Genetically Informed Methods Uncover the Low Polygenicity of Natural Dizygotic Twinning and Highlight Its Role in Female Fertility and Infertility

Nikki Hubers,[1,2,3] Christian M. Page,[4,5] René Pool,[1,6] Hamdi Mbarek,[1,2,7] Nils Lambalk,[2] Velja Mijatovic, [2] Lannie Ligthart,[1] Jenny van Dongen,[1,2,6], Elizabeth C. Corfield,[9] Jeffrey J. Beck,[10] Erik A. Ehli,[10] Nicholas G. Martin,[11] Gonneke Willemsen,[1,2,12] Siri Håberg,[8] Jennifer R. Harris,[4] Jouke-Jan Hottenga[1] & Dorret I. Boomsma[2,3,6]

[1]Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[2]Amsterdam Reproduction & Development (AR&D) Research Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[3]Department of Complex Trait Genetics, Centre for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Amsterdam, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

[4]Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

[5] Department of Physical Health and Aging, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

[6]Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

7Qatar Genome Program, Qatar Foundation Research, Development and Innovation, Qatar Foundation, Doha, Qatar.

[8]Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

[9]Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diaconal Hospital & PsychGen Centre for Genetic Epidemiology and Mental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health

[10]Avera McKennan Hospital & University Health Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA.

[11]QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

[12]Faculty of Health, Sports and Social Work, Inholland University of Applied Sciences, Haarlem, the Netherlands

Corresponding Author:
Nikki Hubers
n.hubers@vu.nl

Abstract

Natural dizygotic twinning (DZT) results from hyper-ovulation and is often considered an indicator of female fertility. Paradoxically, DZT is associated with factors linked to sub-fertility, such as advanced maternal age. We investigated the association between DZT and female fertility by comparing polygenic scores (PGS) for DZT in mothers of twins and singletons who conceived naturally versus those who conceived after medically assisted reproduction (MAR). We also estimated genetic correlations between DZT and other fertility-related traits.

Using GENESIS and SbayesS, we found that DZT is not highly polygenic, with about 0.20% of SNPs showing a nonzero effect and 17 SNPs contributing to 17.2% of the total predicted SNP heritability of 7.2%. We calculated PGSs for 4,381 twin mothers and 2,797 fathers of twins in the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) and 1,299 twin mothers versus 64,810 singleton mothers in the Norwegian Mother, Father, and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). The PGS for DZT captured 1.60% of the variance in DZT liability. PGSs were higher in mothers of naturally conceived twins compared to those with MAR-conceived twins, especially for mothers who used hormonal ovulation induction and higher PGSs were associated with a shorted time to pregnancy. High genetic correlations were observed between DZT and anovulatory infertility (rg = -0.698, p = 5.099x10-5), PCOS (rg = -0.278, p = 0.035), and endometriosis (rg = 0.279, p = 0.003).

These findings suggest that the PGS for DZT is a valuable, under-recognized marker of female fertility, meriting further exploration within fertility research to improve reproductive health insights.

Keywords: Dizygotic Twinning, Medically Assisted reproduction (MAR), Polygenic Scores, Female Fertility, NTR, MoBa

Introduction

Giving birth to naturally conceived dizygotic (DZ) twins starts with a double ovulation, followed by a double fertilization and successful implantation of two embryos (Hall, 2003). DZ twinning (DZT) occurs more often in some families than in others and is partially genetically influenced (Hall, 2003; Hoekstra et al., 2008; Wyshak & White, 1965). Two genome-wide association studies (GWAS) found multiple independent loci associated with DZT for loci in or near genes that are involved in the endocrine processes of female fertility including follicle stimulating hormone subunit B (*FSHB*), gonadotropin releasing hormone 1 (*GNRH1*), and follicle stimulating hormone receptor (*FSHR*; Mbarek et al., 2016 & 2024). The SNP-based heritability was estimated to be 2.4% on the liability scale in the most recent GWA meta-analysis (GWAMA) of DZT (Mbarek et al., 2024), while a heritability estimate for twinning based on an analysis of seven large multi-generations pedigrees, is 8-20%, without distinguishing between mono- and dizygotic twins (Duffy & Martin, 2022).

It has been proposed that DZT should be viewed as an indicator of high female fertility and that research into DZT can thus aid in understanding female fertility in general (Tong et al., 1997). Paradoxically, several characteristics associated with DZT are also associated with infertility, notably an advanced maternal age, a greater incidence of smoking, increased body mass index (BMI) and more twins appear the be born in people with endometriosis (Beemsterboer et al., 2006; Farland et al., 2022; Hoekstra et al., 2010; Hubers et al., 2024).

Infertility is defined as being unable to conceive after 12 consecutive months of trying. It has been estimated to affect 1 in 6 couples world-wide (Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, 2020). Several underlying conditions may lead to female infertility including polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) and endometriosis, but for at least 15% of couples the underlying reason for infertility remains unclear ("Definitions of Infertility and Recurrent Pregnancy Loss: A Committee Opinion," 2020). Medically assisted reproduction (MAR) treatments for infertility have been available since the late 1960's and can result in increased twinning rate for two reasons: 1) increase in hyper ovulation as a result of hormonal ovulation induction (OI) mimicking the natural DZT mechanism (Hall, 2003; Van Zonneveld et al., 1999) or 2) the transfer of multiple fertilized oocytes after in-vitro fertilization (IVF) cycles to the uterus, although the transfer of more than one fertilized oocyte has become less common in recent years (Dhont, 2001; ESHRE et al., 2023).

In the first GWAS for DZT, a genetic signal was observed only after removing a small subset (N = 241) of mothers of DZ twins who had received MAR treatments (Mbarek, et al., 2016). Such a strong effect of phenotype misclassification potentially illustrates the opposing genetic effects associated with the natural conception of DZ twins versus the need for MAR treatment in mothers with subfertility or infertility.

Our objective here was to test the hypothesis that DZT can be regarded as an indicator of female fertility by comparing the polygenetic score (PGS) of DZT between twin mothers who conceived their DZ twins naturally and mothers who received MAR treatments. We tested our hypothesis in the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) and in the Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). We extended the PGS analyses in MoBa, by comparing mothers of naturally conceived singletons with mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins and MAR singletons to further understand the relation between subfertility and DZT. We estimated the genetic correlations between DZT and seven fertility phenotypes and estimated the polygenicity of DZT by employing the GENESIS and SBayesS tools (Bulik-Sullivan, Loh, et al., 2015; Vilhjálmsson et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2018).

Methods

Participants and phenotypes

NTR

The Netherlands twin register (NTR) is a national twin-family register established in the late 1980s (Boomsma et al., 1992; Ligthart et al., 2019). A total of 4,381 genotyped mothers were included and categorized into four groups: mothers of natural DZ twins (N = 1,635); mothers of natural MZ twins (N = 2,107); MAR MZ mothers (N =125) and MAR DZ mothers (N = 514). MAR was defined as the use of IVF, intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) and OI. The DZ MAR mothers were further stratified into mothers of DZ twins who received hormonal induction ovulation without IVF (OI; N = 252) and the other MAR DZ mothers (N = 262) who underwent IVF or ICSI. Mothers were excluded from the study if they were not the biological mother (N = 92), if they were a mother of MZ and DZ twins (N = 65), or if they were mothers of MZ twins and received OI treatments (N = 27). We included 2,797 fathers of twins (who are not twins themselves) as controls. Mothers without information on MAR were classified as non-MAR when the twins were born before 1970, as IVF was introduced in the Netherlands in the late 1970's and clomid (a hormonal substitute used to induce ovulation) in the late 1960's, (ClomiPHENE Monograph for Professionals - Drugs.Com, 2023), Surveys provided information maternal age at birth of twins, age first birth, height, BMI before pregnancy, number of older children, smoking before the twin pregnancy (Hubers et al., 2024). The study protocol was reviewed by the medical ethics review committee of the University Medical Centre of Amsterdam (IRB) (Numbers 2003/161 and 548 6565).

