in Angolan cities. Africa Today 2007;54(1):91-105.

47. Udelsmann Rodrigues, C, AP Tavares. Angola’s planned and unplanned urban growth: diamond mining towns in the Lunda provinces. Journal of Moderna African Studies 2012;30(4):687-703.

48. Godfrey, S, A Obika. Improved community participation: lessons from water supply programmes in Angola. Community Development Journal 2004;39(2):156-165.

49. Amundsen, I, C Abreu. Civil society in Angola: incursions, space and responsibility. CMI Report R:2006. Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute; 2006. Available from: https://www.cmi.no/publications/2764-sociedade-civil-em-angola-incurses

50. Gomes, CA, C Abreu, M Nangacovie, I Amundsen. Subverting the constitution and curtailing civil society: Angola's new law on NGOs. CMI Insight 2023:2. Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute; 2023. Available from: https://www.cmi.no/publications/8939-subverting-the-constitution-and-curtailing-civil-society-angolas-new-law-on-ngos

51. Santos, VId. A situação da mulher Angolana–uma análise crítica feminista pós-guerra. Mandrágora 2017;16(16):39-62.

52. Schubert, J. Democratisation and the consolidation of political authority in post-war Angola. Journal of South African Studies 2010;36(3):657-72.

53. Mouzinho, Â, S Cutaia. Reflections on feminist organising in Angola. Feminist Africa 2017;22:33-51.

54. Schubert, J. Fear and fainting in Luanda. The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute 2022;28(1):222-39.

55. Global Change Data Lab. Our World in Data, Angola; 2022. Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/country/angola

56. The Women Count Data Hub, Angola. Available from: https://data.unwomen.org/country/angola

57. Gould, ED, A Hijzen. Growing apart, losing trust? The impact of inequality on social capital. IMF Working Paper 2016;16:176. Available from: https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2016/12/31/Growing-Apart-Losing-Trust-The-Impact-of-Inequality-on-Social-Capital-44197

58. Rözer, JJ, B Volker. Does income inequality have lasting effects on health and trust?. Social Science & Medicine (1982) 2016;1 (149):37-45.

788 59. Schiefer, D, J van der Noll. The essentials of social cohesion: a literature review. Social
789 Indicators Research 2017;132(2):579-603.

790 60. Chirowa, F, S Atwood, M van der Putten. Gender inequality, health expenditure and maternal
791 mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa: a secondary data analysis. African Journal of Primary Health Care
792 & Family Medicine 2013;5(1), e1-e5. Art #471.

793 61. World Economic Forum. Global gender gap report 2023, insight report June 2023, Geneva,
794 Switzerland. Available from: https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_GGGR_2023.pdf

795 62. Kolstad, I, A Wiig. Is it both what you know and who you know? Human capital, social capital
796 and entrepreneurial success. Journal of International Development 2013;25(5):626–639.

797 63. Mosaiko. Relatório da pesquisa sobre políticas públicas inclusivas numa perspectiva de género,
798 2019-2021. Luanda: Tipografia Coimbra; 2021. Available from: https://mosaiko.op.org/wp-
799 content/uploads/2019/05/PAPPIA-Relatorio-de-Pesquisa-Web.pdf

800 64. Strønen, IÅ, M Nangacovie. The gendering of poverty and inequality in rural Malanje, Angola,
801 CMI Report R 2018:10, Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute; 2018. Available from:
802 https://www.cmi.no/publications/6567-the-gendering-of-poverty-and-inequality-in-rural

803 65. Alvarez, EC, I Kawachi, JR Romani. Family social capital and health – a systematic review and
804 redirection. Sociology of Health & Illness 2017;39:1: 5-29.

805 66. Oliveira, RSd. The new elite, cosmopolitanism and the politics of inequality in contemporary
806 Angola. In: F. Bethencourt (ed.), Cosmopolitanism in Portuguese-speaking countries - history,
807 European expansion and indigenous response: BRILL: 2017;267-284.

808 67. Rodrigues, CU.(b) From family solidarity to social classes: urban stratification in Angola
809 (Luanda and Ondjiva). Journal of Southern African Studies 2007;33(2):235-250.

68. Kimari, W, H Ernstson. The invisible labor of the ‘new Angola’: Kilamba’s domestic workers. Urban Geography 2023;44(9):1874-1891.

69. Nzatuzola, JBL. Gender and family life in Angola: some aspects of the post-war conflict concerning displaced persons. African Sociological Review 2005;9(2):106-133.

70. Jensen, SK, N Pestana. The role of the churches in poverty reduction in Angola. Bergen: Chr Michelsen Institute; 2010. Available from: https://www.cmi.no/publications/3626-the-role-of-the-churches-in-poverty-reduction-in

71. Baptista, JA. Individualization and the ethopolitics of conflict in rural Angola. African Identities 2016;14(2)161-76.

