Zoonotic and environmental sources of infant enteric pathogen infections in pastoralist communities identified with longitudinal sampling

Abigail P. Paulos[1Θ], John Mboya[1,2Θ], Jeremy Lowe[1,3], Daehyun Daniel Kim[1], Hannah C. Wharton[1], Faith Thuita[4], Valerie L. Flax[5], Sammy Njenga[6], Angela Harris[3*], Amy J. Pickering[1,7,8*]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

[2] Innovations for Poverty Action, Nairobi, Kenya

[3] Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC

[4] Department of Public & Global Health, University of Nairobi

[5] RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC

[6] Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

[7] Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA

[8] Blum Center for Developing Economies, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720

[Θ] equal contribution

* corresponding authors: pickering@berkeley.edu, aharris5@ncsu.edu

Abstract

Background. Enteric pathogen infections in young children can result in diarrhea, malnutrition, and developmental impairments. Many enteric pathogens that infect young children can be zoonotic, yet the exposure risk of domestic animals living in close proximity to young children is poorly understood.

Methods. We conducted a prospective cohort study with longitudinal microbiological sampling of child stool, animal feces, and the household environment to investigate pathogen transmission between animals and children under two years of age in pastoralist communities in rural Northern Kenya. We measured 33 bacterial, viral, protozoan, and helminth pathogens in the following sample types collected from households at four consecutive visits: child stool, caregiver stool, drinking water, food, child hands, household soil, and feces from ruminant, avian, and canine domesticated animals.

Findings. Child enteric pathogen burden increased with age from a median of 1 pathogen among children under 3 months to 5 pathogens at 1-2 years old. Of the 20

different pathogens detected in child stool, 17 (85%) of these were also detected in animal feces. Using longitudinal sampling, we detected 33 new infections in 20 of 56 children under 12 months. New infections were associated with preceding household detection of the same pathogen in soil (Odds ratio: 8.8, 95% confidence interval: 3.3 – 23) and on child hands (Odds ratio: 5.0, 95% confidence interval 1.1 – 17). Network analysis revealed transmission of pathogens from poultry, dog, and ruminant feces to household soil, and between child hands and child stool.

Interpretation. These findings identify child hand contact with soil containing animal feces as a primary transmission route for first infections among children in pastoralist communities. Our results provide new evidence that domestic animals in the household environment contribute to early-life enteric pathogen exposure.

Funding. USAID PRO-WASH program.

Introduction

The annual burden of diarrhea in Africa is estimated at 1 billion cases and >500,000 deaths, with the majority of deaths occurring in children under 2 years old.[1] The etiology of child diarrhea in Africa is diverse, including viruses (rotavirus, norovirus, adenovirus), bacteria (*Escherichia coli* pathotypes, *Campylobacter* spp., *Shigella*), and protozoa (*Giardia duodenalis, Cryptosporidium).*[1,2] Diarrheal and other enteric pathogens (e.g. helminths) also contribute to malnutrition, increasing susceptibility to future enteric infections and related morbidity and mortality.[3–5] Fecal-oral transmission routes for enteric pathogens in settings with limited sanitation and hygiene infrastructure are complex; previous studies have detected fecal contamination in drinking water, food, soil, on hands, flies, and surfaces.[6–8] While increased fecal contamination in drinking water and on child hands have been shown to be associated with child diarrhea, there have been limited studies that have measured contamination in other environmental reservoirs.[8]

Previous studies investigating environmental exposure pathways have mostly measured fecal indicator bacteria concentrations instead of actual pathogens. These studies often use a quantitative microbial risk assessment framework to estimate probability of illness, which requires strong assumptions about how fecal indicator bacteria relate to illness risk.[6,9–16] Fecal bacteria levels in environmental samples are not good predictors of the presence of specific pathogens or markers of fecal contamination,[17,18] and pathogen transmission pathways may substantially differ from fecal indicator bacteria due to differences in the fecal source and environmental fate and transport. A lack of direct

measurements of viral, bacterial, protozoan, and helminth pathogens in the household environment limits our ability to elucidate key transmission pathways to young children.[19]

Exposure to animal feces is hypothesized to play a role in household enteric pathogen transmission. Prior research has found that living in close proximity to animals is associated with increased exposure to fecal contamination and increased child diarrhea.[17,20–23] Host-specific fecal markers from animals (dogs, birds, and ruminants) were detected in multiple household reservoirs (soil, on hands, and in stored drinking water) in rural and urban Bangladesh.[17,24] In Ecuador, identical sequence types of *C. jejuni* and atypical enteropathogenic *E. coli* were found in both child stool and household animals.[25] However, measurement of pathogens in animal feces has been limited to cross-sectional studies sampling a small number of animals at the community level (e.g. not paired with human or environmental samples from the same household).[19,26] Sampling child stool and domesticated animal feces from the same households over time is needed to understand the role of animals in early child enteric infections.

Methods

Study design.

We used a prospective cohort study design to conduct extensive enteric pathogen testing of child stool, caregiver stool, animal feces, child hands, soil, food, and water from the household environment. Our goal was to identify sources of new infections in children under 1 year of age and possible environmental transmission pathways. The study was conducted in rural, pastoralist communities in the sub counties of Turkana South and Samburu North in northern Kenya. Prior county-wide surveys in Turkana and Samburu have found low access to improved WASH, high poverty rates, high rates of animal ownership, and elevated levels of child diarrhea and acute malnutrition.[27,28] We enrolled children under 2 years of age as prior work suggests that the first two years of life are vital to long-term child growth trajectories and cognitive development.[29–31]

In total, we enrolled 100 households with a child under 2 years of age, with approximately equal enrollment across four key development age groups to characterize pathogen infection profiles in these age ranges: 0 - <3, 3 - <6, 6 - <12, and 12 - <24 months (**Fig 1**).[32] Target age groups were selected based on Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) child development stages[32] and to allow for the detection of first exposures to pathogens for young children. Sixty children <12 months were enrolled into a longitudinal cohort and visited up to four times approximately one week apart (a typical incubation period for many enteric pathogens) to investigate transmission pathways for new infections in early life. Children <12 months were prioritized for longitudinal sampling to study how first infections occur in early life, a critical period of development and growth.

Within each sub county, we selected 10-15 villages for study based on travel feasibility (proximity to the field lab to enable processing within 6 hours) and field staff safety. In each village, we enrolled all households with a child under 2 years of age until we reached our target numbers in each child age group. In total, we enrolled 100 households (n=50 in each sub county). Approximately twenty-five households with a child in each target age group (0-2 months, 3-5 months, 6-11 months, and 12-23 months) were enrolled across both sub counties



Figure 1. Conceptual diagram of study design. Twenty-five children were enrolled within each target age group (0 to <3, 3 to <6, 6 to <12, and 12 to <24 months). Children <6 months of age and a subset (n=10) of children between 6 and 12 months of age were sampled longitudinally across four total visits with 7 days between each visit; all other children >6 months were sampled once.

