Reduced brain structural similarity is associated with maturation, neurobiological features, and clinical status in schizophrenia

Natalia García-San-Martín[1], Richard AI Bethlehem[2], Agoston Mihalik[3], Jakob Seidlitz[4,5,6], Isaac Sebenius[3,7], Claudio Alemán-Morillo[1], Lena Dorfschmidt[4], Golia Shafiei[5,6], Sarah E. Morgan[3,7,8], Miguel Ruiz-Veguilla[9,10,11], Rosa Ayesa-Arriola[9,12], Javier Vázquez-Bourgon[9,12], Bratislav Misic[13], John Suckling[3,14], Benedicto Crespo-Facorro[9,10,11,12], Rafael Romero-García[1,3,9,11]

Abstract

Schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SSD) are characterized by atypical brain maturation, including reduced structural similarity between regions. However, the maturational and neurobiological underpinnings of the complex interactions between abnormal development and network reorganization remain poorly characterized. Using structural MRI data from 195 healthy controls (HC) and 352 individuals with SSD, we constructed individual Morphometric INverse Divergence (MIND) networks. Compared to HC, individuals with SSD exhibited reduced structural similarity in the temporal and cingulate lobes, with more pronounced reductions in individuals exhibiting a 'poor' clinical status (more impaired cognitive functioning and more severe symptomatology). These alterations in MIND networks were associated with cortical hierarchy and maturational events, locating MIND reductions in higher-order association areas that predominantly mature later. Finally, we mapped 46 neurobiological features from healthy individuals onto MIND networks revealing a high presence of neurotransmitters, astrocytes, and

microglia, along with decreased metabolism and microstructure, in regions with reduced similarity in SSD. Taken together, these findings provide evidence on the complex interplay between structural dysconnectivity, maturational events, and the underlying neurobiology in determining clinical status of individuals with SSD.

Author affiliations:

[1]Department of Medical Physiology and Biophysics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Seville; Seville, Spain.

[2]Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge; Cambridge, UK.

[3]Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge; Cambridge, UK.

[4]Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia; Philadelphia, PA, USA.

[5]Lifespan Brain Institute, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and Penn Medicine; Philadelphia, PA, USA.

[6]Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

[7]Department of Computer Science and Technology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

[8]School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, UK.

[9]Biomedical Research Center in Mental Health Network (CIBERSAM), Health Institute Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.

[10]Mental Health Service, Virgen del Rocío University Hospital; Seville, Spain.

[11]Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBiS) HUVR/CSIC, University of Seville; Seville, Spain.

[12]Department of Psychiatry. Marqués de Valdecilla University Hospital, IDIVAL, School of Medicine, University of Cantabria; Santander, Spain.

[13]Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University; Montreal, QC, Canada.

[14]Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, Peterborough, UK.

Correspondence to: Rafael Romero García

Full address: Avda. Doctor Fedriani S/N, 419009, Seville (Spain)

E-mail: rr480@cam.ac.uk

Running title: MIND and maturation in schizophrenia

Keywords: structural connectivity, volumetric centiles, disease epicenters, cognition, positive and negative symptoms, regional vulnerability

Introduction

Multiple MRI studies have detected brain structural alterations across several cortical areas in individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SSD), including reductions in volume, surface, thickness, and gyrification.[1–5] The widespread, multicentric pattern of these structural alterations likely reflects dysconnectivity within brain specific networks.[6] The structural connectome, traditionally derived from diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), has provided valuable insights into connectivity alterations associated with SSD. For example, a more efficiently wired connectome has demonstrated a better response to antipsychotic treatment.[7] However, this tractography technique generates a substantial amount of false-positive fiber bundles.[8] Alternatively, structural networks can be constructed using within-subject cortical similarity approaches. These networks have demonstrated to be sensitive to the duration of psychosis,[9] the expression of schizophrenia-related genes,[6] and the prediction of treatment response.[10] A recent method based on Morphometric Inverse Divergence (MIND) has emerged, providing an estimate of within-subject similarity between cortical areas based on multiple MRI features.[11] These novel similarity networks have demonstrated greater reliability, better consistency with cortical cytoarchitectonics and symmetry, and stronger correlations with tract-tracing measures of axonal connectivity compared to previous approaches.[11] Exploring MIND networks among individuals with SSD may shed light on the complex structural alterations that manifest as diverse cognitive and symptomatologic status.

Extensive research has linked volumetric reductions and structural network alterations to severe symptomatology in first-episode and chronic schizophrenia.[5,12,13] Investigations on drug-naïve patients are scarcer, but they have reported decreases in cortical thickness and structural connectivity associated with cognitive and functioning deficits.[14–16]

Positive symptoms have also been associated with a widespread pattern of decreased gray matter volume in bilateral frontotemporal and occipital regions in first-episode psychosis individuals.[5] On the other hand, structural alterations associated with negative symptomatology have shown inconsistencies.[17] The controversial impact of antipsychotic drugs on symptomatology and brain architecture[18–20] highlights the relevance of research on drug-naïve or minimally medicated patients, which could help elucidate the specific contribution of disease-related alterations to brain structure.

A growing body of literature supports the hypothesis that psychiatric and neurological conditions initially manifest as abnormal brain structures in specific regions, referred to as epicenters.[21,22] These abnormalities subsequently spread via neuron-to-neuron transmission to other areas through the underlying network architecture,[12,23] reflecting the structural and functional progression of multiple neurodegenerative diseases.[24–26] Moreover, epicenters have been found to correlate with more severe symptom profiles, suggesting that network organization may guide cortical alterations throughout the disease process of schizophrenia and may be shaped by cognitive impairment and symptom severity, among other factors.[12] However, the pathological spread of these abnormalities from disease epicenters to connected brain regions, resulting in network-wide morphological changes**,** remains unclear. Investigating the relationship between network architecture and atypical development in SSD may be key to understanding abnormal brain maturation and functioning.[27]

A key aspect of human brain maturation is the consolidation of cortical hierarchy, where higher-order association areas exhibit faster rates of shrinkage and myelination compared to low-order sensorimotor areas during the course of adolescence, facilitating efficient connectivity throughout the structural network.[28] Population models have become a fundamental tool for accurately delineating these brain maturational events, including

determining the ages at which regions reach their peak volume and maximum growth velocity.[29] These approaches based on normative data also enable benchmarking regional brain volumes to identify patterns of atypical neuroanatomical maturation after accounting for age-, sex-, and site-effects.[29] This allows for constructing population-relative 'centiles', a measure of brain structure atypicality across the lifespan. A recent study based on this methodology demonstrated common abnormal trajectories of cortical volume across different psychosis-related conditions, supporting the notion that regions with similar structural profiles are co-vulnerable to psychosis (i.e., exhibit similar psychosis-related centile alterations).[30] Although advanced structural brain ageing[31] and alterations in higher-order areas[32,33] have been implicated in schizophrenia, no study has yet explored the complex interaction between maturational events, brain hierarchy, and structural connectivity derived from similarity networks in SSD.

