The Potential of Dietary Strategies: The Impact of Low-Carbohydrate Diet on

Cardiovascular-Kidney-Metabolic Syndrome

Xiang Xiao [1, 2*], Zhuoxing Li [2, 3]

[1] Department of Clinical Medicine, Chengdu Medical College, Chengdu, China.

[2] Department of Nephrology, The first affiliated hospital of Chengdu Medical College, China.

[3] Department of Nursing, Chengdu Medical College, Chengdu, China.

* Correspondence:

Xiang Xiao, PhD9

Email: xxiang1001@163.com

Department of Nephrology, the first affiliated hospital of Chengdu Medical College.

Address: No. 278, Middle Section of Baoguang Avenue, Xindu District, Chengdu, 610500, Sichuan, China.

Abstract

This study aims to explore the prevalence of Low-carbohydrate diet (LCD) among individuals with cardiovascular-kidney-metabolic (CKM) syndrome and its relationship with prognosis.

Methods

The study included individuals diagnosed with CKM from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database between 2009 and 2018. Participants were divided into two groups: those on a LCD and those not on a LCD. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to assess the factors influencing the choice of LCD among CKM individuals. Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis and multivariate Cox regression analysis were performed to evaluate the association between LCD and the risk of all-cause mortality. Stratified analysis was performed to assess the consistency of results.

Results

A total of 866 non-CKM (stage 0) individuals and 26,989 CKM individuals (stages 1, 2, 3, and 4) were included. The proportions of individuals on LCD for CKM stages 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 were 2.07%, 0.59%, 1.25%, 2.42%, and 1.59%, respectively. Multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that age, gender, race, body mass index, and diabetes status might influence the choice of LCD among CKM individuals. Multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed that compared to non-LCD individuals, those on LCD had a 61% reduced risk of all-cause mortality (HR=0.39; 95% CI, 0.22-0.71, P=0.002). Stratified analysis showed no interaction between LCD and CKM stages (P for interaction <0.05).

Conclusion

The proportion of individuals on LCD in CKM is relatively low. Furthermore, LCD can reduce the risk of all-cause mortality among CKM individuals, regardless of CKM stage.

Keywords

Low-Carbohydrate Diet; Cardiovascular-Kidney-Metabolic Syndrome; Risk factor; Outcome; NHANES; Compliance; MNT

Introduction

Cardiovascular-kidney-metabolic (CKM) Syndrome is a series of systemic diseases caused by the pathophysiological interactions between metabolic abnormalities, chronic kidney disease (CKD), and cardiovascular disease (CVD), proposed by the American Heart Association (AHA) in 2023 [1].In recent years, with changes in lifestyle, the incidence of CKM has been continuously increasing; by 2020, nearly 90% of American adults met the criteria for CKM syndrome, making it a significant public health issue [2]. CKM not only increases the risk of CVD, chronic kidney disease, and metabolic diseases (such as type 2 diabetes), but also significantly raises the risk of mortality for individuals [3].

Dietary interventions are an important component in the treatment of metabolic diseases [4]. The AHA identifies several influencing factors of CKM including social determinants of health (SDOH), one of which is dietary intake. Inappropriate dietary intake can further affect the likelihood of CKM syndrome and lead to adverse outcomes [5]. LCD is recognized as a healthy dietary pattern by the ADA, American Heart Association, and Canadian Diabetes Association [6]. This dietary approach has been utilized since the last century to reduce the frequency of seizures and has been validated and applied in the management of diseases across cardiovascular, neurological, and endocrine systems [7]. LCD (including very low carbohydrate or ketogenic diets) is defined as a dietary pattern where the total carbohydrate intake is less than 26% of total daily caloric intake [8]. However, LCD requires the involvement of a multidisciplinary team (such as physicians, nurses, and nutritionists), and adverse reactions, dietary restrictions, and unpleasant taste may lower individuals' motivation and lead to treatment discontinuation [9]. Therefore, the ability to adhere to a long-term LCD poses a significant challenge. There are currently no reported studies assessing the proportion and adherence to LCD among individuals with CKM.

Furthermore, research results regarding the impact of LCD on the prognosis of cardiovascular, renal, and metabolic syndromes are inconsistent, with some studies yielding contrary conclusions. Although cardiovascular and endocrine risk factors have been improved in individuals on LCD [10, 11], most studies have not observed a significant correlation with total CVD, all-cause mortality, or cardiovascular mortality [12]. Concurrently, evidence suggests that LCD offer little benefit in controlling CKD and its complications [13]; however, LCD scores among CKD individuals exhibit a significant positive correlation with all-cause mortality [14]. Moreover, multiple studies have

demonstrated that LCD can reduce all-cause mortality risk in individuals with obesity, diabetes, and other metabolic diseases [15]. Nonetheless, there is a scarcity of research exploring the relationship between LCD and all-cause mortality risk in individuals with CKM.

