Racial/Ethnic Differences in Neuropsychological Test Performance in Frontotemporal Degeneration

Melanie A. Matyi[1], Emma Rhodes[1], Sheina Emrani[1], Hannah A. Jin[1,2], David J. Irwin[1], Corey T. McMillan[1] & Lauren Massimo[1,3]*

[1]Penn Frontotemporal Degeneration Center, Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia; [2]Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts; [3]Department of Biobehavioral Health Sciences, School of Nursing, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia

Corresponding Author: Lauren Massimo, PhD, 3700 Hamilton Walk, B603 Richards Medical Laboratories, Philadelphia, PA 19104 (lmassimo@upenn.edu).

Abstract

Racial disparities in neuropsychological test performance are well documented in Alzheimer's Disease (AD) but have received little attention in frontotemporal degeneration (FTD). Identification of potential disparities in neuropsychological performance is critical to identify ways to improve inclusivity in clinical research and care of representative FTD populations. We evaluated disparities in neuropsychological performance among individuals with clinically diagnosed FTD (behavioral variant FTD [bvFTD] or primary progressive aphasia [PPA]) using data from the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC) collected between September 2005 and November 2023. Only 10% of NACC FTD cases are from racially/ethnically minoritized groups. Black (n=56), Hispanic (n=77) and White (n=1301) individuals were evaluated in the cognitive domains of episodic memory, working memory, processing speed, cognitive flexibility, attention, category fluency and lexical retrieval, in addition to global cognition across Uniform Data Set versions 1 to 3. Linear regressions

examined the association between racial/ethnic group and cognitive scores covarying for disease stage, age, sex, and education. After adjusting for age, sex, and education using NACC established normative correction, binary logistic regression examined group differences in the proportion of participants classified as impaired (<=-1.5 normative z-score) for each cognitive test. Minoritized individuals, on average, had lower scores and/or greater likelihood of impairment (odds ratio; OR) on measures of global cognition (Black: β = -3.63; OR = 2.74; Hispanic: β = -2.50), lexical retrieval (Black: β = -4.31; OR = 3.28; Hispanic: β = -2.90; OR = 3.81), processing speed (Black: β = 26.80; OR = 4.07; Hispanic: β = 21.31; OR = 2.37), cognitive flexibility (Black: β = 46.65; OR = 3.35), attention (Hispanic: β = -0.39), working memory (Black: β = -0.79; Hispanic: β = -0.42), episodic memory (Hispanic: β = -1.67), and category fluency (Hispanic: β = -1.28). We did not identify any neuropsychological tests where White individuals performed worse than minoritized individuals. These findings indicate racial/ethnic differences in neuropsychological test performance on measures of global cognition, executive function, and lexical retrieval. Critically, these tests are used in diagnosis and monitoring of FTD. Future efforts must focus on increasing research participation in underrepresented populations with FTD to support the diverse needs of individuals, and an understanding of social determinants of health in FTD to evaluate potential sources of the observed differences across racial and ethnic groups.

Introduction

Frontotemporal degeneration (FTD) is a common cause of young-onset dementia that results in progressive deterioration in executive functioning, language and social comportment. Limited research has examined the epidemiology of FTD across minoritized groups but population-based studies from across the globe indicate that individuals of all races and ethnicities are affected by FTD (Onyike et al., 2021). Although limited information on the prevalence of FTD across racial/ethnic groups exists, all-cause dementia and Alzheimer's Disease (AD) are more common in minoritized groups (Matthews et al., 2019). The greater prevalence of dementia in minoritized groups is due, in part, to these individuals performing worse on neuropsychological tests that are sensitive to cultural bias (Ng et al., 2018). We previously demonstrated differences in the clinical presentation of Asian and Black groups compared to White individuals diagnosed with FTD (behavioral variant FTD [bvFTD] or primary progressive aphasia [PPA]) (Jin et al., 2023). In particular, Black individuals, compared to White, exhibited greater functional impairment and distinct neuropsychiatric symptom profiles at initial National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC) visits. However, cognitive performance differences between racial/ethnic groups have not been examined in individuals with FTD.

Extant research has compared neuropsychological performance of Black and Hispanic individuals to White individuals, but this has been largely reported in non-clinical/community samples of older adults (Manly et al., 1998; Ryan et al., 2019), all-cause dementia (Lennon et al., 2022; Mungas et al., 2021) or Alzheimer's disease (AD) (Burke et al., 2017; Gross et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2022). On average, minoritized individuals score lower on traditional neuropsychological tests than White individuals in both dementia and cognitively normal

samples and across the lifespan (Boone et al., 2007; Manly et al., 1998). Education quality (Manly et al., 2002) and access to resources that promote better health outcomes, including socio-economic status, nutrition, and insurance are thought to drive observed differences in performance on neuropsychological tests (Wang & El-Abbadi, 2024; Zahodne et al., 2021), in addition to evidence that traditional neuropsychological test are sensitive to cultural bias (e.g., Pedraza & Mungas, 2008).

Previous research demonstrates poorer neuropsychological test performance among minoritized individuals diagnosed with dementia, particularly AD, relative to White individuals (Johnson et al., 2022; Lennon et al., 2022; Mungas et al., 2021), and differences in the clinical presentation of FTD in Black compared to White individuals (Jin et al., 2023). However, performance differences on neuropsychological testing between racial/ethnic groups with an FTD diagnosis have not been reported. Thus, we sought to identify potential sources of differences in cognitive performance across racial/ethnic groups of individuals with an FTD diagnosis from a large national cohort, the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC).

Methods

Data were obtained from the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC), a public dataset established by the National Institute on Aging that collects standardized clinical and neuropathological data (Weintraub et al., 2009). Data used in this study is from the December 2023 data freeze which included data collected from 2005 to 2023 and from 39 ADRCs. Participants were included in this study if 1) they had an etiologic diagnosis of primary progressive aphasia (PPA; NACC variable NACCPPA) or behavioral variant FTD (bvFTD; NACC variable NACCBVFT), 2) self-identified as Black, Hispanic or White, 3) had complete demographic information (i.e., age, sex, education, and onset of cognitive decline), 4) had a

Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR®) Dementia Staging Instrument Global score greater than 0, and 5) completed neuropsychological testing in their primary language as detailed in Figure 1. Three neuropsychological tests (see Table 1) were collected throughout the study duration (i.e., category-guided fluency [Animals, Vegetables], Trail Making Test A and B) and five were replaced with a similar test and could be combined (i.e., MMSE/MoCA, BNT/MINT, Digit Span/Number Span forwards and backwards, and Logical Memory/Craft Story 21). Collection of other neuropsychological tests, such as letter-guided fluency, began more recently, and thus, much less data was available (e.g., 445/989; 31%). Only (64/133) (48%) of minoritized participants completed all eight neuropsychological tests selected in the current study at a single visit. In order to maximize the sample size for minoritized groups, individuals were included in analyses if they completed at least one of the neuropsychological tests. Given the much larger sample of White participants, individuals were included only if they completed all eight cognitive tests in at least one visit (n=1,301). For most participants, the first visit was examined (mean = 1.42; SD = 1.1). However, a later visit was examined if, for White participants, they completed a subset of tests at the first visit but all of the eight tests at a subsequent visit, or, for minoritized participants, they completed a greater proportion of the eight tests at a later visit. The initial visit was most often examined for Black (79%), Hispanic (83%) and White (77%) individuals. Therefore, different procedures for visit selection across groups to maximize the sample size of minoritized individuals did not impact the final composition of the groups with regard to visit number. Additionally, across all eight tests we examined, the visit that included the highest number of tests completed was selected. Because minoritized participants were included even if they did not complete all eight tests, different subsets of Black (n=28-54) and

Hispanic (n=40-75) participants were included for specific neuropsychological tests. See Table 1 for cognitive measures and their corresponding sample sizes.

