Trends in self-management research in spinal cord injury: A scoping review of study designs and findings

Enxhi Qama [a,b], Sara Rubinelli [a,b] & Nicola Diviani [a,b*]

[a] *Swiss Paraplegic Research, Person-centered Healthcare & Health Communication Group. Guido A. Zäch Strasse 4, 6207 Nottwil, Switzerland*

[b] *Department of Health Sciences and Medicine, University of Lucerne. Frohburgstrasse 3, 6002 Luzern, Switzerland*

* Corresponding author at:

Swiss Paraplegic Research

Person-centered Healthcare & Health Communication Group

Guido A. Zäch Strasse 4

6207 Nottwil

Switzerland

+41 41 939 65 83

nicola.diviani@paraplegie.ch

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1386-9915

Funding: The work presented in this paper has been funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (www.snf.ch; Grant No. 10001C_200520). The funding source had no role in the study's conceptualization, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Abstract

Context: Self-management (SM) is essential for people living with a spinal cord injury (SCI) to maintain independence and improve their quality of life. The literature on SM interventions reveals gaps in understanding how and why SM works or does not work, and influencing factors that should be considered in future interventions.

Objective: To explore the study designs and their trends over time, and to synthesize their key findings.

Methods: Following Arksey and O'Malley's framework, PubMed, Science Direct, CINAHL, Scopus, and Cochrane Library were searched. Included were original peer-reviewed studies with adult participants. Study characteristics and trends were summarized, and results were analyzed through a thematic narrative synthesis.

Results: Fifty-two articles were included, mostly conducted in the last six years in the community. They mostly focus on issues like pressure injuries and catheterization. Recent years show a shift towards qualitative and mixed-methods research, more inpatient studies, and greater diversity in SM problems. Studies' findings highlighted *individual factors* (knowledge, psychological well-being, SM integration*), interpersonal and societal influences* (patient-provider relationships, societal contexts), and *technological integration* (enhancement of SM outcomes, usability, and feasibility) as important aspect of SM.

Conclusions: This review shows increase and diversified research on SM in SCI. Findings emphasize the importance of operationalizing knowledge through skill development, integrating SM into daily routines, and fostering effective communication between patients, caregivers, and healthcare providers. Longitudinal studies from rehabilitation to community reintegration in diverse contexts are needed to understand and monitor SM over time.

Keywords: Self-management; spinal cord injury; skills; health communication; patient education

Word count: 5186

1. Introduction

Navigating life after a spinal cord injury (SCI) presents critical challenges, necessitating significant lifestyle adjustments and adaptive strategies [1, 2]. Self-management (SM) serves as a key resource, vital for individuals with SCI to maintain independence and enhance their quality of life [3-5]. In the context of chronic conditions, SM is defined as "the ability of the individual, in conjunction with family, community, and health professionals, to manage symptoms, treatments, lifestyle changes, and psychosocial, cultural, and spiritual consequences of health conditions" [6].

Given this pivotal role of SM, growing interest has focused on SM interventions as a means to improve health outcomes [7, 8]. Several reviews have evaluated the effectiveness of SM interventions in specific areas such as physical activity [9, 10], skin-care [11, 12], and medication management [7]. However, these reviews have highlighted an important gap in evidence: limited attention to the practical application of SM across diverse aspects of daily life [7, 9]. Similarly, reviews examining the components and features of SM interventions have found a strong emphasis on general SCI information and symptom management. Yet, they also show a notable underrepresentation of other important topics, such as holidays, training for everyday activities, communication with health care providers, problem-solving and decision-making skills, and emotional management [8, 13].

These gaps underscore the complexity of SM in SCI. Like any other complex chronic condition [14, 15], SM in SCI extends beyond medical management, which often involves addressing multiple, simultaneous complications (e.g., preventing pressure ulcers, managing bladder and bowel function) [16-18]. It also encompasses psychological management (e.g., coping with emotional stress) [19, 20], and social aspects (e.g., balancing family and work responsibilities, and making daily choices and

priorities) [21, 22]. To address these demands it is important to understand SM not only from an intervention perspective but also from the lived experiences of individuals with SCI. This requires a comprehensive overview of the entire body of research on SM in SCI, spanning all settings, study designs and approaches.

Hence, our study takes a broader perspective, examining SM in SCI beyond interventional studies. Specifically, it has two aims: to explore the study designs and their trends over time, and to synthesize their key findings. By doing so, we aim to enhance the understanding of SM in SCI from the lived experience perspective, laying the foundation for future development of evidence-based interventions.

2. Methods

A scoping review following the five methodological steps suggested by Arksey and O'Malley was conducted [23]: 1) identifying the research questions, 2) identifying relevant studies, 3) study selection, 4) charting the data and 5) collecting, summarizing, and reporting the results. Arksey and O'Malley methodology provides a structured and systematic approach for conducting a scoping review, allowing for an extensive overview of the current state of research on a given topic [23]. Furthermore, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist for reporting the steps was adopted [24] (see Supplementary file, PRISMA-ScR Checklist).

2.1 Research question

For this review our research questions are as follows:

1. What are the trends in research in SM of SCI regarding the most common study designs, settings, outcomes, target populations, and most frequently studied secondary health topics.

2. What are the main findings?

2.2 Search for relevant studies

Relevant databases included Pubmed, Science Direct, CINAHL, Scopus and the Cochrane Library were searched on May 2024. The search terms included keywords related to SCI and SM. Boolean operators such as "OR" and "AND" were used to combine the search terms (see Table 1). We purposively focused our search on studies that explicitly mentioned 'self-management' or its synonyms. As a result, studies that did not use these exact terms, even if they discussed related concepts or components of SM, were not retrieved. By narrowing our search, we ensured that our analysis was conceptually focused on SM as a distinct construct.

A full search was conducted to retrieve all relevant articles and based the selection process on the following eligibility criteria: (1) all study designs, to ensure a comprehensive understanding of research trends and findings, (2) studies in English, (3) studies published in the last 21 years, reflecting the emergence and development of SM research in SCI, as identified from a preliminary search (4) with adult participants, as these differ significantly from pediatric contexts (5) only original, peer-reviewed studies to ensure scientific rigor of the evidence base; and (6) the studies needed to explicitly address SM in SCI, either as primary focus or as part of their findings, to align with the study's objective.