Thus, this study aims to leverage data from the NHANES database to understand the current status of LCD among individuals with CKM, potential influencing factors of LCD adherence, and the relationship between these dietary patterns and individual prognosis, providing important references for guiding personalized dietary management strategies for CKM individuals.

1 Methods

1.1 Study Design and Participants

This study included individuals from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database collected between 2009 and 2018. The core objective of the NHANES database is to conduct in-depth interviews and standardized physical examinations conducted by Mobile Examination Centers (MEC) to comprehensively assess the health and nutritional levels of the non-institutionalized U.S. population reflecting individual demographic characteristics, health status, and nutritional data [16].

After filtering, individuals meeting the AHA-defined stages of CKM (stages 0-4) were included. We adopted the staging structure proposed by the AHA to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the results: 1) Stage 0, no CKM risk factors; 2) Stage 1, excessive obesity, dysfunctional obesity, or the presence of both; 3) Stage 2, metabolic risk factors, moderate to high-risk CKD, or the presence of both; 4) Stage 3, subclinical CVD overlapping with CKM risk factors, very high-risk CKD, or high predicted CVD risk; 5) Stage 4, clinical CVD coexisting with CKM risk factors [1] (Supplementary Table 1). Finally, non-CKM was defined as Stage 0 and CKM as stages 1-4. LCD was defined as an energy intake from carbohydrates less than 26% of total energy or a daily carbohydrate intake of less than 130g [17] (Supplementary Table 1). Exclusion criteria included: 1) age <20 years, 2) pregnancy, 3) missing data related to LCD, and 4) missing CKM diagnosis. (Figure 1) All-cause mortality refers to the termination of life due to any cause [18].Definitions regarding smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension, anemia, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and CVD are detailed in Supplementary Table 1. eGFR was measured using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation [19].

The NHANES project is a survey implemented by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and has received formal authorization from the Institutional Review Board of the NCHS. This study adheres to the ethical guidelines established by the Declaration of Helsinki of 1964 and its subsequent amendments, and has undergone rigorous approval by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board. All participants in the survey signed informed consent documents based on a comprehensive understanding of the study [20].

1.2 Statistical Analysis

Following the guidelines of the CDC, this study adequately considered sample weights in the statistical analysis, using means and their standard errors to describe continuous variables and percentages along with their standard errors to describe categorical variables. We utilized a complex sampling design logistic regression model to explore the factors influencing individual choice of low-calorie diet; employed the complex sampling Kaplan-Meier survival analysis to evaluate the association between LCD and overall mortality prognosis in individuals with CKD; and used a complex sampling multivariable Cox regression model to investigate the relationship between LCD and the risk of all-cause mortality, as well as cardiovascular mortality in individuals with CKD. Furthermore, we performed stratified analyses to verify the robustness of the results. For missing values, we employed multiple imputation methods and excluded samples with missing data for sensitivity analysis. To avoid biases that might arise from eating issues due to certain diseases, we excluded the following factors in the sensitivity analysis: gastrointestinal malignancies (such as esophageal cancer, gallbladder cancer, rectal cancer, and liver cancer), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and depression. All statistical analyses were conducted using R software version 4.3.1, with a two-sided P value of less than 0.05 considered the threshold for statistical significance.

2 Results

2.1 Baseline Characteristics

Our study included data from 49,693 individuals from the NHANES database collected between 2009 and 2018. After exclusions, a total of 866 individuals without CKD (Stage 0) and 26,989 individuals with CKD (Stages 1, 2, 3, and 4) were included in the final analysis. Among

CKD individuals, the proportion of Stage 1 individuals was 4.8%, Stage 2 individuals were 13.81%, Stage 3 individuals were 70.35%, and Stage 4 individuals was 11.05%. The average age was 50.51 years, with males constituting 49.86%. The mean body mass index (BMI) was 30.39 kg/m^2, and the proportions of smokers and drinkers were 45.58% and 89.34%, respectively. The prevalence rates of hyperlipidemia, anemia, hypertension, diabetes, and CKD were 74.17%, 7.57%, 47.64%, 10.72%, and 17.70%, respectively (Table 1).

