Differential fMRI neural synchrony associated with migraine during emotionally arousing naturalistic stimuli

Keva Klamer [a]., Joshua Craig [a]., Christina Haines [a]., KiAnna Sullivan [a]., Peter Seres[b], & Chelsea Ekstrand [a].

[a]Ekstrand Neuroimaging Lab, Department of Neuroscience, University of Lethbridge, 4401 University Dr W, Lethbridge, AB, Canada, T1K 6T5

[b]Peter S. Allen MRI Research Centre, Department of Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging, University of Alberta, 116 St & 85 Ave, Edmonton, AB, Canada, T6G 2R3

Correspondence to: Chelsea Ekstrand, chelsea.ekstrand@uleth.ca, 4401 University Dr W, Lethbridge, AB, Canada, T1K 3M4

Abstract

Migraine is a common neurological disorder that impacts approximately 12% of the general population and is characterized by moderate to severe headaches, nausea, mood changes, and fatigue. It impacts lower-level visual and auditory processing, causing hypersensitivities that lead to heightened audiovisual multisensory integration. However, the impact of migraine on the processing of complex, audiovisual stimuli is still unclear. Additionally, migraine may induce hypersensitivities to emotional arousal and valence, though the relative significance of these factors remains unknown. The current study seeks to identify how migraine impacts synchronous neural processing of complex, audiovisual stimuli, and how this differs based on the emotional arousal and valence of the stimulus. To do so, we collected functional magnetic resonance imaging data from 17 migraineurs and 25 healthy controls during the passive viewing of three audiovisual films of differing emotional arousal and valence. We identified that, in response to high arousal emotional stimuli, the migraine group showed greater neural synchrony in regions associated with multisensory integration, including the posterior superior temporal gyrus. In response to the negative valence film, the migraine group had heightened neural synchrony in bilateral cuneus and the right angular gyrus. These findings suggest that migraine involves hypersensitivity to audiovisual movies as a function of both emotional arousal and valence, with high arousal driving greater synchrony in multisensory integration and negative valence enhancing synchrony in peripheral visual processing. Overall, this research highlights distinct pathways through which emotional stimuli impacts neural processing in migraine.

Introduction

Migraine is a common neurological disorder that impacts approximately 12% of the general population and is more common in females than males (Szabó et al., 2019). It is characterized by unilateral moderate to severe headaches, nausea, mood changes and fatigue (Silberstein, 1995). Individuals suffering from migraine (i.e., migraineurs) experience enhanced perception and altered cerebral processing of sensory stimuli, commonly referred to as generalized hyperexcitable cortex. Hyperexcitable cortex refers to a reduction of intracortical inhibition, which leads to the excitability of neurons (Ciuffini et al., 2020) and is present even during the interictal period (i.e., in between migraine attacks). As a result, migraineurs experience hypersensitivities to both lower-level stimuli (e.g., visual/auditory stimuli) as well as higher-level stimuli (e.g., painful/emotional stimuli; Wang et al., 2017). This suggests that migraineurs are producing a different neurological reaction than non-migraineurs when perceiving the same visual and auditory stimuli.

Migraine is associated with atypical unimodal processing of lower level stimuli during the interictal period, specifically auditory and visual hypersensitivities. In the domain of visual hypersensitivities, migraineurs have an increased sensitivity to normal light, flickering lights (e.g., computer screens), bright light (e.g., sunlight), and patterns (e.g., plaid, achromatic gratings; Friedman & De Ver Dye, 2009; Chong et al., 2016). Within the scope of auditory hypersensitivities, approximately 75% of migraineurs report sensitivities to sound during the interictal period (Vingen et al., 1998), and studies have shown that migraineurs are more sensitive to sound when compared to healthy controls (Ashkenazi et al., 2009). Further, migraineurs exhibit lower light and auditory discomfort thresholds compared to controls

(Boulloche et al., 2010; Meylakh & Henderson, 2022). These findings highlight that migraineurs experience atypical processing of both visual and auditory stimuli.

Atypical unimodal processing of lower level stimuli during the interictal period in migraine has been increasingly linked to altered multisensory integration (Meylakh & Henderson, 2022). Multisensory integration refers to the production of a single perception of the environment through the co-modulation of different modes of incoming stimuli (Schwedt, 2013). In other words, multisensory integration allows us to form a coherent perception of everyday life by combining inputs from different sensory modalities. Multisensory integration is a crucial component of daily lived experience, and several pieces of evidence suggest that migraineurs may show altered multisensory integration compared to healthy controls (Schwedt, 2013). First, the presence of one migraine symptom (e.g., visual hypersensitivity) positively correlates with the intensity of other migraine symptoms (e.g., auditory hypersensitivity, pain severity; Kelman & Tanis, 2006). Second, exposure to visual, auditory, and olfactory stimuli can trigger migraine attacks (Harle et al., 2006). Third, exposure to one mode of sensory stimulation alters the sensitivity to concurrent sensory stimuli of other modalities (Ashkenazi et al., 2010). Fourth, studies have shown that audiovisual integration operates over a longer time window in migraineurs compared to controls (O'Hare, 2017) and that controls are better than migraineurs at motion discrimination, which involves integration of a stimulus over time (O'Hare, 2018). More specifically, research has shown that audiovisual integration is significantly elevated in migraineurs compared to normal controls and audiovisual suppression is weaker in migraineurs compared to controls (Yang et al., 2014). This may be the result of visual and auditory hypersensitivities, which reflect an increased excitability state toward headache attacks (i.e., cortical hyperexcitability; Boran et al., 2021).

