Deteriorated mechanics of left ventricular diastolic filling one year after coronary artery bypass grafting

Joakim Norderfeldt MD[a], Martin G Sundqvist MD PhD[b], Eva Maret MD PhD[a],

Ulrika Löfström MD[c, d], Matthias Corbascio MD PhD[a, e], Camilla Hage PhD[f, g],

Mattias Ekström MD PhD[h, i], Håkan Wallén MD PhD[h, i], Patrik Lyngå PhD[b],

Bengt Persson MD PhD[j], Hans E Persson MD PhD[h], Cecilia Linde MD PhD[f, g],

David Marlevi PhD[a, k, *], Maria J Eriksson MD PhD[a, l, *], Martin Ugander MD PhD[a, l, m, *, §]

[a] Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden,

[b] Department of Clinical Science and Education and Cardiology Unit, Södersjukhuset, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden,

[c] Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden,

[d] Department of Cardiology, Capio St. Görans Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden,

[e] Department of Cardiothoracic surgery, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden,

[f] Department of Cardiology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden,

[g] Department of Medicine, Cardiology Unit, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden,

[h] Department of Cardiology, Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden,

[i] Department of Clinical Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden,

[j] Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden,

[k] Institute for Medical Engineering and Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA,

[l] Department of Clinical Physiology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden,

[m] Kolling Institute, Royal North Shore Hospital, and University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia,

§ Corresponding author

* Shared last author

Email address to corresponding author:

martin.ugander@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Ischemic heart disease impairs left ventricular (LV) diastolic function, but little is known about changes in the mechanical properties of LV relaxation following coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). **Objectives**: This study aimed to explore if and how the mechanics of LV filling change following CABG. **Methods**: Patients underwent transthoracic echocardiography before and one year after elective CABG. Mitral inflow E-waves were analyzed using the parameterized diastolic filling (PDF) method, allowing for quantification of mechanical properties of diastolic function. **Results**: Among patients (n=96, 10% female, median [interquartile range] age 68 [62–74] years), LV ejection fraction (LVEF) at baseline was 59 [53–63] %. At follow-up, there was an increase in the PDF-derived measures of myocardial stiffness, damping, peak driving and resistive forces, and filling energy, together with increase in left atrial (LA) volume index, and a decrease in LA conduit and contractile strains and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (p<0.001 for all). There was no change in heart rate, LV size, LVEF, E/e´ ratio, or LV filling efficiency. Furthermore, there were no differences in the mechanics of LV filling in patients when grouped according to baseline LVEF, or the number of revascularized coronary arteries. **Conclusions**: One year after CABG, there was consistent deterioration in the mechanics of diastolic filling assessed using comprehensive conventional and mechanistic evaluation. Revascularization with CABG may worsen diastolic function one year after CABG. However, causes and significance of these changes remain to be further investigated.

Key words: Diastolic (dys)function, Echocardiography, Coronary Artery Bypass Graft surgery, Cardiac mechanics, Left Ventricular Function.

Central illustration.

Introduction

Ischemic heart disease gives rise to a broad range of symptoms and myocardial changes driven by different pathophysiological processes. Diastolic dysfunction is one of the first manifestations of impaired cardiac function due to myocardial ischemia[1].

Left ventricular (LV) diastolic function is characterized by LV relaxation, stiffness, and early diastolic recoil. All of these factors influence LV filling pressure. Furthermore, LV diastolic dysfunction has been shown to impact both patient symptoms and outcomes. Echocardiography is a cornerstone in the evaluation of LV diastolic properties and function[2]. Current clinical guidelines on echocardiographic evaluation of LV diastolic function[3,4] aim to determine whether LV filling pressure is elevated or not. However, such analysis does not consider the inherent mechanical characteristics of the myocardium, with elevated myocardial stiffness a quantifiable marker of progressive heart failure[5,6]. The parameterized diastolic filling (PDF) method is a validated approach for assessing the mechanics of LV diastolic filling. The method uses the velocity profile of early diastolic transmitral flow (the E-wave) from pulsed-wave Doppler echocardiography to quantify mechanical stiffness, damping, and load[7,8], together providing quantitative information on intrinsic mechanical properties of the LV. Stiffness contributes to the forces *driving* the recoil of the LV, whereas damping contributes to the forces *resisting* the recoil of the LV. Load is related to the stroke volume, and is closely correlated with the E-wave velocity time integral[8].

