**The Threat of Science-Related Populism to Global Public Health: Lessons from Iran**

Nima Taefehshokr[1*], Brielle Martens[2*], Iman Beheshti[3*], Rene P Zahedi[4]. Marek J Los[5], Jason Kindrachuk[3], Saeid Ghavami[6¥]

[1]Department of Human Anatomy and Cell Science, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada.

[2]Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

[3]Department of Human Anatomy and Cell Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada.

[4]Department of Biochemistry and Medical Genetics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3E 0J9, Canada.

[5]Biotechnology Center, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland

[6]Faculty of Medicine, Rolna 43, Katowice, Poland; Paul Albrechtsen Research Institute, CancerCare Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada; Department of Human Anatomy and Cell Science, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada.

*These authors share first authorship

¥Corresponding: Saeid Ghavami, PhD, Department of Human Anatomy and Cell Science, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada.

Email: saeid.ghavami@umanitoba.ca  Phone Number: +1 204 272 3061

**Key Words:** Science-Related Populism; Public Health Misinformation; COVID-19 Policy Impacts; Global Health Consequences; Iranian COVID-19 Response, Machine Learning

**Abstract**:

This manuscript explores the impact of science-related populism on public health during the COVID-19 pandemic, with a focus on the situation in Iran. Our analysis, utilizing publicly available data from The Economist and the World Health Organization, demonstrates the consequences of delayed vaccination campaigns. Statistical analysis shows a clear correlation between delayed vaccine introduction and increased excess mortality rates. This trend is particularly pronounced in Iran, where political resistance to Western vaccine imports and the promotion of unproven medical technologies exacerbated the health crisis. Additionally, our time-series analysis links significant surges in COVID-19 deaths to national events and government decisions that likely enhanced virus transmission, indicating direct public health repercussions from delayed pandemic responses. These results highlight the global security threat posed by science-related populism, where political agendas undermine scientific integrity and public health. The findings advocate for an urgent global commitment to uphold scientific evidence as the cornerstone of health policy, emphasizing the necessity of combating misinformation to ensure timely and effective public health measures.

Populist leaders frequently portray themselves as defenders of “the people” against so-called elites, including scientists and experts. This can create a cultural climate in which scientific expertise is devalued, with populist leaders instead offering oversimplified solutions to complex problems [1, 2]. We observed this globally during the COVID-19 pandemic [3, 4]. Science-related populism is a rising complex global challenge that undermines public trust in science and interferes with progress on tackling global crises [5]. Although often viewed as a national issue, science-related populism in one country can have far-reaching global consequences. This commentary explores the Iranian experience with science-related populism during the COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting broader implications for global health [3, 6]. We argue that the promotion by political leaders of pseudoscience and ideological rhetoric over evidence-based facts poses a significant threat to global public health.

In Iran, the COVID-19 crisis was marked by the overshadowing of evidence-based decision-making by populist political interests. The problem became evident when the Iranian government promoted the “Mostaan 110” device, which was purported, with no evidence, to detect COVID-19 from a distance. Despite being debunked by the Iranian Physics Society as pseudoscientific [7, 8], the device continued to be endorsed by political leaders. This political promotion of pseudoscience sowed confusion and mistrust within Iran and internationally [8]. Iran’s political stance during the COVID-19 pandemic significantly worsened the public health crisis by delaying vaccination efforts. Hardline leaders prioritized political agendas over scientific recommendations, banning vaccine imports from the United States and United Kingdom, labeling them as “biological weapons” [9]. Vaccine shortages delayed the start of Iran's national vaccination campaign [9]. This situation was influenced by challenges in importing vaccines from abroad. As an example, the country's former health minister (Dr. Bahram Eynollahi), along with 2,500 other doctors, expressed concerns about importing vaccines in an open letter to their former president back in February 2021 [9]. This approach delayed the national vaccination campaign, leaving millions of Iranians vulnerable. Subsequently, they promoted domestic vaccines, Noora and SpikoGen, as alternatives. The clinical trial of the Noora vaccine has since been retracted [10] and a recent report showed weak immunogenicity compared to other vaccines [11]. This outcome underscores how political ideologies can overshadow urgent public health needs, leading to preventable deaths and prolonged national suffering [9].

For better showing the impact of the vaccination delay in Iran, we used publicly available data from The Economist’s excess deaths model and the World Health Organization (WHO) [12-14]. Data was analyzed in RStudio (v.2024.09.1+394) using the dplyr (v.1.1.4) and lubridate (v.1.9.4) packages [15, 16]. The ggplot2 (v.3.5.1) and cowplot (v.1.1.1) packages were used to generate figures [17, 18].