Several brain regions are implicated in the integration and coordination of visual and auditory inputs, and their involvement may vary depending on the complexity of the stimulus (Gao et al., 2023). These regions include the bilateral posterior superior temporal gyrus (pSTG), bilateral superior parietal lobule/intraparietal sulcus (SPL/IPS), right lingual gyrus, right thalamus, left middle temporal gyrus (MTG), and bilateral frontal gyri. The pSTG is considered the hub of multisensory integration, which integrates auditory and visual speech (Ozker et al., 2017) and is activated during the multisensory integration of simple and complex speech, and complex nonspeech stimuli (Gao et al., 2023). The SPL/IPS is more generally involved in multisensory integration as it processes both auditory and visual stimuli, whereby auditory inputs arrive before visual inputs (Molholm et al., 2006). In addition, the SPL shows greater activation in response to a congruent stimuli across different senses (e.g., a visual cue that matches an auditory cue) compared to a congruent stimuli within a single sense (e.g., two visual cues) during functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (Saito et al., 2005). The right frontal gyri are activated in response to simple stimuli, while the left MTG and frontal gyri respond to complex non-speech stimuli. In contrast, complex speech stimuli activate the right lingual gyrus, right thalamus, and left inferior occipital gyrus (Gao et al., 2023). These findings demonstrate the complex interplay of various brain regions in the integration of visual and auditory stimuli, where the pSTG consistently plays a central role, regardless of stimulus complexity.

Emotional features of stimuli can also influence multisensory processing. For example, research suggests that multisensory integration may be enhanced by positive emotional valence stimuli (Takeshima, 2020). In addition, brain regions involved in multisensory integration, such as the pSTG, show altered activation levels in response to emotionally charged stimuli, suggesting that emotional content can enhance or modify multisensory processing in these areas

(Klasen et al., 2014). Further, neural network models designed for multisensory integration also show improved performance when emotional features are incorporated. However, research has also shown that negative emotional valence stimuli may slow or impair audiovisual multisensory integration (Letts et al., 2022; Taffou et al., 2017), suggesting a complex relationship between emotional valence, arousal, and multisensory integration.

In line with this, migraineurs show increased neural activation in the visual cortex and cerebellum to emotional static picture stimuli (e.g., emotional faces), and this heightened neural response becomes especially pronounced when the valence of the incoming stimulus is negative (Wang et al., 2017; Szabó et al., 2019; Wilcox et al., 2016). Therefore, it has been theorized that migraineurs may have a general hypersensitivity to aversive/negative stimuli, regardless of whether the stimulus is low-level (e.g., visual, auditory) or high-level (e.g., emotions), and regardless of how arousing the stimulus is (Wilcox et al., 2016). However, this theory contrasts another prominent theory that suggests that migraineurs have a heightened sensitivity to emotionally arousing stimuli, regardless of whether the emotional valence is positive or negative (Steppacher et al., 2016). Several studies have also supported the theory of enhanced responses to higher arousal stimuli in migraine, including an electroencephalography study investigating the response to emotional pictures (Steppacher et al., 2016), and studies investigating visual (Sezai et al., 2023) and auditory (Masson et al., 2020) hypersensitivities to low-level arousing stimuli. This enhanced response to emotional stimuli could potentially contribute to the psychiatric comorbidities often observed in migraineurs, such as anxiety and depression (Breslau & Davis, 1993). However, it remains uncertain which of these theories (i.e., a general hypersensitivity to negative stimuli or a heightened sensitivity to emotionally arousing stimuli) may underlie this phenomenon and its effect on multisensory integration in migraineurs.

While the aforementioned research has provided crucial insights into the relationship between migraine, audiovisual multisensory integration, and emotional valence/arousal, the precise mechanisms underlying these interactions remain largely unexplored. Naturalistic stimuli, such as audiovisual films, podcasts, and virtual reality, show promise for exploring how heightened sensitivity to emotional stimuli in migraine may impact multisensory integration. Naturalistic stimuli is rich, multimodal, and dynamic, and better represent our daily lived experience (Sonkusare et al., 2019). Consequently, naturalistic stimuli can evoke brain responses that are highly reproducible within and across subjects (Hasson et al., 2004). Importantly, naturalistic paradigms engage a broader set of brain regions and more diverse modes of network interactions than task or resting-state paradigms (Zhang et al., 2021). Of particular note, Finn et al. (2018) used naturalistic stimuli to characterize differences in brain synchrony between participants based on personality traits, in this case low and high trait paranoia. Their results showed characteristic patterns of neural synchrony unique to the high paranoia group, suggesting that underlying traits influence the processing of naturalistic stimuli in the brain. Further, naturalistic stimuli allows for the modulation of valence and arousal, providing a means to explore differences in neural responses related to the higher-level emotional content of the stimulus. Therefore, using naturalistic stimuli offers insight into neurological processing that is more akin to real-life experiences, allowing for a more comprehensive understanding of the interplay between the emotional content of external stimuli, migraine, and multisensory integration.

One common approach for analyzing neural responses to naturalistic stimuli is intersubject correlation analysis (ISC). ISC is used to study complex, naturalistic fMRI data by examining the correlation of hemodynamic responses to an audiovisual stimulus over time across

multiple subjects. This approach helps to identify neural synchrony, which is the shared neural activity among subjects (Pajula et al., 2012). ISC is particularly effective for naturalistic paradigms as it detects shared neural responses with minimal assumptions, as opposed to general linear model (GLM)-based approaches (Finn et al., 2020). ISC isolates the impact of the stimulus, making it easier to link observed neural activity directly to the stimulus. Therefore, ISC is a highly suitable technique for examining how migraine impacts the synchronous neurological responses to complex audiovisual stimuli.

The current study seeks to investigate the differences in neural synchrony between migraineurs and controls in response to complex audiovisual stimuli. Additionally, it aims to explore how emotional arousal and valence influence these differences. In doing so, we evaluate two competing theories that propose migraineurs may be predominantly sensitive either to emotional arousal or emotional valence. To do so, we acquired fMRI data from 17 migraineurs and 25 healthy controls during the passive viewing of three short audiovisual films of differing emotional arousal and valence. As migraine is associated with elevated multisensory integration (Yang et al., 2014), we hypothesize that migraineurs will show heightened neural synchrony compared to controls in response to high arousal emotional stimuli in regions previously associated with multisensory integration, including the right pSTG, bilateral SPL/IPS, left MTG, and left frontal cortices, reflecting the proposed heightened sensitivity to high arousal stimuli in migraine (Steppacher et al., 2016). We further hypothesize that, in response to negative valence compared to positive valence stimuli, migraineurs will show heightened neural synchrony in audiovisual processing areas, reflecting the proposed heightened sensitivity to negative valence stimuli in migraine (Wilcox et al., 2016). This research will provide valuable insight into how migraine modulates synchronous neural activity in response to complex audiovisual stimuli and

will help determine whether emotional arousal or valence has a more profound influence on neurological processing in migraine.