Beyond optimal medical therapy, patients diagnosed with ischemic heart disease benefit from surgical revascularization with coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG)[9]. Whilst CABG is known to alleviate regional stress-induced myocardial ischemia, long-term changes in LV diastolic function after CABG have been comparably scarcely studied[8,10,11]. During the first

hour after CABG, it is known that there is an increase in LV stiffness and reduced LV compliance[10], and the extent of deterioration in diastolic function has been associated with the duration of aortic cross clamp[10]. Furthermore, an immediate leftward shift in the curve of the LV end-diastolic pressure to LV end-diastolic diameter has been reported after CABG[10]. During the initial post-operative period 30±15 days after CABG, the transmitral filling pattern has also been shown to predict adverse cardiac events[11]. However, the intrinsic mechanical properties of LV diastolic function quantified by the PDF method have not yet been studied after CABG. Therefore, the primary of this study was to evaluate if and how the mechanical properties of LV diastolic function were affected one year after CABG using the PDF-method. The secondary aim was to assess the association between PDF parameters and conventional echocardiographic variables used for evaluation of LV diastolic function and left atrial function.

Methods

Study population. The current study is a substudy of CABG PREFERS (Preserved and Reduced Ejection Fraction Epidemiological Regional Study) performed in Stockholm, Sweden, as previously described[12]. Briefly, all patients admitted for elective isolated CABG surgery with or without previous acute myocardial infarction (AMI) at the Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery at Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, were asked to participate. We included patients with or without a history of heart failure undergoing elective CABG, age ≥18 years, and who had sufficient echocardiographic image quality. Exclusion criteria were: cognitive impairment or inability to understand the Swedish language, severe comorbidity including renal dysfunction or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or any comorbidity that affected the ability to assess or treat heart failure, heart failure primarily due to valvular disease, primary right ventricular failure, pulmonary artery hypertension, hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy, cardiac sarcoidosis, or infiltrative cardiomyopathy (e.g. amyloidosis, or hemochromatosis)[12].

At enrollment and one year after CABG, patients underwent comprehensive examination including ECG, blood sampling, cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR), and transthoracic echocardiography (TTE). The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the regional ethics committee in Stockholm, Sweden (DNR 2013/1869-31/1). All patients provided written informed consent prior to participation.

Before surgery, the perioperative risk was assessed using the risk stratification score EuroSCORE 2. CABG operations (n=96) were performed with patients on cardiopulmonary bypass according to clinical routine. All but three were performed at the Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, with three performed at the Örebro University Hospital, Örebro. Ninety patients were operated on by the same consultant surgeon. Revascularization

was accomplished using double mammary arterial grafting and, in some cases also by venous grafts, where applicable. The duration of cardiopulmonary bypass and aortic cross-clamp were collected from medical records.

Echocardiography. TTE image acquisition was performed according to clinical recommendations[13] by two experienced sonographers using Vivid E95 (General Electric, Horten, Norway). Conventional echocardiographic data was analyzed by two experienced specialists in cardiovascular imaging (MJE and EM) blinded to timepoint of examination. Conventional echocardiographic analyses were performed using commercial software (EchoPac, General Electric, Horten, Norway). LV end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD), septal and posterior wall thickness were measured, and relative wall thickness (RWT) was calculated using the dimension of the posterior wall multiplied by 2 and divided by LVEDD[14]. LV end-diastolic (LVEDV) and end-systolic volumes (LVESV), and left atrial volume (LAV) were calculated using the Simpson biplane method from apical 4-, and 2-chamber views. LVEDV, LVESV and LAV were indexed for body surface area and reported as indices; LVEDVI, LVESVI and LAVI, respectively. Right ventricular (RV) diameter was measured at end diastole in the apical 4-chamber view, and longitudinal systolic function was assessed using tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE). LV ejection fraction (LVEF) was measured using Simpson biplane method in the apical 4- and 2-chamber view[14]. Global longitudinal strain (GLS) was measured on 2D images using apical 2-, 3- and 4-chamber views. The ratio between early and atrial transmitral flow velocities (E/A) were measured using pulsed wave Doppler imaging. E/e´ was calculated using the mean of the septal and lateral e´ measured by tissue velocity imaging. LA strain was measured as the mean from 2- and 4-chamber views. Mitral annular plane systolic excursion (MAPSE) was

measured as the mean from four locations (basal septal, lateral, inferior and anterior, respectively).