Table 1. Search string combination of keywords

Database	**Search string combination**
PubMed	("self management" OR "self care") AND ("spinal cord injury"[Title/Abstract] OR SCI[Title/Abstract] OR paraplegia[Title/Abstract] OR tetraplegia[Title/Abstract] OR quadriplegia[Title/Abstract])
Cinahl	TX ("self management" OR "self care") AND AB ("spinal cord injury" OR SCI OR paraplegia OR tetraplegia OR quadriplegia)

Scopus	ALL ("self management" OR "self care") AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("spinal cord injury" OR sci OR paraplegia OR tetraplegia OR quadriplegia)
Science Direct	Title, abstract, keywords: ("self management" OR "self-management" OR "self-care" OR "self care") AND ("spinal cord injury" OR SCI OR paraplegia OR tetraplegia OR quadriplegia)
Cochrane	("self management" OR "self care") AND ("spinal cord injury" OR SCI OR paraplegia OR tetraplegia OR quadriplegia):ti,ab,kw

2.3 Selecting the relevant studies

A reference manager was used to export the identified studies and eliminate duplicate records. Following this, titles and abstracts were screened by two reviewers independently to determine study eligibility based on predefined criteria. In cases of disagreement, a third reviewer was consulted to reach consensus and ensure consistency. Then the full text of a random sample of 10 studies was independently conducted by two reviewers. This step aimed to evaluate inter-reviewer agreement and refine the application of eligibility criteria, ensuring clarity and consistency before proceeding to full-text screening phase. Any discrepancies in this step were resolved through discussion and consensus, with input from a third reviewer if necessary. Finally, a full text screening was done by one reviewer of all remaining studies against the eligibility criteria (see Figure 1. PRISMA flow chart).

Figure 1. Prisma flow diagram



2.4 Charting the data

A standardized table was developed by two reviewers to extract relevant information from the eligible articles. Data extraction was conducted independently by the reviewers to ensure accuracy and consistency. The extracted data were then organized into two separate tables. The first table details the formal characteristics of the studies, including author, country, year, study design, population, setting, health

topic addressed, data collection instruments, outcomes, and predictive measures. The second table summarizes the objectives and main results of each study, along with the SM tasks explored, categorized according to Lorig et al.'s framework of SM in chronic conditions [14], as identified in the study's stated focus (see Supplementary file Table 2 and Table 3).

2.5 Collating summarizing, and reporting the results

For the first research question, aims were categorized based on similarities of the objectives explicitly stated in the studies and the formal characteristics of the studies were quantitatively summarized. Trends were analyzed by examining patterns and tendencies observed over the years.

For the second research question, extracted data from the studies' results were analyzed using a mixed-methods approach. This approach focuses on creating a body of empirical research based on the findings of both primary qualitative and quantitative studies, which makes it appropriate to explore what is known about a specific phenomenon and direct future research and practice [25-27]. Specifically, an integrated design was used, which aims to make results from different designs comparable by means of transformation of the data, which in our case is "qualitizing" quantitative results and making a narrative summary of the combined results as suggested by Sandelowski et. al [25]. For studies with quantitative methods, the author's stated interpretations of their numeric findings were extracted, rather than conducting new analysis or reinterpreting the data. The extracted data was independently coded by two reviewers, using an inductive approach to identify subthemes and themes. Finally, the codes were grouped into themes based on shared meanings or ideas from diverse study designs and synthesized into a narrative. (see Table 4)

Table 4. Narrative themes, subthemes and contributing studies

Themes	Subthemes	Contributing studies
Individual factors	Knowledge at the cornerstone of SM	[28, 29, 31-33, 35, 37-40, 42, 55-63, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74-78]
	Psychological well-being an important element in SM of SCI	[39] [54] [71-72] [78] [60]
	Self-management of SCI as part of daily life	[32, 35, 36, 37, 40, 50, 54-58, 66, 71]
Interpersonal and social influences	Patient-provider relationship influences SM	[37, 38, 75, 79]
	Societal context shapes SM of SCI	[32, 35, 54, 57, 60, 63, 71]
Technological integration	Apps and programs enhance SM outcomes	[30, 34, 41, 43, 44, 46-49, 69, 73]
	Usability and feasibility elements influence the adoption of apps and programs	[28, 34, 41, 45, 51-53, 59, 64, 65, 68]

3. Results

3.1 Study selection

After screening the abstracts of 2792 articles, 92 were included for full-text screening. Of these, 41 studies were deemed ineligible. Ultimately, 52 articles in total were included for synthesis, as illustrated in Figure 1. Prisma flow diagram. It is worth mentioning that several studies included in our review refer to the same intervention [28-34], and some refer to studies that have the same data collection with the same participants [35-40].

3.2 Study design characteristics

The studies included in the review address five overreaching aims: 1) Developing and evaluating SM interventions (n=15) [30, 33, 41-53]; 2) Exploring experiences and perspectives on SM (n=12) [35-38, 40, 54-60]; 3) Design and development of interventions (n=11) [28, 29, 32, 61-68]; 4) Understanding and

managing specific health challenges (n=8) [34, 69-75]; and 5) Stakeholder roles and system-level considerations (n=6) [31, 39, 76-79].

Most studies (n=23) employed qualitative design [29, 32, 35-40, 43, 54, 55, 57-60, 65-67, 72, 75, 76, 78, 79], with 18 conducted in the past five years [29, 35-38, 43, 54, 58-60, 65-67, 72, 75, 76, 78, 79]. These studies explored outcomes such as SM strategies and experiences, implementation considerations, preferred features and content, role of peers, [29, 32, 35-40, 43, 54, 55, 57-60, 65-67, 72, 75, 78, 79], and structure process and outcome indicators of SM programs [76]. Participant groups included patients (n=11) [32, 36, 43, 55, 58-60, 65, 72, 75, 78], mixed group of patient, health professionals, experts, researchers, caregivers and partners (n=9) [29, 35, 39, 40, 54, 57, 66, 67, 76] and health professionals (n=3) [37, 38, 79]. Studies were conducted in community (n=17) [29, 32, 35, 36, 39, 40, 54, 55, 57, 59, 60, 65-67, 72, 75, 78], inpatient (n=3) [43, 58, 76], outpatient (n=2) [37, 38], or combined setting (n=1) [75]. Topic focuses included skin management (n=7) [29, 43, 59, 60, 65, 75, 79], medication management (n=4) [35-38], bowel management (n=1) [58], bladder management (n=1) [32], physical activity (n=1) [66], neuropathic pain (n=1) [67], and general aspects (n=8) [39, 40, 54, 55, 57, 72, 76, 78].

Feasibility study design (n=10) [34, 41, 42, 45, 49-51, 53, 64, 68] were the second most common, with seven conducted in the past five years [41, 45, 49, 51, 53, 64, 68]. Five used quantitative methods [42, 45, 49, 50, 64] assessing outcomes like feasibility and usability measures, while five employed mixed-methods [34, 41, 51, 53, 68], additionally exploring participant experiences and suggestions for improvement. Participants included patients across community (n=7) [34, 41, 42, 50, 51, 64, 68], in-patient setting (n=2) [45, 53], and both community and in-patient (n=1) [49]. Topics ranged from general (n=6) [41, 45, 49, 50, 53, 68], to physical activity (n=1) [42],

skin, bladder and bowel management (n=1) [64], bowel management (n=1) [34] and skin management (n=1) [51].

