The Role of Inflammation in Depression: A Scoping Review Protocol in Mechanisms, Evidence, and Therapeutic Potential

Yan Bo[12] and Fei Zhao[12*]

[1]Department of Medicine, Northwest Minzu University, Lanzhou 73000, Gansu Province, China

[2]Northwest Minzu University Key Laboratory of Environmental Ecology and Population Health in Northwest Minority Areas, Lanzhou 73000, Gansu Province, China

*** Correspondence:**

Fei Zhao

Email: runforever710@126.com

Address: Northwest Minzu University, No. 1 Northwest New Village, Baiyin Road, Chengguan District, Lanzhou City, Gansu Province, China

Abstract

Background and aims

Depression has become a global disease burden, with the World Health Organisation reporting that more than 700,000 people with depression die by suicide every year. As of May 2023, approximately 280 million people worldwide suffer from depression. Despite the widespread reporting of preventive methods and interventions for depression, all countries around the globe have been unable to eliminate the burden of disease associated with depression. The prevailing conceptions of depression as a disease of inflammation have been increasingly substantiated by a plethora of research, which has in turn given rise to the development of a range of anti-inflammatory therapeutic interventions. This present scoping review aims to consolidate and organise all extant mechanisms describing inflammatory pathologies of depression, including systematic and scoping reviews, published and unpublished literature, with a view to facilitating the development of therapeutic potential based on inflammatory pathologies and the development of clinical guidelines.

Method

In conducting this scoping review, the framework developed by Arksey and O'Malley will be rigorously implemented. Five databases (i.e. PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane Central) and grey literature will be searched. The results of the search will be imported into Zotero Literature Manager by one reviewer, who will subsequently remove duplicates. The other two reviewers will then screen the literature for titles, abstracts and full texts, and report any disagreements to the review inspectors, who will judge the results. The final documents will be extracted from the textual or numerical content of the documents according to our data extraction protocols, and summarised and consolidated in the form of images, tables or statistical graphs.The consolidated evidence will be used for discussion between clinical experts, pathologists and senior researchers. This collaborative discussion will yield a comprehensive understanding of the inflammatory pathomechanisms underlying depression, as well as preliminary inflammatory pathomechanism-targeted or holistic treatment protocols. These preliminary treatment protocols have the potential to be further developed into clinical depression treatment guidelines.

Registration DOI: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/SE49K

Ethics and dissemination

The literature employed for this scoping review was obtained from publicly available sources, having received ethical approval. The decision has been taken that no further animal or human testing will be conducted, and the methodology will be conducted in accordance with the principles of transparency and openness. Consequently, the necessity for additional ethics committee approval is eliminated. The findings of this scoping review will be subject to a rigorous peer-review process, and subsequently disseminated to the academic community through academic journals or at academic conferences.

Discussion

This scoping review will synthesise the evidence for all inflammatory pathologies of depression and develop initial targeted or holistic treatment options. Researchers focusing on depression at the animal level will have access to a comprehensive view of inflammatory pathology. This will standardise the design of complete animal studies and allow them to identify existing evidence of inflammatory mechanisms in a timely manner, thus avoiding wasted research resources. Furthermore, clinicians and psychotherapists will gain access to novel treatment options for depression that complement existing therapeutic interventions. The dissemination of the results of this research will facilitate the development of more authoritative and effective clinical guidelines for the treatment of depression, thereby contributing to global progress in eliminating the burden of disease associated with depression.

Keywords: Evidence synthesis, depression, inflammation, mechanisms, therapeutic potential, scoping review.

1 Introduction

Depression has become a global disease burden [1]. According to the World Health Organisation (WHO), more than 700,000 people with depression die by suicide every year. As of May 2023, approximately 280 million people worldwide suffer from depression [2]. Despite the widespread reporting of preventive methods and interventions for depression, all countries around the globe are still unable to eliminate the burden of disease associated with depression. The personal productivity of those affected is reduced, as is their quality of life and sense of responsibility [3,4]. There is currently limited advocacy for the promotion of evidence-based treatment strategies [5]. From a biological theory perspective, this may be due to the lack of convincing explanations for how these strategies work [6]. From a sociological perspective, this may be due to the lack of publicity for treatment [7].