Methods

Participants and Stimuli

We recruited 45 participants to watch three short films during fMRI. We had to repeat a portion of the experiment for 2 participants who were subsequently removed from the study. One participant dropped out during MRI scanning and was subsequently removed from the study, resulting in 42 total participants (27 females/15 males, mean age = 27.15, standard deviation = 8.32). All remaining participants had no history of neurological illnesses, no hearing impairments and normal or corrected-to-normal vision. To assess migraine status, participants completed the Mickleborough migraine questionnaire (Mickleborough et al., 2016). This questionnaire includes questions that align with the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-3; Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society, 2013) criteria for migraine without aura, migraine with aura, and chronic migraine. To define the migraine group, we included participants who both self-reported as migraineurs, as well as participants who met the ICHD criteria for migraine. 14 participants self-reported as having migraines, 11 of which also met the ICHD-3 criteria for migraine. Additionally, 3 participants met the ICHD-3 criteria but did not self-report as migraineurs. This resulted in a total of 17 participants in the migraine group (12 females/5 males) and 25 participants in the control group (15 females/10 males). The mean age of the migraine group was 27.94 (standard deviation = 9.85, range = 19 – 53) and the mean age of the control group was 26.46 (standard deviation = 8.34, range = 19 – 52). This study was approved by the University of Alberta Research Ethics Board (Pro00120063).

Participants watched three short films of differing emotional valence and arousal during fMRI. The first short film, titled “Wicken”(Hashmic House Films, 2019; a short horror film; duration: ~7 minutes),was negative valence and high arousal. The second short film, titled “One-Minute Time Machine” (Avery, 2023; a short comedy film; duration: ~5 minutes) was positive valence and high arousal. The third short film, titled “How to Create an Effective Training Video” (iSpring, 2020; a short tutorial; duration: ~5 minutes), was neutral valence and low arousal. The high arousal films were selected to induce elected arousal states and examine the corresponding changes in synchrony. Additionally, these films were used to manipulate valence, allowing us to investigate whether valence enhances the effects observed in high arousal states. The low arousal, neutral valence film served as a control, aimed at not eliciting significant arousal or emotional valence. All the short films were edited using VEED.IO (Veed.io, 2024) to add subtitles, remove opening credits/title scenes and remove closing credits. One additional scene was removed from the negative valence, high arousal film because it contained a jump scare.

Data Acquisition

Scans were performed on a 3 Tesla Siemens Skyra Magnetom system (Erlangen, Germany) at the University 3T MRI Centre on the University of Lethbridge campus, using a 32 channel head coil. After an initial localizer scan, a high-resolution three-dimensional anatomical image was collected using a T1-weighted (T1w) magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo sequence (192 continuous sagittal slices, slice thickness = 1.0mm, matrix size = 256 x 256, field of view = 256mm, voxel size = 1.0mm x 1.0mm x1.0mm, TR = 2300ms, TE = 2.26ms, flip angle = 8 degrees). Functional images were acquired using a multiband T2*-sensitive gradient-recalled single-shot echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TR = 1500ms, TE = 34.0ms, voxel size =

2.4mm isotropic, flip angle = 90 degrees, matrix size = 85 x 85, field of view = 204mm, multiband factor = 4).

We acquired the three different functional scans, one throughout the duration of each of the films watched by participants (i.e., high arousal/negative valence, high arousal/positive valence, low arousal/neutral valence). Stimuli were presented on a 40” MRI compatible LCD screen and the audio portion of the stimulus was delivered through in-ear MRI compatible headphones. A mirror was fixed to the head coil to allow participants to have a direct view of the screen. The position of the LCD screen was marked on the ground with tape to ensure that the positioning of the screen remained consistent across participants. Prior to the functional scans, we tested the alignment of the mirror and LCD screen to ensure that participants had a direct view of the entire screen.

Data Preprocessing

Full preprocessing details can be found in Supplementary Materials A. Briefly, the T1w images were corrected for intensity non-uniformity, skull-stripped, and spatially normalized to MNI152NLin2009cAsym space using advanced normalization tools (ANTs; Avants et al., 2008). For the functional EPI data, we used fMRIPrep 21.0.11 (Esteban et al., 2019) to apply slice-timing correction, head-motion correction with six degrees of freedom, and resampling the EPI image time-series into native space. The EPI image series were co-registered to T1w images, and nuisance regressors (e.g., FD, DVARS, CompCor) were computed. Frames exceeding 0.5mm FD or 1.5 standardized DVARS were flagged as motion outliers. No subjects or entire series were excluded due to motion. Frames flagged as motion outliers were modeled as nuisance regressors during preprocessing. Finally, the blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) time-series were spatially normalized to standard space using ANTs, with final outputs including both volumetric

and surface-based resampling. Additional preprocessing was performed using python code that included spatial smoothing with a 6mm FWHM Gaussian kernel, detection and removal of spikes, denoising through regression using motion parameters, cerebrospinal fluid signal, and polynomial trends.

Behavioural Questionnaires

While still in the MRI machine, immediately after passively viewing each film during the fMRI session, participants completed the Modified Differential Emotions Scale (Fredrickson, 2001) to quantify their emotional experience. This 16-question scale comprehensively measures positive, negative, and neutral emotions, as well as subjective arousal, providing a detailed assessment of participants emotional responses to each film. To determine if there was a significant difference between the migraine and control group's responses to the questions within the Modified Differential Emotions Scale, we conducted independent samples *t*-tests using SPSS (version 27; IBM Corp., 2020) comparing the mean responses of both groups.

To confirm that participants found both high arousal films more arousing than the low arousal film, we performed several paired samples *t*-tests using SPSS on the Modified Differential Emotions Scale question asking how interested, concentrated, and alert they felt during the film. First, we compared responses to the high arousal, positive valence film with those to the low arousal, neutral valence film. Next, we compared responses to the high arousal, negative valence film with the low arousal, neutral valence film. Lastly, to ensure there was no difference in arousal between the two high arousal films, we conducted a paired samples *t*-test comparing responses to the high arousal, positive valence film with those to the high arousal, negative valence film, applying Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons.