Figure 1 shows the relationship between cumulative COVID-19 vaccinations and estimated excess deaths per 100,000 population across multiple countries, highlighting the stark contrast between early and delayed vaccine rollouts. Countries such as the United States, Bahrain and Canada demonstrated early vaccination starts, reflected in lower excess deaths. In contrast, nations like Iran, which delayed vaccine imports due to political decisions, experienced significantly higher excess mortality. Iran's vaccination campaign lagged, marked by late vaccine

introduction and limited coverage, correlating with a steep rise in excess deaths. Compared to countries like Canada and Bahrain, which rapidly implemented vaccination programs, Iran's policy-driven delay amplified its death toll.

Next, we obtained additional data from the WHO and Ghafari et al. [13, 14, 19]. Data was analyzed using RStudio (v.2024.09.1+394). The ggplot2 (v.3.5.1) package was used to generate figures [17]. Vaccine delay was calculated by taking the difference between the date of first vaccine introduction for each country and their WHO region. The rstatix (v.0.7.2) package [20] was used to conduct a one-way ANOVA to determine whether there was a significant relationship between the number of deaths averted by vaccination and vaccine delay.

Figure 2 illustrates the association between vaccine rollout delays and the number of COVID-19-related deaths averted per 10,000 population in countries of the Eastern Mediterranean WHO region. The data reveals a significant inverse relationship: countries with shorter delays in vaccine introduction saw more lives saved, while those with prolonged delays faced higher mortality. The figure highlights Iran's notably delayed vaccine rollout, which resulted in substantially fewer deaths being averted compared to regional counterparts. In contrast, countries such as Bahrain and the United Arab Emirates, which implemented swift vaccination campaigns, achieved far better outcomes in terms of lives saved. This finding underscores the dire consequences of delayed vaccine access and the importance of prompt, science-driven public health responses to mitigate large-scale health crises.

For further evaluation of the impact of scientific populism in Iran's COVID-19 pandemic, we studied waves of COVID-19 deaths from 2020 to 2022 [21]. Iran experienced five waves of COVID-19 deaths from January 2020 to January 2022. Initially, Iran's government downplayed the serious distribution of the virus, particularly at the beginning of 2020, in order to maximize voter turnout for the parliamentary elections held on February 21, 2020. The first wave of significant deaths began shortly after this event (Figure 3A). In August 2020, the Iranian government systematically encouraged public participation in mourning ceremonies, processions, and gatherings (e.g., Moharram and Safar), where Iranians mourn the tragedy of Karbala. Statistical results indicate that the third wave of the pandemic, the deadliest, occurred during this period (Figure 3A). The fifth wave, which saw the highest number of deaths, coincided with Moharram and Safar in 2021 (Figure 3A). As we have mentioned earlier in spring 2021, Iran's Supreme Leader, made controversial remarks about vaccines, particularly foreign-made vaccines from the United States and the United Kingdom. Interestingly, the Iranian government reported a dramatic decline in the number of deaths and infections after this political decision and this trend persisted until the end of March 2021 (Figure 3A). Many experts have since questioned the accuracy of these reports, considering them to be manipulated or falsified.

For further validation of these reports, we employed a validated time series prediction tool developed by Facebook (Prophet). Prophet accounts for trends, seasonality, and holidays, making it useful for predicting data that displays such patterns, including COVID-19 cases [for more details, see https://facebook.github.io/prophet/]. We trained the Prophet model using reported data from January 2020 to January 2021 [21] (https://github.com/mrc-ide/covid-vaccine-impact-orderly) and predicted the pattern of daily deaths for 100 days after the political decision in

January 2021 (Figure 3B). Surprisingly, the model did not indicate a decrease in deaths but rather predicted an increase following this decision. This statistical observation would imply that the reports from the Iranian government may have been engineered. Overall, the decision delayed Iran's vaccination efforts with direct public health consequences, contributing to an estimated more 50,000 deaths [19]. Other factors contributed to the elevated fatality rate, including the advanced average age of patients (mean age: 65.67 ± 15.23), a high prevalence of pre-existing conditions [22], delays in launching national immunization programs in 1984 compared to other countries [23], insufficient cross-immunity, and the detrimental effects of sanctions on Iran's economy and healthcare system functionality [24].

The Iranian case illustrates the dangerous consequences of allowing populist agendas to distort science. But this is not an isolated phenomenon—it is a global issue that threatens the foundations of public health and scientific integrity. In today's interconnected world, neither viruses nor misinformation respect geographical boundaries. Misinformation spreads rapidly on social media, further amplifying pseudoscientific claims and making it more difficult for health authorities to implement effective public health measures. Delayed vaccination uptake in one country increases the likelihood that new vaccine-evading variants of concern will emerge [25, 26]. Although vaccine hesitancy and populist rhetoric during the pandemic were similarly observed in countries such as Brazil and the United States [27, 28], it was in Iran that the science-related populism took hold at the highest level of decision-making power, impacting the entire country's population. The danger of allowing pseudoscience to flourish in environments where political narratives overshadow scientific scrutiny is undeniable, with far-reaching effects.