Existing research evidence identifies two main categories of interventions for depression: pharmacological and non-pharmacological. However, it should be noted that no single intervention has been proven to be the most effective [7,8]. Pharmacological interventions are characterised by a short duration of treatment efficacy, high treatment costs and high side effects [9]. Non-pharmacological interventions are distinguished by protracted treatment cycles, elevated levels of human resource consumption and insufficient level of acceptance [10,11]. It has been reported with a high degree of confidence that the human resources of eight professional practitioners are consumed for every one person with depression successfully prevented [7]. The use of such preventive intervention strategies can reduce the incidence of major depression by 25% to 50% [12]. This suggests that preventive intervention strategies have the potential to play a pivotal role in the future elimination of the burden of depression. A global transnational project on depression prevention was launched in Utrecht, the Netherlands, in September 2011, and the implementation of this strategy resulted in a reduction in cardiovascular disease incidence and mortality [7,13].

The association between inflammation and depression has been increasingly documented in recent years [14–16], prompting the development of various anti-inflammatory treatment programmes for depression [16,17]. This has provided a biological rationale for non-pharmacological intervention programmes, particularly physiotherapy, and offers a novel perspective on the evidence-based treatment of depression. The present hypothesis is to develop a synthesis of the evidence into a complete theory of the inflammatory pathology of depression based on anti-inflammatory biology. This is highly likely to make up for the lack of promotion of evidence-based treatments. This hypothesis can be substantiated by the prevailing guidelines and the overarching objective of a scoping review, namely the synthesis of evidence and the dissemination of knowledge [18,19]. Consequently, this study will systematically synthesise the evidence for the role of inflammation in depression using the standard guidelines for scoping reviews.

This scoping review is dedicated to the integration and organisation of extant descriptions of inflammatory mechanisms in depression. Such descriptions include those found in systematic reviews, scoping reviews, and published literature (including grey literature). The objective is to establish a systematic body of theory on the inflammatory pathology of depression. The expected outcome of this scoping review is twofold. Firstly, it will facilitate the development of therapeutic potential. Secondly, it will inform the formulation of clinical guidelines.

2 Methsods

2.1 Design

The design of this study will employ a scoping review. This scoping review will be reported in accordance with the PRISMA extension for scoping reviews [20]. The protocol and updates for this review can be found in Open Science Framework[21].

We will have been followed a standard scoping review framework, first defined by Arksey and O'Malley[18] , then later expanded by Levac *et al.* [19] and the Joanna Briggs Institute[20].

A scoping review is a research method that synthesises evidence based on existing literature [18] and can present the progress of research on a topic to date [22]. In comparison with systematic reviews, scoping reviews do not require the total effect value of the pooled evidence [23–25]. This advantage is used to investigate a wide range of research topics [26] and to systematically and rigorously maintain the strength of evidence [20].

The process is comprised of six steps, which will be described in detail below: 1) defining the research question, 2) identifying relevant studies, 3) selecting studies, 4) charting the data, 5) collating, summarising and reporting the results; and 6) consultation and dissemination.

2.2 Patient and public involvement

No patient is involved.

2.3 Eligibility criteria

As illustrated in **Table 1**, the eligibility criteria were framed in terms of participants, intervention, comparator and outcomes (PICO) [27].

Table 1. Eligibility criteria based on the PICO framework.

Element	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Participants	Studies explored the role of inflammation in depression. In the context of human studies, the participation of patients diagnosed with depression is requisite. In the event that a patient presents with multiple disorders, it is essential that depression is identified as the primary focus of the article. Conversely, if the article aims to describe a comorbid disorder of depression, it is crucial that depression is designated as the primary disease. These principles also apply to animal studies.	Studies did not explore the role of inflammation in depression.
Intervention or exposure of interest	No limit	
Comparator	No limit	
Outcome	Describe at least one outcome related to the role of inflammation in depression	Outcome is not related to inflammation
Study design	Original research	Unoriginal research such as reviews, letters, etc.