Table 1. Cognitive Measures Examined and Sample Sizes

Test	Cognitive domain	Black	Hispanic	White	Full sample
MMSE/MoCA	Global cognition	54	75	1301	1430
Category fluency (Animals/Vegetables)	Verbal fluency	45	69	1301	1415
Naming (BNT/MINT)	Lexical retrieval	45	66	1301	1412
Digit Span/Number Forward	Attention	46	71	1301	1418
Digit Span/Number Backward	Working memory	43	70	1301	1414
Logical Memory/ Craft Story 21	Memory (immediate and delayed)	44	67	1301	1412
Trail Making Test A	Processing speed	41	55	1301	1397
Trail Making Test B	Cognitive flexibility	28	40	1301	1369

Note. MMSE=Mini Mental State Exam, MoCA=Montreal Cognitive Assessment, BNT=Boston Naming Test, MINT=Multilingual Naming Test.

Analyses were performed with R Studio version 4.3.2 (R Foundation). Symptom duration was calculated as age at the onset of cognitive decline (NACC variable DECAGE) until age at

the visit date. Participants with symptom duration 4SDs above the mean (231.84 months) were excluded. Continuous demographic variables are summarized with mean and SD, and univariate regression was used to assess group differences. Categorical demographic variables are summarized with frequencies and binary logistic regression was used to assess group differences.

Data were collected across NACC Uniform Data Set (UDS) versions 1-3. Eight neuropsychological assessments were examined as listed in Table 1. Between NACC UDS Versions 2 and 3.0, four proprietary tests from the neuropsychological battery were replaced with non-proprietary tests (see Table 2). As demonstrated in a cross-walk study, the original and replacement tests demonstrate good correlation (Monsell et al., 2016), and thus, data can be examined across UDS versions. In the current study, this cross-walk study was used to compute Version 3 scores that equate to Versions 1 and 2 for the purpose of examining differences in cognitive performance by racial/ethnic group. We also applied age, sex, and education adjusted norms derived for Versions 1 and 2 (Shirk et al., 2011; Weintraub et al., 2009), and for Version 3 (Weintraub et al., 2018) to the corresponding raw data for the purpose of examining differences in impairment rates on cognitive tests by racial/ethnic group.

Table 2. Neuropsychological Tests by UDS Versions

Version 1 and 2	Version 3
Mini Mental State Examination	Montreal Cognitive Assessment
Boston Naming Test	Multilingual Naming Test
Logical Memory (immediate and delayed)	Craft Story 21 Recall (immediate and delayed)
Digit Span (forward, backward)	Number Span (forward, backward)

Note. UDS=Uniform Data Set

Linear regression examined the association between race/ethnicity and cognitive scores (after applying cross-walk transformations to UDS version 3 data), covarying for CDR Global as a measure of disease severity, age, sex (reference = male), and years of education. The White group was used as the reference group because it was the largest. Binary logistic regression examined group differences in the proportion of participants classified as impaired on a given test covarying for CDR Global, using the threshold of <= -1.5 standard deviations below the normative sample mean, consistent with prior studies (Weintraub et al., 2009, 2018). The CDR, rather than the FTLD-CDR, was used as the FTLD-CDR was not available for UDS versions 1 and 2.

Supplementary Analyses

Effect of vascular comorbidities: We also conducted analyses to assess the impact of vascular comorbidities that could account for observed racial/ethnic group differences in cognition. The presence of hypertension, diabetes, and stroke was recorded as absent or present based on reported medical history (including remote/inactive).

Matched analysis: Above analyses were repeated after matching each member of the minoritized groups to 1 or more White individuals to address the imbalance in group size, consistent with a previous study (Jin et al., 2023). Matching was performed by calculating the distance between participants via generalized linear model with a probit link. A caliper of 0.2 on the standardized distance between participants was used for matching such that any 2 participants with a standardized distance greater than 0.2 on covariates are not matched (Austin, 2011). Covariates used for matching include age at visit, CDR global, education, and sex.

Effect of testing language: As detailed in Figure 1, neuropsychological testing in participants' primary language was too sparse to evaluate in other minoritized groups. We

conducted analogous analyses of neuropsychological test performance including an additional minoritized group (i.e., Asian) and including all individuals from Black, Hispanic and White groups, regardless of primary language. In these analyses, we covary for whether testing was conducted in the participant's primary language.

Within group analysis: To expand on our understanding of why differences in neuropsychological performance by racial/ethnic group emerge, we conducted additional analyses within racial/ethnic group, testing the effect of age, education, sex, and vascular comorbidities on neuropsychological performance accounting for disease severity.

We report findings for all supplemental analyses in the supplement.

Results

Participant Characteristics

The final sample included 56 (4%) Black, 77 (5%) Hispanic and 1,301 (91%) White individuals. As seen in Table 1, participants from minoritized groups had a higher frequency of incomplete data with only 45% of Black and 52% of Hispanic participants having completed all eight tests examined. Participant demographic characteristics by group are reported in Table 3. Age at visit, visit number, UDS form version, and disease duration did not differ between Black or Hispanic and White individuals. Minoritized individuals had fewer years of education (Black: $\beta = -1.46$; SE = 0.40; $p < .001$; Hispanic: $\beta = -3.36$; SE = 0.34; $p < .001$), were more likely to report a history of hypertension (Black: $\beta = 0.76$; SE = 0.28; $p = .007$; Hispanic: $\beta = 0.59$; SE = 0.24; $p = .013$) and were more likely to be female (Black: $\beta = 0.94$; SE = 0.28; $p < .001$; Hispanic: $\beta = 0.74$; SE = 0.24; $p = .002$) than White individuals. Hispanic individuals were more likely to report a history of diabetes ($\beta = 0.84$; SE = 0.30; $p = .005$) and be diagnosed with bvFTD as compared to PPA ($\beta = 0.65$; SE = 0.25; $p = .01$) than White individuals. Black

individuals were more likely to report a history of stroke (β = 1.03; SE = 0.49; p = .037) than White individuals.



