Postpartum Psychosis: could chronic insomnia or short sleep be protective?

Chiara Petrosellini MRCOG[1,2,3*], Sofia Eriksson FRCP PhD[4,5], Nicholas Meyer MRCPsych PhD[6,7], Olivia Protti MRCOG MRCPsych[1,8], Nicholas Bass MD MRCPsych[2], Karoline Kuchenbaecker PhD[2], Dimitrios Siassakos MDRes FRCOG[1,3] & Andrew McQuillin PhD[2]

[1] Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Institute for Women's Health, University College London

[2] Division of Psychiatry, University College London

[3] Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Wing, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

[4] Department of Clinical and Experimental Epilepsy, Institute of Neurology, University College London

[5] National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

[6] Insomnia and Behavioural Sleep Medicine Clinic, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

[7] Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychology, Psychiatry and Neuroscience, King's College London

[8] East London Mother and Baby Unit, East London NHS Foundation Trust

*Correspondence to:

Dr Chiara Petrosellini

chiara.petrosellini.22@ucl.ac.uk

UCL EGA Institute for Women's Health

84-86 Chenies Mews, London WC13 6HU

Tel: +44(0)20 3108 2035

Abstract

Postpartum Psychosis (PP) is a severe and understudied perinatal mental illness which disproportionately affects women with bipolar disorder (BD). A relationship between sleep disturbance and PP is often assumed, but is poorly understood. Data from 2099 BD subjects were screened to identify parous women. Individuals who developed PP and those who had not were compared in our analyses. Polygenic Risk Scores (PRS) for BD, schizophrenia, insomnia, short sleep, long sleep and sleep efficiency were computed using PRS-CS. Logistic regression was used to model the effect of each PRS on PP. Higher PRS for insomnia and short sleep were protective against PP. Individuals in the lowest decile for insomnia PRS (RR 1.96, 95% CI 1.25-3.07, $p = 3.50 \times 10^{-3}$) and short sleep PRS (RR 2.23, 95% CI 1.40-3.54, $p = 7.94 \times 10^{-4}$) had approximately double the risk of PP than individuals in the highest decile. BD, schizophrenia, long sleep and sleep efficiency PRS were not associated with PP. Mendelian Randomisation analyses did not support a causal relationship between sleep traits and PP. However, we demonstrate that the integration of PRS with clinical variables can improve prediction accuracy. Individuals with genetic vulnerability to insomnia or short sleep may develop a heightened tolerance to sleep disruption earlier in life, mitigating the impact of childbirth on mood. These findings suggest that genetic susceptibility to sleep disturbance may play a crucial role in the aetiology of PP, offering a new potential avenue for risk stratification and targeted prevention.

Introduction

Postpartum psychosis (PP) is a severe but treatable mental illness which affects 1-2 in 1000 women who give birth. Most episodes present with mania or a mixed affective state, often accompanied by the presence of psychotic or cognitive symptoms(1). PP is a psychiatric emergency due to its rapid onset and escalation, coupled with the associated risks of suicide and infanticide(2).

Whilst the disease classification of PP is a source of ongoing debate(3), it is widely conceptualised as a form of bipolar disorder (BD) with a vulnerability to childbirth. Women with BD carry a 20% risk of developing a psychotic or manic episode postpartum(4), and 30% of women who experience first-onset PP will be diagnosed with BD long-term(5). Despite the close relationship between the two conditions, a previous psychiatric history does not explain most cases of PP and only a proportion of women with BD experience a postnatal relapse. Improved prediction of PP therefore requires better understanding of the role of other perinatal triggers.

Sleep is a fundamental physiological necessity which is almost universally disrupted around childbirth. Pregnancy and the postpartum period are times of extreme change in sleep quantity, quality and pattern(6). There is increasing recognition that these changes can represent both symptoms and causes of maternal mood and anxiety disorders(7). Outside the perinatal period, sleep loss is a well-established trigger of psychosis(8) and a recognised risk factor for suicidality(9). Experimentally-induced sleep deprivation has been shown to induce mania in women with postnatal psychosis(10) and cause a switch from depression to mania(11). Reduced need or ability to sleep is one of the earliest and most common presentations of PP(12) and sleep has been hypothesized to be the final common pathway through which other factors produce psychosis in susceptible women(13, 14).

There is increasing evidence that sleep may play a role in perinatal episodes for women with BD. Parous women with BD who report sleep loss as a lifetime trigger of manic episodes have been shown to be twice as likely to have had PP as women with BD who have had children and do not report sleep loss as a personal trigger(15). A prospective study has also demonstrated that women with BD who lose one or more nights of sleep over the intrapartum period have a significantly higher risk of developing PP compared to those who do not(16). It is plausible that there is a subset of women with BD who are particularly vulnerable to psychiatric deterioration following sleep disruption, whilst others may be more resilient when sleep patterns are disturbed.

Both BD(17) and sleep traits(18) are thought to have polygenic inheritance, whereby the combined effects of multiple common genetic variants contribute to disease risk. The data from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of complex traits can be used to derive Polygenic Risk Scores (PRS), which provide an estimate of an individual's relative susceptibility to disease. Whilst a PRS alone has limited practical application at present, the combination of PRS with other risk factors has been increasingly shown to improve outcome prediction and

clinical decision-making in psychiatry(19). A PRS approach can also be used to elucidate disease mechanisms and has provided support to the view that BD and first-onset PP may be biologically distinct(20).

BD demonstrates significant heterogeneity in its pathogenesis, clinical presentation and outcomes(21). At present, prediction of PP in women with BD is imprecise. As a result, resource allocation for pregnant women with pre-existing mental illness is also inefficient. In a direct comparison of women with BD who did and who did not develop PP following childbirth, PRS for BD and schizophrenia were found not to differ significantly between the two groups(20). Our initial objective was to replicate this finding. We then sought to establish whether genetic vulnerability to sleep disorders can be used to improve prediction of PP in women with BD. We chose to investigate the following sleep traits:

1. **Insomnia:** difficulty in initiating or maintaining sleep, despite adequate opportunity to sleep(22). Observational(12, 23) and qualitative(24, 25) studies have consistently identified insomnia as one of the most common symptoms of PP. In prospective studies of high-risk cohorts, insomnia has been shown to predict the emergence of BD(26) and has been associated with an increased likelihood of developing delusions and hallucinations(27).