Understanding how structural alterations in psychiatric conditions relate to the underlying neurobiological substrates has been particularly challenging due to limited human data.[34,35] However, recent studies have explored the spatial distribution of several neurobiological properties, including molecular and cellular markers.[36,37] One study revealed that, while psychotic-related conditions exhibit distinct patterns of GM reductions, there is a major overlap in the neurobiological features characterizing atypically maturing regions, including a higher density of serotonin receptors, among others.[30] No study to date has explored the biological profiles associated with structural alterations in network connectivity and their potential implication for SSD clinical status.[6]

Here, we exploit a novel method based on MIND networks to assess how structural markers are associated with abnormal development in SSD and, for the first time, with their clinical status. We constructed MIND networks by estimating within-subject similarities between cortical areas in SSD and healthy control (HC) subjects. Firstly, we

compared differences in MIND similarity (also referred here as connectivity) between SSD and HC, and after stratifying individuals with SSD into 'poor' or 'good' clinical status. Secondly, we examined whether any decrease in MIND connectivity of patients with SSD was linked to centile reductions or other maturational events. For this purpose, we first computed the regional connectivity to disease epicenters of these individuals to assess how clinical status was influenced by the regions preferentially linked to atypically maturing areas. Next, we explored potential associations between MIND networks and the hierarchical organization of the cortex. Finally, we conducted a multivariate analysis to examine the associations between regional neurobiological features and MIND alterations in relation to clinical status.

Results

Decreased MIND connectivity in schizophrenia is associated with clinical status

MIND networks were computed as the within-subject similarity between cortical areas based on the Kullback-Leibler divergence of multiple MRI features (see *Methods* for details). To determine how MIND varies between SSD and HC, we computed the effect size (Cohen's d) between networks (for edges and nodes separately). Compared to HC, individuals with SSD exhibited significant reductions in MIND connectivity in edges targeting the temporal, cingulate, and insular cortices, while edges interconnecting the occipital cortices showed higher connectivity (Fig. 1A, left and right; see Supplementary Data for the full matrix). Frontal and parietal connecting regions exhibited both increases and decreases in MIND connectivity. At the regional level, patients with SSD exhibited a significant reduction in MIND degree in the rostral and caudal anterior cingulate regions

for both hemispheres, along with the middle temporal and insula regions of the left hemisphere (Fig. 1A middle and right). The sensitivity analyses revealed that MIND degree remained highly consistent across both scanning sites and sexes (see Supplementary Fig. 1), and was robust to variations in image quality (Euler index), intracranial volume, and parcellation schemes (Supplementary Fig. 2A-C). Effect sizes were also significantly correlated with those reported by Morgan *et al*.[6] in Morphometric Similarity (MS) networks derived from individuals with chronic schizophrenia ($r_{partial}$ = 0.35, P_{spin} = 0.005; Supplementary Fig. 2).

Effect sizes of MIND edges and degrees were analyzed based on the scores of global cognitive functioning and clinical symptoms (BPRS, SAPS, and SANS scales) of individuals with SSD, which were classified with a 'poor' or 'good' status (see *Methods* and Supplementary Fig. 3 for details on the score distributions across each status). Instead of reporting individual scale scores for cognition, we used an average score for simplicity. This decision was supported by the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) performed on these scales, where the first principal component explained 58.65% of the variance and showed highly similar weights across scales (ranging from 0.27 to 0.44). Compared to HC, reductions in MIND edges and degrees (cingulate, fusiform, and temporal regions) were observed only in patients with more impaired cognitive functioning ('poor' cognition; Fig. 1B). Consistent with these findings, patients with more severe symptomatology ('poor' clinical status) exhibited a significant reduction in MIND degree in the left rostral anterior cingulate region across all three scales (Cohen's d < -0.35), but not in individuals with less severe clinical symptomatology ('good' clinical status). Additionally, individuals with higher BPRS total scores showed several significant reductions in MIND edges connecting frontal and temporal regions (Cohen's d < -0.19), and exhibited few increases in edges connecting occipital regions (Cohen's d > 0.20).

However, lower scored individuals did not show any significant difference compared to HC. Both lower and higher SAPS and SANS scored individuals presented frontal and temporal edges significantly reduced, where individuals with lower SANS scores presented a greater number of significantly reduced regions. Conversely, several increases were also found in edges connecting occipital regions in patients with higher SANS scores.

Additionally, the association between MIND degree and clinical status was also explored without stratifying individuals into ‘poor’ or ‘good’ status, supporting the finding that regions with the greatest reductions in MIND degree in SSD were those showing the strongest correlations with cognition and positive symptoms (Supplementary Fig. 4).



Figure 1 MIND connectivity in relation to clinical status. (**A**) Effect sizes (Cohen's d) computed between SSD and HC MIND edges (left) and degrees (middle), where blue indicates a significant reduction of edge and degree in SSD. Spatial 3D representation of significantly different edges and degrees in SSD compared to HC (right). (**B**) Effect sizes of MIND edges and degrees stratified by cognition and symptomatology. The Cohen's d of MIND degree for those individuals with SSD whose cognition is lower and whose symptomatology (BPRS, SAPS, and SANS) is equal or higher than the median of the sample (top row). The Cohen's d for those whose symptomatology is lower and whose cognition is equal or higher than the median of the sample is shown in the bottom row.

The highlighted regions show those regional degrees that exhibit significant differences from HC after FDR correction (permutation test, $P < 0.05$).

Connectivity to disease epicenters is associated with clinical status

Regional volumetric centiles were computed for each participant by benchmarking regional MRI volumes against previously established normative trajectories.[29] The SSD group exhibited a generalized significant centile reduction in almost all regions (Fig. 2A left), which were negatively correlated with HC degrees (r = -0.44; $P_{spin} < 10^{-3}$). However, this association was no longer significant after accounting for regional volumes (Fig. 2A middle; $r_{partial}$ = -0.19; P_{spin} = 0.139). To assess the relationship between MIND edges and the inter-regional susceptibility to psychosis, we computed the structural co-vulnerability matrix by correlating the regional effect sizes of the centiles for different psychosis-related groups (see *Methods* for details). Results revealed a significant association between MIND connectivity and inter-regional co-vulnerability, indicating that pairs of regions that were more structurally similar exhibited higher co-vulnerability to psychosis (Fig. 2A right; r = 0.33; P_{spin} = 0.001).