Figure 1. Flow Diagram

Table 3. Sample Characteristics

Characteristic	Black	Hispanic	White	Full sample
Number (%)	56 (4%)	77 (5%)	1,301 (91%)	1,434
Sex, % female	61%***	56%**	38%	40%
Age, mean (SD)	66.55 (9.65)	65.14 (9.49)	65.06 (9.24)	65.12 (9.27)
Education, mean (SD)	14.25 (3.44)***	12.35 (4.96)***	15.71 (2.74)	15.48 (3.03)
Disease duration, mean (SD)	4.61 (2.83)	4.80 (3.21)	4.78 (3.02)	4.77 (3.02)
Visit number, mean (SD)	1.28 (0.62)	1.43 (1.14)	1.42 (1.11)	1.42 (1.1)
Diabetes, % present	12%	19%**	9%	10%
Hypertension, % present	63%**	58%*	44%	45%
Stroke, % present	9%*	5%	3%	4%
bvFTD diagnosis, No. (%)	31 (55.4%)	53 (68.8%)*	697 (53.6%)	781 (54.5%)
PPA diagnosis, No. (%)	25 (44.6%)	24 (31.2%)	604 (46.4%)	653 (45.5%)
UDS version, % version 1/2 (vs. 3)	69.64%	62.33%	68.33%	68.06%

Note. bvFTD=behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia, PPA=primary progressive aphasia, No.=number, SD=standard deviation; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Differences in cognitive test performance between minoritized and White groups

Both Black and Hispanic individuals, on average, had lower raw cognitive scores (Fig. 2) on measures of global cognition (Black: $\beta = -3.63$, SE = 0.69, $p < .001$; Hispanic: $\beta = -2.50$, SE = 0.61, $p < .001$), Digit Span Backward (Black: $\beta = -0.79$, SE = 0.21, $p < .001$; Hispanic: $\beta = -0.42$, SE = 0.17, $p < .001$), Trail Making Test A (Black: $\beta = 26.80$, SE = 4.66, $p < .001$; Hispanic: $\beta = 21.31$, SE = 4.11, $p < .001$) and Naming (Black: $\beta = -4.31$, SE = 1.38, $p = .001$; Hispanic: β

= -2.90, SE = 1.19, p = .015) compared to White Individuals (reference). Additionally, Hispanic individuals, on average, had lower cognitive scores on Immediate Verbal Recall (β = -1.67, SE = .61, p = .006), Digit Span Forward (β = -0.39, SE = .18, p = .026), Vegetables (β = -1.28, SE = 0.55, p = .02) and Black individuals, on average, had lower cognitive scores on Trail Making Test B (β = 46.65, SE = 16.91, p = .006). We did not observe any differences in cognitive scores on the test of delayed verbal recall or for category fluency assessed by Animals. See Table 4 for the contribution of age, sex, and years of education to models and the Supplement for figures illustrating differences across raw and residualized cognitive scores.

Table 4. Differences in cognitive test performance by racial/ethnic group

Test	Effect	Estimate	SE	*p*
Global Cognition	Hispanic	-2.50	0.607	< .001***
	Black	-3.63	0.690	< .001***
	CDR-Global	-3.94	0.252	< .001***
	Education	0.18	0.045	< .001***
	Sex	-0.62	0.269	0.022*
	Age	-0.04	0.014	0.002**
Immediate Recall	Hispanic	-1.67	0.613	0.006**
	Black	-1.31	0.722	0.070
	CDR-Global	-1.59	0.249	< .001***
	Education	0.16	0.043	< .001***
	Sex	-0.04	0.259	0.879
	Age	-0.05	0.013	< .001***
Digit Span Forward	Hispanic	-0.39	0.175	0.026*
	Black	0.11	0.210	0.589
	CDR-Global	-0.20	0.073	0.007**
	Education	0.02	0.013	0.111
	Sex	-0.07	0.076	0.339
	Age	0.00	0.004	0.367
Digit Span Backward	Hispanic	-0.42	0.170	0.014*
	Black	-0.79	0.206	< .001***
	CDR-Global	-0.53	0.070	< .001***
	Education	0.05	0.012	< .001***
	Sex	-0.15	0.073	0.045*
	Age	0.00	0.004	0.844
Vegetables	Hispanic	-1.28	0.552	0.020*
	Black	-0.69	0.646	0.286
	CDR-Global	-1.65	0.227	< .001***
	Education	0.01	0.039	0.828
	Sex	0.82	0.233	< .001***
	Age	-0.05	0.012	< .001***
Trail Making Test A	Hispanic	21.31	4.105	< .001***
	Black	26.80	4.656	< .001***
	CDR-Global	18.73	1.587	< .001***
	Education	-0.61	0.276	0.027*
	Sex	0.57	1.619	0.726
	Age	0.42	0.084	< .001***
Trail Making Test B	Hispanic	-2.69	14.39	0.851
	Black	46.65	16.91	0.006**
	CDR-Global	44.86	4.877	< .001***
	Education	-2.53	0.860	0.003**
	Sex	11.43	4.952	0.021*
	Age	1.79	0.257	< .001***
Naming	Hispanic	-2.90	1.187	0.015*

Black	-4.31	1.378	0.002**
CDR-Global	-1.57	0.478	0.001**
Education	0.11	0.083	0.193
Sex	-2.57	0.498	< .001***
Age	-0.10	0.026	< .001***

Note. * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Minoritized individuals had a greater likelihood of performing below an established threshold for clinical impairment relative to their White counterparts (Fig. 3) on several measures: global cognition (Black: $\beta = 1.01$, SE = 0.45, p = .024, OR = 2.74), Trail Making Test A (Black: $\beta = 1.40$, SE = 0.36, p < .001, OR = 4.07; Hispanic: $\beta = 0.86$, SE = 0.30, p = .004, OR = 2.37), Trail Making Test B (Black: $\beta = 1.21$, SE = 0.47, p = .010, OR = 3.35), and naming (Black: $\beta = 1.19$, SE = 0.38, p = .002, OR = 3.28; Hispanic: $\beta = 1.34$, SE = 0.34, p < .001, OR = 3.81). In contrast to observed differences in raw scores, we did not find differences in likelihood of impairment for Hispanic individuals on global cognition (p = .059), Immediate Verbal Recall (p = .167), Digit Span Forward (p = .085), Digit Span Backward (p = .184) and Vegetables (p = .837), and for Black individuals on Digit Span Backward (p = .092).

Delayed Verbal Recall was the only cognitive test where group differences were not observed. We did not identify any neuropsychological tests where White individuals performed worse than minoritized individuals.



Figure 2. On average minoritized individuals scored lower on neuropsychological tests, after covarying for age, sex, and education.



Figure 3. On average minoritized individuals have a higher likelihood of impairment on cognitive measures based on norms adjusting for age, sex, and education.