2.4 Step 1: Identifying the research question

The questions for this scoping study were developed by researchers specialising in the fields of evidence-based medicine, emergency medicine, psychiatry, public health science and basic medical research. The objective of the scoping review was to identify a category within the extensive literature that would address a particular problem affecting a large proportion of the population [18,19]. The primary research question for this scoping review was: **what role does inflammation play in depression?**

In this scoping review, the concept of 'depression' was defined in accordance with the fifth edition of the American Psychological Association manual [28]. Inflammation has not yet been included in ICD-11 as a term for diseases and causes of death, suggesting that it remains a diagnostic term for pathology [29]. Consequently, the term 'inflammation' was defined as a pathological process characterised by injury or destruction of tissues caused by a variety of cytologic and chemical reactions according to Mesh in the PubMed database. It is usually manifested by typical signs of pain, heat, redness, swelling, and loss of function [30].

Levac et al. posit that the purpose of a scoping review should be considered when defining the research question, whilst also anticipating the expected outcomes to facilitate the definition of the study's purpose [19]. The objective of the present scoping review is to furnish a comprehensive overview of the role of inflammation in depression up to the present time. The proposed framework will provide a foundation for understanding the mechanisms by which inflammation contributes to depression.

In order to narrow the focus of the scoping review, a series of secondary questions were created to guide the process:

1. What specific indicators of inflammation are associated with the development of depression?

2. How do different levels of inflammation affect depression differently?

3. What are the potential biological pathways by which inflammation triggers depression?

4. What role do specific inflammation-related cytokines play in depression?

5. What are the unique clinical manifestations of patients with co-morbidities of inflammation and depression?

6. How is the efficacy of anti-inflammatory treatments assessed in depression?

7. How do lifestyle and environmental factors influence the relationship between inflammation and depression?

8. How does the role of inflammation in depression vary across age groups?

9. Is the relationship between inflammation and depression different in men and women?

10. Are psychological interventions effective for inflammation-related depression?

2.5 Step 2: Identifying relevant studies

A comprehensive yet pragmatic range of databases will be configured for the search, ensuring the systematic retrieval of all pertinent literature. This exhaustive search strategy will be meticulously executed by experts in the field of evidence-based medicine, utilising the News Publishing System Framework Root for each database. The development of the search strategy will be conducted using Mesh and Entry Terms in the PubMed database, ensuring a systematic and comprehensive approach to the identification of relevant literature. The search strategy developed for the PubMed database is outlined in **Table 2**.

It is acknowledged that every field has evolved without due recognition of the historical contributions of those who have contributed quietly. Therefore, the requirement will be for studies to include original research and case reports. Articles that lack the necessary detail to fulfil the purpose of this scoping review will be excluded. No limitations will be placed on the timeframe or language type so that more potentially relevant articles can be identified. A quality and reliable scoping review will necessarily undergo an iteration of the search strategy in capturing relevant literature, and this iteration will be faithfully documented in the updated research protocol. The use of reverse citation reviews will also be employed to ensure that the relevant literature captured is systematic, an approach similar to that employed by Fei Zhao's research team [31,32]. The utilisation of references from systematic reviews, such as topic-related meta-analyses and systematic evaluations, will facilitate the identification of articles that are potentially relevant to the subject but may have been overlooked during the initial search.

It is evident that each of these ostensibly unwieldy and superfluous processes constitutes a conventional guideline for the review process as part of the scope of practice. A search for grey literature was conducted. The predetermined scope for the grey literature search was preprints and Google Scholar. The remainder of the grey literature search scope was supplemented by reliance on the grey literature search checklist [33] and aids [34] published by the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH). The strategy for the grey literature search was developed after a step-by-step exploratory search by experts in evidence-based medicine.

Table 2. Search strategy for PubMed

Sl. no.	Search strategy
#1	"Depression"[Mesh]
#2	(((((Depression) OR (Depressive Symptoms)) OR (Depressive Symptom)) OR (Symptom, Depressive)) OR (Emotional Depression)) OR (Depression, Emotional)