Disease epicenters were defined as the regions with the most reduced centiles in SSD. Connectivity to epicenters of a given region was calculated as the Pearson correlation between its edge and the corresponding SSD centiles. Thus, we identified regions with the strongest structural similarity to atypically maturating regions in SSD. Unlike HC epicenters, which did not reveal any significant association, several regions showed a significant negative correlation between edge strength and centiles, indicating that strong edges are preferentially connected to SSD epicenters (Fig. 2B). After stratifying individuals by cognition, most regions (84%) were significantly connected to disease

epicenters in patients with ‘poor’ cognition, while no significant associations were found for individuals with better cognitive functioning (Fig. 2C). Similarly, patients with more severe BPRS and SAPS symptomatology exhibited a greater number of significant regions connected to epicenters (66% and 63% of the regions, respectively) compared to those with milder symptoms (26% and 29%), although these differences diminished when assessing SANS (74% in high-scored and 47% in low-scored individuals), particularly in the frontal lobe.



Figure 2 Connectivity to disease epicenters. (**A**) Regional MRI brain volumes from subjects with SSD converted into centiles, where 0.5 represents the expected regional volume for age- and sex-matched normative individuals (left). Correlation between HC degrees and SSD (middle). Association between HC edges and the structural co-vulnerability to psychosis matrix (right). (**B**) Correlation between the corresponding edges to each region and the mean HC (top) and SSD centiles (bottom). (**C**) Connectivity to SSD epicenters stratified by patients with ‘poor’ and ‘good’ cognition and symptomatology (BPRS, SAPS, and SANS). The highlighted areas indicate regions

where connectivity is significantly correlated with epicenters after FDR correction ($P < 0.05$).

Decreased MIND connectivity in schizophrenia is associated with cortical hierarchy and maturational events

We found no association between SSD centiles and the effect sizes of either MIND degree (Fig. 3A top; $r_{partial}$ = -0.10; P_{spin} = 0.440) or edges (Supplementary Fig. 5; r = -0.13; P_{spin} = 0.169), revealing that atypical volumetric maturation and alterations in MIND network do not co-localize in the same cortical regions. Specifically, after correlating MIND degree with a sensorimotor-association regional ranking,[38] results showed that regions with reduced MIND degree in SSD were preferentially located in higher-order association areas (Fig. 3A middle; $r_{partial}$ = -0.38; P_{spin} = 0.003), while SSD centiles were reduced in lower-order sensorimotor regions (Fig. 3A bottom; $r_{partial}$ = 0.33; P_{spin} = 0.009). To determine whether MIND networks are related to maturational events, we exploited brain maps indicating the age at which maximum peaks of volume and velocity occur for each region.[29] Regions that reach maximum volume of maturation at an early age (e.g., frontal pole, superior parietal, and cuneus) exhibited stronger structural connectivity (hubs) in HC (Fig. 3B top; $r_{partial}$ = -0.41; P_{spin} = 0.044). On the other hand, regions that mature later showed the strongest reduction in MIND degree in SSD compared to HC (Fig. 3B middle; $r_{partial}$ = -0.43; P_{spin} = 0.030), but higher centiles in SSD (Fig. 3B bottom; $r_{partial}$ = 0.62; P_{spin} = 0.0003). Interestingly, although the peak age of regional velocity did not exhibit a significant correlation with HC degrees (Fig. 3C top; $r_{partial}$ = 0.15; P_{spin} = 0.480), a significant association was found with the reduction in MIND degree of patients with SSD compared to HC (Fig. 3C middle; $r_{partial}$ = -0.56; P_{spin} = 0.0003), but not with SSD centiles (Fig. 3C bottom; $r_{partial}$ = 0.09; P_{spin} = 0.631). All associations were calculated

after accounting for mean regional volumes. Altogether, these findings reveal that reductions in regional structural similarity in individuals with SSD were co-localized with maturational events and higher-order association areas.



Figure 3 MIND connectivity in relation to sensorimotor-association hierarchy and maturational events. (**A**) Correlations between effect sizes of degrees and SSD centiles (top); effect sizes of degrees and cortical hierarchy (middle); SSD centiles and cortical hierarchy (bottom). (**B**) Correlations between the cortical map of age at regional volume peak (derived from normative trajectories) and HC degree (top); effect size of degree (middle); and SSD centiles (bottom). (**C**) Correlations between the normative cortical map of age at regional velocity peak and HC degree (top); effect size of degree (middle);

and SSD centiles (bottom). All regional correlations accounted for mean regional volumes.

Neurobiological features are co-localized with alterations in MIND connectivity and related to clinical status

To investigate the neurobiological features potentially linked to MIND networks, we exploited a previously acquired dataset obtained from different modalities consisting of molecular and micro-architectural data clustered under different neurobiological types (neurotransmitter, cell type, layer thickness, microstructure, expansion, and metabolism).[39] HC edges significantly correlated with the neurobiological similarity matrix, indicating that the more connected a pair of regions was, the more neurobiologically similar they were (Fig. 4A top; $r = 0.48$; $P_{spin} < 10^{-4}$). On the contrary, the effect size between SSD and HC edges did not correlate with neurobiology (Fig. 4A bottom). However, when this analysis was conducted separately for each neurobiological type, significant associations were identified with both HC and effect sizes (Supplementary Fig. 6). At the regional level, we performed a combined PCA and Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) approach to investigate the regional associations of MIND degree with the neurobiological features. The PCA-CCA models were significant (Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR)-corrected) for both HC (Fig. 4B top-left; r = 0.44; $P_{spin} = 0.001$) and effect sizes of degree (Fig. 4B bottom-left; r = 0.72; $P_{spin} = 0.001$). The predicted HC degrees and effect sizes resembled their corresponding empirical values (Fig. 4B middle). Both models exhibited significant loadings (Fig. 4B right; $P_{spin} < 0.05$). Neurotransmitters (5-HT_{1B}, 5-HT_{2A}, $\alpha_4\beta_2$), metabolism (CMRGlu, CBF, $CMRO_2$) and cell types (Neuro-Ex/In) demonstrated a significant positive contribution to HC degrees, indicating that the presence of these

neurobiological features is highly co-localized with MIND hubs. The negative contribution of glia (Astro, OPC, Micro) indicated a high presence of these cell types in less connected regions. The features that most strongly accounted for explaining the regional reductions in MIND degree between SSD and HC included neurotransmitters (MOR, CB_1, 5-HT_4, D_2), cell types (Astro, OPC, Micro), and layer thickness (Layer I-III, V and VI), indicating a high presence in regions with reduced SSD connectivity. On the other hand, a high presence of microstructural features (Gene PC1, Myelin, Neurotransmitter PC1) and metabolism ($CMRO_2$, CBV, CBF, CMRGlu) contributed to explaining the increased structural connectivity of individuals with SSD compared to HC.