Discussion

In the present study, we examined differences in neuropsychological test performance across racial and ethnic groups of individuals diagnosed with FTD in NACC. On average, Black and Hispanic individuals performed worse and exhibited greater likelihood of impairment on measures of processing speed and lexical retrieval compared to White individuals. Additionally, Black individuals performed worse and exhibited greater likelihood of impairment on measures of global cognition and cognitive flexibility relative to White individuals. Although Hispanic individuals performed worse on measures of global cognition, immediate verbal recall, attention,

working memory, and category fluency, these differences did not translate into greater likelihood of impairment, compared to White individuals. We did not observe any differences in performance in the domain of delayed verbal recall. These differences emerged despite similar age, disease severity and symptom duration at testing, controlling (or normative adjustment) for sex and education, and are not better accounted for by cerebrovascular risk factors.

Present findings of differences in cognitive scores across a variety of domains between Black and White individuals are consistent with those of prior research conducted in all-cause dementia (Lennon et al., 2022; Mungas et al., 2021) and cognitively-healthy older adult samples. Similar differences in performance on global cognition, processing speed, cognitive flexibility, working memory and lexical retrieval have been previously identified in Black, relative to White, NACC participants with all-cause dementia (Lennon et al., 2022). In this prior study, differences in performance were also observed for category fluency. The key difference between this prior study and the current study is the current study's inclusion of only patients with FTD, whereas the prior study sample consisted of a majority of AD cases. Category fluency is one domain that is uniquely impacted in FTD compared to AD (Rascovsky et al., 2007), and thus, disease-specific effects on this domain may obscure cross-sectional differences in cognitive performance between Black and White groups that previous research utilizing samples primarily consisting of AD patients has observed (Johnson et al., 2022; Lennon et al., 2022). Additionally, Johnson et al. identified sex by race differences in category fluency: despite Black women on average having lower scores at baseline compared to White women, faster decline was associated with being a White woman (Johnson et al., 2022). Therefore, race (and sex) differences in category fluency may be sensitive to the time in disease course at which it is measured. It is possible that further stratifying by sex could provide additional insights – and we did observe a significant effect of

sex in our model – however we were unable to explore this possibility given the small sample of minoritized participants.

Hispanic individuals obtained lower scores on measures of global cognition, working memory, processing speed, lexical retrieval, immediate verbal recall, attention, and category fluency (vegetables) compared to White individuals. However, Hispanic individuals demonstrated a greater likelihood of impairment only in the domains of lexical retrieval and processing speed (as measured by Trail Making Test A). This pattern of results indicates that, for most tests of neuropsychological performance, differences between Hispanic and White individuals are attenuated when adjusting for education level, age, and sex to the point that lower scores obtained by Hispanic individuals do not translate into greater likelihood of clinical classification as impaired. Thus, it is particularly important to consider neuropsychological test performance of Hispanic individuals in the context of their age, sex, and years of education. Despite these adjustments, differences in classification as impaired persisted for lexical retrieval and processing speed. A prior study, observing better performance on neuropsychological tests of processing speed for English, as compared to Spanish, speaking Hispanic individuals suggests that acculturation may account for these differences (Flores et al., 2017). Indeed, after applying normative standards developed separately for English and Spanish speakers, group differences were no longer observed. These findings may also be relevant for interpreting our finding of greater impairment of Hispanic individuals on lexical retrieval. Lexical retrieval was measured by the BNT or MINT, which is known to have cultural bias (Boone et al., 2007), particularly impacting individuals with English as a second language. In the present sample, 40% of Hispanic individuals reported Spanish as their primary language. Of note, in the supplement, where we

report findings when controlling for English as not the primary language, Hispanic individuals do not perform worse than White individuals on lexical retrieval.

Previous research examining racial/ethnic differences in episodic memory have yielded mixed results. Although some have identified differences in memory performance (Johnson et al., 2022), others have similarly failed to identify differences on measures of memory when comparing Black and White individuals (Lennon et al., 2022). Of note, the study by Lennon and colleagues utilized an all-cause dementia sample from NACC UDS version 3, whereas Johnson and colleagues retrospectively examined cognitively healthy individuals from NACC UDS (versions 1-3) who went on to develop AD. Thus, our lack of observing memory performance differences between Black and White individuals is consistent with prior work. In contrast, we did observe performance differences in the memory domain between Hispanic and White individuals. This is consistent with several past studies. One study of Mexican-American and non-Hispanic White older adults found that White individuals obtained higher scores on memory tests after controlling for age, gender, education and language (O'Bryant et al., 2021). Similarly, in a study that examined Hispanic, Black and White older adults, memory scores normalized by age and education differed by racial/ethnic group with Hispanic and Black individuals obtaining lower scores than White individuals (Wright et al., 2021). However another study examining memory performance of healthy adult Hispanic individuals, Hispanic Spanish speakers performed worse than Hispanic English speakers, with White English speakers performing the best on a test of working memory (Flores et al., 2017). However, in the same sample, no differences in performance on a test of episodic memory was observed. One key difference in the working memory and episodic memory tests is that working memory was assessed verbally whereas episodic memory was assessed via pictures. Therefore, future efforts should investigate

factors of neuropsychological tests assessing memory that may result in Hispanic individuals obtaining lower scores.

In addition to the likely impact of language and cultural factors, present findings indicate that age, sex, and particularly education contributed significantly to predict cognitive scores. This is evident in the regression models reported in Table 4 and Supplemental Figure 1, which depicts the distributions of raw, residualized (individual age, sex, education) and normed (population age, sex, education) cognitive scores stratified by racial/ethnic group. The attenuation of differences between racial/ethnic groups when accounting for age, sex, and education is clear. In the NACC UDS, the education variable reflects quantity of education, measured in total years of education. However, there is clear evidence of the importance of education *quality*, and not just quantity in contributing to differences in neuropsychological test performance (Cabo & Manly, 2009; Manly et al., 2002). Even when Black and White older adults were matched on years of education (i.e., quantity), Black individuals obtained lower scores than White individuals, a difference that was attenuated by controlling for reading level, a proxy of education quality (Manly et al., 2002). Furthermore, Black individuals are more likely to be exposed to lower education quality, as measured by state-level metrics (e.g., student-teacher ratio) (Soh et al., 2023) and school-level metrics (e.g., education attainment of teachers) (Seblova et al., 2023). Thus, we may expect that the differences in cognitive scores observed would be further reduced when accounting for differences in access to and experience of high-quality education.