To examine differences between the migraine and control groups in perceived valence and arousal in response to each film, we conducted independent samples *t*-tests using SPSS (version 27; IBM Corp., 2020). We assessed differences in negative valence using the Modified Differential Emotions Scale items ‘fearful, scared, afraid’ and ‘anxious, tense, nervous,’ in positive valence with the items ‘joyful, happy, amused’ and ‘warmhearted, gleeful, elated,’ and in arousal with ‘interested, concentrated, and alert’.

Intersubject Correlation Analyses

ISC were run for all unique pairs of participants using 3dTcorrelate by AFNI (Cox, 1996; Cox & Hyde, 1997) which produced 861 (n*(n-1)/2, where n = 42) unique ISC maps overall. Of these, 136 maps originated from the migraine group (n*(n-1)/2, where n = 17), 300 were originated from the control group (n*(n-1)/2, where n = 25), and the remaining 425 ISC maps were obtained from between-group pairings. ISC is a model-free approach used to analyze complex fMRI data acquired in naturalistic, audiovisual stimulus environments (Kauppi, 2010). It allows us to measure shared content across experimental conditions by filtering out subject-specific signals and revealing voxels with a consistent, stimulus-evoked response time series across subjects (Nastase et al., 2019a). It does this by calculating pairwise correlation coefficients between all pairs of participants for each voxel throughout the brain. Ultimately, it provides us with a map of neural synchrony, indicating regions with synchronous ISC across participants throughout the entire time course of the film.

Linear Mixed Effects Modelling

After running ISCs on all pairs of participants, we used the Linear Mixed Effects Model (LME) implemented via the *3dISC* module in AFNI (Cox, 1996; Cox & Hyde, 1997; described by Chen et al., 2020) to identify significant ISC associated with our contrasts of interest. LME is

a parametric method based on the GLM and is used to model the relationship between the fMRI time series data and the experimental conditions at each voxel, accounting for the complex covariance structure of ISC data. As LME accounts for variability in the data due to random effects, it allows for more precise estimation of fixed effects (Koerner & Zhang, 2017). The random effects specified in the LME model indicate random intercepts for each subject. These random effects account for individual differences in how participants respond to the same movie stimulus. LME was run separately for each condition (i.e., the audiovisual films), resulting in the following contrasts: comparison of ISC between migraineurs and controls during the negative valence, high arousal film; comparison of ISC between migraineurs and controls during the positive valence, high arousal film; and comparison of ISC between migraineurs and controls during the neutral valence, low arousal film. To address the imbalance in sex distribution between the migraine and control groups, we included sex as a quantitative explanatory variable in our LME model. We used sex as a main effect to account for its potential influence on the results. Random effects for subjects were also included to account for individual variability, allowing us to isolate the effects of interest more accurately. Significant ISCs were defined using a voxelwise false discovery rate (FDR) of $q < 0.05$. Significant results were transformed into surface space for visualization purposes only.

Results

Behavioral Results

Following each film, participants rated their emotional experience using the Modified Differential Emotions Scale (Frederickson, 2001). Group differences in negative valence, positive valence, and arousal were analyzed with independent t-tests in SPSS. The first independent samples *t*-test examined differences in negative valence using the items ‘fearful,

scared, afraid' and 'anxious, tense, nervous' for the negative valence, high arousal film, the positive valence, high arousal film and the neutral valence, low arousal film. Migraineurs did not differ from controls in the 'fearful, scared, afraid,' ($t(41) = -1.46$, $p < 0.153$, $t(41) = -0.709$, $p < 0.483$, no relevant statistics due to zero standard deviation) or 'anxious tense nervous' ($t(41) = -1.828$, $p < 0.075$, $t(41) = -0.101$, $p > 0.92$, $t(41) = -0.192$, $p < 0.849$, respectively) dimensions, where they were all $p > 0.05$ and also greater than the Bonferroni corrected p-value, $p > 0.01$. Refer to Figure 1 for the means and standard deviations of scores across groups.



Figure 1. Means and standard errors for the perceived negative valence of each movie type in migraine and control groups.

The second independent samples t-test examined differences in positive valence using the items 'joyful, happy, amused' and 'warmhearted, gleeful, elated,' for the negative valence, high arousal film, the positive valence, high arousal film and the neutral valence, low arousal film. Migraineurs did not differ from controls in the 'joyful, happy, amused,' ($t(41) = -0.531$, $p <$

0.599, $t(41) = -1.294$, $p < 0.203$, $t(41) = -0.191$, $p > 0.849$, respectively) or 'warmhearted, gleeful, elated' ($t(41) = 0.827$, $p > 0.413$, $t(41) = -1.720$, $p > 0.093$, $t(41) = -0.434$, $p > 0.666$, respectively) dimensions, where they were all $p > 0.05$ and also greater than the Bonferroni corrected p-value, $p > 0.0083$. Refer to Figure 2 for the means and standard deviations of scores across groups.



Figure 2. Means and standard errors for the perceived positive valence of each movie type in migraine and control groups.

The third independent samples t-test examined differences in arousal using the item 'interested, concentrated, alert' for the negative valence, high arousal film, the positive valence, high arousal film and the neutral valence, low arousal film. Migraineurs did not differ from controls in this dimension ($t(41) = -1.457$, $p > 0.153$, $t(41) = -1.7813$, $p > 0.077$, $t(41) = 0.492$, $p > 0.625$, respectively) where they were all $p > 0.05$ and also greater than the Bonferroni

corrected *p*-value, $p > 0.0083$. Refer to Figure 3 for the means and standard deviations of scores across groups.



Figure 3. Means and standard errors for the perceived arousal of each movie type in migraine and control groups.