Finally, as no structural differences were reported between ‘poor’ and ‘good’ individuals (Supplementary Fig. 7), effect sizes of degree were computed between the 25% ‘poorest’ and ‘best’-scored individuals with SSD from each scale to determine the neurobiology associated with the severity of the clinical status. Individuals with the ‘poorest’ cognitive status exhibited significant decreases of connectivity in the right rostral middle frontal and the left transverse temporal and rostral anterior cingulate regions compared to the less symptomatic individuals (Fig. 4C top; Student’s t-test). The differences in BPRS scores showed significant reductions in the ‘poorest’ individuals in the right pars triangularis and the left transverse temporal and rostral middle frontal regions. SAPS severity correlated with connectivity reductions in the right insula, superior parietal, and precentral regions, as well as in the rostral middle frontal and paracentral regions of the left hemisphere, along with the parahippocampal and entorhinal regions of both hemispheres. However, an increase in MIND connectivity was found in the right transverse temporal region of the highest-scored SANS individuals. The PCA-CCA models of these effect sizes were significant for all differences in scale severity except for BPRS (Fig. 4C bottom). The neurobiological type that, according to the PCA-CCA

model, most contributed to the MIND degree reduction between the 'poorest' and the 'best' cognition-scored individuals was a high presence of neurotransmitters ($mGluR_5$, $\alpha_4\beta_2$, $5\text{-}HT_{2A}$, CB_1, MOR, $5\text{-}HT_4$, $5\text{-}HT_6$, M_1, and H_3). On the other hand, reductions in MIND degree associated to severity in SAPS scores were characterized by a high presence of astrocytes (Astro) and microglia (Micro), and a low presence of excitatory and inhibitory neurons (Neuro-Ex/In), metabolism (CMRGlu, CBF, $CMRO_2$), and neurotransmitters ($5\text{-}HT_{2A}$, $5\text{-}HT_{1B}$, $\alpha_4\beta_2$, M_1, NET, and $5\text{-}HT_6$). Extremely high SANS total scores were associated with a high presence of neurotransmitters (DAT, 5-HTT, NMDA, D_1, VAChT, and GABA) in regions with higher connectivity. As a sensitivity test, we performed Partial Least Square (PLS) analyses to assess whether the loading estimations were influenced by the PCA-CCA method used here. A high correlation ($r > 0.90$) between loadings derived from both methods was found for significant models (Supplementary Fig. 8).



Figure 4 Neurobiological characterization of MIND networks. (**A**) Association between the neurobiological similarity matrix and HC edges (top) and the effect sizes of MIND edges between SSD and HC (bottom). (**B**) Correlation between empirical and predicted regional HC degrees (top-left) and effect sizes of degrees (bottom-left); empirical and predicted regional maps of HC degrees (top-middle) and effect sizes of degrees (bottom-middle); and significant ($P_{spin} < 0.05$) PCA-CCA neurobiological loadings of HC degrees (top-right) and effect sizes of degrees (bottom-right). (**C**) Effect sizes of degree computed between the 25% 'poorest'- and 'best'-scored individuals with SSD (top) and their associated significant PCA-CCA neurobiological loadings (bottom) for each scale (cognition, BPRS, SAPS, and SANS). The highlighted regions show those regional degrees of the 'poorest' individuals that exhibit significant differences from the 'best' performers after FDR correction (Student's t-test, $P < 0.05$).

Discussion

In the present work, we investigated brain structure and organization by characterizing Morphometric Inverse Divergence (MIND) networks in individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SSD). We first assessed the differences between MIND networks of individuals with different SSD clinical status and healthy controls. We additionally determined the regional connectivity to disease epicenters for each individual to assess how clinical status was influenced by the regions preferentially linked to atypical maturing areas. Finally, we explored how MIND organization in SSD relates to maturational events and neurobiological features.

Extensive evidence has shown that schizophrenia impacts both brain structure and connectivity.[40] In this context, recent morphometric studies have investigated structural

similarity patterns to assess how the disease is associated with the expression of schizophrenia-related genes, leading to a widespread dysconnectivity pattern.[6,41,42] Here, we exploited the MIND method, identifying reductions in the rostral and caudal anterior cingulate as well as in the right middle temporal and insula regions in individuals with SSD. These findings align with previous research, providing new evidence on the dysconnectivity hypothesis of psychosis.[6,41,43,44] To our knowledge, only one study has examined MIND alterations in individuals with early-onset schizophrenia, reporting significant changes in degree, though these were limited to a reduced number of regions.[42]

Abnormal connectivity has been proposed as a fundamental factor underlying cognitive deficits and clinical symptoms in patients with psychotic disorders.[45] In this study, individuals with a deeper cognitive impairment showed reductions in edges connecting the temporal and frontal lobes, while those with 'good' cognition showed no significant differences. This could reflect a cognitive deficit associated to structural disconnections in psychosis-related individuals.[46] On the other hand, individuals with higher symptomatology reflected reductions of nodal degree in the rostral anterior cingulate region, which aligns with the notion that symptoms are associated with a reduction in thalamocortical connectivity to the middle frontal gyrus, anterior cingulate, and insula regions.[45]

Extensive research supports the notion that psychiatric disorders originate from alterations in specific brain regions, known as epicenters, which then propagate via neuron-to-neuron transmission to other areas through existing connectivity patterns.[22,47] This model aligns with findings in neurological conditions, where epicenter frameworks based on structural and functional connectivity and network diffusion models have successfully predicted the progression of neurodegenerative changes.[48–50] Here, although MIND degree was not associated with SSD epicenters (centiles), we reported that MIND

edges were stronger (i.e., higher structural regional resemblance) between pair of regions exhibiting similar co-vulnerability to psychosis.[30] Particularly, we identified that the frontal, parietal, and occipital lobes were connected to disease epicenters, overlapping with the strongest functional and structural connections to epicenters found in 2,439 individuals with schizophrenia of an ENIGMA study.[12] The higher number of significant connections to epicenters found in individuals with a 'poor' status supports the co-localization of epicenters of thickness reductions with association areas linked to language, affective, and cognitive functions described by Fan *et al*.[24]

Maturation of higher-order cognitive and affective functions is disrupted in schizophrenia.[51] Here, while centile decreases affected lower-order primary regions associated with sensorimotor functions (as observed in antipsychotic-naïve first-episode individuals),[52] connectivity reductions impacted higher-order association areas linked to associative functions.[38] Accordingly, the hierarchical organization of the cortex has also been demonstrated to be altered in different fMRI studies of schizophrenia, affecting the secondary visual-to-sensorimotor axis rather than the primary sensorimotor-to-association axis.[33,53] Taken together, these findings suggest that SSD-related impairments target specific hierarchical processes, where focal disruptions in somatosensory-motor areas may extend into higher-order regions.[53]

Understanding the interaction between brain maturation and abnormal structural connectivity is essential for gaining deeper insights into the neurodevelopmental origins of psychiatric conditions.[54,55] Here, we found that regions maturing earlier tend to exhibit higher MIND degree, aligning with studies indicating that rapidly maturing hubs are located in high-level functional networks, potentially facilitating their functional and anatomical maturation.[56] Additionally, the significant negative association between SSD degree effect sizes and both volume and velocity age peaks indicated that late-maturing

regions are particularly affected by the disease. This aligns with fMRI connectivity and DTI studies of schizophrenia,[57,58] which suggest that dysmaturation of neural connectivity is a key component of its pathophysiology.[59] We also found that local atypical maturation, as indicated by centile analyses, is more prominent in early-maturing regions, consistent with the previously observed lower hierarchical role of these regions. Altogether, these findings suggest a complex interaction between local and network-level SSD alterations, cortical processing hierarchy, and maturational events.