Parameterized diastolic Filling (PDF). PDF measurements quantitatively describe the intrinsic mechanical properties of the LV in analogy to that of a recoiling spring, and has previously been described[7,8]. In brief, the analysis results in three main parameters; stiffness (k), damping (c) and load (x_0). From these main parameters, additional parameters can be derived, all representing different aspects of the LV recoil, e.g. filling energy, peak driving force and peak resistive force. For analysis of mitral E-waves by the PDF method, pulsed-wave (PW) Doppler of the mitral inflow with a horizontal sweep speed of 100 mm/s was collected for 30 seconds during free breathing. All PDF analyses were performed using the freely available software Echo E-waves (www.echoewaves.org, version 1.01), see **Central illustration**. PDF analysis was performed blinded to knowledge of baseline or follow-up timepoint. PDF measures of LV myocardial stiffness is reported as mmHg/ml after unit conversion as previously described[15].

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. Patients without contraindications underwent CMR at baseline and follow-up at 1.5 Tesla (MAGNETOM Aera, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). Following a routine clinical protocol, cine imaging and late gadolinium enhancement was acquired, with assessments performed by experienced observers with level III qualifications. The presence of previous myocardial infarction was assessed using late gadolinium enhancement according to existing recommendations[16].

Cardiac phenotype definitions. Patients were divided into phenotypes of Normal, preserved EF (pEF), or reduced EF (rEF) following pre-specified approach and criteria using echocardiographic findings and NT-proBNP concentrations as previously described[12]. Patients were also categorized into three groups depending on distribution of coronary lesions on coronary angiography as: left main stem with lesions in two or three additional vessels; three-vessel disease without involvement of the left main stem; and one- or two-vessel disease without involvement of the left main stem. Furthermore, based on CMR results, patients were divided into either having previous, or having no previous MI by late gadolinium enhancement.

Statistical analysis. All results were tested for normal distribution using the Lilliefors-corrected Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Results are presented as median [interquartile range], with statistical significance set at $p<0.05$. Differences between baseline and follow-up were tested using the Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity correction. Only patients with available data at both baseline and follow-up were included. If missing values, the number of patients included in the analysis is noted in respective tables. For subgroup analyses, comparison between groups were performed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. For differences between the phenotype groups regarding echocardiography and NT-proBNP, the Mann Whitney U-test was used. The change in mechanical properties (PDF) within each cardiac phenotype group was analyzed using Wilcoxon signed rank sum test. Variable changes were correlated to the duration of cardiopulmonary bypass, aortic cross-clamp time, and preoperative Euroscore2 using the Spearman correlation coefficient. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics, New York, USA), version 28.0.0.0.

Results

Patient population. 138 patients were included in CABG PREFERS. Out of these, patients were excluded based on insufficient image quality to perform PDF analysis at either baseline or follow-up (n=15), absence of follow-up examination (n=15), absence of CABG surgery (n=9), admission for acute surgery (n=1), concomitant valve surgery (n=1) and transfer to another hospital for surgery (n=1).

Out of the 96 remaining patients, 86 (90 %) were males with an age of 68 [62–74] years. **Table 1** summarizes the population characteristics. The time between baseline TTE and surgery was 63 [29–104] days, and the interval between baseline and follow-up TTE 427 [392–462] days. The interval between surgery and follow-up TTE was 363 [351–372] days.

Echocardiographic parameters. Conventional echocardiographic parameters are presented in **Table 2**. A total of 14 (15%) patients had a baseline LVEF below ≤45 %. LVEDV at follow-up was slightly reduced, while LVESV did not change. There was no difference in LVEF, MAPSE or LV GLS between baseline and follow-up. No difference could be observed in RV size between baseline and follow-up, but TAPSE was lower at follow-up. LA reservoir strain and conduit strain were decreased at follow-up compared to baseline, but no change could be seen in LA contraction strain. NT-proBNP did not differ between baseline and follow-up.

Myocardial mechanics

All PDF parameters are displayed in **Table 3** and **Figure 1**. Notably, both stiffness (p <0.001) and damping (p=0.012) increased at follow-up. However, there was no difference in

load (p=0.97). Changes could also be observed in E-wave maximal velocity, filling energy, peak driving force, and peak resistive force (p<0.001 for all).