Eight studies used an experimental RCT design [30, 31, 43, 44, 46-48, 77] with five conducted between 2012 and 2017 [30, 31, 43, 46, 77], and three conducted in the past five years [44, 47, 48]. They explored the impact of SM programs on outcomes like quality of life [30, 31, 44, 46, 47], healthcare utilization [43, 47], physical activity and exercise participation [46, 77], depression [47, 48], skin care behaviors, skin status [43], days in bedrest, knowledge [43], self-efficacy, engagement, occupational functioning, satisfaction with program, life satisfaction [44], satisfaction with program, life satisfaction, social roles and activities limitations, communication with physicians, awareness of services and resources, service use, patient activation measure, role of peer health coaches [30, 31], perceived behavior control, functional independence, mood, fatigue [46], number of pressure ulcers, number of emergency department visits, number of visits due to urinary tract infection or pressure ulcers, number of hospitalization due to urinary tract infection or pressure ulcers, occupational performance, adolescent self-management independence scale, physical independence [47]. All studies had patients as participants. Seven studies were conducted in community setting [30, 31, 44, 46-48, 77] and one in a hospital setting [43]. Topics included general SM (n=3) [30, 31, 44], physical activity (n=2) [46, 77], skin management (n=1) [43], bladder management (n=1) [47], and depression (n=1) [48].

Six studies had an observational cross-sectional design (n=6) [52, 56, 69, 70, 73, 74] , with three of them conducted in the last five years [52, 69, 74]. They explored outcomes such as strategies of SM, prevalence of depression mood [69], patient activation measure [70], importance ratings of SM program components [56], urinary tract infections [52], point prevalence of pressure sores [73], SM scores [74]. Topics

ranged from skin management (n=3) [70, 73, 74], to general SM (n=1) [56], to depression (n=1) [69] and bladder management (n=1) [52].

Finally, five studies focused on intervention development design [28, 33, 61-63], with three conducted in the past five years 2020 [28, 62, 63]. Two of them used mixed methodology [28, 61] looking at outcomes such as development measures, design stages. features and user experience. The other three used qualitative methodology [33, 62, 63] and explored outcomes such as experiences with SM programs and sets of components and recommendations. Topics included skin management (n=3) [28, 62], general aspects (n=1) [61, 63] and bladder management (n=1) [33].

3.3 Study trends

In total the research in SM in SCI involved 2552 participants: 2260 patients, 143 healthcare professionals, 67 caregivers, 46 experts, 21 researchers, 12 significant others and 3 policymakers. Notably, some participants were part of multiple studies [28-40].

The included studies covered a 21-year period ranging from 2003-2024, with the large majority (n=34) conducted in the last six years [28-34, 37-41, 43, 45, 47, 48, 51-53, 57, 60-62, 65, 66, 68, 69, 71-76, 79]. (See Figure 2)

Figure 2. Distribution of studies over time



The studies were conducted across various countries, with the majority (n=18) in Canada [35-42, 49, 53, 55-57, 59, 61, 63, 66, 76], followed by the United States (n=17) [30-34, 43-45, 47, 50, 51, 64, 65, 67, 68, 77, 78]. Other countries included Switzerland (n=5) [28, 29, 62, 75, 79], the Netherlands (n=3) [46, 60, 70], Thailand (n=2) [52, 69], China (n=2) [48, 74], and one each in France [71], South Africa [72], Australia [58], Bangladesh [54], and the UK [73].

The studies employed diverse designs and methodologies reflecting the diverse approaches to research in SM. A total of 28 studies utilized qualitative methods [29, 31-33, 35-40, 54, 55, 57-63, 65-67, 71, 72, 75, 76, 78, 79], while 18 studies employed quantitative methods [30, 42-50, 52, 56, 64, 69, 70, 73, 74, 77], and 6 adopted mixed-methods [28, 34, 41, 51, 53, 68]. Over the last decade, there has been a notable increase in qualitative studies. Mixed-methods research has also increased during this period. (See Figure 3).

Figure 3. Distribution of studies by methods over time



Most (n=42) were community-based [28-36, 39-42, 44, 46-48, 50, 51, 54-62, 64-75, 77, 78], followed by studies conducted in inpatient settings (n=5) [43, 52, 53, 63, 76], in both inpatient and community setting (n=3) [45, 49, 79], and two conducted in outpatient settings [37, 38]. Recently, there has been a trend toward more studies conducted in inpatient setting, reflecting a diversification in research. (See Figure 4).

Figure 4. Distribution of studies by setting over time



Pressure injuries (n=14) were the most explored health concern [28, 29, 43, 51, 59, 60, 62, 65, 70, 71, 73-75, 79], followed by bladder problems and catheterization (n=5) [32-34, 47, 52], medication (n=4) [35-38], physical activity (n=4) [42, 46, 66, 77], depression (n=2) [48, 69], bowel care (n=1) [58], and neuropathic pain (n=1) [67]. Additionally, 21 studies did not specify a health topic, but focused on general SM [30, 31, 39-41, 44, 45, 49, 50, 53-57, 61, 63, 64, 68, 72, 76, 78]. Studies on depression, bowel care and medication management, have emerged from 2019 onwards. (See Figure 5).

Figure 5. Distribution of studies by health topic over time



Looking at SM tasks, as per Lorig's framework of classification of SM tasks in three groups (medical, role and emotional management) [80], all studies included in the review addressed the medical management of SCI, such as medication adherence, skin checks, or catheterization. While role and emotional management tasks, such as developing new behaviors, creating meaningful life roles, and managing the emotional impact of SCI, were mentioned in several studies [31, 37-41, 48, 54, 58, 66, 69, 75, 78], they were not the primary focus. Notably, two studies focused exclusively on the medical and emotional management of depression [48, 69].

3.4 Narrative synthesis of studies' findings

This section focuses on the findings of the studies, highlighting the most common characteristics and dimensions of SM in SCI (see Table 4). Three themes emerged from the studies' results: Individual factors; Interpersonal and social influences; and Technological integration. *Individual factors* included knowledge, psychological well-being, and SM integration in daily life. *Interpersonal and societal influences* examined external social and relational aspects affecting SM in individuals with SCI. *Technological integration* explained the potential of apps and programs to improve SCI health outcomes and elements affecting their uptake and use (see Table 4).

3.4.1.1 Individual factors

This theme explored four personal elements influencing SM in individuals with SCI. It included 1) knowledge in the form of educational information and applied knowledge, 2) the impact of psychological well-being on SM practices, and the 3) integration of SM into one's daily life. Each element is described in detail below.

3.4.1.1.1 Knowledge at the cornerstone of SM

Knowledge was pivotal in the SM of SCI, as highlighted by several studies, revealing its importance across various levels: individual, caregiver, and health professional [28, 29, 31-33, 35, 37-40, 42, 55-63, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74-78]. More concretely, two facets of knowledge stood out: educational information and applied knowledge.

Educational materials often covered complications [59, 62] risk factors [71, 75], medication management [37, 38] and preventive measures like catheterization and physical activity [32, 66]. An important consideration was the health literacy levels of individuals using this information [63] and the necessity of avoiding medical jargon to ensure comprehension [57, 67]. The source of information was also critical, emphasizing the need for platforms to offer credible resources [31] and filter information appropriately [61]. For instance, peers and health professionals [31, 67] played a pivotal role in knowledge sharing, particularly in confidently delivering information [60, 76, 78], which, as showed by Cadel et al., could impact adherence to medication management [35, 38].