2.2 Status of LCD among CKD Individuals

Among the 26,989 CKD individuals included, 1.61% (346/20989) were classified as LCD (unweighted); considering the weights, the proportion of LCD individuals was 2.08%. Compared with non-LCD individuals, those with LCD were younger, had higher income, and exhibited higher rates of obesity and alcohol consumption; a higher proportion was found in Stage 3 of CKD, while lower proportions were observed in Stages 1, 2, and 4 (All P <0.05) (Figure 1, Table 1). The proportion of LCD individuals aged >60 years was 1.70%, while that for those ≤60 years was 2.26%, showing no significant difference between the two groups (P=0.07); the proportion of LCD among males was 1.74%, and among females was 2.42% (P=0.06), with no significant difference between the two groups. The proportions of LCD individuals among Mexican Americans, Non-Hispanic Blacks, Non-Hispanic Whites, Other Hispanics, and Other Races (including Multi-Racial) were 1.1%, 2.26%, 2.28%, 1.97%, and 1.42%, respectively, with the lowest proportion in Mexican Americans and the highest in Non-Hispanic Whites (P=0.03). The proportions of individuals at CKD Stages 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 were 2.07%, 0.59%, 1.25%, 2.42%, and 1.59%, respectively (P=0.004) (Table 2).

2.3 Factors Influencing the Choice of LCD

The results of univariate logistic regression analysis indicate that factors such as BMI, race, and alcohol consumption may influence CKM individuals' choice of LCD. After controlling for age ('<60' years, '≥60' years), gender ('Female', 'Male'), race ('Mexican American', 'Non-Hispanic Black', 'Non-Hispanic White', 'Other Hispanic', 'Other Race - Including Multi-Racial'), BMI (<30 kg/m², ≥30 kg/m²), smoking status ('No' or 'Yes'), alcohol use ('No' or 'Yes'), education level ('College or above', 'High school or equivalent', 'Less than high school'),

poverty status ('0-1', '1.1-3', '>3'), anemia ('No' or 'Yes'), hyperlipidemia ('No' or 'Yes'),

hypertension ('No' or 'Yes'), diabetes mellitus (DM) ('No' or 'Yes'), and cardiovascular disease

(CVD) ('No' or 'Yes'), age, gender, BMI, race, and the presence of diabetes mellitus among other

factors can all influence CKM individuals' choice of LCD. (Supplementary-table 2)

2.4 Risk of All-Cause Mortality

2.4.1 KM Survival Analysis

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was conducted to assess the relationship between bone

quality status and the risk of all-cause mortality in CKM individuals. After controlling for age

('<60' years, '≥60' years), gender ('Female', 'Male'), race ('Mexican American', 'Non-Hispanic

Black', 'Non-Hispanic White', 'Other Hispanic', 'Other Race - Including Multi-Racial'), BMI

(<30 kg/m², ≥30 kg/m²), smoking status ('No' or 'Yes'), alcohol use ('No' or 'Yes'), education

level ('College or above', 'High school or equivalent', 'Less than high school'), poverty status

('0-1', '1.1-3', '>3'), anemia ('No' or 'Yes'), hyperlipidemia ('No' or 'Yes'), hypertension ('No'

or 'Yes'), diabetes mellitus (DM) ('No' or 'Yes'), and cardiovascular disease (CVD) ('No' or

'Yes'), the results indicated that CKM individuals in the LCD group have better survival outcomes

compared to those in the non-LCD group (Log-rank P <0.001) (Figure 2).

2.4.2 Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis

After multivariate adjustment for age ('<60' years, '≥60' years), gender ('Female', 'Male'),

race ('Mexican American', 'Non-Hispanic Black', 'Non-Hispanic White', 'Other Hispanic',

'Other Race - Including Multi-Racial'), BMI (<30 kg/m², ≥30 kg/m²), smoking status ('No' or

'Yes'), alcohol use ('No' or 'Yes'), education level ('College or above', 'High school or

equivalent', 'Less than high school'), poverty status ('0-1', '1.1-3', '>3'), anemia ('No' or 'Yes'),

hyperlipidemia ('No' or 'Yes'), hypertension ('No' or 'Yes'), diabetes mellitus (DM) ('No' or

'Yes'), and cardiovascular disease (CVD) ('No' or 'Yes'), the results of the Cox regression

analysis showed that the risk of all-cause mortality in CKM individuals in the LCD group was reduced by 61% compared to the non-LCD group (HR=0.39; 95% CI, 0.22-0.71, P=0.002) (Supplementary-table 3, 4; Figure 3).