72. Schubert, J. A culture of immediatism: co-optation and complicity in post-war Angola. Ethnos 2018;83(1):1-19.

73. Wilson, ZJ. Paradoxes and dilemmas of institutional change: human rights and livelihoods in rural war-torn Angola. Journal of Peace Building & Development 2004;2(1):37-50.

74. Instituto Nacional de Estatística (INE). Relatório sobre cibersegurança e serviços digitais, Luanda, Angola; 2021. Available from: https://www.ine.gov.ao/Arquivos/arquivosCarregados/Carregados/Publicacao_638321811377868244.pdf

75. Oliveira, RSd. The struggle for the state and the politics of belonging in contemporary Angola, 1975-2015. Social Dynamics 2016;42(1):69-84.

76. Global Change Data Lab. Our World in Data Angola. Data source: World Bank Poverty and Inequality Platform2024. Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-of-population-in-extreme-poverty-2011-ppp?tab=chart&country=AGO

77. Rodrigues, CU, DF Bryceson. Precarity in Angolan diamond mining towns, 1920–2014: tracing agency of the state, mining companies and urban households. Journal of Modern African Studies 2018;56(1):113-141.

78. Serrazina, B. Crossed cultures in Lunda, Angola: Diamang's urban project and its legacies. Traditional Dwellings and Settlements Review 2020;31(2):23-34.

79. World Bank. Angola poverty assessment report June 24, 2020, poverty and equity global practice, Africa region. Available from: https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/328741593674436204/pdf/Angola-Poverty-Assessment.pdf

80. Pearce, J. Political identity and conflict in central Angola, 1975–2002. Cambridge University Press; 2015.

81. Ruigrok, I. Reshaping boundaries: regional inequality and state reforms in Angola. African Security Review 2010;19(3):41-53.

82. Instituto Nacional de Estatística (INE). Resultados definitivos recenseamento geral da população da habitação de Angola 2014, Luanda, Angola; 2016. Available from: https://www.ine.gov.ao/publicacoes/detalhes/ODI3Ng%3D%3D

83. Grais, RF, AMC Rose, JP Guthmann. Don't spin the pen: two alternative methods for second-stage sampling in urban cluster surveys. Emerging Themes in Epidemiology 2007;4(1):8-8. Art. #8.

84. World Health Organization (WHO). Immunization coverage cluster survey: reference manual. No. WHO/IVB/04.23. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005. Available from: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/69087

853 85. U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). The demographic and health survey
854 program, DHS model questionnaires 2014, adapted for Angola. Available from:
855 https://dhsprogram.com/Methodology/Survey-Types/DHS-Questionnaires.cfm#CP_JUMP_16179

856 86. Silva, MJd, T Harpman, T Tuan, R Bartoloni, ME Penny, SR Huttly. Psychometric and
857 cognitive validation of a social capital measurement tool in Peru and Vietnam. Social Science &
858 Medicine (1982) 2006;62(4):941-953.

859 87.Coates, J, A Swindale, P Bilinsky. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for
860 measurement of food access: indicator guide: version 3, Washington, USA: USAID; 2017.
861 Available from: https://www.fantaproject.org/monitoring-and-evaluation/household-food-
862 insecurity-access-scale-hfias

863 88. García-Moreno, C, HAFM Jansen, M Ellsberg, L Heise, C Watts. WHO multi-country study on
864 women's health and domestic violence against women: initial results on prevalence, health
865 outcomes and women's responses, Geneva: World Health Organisation 2010;204.1:18. Available
866 from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9241593512

867 89. Almeida, Nd, A Teixera, AC Sachiteque, JR Molina, HdP Tavares, C Ramalho.
868 Characterisation of induced abortion and consequences to women's health at Hospital Central Do
869 Huambo – Angola. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 2020;40(4):558-63 S-l.S.

870 90. Revelle, W. Procedures for personality and psychological research, Northwestern University,
871 Evanston, IL; 2015. Available from: https://personality-project.org/revelle/pubs.html

872 91. Harrell, FE. Regression modelling strategies. R-package version 12; 2012. Available from:
873 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rms/index.html

874 Supporting information

875 S1 Figure. Flow chart

S2 Table. Data collection teams

S3 Table. Variable list

S4 Table. Tetrachoric correlations between the dichotomized social capital factors with 95% bootstrap confidence intervals stratified by province and residential area type.

S5 Table. Distribution of the social capital factor and the respective underlying social capital variables stratified by province and type of residential area

S6 Table. Associations between social capital and other living conditions stratified by province and area type.

Figure 1. Social capital factors when institutional and economic trust are omitted.



Figure 1

Figure 2. Distribution of high social capital per factor stratified by province and residential area.





Figure 2