Applied knowledge involved understanding one's body and recognizing sensations, such as in the case of for intermittent urinary catheter users [32] and the early detection of pressure ulcers [71]. Studies emphasized action-planning, goal setting, information seeking, problem-solving and decision-making skills [35, 42, 55, 56, 66, 71, 78] as essential for more effective SM in SCI. Applied knowledge also extended to caregivers, encompassing skill sets for prevention, monitoring, and managing complications [28, 40, 67]. For instance, Pryor et al. showed that the support provided by caregivers was pivotal for integrating practices like bowel care, advocating for training caregivers in collaborative problem-solving initiatives to enhance SM [58].

3.4.1.1.2 Psychological well-being as an important element in SM

The significance of psychological health emerged as a crucial aspect, underscoring its impact on individuals' ability to effectively manage SCI [39, 54, 60, 71, 72, 78].

Feelings of anxiety, negative mood, frustration, and depression were commonly reported by individuals with SCI and were recognized as serious barriers to SM [39, 71]. Pilusa et al. explored the experiences of individuals with SCI in managing secondary conditions, showing feelings of frustration and hopelessness when SM strategies proved ineffective [72]. Similarly, Van Gaal et al. found that anxiety and frustration often arose from strained relationships with caregivers during efforts to prevent and manage pressure ulcer [60].

Peers and caregivers served as vital sources of motivation, encouragement, and advocacy for individuals with SCI, helping to mitigate mental health challenges [39, 60, 78]. Begum et al. identified engaging in recreational activities, maintaining a sense of identity and purpose, and receiving societal rewards such as respect and recognition for contribution in social environments, as effective means of managing emotions in individuals with SCI [54].

3.4.1.1.3 Self-management of SCI as part of daily life

A persistent element in the included studies was the integration of SM activities in daily life, which fostered confidence, skills, and lifestyle adjustments [32, 35-38, 40, 50, 54-58, 66, 71]. Individuals with SCI navigated several SM tasks [40, 54, 57], including catheterization [32], medication management [35-38], pressure ulcers [71], bowl care [58], all requiring constant monitoring and adjustment to fit into daily life. For instance, Cadel et al. highlighted participants' adeptness at problem-solving and decision-making skills, enabling them to tailor medication management routines to their

daily lives by utilizing strategies such as organization and planning to ensure adherence [36]. Flexibility in scheduling was crucial, allowing individuals to accommodate other responsibilities like work or family time [35, 71].

3.4.1.2 Interpersonal and societal influences

This theme examined the external social and relational factors that affect SM in individuals with SCI. It showed the crucial role of trust and communication between patients and healthcare providers in facilitating effective SM. Furthermore, it explored the influence of broader societal dynamics and attitudes on SM practices, illustrating how they could impact health outcomes.

3.4.1.2.1 Patient-provider relationship influences SM

The relationship and communication between patients and healthcare professionals emerged as crucial factors in the SM of SCI, as emphasized by several studies in our review [37, 38, 75, 79].

Studies focusing on medication and pressure ulcer management underscored the significance of trust, open communication, and collaborative decision-making between patients and providers. For instance, Zanini et al. explored how different collaboration styles between patients and providers corresponded to different patient approaches to their issues, such as being thoughtful, selective, or delegative [75]. Furthermore, healthcare professionals emphasized the importance of defining responsibilities, negotiating priorities, setting goals, and cultivating mutual trust and respect to build effective partnerships with individuals with SCI [79].

3.4.1.2.2 Societal context shapes SM of SCI

The societal context played a significant role in shaping the landscape of SM in SCI, as reflected in various study findings [32, 35, 54, 57, 60, 63, 71].

For instance, users of intermittent urinary catheter faced challenges in adapting to social situations, particularly in intimate relationships, and experience associated feelings of embarrassment [32]. Gourlan et al. highlighted the social ramifications of pressure ulcers, including withdrawal and isolation, which significantly impeded daily activities [71]. Similarly, participants at Van Gaal et al.'s study noted that managing pressure ulcers often required balancing family life, work and hobbies, as many preventive measures were difficult to apply in certain circumstances [60].

Begum et al. proposed an additional aspect in their SM model, named "management of social complexities", which included relocation to new environments and considering disability-friendly settings free of discrimination and negative attitudes. They advocated for efforts to promote accessibility and defend rights to enable greater participation in daily life [54]. This focus was especially crucial given the evidence of social isolation post-discharge [63].

3.4.1.3 Technological integration

This theme investigated the role of technology in enhancing SM for individuals with SCI. It assessed how the effectiveness of SM apps and programs could significantly improve health outcomes by providing real-time monitoring and personalized feedback. Besides, it delved into the usability and feasibility elements that influence the adoption and sustained use of these digital tools, ensuring they are accessible and practical for users.

3.4.1.3.1 Apps and programs enhance SM outcomes

The effectiveness of apps and programs in improving many outcomes in SM in SCI was a common topic throughout our studies [30, 34, 41, 44, 47-49]. These digital tools have been shown to reduce the risk of secondary complications [30, 47, 48], and foster positive changes in self-efficacy, resilience, emotional status, and health literacy [41, 44]. Another explored outcome was the level of confidence in performing a SM activity. For instance, Wilde et al. demonstrated that a web-based intermittent catheter SM intervention increased awareness of fluid intake and enhanced confidence [34]. Similarly, MacGillivray et al., observed improved confidence in bowel management over time through the use of their mobile app [49].

3.4.1.3.2 Usability and feasibility elements influence the adoption of apps and programs

This last group of studies showed how the successful adoption of apps and programs for SM in SCI depended heavily on their usability and feasibility [28, 34, 41, 45, 51-53, 59, 64, 65, 68].

High usability scores have been frequently highlighted, with studies emphasizing the importance of interesting interfaces [28, 52, 64, 68], intuitive and straightforward navigation [51, 53]. Participants reported increased engagement and improved confidence when using apps that are easy to navigate and visually appealing [45]. Key features contributing to ease of use included independence from internet connectivity, ensuring accessibility in various settings [53], appropriate font sizes for readability [64, 68], and well-designed button arrangements and page navigation for intuitive use [68].

Regarding feasibility, most studies reported high retention and adherence rates [41, 51, 52, 65]. Successful programs, like the one studied by Wilde et al., incorporated features such as diaries, educational materials, calls, and interaction with nurses, which

were easily adopted and valued by participants [34]. However, challenges to feasibility included connectivity issues [51], and misuse of apps by participants, such as contacting health professionals for social interaction or irrelevant information. Furthermore, maintenance and financial concerns were identified as potential barriers to feasibility as showed by Amann et al. [28].

4. Discussion

In this scoping review we explored research designs and trends in SM for SCI and synthesized its main findings. Key trends include the recent emergence of research focusing on the design and development of SM interventions, growth in qualitative and mixed-methods studies, increased attention to inpatient settings, and emerging interest in mental health topics like depression.