We cannot afford to be passive observers. Collective action is needed to ensure that scientific evidence, not political rhetoric, guides global health decisions. The international scientific community must actively engage in restoring public trust in science. For example, encouraging post-publication peer review and increased transparency, are valuable tools for holding scientists and policymakers accountable. Additionally, social media platforms must take greater responsibility for the delicate balance of protecting freedom of speech while curbing the spread of misinformation.

In conclusion, the experience of Iran in the COVID-19 pandemic shows how far science-centric populism can go when it comes to public health. Political populistic related decisions such as opposing foreign vaccines and pushing pseudoscientific contraceptives crippled Iran's vaccine programme and caused thousands of preventable deaths. A comparison of WHO data and The Economist's excess deaths model found that, in Iran, late vaccine introductions resulted in substantially greater excess mortality than in earlier vaccine-administered countries. The global scientific community needs to increase public confidence through open debate and hold policymakers accountable. It's only with collective global action that we can protect public health and scientific integrity so that evidence-based policy trumps political rhetoric. The Iranian experience is the stark reminder that public health must be protected from ideological and populist overreach to forestall future crises.

We the international scientific community must engage in reflexive practice to preserve our integrity, hold ourselves to the highest scientific rigour, and engage with the lay public at every

opportunity to promote science literacy and an understanding of the scientific method. Only in this way can public trust in scientific expertise be rebuilt, regardless of the decisions of individual political leaders.

**Autor Contribution**: Nima Taefehshokr contributed to the literature search and preparation of the initial draft of the manuscript. Brielle Martens prepared figures 1 and 2 and related information. Iman Beheshti did Machine Learning and prepared Figure 3 and related information. Rene P. Zahedi was instrumental in developing the initial concept and drafting the PubPeer statement. Marek J. Los, as an expert in COVID-19, provided valuable scientific insights and facilitated interactions with Iranian academics to ensure accurate information. Jason Kindrachuk provided expert insights on COVID-19 transmission and pathogenesis and assisted in preparing the data analyses for Figures 1 and 2. Saeid Ghavami led the team, conceptualized the overall idea, and engaged extensively with Iranian scientists within Iran to gather reliable resources and information. Additionally, Saeid Ghavami performed the final editing and refinement of the manuscript.

**Data Availability**: The data we have used are available through the references we have addressed in the article. All of the data are public data.

**Funding**: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Acknowledgement**: The authors acknowledge Karen Limbert Rempel for professional English edit of our paper. The authors also acknowledge Mahan Ghaffari for scientific advise.

**Disclosure of interest**: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the content of this article.

**Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI):** The authors used CHATGPT4o for final grammatical and spell check.




**Figure 1.** Cumulative vaccinations and estimated excess deaths due to COVID-19 per 100,000 population over time. The date of the first COVID-19 vaccine introduction is shown for each country. Dashed line corresponds to cumulative daily vaccinations. The area plot corresponds to estimated excess deaths, the darker and lighter shaded regions represent the lower and upper 95% confidence intervals, respectively. Data was obtained from the World Health Organization and The Economist's excess deaths model [12-14].




**Figure 2.** The association between vaccine delay and the number of deaths averted by COVID-19 vaccines per 10,000 population in countries of the Eastern Mediterranean WHO region. Vertical lines on each point represent the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals. Data was obtained from the World Health Organization and Ghafari et al. [13, 14, 19] One-way ANOVA was used to determine significance.




**Figure 3A**: Daily distribution of COVID-19 infections and deaths in Iran from January 2020 to January 2022, based on data presented in [21]




**Figure 3B**: The time series comparison of actual deaths before and after the political decision in January 2021, alongside the predicted number of deaths without considering the decision. The analysis was conducted using Prophet, a validated forecasting method based on an additive model for time series data (https://facebook.github.io/prophet/).