2.4.3 Stratified Analysis

In the stratified analysis, we evaluated whether the relationship between LCD and the all-cause mortality risk among CKM individuals was influenced by factors such as age ('<60' years, '≥60' years), gender ('Female', 'Male'), BMI (<30 kg/m², ≥30 kg/m²), race ('Mexican American', 'Non-Hispanic Black', 'Non-Hispanic White', 'Other Hispanic', 'Other Race - Including Multi-Racial'), DM ('No' or 'Yes'), CKD ('No' or 'Yes'), CVD ('yes' or 'no'), and CKM stages (Stage 1, 2, 3, and 4). The results showed that, except for the presence of diabetes and CKD (P for interaction <0.05), there were no interactions between LCD and other variables including age, gender, BMI, race, the presence of CVD, and CKM stages (P for interaction >0.05) (Supplementary - table 5, Figure 4).

2.4.4 Sensitivity Analysis

To reduce the impact of missing values on the results, we performed data deletion and re-evaluated the relationship between LCD and the all-cause mortality risk among CKM individuals. After multivariable adjustment, the results of Cox regression analysis indicated that compared to the non-LCD group, the all-cause mortality risk in the LCD group was reduced by 62% (HR=0.38; 95% CI, 0.21-0.708, P =0.002) (Supplementary table 6). To mitigate the result bias caused by individual dietary factors, we excluded individuals with gastrointestinal malignancies, COPD, and depressive states. The results of multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that compared to the non-LCD group, the all-cause mortality risk in the LCD group was reduced by 57% (HR=0.43; 95% CI, 0.22-0.83, P=0.01) (Supplementary table 7).

3 Discussion

This study found that the proportion of CKM individuals currently selecting LCD is very low. Factors such as age, gender, BMI, race, and the presence of diabetes may affect CKM individuals' choice of LCD. Additionally, LCD is associated with a reduced risk of all-cause mortality among CKM individuals, regardless of the stage of CKM.

Obesity is central to CKM syndrome. The AHA recommends that individuals with CKM stages 0-4 should intentionally reduce their weight through lifestyle and dietary changes, preferably through sustained interventions lasting ≥ 6 months [5]. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) have certified that LCD has significant effects on reducing body weight and body fat percentage in patients with obesity or metabolic syndrome, particularly in terms of reducing abdominal and visceral fat [21]. Therefore, the application of LCD among CKM individuals and its impact on prognosis are issues that need to be explored.

In recent years, LCD has gained widespread attention and application across multiple disease fields, yet only 2.08% of CKM individuals chose LCD. This phenomenon requires more recognition and emphasis. In our study, compared to non-LCD individuals, those in the LCD group tended to be younger, have higher incomes, and have higher rates of obesity and alcohol consumption, with a greater proportion in CKM stage 3. This may be attributed to younger and higher-income individuals being relatively more open to new dietary concepts and better able to adopt lifestyle changes. Obese and alcohol-consuming individuals may be more likely to make compensatory dietary adjustments to improve their health due to long-term adverse lifestyle and dietary influences. Furthermore, individuals in CKM stage 3 may be more inclined to choose LCD as a breakthrough in disease management due to the progression of conditions such as CKD, CVD, and various metabolic risk factors.

The long-term compliance to LCD may be lower compared to a normal diet. While individuals undergoing Very Low Calorie Diet (VLCD) or LCD treatments believe that this dietary pattern significantly benefits their conditions [22], providing them with a certain intrinsic motivation, the transition from unrestricted eating to LCD—requiring careful calculation for each meal—can negatively impact their emotional well-being, especially during dining out, as commercial meals are often high in carbohydrates, thus social behaviors may significantly limit adherence to LCD [23]. Nielsen et al. conducted an LCD study involving 48 diabetic individuals, and found that after two years, approximately half of them had discontinued the LCD [24]. The long-term maintenance of LCD adherence is influenced by multiple factors. Ahola et al. researched the LCD compliance of 902 individuals with Type 1 Diabetes and discovered that

lower BMI, glycemic variability, and diastolic blood pressure could affect long-term adherence [25]. In our study, we found that younger age, male gender, higher BMI, Non-Hispanic Black, and Non-Hispanic White individuals were more likely to choose LCD. Specifically, younger individuals demonstrated a greater acceptance of new dietary concepts and innovative recipes [26]. Under the same caloric intake conditions, males face more significant energy deficiency due to higher energy expenditure. Therefore, when adopting LCD, males tend to exhibit a more substantial weight loss compared to females [27]. This effect is reinforced by a positive feedback mechanism, making the male group more likely to embrace and continue following the LCD regimen. Individuals with lower BMI typically have smaller appetites and food intakes than overweight populations; they usually have established sound eating habits and prefer high-quality, nutrient-rich foods, which align with the protein and healthy fats typically abundant in LCD. In Hispanic diets, a major source of carbohydrates is rice, while in the diets of Non-Hispanic Blacks and Whites, rice accounts for only a small proportion of carbohydrate intake [28]. Consequently, reducing carbohydrate intake is more readily accepted by Non-Hispanic Black and Non-Hispanic White individuals. Therefore, we need to pay more attention to dietary issues and conduct targeted education for CKM individuals. When advocating for LCD, it is crucial to consider individuals' food preferences, adjust carbohydrate structures according to personal circumstances, enhance intrinsic motivation, and reduce external pressures, thereby optimizing the likelihood of long-term success.