In each household, we collected the following samples: child and caregiver stool, fresh animal feces, soil, stored food, stored drinking water, and child hand rinses. Samples were analyzed using a custom TaqMan Array Card (TAC) designed to detect 33 pathogens known to cause diarrhea or other child morbidity in Kenya or other LMICs (**Table S1**).

IRB approval for this study was obtained from North Carolina State University (24097) and Amref Health Africa in Kenya (P1055-2021), and an in-country research permit was obtained from NACOSTI.

Study Site

This study was conducted between January and May 2022 in pastoralist and agro-pastoral communities in the Turkana South and Samburu North sub counties of arid and semi-arid lands regions of Kenya. The project was conducted in collaboration with the USAID Nawiri project in their program area, a USAID Bureau of Humanitarian Assistance

(BHA) funded Development Food Security Activity designed to reduce persistent acute malnutrition in four counties of northern Kenya, including Turkana, Samburu, Marsabit and Isiolo. The primary occupation in the study areas is pastoralism and agro-pastoralism. Water insecurity in the area is exacerbated by droughts and flooding.[33] For a description of access to water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) in the study setting, see the supporting information.

Household survey

In each household visited, we conducted a household survey with the child's caregiver over 16 years of age to collect information on child health, demographics, water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) status, and child behavior. The child health portion of the survey was repeated at each longitudinal visit in the longitudinal cohort.

Sample Collection

Stool samples were collected from the target child under the age of two years and each mother/caregiver in eligible households. Mothers were given two stool collection kits (for herself and her child) consisting of a 50ml sterile container, gloves, aluminum foil, and a spatula. Caregivers were instructed how to fill the containers. Field staff revisited the household the following morning and up to three times in total to collect the stool samples.

We collected fresh animal feces from one of each of the following types of animals when they were available in the household of the target child: chickens, cattle, goats, dogs, donkeys, sheep, and camels. The field staff observed animals defecating and collected feces as soon as possible after defecation; otherwise, our team asked respondents to point out where the freshest animal feces was located and the sample was collected from the ground. Veterinary technicians on the field team performed rectal palpation on goats, sheep, and cattle to obtain freshly defecated animal feces when possible, approximately 75% of animal fecal collection. Fecal samples were collected with sterile scoops into sterile 50 ml containers.

The soil surrounding the entrance to the household (<2 meters away from the entrance) was sampled as this location has been found to frequently contain high levels of fecal bacteria, as well as soil transmitted helminth eggs in Kenya and Tanzania during previous studies conducted by our team.[6,24,34] Field workers marked a 30 cm by 30 cm area using a disposable sterile stencil and scraped the top layer of soil within the stencil into a sterile Whirlpak bag using a sterile disposable plastic scoop; the sample area was scraped once vertically and once horizontally to collect approximately 50 g of soil. Field staff prioritized sampling locations that were shaded.

Field staff identified stored weaning food to be served to children <2 years in the household and asked the respondent to provide a small amount of food in the same manner they feed their children, including serving it on a plate/bowl using any common utensils. Food types included porridge, chapati, potatoes, cassava, beans, rice, and others. Food was scooped to fill a 50mL sterile plastic tube using a sterile spoon attached to the lid of the tube.

For drinking water sample collection, field staff asked the respondent to provide a glass of water as if giving it to their < 2 year-old child. Water was poured directly from the glass/cup into a sterile Whirlpak bag. Field staff collected > 250 mL of drinking water at each household. For hand rinse samples, field staff asked the respondent to place the target child's left hand into a sterile Whirlpak bag pre-filled with 250 mL of distilled water. The hand was massaged from the outside of the bag for 15 seconds, followed by 15 seconds of shaking. The same procedure was repeated with the right hand in the same bag, and the rinse water was preserved in the Whirlpak bag.[35]

Culturing E. coli from environmental and fecal samples

Stored water, source water, hand rinse, and fomite rinse samples were processed by decanting 100 mL of sample directly into IDEXX trays. Food and soil samples required a homogenization step before processing with IDEXX. Four grams of each sample were placed into a 50 mL falcon tube with 40 mL distilled water, shaken by hand for 30 seconds, and vortexed for 2 minutes. One mL of this solution was mixed with 99 mL sterile water and the resulting 100 mL were processed with IDEXX. Samples were incubated at 35°C for 24 hours to quantitatively enumerate *E. coli* and total coliforms. Field and lab blanks were processed each day, and 5% of samples were processed in duplicate for quality assurance.

Sample Archiving for Downstream Molecular Analysis

A 100 mL aliquot of each drinking water and child hand rinse sample was processed by membrane filtration using a 0.45 micron HA filter pre-treated with 2 mL of 1.25M of magnesium chloride. Filters were preserved using 0.5 mL of Zymo DNA/RNA Shield and stored in 5mL tubes at -20°C until transfer to KEMRI, where they were stored at -80°C.

Aliquots of the fecal, food, and soil samples were prepared and consisted of 0.3 g of sample in 750 uL of Zymo DNA/RNA Shield; samples were vortexed to evenly distribute samples in the preservative. Aliquots were stored in 2mL tubes at -20°C in the local field lab before being shipped to Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI) on dry ice for storage at -80°C, then shipped on dry ice to UC Berkeley for enteric pathogen molecular analysis.