2. **Short Sleep:** commonly defined as habitual sleep duration of less than 6 hours per night(28). Reduced sleep duration is often a prodrome of mania(29) and has been shown to predict deterioration in psychosis symptoms(30).

3. **Long Sleep:** commonly defined as habitual sleep duration of more than 9 hours per night(31). Individuals with BD have been shown to have significantly longer sleep duration than healthy controls, both in periods of euthymia(32) and during depressive relapses(33).

4. **Sleep Efficiency:** the proportion of time in bed which is spent asleep(34). There is consistent evidence that individuals with BD have lower sleep efficiency than healthy controls(32) and a recent meta-analysis has supported an association between reduced sleep efficiency and both early and chronic psychosis(35).

We hypothesized that women with BD who do and who do not develop PP following childbirth may differ in their relative genetic susceptibility to the sleep traits above.

Methods

Participants

Individuals with an ICD-10 diagnosis of BD(36) were recruited from National Health Service (NHS) general practices, hospitals and community mental health teams in England. Participants were also recruited through the Bipolar UK charity. This included individuals with Bipolar I Disorder (BDI), Bipolar II Disorder (BDII) and Schizoaffective Bipolar Disorder (SABD). Ancestrally matched healthy controls were recruited from the same study sites in which BD cases were recruited and from the NHS blood transfusion service(37). All controls were screened for the absence of a lifetime history of psychiatric illness including all major psychotic and affective disorders. All BD cases and healthy controls were of White British descent and had at least three out of four grandparents of the same ancestry. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study received NHS Research Ethics Committee and Health Research Authority approval (MREC/03/11/090).

Study Measures and Definitions

All participants with BD completed semi-structured interviews using the lifetime version of the Schizophrenia and Affective Disorder Schedule (38) and the Operational Criteria Checklist for Psychotic Illness (39). Female participants who have given birth were screened for a history of perinatal psychiatric complications using the International Cohort Collection for Bipolar Disorder (ICCBD) variables checklist(40). In this cohort, Postpartum Psychosis (PP) was defined as an episode of mania, psychosis or psychotic depression following childbirth.

There is no universal consensus on the timeframe which defines the postnatal period, and there is no widely accepted definition for what qualifies as a 'perinatal' episode in women with pre-existing mental illness. Whilst the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) defines this time period as four weeks(41) and the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) defines this as six weeks following delivery(42), perinatal services in the United Kingdom are designed to care for women until at least twelve months following childbirth(43). This reflects an increasing recognition that the biopsychosocial changes associated with motherhood have profound personal and public health implications which extend well beyond the early postnatal period(44). We therefore included all women who experienced PP within the first postnatal year and conducted an additional sensitivity analysis in which we only included individuals who met strict ICD definitions for a perinatal episode. The data was coded in a binary format, to separate parous women with BD into two groups: those who had and those who had not experienced PP following childbirth.

Discovery datasets for sleep phenotypes

Summary statistics of GWAS were obtained for insomnia(45), short and long sleep(46) and sleep efficiency(47) to conduct our analyses.

The insomnia GWAS(45) was conducted in participants recruited to the UK Biobank and 23andMe. Insomnia was defined as self-reported difficulty in falling asleep or staying asleep, which was assessed using a single question:

'Do you have trouble falling asleep at night or do you wake up in the middle of the night?'. Answers to this question were then dichotomised to create a binary phenotype. A total of 593,724 cases and 1,771,286 controls were included in the GWAS. We used summary statistics from a subset of 386,988 European individuals from the UK Biobank (109,548 cases and 277,440 controls) to generate our PRS.

Austin-Zimmerman et al. performed a multi-ancestry GWAS of self-reported short and long sleep duration in adults recruited to the UK Biobank and the Million Veteran Programme. Self-reported sleep duration was measured as a continuous trait and reported in hourly increments for a total of 493,142 individuals. Short sleep was defined as habitual sleep duration ≤5 hours, whilst long sleep was defined as habitual sleep duration ≥10 hours. Normal sleep duration was defined as 7-8 hours per night. Their GWAS meta-analyses compared short versus normal sleep duration and long versus normal sleep duration. We used summary statistics from a subset of 445,966 individuals of European descent to calculate PRS for short sleep (47,054 cases and 382,950 controls) and long sleep (15,962 cases and 382,950 controls).

Jones et al. used accelerometer data from 85,670 UK Biobank participants to perform a GWAS of eight objective sleep traits. Sleep efficiency was defined as sleep duration divided by the time period of nocturnal inactivity. This measure was reported as a percentage ratio in 85,502 individuals of European ancestry. We used summary statistics from this subset to generate PRS for sleep efficiency in our sample.

Genotyping

Participants provided blood or saliva samples for DNA extraction and genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping was performed. The data was generated at the Broad Institute (Boston, USA) using the Illumina PsychArray or the Illumina Global Screening Array (GSA) genotyping platforms. Strict quality control (QC) was performed separately for each platform using PLINK1.9(48). Imputation was undertaken against the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel using the Sanger Imputation Server(49). Details of the genotyping, QC and imputation methods used have been described elsewhere(50).

Polygenic Risk Score (PRS) Calculation

Summary statistics for BD(17) and schizophrenia(51) were obtained from the Psychiatric Genetic Consortium. Summary statistics for sleep phenotypes were obtained from the GWAS described above. PRS were computed for BD, schizophrenia and our sleep traits of interest in both subjects with BD and healthy controls. These were generated using the PRS-CS auto method(52), which provides a single score for each individual without the need for p value selection thresholds. PRS-CS requires GWAS summary statistics and a linkage disequilibrium reference panel, and we chose to use the European reference panel from the 1000 Genomes Project(53) for the latter.