No correlations with a correlation coefficient exceeding ±0.7 were observed between any PDF parameters and conventional echocardiographic variables, see **Supplemental Table 1**.

Figure 1

At baseline 58 patients (60 %) were characterized as having Normal phenotype, 24 (25%) as pEF, and 14 (15%) as rEF. In post hoc-analyses, PDF analysis revealed higher stiffness in the Normal and rEF-group and higher damping in all phenotype-groups. No difference in Load could be observed in any phenotype group (**Figure 2** and **Table 4)**. Between the pEF and rEF groups, a difference in the parameters constituting the phenotypical classification at baseline, e.g. LV size and systolic function, E/e´, LAVI and also NT-proBNP was observed (**Figure 2** and **Table 4)**. A previous myocardial infarction detected at baseline by CMR late gadolinium enhancement was not associated with any differences in stiffness, damping, or load at baseline. Furthermore, the ischemic burden, assessed as the number of affected coronary arteries, was not associated with differences in stiffness, damping, or load at baseline. For comparison, main PDF parameters according to classification by LVEF ≥50 % or LVEF <50 % are presented in **Supplemental Table 2**.

Figure 2

Relationship between surgical procedure and postoperative LV function. As per

Supplemental table 3, no correlations with a correlation coefficient exceeding ±0.7 were

observed between derived echocardiographic or mechanical parameters and the duration of

cardiopulmonary bypass, aortic cross clamp time, or preoperative Euroscore2.

Discussion

The main finding of this study is that at one year after elective revascularization by CABG, echocardiographic PDF analysis shows an overall mechanical stiffening and increased mechanical damping of the left ventricle, both indicating deterioration in the mechanics of diastolic function. Regarding damping, significant changes were seen in all cardiac phenotype groups while stiffness increased in the Normal and rEF-groups. There were no concurrent changes in E/e´ and only changes of small magnitude in other conventional echocardiographic parameters used to assess diastolic function.

Diastolic left ventricular function following CABG

A number of studies have evaluated LV stiffness and associated biomechanical properties after surgical intervention. Notably, LV stiffness has been shown to increase immediately after CABG when quantified as the change in intraoperative end-diastolic pressure-area[17] measured as the change in pulmonary artery wedge pressure per unit LV end-diastolic area measured by transesophageal echocardiography. The acute impairment of diastolic function has been corroborated by other studies[18,19], but has been challenged by other work showing *decreased* regional stiffness in myocardium revascularized by CABG when defined as the regional work of the ventricle per unit volume of the revascularized myocardium three to four weeks after CABG[20]. In comparison, our study focused on assessing mechanical properties before and one-year post-CABG, seeking to define persistent changes manifesting relatively long-term post-intervention.

The current study showed no change in septal e' and E/e', and a low magnitude increase in lateral e' one year after CABG. Furthermore, there was a concomitant increase in left atrial volume and reduction in left atrial strain. Taken together, the changes in these

echocardiographic measures point towards a reduction in diastolic function. Previous studies

using conventional echocardiographic variables reported an improved diastolic function

within the first days after CABG but a return to preoperative values at one year after

surgery[21]. All things considered, neither that study nor the current study were able to identify

an improvement in diastolic function at one year when using conventional echocardiographic

measures. The differences between this previous study and the current study likely arise from

heterogeneities in acute post-operative response amongst patients with prior myocardial

infarction, along with methodological differences in the assessment of diastolic function. In

the current study, 62 % had previous myocardial infarction by CMR late gadolinium

enhancement, which is a slightly lower prevalence than previously reported[22]. Furthermore,

in contrast to prior studies, the current study highlights persistent changes in myocardial

stiffness one year after CABG. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to evaluate

changes in LV diastolic function at the one-year postoperative timepoint in patients

undergoing elective CABG. These results indicate persistent and continued deterioration in

LV diastolic performance after CABG.

Differences between PDF and conventional diastolic parameters

The PDF method was chosen for analysis of diastolic function because of its ability to

mechanistically describe LV relaxation. Moreover, tools for quantifying the PDF measures

have improved following developments enabling rapid and precise semiautomatic

measurement with excellent intra- and inter-reader reproducibility[8].