## References


1. Hallin, D.C., et al., *Pandemic communication in times of populism: Politicization and the COVID communication process in Brazil, Poland, Serbia and the United States.* Soc Sci Med, 2024. **360**: p. 117304.
2. Cordeiro-Rodrigues, L., D.L. Cole, and D. Duan, *The Instrumentalization of Public Health Issues for Propaganda by the Far-Right.* J Bioeth Inq, 2024.
3. Bonikowski, B., et al., *Populism and nationalism in a comparative perspective: a scholarly exchange.* Nations and Nationalism, 2019. **25**(1): p. 58-81.
4. Mede, N.G., et al., *Who supports science-related populism? A nationally representative survey on the prevalence and explanatory factors of populist attitudes toward science in Switzerland.* PLoS One, 2022. **17**(8): p. e0271204.
5. Meyer, J.M., *Power and Truth in Science-Related Populism: Rethinking the Role of Knowledge and Expertise in Climate Politics.* Political Studies, 2024. **72**(3): p. 845-861.
6. Speed, E. and R. Mannion, *Populism and health policy: three international case studies of right-wing populist policy frames.* Sociol Health Illn, 2020. **42**(8): p. 1967-1981.
7. Iran Physics Society. *The opinion of the Iranian Physics Society on the construction of a device for detecting nanometric coronavirus using electromagnetic and remote methods*. 2020 [cited 2024 7 October]; Available from: https://www.psi.ir/news2_fa.asp?id=3036.
8. Saliba, E. and L. Gharagozlou. *Iran's Revolutionary Guard Corps says its handheld device can detect coronavirus, scientists scoff*. 2020 20 April [cited 2024 31 October]; Available from: https://www.nbcnews.com/news/world/iran-s-revolutionary-guard-corps-says-its-handheld-device-can-n1186346.
9. Von Hein, S., *Taking advantage of Iran's 'patriotic' vaccination policy*, in *DW News*. 2021, Deutsche Welle: Gernamy
10. *Retraction: "Safety and immunogenicity of a recombinant receptor-binding domain-based protein subunit vaccine (Noora vaccine) against COVID-19 in adults: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, Phase 1 trial"*. J Med Virol, 2024. **96**(3): p. e29509.
11. Dashti, N., et al., *Comparative Immunogenicity and Neutralization Potency of Four Approved COVID-19 Vaccines in BALB/c Mice.* Iran J Immunol, 2024. **21**(1): p. 1-14.
12. GitHub, T.E. *TheEconomist/covid-19-the-economist-global-excess-deaths-model: The Economist's model to estimate excess deaths to the covid-19 pandemic*. 2024 August 2024 [cited 2024 December 06].
13. Organization, W.H. *World Health Organization. COVID-19 vaccines | WHO COVID-19 dashboard* 2024 2024 [cited 2024 December 06]; Available from: https://data.who.int/dashboards/covid19/vaccines.
14. Organization, W.H. *World Health Organization. COVID-19 data | WHO COVID-19 dashboard*. 2023 [cited 2024 December 10]; Available from: https://data.who.int/dashboards/covid19/data.
15. Wickham H, et al. *dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation*. 2023 [cited 2024 December 12]; Available from: https://dplyr.tidyverse.org.
16. G., G. and W. H, *Dates and Times Made Easy with lubridate.* Journal of Statistical Software 2011. **40**: p. 1-25.
17. H, W. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis* 2016 [cited 2024 December 09]; Available from: https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.
18. CO, W. *cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for "ggplot2"* 2024; Available from: https://wilkelab.org/cowplot/.

19. Ghafari, M., et al., *A quantitative evaluation of the impact of vaccine roll-out rate and coverage on reducing deaths: insights from the first 2 years of COVID-19 epidemic in Iran.* BMC Med, 2023. **21**(1): p. 429.
20. A, K. *rstatix: Pipe-Friendly Framework for Basic Statistical Tests*. 2023 [cited 2024 December 09]; Available from: https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/rstatix/.
21. Watson, O.J., et al., *Global impact of the first year of COVID-19 vaccination: a mathematical modelling study.* Lancet Infect Dis, 2022. **22**(9): p. 1293-1302.
22. Bastani, M.N., et al., *Comprehensive assessment of COVID-19 case fatality rate and influential factors in Khuzestan Province, Iran: a two-year study.* J Health Popul Nutr, 2024. **43**(1): p. 193.
23. Moradi-Lakeh, M. and A. Esteghamati, *National Immunization Program in Iran: whys and why nots.* Hum Vaccin Immunother, 2013. **9**(1): p. 112-4.
24. Collaborators, G.B.D.I., *Health system performance in Iran: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019.* Lancet, 2022. **399**(10335): p. 1625-1645.
25. Duroseau, B., N. Kipshidze, and R.J. Limaye, *The impact of delayed access to COVID-19 vaccines in low- and lower-middle-income countries.* Front Public Health, 2022. **10**: p. 1087138.
26. Van Egeren, D., et al., *Vaccines Alone Cannot Slow the Evolution of SARS-CoV-2.* Vaccines (Basel), 2023. **11**(4).
27. Bolsen, T. and R. Palm, *Politicization and COVID-19 vaccine resistance in the U.S.* Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci, 2022. **188**(1): p. 81-100.
28. Schenkel, M., *Health emergencies, science contrarianism and populism: A scoping review.* Soc Sci Med, 2024. **346**: p. 116691.