MoBa

The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study (MoBa) is a population-based pregnancy cohort study conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health (Magnus et al., 2016). Participants were recruited from maternity wards all over Norway from 1999-2008. The women consented to participation in 41% of the pregnancies. Blood samples were obtained from both parents during pregnancy and from mothers and children (umbilical cord) at birth (Paltiel et al., 2014). The cohort includes approximately 114,500 children, 95,200 mothers and 75,200 fathers. The current study is based on version 12 of the quality-assured data files released for research in January 2019. The establishment of MoBa and initial data collection was based on a license from the Norwegian Data Protection Agency and approval

from The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics. The MoBa cohort is currently regulated by the Norwegian Health Registry Act. This study was approved by the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics of South East Norway (#2017/1362). All participants provided written informed consent. The establishment of MoBa and initial data collection were based on a license from the Norwegian Data Protection Agency and an approval from the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics. The MoBa cohort is now regulated by the Norwegian Health Registry Act.

Data on the participating mothers were linked with data from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN; a national health registry containing information about all births in Norway), to assess their reproductive history. We included 1,299 mothers of DZ twins and 64,810 mothers of singletons. Mothers were excluded from the singleton group if they had an earlier or later twin pregnancy (N= 2,110). We categorized mothers of twins into three groups, mothers of: natural DZ twins (N=658), MAR DZ twins (N=328), and natural MZ twins (N=313); mothers of singletons were categorized as mothers of natural singletons (N=63,422) or mothers of MAR singletons (N=1,388). The mothers of naturally conceived singletons were the control group in the MoBa analyses. Mode of conception was reported by record linkage to the MBRN, which contains information on IVF/ICSI use, as reported from the fertility clinics, or by self-report in MoBa. Other information on maternal age, reproductive history and maternal body composition and time to pregnancy (TTP) was obtained from MoBa questionnaires or from the MBRN (Hubers et al., 2024; Irgens, 1998). We determined TTP for 42,285 mothers of naturally conceived singletons and TTP ranged between 0 till 12 or more months. In the 0 months group, mothers are included who had an unplanned pregnancy.

PGS calculation

NTR

The complete genotyping and imputation information from the NTR can be found in Data S1. Polygenic scoring was based on the NTR data imputed to the HRC+GoNL reference panel. Post-imputation SNP QC selection included the following SNP filters: MAF>0.01, HWE p > 0.00001, Mendel error rate < 1% and genotype call rate over 98%. The selection of SNPs was made on the merged set of best guess genotypes from the three platforms of data; imputation info for the three individual platforms all needed to be above 0.10 and the allele frequency

between platforms after imputation could not differ more than 2%. This resulted in 7,551,860 SNPs.

The PGSs in the NTR sample were based on the discovery GWAS meta-analysis for DZT of Mbarek et al. (Mbarek et al., 2024). A Leave-one-out (LOO) meta-analysis was performed, removing all NTR results from the meta-analysis and rerunning the meta-analysis as detailed in Mbarek et al. (2024). We retained variants for which the effect allele frequency (EAF) was $0.01 \leq EAF \leq 0.99$. Variant EAF and effect sizes were aligned with the NTR reference for the 1000 Genomes variants. Discovery variants that were not in line with this reference were discarded.

The processed LOO summary statistics were taken as input for the LDpred 0.9 softwareV1. For estimating the target LD structure, we took a selection of 2,500 unrelated individuals in the NTR database and selected a set of well-imputed variants in the NTR sample (Vilhjálmsson et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2018). The parameter ld_radius was set by dividing the number of variants in common (from the output of the coordination step) by 12000. For the coordination step we provided the median sample size as input value for N. For the LDpred step we applied the following thresholds for fraction of variants with a non-zero effect (in addition to the default infinitesimal model): --PS=0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, 0.005, 0.003, and 0.001.

In addition, we calculated a PGS in NTR based on 26 significant SNPs, that were also present in the Human Genome Diversity Project and the 1000 Genomes Project, from the most recent DZT GWAS (Table 2 from Mbarek et al., 2024). SNPs were selected from the LOO summary statistics and the PGS were calculated using the --score function of PLINK version 1.90.

MoBa

The complete genotyping and imputation information from MoBa can be found in Data S1. In MoBa we calculated the PGS in a similar way as in NTR. The full GWAS summary statistics, including the NTR, were taken as input for the LDpred 0.9 software. For estimating the target LD structure, the same sample was used (Vilhjálmsson et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2018). The parameter *ld_radius* was set by dividing the number of variants in common (from the output of the coordination step) by 12,000. Again, for the coordination step we provided the median sample size as input value for N. For the LDpred step we applied the 0.001 threshold for fraction of variants with non-zero effect. We chose to only include the 0.001 threshold for MoBa, based on the variance explained results from the NTR PGSs.

Statistical methods

Genesis

We employed the GENESIS tool (https://github.com/yandorazhang/GENESIS) for estimating the genetic effect-size distribution based on the summary statistics of the 2024 GWAMA of DZT (Mbarek et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2018). We first tested whether a two-components model (M2) or a three-components model (M3) as described in Zhang et al. (2018) fitted the data the best. The M2 model assumes a normal distribution of the effects for non-null SNPs while the M3 model allows the distribution to follow either a single normal distribution or a mixture of two normal distributions. The M3 model implicates that the trait of interest (DZ twinning) is influenced by a mixture of SNPs with a small effect and SNPs with a larger effect.

SBayesS

We estimated the proportion of SNPs with nonzero effects as an indication of the polygenicity (Zeng et al., 2021) in the SBayesS tool (https://cnsgenomics.com/software/gctb/). The input was the summary statistics of the 2024 GWAMA of DZT and an LD matrix based on the UKB.

Variance explained by PGS on the liability scale

All analyses were executed in R4.3.2. For each set of weighted effect sizes (infinitesimal model and additional threshold, see above) we calculated the NTR PGSs over all genotype data sets. To select the optimal threshold value of the fraction of variants with non-zero effect we calculated the variance in DZT explained on the liability scale in 1,635 mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins and 6,102 controls employing the methods described by Lee et al. (Lee et al., 2011).

PGSs comparisons in NTR and MoBa

We performed logistic regression with PGS as predictor and case-control as outcome variable, corrected for relatedness by adding the family structure as a cluster (function *geeglm)* as both the NTR and MoBa sample included sister pairs. To correct for population stratification and other technical biases, the first 10 PCs and the genotyping platforms (as dummy variables)

were added to the models as covariates. To investigate the effect of DZT related traits, we performed a second round of analyses that included the covariates maternal age at birth, height, BMI, smoking, and reproductive history (Hubers et al., 2024).