LCD has been shown to be closely associated with various disease prognoses, but its impact on prognosis presents inconsistent and contradictory results across different studies. There is evidence suggesting that LCD has a protective effect against all-cause mortality; however, other studies have revealed potential negative effects, such as possible nutritional imbalances and muscle mass decline, adversely affecting patient health. Research in populations with Type 2 Diabetes (T2DM) [29], CVD, and CKD has suggested that the use of LCD can reduce the risk of mortality. Hu et al. included 10,101 T2DM individuals to explore the association between LCD patterns and mortality, finding that for every 10% increase in LCD adherence, the overall mortality rate decreased by 12%, demonstrating a significant negative correlation [30]. Ren et al. found that low carbohydrate intake in CKD individuals could lower all-cause mortality risk [31]. This aligns with our research results, where we found that LCD is associated with a reduced risk

of all-cause mortality in CKM individuals. Stratified analysis revealed that this relationship is not influenced by age, gender, race, presence of hypertension, or underlying CVD, and notably, results across different stages of CKM were consistent as well. However, a 15-year follow-up study on elderly individuals found no association between overall LCD scores and all-cause or cause-specific mortality risk [32]. Seidelmann et al. studied 15,428 adults in four American communities, finding a "U" shaped relationship between carbohydrate intake and all-cause mortality, stating that both excessively high and low carbohydrate intakes led to increased mortality [33]. Mazid et al., based on the NHANES cohort study, reported a detrimental association between long-term LCD and overall mortality [34]. These discrepancies compared to our findings may be because CKM individuals require LCD support for improved blood sugar control, optimized lipid metabolism, reduced inflammation, and better vascular and renal health [35], whereas the general population's long-term low carbohydrate diets might lead to a deficiency in essential nutrients, thereby increasing the risk of adverse outcomes.

Furthermore, our study also found that the relationship between LCD and all-cause mortality in CKD patients exhibits heterogeneity depending on the presence of diabetes or CKD. This relationship is not significant in individuals with concurrent diabetes or CKD. This suggests that not all types of CKD individuals are suitable for LCD, and that LCD may require more caution in CKD patients with diabetes or CKD. In LCD, different types and qualities of carbohydrates, proteins, and fats have varying impacts on individuals' health [33, 36]. A well-structured LCD should not contain excessive protein [37] , as an LCD combined with high protein intake may accelerate the progression of CKD, particularly in late-stage CKD, where high-protein diets can exacerbate kidney burden and thus increase mortality risks. If a low-carbohydrate and low-protein diet is implemented, it may lead to significantly inadequate total energy intake, inducing a negative energy balance. This state can exacerbate protein degradation processes, leading to sarcopenia, and increasing the CVD mortality risk in CKD patients [38]. Although our results indicate that LCD is beneficial for reducing all-cause mortality risk in CKD patients, the heightened mortality risk associated with excessive or insufficient protein intake may serve as a confounding factor that obscures the potential effects of LCD, resulting in non-significant statistical outcomes. When implementing LCD among patients with concurrent diabetes, special attention should be given to avoiding substitutes high in sugars, sodium, saturated fats, and refined grains in the macro-nutrient

profile of the diet [39]. Therefore, whether there exists further internal heterogeneity among CKD individuals with diabetes or CKD, as well as the most appropriate macro-nutrient combinations for CKD patients, requires further research for confirmation.

The LCD pattern may reduce the risk of all-cause mortality in CKD patients through various mechanisms. Firstly, by reducing carbohydrate intake, LCD can significantly improve multiple CVD risk factors, such as lowering triglycerides (TGs), optimizing low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) particle distribution [40], and decreasing the individual's weight, waist circumference, BMI, and body fat [41]. Secondly, there is a complex association between CKD and inflammation; elevated systemic inflammation indices can lead to insulin resistance and disrupted lipid metabolism, thus increasing cardiovascular risk factors [42]. Numerous studies have indicated that LCD can also improve insulin resistance, reduce blood glucose fluctuation levels, leading to improvements in metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk factors in obese patients [43]. Finally, some studies have shown that CKD may result from shared genetic risks that alter mitochondrial metabolism across multiple organs [44]. LCD typically increases the production of ketone bodies (such as β-hydroxybutyrate), which can serve as an alternative energy source to glucose [45].