Migraine vs. Controls: Negative Valence, High Arousal

Results from the LME examining how migraineurs vs. controls processed the negative valence, high arousal film are shown in Figure 4 and Tables S1 and S2 (Supplementary Materials B), respectively. Migraineurs showed significantly greater neural synchrony than healthy controls in the bilateral pSTG, bilateral cuneus, right angular gyrus, and left MFG. Healthy controls showed significantly greater neural synchrony than migraineurs in the bilateral lateral occipital cortex (LOC), bilateral occipital pole, left precentral gyrus, right MFG and right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG).



Figure 4. Voxels showing significant ISC within participants with migraine compared to healthy controls across the time course of the negative valence, high arousal audiovisual stimulus. Warm colors refer to regions that are more synchronous in the migraine than the control group. Cool colors refer to regions that are more synchronous in the control than the migraine group. Results are displayed as a voxelwise false discovery (FDR) threshold of $q < 0.05$.

Migraine vs. Controls: Positive Valence, High Arousal

Results from the LME examining how migraineurs vs. controls processed the positive valence, high arousal film are shown in Figure 5 and Tables S3 and S4 (Supplementary Materials B), respectively. Migraineurs showed significantly greater neural synchrony than healthy controls in the bilateral pSTG, SPL, and left supplementary motor area (SMA). Healthy controls showed significantly greater neural synchrony than migraineurs in the left LOC, left supramarginal gyrus, left precentral gyrus, left frontal pole, and left fusiform gyrus.



Figure 5. Voxels showing significant ISC within participants with migraine compared to healthy controls across the time course of the positive valence, high arousal audiovisual stimulus. Warm colors refer to regions that are more synchronous in the migraine than the control group. Cool colors refer to regions that are more synchronous in the control than the migraine group. Results are displayed as a voxelwise false discovery (FDR) threshold of $q < 0.05$.

Migraine vs. Controls: Neutral Valence, Low Arousal

The LME examining how migraineurs vs. controls processed the neutral valence, low arousal film showed no significant differences in either direction (i.e., migraineurs showing greater neural synchrony compared to controls, controls showing greater neural synchrony compared to migraineurs).

Discussion

This study sought to examine differences in neural synchrony between migraineurs and healthy controls in response to films of differing emotional valence and arousal (i.e., negative

valence/high arousal, positive valence/high arousal, neutral valence/low arousal. To do so, we used ISC analysis and used LME modelling to determine how neural synchrony varies between the migraine and control groups across the three film conditions. Results from this study suggest that migraineurs show more similar processing in regions associated with audiovisual multisensory integration while processing high arousal audiovisual films.

Behaviourally, the results from the Modified Differential Emotions Scale confirmed that the audiovisual films used successfully elicited their intended emotional valence and arousal responses. Specifically, the negative valence/high arousal, positive valence/high arousal, and neutral valence/low arousal films were accurately perceived in alignment with their intended valence and arousal levels. Importantly, there were no significant differences between the migraine and control groups in perceived valence or arousal for any of the films. This finding supports the notion that the observed group differences cannot be attributed to variations in how the films were experienced in terms of emotional arousal or valence.

In line with our hypothesis, across both high arousal films, migraineurs showed heightened neural synchrony in the bilateral pSTG, which is a key multisensory integration area, particularly for combining visual and auditory information (Ozker et al., 2017). This supports the theory that migraineurs have a heightened sensitivity to high arousal stimuli, regardless of the emotional valence of the stimulus (Steppacher et al., 2016). It is well known that migraineurs experience visual and auditory sensitivities, resulting in altered multisensory integration (Yang et al., 2014). As migraineurs may experience an enhanced response to high arousal stimuli (Steppacher et al., 2016), it is possible that this elevated multisensory integration is enhanced during high arousal states. Importantly, no differences in neural synchrony were observed in the bilateral pSTG during the low arousal film, suggesting that heightened synchrony in this region

may be specifically associated with processing high arousal stimuli. This pattern further suggests that the increased synchrony in this region reflects the brain's response to the sensory demands imposed by heightened arousal rather than general differences in sensory processing across all audiovisual stimuli. Therefore, heightened synchrony in the bilateral pSTG during both high arousal films may reflect the brain's increased effort to integrate the increased sensory input from both auditory and visual modalities. This suggests that in migraineurs, amplified sensory processing associated with hyperexcitable cortex during high arousal states may also increase the demand on multisensory integration processes, however, more research is needed to determine the exact mechanisms underlying this heightened synchrony.

Across both high arousal films, the control group had heightened synchrony in the left precentral gyrus. The precentral gyrus contains the primary motor cortex and is responsible for the control of voluntary motor movement (Banker & Tadi, 2024). The precentral gyrus has been shown to have synchronous activity across subjects during movie watching, possibly reflecting the involvement of the motor cortex in the processing of seen actions (Lankinen et al., 2014). Heightened synchrony in the precentral gyrus in the control group may indicate a more coordinated engagement of motor-related processes in response to high arousal stimuli. This effect is seen regardless of the emotional valence, suggesting that the controls might process observed actions more uniformly. The implications of this finding in the context of migraine remain unclear and warrant further investigation to elucidate potential differences in motor cortical engagement in response to high arousal audiovisual stimuli.

In response to the high arousal, negative valence film, migraineurs showed heightened neural synchrony in the left MFG, consistent with our hypothesis. The left MFG has been implicated in audiovisual integration of complex nonspeech. Similar to the STG being more

synchronous in migraineurs, this heightened synchrony may reflect the brain's increased effort to integrate the increased sensory input from both auditory and visual modalities. Migraineurs also showed heightened neural synchrony in the bilateral cuneus and right angular gyrus, which may reflect decreased suppression of non-foveal information, in line with previous reports that suggest impaired inhibition of visual information in migraine during the interictal period (Coppola et al., 2013). No differences in synchrony were observed in these regions during the low arousal, neutral valence film, supporting the notion that the heightened neural synchrony in migraineurs observed is specific to emotionally salient, high arousal stimuli rather than being a general characteristic of altered sensory processing in migraine. In contrast, controls demonstrated greater synchrony in regions representing visual information presented near the fovea (particularly the occipital pole), suggesting more efficient processing of central visual information (de la Malla & Poletti, 2024). More anterior portions of the cuneus are involved in peripheral visual processing, and neural synchrony in this region in migraineurs may suggest differential engagement with non-central visual information in migraineurs. It is possible that these results may reflect an impaired ability to suppress non-foveal input, leading to heightened neural synchrony outside of the foveal region (Silver & Kastner, 2009). Similarly, heightened synchrony in the right angular gyrus, involved in semantic processing of visual information (Kuhnke et al., 2023), may indicate a more synchronized response to non-central (peripheral) visual aspects of the film. Taken together, these findings suggest that during negative valence audiovisual stimuli, migraineurs may experience a decreased ability to suppress non-foveal visual information, reflected by heightened neural synchrony in non-foveal visual regions of the brain. Previous theories have suggested that migraineurs may have an enhanced response to negative valence stimuli (Wilcox et al., 2016), and the results of this study suggest that the

enhanced response to negative valence stimuli in migraine may be limited to the processing of non-peripheral visual stimuli.