For each trait of interest, the raw PRS values were normalised into Z scores centred around a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 in the healthy control subjects, allowing direct comparison across our cohorts (GSA, PsychArray and healthy controls). The normally distributed PRS for the PsychArray and GSA datasets were then merged for downstream analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was conducted in R using version 4.3.2(54). Logistic regression analyses were performed to examine the effect of each PRS on PP following childbirth in women with BD. The genotyping platform used and the first three population principal components were added as covariates in all analyses to account for chip and ancestry confounding. To account for multiple testing, we used the p.adjust function in R to apply the False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction method(55) to each PRS analysis. The pROC package in R was used to compute the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves and Area Under the Curve (AUC) for significant PRS and for bipolar subtype.

For sleep traits in which we observed a significant association between PRS and PP diagnosis, we also added BD PRS and schizophrenia PRS Z scores as covariates in the regression model. In doing so, we attempted to account for possible confounding from differences in relative genetic susceptibility to psychotic illness. Individuals with BDI or SABD are thought to have a higher risk of PP following childbirth than individuals with BDII(56). We therefore also adjusted for bipolar subtype in our regression analyses. To further test the validity of our significant findings, we performed an additional sensitivity analysis in which we only included individuals who developed PP within 6 weeks of childbirth in line with the strict ICD definition of a 'perinatal episode' and compared their PRS with individuals who did not develop PP following childbirth.

We performed power calculations using the Additive Variance Explained and Number of Genetic Effects Method of Estimation (AVENGEME) package(57) to estimate whether our analyses were sufficiently powered to detect a significant effect. Of note, AVENGEME is designed to perform power calculations for PRS analyses using older p-value thresholding methods, which limits its application to analyses performed with the newer PRS-CS auto method.

Mendelian Randomisation (MR) Analyses

For sleep phenotypes where we identified significant associations between PRS and PP, we proceeded with two-sample Mendelian Randomisation (MR) studies to examine whether exposure to specific sleep traits may be causally related to our outcome of interest: PP in women with BD. MR is an epidemiological method which uses genetic variants as instrumental variables to assess causal relationships between potential risk factors and an outcome of interest(58). By using genetic variants as natural experiments, this approach reduces bias from confounding and reverse causation, providing more robust evidence for causal inference. The genetic variants used in MR analyses must satisfy three key assumptions: (i) that they are strongly associated with the exposure

of interest, (ii) that they are independent of confounders that could influence the outcome, and (iii) that they only influence the outcome through their effect on the exposure(59). While the genetic association with the exposure can be evaluated, the absence of confounding and exclusion restriction cannot be tested directly.

We extracted genome-wide significant, linkage-disequilibrium independent SNPs from sleep GWAS summary statistics and used these as genetic instruments for insomnia(45) and short sleep(46). We then estimated SNP-outcome associations by using individual-level data from our cohort of parous women with BD. All MR analyses were conducted using the TwoSampleMR package in R(60), which uses the Inverse Variance Weighted (IVW), MR Egger, Weighted Median, Simple Mode and Weighted Mode MR methods to test the relationship between the genetic instruments and outcomes of interest. We conducted heterogeneity tests using both MR Egger and IVW methods, and assessed directional horizontal pleiotropy via the MR Egger intercept.

Our findings are reported in accordance with the Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using MR (STROBE-MR) checklist(61).

Results

PRS were initially generated for 2099 individuals with BD and 1818 healthy controls in our wider cohort. 343 female participants with BD who had given birth at the point of recruitment were included in our final analyses. Of these individuals, 213 (62.1%) met criteria for BDI, 37 (10.8%) met criteria for BDII and 28 (8.2%) met criteria for SABD. In 65 (19.0%) participants, the BD subtype could not be specified. Of all parous women with BD, 117 had experienced PP following childbirth at the point of recruitment and 226 had not. Those who developed PP had symptom onset between one day and 8 months postpartum. Participants with an underlying diagnosis of a high-risk Bipolar subtype (BPI or SABD) were more likely to develop PP than those without($p=1.387\times10^{-4}$). Women who did and who did not develop PP did not significantly differ in their age or parity at the point of recruitment.

A demographic summary of individuals included in our analyses is shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in the distribution of genotyping platform used in participants who did and who did not develop PP following childbirth (Chi-squared= 2.717, df= 1, p= 0.099).

Table 1: Demographic summary of parous female participants with Bipolar Disorder

Demographics	All (n=343)	PP following childbirth (n=117)	No PP following childbirth (n=226)	p value
Age[1]	51(16)	53(14)	50(16)	0.684[3]
Bipolar Subtype:				
BPI	213	86	127	**1.387×10^{-4}** [4]
SABD	28	12	16	
BPII	37	3	34	
BPNOS	65	16	49	
Parity[2]:				
1	124	44	80	0.776[5]
≥2	219	73	146	

Notes: BPI= Bipolar I Disorder; BPII= Bipolar II Disorder; SABD= Schizoaffective Bipolar Disorder; BPNOS= Bipolar Disorder not otherwise specified

[1] Median age (interquartile range) at recruitment

[2] Defined as the total number of pregnancies carried to a viable gestation at the point of recruitment

Significance set at $p < 0.05$ (significant findings shown in bold):

[3] Wilcoxon rank sum test comparing age between the two groups

[4] Pearson's Chi-squared test comparing the prevalence of high-risk Bipolar subtypes (BPI or SABD) between the two groups

[5] Pearson's Chi-squared test of independence comparing parity between the two groups

Individuals with lower insomnia PRS were found to be at increased risk of PP than individuals with higher PRS. Each one standard deviation increase in insomnia PRS was associated with an odds ratio of 0.718 for PP (95% CI 0.575-0.897; $p=3.5 \times 10^{-3}$). This finding remained significant when adjusting for multiple testing (Table 2). Each one standard deviation increase in insomnia PRS was therefore associated with an almost 30% decrease in the odds of developing PP. This association remained significant when BD PRS, schizophrenia PRS and Bipolar subtype were added as a covariates in the logistic regression model. Women in the bottom decile for insomnia PRS had approximately twice the risk of PP than those in the top decile (RR 1.96, 95% CI 1.25-3.07; Table 3). The distribution of insomnia PRS in women who did and who did not develop PP is shown in Figure 1A.
We performed a sensitivity analysis comparing individuals who did not develop PP with a subset of individuals who met strict ICD-10 criteria for PP. Whilst this sample size was underpowered to detect a statistically significant effect, the direction of association remained unchanged, with insomnia PRS being lower in individuals who developed PP (mean Z-Score -0.37, SD 0.84) compared to those who did not (mean Z-Score 0.04, SD 1.01).