#3	"Depressive Disorder"[Mesh]
#4	((((((((((((((((((((((((Depressive Disorder) OR (Depressive Disorders)) OR (Disorder, Depressive)) OR (Disorders, Depressive)) OR (Neurosis, Depressive)) OR (Depressive Neuroses)) OR (Depressive Neurosis)) OR (Neuroses, Depressive)) OR (Depression, Endogenous)) OR (Depressions, Endogenous)) OR (Endogenous Depression)) OR (Endogenous Depressions)) OR (Melancholia)) OR (Melancholias)) OR (Unipolar Depression)) OR (Depression, Unipolar)) OR (Depressions, Unipolar)) OR (Unipolar Depressions)) OR (Depressive Syndrome)) OR (Depressive Syndromes)) OR (Syndrome, Depressive)) OR (Syndromes, Depressive)) OR (Depression, Neurotic)) OR (Depressions, Neurotic)) OR (Neurotic Depression)) OR (Neurotic Depressions)
#5	"Inflammation"[Mesh]
#6	((((Inflammation) OR (Inflammations)) OR (Innate Inflammatory Response)) OR (Inflammatory Response, Innate)) OR (Innate Inflammatory Responses)
#7	"Neurogenic Inflammation"[Mesh]
#8	(((Neurogenic Inflammation) OR (Inflammation, Neurogenic)) OR (Inflammations, Neurogenic)) OR (Neurogenic Inflammations)
#9	(#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4) AND (#5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8)

2.6 Step 3: Study selection

Arksey and O'Malley [18] recommended the establishment of inclusion and exclusion criteria for literature. However, Levac et al. [19] argued for the necessity of regular meetings of the research team to discuss literature selection criteria during the study selection task. Based on the guidelines, we initially developed literature criteria as detailed below. However, these literature criteria were subject

to iteration as a result of the meetings and discussions, and corresponded to the updating of the research protocol if such iteration was deemed highly desirable.

The initial guidelines for the inclusion of literature were to progress from the object of study to the type of study and finally to the content of the study. In other words, literature must first meet the criteria of research object, and then the type of study and the content of the study can be considered.

（1） Study population

Moher et al.'s systematic review [36] is analogous in that extended topics of questions should not be considered without a clear delineation of the relationship between one question and another. The methodology, abstract, introduction, results, discussion and conclusion of research objects were assigned a priority level ranging from high to low. In the event of a contradiction in context during the evaluation of research objects within a given piece of literature, the final judgement will be made according to the category with the highest priority level. This approach is adopted to mitigate the occurrence of minor inconsistencies. To illustrate this, consider a scenario where the abstract section outlines the study's focus on the relationship between inflammation and depression, while the methods and results sections highlight the association between fever and depression. Evidently, the statement in the methods section is more precise, aligning with the strategy employed in the systematic review by Fergusson et al. [37].

The article was required to explicitly articulate that the study under scrutiny involved human subjects, or alternatively, to unequivocally state that the objective was to investigate the relationship between inflammation and depression. To ensure a comprehensive examination, we incorporated a diverse array of research studies, encompassing multiple perspectives. This approach aligns with the systematic review conducted by Domecq et al. [35], which employed a similar methodology. In this study, the concept of depression has been standardised in accordance with the criteria outlined in the fifth edition of the American Psychological Association's Handbook of Psychiatry [28], with the scope being restricted to depression alone.

The following examples are not characterised by depression in its pure form:

A: the presence of heart failure in conjunction with depression

B: anxiety-depression comorbidity

The systematic review by Moher et al. adopts a similar approach [36] in that extended topics of problems should not be considered without a clear description of the relationship between one problem and another. The priority level for judging research objects was set from high to low as follows: methods, abstract, introduction, results, discussion, and conclusion. In the event of a contextual contradiction in the evaluation of research objects within a given piece of literature, the content stated in the section with the highest priority level is to be regarded as the final judgement. This is undertaken to prevent the occurrence of small probability events. For example, the abstract outlines the study's objective as the examination of the relationship between inflammation and depression. However, the methods and results section instead describes the relationship between fever and depression. Evidently, the statement in the methods section is more accurate, and this strategy is analogous to the priority set in the systematic review by Fergusson et al. [37].