The unique approach to diastolic LV functional assessment provided by the PDF method is

based on the three parameters stiffness, damping, and load. Importantly, the differences

observed in PDF parameters in our study were observed in the *absence* of changes in

conventional echocardiographic measures of diastolic LV function including E/A, E/e´, and E-wave deceleration time. This emphasizes the added mechanistic information provided by the PDF method, with early changes in mechanical behavior possibly appearing prior to any obvious functional deterioration[23].

Previous studies have been somewhat contradictory with regards to if, and to what extent, other diseases or conditions affect the main PDF parameters. Whilst the measures have been shown to be fairly uninfluenced by demographic parameters such as age and sex[23,24], conditions such as diabetes mellitus have been shown to moreso increase stiffness and to a lesser extent damping, while hypertension has been found to increase both damping and stiffness[23]. These differences might be due to the selection of subjects based on different definitions of hypertension. Notably, diabetes and hypertension have only been shown to have a weak association with conventional echocardiographic diastolic parameters when adjusted for age[18].

Potential mechanisms behind diastolic dysfunction following CABG

Previous studies have implicated the release of free oxygen radicals or altered levels of intracellular calcium as potential causative mechanisms behind diastolic dysfunction following CABG[25,26]. Moreover, the role of the pericardium in cardiac relaxation is not fully understood[27]. Since CABG surgery entails opening the pericardium to access the epicardial coronary arteries, this could provide a possible explanation for persisting post-operative alterations in LV relaxation, and further studies are warranted to clarify this mechanism. Another possible mechanism of action is that myocardial ischemia might not be the predominant cause of diastolic dysfunction, and as such, revascularization of the LV may not restore diastolic function[1]. This could be related to residual coronary microvascular

dysfunction, or a combination of progression over time of the deleterious effects related to the risk factors associated with the need for revascularization. Especially among patients with preserved ejection fraction, diastolic dysfunction may develop as a result of diffuse interstitial myocardial fibrosis related to changes in the titin protein[28]. Taken together, the cause of postoperative deterioration in diastolic function and its clinical implications remains to be determined.

The assessment of the mechanical properties of the myocardium is directly coupled to the biomechanical features of LV myocardial ejection and relaxation, where constitutive descriptors including strain rates, pressure-volume responses, and elastography maps have all been used to investigate myocardial stiffness in varying clinical conditions[29]. In this context, the PDF method offers added value, where routinely acquired mitral E-wave velocity profiles by pulsed Doppler registrations can also be used to estimate LV stiffness mechanistically.

Limitations. The study cohort consisted of 90% male patients and is thus the results may not applicable for both sexes. However, this population is representative of the percentage of males undergoing isolated, on-pump CABG in Sweden during 2022, which was 84 %[30]. Sex differences regarding the changes in diastolic function following CABG could therefore not be assessed, and further future such investigations are justified. The design of the current study was adapted to the definitions of heart failure available at the time of enrolment, when the definition of heart failure with mildly reduced ejection fraction (HFmrEF) was yet not established. Since then, new suggestions of classification of heart failure have been published[31], with such currently under consideration as consensus definitions. Instead, the definition of cardiac phenotype used in our study was based on well-established echocardiographic criteria and NT-proBNP and is compliant with the universal American

College of Cardiology and American Heart Association definition of stages of heart failure[31,32]. The symptoms caused by heart failure may be difficult to discriminate from the ones caused by stable angina pectoris[33,34]. The patients included in our study were assessed by experienced cardiologists. As assessed by the 2022 guidelines[31], all patients in preoperative cardiac phenotype group Normal are considered to be in Stage A ("At-Risk for Heart Failure") and all in the groups pEF and rEF in stage B ("Pre-Heart Failure"). For consistency, the PDF analysis was performed by a single observer, and this could be seen as a potential limitation. However, previous work from our group has highlighted excellent intra- and inter-reader reproducibility of the PDF method[8], providing added confidence to the results of the current study.

Conclusion

The current study found a deterioration in the mechanistic properties of diastolic function one year after CABG, seen as an increase in mechanical stiffness and damping while there were no or negligible changes in conventional echocardiographic measures of diastolic LV dysfunction. This work highlights the complexity of cardiac diastolic remodeling, and the value of including mechanistic evaluation by methods beyond conventional echocardiographic measures to understand changes in mechanical LV relaxation.

Funding

The research was funded in part by Astra Zeneca, Stockholm County Council, Swedish Research Council, Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation and the Karolinska Institute. D.M. acknowledges funding from the European Union (ERC, MultiPRESS, 101075494). Views and opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council Executive Agency. M.U. was funded in part by grants from New South Wales Health, Heart Research Australia, and the University of Sydney.