Our thematic analysis of the existing research highlights components that may improve neglected aspects of existing interventions [8]. From an implementation science perspective, this review contributes to knowledge synthesis, serving as a first step towards future intervention implementation [81, 82]

First, *knowledge application and skill development*. While knowledge and information remain central to SM research [7, 8, 11, 12, 18], our review emphasizes the need to operationalize this knowledge through skills like action planning, information seeking, problem-solving and decision-making, which are fundamental to effective SM [14, 83-86].

Second, *the integration of SM into daily routines.* Current interventions often treat SM activities as separate from everyday life [7, 8, 13], which is particularly challenging for individuals managing multiple complications or coexisting conditions [87]. This fragmentation forces individuals to compromise personal or social pursuits for SM activities [86-90]. For instance, Gross-Hemmi et al. demonstrated low

participation and a decrease of leisure activities over a 5-year time period in individuals with SCI [91].

Third, *patient-health professionals communication and aligned goals.* Self-management interventions often address issues perceived as problematic by healthcare professionals or institutions, which may not align with what matters for the patients [92-95]. Studies show that patients prefer goal setting related to everyday life, while healthcare providers tend to use the hospital setting as their point of reference [96, 97]. Our review underscores the importance that patients, caregivers and healthcare providers give to the development of communication channels that would facilitate better adherence to SM practices. For instance, such interventions could be adapted to fit not only the health needs of patients, but also the specific circumstances of family, job, and social engagements [98-100].

4.1 Gaps in the literature and further research

Our review identified important gaps and critical areas for future research. While our work is descriptive and theoretical, it indirectly supports the initial steps of the implementation process for SM interventions, as outlined in Graham et al.'s Knowledge-to-Action (KTA) framework. This framework presents actionable phases for translating knowledge into practice, such as selecting relevant knowledge, adapting it to the context, tailoring interventions, and monitoring, evaluating and sustaining knowledge use [81].

In terms of relevant knowledge, emotional aspects of SM remain underexplored. Despite their importance [101], only two studies addressed managing depression [48, 69], showing the need for greater emphasis on psychological well-being in SM interventions. While skin management is well-represented, other areas like bowel management, physical activity, problem-solving, decision-making receive

comparatively less attention. For instance, heart failure research, decision-making is viewed as a naturalistic process, deeply embedded in personal contexts [102]. Daley et al. describe these processes in a three steps framework of monitoring, interpreting symptoms and acting accordingly [103]. Investigating whether these strategies could be adapted for SCI could offer valuable insights. There is also limited attention to skill development for health professionals and caregivers involved in SM [37, 38, 45, 79]. Despite frequent mentions of caregiver burnout and inadequate training [39, 58, 67], few studies directly address the educational needs of these groups. Geographical gaps are also noted, with a predominance of studies from Western countries. More geographically diverse research could uncover how socio-cultural factors, such as spirituality or traditional roles, influence SM practices [104, 105]. Study design limitations also hinder the applicability of findings. RCTs are underrepresented, with only eight identified. These trials often focus on on short-term outcomes like quality of life, while neglecting psychosocial and long-term impacts of SM interventions [106].

The adaptation of the knowledge to the local context aligns with our results for the need *to integrate SM into daily life.* Future research should explore how individuals use health knowledge in real-world decision-making, accounting for personal, societal, and contextual factors [15, 107]. Additionally stigma and social barriers remain significant challenges requiring targeted strategies for mitigation [108].

Finally, regarding monitoring and evaluation knowledge use, our review suggests that filling the *gap in longitudinal research designs* could contribute to this. Such studies are important for understanding how SM practices evolve over time and across different contexts [109]. Technology could also provide a great support in these aspects. While usability and feasibility of current interventions is well-studied [13], advancements in artificial intelligence and large language models hold high promise. Conversational agents for example could support SM by promoting adherence,

providing information and motivation, and even offering reminders or entertainment. [110-112].

4.2 Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first review that includes a wide range of studies with various designs and methodologies, reporting on the current trends of research in SM of SCI and their findings, and identifying important gaps for future research. This understanding is based on a variety of perspectives from different study participants, including individuals with SCI, caregivers, and health professionals.

This review has some limitations. First, we limited our search to studies published in English, which may have excluded relevant research in other languages, potentially affecting the comprehensiveness of our review. Nonetheless, we attempted to mitigate this limitation by conducting our search across multiple databases and including as many studies as possible in our screening process. Second, we did not conduct a quality assessment of the included studies, which could potentially undermine the robustness of our findings. Nevertheless, this study was designed as a scoping review, with the primary goal of providing a general overview of the current state of research on SM in SCI, rather than critically evaluating study strengths and limitations or assessing the effectiveness of specific interventions.

5. Conclusion

This scoping review highlights the recent focus of research on designing and developing SM interventions, the growing use of qualitative and mixed-methods approaches, and the increasing attention to inpatient settings and mental health topics like depression. Key findings emphasize the importance of operationalizing knowledge through skill development, integrating SM into daily routines, and fostering effective

communication between patients, caregivers, and healthcare providers. Additionally, significant gaps remain in areas such as the emotional dimensions of SM and the educational needs of caregivers and health professionals. By expanding research in these areas, we can better tailor SM interventions to meet the complex needs of individuals with SCI.

Conflict of interest statement: The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

References

1. DeVivo, M.J., *Epidemiology of traumatic spinal cord injury: trends and future implications.* Spinal cord, 2012. **50**(5): p. 365-372.
2. Bickenbach, J., et al., *International perspectives on spinal cord injury*. 2013: World Health Organization.
3. Begum, S., Y.A. Alve, and P. Bontje, *Efficacy of Self-management among Community-Dwelling Persons with Spinal Cord Injury: A Systematic Review.* The Journal of Japan Academy of Health Sciences, 2022. **24**(4): p. 275-291.
4. Gadoury, M., et al., *Self-management reduces both short-and long-term hospitalisation in COPD.* European Respiratory Journal, 2005. **26**(5): p. 853-857.
5. Ditewig, J.B., et al., *Effectiveness of self-management interventions on mortality, hospital readmissions, chronic heart failure hospitalization rate and quality of life in patients with chronic heart failure: a systematic review.* Patient education and counseling, 2010. **78**(3): p. 297-315.
6. Van de Velde, D., et al., *Delineating the concept of self-management in chronic conditions: a concept analysis.* BMJ open, 2019. **9**(7): p. e027775.
7. Cadel, L., et al., *A scoping review of medication self-management intervention tools to support persons with traumatic spinal cord injury.* PloS one, 2023. **18**(4): p. e0284199.
8. McIntyre, A., et al., *A scoping review of self-management interventions following spinal cord injury.* Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation, 2020. **26**(1): p. 36-63.
9. Burke, S.M., et al., *Physical activity self-management interventions for adults with spinal cord injury: Part 2 – Exploring the generalizability of findings from research to practice.* Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 2018. **37**: p. 286-295.
10. Tomasone, J.R., et al., *Physical activity self-management interventions for adults with spinal cord injury: Part 1–A systematic review of the use and effectiveness of behavior change techniques.* Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 2018. **37**: p. 274-285.

11. Baron, J.S., et al., *Self-management interventions for skin care in people with a spinal cord injury: part 1—a systematic review of intervention content and effectiveness.* Spinal Cord, 2018. **56**(9): p. 823-836.