（2）Type of study

Fei Zhao has previously posited that the incorporation of exclusively clinical randomised controlled studies within systematic reviews serves to enhance the persuasiveness of the resulting reviews [38]. However, the economic and human resources required for the implementation of randomised controlled studies are substantial. In a related study, Chuhan Miao et al. conducted a mental health needs assessment of a Hong Kong population surveyed in the context of the New Crown Pandemic, employing a cross-sectional observational study design [39]. Consequently, the original studies earmarked for inclusion encompassed observational studies (case reports, cohort studies, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies), in addition to experimental studies (randomised controlled trials) (**Table 1**). The inclusion of these study designs is contingent upon the presence of a clearly delineated methods section, which must specify the methodology to be employed in the execution of the study. Non-original studies will not be incorporated into the study, but the valuable insights from the various types of reviews will be included in the discussion section.

（3）Outcome of study

As demonstrated in **Table 1**, it was challenging to make a direct assessment of the findings' relation to inflammation. In order to simplify the process, the 10 secondary questions posed earlier will be used to determine the outcome of the article. At least one of the aforementioned secondary questions must be addressed within the article. This methodology bears similarity to the disassembled reading approach employed in Fergusson et al.'s systematic review [37]. The article under scrutiny is subjected to a thorough examination, addressing each topic in turn, with a focus on problem refinement, definitional results, and methods.

Data will be uploaded to OSF, an open storage platform [21]. The research process was conducted in accordance with the principles of transparency and replicability, and the results can be verified through secondary replications. The selection of studies was conducted by two literature screeners, who underwent a screening literature adaptation period. During this period, the Kappa value was greater than 0.6, indicating adequate agreement between the screeners, before they could enter the formal literature screening process. Initially, the two literature screeners independently reviewed the titles or abstracts to identify relevant articles, which were subsequently downloaded for full text review. They then proceeded to screen the acquired full texts to confirm the final article. In the event of disagreements arising during this period, the literature screeners referred the matter to evidence-based medicine experts for discussion and resolution. Reasons for exclusion of articles were documented at the full-text reading stage, and the process of study selection was meticulously documented using a PRISMA flowchart. Following the confirmation of the final articles, the two literature screeners engaged in a discussion about the screening experience. Thereafter, a meeting was convened to deliberate on the literature search strategy and literature inclusion criteria. The outcome of this discussion primarily addressed the uncertainty surrounding the literature search and literature inclusion criteria, which may result in the formulation of a more detailed and accurate search strategy and literature inclusion criteria. Additionally, the group was tasked with reviewing the literature that was included to ascertain whether there was potentially relevant literature that had not yet been obtained.

2.7 Step 4: Charting the Data

In order to facilitate the management of data extraction, all members of the team collaborated to develop a standardised data extraction form (**Table 3**). It is imperative that the operator comprehends the information required for each form, and subsequently, that the form is completed with the information obtained from the literature. This standardised data extraction form was developed by the

research team based on the Guidelines for Reporting on Patient and Public Involvement (GRIPP2) checklist [40].

The standardised data extraction form will be iterated in accordance with the gradual familiarisation with the content of the literature suggested by Levac et al. [19]. In the event that the article in question does not contain all the necessary details, the corresponding author will be contacted. Quality assessment was not a requirement in this scoping review according to the reporting guidelines; therefore, no additional quality assessment of the articles will be conducted [18].

Table 3. Draft data extraction elements

Domain	Question
Study Characteristics	1. Study author 2. Year of publication 3. Country of corresponding author 4. Journal/source of publication 5. Funding received 6. Research design (observational studies, experimental studies, systematic reviews, etc.)
Research Characteristics	7. Area of research (i.e. cardiac, cancer, neurological, etc.) 8. Type of research (in vitro, in vivo, other, etc.) 9. Sample size, age range, sex distribution 10. Diagnostic criteria for depression 11. Whether inflammation and depression comorbidities
Inflammatory information	12. Measures specific markers of inflammation (e.g. C-reactive protein, interleukin, etc.) 13. Methods for assessing the degree of inflammation
Depression information	14. Assessment tool for depression 15. The occurrence and development of depression (incidence, course, etc.)
Data extraction for each minor problem	16. Question 1: Record specific inflammatory markers associated with the onset and development of depression and the strength of the association 17. Question 2: Specific description of the impact of different levels of inflammation on depression, such as the difference in symptom severity 18. Question 3: Description of the biological pathway by which inflammation triggers depression 19. Question 4: Mechanisms and effects of specific inflammatory cytokines in depression 20. Question 5: The unique clinical manifestations of patients with inflammation and depression comorbidities 21. Question 6: Evaluation methods and results of anti-inflammatory therapy for depression 22. Question 7: Description of the influence of lifestyle and environmental factors on the relationship between inflammation and depression