Recent research has co-localized maturational events and structural disease-related alterations with the underlying neurobiology.[21] Particularly, abnormal patterns of MIND connectivity in major depressive disorder have been associated to the cannabinoid receptor and the brain-wide expression of a genetic combination.[60] In this study, we identified a common pattern of neurobiological features (based on CCA loading estimates) across connected regions, suggesting that the macroscopic structural properties used to estimate MIND edges are heavily influenced by underlying microstructural organization,[61] neurotransmitter systems,[37] and metabolism.[62] This finding aligns with the higher gene co-expression found in highly MIND-connected regions.[11] However, the impact of SSD-related MIND edges was not linked to overall shared neurobiology, but rather with specific neurobiological types that were either co-localized or anti-co-localized with differences in SSD edges. At the regional level, the positive contribution of neurotransmitters and excitatory and inhibitory neurons to MIND edges provided further evidence of the fundamental role that neurotransmitter receptors and neuron density play in shaping the architecture and connectivity of the primate cerebral cortex.[37,63] The high presence of astrocytes, OPC, and microglia in weakly-connected regions aligns with the role these cell types play in actively guiding brain development.[64–67] Furthermore, these glial cells also contributed to explain the reduction in MIND degree

of patients with SSD. This disruption in astrocyte differentiation may lead to abnormal glial coverage and hypomyelination, resulting in insufficient synaptic support, compromised white matter integrity, and transmission desynchronization, ultimately causing network dysconnectivity.[68] Neurotransmitters and layer thickness also contributed to these alterations in MIND degree due to SSD. The hypothesis of anatomical disconnection in schizophrenia has also been linked to synaptic damage, as well as dysfunction of dopaminergic, cholinergic, or glutamatergic systems.[69] A principal component of cortical gene expression architecture has been found to be specifically associated with marker genes of layers II and III, and supragranular thinning in schizophrenia.[70]

The structural reductions in MIND degree of individuals with the 'poorest' cognition were also associated with a high co-localization of neurotransmitters, which aligns with the previous studies relating the imbalance in glutamate, acetylcholine, GABA, dopamine, and histamine with cognitive deficits in patients with schizophrenia.[71] We also found that a high presence of astrocytes and microglia, and a low presence of excitatory and inhibitory neurons were associated with positive symptoms, supporting the hypothesis that increased cortical microgliosis plays a fundamental role in individuals with paranoid schizophrenia.[72] The non-significant association between neurobiology and the 'poorest' BPRS individuals may be attributed to the fact that this scale encompasses both positive and negative symptoms.[73]

The present findings must be interpreted with several considerations. First, MRI data were obtained from different 1.5 and 3T MRI scanners. Nevertheless, MIND degree was highly correlated between scanners ($r = 0.96$; Supplementary Fig. 1). Second, MIND networks derived from cross-sectional data were associated with dynamic processes of maturation. Analyzing longitudinal trajectories of MIND changes would offer a more precise

understanding of these processes. Third, maturational events and neurobiological data used here were derived from normative non-psychotic individuals. Future work with neurobiological atlases of individuals with SSD could provide new insights into the molecular alterations causally associated with abnormal brain alterations. Fourth, given the limited sample size scenario (68 regions), the CCA multivariate approach has a risk of over-fitting.[74] To address this issue, we first applied PCA prior to CCA.

In summary, using MIND networks, we identified reductions in regional structural similarity within the temporal and cingulate lobes of individuals with SSD, alongside an increase in the occipital lobe. These decreases in MIND connectivity were particularly pronounced in individuals with more severe clinical status, who also exhibited stronger regional connections to disease epicenters. Moreover, these MIND reductions were co-localized with maturational events, and were more prominent in regions characterized by a high normative presence of neurotransmitters, specific cortical layer thickness, astrocytes, and microglia, as well as decreased microstructure and metabolism. Altogether, these findings contribute to a better understanding of the disease process, highlighting the role of brain structure, maturational events, and neurobiology in shaping clinical status during the early stages of the disease.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) data from individuals who were determined to have experienced a first-episode non-affective psychosis, confirming the presence of a schizophrenia spectrum disorder (SSD; n = 352; n_{female} = 138; *age* = 31.43 ± 8.78), along with a group of healthy controls (HC; n = 195; n_{female} = 75; *age* = 30.64 ± 7.66), were

obtained from *Programa de Atención a las Fases Iniciales de Psicosis* (PAFIP).[75] Individuals with SSD had no prior antipsychotic medication or were minimally medicated. All diagnoses were made by an experienced psychiatrist using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I), confirming the presence of schizophrenia or other psychotic disorder after 6 months of the first visit. Participants who met criteria and provided written informed consent, were entered into PAFIP. Additional inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in *Supplementary Subjects and Methods*.

This program has been approved by the Ethics Committee for Clinical Research (CEIC - Cantabria) in accordance with international standards (NCT0235832 and NCT02534363 clinical trial numbers).

MRI acquisition, parcellation, and volume extraction

149 SSD and 80 HC MRI scans were obtained using a 1.5 T General Electric SIGNA System (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI), and 203 SSD and 115 HC scans using a 3 T Philips Medical Systems MRI scanner (Achieva, Best, The Netherlands) at the Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla (HUMV). The parameters for 1.5T were: TE = 5 ms, TR =24 ms, NEX = 2, rotation angle = 45°, FOV = 26×19.5 cm, slice thickness = 1.5 mm, and a matrix of 256×192; whereas for 3T were: TE = 3.7 ms, TR = 8.2 ms, flip angle = 8°, acquisition matrix = 256×256, voxel size = 0.94×0.94×1 mm and 160 contiguous slices. T_1-weighted images were first visually inspected for artefacts and gross anatomical abnormalities, and were processed using FreeSurfer 6.0.0 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) applying the recon-all pipeline to enhance gray-white matter boundary delineation.

Cortical brain parcellation was performed using Desikan-Killiany atlas, and volumetric measurements were derived for each of the 68 region-of-interest. Quality control

procedures were implemented to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the cerebral volumes derived. Thirteen HC subjects exhibiting significant artifacts or processing errors were excluded from further analysis.