Research indicates that β-hydroxybutyrate can have a beneficial effect on lifespan by inhibiting the activity of the inflammatory complex NLRP3, thereby reducing the increases of age-related pro-inflammatory cytokines [46]. Moreover, ketone bodies possess antioxidant properties, reducing the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and improving adverse events associated with heart failure through mechanisms such as enhancing ATP production, normalizing mitochondrial function, and reducing oxidative stress [47]. However, more extensive research is needed to explore the specific influencing factors and mechanisms of LCD therapy in CKD individuals.

Despite the efforts made in exploring related themes in this study, certain limitations are inevitably present. Firstly, we only selected dietary survey results from a single day for analysis, which does not reflect the dynamic dietary intake trajectories of individuals; secondly, there may have been insufficient control of potential confounders such as the percentage of energy obtained from carbohydrates and variations in dietary quality, which may have influenced the research results to some degree. Additionally, as the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) did not comprehensively cover evaluation indices directly related to CKD diagnosis,

this may introduce certain biases in the prevalence estimates. Lastly, although we calibrated the model and performed sensitivity analyses, it remains challenging to balance the biases that may arise from all factors. Therefore, future research urgently requires more prospective randomized controlled trials to further validate the effectiveness of LCD concerning CKD outcome measures and to clearly define the optimal LCD patterns and suitable intervention time points.

In summary, this study confirms the relationship between LCD and the risk of all-cause mortality in CKD individuals, providing evidence to enhance understanding of LCD and CKD, as well as to guide individualized patient education and dietary management strategies for CKD. Future research should further validate the effectiveness of LCD concerning CKD outcome measures, aiming to provide more precise and effective guidance for dietary management in CKD individuals.

References:

1. Ndumele, C.E. et al. Cardiovascular-Kidney-Metabolic Health: A Presidential Advisory From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **148**, 1606-1635 (2023).
2. Aggarwal, R., Ostrominski, J.W. & Vaduganathan, M. Prevalence of Cardiovascular-Kidney-Metabolic Syndrome Stages in US Adults, 2011-2020. *JAMA* **331**, 1858-1860 (2024).
3. Li, N. et al. Association between different stages of cardiovascular-kidney-metabolic syndrome and the risk of all-cause mortality. *Atherosclerosis* **397**, 118585 (2024).
4. Grundy, S.M. et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* **139**, e1082-e1143 (2019).
5. Ndumele, C.E. et al. A Synopsis of the Evidence for the Science and Clinical Management of Cardiovascular-Kidney-Metabolic (CKM) Syndrome: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **148**, 1636-1664 (2023).
6. Volek, J.S., Clinthorne, J. & S, Y.W.J. Applying a nutrition security lens to the Dietary Guidelines for Americans to address metabolic health. *Front Nutr* **10**, 1141859 (2023).
7. Zhu, H. et al. Ketogenic diet for human diseases: the underlying mechanisms and potential for clinical implementations. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* **7**, 11 (2022).
8. Castro-Barquero, S., Ruiz-Leon, A.M., Sierra-Perez, M., Estruch, R. & Casas, R. Dietary Strategies for Metabolic Syndrome: A Comprehensive Review. *Nutrients* **12** (2020).
9. Zarnowska, I.M. Therapeutic Use of the Ketogenic Diet in Refractory Epilepsy: What We Know and What Still Needs to Be Learned. *Nutrients* **12** (2020).
10. Bazzano, L.A. et al. Effects of low-carbohydrate and low-fat diets: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* **161**, 309-18 (2014).
11. Ebbeling, C.B. et al. Effects of a low-carbohydrate diet on insulin-resistant dyslipoproteinemia-a

randomized controlled feeding trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* **115**, 154-162 (2022).

12. Qin, P. et al. Low-carbohydrate diet and risk of cardiovascular disease, cardiovascular and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Food Funct* **14**, 8678-8691 (2023).

13. Joshi, S., Kalantar-Zadeh, K., Chauveau, P. & Carrero, J.J. Risks and Benefits of Different Dietary Patterns in CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis* **81**, 352-360 (2023).

14. Zhang, N. et al. Low-Carbohydrate-Diet Score and Mortality in Adults With and Without Chronic Kidney Disease: Results From the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination   Survey. *J Ren Nutr* **32**, 301-311 (2022).