	23. Question 8: The difference in the effect of inflammation on depression in different age groups 24. Question 9: The relationship between inflammation and depression is different in men and women 25. Question 10: Evaluation of the effectiveness of psychological interventions for inflammation-related depression
Lessons from study	26. Reported benefits, disadvantages or lessons learned

2.8 Step 5: Collating, summarizing and reporting the results

The integration of diverse pieces of literature will ultimately result in the formation of a comprehensive evidence puzzle, representing a synthesis of research findings. The objective of evidence-based medicine is to transform fragmented pieces of evidence into a unified knowledge puzzle through systematic thinking, thereby facilitating the advancement of the field. This scoping review aims to elucidate the role of inflammation in depression. The data were analysed quantitatively using mainly descriptive statistics, which allowed the presentation of the latest current research developments using the most intuitive figures.

The extracted textual material will be analysed using a thematic inductive approach, the objective of which is to summarise and present definitions, identify similarities and differences, and highlight knowledge gaps regarding the involvement of inflammation in the mechanisms of depression. This method of analysis references thematic analyses [41,42].

The two data summarisers undertook the task of coding the textual information, with the objective of developing a specific framework to facilitate the more effective organisation of the corresponding information. This element of the process necessitates regular discussion between the two data summarisers in order to ensure the maintenance of a synchronised iterative way of thinking.

(1) The data will be independently coded by two data summarisers, with a coding framework to be developed to organise and describe the codes from the textual data. Discrepancies will be resolved through discussion by the data summarisers, with the coding framework being adjusted accordingly.

(2) Similarities between codes will be identified and grouped into themes to capture and describe data patterns in the data source. Each theme will be entered into the table as a column, with the coded data

for each study illustrating the theme in rows to facilitate comparisons within and between studies [41,42]. Wherever possible, this table will provide descriptive data and illustrate similarities and differences in the data.

(3) These data will be presented to the research team, and we will work together to develop analytical themes, synthesise the findings and explain their role of inflammation in depression. The two data summarisers will then provide a narrative summary or description of these identified analytical themes.

Finally, using the incorporated resources, we will develop a framework to synthesise our findings and summarise the mechanisms of inflammation in depression in an attempt to further generalise the inflammatory pathogenesis of depression. This may enable future depression research programmes to be optimised. This process will be iterative in nature and will be developed as the themes identified are reviewed.

2.9 Consultation with stakeholders

The opportunity for stakeholders and consumers to participate is a methodological topic for refining complementary scoping reviews from a non-literature publication perspective [18,19]. In response to this suggestion, a programme of knowledge map talks has been developed to provide advice to clinicians, academics and people with depression to refine the non-literature information of the scoping review.

3 Ethics and dissemination

This scoping review did not necessitate any additional ethical approval, as data was obtained from openly available databases and grey literature that had previously received ethical approval. The results of this research are planned for publication in a public domain following peer review, with dissemination to individuals diagnosed with depression, clinicians, and basic researchers facilitated through the Creative Commons programme. The dissemination of knowledge is intended to be achieved through the utilisation of snowballing statistical principles [39]. To ensure that our results are commonly understood by all groups, we also plan to produce some simple animated videos to be published via the Internet and self-published media. Our research results will be shared and disseminated for global social media platforms.

4 Discussion

The objective of this scoping review will be to systematically explore the role of inflammation in depression. The primary and secondary research questions that will be posed were as follows: (1) to synthesise evidence on inflammatory pathogenesis in depression; (2) to synthesise evidence for knowledge sharing dissemination to depressed patients, psychologists and clinicians; (3) to highlight knowledge gaps in inflammation as a targeted treatment for depression; and (4) to promote the establishment of new clinical guidelines for the treatment of depression.