Individuals with lower short sleep PRS were also found to be at increased risk of PP compared to individuals with higher PRS. Each one standard deviation increase in short sleep PRS was associated with an odds ratio of 0.674 for PP (95% CI 0.535-0.849; $p=7.94\times10^{-4}$). This result withstood correction for multiple testing (see Table 2). Each one standard deviation increase in short sleep PRS was therefore associated with a decreased odds of PP by approximately one third. As with insomnia, the association between short sleep PRS and PP remained significant when BD PRS, schizophrenia PRS and Bipolar subtype were added as covariates in the logistic regression analysis. Women in the bottom decile for short sleep PRS had over twice the risk of PP than those in the top decile (RR 2.23, 95% CI 1.40-3.54; Table 3). The distribution of short sleep PRS in individuals who did and who did not develop PP following childbirth is shown in Figure 1B. A sensitivity analysis in which only individuals meeting ICD-10 criteria for PP were included as cases was underpowered to detect a statistically significant effect, however the direction of effect was unchanged. Short sleep PRS was found to be lower in individuals who developed PP (mean Z-Score-0.58, SD 0.86) compared to those who did not (mean Z Score 0.17, SD 1.02).

Combining the data for insomnia and short sleep PRS improved prediction of PP in our cohort compared to using bipolar subtype alone, as demonstrated by the increased area under the curve (AUC) in the joint analysis (Figure 2). This suggests improved predictive accuracy when polygenic risk information is included alongside clinical factors.

Logistic regression comparing individuals with BD who did and who did not develop PP following childbirth did not identify a significant association between BD PRS and PP or between schizophrenia PRS and PP (Table 2). We did not identify significant associations between long sleep PRS and PP (Figure 1C) or between sleep efficiency PRS and PP (Figure 1D) in our cohort. AVENGEME calculations suggested that all our analyses had >80% power to detect a significant effect ($p<0.05$), even when stringent thresholds are used in the model. The exception to this was long sleep, where our study may have been underpowered to a detect a significant association ($p<0.05$) between PRS and PP.

Table 2: Logistic regressions between PRS and Postpartum Psychosis in parous women with Bipolar Disorder

PRS	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p value[1]	FDR_p[1]
Bipolar Disorder	1.255 (0.988-1.594)	0.063	0.126
Schizophrenia	1.158 (0.922-1.454)	0.207	0.311
Insomnia	0.718 (0.575-0.897)	**3.50×10^{-3}**	**1.05×10^{-2}**
Short Sleep	0.674 (0.535-0.849)	**7.94×10^{-4}**	**4.76×10^{-3}**
Long Sleep	1.100 (0.887-1.365)	0.384	0.461
Sleep Efficiency	1.074 (0.851-1.356)	0.546	0.546

Notes: Logistic regression analyses performed with Postpartum Psychosis diagnosis following childbirth as the outcome variable and adjusted for 3 population principal components and genotyping platform.

PRS= Polygenic Risk Score; FDR_p= False Discovery Rate corrected p values for multiple testing

[1]Significance set at $p < 0.05$ (significant findings shown in bold)

Table 3: Risk of Postpartum Psychosis according to sleep PRS decile

Variable	Risk in lowest decile (%)	Risk in highest decile (%)	Relative Risk[1] (95% CI)
Insomnia PRS	27.6	14.1	**1.96 (1.25-3.07)**
Short Sleep PRS	29.3	13.1	**2.23 (1.40-3.54)**

Notes: Risk of Postpartum Psychosis according to insomnia/ short sleep PRS decile, assuming a background risk of 20% in individuals with bipolar disorder(4, 56)

[1] Relative risk of Postpartum Psychosis in bottom decile compared to top decile for sleep trait PRS (significant findings shown in bold)

Across all MR methods, we found no evidence to support a causal association between insomnia and PP or short sleep and PP (Table 4). The MR analysis for insomnia showed significant heterogeneity in both MR-Egger ($Q = 27.50$, $df = 9$, $p = 1.16 \times 10^{-3}$) and IVW ($Q = 29.61$, $df = 10$, $p = 9.92 \times 10^{-4}$) tests, indicating potential variability in the causal estimates of the different genetic variants. We found no evidence to suggest significant horizontal pleiotropy (MR-Egger intercept=0.10, SE = 0.12, $p = 0.43$).

For short sleep, we found no evidence of significant heterogeneity using MR-Egger ($Q = 5.78$, $df = 15$, $p = 0.98$) or IVW ($Q= 6.19$, $df = 16$, $p = 0.99$) tests. Additionally, the MR-Egger intercept was 0.03 (SE = 0.05, $p = 0.53$), indicating no evidence of directional horizontal pleiotropy.