226
227 *Molecular enteric pathogen analysis*
228 The ZymoBIOMICS DNA/RNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research) was used to extract nucleic
229 acids from all animal feces, human stool, and food samples. Lysis buffer volume was
230 increased by 2.5x to 1200 uL to ensure complete lysis in all samples. For soil samples,
231 we used the RNeasy PowerSoil Total RNA Kit with the DNA Elution Kit add-on (Qiagen)
232 to co-extract RNA and DNA. For both kits, we eluted in 100 µL and stored extracts at -
233 80°C until TAC analysis.
234
235 We designed a custom TaqMan Array Card (TAC; Fisher) containing enteric pathogen
236 targets (**Table S5**). The TAC is a platform for quantitative real-time PCR that enables
237 simultaneous detection of up to 48 targets per sample in one run. We selected enteric
238 pathogen targets associated with diarrhea or other diseases of child health significance,
239 previously detected in Kenya or similar settings. In brief, we used 2-step reverse
240 transcription followed by TAC to detect and quantify pathogens in nucleic acid extracts.
241 Because of low nucleic acid content, food, child hand rinse, and drinking water samples
242 were subjected to preamplification using the same set of primers on the custom TAC card
243 between RT and TAC analysis (see Supporting Information for details). Primers and
244 probes for assays included on the custom TAC are listed in **Table S9**, standard curve
245 efficiencies in **Table S10**, sample limits of detection in **Table** S11, and gBlock sequences
246 used for the standard curve in **Table S12**.
247
248 *Statistical Analyses*
249 Outcomes of interest in the household risk factor models were child carriage of (1) any
250 pathogen, (2) *E. coli* pathotypes, (3) bacterial pathogens, (4) viral pathogens, (5) protozoa
251 or helminths and (6) EAEC, (7) EPEC, (8) ETEC-LT, (9) adenovirus, (10) norovirus GII,
252 (11) *G. duodenalis*, and (12) enterovirus. The individual pathogens included were the
253 seven most commonly detected pathogens and were detected in >10% of child stool.
254 Exposure variables of interest included animal ownership, child age and mobility, latrine
255 access, proximity of primary water source, antibiotic use, child breast milk consumption,
256 child food consumption, and disposal of animal feces in the courtyard. We used
257 generalized linear models to calculate odds ratios for risk factors, with standard errors
258 clustered at the household. All households were included in this analysis. Adjusted
259 models controlled for child age. Analyses were conducted in R version 4.2.3.
260
261 New infections were estimate for children enrolled in the longitudinal cohort and were
262 defined as (1) no carriage of the pathogen in the prior round and (2) carriage in the current
263 round. Pathogen presence in environmental samples were lagged by one visit and we
264 calculated risk ratios for new infection in children given pathogen presence 7 days prior

in (1) animal feces, (2) mother stool, (3) soil, (4) drinking water, and (5) any environmental sample (soil, child hands, food, or water). Odds ratios were estimated using Fisher's exact method with confidence intervals. Odds of pathogen codetection were calculated between all pairs of sample types using Fisher's exact method for confidence interval estimation.

To explore overlap between environmental pathways, we also calculated odds ratios for 1) leading pathogen contamination, defined as the same pathogen present in another sample type at the prior visit, and 2) pathogen co-detection, defined as the same pathogen present in multiple sample types on the same visit. Using these odds ratios, we created an aggregated pathogen transmission network which displays the number of connections across the 20 pathogens with significant leading pathogen odds ratios (**Fig 5**). We also created a network graph for each pathogen representing pathogen overlap across sample types, where each node is a sample type and each edge indicates an odds ratio with $p < 0.05$. The open source tool graphviz was used to generate networks graphs of pathogen overlap.[36] Each edge represented overlap, defined as an odds ratio with a p-value less than 0.05. The width of each edge represents the magnitude of the odds ratio with the width increasing with odds ratio. Nodes which had no connections with other nodes were excluded. Three categories of animal feces were considered: ruminants (cows, sheep, and goats), chickens, and dogs.

Results

A total of 100 households were enrolled into the study, including 60 into the longitudinal cohort; detailed participant enrollment and sample collection numbers are listed in **Tables S13 and S15**. A total of 871 samples were collected and processed by TAC; 698 samples (80%) contained at least one pathogen. The most frequently detected pathogens across all sample types were *G. duodenalis* (35%), enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC: 30%), enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC: 29%), enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC-LT: 22%), and Shiga toxin-like *E. coli* (STEC: 19%) (**Fig 2, Table S1**). In child stool, the most common pathogens were EAEC (56%), enterovirus (47%), EPEC (36%), *G. duodenalis* (20%), adenovirus 40/41 (15%), ETEC-LT (15%), and norovirus GII (12%). Overall, 87% of child stool samples contained at least one pathogen. In the longitudinal cohort, all 56 children were infected at least once across all visits. Children overall were infected with a median of 4 pathogens, and pathogen carriage increased with child age (t-tests; see **Fig 2**): a median of 1 pathogen for 0-2 months, 2 pathogens for 3-5 months, 3 pathogens for 6-11 months, and 5 pathogens for 12-23 months. Of the 20 different pathogens detected in child stool, 17 of these were also detected in animal feces, 17 in soil, 10 in drinking water, 5 in food, 17 on child hands, and 16 in caregiver stool.



Figure 2. Results of the TAC analysis: A) Proportion of samples positive for each pathogen across sample types (left) and in child stool by age (right). Sample sizes for each group are indicated at the top of the figure. B) Number of pathogens (out of a maximum of 33 pathogens) present by sample type and child age.

309
310 Animal feces carried a range of human enteric pathogens. To assess the diversity of
311 pathogens in each sample type, we summed the number of different pathogens present
312 in each sample out of a maximum of 33 pathogens (**Fig 2B**). Dog feces carried the
313 greatest number of unique pathogens (median = 5); poultry feces had a median of 4
314 pathogens. Ruminant feces carried the smallest number of unique pathogens among all
315 animal types (cattle: 2, goats: 2, and sheep: 3). Poultry and dogs were frequently infected
316 with pathogenic *E. coli* (poultry: 76%, dogs: 92%; **Fig S1**), and infections of *G. duodenalis*
317 (27%, 69%), enterovirus (27%, 23%), and non-parvum and non-hominis *Cryptosporidium*
318 spp. (24%, 38%) were also common. Cattle also frequently carried STEC (22%), *Giardia*
319 (11%) and *Cryptosporidium spp.* (38%). Goats and sheep were most commonly infected
320 with STEC (goats: 51%, sheep: 53%), EPEC (23%, 23%), *Cryptosporidium* spp. (18%,
321 15%), and *G. duodenalis* (19%, 18%).
322
323 Food contained a median of 1 enteric pathogen while drinking water contained a median
324 of 2 pathogens; for both sample types, the pathogen most commonly detected was *G.*
325 *duodenalis* (food: 45%, water: 46%). Child hands carried a median of 3 pathogens per
326 child, primarily *G. duodenalis* (74%) and forms of pathogenic *E. coli* (EAEC: 14%, EPEC:
327 24%; ETEC-LT: 46%; ETEC-ST: 27%); 77 of 92 child hand samples contained at least
328 one pathogen. Soil contained a median of 3 pathogens, primarily *G. duodenalis* (42%),
329 *Cryptosporidium spp.* (35%), and forms of pathogenic *E. coli* (EAEC: 42%, EPEC: 37%,
330 ETEC-LT: 36%, ETEC-ST: 15%). For pathogen quantities by sample type, see Figs S2 –
331 S6.
332
333 Approximately 75% of stored drinking water samples contained culturable *E. coli*, and
334 over a third of all other sample types tested positive for *E. coli* by culture: 44% of food,
335 48% of child hands, 45% of fomites, 62% of soil. Pathogen concentrations in all samples
336 were calculated with TAC results using a standard curve (**Fig 3; Table S10**). Across food,
337 soil, drinking water, and child hands, we found few associations between pathogen and
338 *E. coli* culture presence or concentrations using Spearman's correlation tests: *E. coli*
339 concentrations in culture were associated with EIEC on hands ($r = 0.47$, $p = 0.05$), ETEC-
340 LT in drinking water ($r = 0.56$, $p < 0.01$), and norovirus GII in soil ($r = 0.42$, $p = 0.01$) and
341 on hands ($r = 0.47$, $p = 0.05$; **Table S8**). *E. coli* concentrations measured by TAC (*uidA*
342 gene) were associated with *E. histolytica* on hands ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$) and in drinking
343 water ($r = 1.00$, $p < 0.01$), astrovirus in chicken feces ($r = 0.78$, $p < 0.01$), and EPEC in
344 goat feces ($r = 0.71$, $p < 0.01$; **Table S7**). For sample numbers processed for *E. coli*
345 culture, see **Table S15**.