12. Baron, J.S., et al., *Self-management interventions for skin care in people with a spinal cord injury: part 2—a systematic review of use of theory and quality of intervention reporting.* Spinal Cord, 2018. **56**(9): p. 837-846.

13. Bernard, R.M., et al., *Mobile Health Self-management Support for Spinal Cord Injury: Systematic Literature Review.* JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 2023. **11**: p. e42679.

14. Lorig, K., Laurent, D., Gonzalez, V., Sobel, D., Minor, M. and OTD, M.G.S., *Living a healthy life with chronic conditions: Self-management skills for heart disease, arthritis, diabetes, depression, asthma, bronchitis, emphysema and other physical and mental health conditions.* . 2020: Bull Publishing.

15. Qama, E., S. Rubinelli, and N. Diviani, *Factors influencing the integration of self-management in daily life routines in chronic conditions: a scoping review of qualitative evidence.* BMJ open, 2022. **12**(12): p. e066647.

16. Krause, J. and L. Saunders, *Risk and protective factors for secondary conditions: a 15-year longitudinal study.* Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation, 2010. **16**(2): p. 22-29.

17. Adriaansen, J.J., et al., *Secondary health conditions and quality of life in persons living with spinal cord injury for at least ten years.* Journal of rehabilitation medicine, 2016. **48**(10): p. 853-860.

18. Conti, A., et al., *What self-care behaviours are essential for people with spinal cord injury? A systematic review and meta-synthesis.* Disability and Rehabilitation, 2022. **44**(7): p. 991-1006.

19. Singh, V. and S. Mitra, *Psychophysiological impact of spinal cord injury: Depression, coping and heart rate variability.* The Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine, 2023. **46**(3): p. 441-449.

20. Craig, A., et al., *Prospective study of the occurrence of psychological disorders and comorbidities after spinal cord injury.* Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2015. **96**(8): p. 1426-1434.

21. Barclay, L., et al., *Community integration programs and interventions for people with spinal cord injury: a scoping review.* Disability and Rehabilitation, 2021. **43**(26): p. 3845-3855.

22. Welk, B., et al., *Bladder management experiences among people living with neurologic disease: A systematic review and meta-synthesis of qualitative research.* Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2019. **38**(2): p. 668-676.

23. Arksey, H. and L. O'malley, *Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework.* International journal of social research methodology, 2005. **8**(1): p. 19-32.

24. Tricco, A.C., et al., *PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation.* Annals of internal medicine, 2018. **169**(7): p. 467-473.

25. Sandelowski, M., C.I. Voils, and J. Barroso, *Defining and designing mixed research synthesis studies.* Research in the schools: a nationally refereed journal sponsored by the Mid-South Educational Research Association and the University of Alabama, 2006. **13**(1): p. 29.

26. Heyvaert, M., K. Hannes, and P. Onghena, *Using mixed methods research synthesis for literature reviews: the mixed methods research synthesis approach*. Vol. 4. 2016: Sage Publications.

27. Hong, Q.N., et al., *Convergent and sequential synthesis designs: implications for conducting and reporting systematic reviews of qualitative and quantitative evidence.* Systematic reviews, 2017. **6**: p. 1-14.

28. Amann, J., et al., *Co-designing a Self-Management App Prototype to Support People With Spinal Cord Injury in the Prevention of Pressure Injuries: Mixed Methods Study.* JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 2020. **8**(7): p. e18018.

29. Amann, J., et al., *Opportunities and Challenges of a Self-Management App to Support People With Spinal Cord Injury in the Prevention of Pressure Injuries: Qualitative Study.* JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 2020. **8**(12): p. e22452.

30. Houlihan, B.V., et al., *Randomized Trial of a Peer-Led, Telephone-Based Empowerment Intervention for Persons With Chronic Spinal Cord Injury Improves Health Self-Management.* Arch Phys Med Rehabil, 2017. **98**(6): p. 1067-1076.e1.

31. Skeels, S.E., et al., *SCI peer health coach influence on self-management with peers: a qualitative analysis.* Spinal Cord, 2017. **55**(11): p. 1016-1022.

32. Wilde, M.H., J. Brasch, and Z. Yi, *A qualitative descriptive study of self-management issues in people with long-term intermittent urinary catheters.* Journal of Advanced Nursing (John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), 2011. **67**(6): p. 1254-1263.

33. Wilde, M.H., et al., *A Web-Based Self-Management Intervention for Intermittent Catheter Users.* Urol Nurs, 2015. **35**(3): p. 127-33, 138.

34. Wilde, M.H., et al., *Feasibility of a Web-Based Self-management Intervention for Intermittent Urinary Catheter Users With Spinal Cord Injury.* J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs, 2016. **43**(5): p. 529-38.

35. Cadel, L., et al., *Exploring the perspectives on medication self-management among persons with spinal cord injury/dysfunction and providers.* Res Social Adm Pharm, 2020. **16**(12): p. 1775-1784.

36. Cadel, L., et al., *Spinal cord injury/dysfunction and medication management: a qualitative study exploring the experiences of community-dwelling adults in Ontario, Canada.* Disabil Rehabil, 2022. **44**(1): p. 24-33.

37. Guilcher, S.J.T., et al., *"The strategies are the same, the problems may be different": a qualitative study exploring the experiences of healthcare and service providers with medication therapy management for individuals with spinal cord injury/dysfunction.* BMC Neurol, 2020. **20**(1): p. 20.

38. Guilcher, S.J.T., et al., *Medication adherence for persons with spinal cord injury and dysfunction from the perspectives of healthcare providers: A qualitative study.* J Spinal Cord Med, 2019. **42**(sup1): p. 215-225.

39. Munce, S.E., et al., *Perceived facilitators and barriers to self-management in individuals with traumatic spinal cord injury: a qualitative descriptive study.* BMC Neurology, 2014. **14**(1): p. 48-48.

40. Munce, S.E.P., et al., *Meaning of self-management from the perspective of individuals with traumatic spinal cord injury, their caregivers, and acute care and rehabilitation managers: an opportunity for improved care delivery.* BMC Neurol, 2016. **16**: p. 11.

41. Allin, S., et al., *Web-Based Health Coaching for Spinal Cord Injury: Results From a Mixed Methods Feasibility Evaluation.* JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol, 2020. **7**(2): p. e16351.

42. Brawley, L.R., K.P. Arbour-Nicitopoulos, and K.A. Martin Ginis, *Developing physical activity interventions for adults with spinal cord injury. Part 3: a pilot feasibility study of an intervention to increase self-managed physical activity.* Rehabilitation Psychology, 2013. **58**(3): p. 316.

43. Guihan, M., et al., *Comparing multicomponent interventions to improve skin care behaviors and prevent recurrence in veterans hospitalized for severe pressure ulcers.* Arch Phys Med Rehabil, 2014. **95**(7): p. 1246-1253.e3.

44. Hoffman, J.M., et al., *SCI Thrive: Impact of a peer-led online self-management program.* The Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine: p. 1-10.