MIND edges and degrees estimation

For each subject, a Morphometric INverse Divergence (MIND) network was constructed by computing the Kullback-Leibler divergence of multiple MRI features (cortical thickness, mean curvature, sulcal depth, surface area, and gray matter volume) between each pair of regions.[11] This resulted in a 68×68 edge matrix (bounded between 0 and 1), representing the structural similarity between regions (also referred here as structural connectivity). Individual MIND nodal degrees were computed by averaging their corresponding MIND edges, resulting in an averaged within-subject similarity degree for each region.

MIND edges and degrees of patients with SSD were compared to HC by calculating the regional effect size (Cohen's d). To statistically assess these differences, a permutation test was applied by randomly shuffling group assignment to create a null distribution (10,000 permutations). Additionally, Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction across brain regions and edges was applied to account for multiple comparisons (with an alpha set at < 0.05).

Assessment of cognition and symptomatology

Effect sizes of MIND edges and degrees were stratified based on the average global cognitive function (i.e., cognition) and clinical symptomatology of drug-naïve first-episode psychosis individuals. Overall cognitive score was assessed for the following domains: (1) verbal memory: Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) long-term

recall score; (2) visual memory: Rey Complex Figure test (RCFT) long-term recall score; (3) motor dexterity: grooved pegboard (GP), time to complete with dominant hand; (4) executive functions: Trail Making Test part B (TMTb); (5) working memory: WAIS III-Backward Digits (BD) total score; (6) speed of processing: WAIS III-Digit Symbol (DS) standard total score; (7) attention: Continuous Performance Test Degraded-Stimulus (CPT-DS), the total number of correct responses.[76] General psychopathology was assessed with the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) (expanded version of 24 items), and the clinical symptoms of psychosis were assessed with the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS) and the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS). Individuals with SSD with a 'poor' status in cognition or symptomatology were defined as those scoring below the median score for cognition and at or above the median for symptomatology (cognition, n = 151; BPRS, n = 165; SAPS, n = 168; SANS, n = 168; see Supplementary Fig. 3 for mean and median values across scales). Conversely, individuals with a 'good' status had scores at or above the median score for cognition and below the median for symptomatology.

Computing connectivity to disease epicenters

Regional centiles were computed for each participant by benchmarking regional MRI volumes against previously established normative trajectories (from approximately 120,000 participants), which adjusts for age-, sex-, and site-effects.[29] SSD centile distributions were first compared to HC using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (FDR-corrected), and subsequently correlated with HC degrees. The structural co-vulnerability to psychosis matrix (34×34 averaged across hemispheres), derived in our recent study,[30] was constructed by correlating the regional effect sizes of the centiles across different psychosis-related groups, including first-degree relatives of patients with schizophrenia

or schizoaffective disorder, individuals who had psychotic experiences, individuals from this cohort (SSD), and patients with chronic schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder (see Supplementary Table 1 for demographic details of these cohorts).

Regions with the more pronounced morphological alterations associated with the disease (i.e., most reduced centiles in SSD) were defined as epicenters.[12,23] For each individual with SSD, the connectivity to disease epicenter of a given region was computed as the Pearson correlation *r* between the edge of that region and the averaged regional centiles in SSD. Consequently, regions that were preferentially associated with reduced centiles were considered connected to disease epicenters. For each region, the *P*-value (FDR-corrected) of the mean r-values across all individuals were computed by transforming them into t-values and then computing the cumulative area under the two-tailed t-distribution. As a sensitivity analysis, connections to epicenters were computed for HC subjects correlating the edges of each region with the averaged regional HC centiles under the assumption that no preferential connectivity with 'healthy' epicenters would be revealed.

MIND association with cortical maturation

Centiles and effect sizes were associated with a sensorimotor-association regional ranking reported by Sydnor *et al.*,[38] which ranges from lower-order sensorimotor to higher-order associative functions. Two additional maturational features derived from the same normative trajectories as centiles were considered: volume peak, representing the age at which regional volume reaches its highest point; and velocity peak, indicating the age at which regional volume growth is the fastest. These features were compared to MIND networks (both HC and effect sizes) and SSD centiles. All regional- and edge-based associations were statistically assessed by applying a spin test (n = 10,000) where spatial

autocorrelation was preserved (see *Supplementary Subjects and Methods* for details). Regional correlations were computed after accounting for regional volumes.

Identifying neurobiological features associated with MIND networks stratified by clinical status

The spatial maps (DK-parcellated) of 46 neurobiological features, including neurotransmitters, cell types, layer thickness, microstructure, cortical expansion, and metabolism, were collected across multiple studies (see Supplementary Table 2 for a complete list of neurobiological features).[39] The neurobiological similarity matrix was computed by correlating the 46 spatial maps between regions. We assessed whether the neurobiological similarity was co-localized with MIND edges using the spin test.

Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) is a powerful multivariate method for capturing associations between two modalities of data (e.g., brain and behavior; see *Supplementary Subjects and Methods* for details).[77] A combined Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and CCA approach was employed to investigate the regional associations of MIND degree with the neurobiological features. The contribution of each feature to this neurobiology-MIND association was calculated by identifying the model loadings.[77] Statistical significance of the models and loadings was assessed using the spin test.

To identify the neurobiological features associated with phenotypes that were differentially linked to clinical status, we selected the 25% ‘poorest’- and ‘best’-scored individuals from each scale, and computed their regional effect sizes of MIND degree ($n_{\text{‘poorest’}} = 88$; $n_{\text{‘best’}} = 88$). A set of loadings was obtained for each differential clinical status through the PCA-CCA approach previously described.

Data availability

MRI data from PAFIP dataset is available from the corresponding author on request. All maturational features, including centiles and the volume and velocity peaks, were obtained from https://github.com/brainchart/Lifespan. The neurobiological maps were obtained using *neuromaps* toolbox[78], available at https://github.com/netneurolab/neuromaps. The Desikan–Killiany parcellation atlas used for the neurobiological mapping was obtained from netneurotools (https://github.com/netneurolab/netneurotools).

Code availability

All code used to perform the analyses can be found at https://github.com/RafaelRomeroGarcia/NeurobiologyMINDPsychosis. The code used for computing MIND networks can be found at https://github.com/isebenius/MIND. The code used for the PCA-CCA analyses is available at https://github.com/RafaelRomeroGarcia/cca_pls_toolkit.