Figure 3. Sample positivity by pathogen (top) and distribution of quantities among positive samples measured by TAC by pathogen (bottom) (n=732 samples). The *E. coli* category includes the quantity of total *E. coli* detected by both qPCR and MPN by culture.

Longitudinal analysis of environmental pathways for new infections.

In total, we detected 33 new infections across 10 of the 33 measured pathogens, with new infections detected in 20 of the 56 children (36%) sampled longitudinally (4 children were unavailable for follow up visits). Children with new infections had a median of 1 new infection; 7 of 20 children were newly infected with more than one pathogen in the same visit and 3 of 20 children were newly infected across multiple sampling rounds. The

number of new infections decreased with child age; we observed 15 in children 0 – 2 months (73% of children in this age group), 12 in 3 – 5 months (60%), and 6 in 6 – 11 months (50%). Children were most often newly infected with EPEC (n = 8), EAEC (8), enterovirus (5), norovirus GII (4), *G. duodenalis* (2), and adenovirus (2). Pathogens responsible for 1 new infection included STEC, ETEC-LT, astrovirus, and *Campylobacter coli/jejuni.* Overall, across the 33 new infections, we identified the same pathogen in any type of pathway (environmental samples, animal feces, or mother stool) on the prior visit in 16 (48%) cases.

Pathogen detection in soil in the prior sampling round was associated with new infections (Odds ratio [OR]: 8.8, 95% CI: 3.3 – 23, $p < 0.01$; **Fig 4**), as was pathogen detection on child hands (OR:5.0, 95% CI: 1.1 – 17, $p = 0.02$). When aggregated across all environmental pathways (hands, soil, water, and food, when available), we find that pathogen detection in environmental pathways was associated with new infections (OR: 6.1, 95% CI: 2.7 – 14, $p < 0.01$). We aggregated results of all animal feces types (due to limited power to investigate by specific animal host), yet we found no statistically significant association between new infections and pathogen presence in animal feces on the previous visit (OR: 2.2 95% CI: 0.6 – 6.3, $p=0.15$). We found no associations between new infections in child feces and food, water samples, or mother stool as there was limited overlap in the specific pathogens found in child stool and these sample types; the same pathogen which newly infected a child was detected in 0 food samples, 2 water samples, and 2 mother stool samples 7 days prior. We also assessed the odds of child infection given pathogen detection in each sample type either on the prior visit or the same visit; we find that pathogen detection in animal feces and mother stool are associated with new infections (**Fig S7**).



Figure 4. Odds ratios of pathogen detection in animal feces or environmental samples and subsequent new infection with the same pathogen in children. Bars represent 95% confidence intervals. The dotted red line indicates an odds ratio of 1. The environmental samples category includes hands, soil, water, and food. Food alone is not displayed because of large uncertainty around the point estimate.

Food sample collection in the household was limited as few households had food available at the time of collection, or caregivers solely breastfed their child; only n=40 total samples were collected, compared to n=116 for drinking water and n=163 for soil. However, we estimated the odds of pathogen detection in food given detection in soil or animal feces, and we find that the odds of pathogen detection in food was 13 times higher when that pathogen was present in either soil or animal feces on the same visit (OR: 13, 95% CI: 7.5, 23).

Pathogen transmission networks

Across 13 of 20 pathogen networks, there was a connection between child hands and child stool, highlighting the role that hands play in transmission of these pathogens (**Fig 5, Fig S8**). Of the pathogen networks with an exposure associated with subsequent child stool infection, hands were the most common (6 of 10), followed by soil (4 of 10), chicken feces (4 of 10), caregiver stool (1 of 10), dog feces (1 of 10), and food (1 of 10). Animal feces had a connection to soil in 9 of 20 pathogen transmission networks, and a connection to any other environmental pathway in 16 of 20 networks. Detection in ruminant and chicken feces preceded caregiver infection for *Campylobacter, EIEC/Shigella, EPEC, and STEC*. Overall, pathogens were shared across 5 or more

sample types for 16 of 20 pathogens. For thirteen pathogens, no significant relationships were found between any sample types due to rare or no detections.



Figure 5. Pathogen transmission network graph. Nodes represent the sample type. Edges represent the number of pathogens for which detection in the source node was associated with detection in the receiving node on the next visit within the same household; edge thickness and color correspond to the number of pathogen targets with a significant ($p < 0.05$) relationship.

415 **Household characteristics associated with child pathogen carriage**
416 To understand how household factors relate to child infection status, we calculated odds
417 ratios of child pathogen carriage for risk factors recorded in our household surveys. Risk
418 factors considered included those related to child behavior, including mobility (crawls or
419 walks) and diet (receiving breast milk or food), as well as household characteristics such
420 as latrine access, livestock ownership, food access, and disposal of animal feces in the
421 courtyard. Outcomes considered included carriage of any pathogen, viral pathogens,
422 bacterial pathogens, *E. coli* pathotypes, protozoa/helminths, EAEC, ETEC-LT, EPEC,
423 adenovirus, enterovirus, *G. duodenalis*, and norovirus GII. The seven pathogens included
424 as outcomes in separate models were the 7 most commonly detected pathogens.
425
426 Significant risk factors varied by pathogen type (**Table 1**). Child consumption of food as
427 compared to exclusive breastfeeding was associated with increased odds of detection of
428 protozoa/helminths, EAEC, adenovirus, enterovirus, and *G. duodenalis* (**Tables S2-S6**).
429 Falling below the poverty line was associated with increased carriage of pathogens
430 overall and of pathogenic *E. coli* and bacteria pathogens. Broadly, infection risk of all
431 types increased with child age and child mobility: crawling was associated with carriage
432 of protozoa/helminths and *G. duodenalis*, and walking with EAEC, EPEC, ETEC, and
433 norovirus GII. For bacterial pathogens overall, EAEC, and ETEC-LT, mother usage of
434 antibiotics during pregnancy was associated with increased odds of child pathogen
435 carriage. Dog ownership was associated with increased odds of norovirus GII carriage,
436 and cattle ownership with enterovirus and norovirus GII carriage. Long walk time to the
437 primary water source for the household was associated with increased odds of bacterial
438 pathogens and norovirus GII carriage. Latrine ownership was protective against EPEC
439 infections.