It is hypothesised that this review will reveal the role of inflammation in depression and develop a systematic mechanism of inflammatory pathology in depression, such as signal transduction of neuroinflammation, elimination of inflammatory vesicles, and the relationship between the level of inflammatory mediators and changes in the condition of depression. The comprehensive inflammatory pathological mechanism thus developed is expected to provide strong evidence for the use of anti-inflammatory drugs and physical therapy for depression. Globally, governments can collaborate with human resources departments to make public health decisions, promoting safer and

more accessible physiotherapy based on companies, sectors and businesses. For individuals suffering from depression, the recommendation of anti-inflammatory medication to complement physiotherapy can be made. The implementation of these safe, effective and low-cost approaches on a top-down basis in all countries worldwide has the potential to eliminate the global disease burden of depression, in a manner analogous to the eradication of smallpox. In order to achieve this ambitious goal, a scoping review will be conducted using a systematic approach and strict adherence to standard guidelines, with openness and transparency throughout.

This scoping review study protocol will have been developed in strict accordance with the established standard guidelines [18,19]. The formal scoping review will adhere meticulously to the protocol delineated in this research protocol. Occasional deviations from the study protocol will be scheduled to be made publicly available in the final published scoping review.

Data Availability

All data produced in the present work are contained in the manuscript.

Funding

None to declare

Ethics Statement

No Ethics Committee was needed for this study.

Conflict of interest statement of all authors

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The study has been registered on the Open Science Framework (OSF) platform at the following address: osf.io/2phwv.

Registration DOI: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/SE49K

OSF data: https://osf.io/2phwv/

Acronyms

PRESS, peer review of electronic search strategies; PRISMA, preferred reporting64 items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses; PRISMA-ScR, preferred reporting65 items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses extension for scoping reviews; OSF, open science framework; CADTH, Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; PICO, participants, intervention, comparator and outcomes.

Author ORCID

Yan Bo, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7022-6556

Fei Zhao, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9517-5601

References

1 Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet*. 2020;396:1204–22. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9

2 Depressive disorder (depression). World Health Organization. 2023. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression (accessed 3 January 2025)

3 Saxena S, Funk M, Chisholm D. World Health Assembly adopts Comprehensive Mental Health Action Plan 2013-2020. *Lancet*. 2013;381:1970–1. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61139-3

4 Brundtland GH. From the World Health Organization. Mental health: new understanding, new hope. *JAMA*. 2001;286:2391. doi: 10.1001/jama.286.19.2391

5 Thornicroft G, Alem A, Antunes Dos Santos R, *et al.* WPA guidance on steps, obstacles and mistakes to avoid in the implementation of community mental health care. *World Psychiatry*. 2010;9:67–77. doi: 10.1002/j.2051-5545.2010.tb00276.x

6 Insel TR. The NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) Project: precision medicine for psychiatry. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2014;171:395–7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14020138

7 Cuijpers P, Beekman ATF, Reynolds CF 3rd. Preventing depression: a global priority. *JAMA*. 2012;307:1033–4. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.271

8 Cipriani A, Furukawa TA, Salanti G, *et al.* Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs for the acute treatment of adults with major depressive disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2018;391:1357–66. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32802-7

9 Gartlehner G, Hansen RA, Morgan LC, *et al.* Comparative benefits and harms of second-generation antidepressants for treating major depressive disorder: an updated meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2011;155:772–85. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-155-11-201112060-00009

10 Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Pot AM, *et al.* Managing depression in older age: psychological interventions. *Maturitas*. 2014;79:160–9. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2014.05.027

11 Cuijpers P, Donker T, Weissman MM, *et al.* Interpersonal Psychotherapy for Mental Health Problems: A Comprehensive Meta-Analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016;173:680–7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15091141

12 Muñoz RF, Beardslee WR, Leykin Y. Major depression can be prevented. *Am Psychol*. 2012;67:285–95. doi: 10.1037/a0027666

13 Miguel C, Amarnath A, Akhtar A, *et al.* Universal, selective and indicated interventions for supporting mental health at the workplace: an umbrella review of meta-analyses. *Occup Environ Med*. 2023;80:225–36. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2022-108698

14 Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2016;16:22–34. doi: 10.1038/nri.2015.5

15 Dantzer R, O'Connor JC, Freund GG, *et al.* From inflammation to sickness and depression: when the immune system subjugates the brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2008;9:46–56. doi: 10.1038/nrn2297