Authors' contributions

JN performed all PDF and LA strain image analyses, performed statistical analysis and interpretation of data, and drafted the manuscript. MJE and EM were responsible for analyses and echocardiographic database, EM analyzed MRI images. MGS developed the software used for image analysis together with MU and contributed to PDF and the interpretation of data, statistical analysis and revised the manuscript. MC provided surgical data and expertise. MU and MJE participated in the design of this study. MU, MJE, and DM contributed to the interpretation of data, statistical analysis, manuscript revision, and supervision. CL, HP and BP conceived the PREFERS CABG study, participated in its design and revised the manuscript. All authors read, revised and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Aroesty JM, McKay RG, Heller GV, Royal HD, Als AV, Grossman W. Simultaneous assessment of left ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunction during pacing-induced ischemia. *Circulation*. 1985;71(5):889-900.

2. Nagueh SF. Left Ventricular Diastolic Function: Understanding Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Prognosis With Echocardiography. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020;13(1 Pt 2):228-44.

3. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF, 3rd, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, et al. Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;17(12):1321-60.

4. Authors/Task Force M, McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: Developed by the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). With the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2022;24(1):4-131.

5. Basu S, Yu H, Murrow JR, Hallow KM. Understanding heterogeneous mechanisms of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction through cardiorenal mathematical modeling. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2023;19(11):e1011598.

6. Zile MR, Baicu CF, Gaasch WH. Diastolic heart failure--abnormalities in active relaxation and passive stiffness of the left ventricle. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350(19):1953-9.

7. Kovacs SJ, Jr., Barzilai B, Perez JE. Evaluation of diastolic function with Doppler echocardiography: the PDF formalism. *Am J Physiol*. 1987;252(1 Pt 2):H178-87.

8.   Sundqvist MG, Salman K, Tornvall P, Ugander M. Kinematic analysis of diastolic function using the freely available software Echo E-waves - feasibility and reproducibility. *BMC Med Imaging*. 2016;16(1):60.

9.   Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, Capodanno D, Barbato E, Funck-Brentano C, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41(3):407-77.

10.   Spotnitz HM, Bregman D, Bowman FO, Jr., Edie RN, Reemtsma K, King DL, et al. Effects of open heart surgery on end-diastolic pressure-diameter relations of the human left ventricle. *Circulation*. 1979;59(4):662-71.

11.   Liu J, Tanaka N, Murata K, Ueda K, Wada Y, Oyama R, et al. Prognostic value of pseudonormal and restrictive filling patterns on left ventricular remodeling and cardiac events after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003;91(5):550-4.

12.   Linde C, Eriksson MJ, Hage C, Wallen H, Persson B, Corbascio M, et al. Rationale and design of the PREFERS (Preserved and Reduced Ejection Fraction Epidemiological Regional Study) Stockholm heart failure study: an epidemiological regional study in Stockholm county of 2.1 million inhabitants. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2016;18(10):1287-97.

13.   Mitchell C, Rahko PS, Blauwet LA, Canaday B, Finstuen JA, Foster MC, et al. Guidelines for Performing a Comprehensive Transthoracic Echocardiographic Examination in Adults: Recommendations from the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2019;32(1):1-64.

14.   Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16(3):233-70.

15.   Lisauskas JB, Singh J, Bowman AW, Kovacs SJ. Chamber properties from transmitral flow: prediction of average and passive left ventricular diastolic stiffness. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2001;91(1):154-62.

16.   Moon JC, Messroghli DR, Kellman P, Piechnik SK, Robson MD, Ugander M, et al. Myocardial T1 mapping and extracellular volume quantification: a Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) and CMR Working Group of the European Society of Cardiology consensus statement. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2013;15(1):92.

17.   McKenney PA, Apstein CS, Mendes LA, Connelly GP, Aldea GS, Shemin RJ, et al. Increased left ventricular diastolic chamber stiffness immediately after coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1994;24(5):1189-94.

18.   Ekery DL, Davidoff R, Orlandi QG, Apstein CS, Hesselvik JF, Shemin RJ, et al. Imaging and diagnostic testing: diastolic dysfunction after coronary artery bypass grafting: a frequent finding of clinical significance not influenced by intravenous calcium. *Am Heart J*. 2003;145(5):896-902.