440

Table 1. Household risk factors for child enteric pathogen infections. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals shown, adjusted for child age group. Bold indicates p<0.05.

	Any pathogen	Pathogenic *E. coli*	Bacterial pathogens	Viral pathogens	Protozoa or Helminth
Age group					
0-2 months	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
3-5 months	1.35 (0.49, 3.73)	1.11 (0.39, 3.11)	1.11 (0.39, 3.11)	3.74 (1.52, 9.21)	9.58 (1.83, 50.09)
6-11 months	**$9.1x10^7$ ($3.7x10^7$, $2.2x10^7$)**	**8.1 (1.61, 40.71)**	**8.1 (1.61, 40.71)**	**2.94 (1.03, 8.43)**	**16.8 (3.35, 84.34)**
12-23 months	**$9.1x10^7$ ($3.6x10^7$, $2.3x10^7$)**	1.97 (0.46, 8.41)	7.23 (0.84, 62.11)	**15.58 (1.8, 135.24)**	**84 (12.57, 561.16)**
Probability of falling below poverty line	**10.58 (1.64, 68.24)**	**11.58 (1.45, 92.16)**	**13.26 (1.46, 120.7)**	3.01 (0.57, 16.01)	2.03 (0.27, 15.09)
Consumes breastmilk	-	1.15 (0.18, 7.33)	1.25 (0.16, 9.78)	2.02 (0.35, 11.56)	0.68 (0.09, 5.4)
Consumes food	3.87 (0.55, 27.19)	3.91 (0.79, 19.29)	4.41 (0.75, 26.08)	2.04 (0.7, 5.93)	**8.19 (2.12, 31.63)**
Crawls	1.0 (0.41, 2.46)	0.26 (0.02, 4.24)	-	1.34 (0.26, 6.97)	**4.64 (1.0, 21.58)**
Walks	1.0 (0.22, 4.63)	0.72 (0.10, 5.13)	1.41 (0.18, 10.81)	3.93 (0.50, 31.04)	1.0 (0.27, 3.67)
Mother consumed antibiotics during pregnancy	1.47 (0.5, 4.29)	2.25 (0.94, 5.41)	**2.62 (1.05, 6.59)**	0.65 (0.27, 1.58)	1.33 (0.39, 4.54)
Walk time to water source	1.06 (0.99, 1.13)	1.02 (0.93, 1.12)	**1.1 (1.03, 1.16)**	1.01 (0.99, 1.04)	1.06 (0.99, 1.14)
Latrine access	0.41 (0.14, 1.15)	0.64 (0.26, 1.56)	0.64 (0.25, 1.61)	0.64 (0.28, 1.47)	1.14 (0.39, 3.31)
Owns livestock	0.89 (0.23, 3.44)	1.33 (0.44, 3.98)	1.38 (0.46, 4.18)	0.64 (0.17, 2.38)	1.46 (0.32, 6.71)
Owns cattle	0.38 (0.11, 1.23)	0.53 (0.18, 1.54)	0.49 (0.16, 1.47)	1.06 (0.45, 2.48)	0.94 (0.27, 3.3)
Owns poultry	0.68 (0.24, 1.9)	0.71 (0.28, 1.79)	0.79 (0.31, 2.04)	1.29 (0.53, 3.15)	0.96 (0.33, 2.79)
Owns dogs	0.67 (0.21, 2.11)	1.07 (0.36, 3.16)	0.93 (0.3, 2.9)	0.91 (0.37, 2.2)	1.21 (0.35, 4.15)
Insects in food preparation area	0.55 (0.2, 1.57)	0.64 (0.24, 1.72)	0.59 (0.21, 1.63)	0.56 (0.24, 1.3)	0.94 (0.33, 2.67)
Dispose of animal feces in compound	1.3 (0.46, 3.69)	2.32 (0.85, 6.3)	2.5 (0.89, 7.03)	0.9 (0.4, 2.03)	0.51 (0.15, 1.77)

441

Although not a main objective of this study because of the limited number of children enrolled with diarrhea, we find that rotavirus was significantly and positively associated with caregiver-reported child diarrhea at the time of sample collection (**Table S16**); for diarrheal prevalences in the study, see **Table S14**).

Discussion

Our results provide the first longitudinal evidence that animal feces are an important source of initial and early life (<12 months) child enteric infections. We found substantial overlap of pathogens in child stool and animal feces; 85% of pathogens detected in child stool were also detected in animal feces. Detection of a pathogen in animal feces within a household was significantly associated with child infection with the same pathogen (**Fig S7**). Child hands and soil were important environmental transmission routes for infant infections, particularly for pathogens originating in chicken feces (**Fig 5)**. Detection of Adenovirus, Astrovirus, *Cryptosporidium*, *G. duodenalis,* and several *E. coli* pathotypes on a child's hands frequently preceded child infection with these pathogens. Soil contamination with Astrovirus, Norovirus, and *E. coli* pathotypes often preceded child infection. These findings highlight that hands and soil are transmission pathways for child-animal pathogen exchange within the domestic environment. As livestock are a critical source of household income and nutrition for young children,[37] our results indicate a need for improved community and household infrastructure and practices to separate children from animal feces.