It is important to understand where differences in neuropsychological test performance arise, as each may suggest different methods for addressing such differences (e.g., statistical, alternative neuropsychological tests). Post-hoc adjustments, such as application of race-based cut-offs to determine impairment or race-based norms, can introduce bias (Gasquoine, 2009) and

do not address the underlying contributory factors (e.g., Social and structural determinants of health; S/SDOH), which can be dynamic (Hahn, 2021). Therefore, over time, or in different regions, race-based adjustments to tests will not perform as intended. That is, a race-based adjustment that reflects the current disparities in neighborhood and educational quality, for example, will not be appropriate in the future if such inequalities are either addressed or exacerbated. Such an approach has led to serious consequences in other medical fields, such as race-specific adjustment to the Pulmonary Function Test resulting in the delay, or lack, of diagnosis of respiratory disease in diverse patient groups (Bhakta et al., 2023). While alternative neuropsychological tests may reduce disparities among minoritized groups and educational levels, they are not widely implemented (Franzen et al., 2023). Adding color to naming tests like the BNT and MINT reduces the association of performance and education such that better performance is less strongly associated with higher levels of education (Reis et al., 2006). Similarly, a modified version of the TMT, Color Trails, reflects the same cognitive domains (processing speed, cognitive flexibility) but with reduced impact of culture and literacy (Maj et al., 1993). However, none of these measures are widely applied in FTD research.

It is critical to acknowledge that racial or minoritized group affiliation is a social construct and therefore a proxy for a number of SSDOH (Adkins-Jackson et al., 2023; Balls-Berry & Babulal, 2022). Social determinants of health are defined as the conditions in which individuals are born, live, learn, work and play and refer to aspects of the social and physical environment that contribute significantly to health outcomes (Commission on Social Determinants of Health, 2008; Singh et al., 2017). Structural determinants of health refer to the differential distribution of social determinants of health due to social, economic, political and historical systems (Adkins-Jackson et al., 2023). Critically, SSDOH explain racialized

differences in cognitive outcomes among those with dementia over and above cardiovascular risk and health behaviors (Chen & Zissimopoulos, 2018; Stites et al., 2022). Indeed, poorer cognitive function is associated with increased exposure to racism (Coogan et al., 2020), air pollution (Weuve et al., 2021), and occupational hazards (Huang et al., 2020); and experience of poverty (Trani et al., 2022), incarceration (Cox & Wallace, 2022), and poor education quality (Sisco et al., 2015). While SSDOH measures are currently not available in the NACC UDS this is an important area for future investigation.

Efforts to understand disparities in clinical, functional, and cognitive outcomes in dementia, particularly FTD, are limited by barriers to inclusion in research for racially and ethnically minoritized populations. A recent perspective paper authored by members of the International Society to Advance Alzheimer's Research and Treatment (ISTAART) FTD Professional Interest Area (PIA) and Diversity and Disparities PIA discuss these barriers at length (Franzen et al., 2023). One such factor is cultural beliefs about dementia. Minoritized groups may attribute dementia to normal aging (Mahoney et al., 2005), and thus, not seek out healthcare. It is incumbent upon researchers and healthcare providers to disseminate health education to improve clinical care, when interventions may be most useful, and increase research engagement.

Although underrepresentation of minoritized individuals in research limits understanding of how differences in neuropsychological test performance emerge, some factors that may contribute to the observed differences include potential bias in FTD diagnostic criteria, tools implemented to stage and diagnosis patients, and clinician interpretation of such tools. For instance, FTD diagnostic criteria were developed based on research conducted in North America and Western Europe (Rascovsky et al., 2011), and therefore, may not account for racial and

cultural differences in clinical presentation. Additionally, many standard neurocognitive tests are not valid cross-culturally due to use of culturally-bound concepts and norms based on non-representative, predominantly White, samples (Franzen et al., 2023). For example, scores on the BNT or MINT may reflect impaired lexical retrieval only if the tested objects were known to the participant prior to disease onset. Indeed, a study comparing individuals that either spoke English as their first language, learned English at a young age or learned English as a second language (ESL), found that the non-ESL, compared to the ESL, group performed better on BNT, among other measures (Boone et al., 2007). Furthermore, both digit span and BNT scores were associated with the age at which English was first learned and number of years lived in the USA. BNT score, in particular, was related to the number of years of education in the USA. Findings from Boone and colleagues point to the reliance of cognitive tests on language and education.

There are several limitations of currently available data to address these questions and understand how and why these cognitive differences emerge. The primary limitation of the current study is the underrepresentation of individuals from minoritized groups. The NACC cohort diagnosed with FTD is comprised of only 2.6% Black individuals, 3.5% Hispanic individuals and 3.7% other minoritized groups. In particular, lack of available data for minoritized individuals prior to disease onset (CDR=0) who go on to develop FTD (CDR > 0) hinder the ability to examine longitudinal change in cognitive domains (i.e., prior to and after dementia onset). Additionally, lack of such data also limits the ability of norms to be based on data that accurately reflects the diversity of the population. As of the December 2023 data freeze, only 175 individuals with FTD (bvFTD or PPA) had neuropsychological test data and self-identified as a race/ethnicity other than non-Hispanic White. Within this group, only 80 had complete neuropsychological test data with only 4 participants having complete data before

exhibiting dementia (CDR=0). Despite this, norms developed for UDS version 3 do include race (Sachs et al., 2020). Similarly, the lack of longitudinal data for minoritized individuals with an FTD diagnosis and any neuropsychological data prohibited the examination of longitudinal change in cognition. Lastly, although we conducted supplementary analyses to assess the contribution of cerebrovascular factors to differences in cognitive scores and did not find attenuation of the effect of racial/ethnic group, such factors were disproportionately present in Black and Hispanic individuals and could have independent effects on cognition.

Conclusion

Neuropsychological data in racially and ethnically minoritized populations is severely lacking in the NACC cohort diagnosed with FTD, comprised of only 2.6% Black individuals, 3.5% Hispanic individuals and 3.7% other minoritized groups. We observed that on average Black and Hispanic individuals with FTD perform worse relative to their White counterparts in several cognitive domains. Importantly, performance differences translated to the classification of a greater proportion of minoritized, compared to White, individuals as impaired. Notably, our ability to i) explore differences in cognition across minoritized groups and ii) assess for differences in cognitive decline was limited by the availability of data from minoritized groups. Future efforts must focus on increasing research participation in underrepresented populations with FTD to evaluate potential sources of the observed differences across minoritized groups and support the diverse needs of all individuals.

Data availability statement

Qualified researchers may obtain access to all de-identified data in the NACC registry used for this study (https://www.naccdata.org).

Funding statement

This study was supported by funding from the NIH T32 #AG076411 (PIs: McMillan/Detre) and R01AG076832 (PI: Massimo).