We performed the following series of analyses in NTR and MoBa. Bonferroni adjusted significance thresholds were 7.1×10^{-3} (0.05 / 7) in NTR and 0.01 (0.05 / 5) in MoBa.

1) Mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins compared to controls. In NTR controls are fathers of twins (non-twins themselves) and in MoBa controls were mothers of naturally conceived singletons.
2) Mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins compared to mothers of naturally conceived MZ twins.
3) Mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins compared to mothers of MAR DZ twins. We a further stratified the MAR DZ mothers in mothers who received OI treatment and other MAR mothers in the NTR.
4) Controls compared to mothers of naturally conceived MZ twins and mothers of MAR MZ twins.
5) In MoBa, mothers of naturally conceived singletons were compared to mothers of MAR singletons. In mothers of naturally conceived singletons we also tested in regression analyses whether the DZT PGS predicted TTP. TTP was analyzed both as a continuous variable and as a categorical variable stratified per months. In the categorial analyses unplanned pregnancy, or month 0, was used as a reference.

Genetic correlations

We applied LD Score Regression (LDSC; version v1.0.1; (Bulik-Sullivan, Finucane, et al., 2015; Bulik-Sullivan, Loh, et al., 2015)) to calculate the genetic correlation of DZT with number of children ever born (NEB) and childlessness (CL) (Mathieson et al., 2023), PCOS (Day et al., 2018), anovulatory infertility (Venkatesh et al., 2024), endometriosis (Rahmioglu et al., 2023), miscarriages (Laisk et al., 2020), and male infertility (Cerván-Martín et al., 2022). The summary statistics of endometriosis were downloaded from the GWAS catalog while the other summary statistics were made available by the authors of these studies. Before the genetic correlations were calculated, the SNPs for each of the summary statistics were

merged with the w_hm3.snplist, to ensure that the alleles listed in the summary statistics files match, which was downloaded from the LDSC wiki (https://github.com/bulik/ldsc/wiki/).

Results

Polygenicity of DZ Twinning

To investigate the polygenicity of DZT we ran the GENESIS tool to estimate the genetic effect-size distribution. We fitted the M2 and M3 models and as illustrated by the QQ plots in Supplemental figure 1. The M3 model is best suited for the DZT data implying that the effect sizes of the SNPs most likely follow mixture of two normal distributions We calculated an effective sample size of discovery GWAS for DZT by the formula: (N of cases) × (N of controls) / (total N of cases + total N controls). For the complete sample in the most recent DZT GWAMA this led to an estimated effective sample size of (8,265 + (26,252 / 2)) x 682,000 / 716,517 = 20,361, where DZ twins as cases are counted as half cases given that the twins carry half of the mothers effect. The total estimate of susceptible SNPs for DZT is around 2,244 (SD = 1,498) and the total SNP based heritability of 7.2% (SD = 2.4%; Table 1). The cluster of 17 SNPs with the largest effect size as predicted by GENESIS has a heritability estimate of 1.2% (SD = 0.6%), explaining 17.2% of the total DZT SNP-based heritability estimate.

Next, we also ran SbayesS to evaluate the polygenicity of DZ Twinning. This tool estimated that about 0.198% (SD = 0.03%), or approximately 5,483 (SD = 939) of SNPs will have a nonzero effect with regards to DZT. Additionally SbayesS estimates the SNP based heritability of DZT at 0.8% (SD = 0.08%).

The variance explained by the DZT PGS

To investigate the optimal fraction of SNPs to include in the PGS, we calculated the explained variance in NTR based on 1,635 natural mothers of DZ twins and 2,797 controls. We calculated the explained variance for each fraction of SNPs (*f*) with non-zero effects (infinitesimal, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, 0.005, 0.003, and 0.001) included in the PGSs. Figure 1 shows that explained variance ranges between 0.71-1.60% on the liability scale (Table S1). The highest variance explained was observed for f = 0.001. We additionally included a PGS solely based on 26 genome wide significant SNPs from the 2024 GWAS and observed that this PGS had the lowest explained variance (R^2=0.71%).

PGS analyses

Controls and mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins

We compared the DZT PGS between mothers of natural DZ twins and controls from the NTR using the PGS based on the $f = 0.001$. Table 2 and S2 and figure 1B show that the mean PGS was significantly higher in the mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins compared to the controls. In MoBa, the mothers of natural DZ twins also had a significantly higher mean PGS for DZT compared to controls (the mothers of naturally conceived singletons; Table 3 & S3; Figure 1C).

Mothers of naturally conceived MZ and DZ twins

In NTR we observed a significantly higher mean PGS in the mothers of natural DZ twins compared to the mothers of natural MZ twins (Table 2 & S2; Figure 2A). In MoBa the mean PGS is also higher in the mothers of natural DZ compared to the mothers of naturally conceived MZ based on a nominal p value of 0.05, but this was attenuated after correcting for multiple testing (Table 3 & S3; Figure 2B).

Mothers of DZ MAR and naturally conceived twins

Mothers of natural DZ twins have a higher PGS on average compared to mothers of MAR DZ twins (Table 2; Figure 3A). The significant difference between mothers of natural DZ twins and the MAR DZ twin mothers was also observed in MoBa (Table 3; Figure 3B). We then separated the DZ MAR mothers in NTR into a group of mothers who received OI treatment and the remaining MAR mothers. Here we observed that the mothers who received OI treatment had a lower PGS for DZT compared to the mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins (Table 2; Figure 3C), and also compared to the remaining MAR DZ mothers. The PGS in the other groups of DZ MAR mothers did not differ from those in the mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins (Table 2), indicating that the difference in PGS between the mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins and the MAR DZ mothers, is driven by the mothers who needed induction of ovulation.

Controls and mothers of MZ twins

We observed no significant difference in the PGS for DZT, when comparing controls to mothers of natural MZ twins (Table S4) in the NTR or MoBa (Table S5; Figure 4).In NTR, mothers of MAR MZ twins (Table S4; Figure 4) did not differ from the controls.

Singleton mothers and time to pregnancy

No difference was observed between mothers of the natural singletons and MAR singleton mothers in MoBa (Table S5; Figure 5). The inclusion of the covariates, i.e., maternal age, age at first birth, height, BMI before pregnancy, number of older children, and smoking, did not change the results of the analyses (Tables S2 & S3).

In the mothers of naturally conceived singletons we performed regression analyses between the time to pregnancy and the DZT PGS. We observed that the DZT PGS was associated with a shorten TTP (Table S6) when looking at TTP as a continuous variable. In the categorial analysis a significant decrease of the PGSs was observed for the mothers we took 12 or more months to conceive compared to mothers who had an unplanned pregnancy (Table S6).

Genetic correlations

We calculated genetic correlations between DZT and seven fertility related phenotypes including PCOS, anovulatory infertility, endometriosis, NEB, CL and male infertility (Table 4). We found three significant correlations with the strongest correlation of -0.698 (P = 4.93×10^{-5}) between DZT and anovulatory infertility. PCOS showed a significant negative genetic correlation with DZT of -0.278 (P = 0.0353), while endometriosis showed a significant positive correlation of 0.279 (P = 0.0033).