15. Huang, J., Rong, R., Ma, Z.F. & Chen, Y. Trajectory Patterns of Macronutrient Intake and Their Associations with Obesity,   Diabetes, and All-Cause Mortality: A Longitudinal Analysis over 25 Years. *Nutrients* **16** (2024).

16. Archer, E., Pavela, G. & Lavie, C.J. The Inadmissibility of What We Eat in America and NHANES Dietary Data in   Nutrition and Obesity Research and the Scientific Formulation of National Dietary   Guidelines. *Mayo Clin Proc* **90**, 911-26 (2015).

17. Oh, R., Gilani, B. & Uppaluri, K.R. Low-Carbohydrate Diet. (2024).

18. Zhang, X. et al. Body Roundness Index and All-Cause Mortality Among US Adults. *JAMA Netw Open* **7**, e2415051 (2024).

19. Levey, A.S. et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* **150**, 604-12 (2009).

20. Ma, R., Xie, C., Wang, S. & Xiao, X. Retinol intake is associated with the risk of chronic kidney disease in   individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: results from NHANES. *Sci Rep* **13**, 11567 (2023).

21. Evert, A.B. et al. Nutrition Therapy for Adults With Diabetes or Prediabetes: A Consensus Report. *Diabetes Care* **42**, 731-754 (2019).

22. Paul, J. et al. Low carbohydrate diets, glycaemic control, enablers, and barriers in the management of type 1 diabetes: a mixed methods systematic review. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* **16**, 261 (2024).

23. Paul, J., Jani, R., Jones, M., Davoren, P. & Knight-Agarwal, C. Association Between a Low-Carbohydrate Diet, Glycemic Control, and Quality of   Life in Australian Adults Living With Type 1 Diabetes: A Pilot Study. *Endocr Pract* **28**, 1125-1131 (2022).

24. Nielsen, J.V., Gando, C., Joensson, E. & Paulsson, C. Low carbohydrate diet in type 1 diabetes, long-term improvement and adherence: A   clinical audit. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* **4**, 23 (2012).

25. Ahola, A.J., Forsblom, C., Harjutsalo, V. & Groop, P.H. Dietary carbohydrate intake and cardio-metabolic risk factors in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* **155**, 107818 (2019).

26. Stewart-Knox, B.J. et al. Sex and age differences in attitudes and intention to adopt personalised nutrition in a UK sample. *Z Gesundh Wiss*, 1-7 (2021).

27. Ernesti, I. et al. Predictors of weight loss in patients with obesity treated with a Very Low-Calorie Ketogenic Diet. *Front Nutr* **10**, 1058364 (2023).

28. Oh, S.W., Wood, A.C., Hwang, S.S. & Allison, M. Racial and Ethnic Differences in the Association of Low-Carbohydrate Diet With   Mortality in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *JAMA Netw Open* **5**, e2237552 (2022).

29. Wan, Z. et al. Associations of Moderate Low-Carbohydrate Diets With Mortality Among Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: A Prospective Cohort Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **107**, e2702-e2709

(2022).

30. Hu, Y. et al. Low-Carbohydrate Diet Scores and Mortality Among Adults With Incident Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **46**, 874-884 (2023).

31. Ren, Q. et al. Associations of low-carbohydrate with mortality in chronic kidney disease. *Ren Fail* **45**, 2202284 (2023).

32. Sun, C. et al. Low-Carbohydrate Diets and Mortality in Older Asian People: A 15-Year Follow-Up from a Prospective Cohort Study. *Nutrients* **14** (2022).

33. Seidelmann, S.B. et al. Dietary carbohydrate intake and mortality: a prospective cohort study and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Health* **3**, e419-e428 (2018).

34. Mazidi, M., Katsiki, N., Mikhailidis, D.P., Sattar, N. & Banach, M. Lower carbohydrate diets and all-cause and cause-specific mortality: a population-based cohort study and pooling of prospective studies. *Eur Heart J* **40**, 2870-2879 (2019).

35. Li, W. et al. Association between the triglyceride glucose-body mass index and future cardiovascular disease risk in a population with Cardiovascular-Kidney-Metabolic syndrome stage 0-3: a nationwide prospective cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* **23**, 292 (2024).

36. Zhao, Y. et al. Low-carbohydrate diets, low-fat diets, and mortality in middle-aged and older people: A prospective cohort study. *J Intern Med* **294**, 203-215 (2023).

37. Volek, J.S. et al. Expert consensus on nutrition and lower-carbohydrate diets: An evidence- and equity-based approach to dietary guidance. *Front Nutr* **11**, 1376098 (2024).