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants and their families for the participation in research. The NACC database is funded by NIA/NIH Grant U24 AG072122. NACC data are contributed by the NIA-funded ADRCs: P30 AG062429 (PI James Brewer, MD, PhD), P30 AG066468 (PI Oscar Lopez, MD), P30 AG062421 (PI Bradley Hyman, MD, PhD), P30 AG066509 (PI Thomas Grabowski, MD), P30 AG066514 (PI Mary Sano, PhD), P30 AG066530 (PI Helena Chui, MD), P30 AG066507 (PI Marilyn Albert, PhD), P30 AG066444 (PI David Holtzman, MD), P30 AG066518 (PI Lisa Silbert, MD, MCR), P30 AG066512 (PI Thomas Wisniewski, MD), P30 AG066462 (PI Scott Small, MD), P30 AG072979 (PI David Wolk, MD), P30 AG072972 (PI Charles DeCarli, MD), P30 AG072976 (PI Andrew Saykin, PsyD), P30 AG072975 (PI Julie A. Schneider, MD, MS), P30 AG072978 (PI Ann McKee, MD), P30 AG072977 (PI Robert Vassar, PhD), P30 AG066519 (PI Frank LaFerla, PhD), P30 AG062677 (PI Ronald Petersen, MD, PhD), P30 AG079280 (PI Jessica Langbaum, PhD), P30 AG062422 (PI Gil Rabinovici, MD), P30 AG066511 (PI Allan Levey, MD, PhD), P30 AG072946 (PI Linda Van Eldik, PhD), P30 AG062715 (PI Sanjay Asthana, MD, FRCP), P30 AG072973 (PI Russell Swerdlow, MD), P30 AG066506 (PI Glenn

Smith, PhD, ABPP), P30 AG066508 (PI Stephen Strittmatter, MD, PhD), P30 AG066515 (PI Victor Henderson, MD, MS), P30 AG072947 (PI Suzanne Craft, PhD), P30 AG072931 (PI Henry Paulson, MD, PhD), P30 AG066546 (PI Sudha Seshadri, MD), P30 AG086401 (PI Erik Roberson, MD, PhD), P30 AG086404 (PI Gary Rosenberg, MD), P20 AG068082 (PI Angela Jefferson, PhD), P30 AG072958 (PI Heather Whitson, MD), P30 AG072959 (PI James Leverenz, MD).

References

Adkins-Jackson, P. B., George, K. M., Besser, L. M., Hyun, J., Lamar, M., Hill-Jarrett, T. G., Bubu, O. M., Flatt, J. D., Heyn, P. C., Cicero, E. C., Zarina Kraal, A., Pushpalata Zanwar, P., Peterson, R., Kim, B., Turner II, R. W., Viswanathan, J., Kulick, E. R., Zuelsdorff, M., Stites, S. D., … Babulal, G. (2023). The structural and social determinants of Alzheimer's disease related dementias. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *19*(7), 3171–3185. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.13027

Austin, P. C. (2011). An Introduction to Propensity Score Methods for Reducing the Effects of Confounding in Observational Studies. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *46*(3), 399–424. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.568786

Balls-Berry, J. (Joy) E., & Babulal, G. M. (2022). Health Disparities in Dementia. *CONTINUUM: Lifelong Learning in Neurology*, *28*(3), 872–884. https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001088

Bhakta, N. R., Bime, C., Kaminsky, D. A., McCormack, M. C., Thakur, N., Stanojevic, S., Baugh, A. D., Braun, L., Lovinsky-Desir, S., Adamson, R., Witonsky, J., Wise, R. A., Levy, S. D., Brown, R., Forno, E., Cohen, R. T., Johnson, M., Balmes, J., Mageto, Y., … Burney, P. (2023). Race and Ethnicity in Pulmonary Function Test Interpretation: An Official American Thoracic Society Statement. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, *207*(8), 978–995. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202302-0310ST

Boone, K. B., Victor, T. L., Wen, J., Razani, J., & Pontón, M. (2007). The association between neuropsychological scores and ethnicity, language, and acculturation variables in a large patient population. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, *22*(3), 355–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acn.2007.01.010

Burke, S. L., Rodriguez, M. J., Barker, W., Greig-Custo, M. T., Rosselli, M., Loewenstein, D. A., & Duara, R. (2017). Relationship between Cognitive Performance and Measures of Neurodegeneration among Hispanic and White Non-Hispanic Individuals with Normal Cognition, Mild Cognitive Impairment, and Dementia. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society□: JINS*, *24*(2), 176. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617717000820

Cabo, R., & Manly, J. J. (2009). Differences in Rates of Dementia and Quality of Education Among D...: Ingenta Connect. *Generations: Journal of the American Society on Aging*, *33*(1), 86–89.

Chen, C., & Zissimopoulos, J. M. (2018). Racial and ethnic differences in trends in dementia prevalence and risk factors in the United States. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions*, *4*(1), 510–520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trci.2018.08.009

Commission on Social Determinants of Health. (2008). Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health□: final report of the commission on social determinants of health. *Combler Le Fossé En Une Génération□: Instaurer l'équité En Santé En Agissant Sur Les Déterminants Sociaux de La Santé□: Rapport Final de La Commission Des Déterminants Sociaux de La Santé*, 247.

Coogan, P., Schon, K., Li, S., Cozier, Y., Bethea, T., & Rosenberg, L. (2020). Experiences of racism and subjective cognitive function in African American women. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, *12*(1), e12067. https://doi.org/10.1002/dad2.12067

Cox, R. J. A., & Wallace, R. B. (2022). The Role of Incarceration as a Risk Factor for Cognitive Impairment. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, *77*(12), e247–e262. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbac138

Flores, I., Casaletto, K. B., Marquine, M. J., Umlauf, A., Moore, D. J., Mungas, D., Gershon, R. C., Beaumont, J. L., & Heaton, R. K. (2017). Performance of Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Whites on the NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery: The roles of ethnicity and language backgrounds. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, *31*(4), 783–797. https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2016.1276216

Franzen, S., Nuytemans, K., Bourdage, R., Caramelli, P., Ellajosyula, R., Finger, E., Illán□Gala, I., Loi, S. M., Morhardt, D., Pijnenburg, Y., Rascovsky, K., Williams, M. M., Yokoyama, J. S., Alladi, S., Ayhan, Y., Broce, I., Castro□Suarez, S., Coleman, K., de Souza, L. C., … for the ISTAART FTD PIA and ISTAART Diversity and Disparities PIA. (2023). Gaps in clinical research in frontotemporal dementia: A call for diversity and disparities–focused research. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *19*(12), 5817–5836. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.13129

Gasquoine, P. G. (2009). Race-Norming of Neuropsychological Tests. *Neuropsychology Review*, *19*(2), 250–262. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-009-9090-5

Gross, A. L., Mungas, D. M., Crane, P. K., Gibbons, L. E., MacKay-Brandt, A., Manly, J. J., Mukherjee, S., Romero, H., Sachs, B., Thomas, M., Potter, G. G., & Jones, R. N. (2015). Effects of education and race on cognitive decline: An integrative analysis of generalizability versus study-specific results. *Psychology and Aging*, *30*(4), 863. https://doi.org/10.1037/pag0000032

Hahn, R. A. (2021). What is a social determinant of health? Back to basics. *Journal of Public Health Research*, *10*(4), 2324. https://doi.org/10.4081/jphr.2021.2324

Huang, L.-Y., Hu, H.-Y., Wang, Z.-T., Ma, Y.-H., Dong, Q., Tan, L., & Yu, J.-T. (2020). Association of Occupational Factors and Dementia or Cognitive Impairment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease: JAD*, *78*(1), 217–227. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-200605