This study generated new evidence of animal fecal shedding of a wide range of human enteric pathogens. Some of these pathogens, such as pathogenic *E. coli*, *Campylobacter* spp., and *G. duodenalis*, are known or suspected to be zoonotic, while others such as enterovirus, adenovirus, and norovirus are not typically considered zoonotic.[21] We also demonstrate that animal feces were widespread in the household environment, even in households that did not report owning animals (see SI text result section on household characteristics). Human feces is one potential initial source of these pathogens in animals, as poor human waste management in the study area may enable animal consumption of human feces and result in reverse zoonotic transmission (transmission from humans to animals).[38] Notably, we find that detection of pathogens in child stool is associated with subsequent contamination of soil with the same pathogen, suggesting that poor management of child waste could also contribute to pathogen proliferation in the environment and, potentially, animal infections. Provision of safely managed sanitation could prevent reverse zoonotic transmission, yet prior work has found that provision of basic sanitation alone is not adequate to reduce enteric pathogen infection prevalence in children under 5 years.[39] Safely managed sanitation infrastructure that

integrates both animal feces and child feces management may be necessary to prevent zoonotic transmission.

The household environment in our study site was widely contaminated with *Giardia duodenalis* across all sample types. *G. duodenalis* is a leading cause of diarrhea in Africa, with an estimated 36,116 annual cases per 100,000 people.[1] The prevalence of *G. duodenalis* infections in children in our study (20%) exceeded that of Kenya overall (9%),[40] but was lower than the prevalence found in healthy children in other settings.[41] Prior to this study, contaminated food and drinking water were well known transmission pathways for *G. duodenalis*.[42] Here, we observed animal feces contaminating household soil and child hands with *G. duodenalis*. Nearly half of all soil samples contained *G. duodenalis*, compared to 18% of samples in an urban community in Kisumu, Kenya.[43] Contamination with *G. duodenalis* on child hands (74%) was much higher than has previously been reported; a meta-analysis found that less than 2% of mother hands carried *G. duodenalis*.[17],[44] *G. duodenalis* has been previously detected in the feces of both livestock and household pets, which aligns with our observation of *G. duodenalis* in >50% of dog and >25% poultry feces.[21] Notably, the prevalence of *G. duodenalis* in dog feces in our rural study site was approximately 3 times higher compared to dogs in an urban community in Kenya.[45] Detection of *G. duodenalis* on child hands and in dog feces temporally preceded child infection; it follows that we identified crawling (involving frequent hand to soil contact) as a risk factor for child *G. duodenalis* infection. Having a dirt floor and chicken ownership were both risk factors for *G. duodenalis* infections in children under 2 in previous studies.[46],[47] Our findings indicate animal feces as an important source of child *G. duodenalis* infections through child hand contact with soil in rural, low-income settings.

Many prior studies have used *E. coli* as an indicator of fecal contamination in environmental reservoirs, and studies measuring bacterial, viral, protozoan, and helminth enteric pathogens have been limited.[19,48] However, we found that *E. coli* is generally not associated with enteric pathogen detection in soil, food, drinking water, or on child hands. Of the most commonly detected pathogens in this study (EPEC, EAEC, ETEC, STEC, enterovirus, *G. duodenalis*, adenovirus 40/41, and norovirus GII), *E. coli* detection in an environmental pathway was only associated with detection of ETEC-LT in drinking water and norovirus GII in soil and hands. Accordingly, *E. coli* detection in these environmental reservoirs does not imply the presence of any specific enteric pathogens. Published studies conducting quantitative microbial risk assessments (QMRAs) often infer specific pathogen quantities in environmental reservoirs by assuming a ratio between measured *E. coli* and other pathogens.[49] Our results suggest that this approach will not provide valid estimates of enteric pathogen levels, as there was no association between *E. coli* levels

519 and most pathogens across multiple environmental media. Other studies using TAC have
520 also found variable pathogen detection despite *E. coli* presence, as in Capone *et al*.[48]
521
522 Reported diarrheal rates in our study were high, and the pathogens we detected among
523 children suggest different diarrhea etiologies in this setting than reported in prior studies.
524 In the Global Enteric Multicenter Study (GEMS), the pathogens associated with diarrhea
525 in children <12 months in Nyanza Province, Kenya were found to be rotavirus (22% of
526 cases), *Cryptosporidium* spp. (9.9%), ETEC (8.8%), and adenovirus 40/41 (8.5%). For
527 children between 12 and 24 months of age, the most important pathogens were
528 EIEC/*Shigella* (15.4%), rotavirus (14.5%), ETEC (9.5%), and *Cryptosporidium* spp.
529 (6.3%).[2] A recent meta-analysis found that in East Africa, the pathogens responsible for
530 the greatest diarrheal cases in children under 5 were EAEC, *G. duodenalis*, ETEC, and
531 norovirus, while those responsible for the greatest number of deaths were EIEC,
532 rotavirus, and *Cryptosporidium* spp.[1] In this study, the prevalence of these pathogens in
533 child stool was low, with the exception of EAEC, *G. duodenalis* adenovirus 40/41;
534 however, diarrheal prevalence was high, and we found that child diarrhea was associated
535 with rotavirus. Notably, GEMS was located in a region with different climate, culture, and
536 animal husbandry practices than our study site, all of which may impact prevailing
537 pathogen profiles. Prior research has found that practices of nomadic and pastoral
538 peoples can increase the risk of zoonotic enteric parasite transmission;[50] we hypothesize
539 that these practices may also influence the types of enteric pathogens present and the
540 key pathways for transmission.
541
542 Diarrhea has been associated with an increased risk of acute malnutrition in young
543 children,[51–53] as previously demonstrated in the USAID Nawiri longitudinal study in
544 Samburu and Turkana Counties.[54,55] The high prevalence of diarrhea in this study (>30%
545 7-day prevalence), and particularly the association we find between diarrhea and
546 rotavirus, the most common cause of fatal diarrhea in children,[56] could be contributing to
547 the high prevalence of wasting in these two counties. Future research examining how
548 early-life enteric pathogen exposure and infection contributes to wasting would be
549 valuable to determine the potential for interventions that prevent pathogen transmission
550 to reduce wasting.
551
552 This study has several limitations. Sample collection occurred during the dry season, and
553 pathogen transmission pathways could be different during the rainy season and periods
554 of heavy precipitation. The incubation time from initial exposure to symptomatic illness
555 and/or pathogen shedding in child feces varies by pathogen, but sample collection was
556 standardized to occur 1-2 weeks apart to capture most incubation periods. While qPCR
557 assays have the advantage of uniquely identifying pathogens, they are unable to identify