38. Liu, Y. et al. Lower energy intake associated with higher risk of cardiovascular mortality in chronic kidney disease patients on a low-protein diets. *Nutr J* **23**, 75 (2024).

39. 5. Facilitating Positive Health Behaviors and Well-being to Improve Health Outcomes: Standards of Care in Diabetes-2024. *Diabetes Care* **47**, S77-S110 (2024).

40. Akter, S. et al. Low carbohydrate diet and all cause and cause-specific mortality. *Clin Nutr* **40**, 2016-2024 (2021).

41. Sun, J. et al. The effect of dietary carbohydrate and calorie restriction on weight and metabolic health in overweight/obese individuals: a multi-center randomized controlled trial. *BMC Med* **21**, 192 (2023).

42. Gao, C. et al. Association between systemic immune-inflammation index and cardiovascular-kidney-metabolic syndrome. *Sci Rep* **14**, 19151 (2024).

43. Santamarina, A.B. et al. A low-carbohydrate diet with different fatty acids' sources in the treatment of obesity: Impact on insulin resistance and adipogenesis. *Clin Nutr* **42**, 2381-2394 (2023).

44. Li, S. et al. Human genetics identify convergent signals in mitochondrial LACTB-mediated lipid metabolism in cardiovascular-kidney-metabolic syndrome. *Cell Metab* (2024).

45. Mihaylova, M.M. et al. When a calorie is not just a calorie: Diet quality and timing as mediators of metabolism and healthy aging. *Cell Metab* **35**, 1114-1131 (2023).

46. Youm, Y.H. et al. The ketone metabolite beta-hydroxybutyrate blocks NLRP3 inflammasome-mediated inflammatory disease. *Nat Med* **21**, 263-9 (2015).

47. Wan, S.R. et al. BDH1-mediated betaOHB metabolism ameliorates diabetic kidney disease by activation of NRF2-mediated antioxidative pathway. *Aging (Albany NY)* **15**, 13384-13410 (2023).

Stratifiy	Diet	HR (95% CI)		P value
Unadjusted	Non−LCD	ref		
	LCD	0.31(0.18,0.53)		0.31 (0.18 to 0.53)
Model 1	Non−LCD	ref		1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)
	LCD	0.35(0.20,0.62)		0.35 (0.20 to 0.62)
Model 2	Non−LCD	ref		1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)
	LCD	0.38(0.21,0.68)		0.38 (0.21 to 0.68)
Model 3	Non−LCD	ref		1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)
	LCD	0.39(0.22,0.71)		0.39 (0.22 to 0.71)

0   0.5   1   1.5

← LCD better   Non-LCD better →

Stratify	Diets	HR (95% CI)		*P*-value	*P* for interaction
Age(years)					0.72
<60	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.28(0.08,0.93)		0.04	
≥60	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.43(0.18,1.02)		0.06	
Sex					0.94
Male	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.42(0.14,1.27)		0.13	
Female	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.45(0.24,0.86)		0.02	
BMI					0.49
<18.5	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	1.98(0.11,36.72)		0.65	
18.5−25	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	1.27(0.37,4.35)		0.71	
25−30	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.28(0.10,0.82)		0.02	
≥30	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.41(0.18,0.93)		0.03	
Ethnicity		NA			0.31
Non−Hispanic White	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	1.15(0.61,2.18)		0.67	
Other Race − Including Multi−Racial	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.25(0.09,0.69)		0.01	
Non−Hispanic Black	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	1.28(0.31,5.37)		0.73	
Mexican American	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.00(0.00, 0.00)		<0.001	
Other Hispanic	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.00(0.00, 0.00)		<0.001	
Hypertension					0.49
No	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.22(0.06,0.89)		0.03	
Yes	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.49(0.27,0.88)		0.02	
DM					<0.001
No	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.06(0.02,0.20)		<0.001	
Yes	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	1.22(0.74,2.03)		0.44	
CKD					0.04
No	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.16(0.05,0.51)		0.002	
Yes	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.77(0.41,1.43)		0.41	
CVD					0.50
No	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.39(0.06,2.74)		0.34	
Yes	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	1.20(0.38,3.83)		0.76	
CKM Stage					0.72
1	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.28(0.10,0.82)		0.02	
2	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.29(0.06,1.32)		0.11	
3	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.78(0.30,2.00)		0.60	
4	Non-LCD	ref			
	LCD	0.74(0.07, 7.48)		0.80	

0   0.5   1   1.5

← LCD better   Non-LCD better →