Discussion

DZT is a valuable phenotype for studying female infertility as we observe strong genetic correlations between DZT and female fertility and infertility traits. We also find that the DZT PGS distinguishes between twin mothers of naturally conceived pregnancies, and twin mothers who received MAR treatments. The PGS is also associated with a shorter time to pregnancy and is lowest in mothers who received hormonal induction of ovulation, suggesting that the genetic variants associating with DZT primarily affect ovulation processes.

In this study we observe that in contrast to many other traits, the best performing DZT PGS does not include all possible SNPs (Choi et al., 2020). Here we included the 0.001% of the most significant SNPs indicating that DZT is not as poly- or omni genic as other complex traits. The GENESIS analysis further confirmed that for DZT it is more likely to find a smaller number of associated variants with larger effect sizes as it predicted 2,244 susceptible SNPs, while GENESIS predicts over 30,000 susceptible SNPS for highly polygenic traits such as major depressive disorder for example (Zhang et al., 2018). The results of GENESIS also indicate that a sample size of approximately 400,000 participants (200,000 cases and 200,000 controls; Figure S2) would be required to identify 80% of the total SNP-based heritability. This indicates that although the 2024 GWAS had a substantial number of controls (N=682,000), more efforts are needed to increase the number of cases (N= 34,517; Mbarek et al., 2024).

The SbayesS results further support the low polygenicity of DZT as it predict that approximately 0.2% of all SNPs will have a nonzero effect on DZT. In comparison, for highly polygenic traits such as educational attainment over 5% of common SNPs are predicted to have a nonzero effects, while for lower polygenic traits such as prostate cancer and age at menopause also about 0.1–0.3% of common SNPs are predicted to have a nonzero effect (Zeng et al., 2021). DZT and the other fertility traits were already predicted to be not highly monogenic or highly polygenic (Kim & Lee, 2019; Fisher., 1930). The low polygenicity of female fertility traits imply that few variants associated with DZT are likely to have a large effect on overall female fertility (Venkatesh et al., 2024).

We show that the inclusion of more participants in NTR increases the variance explained on the liability scale by the PGS to 1.6%. The PGS based on the first GWAS was associated with having children, greater lifetime parity, and earlier age at first child in the Icelandic population (Mbarek et al., 2016). Here, we add the observation that the PGS for DZT is higher in mothers who naturally conceived DZ twins compared to DZ twin mothers who received MAR treatments, thereby underlining the relation between DZT and female fertility.

Pregnancies after MAR treatments, especially twin pregnancies, are known to carry more risks for mother and children and more miscarriages are seen with MAR treatments (Chambers et al., 2014; Szymusik et al., 2012). Also, MAR treatments have a low success rate of approximately 20% varying between 5-40% depending on maternal age (Black & Bhattacharya, 2010; ESHRE et al., 2023). Our results support the idea that genetic investigation with respect to DZT could aid in improving the MAR treatments (Lambalk, 2001). For example, if the DZT PGS can be combined with clinical features such as maternal age and BMI, and possibly other fertility related PGSs, it could serve to predict which MAR treatment has the best chance of success for people seeking fertility treatment (Doody, 2021).

The biggest difference in PGS was observed between the mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins and the mothers who received OI treatments. OI treatment generally indicates maternal fertility issues, most likely due to anovulation problems (Fauser et al., 2005; Van Zonneveld et al., 1999). Anovulatory infertility is in direct contrast to the hyper ovulation that mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins generally exhibit (Beck et al., 2021; Gilfillan et al., 1996). Thus, the genetic signal detected for DZT is mostly driven by genetic influences on ovulation rate and thus implies that ovulation rate has a genetic spectrum with infertility caused by anovulation on one end and hyper ovulation as indicated by natural DZT on the other end.

Female infertility is an overarching term that covers heterogenous problems. For example, the genetic correlations find that DZT has strong negative genetic correlations with anovulatory infertility and PCOS (rg = -0.698 and rg = -0.278 respectively), but a positive genetic correlation with endometriosis (rg = 0.279). The strong negative correlation between DZT and anovulair infertility supports the idea of an genetic spectrum of ovulation. The positive correlation with endometriosis seems puzzling, but is supported by epidemiological data in which mothers who have endometrioses or uterine cyst have an increased risk for twinning,

regardless of MAR status (Farland et al., 2022; Shin et al., 2024). It has been proposed that female fertility traits are mediated by a common genetic factor, however, the direction of effects of these factors seem to vary per fertility trait (Kiewa et al., 2023). A possible common genetic factor might be the top loci of the DZT GWAMA, *FSHB/ARL14EP*, as this locus has also been associated with endometriosis, PCOS, ovarian cyst and menorrhagia and the direction of effect differs between traits (Day et al., 2018; Kiewa et al., 2023; Lyle et al., 2023; Mbarek et al., 2024; Rahmioglu et al., 2023).

Some limitations should be considered with our study. While we had a large control sample of singleton mothers in MoBa, we were unable to include MAR MZ mothers due to insufficient numbers of participants (N=12). Also, the underlying reasons for MAR treatments in both NTR and MoBa were not always clear and could be caused by maternal or paternal fertility problems, or a combination of both. Treatment type can serve as an indication of the origin of the fertility problems as OI most likely indicates maternal problems. This lack of further differentiations could also explain why no difference is observed in singleton mothers of the MoBa cohort. Still, in singleton mothers, an increased DZT PGS was associated with a shorter TTP, further supporting the relation between DZT and heightened female fertility.

Our sample is based on individuals of European ancestries. DZ twinning is a trait with large global differences in prevalence (Nylander, 1981; Ragione et al., 2024). To test if the effect if also present in other ancestries, we calculated the PGS in three groups of twin mothers in non-European ethnic groups (mostly Caribbean ancestry; N=118) from the NTR. Similar to the main findings based on the sample from European ancestry, the outcomes of this explorative analysis suggest that the PGS is lower in the DZ twin mothers who received MAR treatments compared to the mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins (Figure S3). However, follow-up based on larger sample sizes is clearly required. GWA and PGS analyses in diverse samples with high DZ twinning rates, e.g. as observed in Nigeria, and very low DZ twinning rates, e.g., South Korea, can enable tests of genetic explanations for the large global differences. This is important because globally significantly higher occurrence of maternal complications and maternal death for twin pregnancies are observed (Santana et al., 2018).

In summary, we find evidence for a genetic spectrum with anovulation/infertility on the one end and spontaneous DZT on the other. This, in combination with the genetic correlations and

possible common genetic variants between DZT and female fertility traits, supports that DZT is a valuable model for understanding female infertility.

Declaration of interest

Erik A. Ehli and Jeffery J. Beck were employed by the Avera Institute for Human Genetics (Sioux Falls, SD, USA). The remaining authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We warmly thank all participant of the NTR and MoBa and all previous employees who contributed to the data collection and preparation.

NH is supported by the Royal Netherlands Academy of Science Professor Award (PAH/6635) to DIB. JvD is supported by Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) Large Scale infrastructures, X-omics (184.034.019). The NTR is supported by multiple grants from the NWO and Medical Research (ZonMW): NTR Repository (NWO 480-15-001/674); CID Gravitation Program of the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture, and Science and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO 0240-001-003). Genetic influences on stability and change in psychopathology from childhood to young adulthood (ZonMw 912-10-020); Twin family database for behavior genomics studies (NWO 480-04-004); Twin research focusing on behavior (NWO 400-05-717); the European Science Council (ERC) Genetics of Mental Illness (ERC Advanced, 230374); Developmental trajectories of psychopathology (NIMH 1RC2 MH089995).