References

1. Wright IC, Rabe-Hesketh S, Woodruff PWR, David AS, Murray RM, Bullmore ET. Meta-Analysis of Regional Brain Volumes in Schizophrenia. *AJP*. 2000;157(1):16-25. doi:10.1176/ajp.157.1.16

2. de Moura AM, Pinaya WHL, Gadelha A, et al. Investigating brain structural patterns in first episode psychosis and schizophrenia using MRI and a machine learning approach. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*. 2018;275:14-20. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2018.03.003

3. Radua J, Borgwardt S, Crescini A, et al. Multimodal meta-analysis of structural and functional brain changes in first episode psychosis and the effects of antipsychotic medication. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2012;36(10):2325-2333. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.07.012

4. Crespo-Facorro B, Roiz-Santiáñez R, Pérez-Iglesias R, et al. Global and regional cortical thinning in first-episode psychosis patients: relationships with clinical and cognitive features. *Psychological Medicine*. 2011;41(7):1449-1460. doi:10.1017/S003329171000200X

5. Vieira S, Gong Q, Scarpazza C, et al. Neuroanatomical abnormalities in first-episode psychosis across independent samples: a multi-centre mega-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*. 2021;51(2):340-350. doi:10.1017/S0033291719003568

6. Morgan SE, Seidlitz J, Whitaker KJ, et al. Cortical patterning of abnormal morphometric similarity in psychosis is associated with brain expression of schizophrenia-related genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2019;116(19):9604-9609. doi:10.1073/pnas.1820754116

7. Crossley NA, Marques TR, Taylor H, et al. Connectomic correlates of response to treatment in first-episode psychosis. *Brain*. 2017;140(2):487-496. doi:10.1093/brain/aww297

8. Maier-Hein KH, Neher PF, Houde JC, et al. The challenge of mapping the human connectome based on diffusion tractography. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8(1):1349. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01285-x

9. Joo SW, Jo YT, Choi W, et al. Topological abnormalities of the morphometric similarity network of the cerebral cortex in schizophrenia. *Schizophr*. 2024;10(1):1-9. doi:10.1038/s41537-024-00477-x

10. Homan P, Argyelan M, DeRosse P, et al. Structural similarity networks predict clinical outcome in early-phase psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2019;44(5):915-922. doi:10.1038/s41386-019-0322-y

11. Sebenius I, Seidlitz J, Warrier V, et al. Robust estimation of cortical similarity networks from brain MRI. *Nat Neurosci*. 2023;26(8):1461-1471. doi:10.1038/s41593-023-01376-7

12. Georgiadis F, Larivière S, Glahn D, et al. Connectome architecture shapes large-scale cortical alterations in schizophrenia: a worldwide ENIGMA study. *Mol Psychiatry*. Published online February 9, 2024:1-13. doi:10.1038/s41380-024-02442-7

13. Chand GB, Dwyer DB, Erus G, et al. Two distinct neuroanatomical subtypes of schizophrenia revealed using machine learning. *Brain*. 2020;143(3):1027-1038. doi:10.1093/brain/awaa025

14. Jessen K, Mandl RCW, Fagerlund B, et al. Patterns of Cortical Structures and Cognition in Antipsychotic-Naïve Patients With First-Episode Schizophrenia: A Partial Least Squares Correlation Analysis. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*. 2019;4(5):444-453. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.09.006

15. Chopra S, Levi PT, Holmes A, et al. Brainwide Anatomical Connectivity and Prediction of Longitudinal Outcomes in Antipsychotic-Naïve First-Episode Psychosis. *Biological Psychiatry*. Published online July 26, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2024.07.016

16. Dwyer DB, Chand GB, Pigoni A, et al. Psychosis brain subtypes validated in first-episode cohorts and related to illness remission: results from the PHENOM consortium. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2023;28(5):2008-2017. doi:10.1038/s41380-023-02069-0

17. Zhu T, Wang Z, Zhou C, et al. Meta-analysis of structural and functional brain abnormalities in schizophrenia with persistent negative symptoms using activation likelihood estimation. *Front Psychiatry*. 2022;13. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.957685

18. Lesh TA, Tanase C, Geib BR, et al. A Multimodal Analysis of Antipsychotic Effects on Brain Structure and Function in First-Episode Schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2015;72(3):226-234. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2178

19. Roiz-Santiañez R, Suarez-Pinilla P, Crespo-Facorro B. Brain Structural Effects of Antipsychotic Treatment in Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review. *Current Neuropharmacology*. 2015;13(4):422-434.

20. Emsley R, Plessis S du, Phahladira L, et al. Antipsychotic treatment effects and structural MRI brain changes in schizophrenia. *Psychological Medicine*. 2023;53(5):2050-2059. doi:10.1017/S0033291721003809

21. Hansen JY, Shafiei G, Vogel JW, et al. Local molecular and global connectomic contributions to cross-disorder cortical abnormalities. *Nat Commun*. 2022;13(1):4682. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-32420-y

22. Hettwer MD, Larivière S, Park BY, et al. Coordinated cortical thickness alterations across six neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders. *Nat Commun*. 2022;13(1):6851. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-34367-6

23. Shafiei G, Markello RD, Makowski C, et al. Spatial Patterning of Tissue Volume Loss in Schizophrenia Reflects Brain Network Architecture. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2020;87(8):727-735. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.09.031

24. Fan YS, Xu Y, Hettwer MD, et al. Neurodevelopmentally rooted epicenters in schizophrenia: sensorimotor-association spatial axis of cortical thickness alterations. Published online March 18, 2024:2024.03.13.584752. doi:10.1101/2024.03.13.584752

25. Seeley WW, Crawford RK, Zhou J, Miller BL, Greicius MD. Neurodegenerative diseases target large-scale human brain networks. *Neuron*. 2009;62(1):42-52. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.03.024

26. Yau Y, Zeighami Y, Baker TE, et al. Network connectivity determines cortical thinning in early Parkinson's disease progression. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9(1):12. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02416-0

27. Bullmore E, Sporns O. Complex brain networks: graph theoretical analysis of structural and functional systems. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2009;10(3):186-198. doi:10.1038/nrn2575

28. Whitaker KJ, Vértes PE, Romero-Garcia R, et al. Adolescence is associated with genomically patterned consolidation of the hubs of the human brain connectome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2016;113(32):9105-9110. doi:10.1073/pnas.1601745113

29. Bethlehem RAI, Seidlitz J, White SR, et al. Brain charts for the human lifespan. *Nature*. 2022;604(7906):525-533. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04554-y

30. García-San-Martín N, Bethlehem RAI, Mihalik A, et al. Molecular and micro-architectural mapping of gray matter alterations in psychosis. *Mol Psychiatry*. Published online September 12, 2024:1-10. doi:10.1038/s41380-024-02724-0

31. Constantinides C, Han LKM, Alloza C, et al. Brain ageing in schizophrenia: evidence from 26 international cohorts via the ENIGMA Schizophrenia consortium. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2023;28(3):1201-1209. doi:10.1038/s41380-022-01897-w

32. Walther S, Nadesalingam N, Nuoffer M, Kyrou A, Wüthrich F, Lefebvre S. Structural alterations of the motor cortex and higher order cortical areas suggest early neurodevelopmental origin of catatonia in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2024;263:131-138. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2022.10.004

33. Holmes A, Levi PT, Chen YC, et al. Disruptions of Hierarchical Cortical Organization in Early Psychosis and Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*. 2023;8(12):1240-1250. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2023.08.008