Table 4: Two-Sample Mendelian Randomisation studies testing causal association between sleep traits and Postpartum Psychosis

Mendelian Randomisation Method	Beta	SE	P value
Insomnia[1]:			
Inverse Variance Weighted	0.384	0.849	0.651
MR Egger	-2.364	3.414	0.506
Weighted median	0.416	0.731	0.569
Simple mode	0.620	1.239	0.628
Weighted mode	0.432	0.822	0.611
Short Sleep[2]:			
Inverse Variance Weighted	-0.262	0.270	0.330
MR Egger	-0.800	0.880	0.377
Weighted median	-0.320	0.373	0.391
Simple mode	-0.095	0.580	0.872
Weighted mode	-0.393	0.491	0.435

[1] 11 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms

[2] 17 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to test the hypothesis that sleep PRS may contribute to perinatal risk stratification in women with BD. We identified that individuals with lower PRS for insomnia and short sleep were at significantly higher risk of developing PP. Women in the bottom decile for each trait had approximately double the risk of PP compared to those in the top decile. Robust statistical corrections were applied to account for potential confounders including bipolar subtype, other PRS, and multiple testing, strengthening the validity of these results. Our findings support previous work indicating that PRS of sleep phenotypes may contribute to risk stratification in BD outside the perinatal period(62). These results demonstrate the potential of PRS to transform clinical risk stratification and open a promising avenue for targeted prevention in women at high genetic risk.

A genetic correlation (r_g) of 0.624 has been reported between insomnia and short sleep(46), suggesting that genetic variants associated with one trait are likely to also influence the other to a considerable extent. Notably, the strongest associations in the short sleep GWAS were identified in SNPs in close proximity to *TCF4* and *FOXP2*, both encoding transcription factors which have also been implicated in insomnia(45). If genetic vulnerabilities to insomnia and short sleep play a role in PP risk, they are therefore likely to do so through shared biological mechanisms.

Insomnia and short sleep are clinically distinct, with the former representing an internally generated state of hyperarousal leading to subjective perception of poorer sleep quality. This is not reliably associated with objective short sleep duration(63), which can be due to choice or external circumstances. Nevertheless, the GWAS we used to generate PRS for these phenotypes are both based on self-report, thereby capturing individuals' subjective experiences of their sleep. Individuals who are biologically more prone to experience insomnia or short sleep may develop a heighted tolerance to sleep disruption over time. It is plausible that the impact of acute postnatal sleep loss on mood stability may be mitigated in women with BD who subjectively experience chronic sleep restriction prior to childbirth. In the pathogenesis of PP, this would suggest that psychiatric deterioration is not determined by sleep loss per se, but rather by the novelty associated with sleep disruption. This interpretation is consistent with the observation that primiparity is a known risk factor for PP(64), as first-time mothers may experience a heighted response to sleep loss compared to multiparous women who would have previously been exposed to perinatal sleep disruption.

Our analyses suggest that incorporating PRS for insomnia and short sleep alongside clinical variables can improve the accuracy of PP risk prediction. Bipolar subtype(56, 65), use of prophylactic medication(4, 66), perinatal psychiatric history(56), and family history(67, 68) are important clinical predictors of PP. Whilst data was not available for all of these factors in our cohort, future predictive models should aim to integrate these

clinical variables with PRS information. This combined approach could revolutionise risk stratification, paving the way for more personalised preventive strategies for women at high risk of PP.

Our MR analyses do not support a causal relationship between insomnia and PP or short sleep and PP. However, these results may have been influenced by the weak genetic instruments used and the heterogeneity among them. Indeed, the relatively low estimated SNP heritability of our traits of interest (8.2% for insomnia(45) and 11.9% for short sleep(46)) somewhat violates the first assumption of MR. It is also important to note that these sleep traits are highly polygenic, and PRS will therefore capture genetic susceptibility much more accurately than an MR model with relatively few variants. Whilst pre-existing insomnia or short sleep may represent a useful clinical marker in perinatal risk assessment, there is insufficient evidence to support a causal association between genetic predisposition to these traits and psychosis at present.

We did not find evidence to suggest a significant association between long sleep PRS and PP risk. Women who experienced PP had higher PRS for long sleep than participants who did not, albeit below the threshold for statistical significance. This is in keeping with the hypothesis that individuals who are chronically exposed to sleep restriction prior to childbirth may be less vulnerable to PP. Conversely, women who are biologically predisposed to habitual long sleep may be more affected by acute perinatal sleep loss. Our study lacked sufficient power to test this theory, in part because long sleep has a lower SNP heritability compared to the other sleep phenotypes examined(46), resulting in reduced predictive power of its PRS. Improved GWAS of long sleep may offer the opportunity to robustly test this notion in future studies.

Our study did not identify an association between sleep efficiency PRS and PP outcome. Reduced sleep efficiency is often observed in actigraphy studies of individuals with BD(32) and has been implicated in psychosis relapse(35). It is plausible that women who are able to effectively capitalise on available sleep opportunities may experience less mood disturbance from perinatal sleep disruption, whilst those with lower sleep efficiency may be more susceptible to psychiatric deterioration. Study designs which integrate subjective and objective assessments of sleep efficiency may be helpful to test this theory further in perinatal cohorts.

Despite our study having adequate power to detect a significant association, we found no significant difference in BD PRS or schizophrenia PRS in women who did and who did not develop PP following childbirth. Our results are consistent with previous work in which BD and schizophrenia PRS were compared in parous women with BD who did and who did not develop PP(20). Psychotic symptoms are highly prevalent in BD(69) and women with BD have a significantly increased risk of affective psychoses in the perinatal period(70). The estimated heritability of BD lies in the region of 60-85% and common genome-wide variants are thought to account for a significant proportion of this(17). Given the substantial genetic contribution to phenotypic variance in BD and the high genetic correlation between BD and schizophrenia(71), it would be plausible that PP may represent a more severe BD phenotype and that PRS associated with psychotic illnesses could be used to improve outcome prediction. Our negative finding may partly reflect the limited explanatory power of current PRS for BD and

schizophrenia, or it may indicate that the hypothesis that PP represents a more severe bipolar phenotype is incorrect. Irrespective of the underlying cause, our results and existing evidence indicate that PP cannot simply be explained by individual genetic susceptibility to psychotic illness.