Jin, H. A., McMillan, C. T., Yannatos, I., Fisher, L., Rhodes, E., Jacoby, S. F., Irwin, D. J., & Massimo, L. (2023). Racial Differences in Clinical Presentation in Individuals Diagnosed With Frontotemporal Dementia. *JAMA Neurology*. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2023.3093

Johnson, E. E. H., Alexander, C., Lee, G. J., Angers, K., Ndiaye, D., & Suhr, J. (2022). Examination of Race and Gender Differences in Predictors of Neuropsychological Decline and Development of Alzheimer's Disease. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, *36*(2), 327–352. https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2021.1940299

Lennon, J. C., Aita, S. L., Bene, V. A. D., Rhoads, T., Resch, Z. J., Eloi, J. M., & Walker, K. A. (2022). Black and White individuals differ in dementia prevalence, risk factors, and symptomatic presentation. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *18*(8), 1461–1471. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12509

Mahoney, D. F., Cloutterbuck, J., Neary, S., & Zhan, L. (2005). African American, Chinese, and Latino Family Caregivers' Impressions of the Onset and Diagnosis of Dementia: Cross-Cultural Similarities and Differences. *The Gerontologist*, *45*(6), 783–792. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/45.6.783

Maj, M., D'Elia, L., Satz, P., Janssen, R., Zaudig, M., Uchiyama, C., Starace, F., Galderisi, S., & Chervinsky, A. (1993). Evaluation of two new neuropsychological tests designed to minimize cultural bias in the assessment of HIV-1 seropositive persons: A WHO study.

Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, *8*(2), 123–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/0887-6177(93)90030-5

Manly, J. J., Jacobs, D. M., Sano, M., Bell, K., Merchant, C. A., Small, S. A., & Stern, Y. (1998). Cognitive test performance among nondemented elderly African Americans and whites. *Neurology*, *50*(5), 1238–1245. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.50.5.1238

Manly, J. J., Jacobs, D. M., Touradji, P., Small, S. A., & Stern, Y. (2002). Reading level attenuates differences in neuropsychological test performance between African American and White elders. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, *8*(3), 341–348. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617702813157

Matthews, K. A., Xu, W., Gaglioti, A. H., Holt, J. B., Croft, J. B., Mack, D., & McGuire, L. C. (2019). Racial and ethnic estimates of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias in the United States (2015–2060) in adults aged ≥65 years. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *15*(1), 17–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.06.3063

Monsell, S. E., Dodge, H. H., Zhou, X.-H., Bu, Y., Besser, L. M., Mock, C., Hawes, S. E., Kukull, W. A., & Weintraub, S. (2016). Results From the NACC Uniform Data Set Neuropsychological Battery Crosswalk Study. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, *30*(2), 134–139. https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0000000000000111

Mungas, D., Shaw, C., Hayes□Larson, E., DeCarli, C., Farias, S. T., Olichney, J., Saucedo, H. H., Gilsanz, P., Glymour, M. M., Whitmer, R. A., & Mayeda, E. R. (2021). Cognitive impairment in racially/ethnically diverse older adults: Accounting for sources of diagnostic bias. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, *13*(1), e12265. https://doi.org/10.1002/dad2.12265

Ng, K. P., Chiew, H. J., Lim, L., Rosa-Neto, P., Kandiah, N., & Gauthier, S. (2018). The influence of language and culture on cognitive assessment tools in the diagnosis of early cognitive impairment and dementia. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*, *18*(11), 859–869. https://doi.org/10.1080/14737175.2018.1532792

O'Bryant, S. E., Johnson, L. A., Barber, R. C., Braskie, M. N., Christian, B., Hall, J. R., Hazra, N., King, K., Kothapalli, D., Large, S., Mason, D., Matsiyevskiy, E., McColl, R., Nandy, R., Palmer, R., Petersen, M., Philips, N., Rissman, R. A., Shi, Y., … for the HABLE Study Team. (2021). The Health & Aging Brain among Latino Elders (HABLE) study methods and participant characteristics. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, *13*(1), e12202. https://doi.org/10.1002/dad2.12202

Onyike, C. U., Shinagawa, S., & Ellajosyula, R. (2021). Frontotemporal Dementia: A Cross-Cultural Perspective. In B. Ghetti, E. Buratti, B. Boeve, & R. Rademakers (Eds.), *Frontotemporal Dementias: Emerging Milestones of the 21st Century* (pp. 141–150). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51140-1_10

Pedraza, O., & Mungas, D. (2008). Measurement in Cross-Cultural Neuropsychology. *Neuropsychology Review*, *18*(3), 184–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-008-9067-9

Rascovsky, K., Hodges, J. R., Knopman, D., Mendez, M. F., Kramer, J. H., Neuhaus, J., van Swieten, J. C., Seelaar, H., Dopper, E. G. P., Onyike, C. U., Hillis, A. E., Josephs, K. A., Boeve, B. F., Kertesz, A., Seeley, W. W., Rankin, K. P., Johnson, J. K., Gorno-Tempini, M.-L., Rosen, H., … Miller, B. L. (2011). Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain*, *134*(9), 2456–2477. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awr179

Rascovsky, K., Salmon, D. P., Hansen, L. A., Thal, L. J., & Galasko, D. (2007). Disparate letter and semantic category fluency deficits in autopsy-confirmed frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychology*, *21*(1), 20–30. https://doi.org/10.1037/0894-4105.21.1.20

Reis, A., Faísca, L., Ingvar, M., & Petersson, K. M. (2006). Color makes a difference: Two-dimensional object naming in literate and illiterate subjects. *Brain and Cognition*, *60*(1), 49–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2005.09.012

Ryan, J., Woods, R. L., Britt, C., Murray, A. M., Shah, R. C., Reid, C. M., Kirpach, B., Wolfe, R. S., Nelson, M. R., Lockery, J. E., Orchard, S. G., Trevaks, R. E., McNeil, J. J., & Storey, E. (2019). Normative performance of healthy older individuals on the Modified Mini-Mental State (3MS) examination according to ethno-racial group, gender, age, and education level. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, *33*(4), 779–797. https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2018.1488996

Sachs, B. C., Steenland, K., Zhao, L., Hughes, T. M., Weintraub, S., Dodge, H. H., Barnes, L. L., Craft, S., Parker, M. L., & Goldstein, F. C. (2020). Expanded Demographic Norms for Version 3 of the Alzheimer Disease Centers' Neuropsychological Test Battery in the Uniform Data Set. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, *34*(3), 191. https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0000000000000388

Seblova, D., Eng, C., Avila-Rieger, J. F., Dworkin, J. D., Peters, K., Lapham, S., Zahodne, L. B., Chapman, B., Prescott, C. A., Gruenewald, T. L., Arpawong, T. Em., Gatz, M., Jones, R. J., Glymour, M. M., & Manly, J. J. (2023). High school quality is associated with cognition 58 years later. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, *15*(2), e12424. https://doi.org/10.1002/dad2.12424