45. Juengst, S.B., et al., *Feasibility Study of Problem-Solving Training for Care Partners of Adults With Traumatic Brain Injury, Spinal Cord Injury, Burn Injury, or Stroke During the Inpatient Hospital Stay.* Arch Rehabil Res Clin Transl, 2019. **1**(3-4): p. 100009.

46. Kooijmans, H., et al., *Effectiveness of a Self-Management Intervention to Promote an Active Lifestyle in Persons With Long-Term Spinal Cord Injury: The HABITS Randomized Clinical Trial.* Neurorehabil Neural Repair, 2017. **31**(12): p. 991-1004.

47. Kryger, M.A., et al., *The effect of the interactive mobile health and rehabilitation system on health and psychosocial outcomes in spinal cord injury: Randomized controlled trial.* Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2019. **21**(8).

48. Liu, Y., et al., *The Effects of APP-Based Intervention for Depression Among Community-Dwelling Individuals With Spinal Cord Injury: A Randomized Controlled Trial.* Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2023. **104**(2): p. 195-202.

49. MacGillivray, M.K., et al., *Implementing a self-management mobile app for spinal cord injury during inpatient rehabilitation and following community discharge: A feasibility study.* J Spinal Cord Med, 2020. **43**(5): p. 676-684.

50. Meade, M.A., et al., *Development and Feasibility of Health Mechanics: A Self-Management Program for Individuals with Spinal Cord Injury.* Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil, 2016. **22**(2): p. 121-134.

51. Olney, C.M., et al., *Development of a comprehensive mobile assessment of pressure (CMAP) system for pressure injury prevention for veterans with spinal cord injury.* J Spinal Cord Med, 2019. **42**(6): p. 685-694.

52. Potiart, T., K. Harnphadungkit, and P. Phunchongharn, *Development and Effectiveness Testing of "Punsook": A Smartphone Application for Intermittent Urinary Catheter Users with Spinal Cord Injury.* Siriraj Medical Journal, 2020. **73**(2): p. 99-107.

53. Singh, G., et al., *Patients' Perspectives on the Usability of a Mobile App for Self-Management following Spinal Cord Injury.* Journal of Medical Systems, 2020. **44**(1): p. 1-9.

54. Begum, S., Y.A. Alve, and P. Bontje, *Self-Management Embedded in Daily Activities: A Photoelicitation Focus Group Study among Persons with Spinal Cord Injury and Their Primary Caregivers in Bangladesh.* Occup Ther Int, 2022. **2022**: p. 2705104.

55. Hirsche, R.C., et al., *Chronic disease self-management for individuals with stroke, multiple sclerosis and spinal cord injury.* Disabil Rehabil, 2011. **33**(13-14): p. 1136-46.

56. Munce, S.E., et al., *Views of people with traumatic spinal cord injury about the components of self-management programs and program delivery: a Canadian pilot study.* BMC Neurol, 2014. **14**: p. 209.

57. Munce, S.E.P., et al., *Using the theoretical domains framework to guide the development of a self-management program for individuals with spinal cord injury: Results from a national stakeholder advisory group.* J Spinal Cord Med, 2017. **40**(6): p. 687-695.

58. Pryor, J., D. Haylen, and M.J. Fisher, *The usual bowel care regimes of people living in the community with spinal cord injury and factors important for integrating bowel care into everyday life.* Disabil Rehabil, 2021: p. 1-7.

59. Shirai, T., et al., *The use of a mobile educational tool on pressure injury education for individuals living with spinal cord injury/disease: a qualitative research study.* Disability and Rehabilitation, 2022. **44**(3): p. 468-477.

60. Van Gaal, B.G.I., et al., *Lessons learned from patients with spinal cord injury in managing pressure ulcers: A qualitative study.* Journal of Tissue Viability, 2022.

61. Allin, S., et al., *Participatory Design of an Online Self-Management Tool for Users With Spinal Cord Injury: Qualitative Study.* JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol, 2018. **5**(1): p. e6.

62. Fiordelli, M., et al., *Selecting Evidence-Based Content for Inclusion in Self-Management Apps for Pressure Injuries in Individuals With Spinal Cord Injury: Participatory Design Study.* JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 2020. **8**(5): p. e15818.

63. Mortenson, W.B., et al., *Development of a Self-Management App for People with Spinal Cord Injury.* Journal of Medical Systems, 2019. **43**(6): p. 1-12.

64. Newman, S.D., S.L. Toatley, and M.D. Rodgers, *Translating a spinal cord injury self-management intervention for online and telehealth delivery: A community-engaged research approach.* J Spinal Cord Med, 2019. **42**(5): p. 595-605.

65. Oh, H. and S. Pontis, *Understanding individuals with spinal cord injury's self-care practices: a technology probe study to promote pressure relief adherence.* Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology: p. 1-15.

66. Pancer, M., et al., *A Web-Based Physical Activity Portal for Individuals Living With a Spinal Cord Injury: Qualitative Study.* JMIR Form Res, 2019. **3**(3): p. e12507.

67. Widerström-Noga, E., et al., *Development of a pain education resource for people with spinal cord injury.* Frontiers in public health, 2023. **11**: p. 1197944.

68. Zhou, L., et al., *Making Self-Management Mobile Health Apps Accessible to People With Disabilities: Qualitative Single-Subject Study.* JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 2020. **8**(1): p. e15060.

69. Baniya, M., L. Kitrungrote, and J. Damkliang, *Prevalence, severity, and self-management of depressive mood among community-dwelling people with spinal cord injury in Nepal.* Belitung Nursing Journal, 2022. **8**(2): p. 101-107.

70. de Laat, H.E.W., et al., *A cross-sectional study on self-management of pressure ulcer prevention in paraplegic patients.* Journal of Tissue Viability, 2017. **26**(1): p. 69-74.

71. Gourlan, M., et al., *"What pressure ulcers mean to me?" Representations of pressure ulcer in persons with spinal cord injury: A qualitative study.* J Tissue Viability, 2020. **29**(4): p. 324-330.

72. Pilusa, S., H. Myezwa, and J. Potterton, *Exploring prevention and management of secondary health conditions in people with spinal cord injury in South Africa.* International Journal of Therapy & Rehabilitation, 2021. **28**(12): p. 1-10.

73. Raghavan, P., et al., *Prevalence of pressure sores in a community sample of spinal injury patients.* Clinical Rehabilitation, 2003. **17**(8): p. 879-884.

74. Wang, T., et al., *Skin self-management of community-dwelling patients with spinal cord injury: A cross-sectional study.* Journal of Tissue Viability, 2023. **32**(3): p. 423-429.

75. Zanini, C., et al., *Engaging in the prevention of pressure injuries in spinal cord injury: A qualitative study of community-dwelling individuals' different styles of prevention in Switzerland.* J Spinal Cord Med, 2020. **43**(2): p. 247-256.

76. Jeyathevan, G., et al., *Conception and development of Self-Management indicators to advance the quality of spinal cord injury rehabilitation: SCI-High Project.* J Spinal Cord Med, 2021. **44**(sup1): p. S94-s117.