This work was partly funded by the Research Council of Norway (320656) and through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme (262700; CMP, SEH and JRH). We thank the Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH) for generating high-quality genomic data. This research is part of the HARVEST collaboration, supported by the Research Council of Norway (229624). We also thank the NORMENT Centre for providing genotype data, funded by the Research Council of Norway (223273), South East Norway Versjon 7.0 3 Health Authorities and Stiftelsen Kristian Gerhard Jebsen. We further thank the Center for Diabetes Research, the University of Bergen for providing genotype data and performing quality control and imputation of the data funded by the ERC, Stiftelsen Kristian Gerhard Jebsen, Trond Mohn Foundation, the Research Council of Norway, the Novo Nordisk Foundation, the University of Bergen, and the Western Norway Health Authorities. The Norwegian Mother, Father, and Child Cohort Study is supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services and the Ministry of Education and Research. We are grateful to all the participating families who take part in this ongoing cohort study.

Author contributions

NH, HM, GW, DIB, JRH, NL, NGM and CBL have contributed to the conceptualization of the study. NH, LL, GW, JJH, RP and CMP prepared the data for inclusion. NH and CMP have performed the analyses and NH was responsible for the first draft of the manuscript. RP, JvD, JJH, JRH, GW and DIB provided supervision. CMP, RP, HM, NL,VM, LL, JvD, ECC, JJB, EAE, NGM, GW, SH, JRH, JJH and DIB provided feedback and revision on the manuscript. All authors support the submitted version of the manuscript.

Web resources

GENESIS: https://github.com/yandorazhang/GENESIS

SBayesS: https://cnsgenomics.com/software/gctb/

GWAS Catalog: DZT: 27132594. Endometriosis: 36914876.

Data and code availability

The data of the NTR may be requested through the NTR data access committee (https://tweelingenregister.vu.nl/information_for_researchers/working-with ntr-data). Data from the MoBa and the MBRN used in this study are managed by the national health register holders in Norway (Norwegian Institute of public health) and can be made available to researchers, provided approval from the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REC), compliance with the EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and approval from the data owners. The consent given by the participants does allow storage of data on an individual level in repositories or journals. Researchers who want access to data sets for replication should apply through www.helsedata.no. Access to data sets requires approval from The Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Norway and an agreement with MoBa. All code can be mase available from the corresponding author on request.

References

Beck, J. J., Bruins, S., Mbarek, H., Davies, G. E., & Boomsma, D. I. (2021). Biology and Genetics of Dizygotic and Monozygotic Twinning. *Twin and Higher-Order Pregnancies*, 31–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47652-6_3/FIGURES/6

Beemsterboer, S. N., Homburg, R., Gorter, N. A., Schats, R., Hompes, P. G. A., & Lambalk, C. B. (2006). The paradox of declining fertility but increasing twinning rates with advancing maternal age. *Human Reproduction*, *21*(6), 1531–1532. https://doi.org/10.1093/HUMREP/DEL009

Black, M., & Bhattacharya, S. (2010). Epidemiology of multiple pregnancy and the effect of assisted conception. *Seminars in Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, *15*(6), 306–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SINY.2010.06.004

Boomsma, D. I., Orlebeke, J. F., & van Baal, G. C. M. (1992). The Dutch Twin Register: growth data on weight and height. *Behavior Genetics*, *22*(2), 247–251. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01067004

Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H. K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F. R., Loh, P. R., Duncan, L., Perry, J. R. B., Patterson, N., Robinson, E. B., Daly, M. J., Price, A. L., & Neale, B. M. (2015). An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nature Genetics 2015 47:11*, *47*(11), 1236–1241. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3406

Bulik-Sullivan, B., Loh, P. R., Finucane, H. K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Patterson, N., Daly, M. J., Price, A. L., Neale, B. M., Corvin, A., Walters, J. T. R., Farh, K. H., Holmans, P. A., Lee, P., Collier, D. A., Huang, H., Pers, T. H., Agartz, I., Agerbo, E., … O'Donovan, M. C. (2015). LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics 2015 47:3*, *47*(3), 291–295. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3211

Cerván-Martín, M., Tüttelmann, F., Lopes, A. M., Bossini-Castillo, L., Rivera-Egea, R., Garrido, N., Lujan, S., Romeu, G., Santos-Ribeiro, S., Castilla, J. A., Carmen Gonzalvo, M., Clavero, A., Maldonado, V., Vicente, F. J., González-Muñoz, S., Guzmán-Jiménez, A., Burgos, M., Jiménez, R., Pacheco, A., … Carmona, F. D. (2022). Immune and spermatogenesis-related loci are involved in the development of extreme patterns of male infertility. *Communications Biology 2022 5:1*, *5*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-04192-0

Chambers, G. M., Van Hoang, P., Lee, E., Hansen, M., Sullivan, E. A., Bower, C., & Chapman, M. (2014). Hospital Costs of Multiple-Birth and Singleton-Birth Children During the First 5 Years of Life and the Role of Assisted Reproductive Technology. *JAMA Pediatrics*, *168*(11), 1045–1053. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2014.1357

Choi, S. W., Mak, T. S. H., & O'Reilly, P. F. (2020). Tutorial: a guide to performing polygenic risk score analyses. *Nature Protocols 2020 15:9*, *15*(9), 2759–2772. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0353-1

ClomiPHENE Monograph for Professionals - Drugs.com. (n.d.). Retrieved October 13, 2023, from https://www.drugs.com/monograph/clomiphene.html

Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, P. (2020). Definitions of infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. *Fertility and Sterility*, *113*(3), 533–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.11.025

Day, F., Karaderi, T., Jones, M. R., Meun, C., He, C., Drong, A., Kraft, P., Lin, N., Huang, H., Broer, L., Magi, R., Saxena, R., Laisk, T., Urbanek, M., Hayes, M. G., Thorleifsson, G., Fernandez-Tajes, J., Mahajan, A., Mullin, B. H., … Welt, C. K. (2018). Large-scale genome-wide meta-

analysis of polycystic ovary syndrome suggests shared genetic architecture for different diagnosis criteria. *PLoS Genetics*, *14*(12). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1007813

Definitions of infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. (2020). *Fertility and Sterility*, *113*(3), 533–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FERTNSTERT.2019.11.025

Dhont, M. (2001). Single-embryo transfer. *Seminars in Reproductive Medicine*, *19*(3), 251–258. https://doi.org/10.1055/S-2001-18044/ID/19/BIB

Doody, K. J. (2021). Infertility Treatment Now and in the Future. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America*, *48*(4), 801–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OGC.2021.07.005

Duffy, D. L., & Martin, N. G. (2022). The Heritability of Twinning in Seven Large Historic Pedigrees. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *25*(2), 63–66. https://doi.org/10.1017/THG.2022.14

(ESHRE), T. E. I. M. C. (EIM) for the E. S. of H. R. and E., Gliozheni, O., Hambartsoumian, E., Strohmer, H., Petrovskaya, E., Tishkevich, O., De Neubourg, D., Bogaerts, K., Balic, D., Antonova, I., Cvetkova, E., Rezabek, K., Kirk, J., Sõritsa, D., Gissler, M., Pelkonen, S., Mansouri, I., de Mouzon, J., Tandler-Schneider, A., … Goossens, V. (2023). ART in Europe, 2019: results generated from European registries by ESHRE. *Human Reproduction*, *38*(12), 2321–2338. https://doi.org/10.1093/HUMREP/DEAD197

Farland, L. V., Stern, J. E., Liu, C. ling, Cabral, H. J., Coddington, C. C., Diop, H., Dukhovny, D., Hwang, S., & Missmer, S. A. (2022). Pregnancy outcomes among women with endometriosis and fibroids: registry linkage study in Massachusetts. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *226*(6), 829.e1-829.e14. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJOG.2021.12.268

Fauser, B. C., Devroey, P., & Macklon, N. S. (2005). Multiple birth resulting from ovarian stimulation for subfertility treatment. *Lancet*, *365*, 1807–1816.