Shirk, S. D., Mitchell, M. B., Shaughnessy, L. W., Sherman, J. C., Locascio, J. J., Weintraub, S., & Atri, A. (2011). A web-based normative calculator for the uniform data set (UDS) neuropsychological test battery. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*, *3*(6), 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/alzrt94

Singh, G. K., Daus, G. P., Allender, M., Ramey, C. T., Martin, E. K., Perry, C., Reyes, A. A. D. L., & Vedamuthu, I. P. (2017). Social Determinants of Health in the United States: Addressing Major Health Inequality Trends for the Nation, 1935-2016. *International Journal of MCH and AIDS*, *6*(2), 139–164. https://doi.org/10.21106/ijma.236

Sisco, S., Gross, A. L., Shih, R. A., Sachs, B. C., Glymour, M. M., Bangen, K. J., Benitez, A., Skinner, J., Schneider, B. C., & Manly, J. J. (2015). The role of early-life educational quality and literacy in explaining racial disparities in cognition in late life. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, *70*(4), 557–567. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbt133

Soh, Y., Whitmer, R. A., Mayeda, E. R., Glymour, M. M., Peterson, R. L., Eng, C. W., Quesenberry, C. P., Manly, J. J., & Gilsanz, P. (2023). State-Level Indicators of Childhood Educational Quality and Incident Dementia in Older Black and White Adults. *JAMA Neurology*, *80*(4), 352–359. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.5337

Stites, S. D., Midgett, S., Mechanic-Hamilton, D., Zuelsdorff, M., Glover, C. M., Marquez, D. X., Balls-Berry, J. E., Streitz, M. L., Babulal, G., Trani, J.-F., Henderson, J. N., Barnes, L. L., Karlawish, J., & Wolk, D. A. (2022). Establishing a Framework for Gathering Structural and Social Determinants of Health in Alzheimer's Disease Research Centers. *The Gerontologist*, *62*(5), 694–703. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnab182

Trani, J.-F., Moodley, J., Maw, M. T. T., & Babulal, G. M. (2022). Association of Multidimensional Poverty With Dementia in Adults Aged 50 Years or Older in South Africa. *JAMA Network Open*, *5*(3), e224160. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.4160

Wang, H., & El-Abbadi, N. (2024). Food Insecurity, Race and Ethnicity, and Cognitive Function Among United States Older Adults. *The Journal of Nutrition*, *154*(1), 233–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2023.11.015

Weintraub, S., Besser, L., Dodge, H. H., Teylan, M., Ferris, S., Goldstein, F. C., Giordani, B., Kramer, J., Loewenstein, D., Marson, D., Mungas, D., Salmon, D., Welsh-Bohmer, K., Zhou, X.-H., Shirk, S. D., Atri, A., Kukull, W. A., Phelps, C., & Morris, J. C. (2018). Version 3 of the Alzheimer Disease Centers' Neuropsychological Test Battery in the Uniform Data Set (UDS). *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, *32*(1), 10–17. https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0000000000000223

Weintraub, S., Salmon, D., Mercaldo, N., Ferris, S., Graff-Radford, N. R., Chui, H., Cummings, J., DeCarli, C., Foster, N. L., Galasko, D., Peskind, E., Dietrich, W., Beekly, D. L., Kukull, W. A., & Morris, J. C. (2009). The Alzheimer's Disease Centers' Uniform Data Set (UDS): The Neuropsychological Test Battery. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, *23*(2), 91–101. https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0b013e318191c7dd

Weuve, J., Bennett, E. E., Ranker, L., Gianattasio, K. Z., Pedde, M., Adar, S. D., Yanosky, J. D., & Power, M. C. (2021). Exposure to Air Pollution in Relation to Risk of Dementia and Related Outcomes: An Updated Systematic Review of the Epidemiological Literature. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *129*(9), 96001. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP8716

Wright, C. B., DeRosa, J. T., Moon, M. P., Strobino, K., DeCarli, C., Cheung, Y. K., Assuras, S., Levin, B., Stern, Y., Sun, X., Rundek, T., Elkind, M. S. V., & Sacco, R. L. (2021). Race/Ethnic Disparities in Mild Cognitive Impairment and Dementia: The Northern Manhattan Study. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 80*(3), 1129–1138. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-201370

Zahodne, L. B., Sharifian, N., Kraal, A. Z., Zaheed, A. B., Sol, K., Morris, E. P., Schupf, N., Manly, J. J., & Brickman, A. M. (2021). Socioeconomic and psychosocial mechanisms underlying racial/ethnic disparities in cognition among older adults. *Neuropsychology*, *35*(3), 265–275. https://doi.org/10.1037/neu0000720

Acknowledgements

The NACC database is funded by NIA/NIH Grant U24 AG072122. NACC data are contributed by the NIA-funded ADRCs: P30 AG062429 (PI James Brewer, MD, PhD), P30 AG066468 (PI Oscar Lopez, MD), P30 AG062421 (PI Bradley Hyman, MD, PhD), P30 AG066509 (PI Thomas Grabowski, MD), P30 AG066514 (PI Mary Sano, PhD), P30 AG066530 (PI Helena Chui, MD), P30 AG066507 (PI Marilyn Albert, PhD), P30 AG066444 (PI David Holtzman, MD), P30 AG066518 (PI Lisa Silbert, MD, MCR), P30 AG066512 (PI Thomas Wisniewski, MD), P30 AG066462 (PI Scott Small, MD), P30 AG072979 (PI David Wolk, MD), P30 AG072972 (PI Charles DeCarli, MD), P30 AG072976 (PI Andrew Saykin, PsyD), P30 AG072975 (PI Julie A. Schneider, MD, MS), P30 AG072978 (PI Ann McKee, MD), P30 AG072977 (PI Robert Vassar, PhD), P30 AG066519 (PI Frank LaFerla, PhD), P30 AG062677 (PI Ronald Petersen, MD, PhD), P30 AG079280 (PI Jessica Langbaum, PhD), P30 AG062422 (PI Gil Rabinovici, MD), P30 AG066511 (PI Allan Levey, MD, PhD), P30 AG072946 (PI Linda Van Eldik, PhD), P30 AG062715 (PI Sanjay Asthana, MD, FRCP), P30 AG072973 (PI Russell Swerdlow, MD), P30 AG066506 (PI Glenn Smith, PhD, ABPP), P30 AG066508 (PI Stephen Strittmatter, MD, PhD), P30 AG066515 (PI Victor Henderson, MD, MS), P30 AG072947 (PI Suzanne Craft, PhD), P30 AG072931 (PI Henry Paulson, MD, PhD), P30 AG066546 (PI Sudha Seshadri, MD), P30 AG086401 (PI Erik Roberson, MD, PhD), P30 AG086404 (PI Gary Rosenberg, MD), P20 AG068082 (PI Angela Jefferson, PhD), P30 AG072958 (PI Heather Whitson, MD), P30 AG072959 (PI James Leverenz, MD).