19.   Skarvan K, Filipovic M, Wang J, Brett W, Seeberger M. Use of myocardial tissue Doppler imaging for intraoperative monitoring of left ventricular function. *Br J Anaesth*. 2003;91(4):473-80.

20.   Higashita R, Sugawara M, Kondoh Y, Kawai Y, Mitsui K, Ohki S, et al. Changes in diastolic regional stiffness of the left ventricle before and after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Heart Vessels*. 1996;11(3):145-51.

21.   Diller GP, Wasan BS, Kyriacou A, Patel N, Casula RP, Athanasiou T, et al. Effect of coronary artery bypass surgery on myocardial function as assessed by tissue Doppler echocardiography. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2008;34(5):995-9.

22.   Kancharla K, Weissman G, Elagha AA, Kancherla K, Samineni S, Hill PC, et al. Scar quantification by cardiovascular magnetic resonance as an independent predictor of long-

term survival in patients with ischemic heart failure treated by coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2016;18(1):45.

23.   Kovacs SJ, Rosado J, Manson McGuire AL, Hall AF. Can trasmitral Doppler E-waves differentiate hypertensive hearts from normal? *Hypertension*. 1997;30(4):788-95.

24.   Sundqvist MG, Sahlen A, Ding ZP, Ugander M. Diastolic function and its association with diabetes, hypertension and age in an outpatient population with normal stress echocardiography findings. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound*. 2020;18(1):46.

25.   Gardin JM, Arnold AM, Bild DE, Smith VE, Lima JA, Klopfenstein HS, et al. Left ventricular diastolic filling in the elderly: the cardiovascular health study. *Am J Cardiol*. 1998;82(3):345-51.

26.   Hess ML, Kukreja RC. Free radicals, calcium homeostasis, heat shock proteins, and myocardial stunning. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1995;60(3):760-6.

27.   Chang SA, Kim HK, Kim YJ, Cho GY, Oh S, Sohn DW. Role of pericardium in the maintenance of left ventricular twist. *Heart*. 2010;96(10):785-90.

28.   Zile MR, Baicu CF, Ikonomidis JS, Stroud RE, Nietert PJ, Bradshaw AD, et al. Myocardial stiffness in patients with heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction: contributions of collagen and titin. Circulation. 2015;131(14):1247-59.

29.   Villalobos Lizardi JC, Baranger J, Nguyen MB, Asnacios A, Malik A, Lumens J, et al. A guide for assessment of myocardial stiffness in health and disease. *Nature Cardiovascular Research*. 2022;1(1):8-22.

30.   Registry TSCS. 07 - SWEDEHEART 2022 Swedish Cardiac Surgery Registry. https://www.ucr.uu.se/swedeheart/dokument-sh/arsrapporter-sh/arsrapport-2022/07-swedeheart-2022-swedish-cardiac-surgery-registry-2; 2023. Accessed October 11, 2024.

31.   Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Byun JJ, Colvin MM, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American

College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2022;145(18):e895-e1032.

32.   Mohebi R, Wang D, Lau ES, Parekh JK, Allen N, Psaty BM, et al. Effect of 2022 ACC/AHA/HFSA Criteria on Stages of Heart Failure in a Pooled Community Cohort. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2023;81(23):2231-42.

33.   Gulati M, Levy PD, Mukherjee D, Amsterdam E, Bhatt DL, Birtcher KK, et al. 2021 AHA/ACC/ASE/CHEST/SAEM/SCCT/SCMR Guideline for the Evaluation and Diagnosis of Chest Pain: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2021;144(22):e368-e454.

34.   Ford TJ, Berry C. Angina: contemporary diagnosis and management. *Heart.* 2020;106(5):387-98.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Left ventricular mechanics at baseline and follow-up.

All PDF parameters at baseline (grey) and follow-up (white). Abbreviations: KFEI = Kinematic filling efficiency index, τ = Tau.

Figure 2. Left ventricular mechanics by cardiac phenotypes.

The main PDF parameters divided by cardiac phenotypes at baseline (grey) and follow-up (white).

Central illustration. Deterioration in LV diastolic properties one year after CABG.

Overview of background, study design, methods and main results. Abbreviations: LV – Left Ventricular; CABG – Coronary artery bypass graft surgery; CMR – Cardiovascular magnetic resonance; ECHO – transthoracic echocardiography.