Our findings should be considered within the context of some important limitations. The retrospective cross-sectional design of our study renders it susceptible to recall bias. Data collection primarily relied on participant reports and clinical details could not always be validated through case notes. Additionally, the interviews captured case-control status at a specific point in time, potentially missing PP in subsequent pregnancies among individuals labelled as controls. While our psychiatric phenotyping was comprehensive, the lack of detailed sleep data limits the conclusions that can be drawn on the relationship between PRS, sleep phenotype and PP outcome. In our study cohort, women with high-risk bipolar subtypes (BPI or SABD) were overrepresented compared to their prevalence the general population(72) and our findings may not be epidemiologically representative of all women with BD. It is important to note that our findings pertain specifically to women with BD and should not be used to draw conclusions on the relationship between sleep PRS and PP risk in low-risk individuals. Furthermore, the exclusive inclusion of individuals of European ancestry restricts the generalisability of findings to other populations. Future work should investigate the role of sleep PRS in women experiencing new-onset PP without a pre-existing history of mental illness to elucidate this relationship further, and should include more diverse populations to improve generalisability.

PP is a complex, multifactorial illness with potentially devastating consequences. Improved prediction of PP is essential to facilitate early intervention and mitigate its impact on maternal, child and public health. In women with BD, prediction of perinatal episodes is particularly challenging due to clinical heterogeneity and controversies in disease classification. We demonstrate that individuals with BD who develop PP may have a lower genetic propensity for insomnia and short sleep. These findings suggest that genetic vulnerability to sleep disturbance plays a critical role in the aetiology of PP, highlighting the importance of assessing individual sleep history in perinatal psychiatric risk. In particular, our results prompt further inquiry into whether individuals with a genetic predisposition to chronically short or restricted sleep may be more resilient to the acute sleep loss associated with childbirth. Future work should prioritise multi-ancestry recruitment, unified definitions of PP and the use of both objective and subjective sleep measures. Prospective studies in women with and without pre-existing mental illness are essential to further understand the role of PRS in perinatal psychiatric risk. These efforts will not only contribute to early intervention and improved management of conditions like PP, but will also drive the ongoing progress of precision medicine in mental healthcare.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge all DNA Polymorphisms In Mental illness (DPIM) study participants for their contribution to this work. Participant recruitment was funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC grant code G1000708), the Neuroscience Research Charitable Trust, the Camden and Islington NHS Foundation Trust, a research lectureship from the Priory Hospitals and the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Mental Health Research Network (MHRN). The study also received support from Bipolar UK (formerly the UK Manic Depression Fellowship). Genotyping was funded by the Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research at the Broad Institute. Parts of the analyses were supported by a project that has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant agreement No. 948561). CP is supported by the Rosetrees Trust and the Elizabeth Garett Anderson Hospital Charity. DS is supported by the NIHR. AM, NB and SE are supported by the NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre funding scheme.

Conflicts of Interest

NM has received speaker fees from Idorsia pharmaceuticals. SE has received honoraria for educational activities from Eisai, Fidia, Lincoln, and UCB pharma. CP, OP, KK, NB, DS and AM have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Perry A, Gordon-Smith K, Jones L, Jones I. Phenomenology, Epidemiology and Aetiology of Postpartum Psychosis: A Review. Brain Sci. 2021;11(1).
2. Luykx JJ, Di Florio A, Bergink V. Prevention of Infanticide and Suicide in the Postpartum Period-the Importance of Emergency Care. JAMA Psychiatry. 2019;76(12):1221-2.
3. Spinelli M. Postpartum psychosis: a diagnosis for the DSMV. Arch Womens Ment Health. 2021;24(5):817-22.
4. Wesseloo R, Kamperman AM, Munk-Olsen T, Pop VJ, Kushner SA, Bergink V. Risk of Postpartum Relapse in Bipolar Disorder and Postpartum Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Psychiatry. 2016;173(2):117-27.
5. Rommel AS, Molenaar NM, Gilden J, Kushner SA, Westerbeek NJ, Kamperman AM, et al. Long-term outcome of postpartum psychosis: a prospective clinical cohort study in 106 women. Int J Bipolar Disord. 2021;9(1):31.
6. Ross LE, B.J M, M S. Sleep and perinatal mood disorders: a critical review. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2005;30(4).
7. Lawson A, Murphy KE, Sloan E, Uleryk E, Dalfen A. The relationship between sleep and postpartum mental disorders: A systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2015;176:65-77.
8. Zanini M, Castro J, Coelho FM, Bittencourt L, Bressan RA, Tufik S, et al. Do sleep abnormalities and misaligned sleep/circadian rhythm patterns represent early clinical characteristics for developing psychosis in high risk populations? Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2013;37(10 Pt 2):2631-7.
9. Harris LM, Huang X, Linthicum KP, Bryen CP, Ribeiro JD. Sleep disturbances as risk factors for suicidal thoughts and behaviours: a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):13888.
10. Strouse TB, Szuba MP, Baxter LR, Jr. Response to sleep deprivation in three women with postpartum psychosis. J Clin Psychiatry. 1992;53(6):204-6.
11. Wehr TA, Goodwin FK, Wirz-Justice A, Breitmaier J, Craig C. 48-hour sleep-wake cycles in manic-depressive illness: naturalistic observations and sleep deprivation experiments. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1982;39(5):559-65.
12. Heron J, McGuinness M, Blackmore ER, Craddock N, Jones I. Early postpartum symptoms in puerperal psychosis. BJOG. 2008;115(3):348-53.
13. Sharma V, Mazmanian D. Sleep loss and postpartum psychosis. Bipolar Disord. 2003;5(2):98-105.
14. Bilszta JL, Meyer D, Buist AE. Bipolar affective disorder in the postnatal period: investigating the role of sleep. Bipolar Disord. 2010;12(5):568-78.
15. Lewis KJS, Di Florio A, Forty L, Gordon-Smith K, Perry A, Craddock N, et al. Mania triggered by sleep loss and risk of postpartum psychosis in women with bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord. 2018;225:624-9.
16. Perry A, Gordon-Smith K, Lewis KJS, Di Florio A, Craddock N, Jones L, et al. Perinatal sleep disruption and postpartum psychosis in bipolar disorder: Findings from the UK BDRN Pregnancy Study. J Affect Disord. 2024;346:21-7.
17. Mullins N, Forstner AJ, O'Connell KS, Coombes B, Coleman JRI, Qiao Z, et al. Genome-wide association study of more than 40,000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. Nat Genet. 2021;53(6):817-29.