558 if the exact same strain is present across samples, as whole genome analysis can.
559 However, this study is the first to apply longitudinal monitoring of a wide range of
560 pathogens by qPCR across child and caretaker stool, animal feces, and investigate a
561 comprehensive set of environmental transmission pathways.
562
563 Here, we demonstrated that children become infected with pathogens shortly after birth,
564 despite reported exclusive breastfeeding. In this setting, the youngest children (< 6
565 months of age) quickly became infected with *E. coli* pathotypes, enterovirus, and *G.*
566 *duodenalis.* Children under 6 months of age, and especially children under 3 months, are
567 often excluded from studies of pathogen infection.[12,57] We detected significant pathogen
568 overlap between child hands and child stool, suggesting that hand contamination often
569 precedes child infection. Other studies have reported that hand-to-mouth contacts can be
570 an important transmission pathway for children over 3 months of age,[9,14,18,58,59] and we
571 extend these findings to those under 3 months of age. Typically, community hand hygiene
572 campaigns focus on caregiver hand hygiene while young (<2 years) child hand hygiene
573 is often left out of behavior change messaging. Altogether with previous evidence, our
574 findings suggest that infant hand hygiene could substantially prevent early life pathogen
575 infections, including zoonotic pathogens.
576
577 **References**

578 1. Thystrup, C. *et al.* Etiology-specific incidence and mortality of diarrheal diseases in the
579 African region: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* **24**, 1864 (2024).
580 2. Liu, J. *et al.* Use of quantitative molecular diagnostic methods to identify causes of
581 diarrhoea in children: a reanalysis of the GEMS case-control study. *The Lancet* **388**, 1291–
582 1301 (2016).
583 3. Tickell, K. D. *et al.* The effect of acute malnutrition on enteric pathogens, moderate-to-
584 severe diarrhoea, and associated mortality in the Global Enteric Multicenter Study cohort: a
585 post-hoc analysis. *Lancet Glob. Health* **8**, e215–e224 (2020).
586 4. Brown, K. H. Diarrhea and Malnutrition. *J. Nutr.* **133**, 328S-332S (2003).

587 5. Control, N. R. C. (US) S. on N. and D. D. NUTRITIONAL CONSEQUENCES OF ACUTE
588 DIARRHEA. in *Nutritional Management of Acute Diarrhea in Infants and Children* (National
589 Academies Press (US), 1985).
590 6. Pickering, A. J. *et al.* Fecal Indicator Bacteria along Multiple Environmental Transmission
591 Pathways (Water, Hands, Food, Soil, Flies) and Subsequent Child Diarrhea in Rural
592 Bangladesh. (2018).
593 7. Robb, K. *et al.* Assessment of Fecal Exposure Pathways in Low-Income Urban
594 Neighborhoods in Accra, Ghana: Rationale, Design, Methods, and Key Findings of the
595 SaniPath Study. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **97**, 1020–1032 (2017).
596 8. Goddard, F. G. B. *et al.* Faecal contamination of the environment and child health: a
597 systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet Planet. Health* **4**,
598 e405–e415 (2020).
599 9. Mattioli, M. C. M., Davis, J. & Boehm, A. B. Hand-to-Mouth Contacts Result in Greater
600 Ingestion of Feces than Dietary Water Consumption in Tanzania: A Quantitative Fecal
601 Exposure Assessment Model. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **49**, 1912–1920 (2015).
602 10. Wang, Y. *et al.* Multipathway Quantitative Assessment of Exposure to Fecal Contamination
603 for Young Children in Low-Income Urban Environments in Accra, Ghana: The SaniPath
604 Analytical Approach. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **97**, 1009–1019 (2017).
605 11. Kwong, L. H. *et al.* Ingestion of Fecal Bacteria along Multiple Pathways by Young Children in
606 Rural Bangladesh Participating in a Cluster-Randomized Trial of Water, Sanitation, and
607 Hygiene Interventions (WASH Benefits). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **54**, 13828–13838 (2020).

608 12. Kwong, L. H. *et al.* Age-related changes to environmental exposure: variation in the
609 frequency that young children place hands and objects in their mouths. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ.*
610 *Epidemiol.* **30**, 205+ (2020).
611 13. Null, C. *et al.* Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions
612 on diarrhoea and child growth in rural Kenya: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. (2018).
613 14. Mattioli, M. C. *et al.* Enteric Pathogens in Stored Drinking Water and on Caregiver's Hands
614 in Tanzanian Households with and without Reported Cases of Child Diarrhea. *PLoS ONE* **9**,
615 1–11 (2014).
616 15. Uprety, S. *et al.* Assessment of microbial risks by characterization of Escherichia coli
617 presence to analyze the public health risks from poor water quality in Nepal. *Int. J. Hyg.*
618 *Environ. Health* **226**, 113484 (2020).
619 16. Gruber, J. S., Ercumen, A. & Jr, J. M. C. Coliform Bacteria as Indicators of Diarrheal Risk in
620 Household Drinking Water: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e107429
621 (2014).
622 17. Fuhrmeister, E. R. *et al.* Predictors of Enteric Pathogens in the Domestic Environment from
623 Human and Animal Sources in Rural Bangladesh. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **53**, 10023–10033
624 (2019).
625 18. Mattioli, M. C., Pickering, A. J., Gilsdorf, R. J., Davis, J. & Boehm, A. B. Hands and Water as
626 Vectors of Diarrheal Pathogens in Bagamoyo, Tanzania. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **47**, 355–363
627 (2013).

628 19. Lappan, R. *et al.* Monitoring of diverse enteric pathogens across environmental and host
629 reservoirs with TaqMan array cards and standard qPCR: a methodological comparison
630 study. *Lancet Planet. Health* **5**, e297–e308 (2021).
631 20. Ercumen, A. *et al.* Animal Feces Contribute to Domestic Fecal Contamination: Evidence from
632 E. coli Measured in Water, Hands, Food, Flies, and Soil in Bangladesh. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*
633 **51**, 8725–8734 (2017).
634 21. Delahoy, M. J. *et al.* Pathogens transmitted in animal feces in low- and middle-income
635 countries. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **221**, 661–676 (2018).
636 22. Barnes, A. N., Anderson, J. D., Mumma, J., Mahmud, Z. H. & Cumming, O. The association
637 between domestic animal presence and ownership and household drinking water
638 contamination among peri-urban communities of Kisumu, Kenya. *PLOS ONE* **13**, e0197587
639 (2018).
640 23. Ercumen, A. *et al.* Poultry Ownership Associated with Increased Risk of Child Diarrhea:
641 Cross-Sectional Evidence from Uganda. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **102**, 526–533 (2020).
642 24. Boehm, A. B. *et al.* Occurrence of Host-Associated Fecal Markers on Child Hands, Household
643 Soil, and Drinking Water in Rural Bangladeshi Households. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **3**,
644 393–398 (2016).
645 25. Vasco, K., Graham, J. P. & Trueba, G. Detection of Zoonotic Enteropathogens in Children
646 and Domestic Animals in a Semirural Community in Ecuador. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**,
647 4218–4224 (2016).