In response to the high arousal, positive valence film, migraineurs had heightened neural synchrony in the bilateral SPL/IPS, consistent with our hypotheses. The SPL/IPS has been suggested to play a role in audiovisual integration as it processes both auditory and visual stimuli (Molholm et al., 2006), and results suggest that migraineurs had more similar processing in this region. This is in line with previous findings that suggest high arousal stimuli leads to more synchronous processing in migraineurs in regions associated with audiovisual multisensory integration, regardless of the emotional valence of the stimulus. In response to the high arousal, positive valence film, controls had heightened neural synchrony in the left frontal pole and supramarginal gyrus, regions involved in working memory (Zacharopoulos et al., 2020; Deschamps et al., 2014). Migraineurs have been shown to demonstrate impairments in higher order cognitive processes, including working memory (Vuralli et al., 2018). Heightened synchrony in these areas in the control group may reflect a more coordinated working memory response to the high arousal, positive valence film, however, the underlying mechanisms for this increased synchrony are not fully understood.

This study aimed at uncovering differences in how migraineurs and controls process naturalistic audiovisual stimuli of differing emotional arousal and valence, however, there are several limitations to be discussed. The films used in this study were chosen to elicit specific valence and arousal responses and were not matched in terms of vision and sound. For example, the high arousal, negative valence film had dark lighting, an absence of bright colours, and less dialogue compared to the positive valence film. As a result, we did not directly compare *across* films, as we cannot control for differences between the stimuli. Future research should use

stimuli where the visual and auditory components of the stimuli are more closely matched, and only the emotional valence of the film differs. Additionally, in ISC analysis, a sample size of 17 migraineurs is sufficient and can be robust due to the focus on shared neural responses across subjects (Nastase et al., 2019), however, larger sample sizes would improve the reliability and generalizability of the findings, making this a limitation of the current study. Further, the sample size of 17 migraineurs made us unable to break down the effects by making a distinction between chronic and episodic migraine, as well as migraine with and without aura. Future research should address the limitations of this study by selecting films that are more closely matched in visual and auditory characteristics to enable direct comparisons of the migraine group across films of differing emotional arousal and valence. Further, future studies should use a larger sample size for the migraine group to improve the reliability and generalizability of ISC findings, and to enable the exploration of migraine subtypes, such as chronic/episodic and those with and without aura. Lastly, migraine has been shown to have a vascular component, which has the potential to influence fMRI results. As there were no significant results for the neutral film, it is unlikely that the vascular component of migraine influenced the results, however, the possibility can not be entirely excluded.

In conclusion, this study highlights the distinct ways that emotional arousal and valence shape neurological processing in individuals with migraine, influencing different cognitive domains. In other words, both emotional arousal and valence likely influence processing in migraine, but in distinct ways. Migraineurs consistently exhibited heightened neural synchrony in regions linked to audiovisual multisensory integration when responding to high-arousal stimuli, regardless of whether the stimuli had positive or negative emotional valence. However, when processing negative valence stimuli, migraineurs and controls diverged in neural

synchrony within visual processing areas. Specifically, migraineurs displayed greater synchrony in peripheral visual processing regions, suggesting more similar processing in response to stimuli outside the central visual field. Taken together, these findings indicate that hypersensitivity to emotional arousal in migraine may drive more synchronized neural responses related to multisensory integration, whereas hypersensitivity to negative valence stimuli may lead to enhanced synchrony in areas associated with visual processing, particularly outside the fovea. Overall, this study highlights how migraine uniquely shapes the brain's response to emotional arousal and valence, revealing distinct but interconnected alterations in neural processing. These findings underscore the broader implications of migraine-related sensory hypersensitivity, particularly in the context of emotionally salient stimuli, and provide valuable insight into how heightened arousal and valence sensitivity may drive differences in multisensory integration and visual information processing.

References

Abraham, A., Pedregosa, F., Eickenberg, M., Gervais, P., Mueller, A., Kossaifi, J., Gramfort, A., Thirion, B., & Varoquaux, G. (2014). Machine learning for neuroimaging with scikit-learn. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, 8.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2014.00014

Avants, B. B., Epstein, C. L., Grossman, M., & Gee, J. C. (2008). Symmetric diffeomorphic image registration with cross-correlation: Evaluating automated labeling of elderly and neurodegenerative brain. *Medical Image Analysis, 12*(1), 26–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2007.06.004

Avery, Devon. (2023, February 10). *One-Minute Time Machine* [Video]. YouTube. URL https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CXhnPLMIET0&t=2s

Ashkenazi, A., Mushtaq, A., Yang, I., & Oshinsky, M. (2009). Ictal and Interictal Phonophobia in Migraine—A Quantitative Controlled Study. *Cephalalgia*, *29*(10), 1042–1048. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2982.2008.01834.x

Ashkenazi, A., Yang, I., Mushtaq, A., & Oshinsky, M. L. (2010). Is phonophobia associated with cutaneous allodynia in migraine? *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, *81*(11), 1256–1260. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2009.198481

Banker, L., & Tadi, P. (2024). Neuroanatomy, Precentral Gyrus. In *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK544218/

Boran, H. E., Bolay, H., Gantenbein, H. A. R., & Pohl, H. (2021). Sensory Processing and Sensorimotor Integration in Migraine. In G. Coppola & W.-T. Chen (Eds.), *Neurophysiology of the Migraine Brain* (pp. 113–131). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-56538-1_9