77. Keegan, J.P., et al., *Predictive Ability of Pender's Health Promotion Model for Physical Activity and Exercise in People With Spinal Cord Injuries: A Hierarchical Regression Analysis.* Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, 2012. **56**(1): p. 34-47.

78. Starosta, A.J., et al., *"There's always something, and then there's more": a qualitative examination of how virtual peer led self-management can create community around the evolving SCI experience.* Front Rehabil Sci, 2024. **5**: p. 1377218.

79. Zanini, C., et al., *Challenges to building and maintaining partnership in the prevention and treatment of pressure injuries in spinal cord injury: a qualitative study of health professionals' views.* Swiss Med Wkly, 2019. **149**: p. w20086.

80. Lorig, K.R. and H.R. Holman, *Self-management education: History, definition, outcomes, and mechanisms.* Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2003. **26**(1): p. 1-7.

81. Graham, I.D., et al., *Lost in knowledge translation: time for a map?* Journal of continuing education in the health professions, 2006. **26**(1): p. 13-24.

82. Wandersman, A., et al., *Bridging the Gap Between Prevention Research and Practice: The Interactive Systems Framework for Dissemination and Implementation.* American Journal of Community Psychology, 2008. **41**(3): p. 171-181.

83. Elliott, T.R., et al., *Problem-solving appraisal and psychological adjustment following spinal cord injury.* Cognitive Therapy and Research, 1991. **15**: p. 387-398.

84. May, L., R. Day, and S. Warren, *Evaluation of patient education in spinal cord injury rehabilitation: knowledge, problem-solving and perceived importance.* Disability and rehabilitation, 2006. **28**(7): p. 405-413.

85. Müller, R., et al., *The role of social support and social skills in people with spinal cord injury—a systematic review of the literature.* Spinal cord, 2012. **50**(2): p. 94-106.

86. Diviani, N., et al., *An exploration of information seeking behavior among persons living with spinal cord injury in Switzerland.* Spinal Cord, 2021. **59**(4): p. 452-461.

87. Liddy, C., V. Blazkho, and K. Mill, *Challenges of self-management when living with multiple chronic conditions: systematic review of the qualitative literature.* Canadian Family Physician, 2014. **60**(12): p. 1123-1133.

88. Rubinelli, S., et al., *Strengthening Critical Health Literacy for Health Information Appraisal: An Approach from Argumentation Theory.* International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021. **18**(13): p. 6764.

89. Caldeira, C., et al., *Managing healthcare conflicts when living with multiple chronic conditions.* International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 2021. **145**: p. 102494.

90. Cobb, J., et al., *An exploratory analysis of the potential association between SCI secondary health conditions and daily activities.* Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation, 2014. **20**(4): p. 277-288.

91. Gross-Hemmi, M.H., et al., *Detecting subgroups in social participation among individuals living with spinal cord injury: a longitudinal analysis of community survey data.* Spinal Cord, 2021. **59**(4): p. 419-428.

92. Zanini, C., et al., *Doctors' Insights into the Patient Perspective: A Qualitative Study in the Field of Chronic Pain.* BioMed Research International, 2014. **2014**: p. 514230.

93. Fried, T.R., et al., *Health Outcome Prioritization as a Tool for Decision Making Among Older Persons With Multiple Chronic Conditions.* Archives of Internal Medicine, 2011. **171**(20): p. 1856-1858.

94. Fried, T.R., R.L. Street Jr, and A.B. Cohen, *Chronic Disease Decision Making and "What Matters Most".* Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2020. **68**(3): p. 474-477.

95. Rubinelli, S., A. Glässel, and M. Brach, *From the person's perspective: Perceived problems in functioning among individuals with spinal cord injury in Switzerland.* J Rehabil Med, 2016. **48**(2): p. 235-43.

96. Tinetti, M., et al., *Challenges and strategies in patients' health priorities-aligned decision-making for older adults with multiple chronic conditions.* PloS one, 2019. **14**(6): p. e0218249.

97. Maribo, T., et al., *Experiences with and perspectives on goal setting in spinal cord injury rehabilitation: a systematic review of qualitative studies.* Spinal Cord, 2020. **58**(9): p. 949-958.

98. Houlihan, B.V., et al., *A pilot study of a telehealth intervention for persons with spinal cord dysfunction.* Spinal Cord, 2013. **51**(9): p. 715-720.

99. Houlihan, B.V., et al., *A telerehabilitation intervention for persons with spinal cord dysfunction.* American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation, 2011. **90**(9): p. 756-764.

100. Mercier, H.W., et al., *Differential Impact and Use of a Telehealth Intervention by Persons with MS or SCI.* American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, 2015. **94**(11): p. 987-999.

101. Galvin, L. and H. Godfrey, *The impact of coping on emotional adjustment to spinal cord injury (SCI): review of the literature and application of a stress appraisal and coping formulation.* Spinal cord, 2001. **39**(12): p. 615-627.

102. Riegel, B., V.V. Dickson, and M. Topaz, *Qualitative analysis of naturalistic decision making in adults with chronic heart failure.* Nursing research, 2013. **62**(2): p. 91-98.

103. Daley, C.N., et al., *Naturalistic decision making in everyday self-care among older adults with heart failure.* Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 2022. **37**(2): p. 167-176.

104. Marini, I. and N.M. Glover-Graf, *Religiosity and spirituality among persons with spinal cord injury: Attitudes, beliefs, and practices.* Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, 2011. **54**(2): p. 82-92.

105. Jones, K.F., et al., *Moving forward on the journey: Spirituality and family resilience after spinal cord injury.* Rehabilitation Psychology, 2018. **63**(4): p. 521.

106. Budd, M.A., D.R. Gater Jr, and I. Channell, *Psychosocial consequences of spinal cord injury: a narrative review.* Journal of personalized medicine, 2022. **12**(7): p. 1178.

107. Diviani, N., et al., *The Complexity of Health Self-Management Behavior. Beliefs and Attitudes of Individuals Living With Spinal Cord Injury in Switzerland.* American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation, 2024. **103**(11S Suppl 3): p. S295-S302.

108. Ownsworth, T., et al., *Stigma following acquired brain injury and spinal cord injury: relationship to psychological distress and community integration in the first-year post-discharge.* Disability and rehabilitation, 2024. **46**(9): p. 1796-1806.

109. Derrington, M.L., *Qualitative longitudinal methods: Researching implementation and change*. Vol. 54. 2018: Sage Publications.

110. Bin Sawad, A., et al., *A Systematic Review on Healthcare Artificial Intelligent Conversational Agents for Chronic Conditions.* Sensors, 2022. **22**(7): p. 2625.

111. Schachner, T., R. Keller, and F. v Wangenheim, *Artificial Intelligence-Based Conversational Agents for Chronic Conditions: Systematic Literature Review.* J Med Internet Res, 2020. **22**(9): p. e20701.

112. Tahri Sqalli, M. and D. Al-Thani, *On How Chronic Conditions Affect the Patient-AI Interaction: A Literature Review.* Healthcare, 2020. **8**(3): p. 313.