26. Penakalapati, G. *et al.* Exposure to Animal Feces and Human Health: A Systematic Review and Proposed Research Priorities. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **51**, 11537–11552 (2017).
27. *Turkana County SMART Nutrition Surveys*. (2019).
28. *Samburu County SMART Survey Report*. (2019).
29. Black, R. E. *et al.* Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet* **382**, 427–451 (2013).
30. Crookston, B. T. *et al.* Impact of early and concurrent stunting on cognition. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **7**, 397–409 (2011).
31. Georgiadis, A. & Penny, M. E. Child undernutrition: opportunities beyond the first 1000 days. *Lancet Public Health* **2**, e399 (2017).
32. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Exposure Factors Handbook 2011 Ed.*
33. Balfour, N., Obando, J. & Gohil, D. Dimensions of water insecurity in pastoralist households in Kenya. *Waterlines* **39**, 24–43 (2020).
34. PICKERING, A. J. *et al.* Fecal Contamination and Diarrheal Pathogens on Surfaces and in Soils among Tanzanian Households with and without Improved Sanitation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **46**, 5736–5743 (2012).
35. PICKERING, A. J., BOEHM, A. B., MWANJALI, M. & DAVIS, J. Efficacy of Waterless Hand Hygiene Compared with Handwashing with Soap: A Field Study in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **82**, 270–278 (2010).
36. Gansner, E. R. & North, S. C. An open graph visualization system and its applications to software engineering. *Softw. Pract. Exp.* **30**, 1203–1233 (2000).

669 37. Swarthout, J. M. *et al.* Addressing Fecal Contamination in Rural Kenyan Households: The
670 Roles of Environmental Interventions and Animal Ownership. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **58**,
671 9500–9514 (2024).
672 38. Barnes, A. N. *et al.* Zoonotic enteric parasites in Mongolian people, animals, and the
673 environment: Using One Health to address shared pathogens. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **15**,
674 e0009543 (2021).
675 39. Fuhrmeister, E. R. *et al.* Effect of Sanitation Improvements on Pathogens and Microbial
676 Source Tracking Markers in the Rural Bangladeshi Household Environment. *Environ. Sci.*
677 *Technol.* **54**, 4316–4326 (2020).
678 40. Tawana, M., Onyiche, T. E., Ramatla, T. & Thekisoe, O. A 'One Health' perspective of Africa-
679 wide distribution and prevalence of Giardia species in humans, animals and waterbodies: a
680 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parasitology* **150**, 769–780.
681 41. Alemu, Y. *et al.* Prevalence and assemblage of Giardia duodenalis in a case-control study of
682 children under 5 years from Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia. *Parasitol. Res.* **123**, 38 (2023).
683 42. Dixon, B. R. *Giardia duodenalis* in humans and animals – Transmission and disease. *Res. Vet.*
684 *Sci.* **135**, 283–289 (2021).
685 43. Baker, K. K. *et al.* Fecal Fingerprints of Enteric Pathogen Contamination in Public
686 Environments of Kisumu, Kenya, Associated with Human Sanitation Conditions and
687 Domestic Animals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **52**, 10263–10274 (2018).

688 44. Mertens, A. *et al.* Effects of water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions on detection of
689 enteropathogens and host-specific faecal markers in the environment: a systematic review
690 and individual participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet Planet. Health* **7**, e197–e208 (2023).
691 45. Maingi, H. N. Prevalence, Risk Factors and Geospatial Distribution of Giardia Duodenalis
692 Infection in Dogs in Nairobi County, Kenya. (University of Nairobi, 2021).
693 46. Rogawski, E. T. *et al.* Determinants and Impact of Giardia Infection in the First 2 Years of Life
694 in the MAL-ED Birth Cohort. *J. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. Soc.* **6**, 153–160 (2017).
695 47. Benjamin-Chung, J. *et al.* Household finished flooring and soil-transmitted helminth and
696 Giardia infections among children in rural Bangladesh and Kenya: a prospective cohort
697 study. *Lancet Glob. Health* **9**, e301–e308 (2021).
698 48. Capone, D. *et al.* Urban Onsite Sanitation Upgrades and Synanthropic Flies in Maputo,
699 Mozambique: Effects on Enteric Pathogen Infection Risks. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **57**, 549–560
700 (2023).
701 49. Machdar, E., van der Steen, N. P., Raschid-Sally, L. & Lens, P. N. L. Application of
702 Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment to analyze the public health risk from poor drinking
703 water quality in a low income area in Accra, Ghana. *Sci. Total Environ.* **449**, 134–142 (2013).
704 50. Barnes, A. N., Davaasuren, A., Baasandagva, U. & Gray, G. C. A systematic review of
705 zoonotic enteric parasitic diseases among nomadic and pastoral people. *PLOS ONE* **12**,
706 e0188809 (2017).
707 51. Zaba, T., Buene, D., Famba, E. & Joyeux, M. Factors associated with acute malnutrition
708 among children 6-59 months in rural Mozambique. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **17**, e13060 (2020).

709 52. Ferdous, F. *et al.* Severity of Diarrhea and Malnutrition among Under Five-Year-Old Children
710 in Rural Bangladesh. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **89**, 223–228 (2013).
711 53. Yazew, T. & Daba, A. Associated Factors of Wasting among Infants and Young Children (IYC)
712 in Kuyu District, Northern Oromia, Ethiopia. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2022**, 9170322 (2022).
713 54. USAID Nawiri. Examining the complex dynamics influencing acute malnutrition in Turkana
714 County – A longitudinal mixed-methods study to support community-driven activity design:
715 Final technical report. (2024).
716 55. USAID Nawiri. Examining the complex dynamics influencing acute malnutrition in Samburu
717 County – A longitudinal mixed-methods study to support community-driven activity design:
718 Final technical report. (2024).
719 56. Tate, J. E. *et al.* Global, Regional, and National Estimates of Rotavirus Mortality in Children
720 <5 Years of Age, 2000–2013. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **62**, S96–S105 (2016).
721 57. Kotloff, K. L. *et al.* Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children
722 in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-
723 control study. *The Lancet* **382**, 209–222 (2013).
724 58. Cantrell, M. E. *et al.* Hands Are Frequently Contaminated with Fecal Bacteria and Enteric
725 Pathogens Globally: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *ACS Environ. Au* **3**, 123–134
726 (2023).
727 59. Pickering, A. J. *et al.* Hands, Water, and Health: Fecal Contamination in Tanzanian
728 Communities with Improved, Non-Networked Water Supplies. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **44**,
729 3267–3272 (2010).

730