Boulloche, N., Denuelle, M., Payoux, P., Fabre, N., Trotter, Y., & Géraud, G. (2010). Photophobia in migraine: An interictal PET study of cortical hyperexcitability and its modulation by pain. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, *81*(9), 978–984. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2009.190223

Breslau, N., & Davis, G. C. (1993). Migraine, physical health and psychiatric disorder: A prospective epidemiologic study in young adults. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *27*(2), 211–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(93)90009-Q

Chen, G., Taylor, P. A., Qu, X., Molfese, P. J., Bandettini, P. A., Cox, R. W., & Finn, E. S. (2020). Untangling the relatedness among correlations, part III: Inter-subject correlation analysis through Bayesian multilevel modeling for naturalistic scanning. *NeuroImage*, *216*, 116474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116474

Ciuffini, R., Stratta, P., Pacitti, F., Bologna, M., & Marrelli, A. (2020). Emotional dysregulation, affective status and personality traits in a sample of migraine patients. *Journal of Psychopathology*, 141–146. https://doi.org/10.36148/2284-0249-384

Coppola, G., Parisi, V., Di Lorenzo, C., Serrao, M., Magis, D., Schoenen, J., & Pierelli, F. (2013). Lateral inhibition in visual cortex of migraine patients between attacks. *The Journal of Headache and Pain*, *14*(1), 20. https://doi.org/10.1186/1129-2377-14-20

Cox, R. W. (1996). AFNI: Software for Analysis and Visualization of Functional Magnetic Resonance Neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical Research*, *29*(3), 162–173. https://doi.org/10.1006/cbmr.1996.0014

Cox, R. W., & Hyde, J. S. (1997). Software tools for analysis and visualization of fMRI data. *NMR in Biomedicine*, *10*(4–5), 171–178. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1492(199706/08)10:4/5<171::AID-NBM453>3.0.CO;2-L

de la Malla, C., & Poletti, M. (2024). Different temporal dynamics of foveal and peripheral visual processing during fixation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *121*(37), e2408067121. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2408067121

Deschamps, I., Baum, S. R., & Gracco, V. L. (2014). On the role of the supramarginal gyrus in phonological processing and verbal working memory: Evidence from rTMS studies. *Neuropsychologia*, *53*, 39–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.10.015

Esteban, O., Markiewicz, C. J., Blair, R. W., Moodie, C. A., Isik, A. I., Erramuzpe, A., Kent, J. D., Goncalves, M., DuPre, E., Snyder, M., Oya, H., Ghosh, S. S., Wright, J., Durnez, J., Poldrack, R. A., & Gorgolewski, K. J. (2019). fMRIPrep: A robust preprocessing pipeline for functional MRI. *Nature Methods*, *16*(1), 111–116. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0235-4

Finn, E. S., Glerean, E., Khojandi, A. Y., Nielson, D., Molfese, P. J., Handwerker, D. A., & Bandettini, P. A. (2020). Idiosynchrony: From shared responses to individual differences during naturalistic neuroimaging. *NeuroImage*, *215*, 116828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116828

Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, *56*(3), 218–226. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.218

Friedman, D. I., & De Ver Dye, T. (2009). Migraine and the Environment. *Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain*, *49*(6), 941–952. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4610.2009.01443.x

Gao, C., Green, J. J., Yang, X., Oh, S., Kim, J., & Shinkareva, S. V. (2023). Audiovisual integration in the human brain: A coordinate-based meta-analysis. *Cerebral Cortex*, *33*(9), 5574–5584. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac443

Harle, D. E., Shepherd, A. J., & Evans, B. J. W. (2006). Visual stimuli are common triggers of migraine and are associated with pattern glare. *Headache*, *46*(9), 1431–1440. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4610.2006.00585.x

Hasson, U., Nir, Y., Levy, I., Fuhrmann, G., & Malach, R. (2004). Intersubject Synchronization of Cortical Activity During Natural Vision. *Science*, *303*(5664), 1634–1640. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1089506

Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). (2013). The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). *Cephalalgia*, *33*(9), 629–808. https://doi.org/10.1177/0333102413485658

Kauppi. (2010). Inter-subject correlation of brain hemodynamic responses during watching a movie: Localization in space and frequency. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2010.00005

Kelman, L., & Tanis, D. (2006). The Relationship between Migraine Pain and Other Associated Symptoms. *Cephalalgia*, *26*(5), 548–553. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2982.2006.01075.x

Klasen, M., Kreifelts, B., Chen, Y.-H., Seubert, J., & Mathiak, K. (2014). Neural processing of emotion in multimodal settings. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00822

Koerner, T., & Zhang, Y. (2017). Application of Linear Mixed-Effects Models in Human Neuroscience Research: A Comparison with Pearson Correlation in Two Auditory

Electrophysiology Studies. *Brain Sciences*, *7*(12), 26. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci7030026

Kuhnke, P., Chapman, C. A., Cheung, V. K. M., Turker, S., Graessner, A., Martin, S., Williams, K. A., & Hartwigsen, G. (2023). The role of the angular gyrus in semantic cognition: A synthesis of five functional neuroimaging studies. *Brain Structure & Function*, *228*(1), 273–291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-02493-y

Lankinen, K., Saari, J., Hari, R., & Koskinen, M. (2014). Intersubject consistency of cortical MEG signals during movie viewing. *NeuroImage*, *92*, 217–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.02.004

Letts, E., Basharat, A., & Barnett-Cowan, M. (2022). *Evaluating the Effect of Semantic Congruency and Valence on Multisensory Integration*. https://doi.org/10.1163/22134808-bja10073

Masson, R., Lévêque, Y., Demarquay, G., ElShafei, H., Fornoni, L., Lecaignard, F., Morlet, D., Bidet-Caulet, A., & Caclin, A. (2020). Auditory attention alterations in migraine: A behavioral and MEG/EEG study. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *131*(8), 1933–1946. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2020.05.024