Fisher R.A. (1930). The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection (Oxford University Press).

Gilfillan, C. P., Robertson, D. M., Burger, H. G., Leoni, M. A., Hurley, V. A., & Martin, N. G. (1996). The control of ovulation in mothers of dizygotic twins. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, *81*(4), 1557–1562. https://doi.org/10.1210/JCEM.81.4.8636367

Hall, J. G. (2003). Twinning. *The Lancet*, *362*(9385), 735–743. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14237-7

Hoekstra, C., Willemsen, G., van Beijsterveldt, C. E. M. T., Lambalk, C. B., Montgomery, G. W., & Boomsma, D. I. (2010). Body composition, smoking, and spontaneous dizygotic twinning. *Fertility and Sterility*, *93*(3), 885–893. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.10.012

Hoekstra, C., Zhao, Z. Z., Lambalk, C. B., Willemsen, G., Martin, N. G., Boomsma, D. I., & Montgomery, G. W. (2008). Dizygotic twinning. *Human Reproduction Update*, *14*(1), 37–47. https://doi.org/10.1093/HUMUPD/DMM036

Hubers, N., Page, C. M., Ligthart, L., Pool, R., Hottenga, J. J., Van Dongen, J., Lambalk, C. B., Harris, J. R., Willemsen, G., & Boomsma, D. I. (2024). Maternal Characteristics in Natural and Medically Assisted Reproduction Dizygotic Twin Pregnancies. *Twin Research and Human Genetics□: The Official Journal of the International Society for Twin Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1017/THG.2024.26

Irgens, L. M. (1998). The Medical Birth Registry of Norway; a source for epidemiological and clinical research. *Scandinavian Journal of Rheumatology. Supplement*, *107*(107), 105–108. https://doi.org/10.1080/03009742.1998.11720780

Kiewa, J., Mortlock, S., Meltzer-Brody, S., Middeldorp, C., Wray, N. R., & Byrne, E. M. (2023). A Common Genetic Factor Underlies Genetic Risk for Gynaecological and Reproductive Disorders and Is Correlated with Risk to Depression. *Neuroendocrinology*, *113*(10), 1059–1075. https://doi.org/10.1159/000533413

Kim, Y., & Lee, J. J. (2019). The genetics of human fertility. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, *27*, 41–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COPSYC.2018.07.011

Laisk, T., Soares, A. L. G., Ferreira, T., Painter, J. N., Censin, J. C., Laber, S., Bacelis, J., Chen, C. Y., Lepamets, M., Lin, K., Liu, S., Millwood, I. Y., Ramu, A., Southcombe, J., Andersen, M. S., Yang, L., Becker, C. M., Børglum, A. D., Gordon, S. D., … Lindgren, C. M. (2020). The genetic architecture of sporadic and multiple consecutive miscarriage. *Nature Communications 2020 11:1*, *11*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19742-5

Lambalk, C. B. (2001). Is there a role for follicle-stimulating-hormone receptor in familial dizygotic twinning? *Lancet (London, England)*, *357*(9258), 735–736. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)04178-7

Lee, S. H., Wray, N. R., Goddard, M. E., & Visscher, P. M. (2011). Estimating Missing Heritability for Disease from Genome-wide Association Studies. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, *88*(3), 294–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJHG.2011.02.002

Ligthart, L., Van Beijsterveldt, C. E. M., Kevenaar, S. T., De Zeeuw, E., Van Bergen, E., Bruins, S., Pool, R., Helmer, Q., Van Dongen, J., Hottenga, J. J., Van'T Ent, D., Dolan, C. V., Davies, G. E., Ehli, E. A., Bartels, M., Willemsen, G., De Geus, E. J. C., & Boomsma, D. I. (2019). The Netherlands Twin Register: Longitudinal Research Based on Twin and Twin-Family Designs. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *22*(6), 623–636. https://doi.org/10.1017/THG.2019.93

Lyle, S. M., Ahmed, S., Elliott, J. E., Stener-Victorin, E., Nachtigal, M. W., & Drögemöller, B. I. (2023). Transcriptome-wide association analyses identify an association between ARL14EP and polycystic ovary syndrome. *Journal of Human Genetics*, *68*(5), 347–353. https://doi.org/10.1038/S10038-023-01120-W

Magnus, P., Birke, C., Vejrup, K., Haugan, A., Alsaker, E., Daltveit, A. K., Handal, M., Haugen, M., Høiseth, G., Knudsen, G. P., Paltiel, L., Schreuder, P., Tambs, K., Vold, L., & Stoltenberg, C. (2016). Cohort Profile Update: The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *45*(2), 382–388. https://doi.org/10.1093/IJE/DYW029

Mathieson, I., Day, F. R., Barban, N., Tropf, F. C., Brazel, D. M., van Heemst, D., Vaez, A., van Zuydam, N., Bitarello, B. D., Gardner, E. J., Akimova, E. T., Azad, A., Bergmann, S., Bielak, L. F., Boomsma, D. I., Bosak, K., Brumat, M., Buring, J. E., Cesarini, D., … Perry, J. R. B. (2023). Genome-wide analysis identifies genetic effects on reproductive success and ongoing natural selection at the FADS locus. *Nature Human Behaviour*, *7*(5), 790–801. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41562-023-01528-6

Mbarek, H., Gordon, S. D., Duffy, D. L., Hubers, N., Mortlock, S., Beck, J. J., Hottenga, J.-J., Pool, R., Dolan, C. V, Actkins, K. V, Gerring, Z. F., Van Dongen, J., Ehli, E. A., Iacono, W. G., Mcgue, M., Chasman, D. I., Gallagher, C. S., Schilit, S. L. P., Morton, C. C., … Martin, N. G. (2024). Genome-wide association study meta-analysis of dizygotic twinning illuminates genetic

regulation of female fecundity. *Human Reproduction*, *39*(1), 240–257. https://doi.org/10.1093/HUMREP/DEAD247

Mbarek, H., Steinberg, S., Nyholt, D. R., Gordon, S. D., Miller, M. B., McRae, A. F., Hottenga, J. J., Day, F. R., Willemsen, G., De Geus, E. J., Davies, G. E., Martin, H. C., Penninx, B. W., Jansen, R., McAloney, K., Vink, J. M., Kaprio, J., Plomin, R., Spector, T. D., … Boomsma, D. I. (2016a). Identification of Common Genetic Variants Influencing Spontaneous Dizygotic Twinning and Female Fertility. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *98*(5), 898–908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.03.008