18. Madrid-Valero JJ, Gregory AM. Behaviour genetics and sleep: A narrative review of the last decade of quantitative and molecular genetic research in humans. Sleep Med Rev. 2023;69:101769.
19. Murray GK, Lin T, Austin J, McGrath JJ, Hickie IB, Wray NR. Could Polygenic Risk Scores Be Useful in Psychiatry?: A Review. JAMA Psychiatry. 2021;78(2):210-9.
20. Di Florio A, Mei Kay Yang J, Crawford K, Bergink V, Leonenko G, Pardiñas AF, et al. Post-partum psychosis and its association with bipolar disorder in the UK: a case-control study using polygenic risk scores. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2021;8(12):1045-52.
21. Vieta E, Berk M, Schulze TG, Carvalho AF, Suppes T, Calabrese JR, et al. Bipolar disorders. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2018;4:18008.
22. Wilson S, Anderson K, Baldwin D, Dijk DJ, Espie A, Espie C, et al. British Association for Psychopharmacology consensus statement on evidence-based treatment of insomnia, parasomnias and circadian rhythm disorders: An update. J Psychopharmacol. 2019;33(8):923-47.
23. Sharma V, Smith A, Khan M. The relationship between duration of labour, time of delivery, and puerperal psychosis. J Affect Disord. 2004;83(2-3):215-20.
24. Jefferies D, Schmied V, Sheehan A, Duff M. The river of postnatal psychosis: A qualitative study of women's experiences and meanings. Midwifery. 2021;103:103165.
25. Engqvist I, Ferszt G, Ahlin A, Nilsson K. Psychiatric nurses' descriptions of women with postpartum psychosis and nurses' responses--an exploratory study in Sweden. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2009;30(1):23-30.
26. Ritter PS, Hofler M, Wittchen HU, Lieb R, Bauer M, Pfennig A, et al. Disturbed sleep as risk factor for the subsequent onset of bipolar disorder--Data from a 10-year prospective-longitudinal study among adolescents and young adults. J Psychiatr Res. 2015;68:76-82.
27. Zaks N, Velikonja T, Parvaz MA, Zinberg J, Done M, Mathalon DH, et al. Sleep Disturbance in Individuals at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis. Schizophr Bull. 2022;48(1):111-21.
28. Cappuccio FP, D'Elia L, Strazzullo P, Miller MA. Sleep duration and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Sleep. 2010;33(5):585-92.
29. Lewis KS, Gordon-Smith K, Forty L, Di Florio A, Craddock N, Jones L, et al. Sleep loss as a trigger of mood episodes in bipolar disorder: individual differences based on diagnostic subtype and gender. Br J Psychiatry. 2017;211(3):169-74.
30. Meyer N, Joyce DW, Karr C, de Vos M, Dijk DJ, Jacobson NC, et al. The temporal dynamics of sleep disturbance and psychopathology in psychosis: a digital sampling study. Psychol Med. 2021;52(13):1-10.
31. Bin YS, Marshall NS, Glozier N. Sleeping at the Limits: The Changing Prevalence of Short and Long Sleep Durations in 10 Countries. American Journal of Epidemiology. 2013;177(8):826-33.
32. De Crescenzo F, Economou A, Sharpley AL, Gormez A, Quested DJ. Actigraphic features of bipolar disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev. 2017;33:58-69.
33. Kaplan KA, McGlinchey EL, Soehner A, Gershon A, Talbot LS, Eidelman P, et al. Hypersomnia subtypes, sleep and relapse in bipolar disorder. Psychol Med. 2015;45(8):1751-63.
34. Reed DL, Sacco WP. Measuring Sleep Efficiency: What Should the Denominator Be? J Clin Sleep Med. 2016;12(2):263-6.

35. Bagautdinova J, Mayeli A, Wilson JD, Donati FL, Colacot RM, Meyer N, et al. Sleep Abnormalities in Different Clinical Stages of Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2023;80(3):202-10.
36. World Health Organization. ICD-10 : international statistical classification of diseases and related health problems : tenth revision. 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.
37. NHS Blood and Transplant. Clinical and research: Innovation focused on improving patient outcomes 2024 [Available from: https://www.nhsbt.nhs.uk/research-and-development/.
38. Spitzer RL, Endicott J, Robins E. Research Diagnostic Criteria: Rationale and Reliability. Archives of General Psychiatry. 1978;35(6):773-82.
39. McGuffin P, Farmer A, Harvey I. A Polydiagnostic Application of Operational Criteria in Studies of Psychotic Illness: Development and Reliability of the OPCRIT System. Archives of General Psychiatry. 1991;48(8):764-70.
40. Castro VM, Minnier J, Murphy SN, Kohane I, Churchill SE, Gainer V, et al. Validation of electronic health record phenotyping of bipolar disorder cases and controls. Am J Psychiatry. 2015;172(4):363-72.
41. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-5-TR) (Second Printing)2022. lxix, 1048 p.-lxix, p. p.
42. World Health Organization. ICD-11: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (11th ed.). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.
43. NHS England, NHS Improvement, National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health. The Perinatal Mental Health Care Pathways. 2018.
44. Royal College of Psychiatrists. College Report CR238- – Infant and early childhood mental health: the case for action. 2023.
45. Watanabe K, Jansen PR, Savage JE, Nandakumar P, Wang X, Hinds DA, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of insomnia prioritizes genes associated with metabolic and psychiatric pathways. Nat Genet. 2022;54(8):1125-32.
46. Austin-Zimmerman I, Levey DF, Giannakopoulou O, Deak JD, Galimberti M, Adhikari K, et al. Genome-wide association studies and cross-population meta-analyses investigating short and long sleep duration. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):6059.
47. Jones SE, van Hees VT, Mazzotti DR, Marques-Vidal P, Sabia S, van der Spek A, et al. Genetic studies of accelerometer-based sleep measures yield new insights into human sleep behaviour. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):1585.
48. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience. 2015;4(1).
49. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, et al. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. Nat Genet. 2016;48(10):1279-83.
50. Grigoroiu-Serbanescu M, van der Veen T, Bigdeli T, Herms S, Diaconu CC, Neagu AI, et al. Schizophrenia polygenic risk scores, clinical variables and genetic pathways as predictors of phenotypic traits of bipolar I disorder. J Affect Disord. 2024;356:507-18.
51. Trubetskoy V, Pardiñas AF, Qi T, Panagiotaropoulou G, Awasthi S, Bigdeli TB, et al. Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. Nature. 2022;604(7906):502-8.