16 Berk M, Williams LJ, Jacka FN, *et al.* So depression is an inflammatory disease, but where does the inflammation come from? *BMC Med*. 2013;11:200. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-200

17 Köhler CA, Freitas TH, Maes M, *et al.* Peripheral cytokine and chemokine alterations in depression: a meta-analysis of 82 studies. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2017;135:373–87. doi: 10.1111/acps.12698

18 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2005;8:19–32. doi: 10.1080/1364557032000119616

19 Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implement Sci*. 2010;5:69. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-69

20 Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, *et al.* PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;169:467–73. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850

21 Nosek BA, Alter G, Banks GC, *et al.* SCIENTIFIC STANDARDS. Promoting an open research culture. *Science*. 2015;348:1422–5. doi: 10.1126/science.aab2374

22 Armstrong R, Hall BJ, Doyle J, *et al.* Cochrane Update. "Scoping the scope" of a cochrane review. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2011;33:147–50. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdr015

23 Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, *et al.* Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018;18:143. doi: 10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x

24 Brien SE, Lorenzetti DL, Lewis S, *et al.* Overview of a formal scoping review on health system report cards. *Implement Sci*. 2010;5:2. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-2

25 Rumrill PD, Fitzgerald SM, Merchant WR. Using scoping literature reviews as a means of understanding and interpreting existing literature. *Work*. 2010;35:399–404. doi: 10.3233/WOR-2010-0998

26 Davis K, Drey N, Gould D. What are scoping studies? A review of the nursing literature. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2009;46:1386–400. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2009.02.010

27 Schardt C, Adams MB, Owens T, *et al.* Utilization of the PICO framework to improve searching PubMed for clinical questions. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2007;7:16. doi: 10.1186/1472-6947-7-16

28 *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5™, 5th ed.* Arlington, VA, US: American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc. 2013.

29 ICD-11 Coding Tool. Mortality and Morbidity Statistics (MMS). 2024. https://icd.who.int/ct/icd11_mms/en/release (accessed 5 January 2025)

30 Inflammation. National Library of Medicine. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/68007249 (accessed 3 January 2025)

31 Zheng-Ren Ma L-LM, Fei Zhao YB. Effects of oral calcium on reproduction and postpartum health in cattle: a meta-analysis and quality assessment. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*.

32 Liang Q. Effects of home-based telemedicine and mHealth interventions on blood pressure in stroke patients: a systematic evaluation and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2024.

33 Grey matters: a practical tool for searching health-related grey literature. Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies for Health. 2018. https://www.cadth.ca/resources/finding-evidence (accessed 3 January 2025)

34 Grey Matters: A Tool for Searching Health-related Grey Literature. Ottawa: Canada's Drug Agency. 2024. https://greymatters.cda-amc.ca (accessed 3 January 2025)

35 Domecq JP, Prutsky G, Elraiyah T, *et al.* Patient engagement in research: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2014;14:89. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-14-89

36 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

37 Fergusson D, Monfaredi Z, Pussegoda K, *et al.* The prevalence of patient engagement in published trials: a systematic review. *Res Involv Engagem*. 2018;4:17. doi: 10.1186/s40900-018-0099-x

38 Zhao F, Guo Z, Bo Y, *et al.* Is cognitive behavioral therapy an efficacious treatment for psychological interventions in body dysmorphic disorders? A meta-analysis based on current evidence from randomized controlled trials. *J Affect Disord*. 2024;352:237–49. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2024.02.004

39 Miao C, Bo Y, Xu J, *et al.* Health Needs Assessment: Comparison of Applications of All-in-One AI Platform during the COVID-19 Pandemic between Mainland China and Hong Kong. *Am J Health Behav*. 2024.

40 Staniszewska S, Brett J, Simera I, *et al.* GRIPP2 reporting checklists: tools to improve reporting of patient and public involvement in research. *BMJ*. 2017;358:j3453. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j3453

41 Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2008;8:45. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-8-45

42 Ryan C, Hesselgreaves H, Wu O, *et al.* Protocol for a systematic review and thematic synthesis of patient experiences of central venous access devices in anti-cancer treatment. *Syst Rev*. 2018;7:61. doi: 10.1186/s13643-018-0721-x

503