Mbarek, H., Steinberg, S., Nyholt, D. R., Gordon, S. D., Miller, M. B., McRae, A. F., Hottenga, J. J., Day, F. R., Willemsen, G., De Geus, E. J., Davies, G. E., Martin, H. C., Penninx, B. W., Jansen, R., McAloney, K., Vink, J. M., Kaprio, J., Plomin, R., Spector, T. D., … Boomsma, D. I. (2016b). Identification of Common Genetic Variants Influencing Spontaneous Dizygotic Twinning and Female Fertility. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *98*(5), 898–908. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJHG.2016.03.008

Nylander, P. P. S. (1981). The Factors That Influence Twinning Rates. *Acta Geneticae Medicae et Gemellologiae: Twin Research*, *30*(3), 189–202. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0001566000007650

Paltiel, L., Haugan, A., Skjerden, T., Harbak, K., Bækken, S., Stensrud, N. K., Knudsen, G. P., & Magnus, P. (2014). The biobank of the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study – present status. *Norsk Epidemiologi*, *24*(1–2), 29–35. https://doi.org/10.5324/NJE.V24I1-2.1755

Ragione, A. D., Ross, C. T., & Redhead, D. (2024). A gene–culture co-evolutionary perspective on the puzzle of human twinship. *Evolutionary Human Sciences*, *6*, e47. https://doi.org/10.1017/EHS.2024.30

Rahmioglu, N., Mortlock, S., Ghiasi, M., Møller, P. L., Stefansdottir, L., Galarneau, G., Turman, C., Danning, R., Law, M. H., Sapkota, Y., Christofidou, P., Skarp, S., Giri, A., Banasik, K., Krassowski, M., Lepamets, M., Marciniak, B., Nõukas, M., Perro, D., … Zondervan, K. T. (2023). The genetic basis of endometriosis and comorbidity with other pain and inflammatory conditions. *Nature Genetics*, *55*(3), 423–436. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-023-01323-Z

Santana, D. S., Silveira, C., Costa, M. L., Souza, R. T., Surita, F. G., Souza, J. P., Mazhar, S. B., Jayaratne, K., Qureshi, Z., Sousa, M. H., Vogel, J. P., & Cecatti, J. G. (2018). Perinatal outcomes in twin pregnancies complicated by maternal morbidity: Evidence from the WHO Multicountry Survey on Maternal and Newborn Health. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, *18*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12884-018-2082-9/TABLES/4

Shin, J. S., Kim, S., Choi, J. Y., Hong, K., Shim, S., Jung, Y. W., Seong, S. J., Jun, H. S., & Kim, M. La. (2024). Pregnancy Outcomes and Obstetrical Complications of Twin Pregnancies with Endometriosis: A Single-Center Cohort Study. *Yonsei Medical Journal*, *65*(6), 356–362. https://doi.org/10.3349/YMJ.2023.0099

Szymusik, I., Kosinska-Kaczynska, K., Bomba-Opon, D., & Wielgos, M. (2012). IVF versus spontaneous twin pregnancies – which are at higher risk of complications? *Https://Doi.Org/10.3109/14767058.2012.705398*, *25*(12), 2725–2728. https://doi.org/10.3109/14767058.2012.705398

Tong, S., Caddy, D., & Short, R. V. (1997). Use of dizygotic to monozygotic twinning ratio as a measure of fertility. *Lancet (London, England)*, *349*(9055), 843–845. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(96)10003-9

Van Zonneveld, P., Scheffer, G., Koppeschaar, H. P. F., Fauser, B. C. J. M., Broekmans, F. J., & Te Velde, E. R. (1999). Hormone patterns after induction of ovulation with clomiphene citrate: an age-related phenomenon. *Gynecological Endocrinology : The Official Journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology*, *13*(4), 259–265. https://doi.org/10.3109/09513599909167564

Venkatesh, S. S., Wittemans, L. B. L., Palmer, D. S., Baya, N. A., Ferreira, T., Hill, B., Lassen, F. H., Parker, M. J., Reibe, S., Elhakeem, A., Banasik, K., Bruun, M. T., Erikstrup, C., Jensen, B. A., Juul, A., Mikkelsen, C., Nielsen, H. S., Ostrowski, S. R., Pedersen, O. B., … Lindgren, C. M. (2024). Genome-wide analyses identify 21 infertility loci and over 400 reproductive hormone loci across the allele frequency spectrum. *MedRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.19.24304530, under revision *Nature Genetics*.

Vilhjálmsson, B. J., Yang, J., Finucane, H. K., Gusev, A., Lindström, S., Ripke, S., Genovese, G., Loh, P. R., Bhatia, G., Do, R., Hayeck, T., Won, H. H., Neale, B. M., Corvin, A., Walters, J. T. R., Farh, K. H., Holmans, P. A., Lee, P., Bulik-Sullivan, B., … Price, A. L. (2015). Modeling Linkage Disequilibrium Increases Accuracy of Polygenic Risk Scores. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *97*(4), 576–592. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJHG.2015.09.001

Wyshak, G., & White, C. (1965). Genealogical study of human twinning. *American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health*, *55*(10), 1586–1593. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.55.10.1586

Zeng, J., de Vlaming, R., Wu, Y., Robinson, M. R., Lloyd-Jones, L. R., Yengo, L., Yap, C. X., Xue, A., Sidorenko, J., McRae, A. F., Powell, J. E., Montgomery, G. W., Metspalu, A., Esko, T., Gibson, G., Wray, N. R., Visscher, P. M., & Yang, J. (2018). Signatures of negative selection in the genetic architecture of human complex traits. *Nature Genetics*, *50*(5), 746–753. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-018-0101-4

Zeng, J., Xue, A., Jiang, L., Lloyd-Jones, L. R., Wu, Y., Wang, H., Zheng, Z., Yengo, L., Kemper, K. E., Goddard, M. E., Wray, N. R., Visscher, P. M., & Yang, J. (2021). Widespread signatures of natural selection across human complex traits and functional genomic categories. *Nature Communications 2021 12:1*, *12*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21446-3

Zhang, Y., Qi, G., Park, J. H., & Chatterjee, N. (2018). Estimation of complex effect-size distributions using summary-level statistics from genome-wide association studies across 32 complex traits. *Nature Genetics 2018 50:9*, *50*(9), 1318–1326. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0193-x

Figure 1: A: The variance explained on the liability scale by the polygenic score (PGS) for dizygotic (DZ) twinning in 1,635 cases and 2,797 controls for each fraction of top SNPs from the 2024 DZT GWAS included in the PGS. **B:** The mean PGS for DZT in controls and mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins from the Netherlands twin register (NTR). **C:** The mean PGS for DZT in controls and mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins from the Norwegian Mother, Children, and Father (MoBa) cohort study.



Figure 2: The mean PGS for dizygotic (DZ) twinning in mothers of naturally conceived DZ and monozygotic (MZ) twins from the **A)** Netherlands twin register (NTR) and **B)** the Norwegian Mother, Children, and Father (MoBa) cohort study. MAR = Medically assisted reproduction.





Figure 3: The mean PGS for dizygotic (DZ) twinning in mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins and mothers from DZ twins that received medically assisted reproduction (MAR) treatments from **A)** the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) and **B)** the Norwegian Mother, Children, and Father Cohort Study (MoBa). **C)** The mean PGS of the DZ MAR group of the NTR is further split between ovulation induction (OI) and remaining MAR treatments.