Meylakh, N., & Henderson, L. A. (2022). Exploring alterations in sensory pathways in migraine. *The Journal of Headache and Pain*, *23*(1), 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s10194-021-01371-y

Mickleborough, M. J. S., Ekstrand, C., Gould, L., Lorentz, E. J., Ellchuk, T., Babyn, P., & Borowsky, R. (2016). Attentional Network Differences Between Migraineurs and Non-migraine Controls: fMRI Evidence. *Brain Topography*, *29*(3), 419–428. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-015-0459-x

Molholm, S., Sehatpour, P., Mehta, A., Shpaner, M., Gomez-Ramirez, M., Ortigue, S., Dyke, J., Schwartz, T., & Foxe, J. J. (2006). Audio-visual multisensory integration in superior parietal lobule revealed by human intracranial recordings. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Audio-visual-multisensory-integration-in-superior-Molholm-Sehatpour/955a30e7bbbde0e36cae9c3e6546517f063ce59b

Nastase, S. A., Gazzola, V., Hasson, U., & Keysers, C. (2019a). Measuring shared responses across subjects using intersubject correlation. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, nsz037. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsz037

Nastase, S. A., Gazzola, V., Hasson, U., & Keysers, C. (2019b). Measuring shared responses across subjects using intersubject correlation. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *14*(6), 667–685. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsz037

O'Hare, L. (2017). *Multisensory Integration in Migraine: Recent Developments*. https://doi.org/10.1163/22134808-00002570

O'Hare, L. (2018). Temporal Integration of Motion Streaks in Migraine. *Vision*, *2*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.3390/vision2030027

Ozker, M., Schepers, I. M., Magnotti, J., Yoshor, D., & Beauchamp, M. (2017). A Double Dissociation between Anterior and Posterior Superior Temporal Gyrus for Processing Audiovisual Speech Demonstrated by Electrocorticography. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/A-Double-Dissociation-between-Anterior-and-Superior-Ozker-Schepers/2f132c6a403792c50aa4d821d007e992d1faf52a

Pajula, J., Kauppi, J.-P., & Tohka, J. (2012). Inter-Subject Correlation in fMRI: Method Validation against Stimulus-Model Based Analysis. *PLOS ONE*, *7*(8), e41196. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041196

Saito, D. N., Yoshimura, K., Kochiyama, T., Okada, T., Honda, M., & Sadato, N. (2005). Cross-modal Binding and Activated Attentional Networks during Audio-visual Speech Integration: A Functional MRI Study. *Cerebral Cortex*, *15*(11), 1750–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhi052

Schwedt, T. J. (2013). Multisensory Integration in Migraine. *Current Opinion in Neurology*, *26*(3), 248–253. https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0b013e328360edb1

Sezai, T., Murphy, M. J., Riddell, N., Nguyen, V., & Crewther, S. G. (2023). Visual Processing During the Interictal Period Between Migraines: A Meta-Analysis. *Neuropsychology Review*, *33*(4), 765–782. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-022-09562-3

Silberstein, S. D. (1995). Migraine Symptoms: Results of a Survey of Self□Reported Migraineurs. *Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain*, *35*(7), 387–396. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4610.1995.hed3507387.x

Silver, M. A., & Kastner, S. (2009). Topographic maps in human frontal and parietal cortex. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *13*(11), 488–495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.08.005

Sonkusare, S., Breakspear, M., & Guo, C. (2019). Naturalistic Stimuli in Neuroscience: Critically Acclaimed. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *23*(8), 699–714. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.05.004

Steppacher, I., Schindler, S., & Kissler, J. (2016). Higher, faster, worse? An event-related potentials study of affective picture processing in migraine. *Cephalalgia*, *36*(3), 249–257. https://doi.org/10.1177/0333102415587705

Szabó, E., Galambos, A., Kocsel, N., Édes, A. E., Pap, D., Zsombók, T., Kozák, L. R., Bagdy, G., Kökönyei, G., & Juhász, G. (2019). Association between migraine frequency and neural

response to emotional faces: An fMRI study. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, *22*, 101790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101790

Taffou, M., Ondřej, J., O'Sullivan, C., Warusfel, O., Dubal, S., & Viaud-Delmon, I. (2017). Multisensory aversive stimuli differentially modulate negative feelings in near and far space. *Psychological Research*, *81*(4), 764–776. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-016-0774-1

Takeshima, Y. (2020). Emotional information affects fission illusion induced by audio-visual interactions. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 998. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57719-y

Vingen, J. V., Pareja, J., Støren, O., White, L., & Stovner, L. (1998). Phonophobia in Migraine. *Cephalalgia*, *18*(5), 243–249. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2982.1998.1805243.x

Vuralli, D., Ayata, C., & Bolay, H. (2018). Cognitive dysfunction and migraine. *The Journal of Headache and Pain*, *19*(1), 109. https://doi.org/10.1186/s10194-018-0933-4

Wang, M., Su, J., Zhang, J., Zhao, Y., Yao, Q., Zhang, Q., Zhang, H., Wang, S., Li, G.-F., Liu, J.-R., & Du, X. (2017). Visual cortex and cerebellum hyperactivation during negative emotion picture stimuli in migraine patients. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 41919. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41919

Wilcox, S. L., Veggeberg, R., Lemme, J., Hodkinson, D. J., Scrivani, S., Burstein, R., Becerra, L., & Borsook, D. (2016). Increased Functional Activation of Limbic Brain Regions during Negative Emotional Processing in Migraine. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2016.00366

Yang, W., Chu, B., Yang, J., Yu, Y., Wu, J., & Yu, S. (2014). Elevated audiovisual temporal interaction in patients with migraine without aura. *The Journal of Headache and Pain*, *15*(1), 44. https://doi.org/10.1186/1129-2377-15-44

Zacharopoulos, G., Klingberg, T., & Cohen Kadosh, R. (2020). Cortical surface area of the left frontal pole is associated with visuospatial working memory capacity. *Neuropsychologia*, *143*, 107486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2020.107486

Zhang, Y., Kim, J.-H., Brang, D., & Liu, Z. (2021). Naturalistic stimuli: A paradigm for multiscale functional characterization of the human brain. *Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering*, *19*, 100298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobme.2021.100298