52. Ge T, Chen C-Y, Ni Y, Feng Y-CA, Smoller JW. Polygenic prediction via Bayesian regression and continuous shrinkage priors. Nature Communications. 2019;10(1):1776.
53. Durbin RM, Altshuler D, Durbin RM, Abecasis GR, Bentley DR, Chakravarti A, et al. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature. 2010;467(7319):1061-73.
54. R Core Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA2024.
55. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological). 1995;57(1):289-300.
56. Di Florio A, Gordon-Smith K, Forty L, Kosorok MR, Fraser C, Perry A, et al. Stratification of the risk of bipolar disorder recurrences in pregnancy and postpartum. Br J Psychiatry. 2018;213(3):542-7.
57. Palla L, Dudbridge F. A Fast Method that Uses Polygenic Scores to Estimate the Variance Explained by Genome-wide Marker Panels and the Proportion of Variants Affecting a Trait. Am J Hum Genet. 2015;97(2):250-9.
58. Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. Hum Mol Genet. 2014;23(R1):R89-98.
59. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. BMJ. 2018;362:k601.
60. Haycock PC, Borges MC, Burrows K, Lemaitre RN, Harrison S, Burgess S, et al. Design and quality control of large-scale two-sample Mendelian randomization studies. Int J Epidemiol. 2023;52(5):1498-521.
61. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Davies NM, Swanson SA, VanderWeele TJ, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomisation (STROBE-MR): explanation and elaboration. BMJ. 2021;375:n2233.
62. Lewis KJS, Richards A, Karlsson R, Leonenko G, Jones SE, Jones HJ, et al. Comparison of Genetic Liability for Sleep Traits Among Individuals With Bipolar Disorder I or II and Control Participants. JAMA Psychiatry. 2020;77(3):303-10.
63. Vgontzas AN, Fernandez-Mendoza J, Liao D, Bixler EO. Insomnia with objective short sleep duration: the most biologically severe phenotype of the disorder. Sleep Med Rev. 2013;17(4):241-54.
64. Di Florio A, Jones L, Forty L, Gordon-Smith K, Blackmore ER, Heron J, et al. Mood disorders and parity - a clue to the aetiology of the postpartum trigger. J Affect Disord. 2014;152-154:334-9.
65. Di Florio A, Forty L, Gordon-Smith K, Heron J, Jones L, Craddock N, et al. Perinatal Episodes Across the Mood Disorder Spectrum. JAMA Psychiatry. 2013;70(2):168-75.
66. Jairaj C, Seneviratne G, Bergink V, Sommer IE, Dazzan P. Postpartum psychosis: A proposed treatment algorithm. J Psychopharmacol. 2023:2698811231181573.
67. Jones I, Craddock N. Familiality of the puerperal trigger in bipolar disorder: results of a family study. Am J Psychiatry. 2001;158(6):913-7.
68. Jones I, Craddock N. Do puerperal psychotic episodes identify a more familial subtype of bipolar disorder? Results of a family history study. Psychiatr Genet. 2002;12(3):177-80.
69. Dunayevich E, Keck PE, Jr. Prevalence and description of psychotic features in bipolar mania. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2000;2(4):286-90.
70. Jones I, Chandra PS, Dazzan P, Howard LM. Bipolar disorder, affective psychosis, and schizophrenia in pregnancy and the post-partum period. Lancet. 2014;384(9956):1789-99.

71. Song J, Pasman JA, Johansson V, Kuja-Halkola R, Harder A, Karlsson R, et al. Polygenic Risk Scores and Twin Concordance for Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder. JAMA Psychiatry. 2024.
72. Merikangas KR, Jin R, He JP, Kessler RC, Lee S, Sampson NA, et al. Prevalence and correlates of bipolar spectrum disorder in the world mental health survey initiative. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2011;68(3):241-51.

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1: Sleep trait PRS distributions in parous women with bipolar disorder



Notes:

Comparisons of Polygenic Risk Scores (PRS) across sleep traits in individuals who did and who did not develop postpartum psychosis following childbirth. Each plot depicts the distribution of PRS Z-scores for a sleep phenotype for each of the two groups. Higher Z-scores indicate greater genetic predisposition for the respective sleep trait. The PRS mean value for each group shown in the corresponding box plot.

ns= not significant with significance set at $p < 0.05$

**$p \leq 0.01$ with significance set at $p < 0.05$

***$p \leq 0.001$ with significance set at $p < 0.05$

Figure 2: Prediction of Postpartum Psychosis using bipolar subtype alone and in combination with PRS



Notes: Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves comparing the predictive ability of bipolar subtype alone versus bipolar subtype combined with insomnia and short sleep PRS for Postpartum Psychosis.

The ROC curve illustrates the trade-off between sensitivity and 1-specificity, while the Area Under the Curve (AUC) quantifies overall predictive accuracy. The grey diagonal line represents a model with no predictive power (random chance), where AUC = 0.5.

An AUC closer to 1 indicates better model performance.