Figure 4: The mean

PGS for dizygotic (DZ) twinning in controls, mothers of naturally conceived monozygotic (MZ) twins and mothers of MZ twins that received medically assisted reproduction (MAR) treatments from **A)** the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) and **B)** the Norwegian Mother, Children, and Father Cohort Study (MoBa).



Figure 5: The mean PGS for dizygotic (DZ) twinning in mothers of naturally conceived singletons (controls) and mothers of singletons who received medically assisted reproduction (MAR) treatments from the Norwegian Mother, Children, and Father Cohort Study (MoBa).



Table 1: Parameters of the three-component (M3) model of the GENESIS tool, as applied to the summary statistics of the 2024 GWAS meta-analysis of dizygotic twinning (DZT).

Trait	Effective sample size	Total number of sSNPs[a] (SE)	Number of sSNPs in cluster 1[b] (SE)	Heritability explained by cluster 1 (SE)	Total heritability (SE)
DZT	20,361	2,244 (1498)	17.3 (9.2)	1.23% (0.6)	7.15% (2.38%)

[a] Susceptible SNPs

[b] Cluster 1 refers to the two non-null components of M3, in which cluster 1 corresponds to the component with larger variance-component parameter

Table 2: Results of the comparison of the polygenic score for dizygotic (DZ) twinning in mothers of DZ and controls and the results of the comparison of the polygenic score for dizygotic (DZ) twinning in mothers of DZ and monozygotic (MZ) from the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR). The controls consist of fathers of twins. All the analyses are showed with the mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins as the reference group. MAR = Medically assisted reproduction; OI = Ovulation induction. *Smoking before the twin pregnancy.

Group	N	Mean PGS	P value	Beta (95% CI)	Mean maternal age (sd)	Mean age first birth (sd)	Mean height (sd)	Mean BMI before pregnancy (sd)	Number of older children (sd)	% Smoking yes+
Z aturally	1.635	$3.75x10^{-9}$	-	-	30.00 (4.08)	27.61 (4.02)	170.16 (6.54)	22.80 (3.24)	0.92 (0.94)	21.35%
ontrols	2.797	$3.23x10^{-9}$	$6.94x10^{-13}$	$-1.06x10^{8}$ ($-1.35x10^{8}$ - $-7.64x10^{7}$)	31.89 (4.63)	30.16 (4.59)	182.33 (7.21)	-*	1.04 (0.89)	23.47%
Z MAR	514	$3.15x10^{-9}$	*$1.33x10^{-6}$*	$-1.16x10^{8}$ ($-1.63x10^{8}$ - $-6.79x10^{7}$)	31.80 (3.75)	30.76 (3.90)	170.14 (6.22)	23.13 (3.86)	0.32 (0.52)	11.91%
Z OI	252	$2.81x10^{-9}$	*$2.00x10^{-9}$*	$-1.92x10^{8}$ ($-1.28x10^{8}$ - $-2.56x10^{8}$)	30.39 (4.07)	29.25 (4.13)	169.20 (6.04)	23.02 (4.01)	0.39 (0.56)	13.89%
Z rest AR	262	$3.49x10^{-9}$	0.0903	$-5.29x10^{7}$ ($-1.15x10^{8}$ - $9.58x10^{6}$)	33.15 (3.44)	32.21 (3.67)	171.05 (6.39)	23.24 (3.71)	0.25 (0.49)	9.92%
Z aturally	2.107	$3.29x10^{-9}$	*$2.09x10^{-10}$*	$-9.94x10^{7}$ ($-1.31x10^{8}$ - $-6.81x10^{7}$)	29.74 (4.19)	27.89 (3.98)	169.84 (6.34)	22.98 (3.50)	0.68 (0.84)	16.50%
Z MAR	125	$3.59x10^{-9}$	0.0884	$-7.25x10^{7}$ ($-1.58x10^{8}$ - $1.26x10^{7}$)	34.18 (3.61)	33.10 (3.49)	171.20 (6.64)	22.95 (2.46)	0.37 (0.67)	8.06%

* Controls of the NTR only consist of males therefor BMI before pregnancy is not taken into account. + smoking ever

Table 3: Results of the comparison of the polygenic score for dizygotic (DZ) twinning in mothers of DZ twins, mothers of MZ twins and singletons from the Norwegian Mother, Children, and Father (MoBa). The controls include the mothers of naturally conceived singletons. All the results are shown with the mothers of naturally conceived DZ twins as the reference group. MAR = Medically assisted reproduction.

Group	N	Mean PGS	P value	Beta (95% CI)	Mean maternal age	Mean height	Mean BMI before pregnancy	Number of older children	% Smoking yes
DZ Naturally	658	$2.25x10^{-9}$	-	-	31.34	168.9	24.66	1.90	77.46%
Controls	63422	$1.90x10^{-9}$	*$1.20x10^{-4}$*	$-6.33x10^{7}$ ($-9.61x10^{7}$ - $-3.05x10^{7}$)	30.12	168.32	23.12	2.09	81.27%
DZ MAR	328	$1.74x10^{-9}$	*$7.70\ x10^{-4}$*	$-1.10x10^{8}$ ($-1.76x10^{8}$ - $-1.76x10^{7}$)	32.42	168.8	24.10	1.43	76.06%
MZ Naturally	313	$1.84x10^{-9}$	0.019	$-7.58x10^{7}$ ($-1.43x10^{8}$ - $-1.40x10^{6}$)	29.83	167.6	23.95	1.73	80.27%
Singletons MAR	1388	$1.84x10^{-9}$	*$6.60\ x10^{-4}$*	$-8.63x10^{7}$ ($-1.37x10^{8}$ - $-3.57x10^{7}$)	33.17	168.67	23.36	1.56	78.10%

Table 4: Genetic correlations between dizygotic twinning (DZT) and seven fertility related phenotypes. polycystic ovarium (PCOS), anovulatory female infertility (F-ANOV), number of children ever born (NEB) and childlessness (CL). The reference indicates the original publication of the GWAS. r_g = Genetic correlation

Trait	N	SNP based heritability	P heritability	r_g	SE r_g	P r_g	Reference
DZT	716.517 (8.265 MODZT, 26.252 DZT)	0.50%	0.001	-	-	-	Mbarek et al., 2024
F-ANOV	323.473 (7.359 cases)	0.80%	0.002	-0.698	0.162	$5.099x10^{-5}$	Venkatesh et al., 2024
PCOS	113.248 (10.074 cases)	12.40%	0.020	-0.278	0.132	*0.035*	Day et al., 2018
Miscarriages	428.523 (69.054 cases)	0.43%	0.001	-0.165	0.151	0.272	Laisk et al., 2020
Endometriosis	474.160 (60.674 cases)	1.63%	0.002	0.279	0.095	*0.003*	Rahmioglu et al., 2023
NEB	785.604	2.11%	0.001	0.093	0.064	0.147	Mathieson et al., 2023
CL	450.082	3.62%	0.002	0.014	0.073	0.853	Mathieson et al., 2023
Male infertility	3.225 (1.274 cases)	11.5%	0.327	0.048	0.121	0.694	Cerván-Martín et al., 2022