34. Xia M, Sun X, Bu X, Li Q, He Y. Big connectome imaging data in psychiatric disorders. *Medicine Plus*. 2024;1(3):100038. doi:10.1016/j.medp.2024.100038

35. Hawrylycz MJ, Lein ES, Guillozet-Bongaarts AL, et al. An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain transcriptome. *Nature*. 2012;489(7416):391-399. doi:10.1038/nature11405

36. Lotter LD, Saberi A, Hansen JY, et al. Regional patterns of human cortex development correlate with underlying neurobiology. Published online August 10, 2024:2023.05.05.539537. doi:10.1101/2023.05.05.539537

37. Hansen JY, Shafiei G, Markello RD, et al. Mapping neurotransmitter systems to the structural and functional organization of the human neocortex. *Nat Neurosci*. 2022;25(11):1569-1581. doi:10.1038/s41593-022-01186-3

38. Sydnor VJ, Larsen B, Bassett DS, et al. Neurodevelopment of the association cortices: Patterns, mechanisms, and implications for psychopathology. *Neuron*. 2021;109(18):2820-2846. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.06.016

39. Shafiei G, Fulcher BD, Voytek B, Satterthwaite TD, Baillet S, Misic B. Neurophysiological signatures of cortical micro-architecture. *Nat Commun*. 2023;14(1):6000. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-41689-6

40. Wheeler AL, Voineskos AN. A review of structural neuroimaging in schizophrenia: from connectivity to connectomics. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2014;8. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2014.00653

41. Yao G, Zou T, Luo J, et al. Cortical structural changes of morphometric similarity network in early-onset schizophrenia correlate with specific transcriptional expression patterns. *BMC Med*. 2023;21(1):479. doi:10.1186/s12916-023-03201-1

42. Yao G, Luo J, Zou T, et al. Transcriptional patterns of the cortical Morphometric Inverse Divergence in first-episode, treatment-naïve early-onset schizophrenia. *NeuroImage*. 2024;285:120493. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2023.120493

43. Stephan KE, Baldeweg T, Friston KJ. Synaptic Plasticity and Dysconnection in Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2006;59(10):929-939. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.10.005

44. Pettersson-Yeo W, Allen P, Benetti S, McGuire P, Mechelli A. Dysconnectivity in schizophrenia: Where are we now? *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2011;35(5):1110-1124. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.11.004

45. Ramsay IS, Mueller B, Ma Y, Shen C, Sponheim SR. Thalamocortical connectivity and its relationship with symptoms and cognition across the psychosis continuum. *Psychological Medicine*. 2023;53(12):5582-5591. doi:10.1017/S0033291722002793

46. Canu E, Agosta F, Filippi M. A selective review of structural connectivity abnormalities of schizophrenic patients at different stages of the disease. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2015;161(1):19-28. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2014.05.020

47. Jiang Y, Palaniyappan L, Luo C, et al. Neuroimaging epicenters as potential sites of onset of the neuroanatomical pathology in schizophrenia. *Science Advances*. 2024;10(24):eadk6063. doi:10.1126/sciadv.adk6063

48. Brown JA, Deng J, Neuhaus J, et al. Patient-Tailored, Connectivity-Based Forecasts of Spreading Brain Atrophy. *Neuron*. 2019;104(5):856-868.e5. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.08.037

49. Shafiei G, Bazinet V, Dadar M, et al. Network structure and transcriptomic vulnerability shape atrophy in frontotemporal dementia. *Brain*. 2023;146(1):321-336. doi:10.1093/brain/awac069

50. Chopra S, Segal A, Oldham S, et al. Network-based spreading of grey matter changes across different stages of psychosis. Published online March 1, 2023:2022.01.11.22268989. doi:10.1101/2022.01.11.22268989

51. Williams LM. Voxel-based morphometry in schizophrenia: implications for neurodevelopmental connectivity models, cognition and affect. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*. 2008;8(7):1049-1065. doi:10.1586/14737175.8.7.1049

52. Hammer TB, Oranje B, Skimminge A, et al. Structural brain correlates of sensorimotor gating in antipsychotic-naive men with first-episode schizophrenia. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience*. 2013;38(1):34-42. doi:10.1503/jpn.110129

53. Dong D, Yao D, Wang Y, et al. Compressed sensorimotor-to-transmodal hierarchical organization in schizophrenia. *Psychological Medicine*. 2023;53(3):771-784. doi:10.1017/S0033291721002129

54. Dennis EL, Jahanshad N, McMahon KL, et al. Development of brain structural connectivity between ages 12 and 30: A 4-Tesla diffusion imaging study in 439 adolescents and adults. *NeuroImage*. 2013;64:671-684. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.09.004

55. Vanes LD, Moutoussis M, Ziegler G, et al. White matter tract myelin maturation and its association with general psychopathology in adolescence and early adulthood. *Human Brain Mapping*. 2020;41(3):827-839. doi:10.1002/hbm.24842

56. Wen X, Zhang H, Li G, et al. First-year development of modules and hubs in infant brain functional networks. *NeuroImage*. 2019;185:222-235. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.10.019

57. Karlsgodt KH, Sun D, Jimenez AM, et al. Developmental disruptions in neural connectivity in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2008;20(4):1297-1327. doi:10.1017/S095457940800062X

58. Karlsgodt KH, Jacobson SC, Seal M, Fusar-Poli P. The Relationship of Developmental Changes in White Matter to the Onset of Psychosis. *Curr Pharm Des*. 2012;18(4):422-433. doi:10.2174/138161212799316073

59. Seshadri S, Zeledon M, Sawa A. Synapse-specific contributions in the cortical pathology of schizophrenia. *Neurobiology of Disease*. 2013;53:26-35. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2013.01.009

60. Xue K, Liu F, Liang S, et al. Brain connectivity and transcriptomic similarity inform abnormal morphometric similarity patterns in first-episode, treatment-naïve major depressive disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. Published online November 9, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2024.11.021

61. Wang X, Lin Q, Xia M, He Y. Differentially categorized structural brain hubs are involved in different microstructural, functional, and cognitive characteristics and contribute to individual identification. *Human Brain Mapping*. 2018;39(4):1647-1663. doi:10.1002/hbm.23941

62. Chételat G, Landeau B, Salmon E, et al. Relationships between brain metabolism decrease in normal aging and changes in structural and functional connectivity. *NeuroImage*. 2013;76:167-177. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.009

63. Beul SF, Hilgetag CC. Neuron density fundamentally relates to architecture and connectivity of the primate cerebral cortex. *NeuroImage*. 2019;189:777-792. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.01.010

64. Matejuk A, Ransohoff RM. Crosstalk Between Astrocytes and Microglia: An Overview. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.01416

65. Vainchtein ID, Molofsky AV. Astrocytes and Microglia: In Sickness and in Health. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2020;43(3):144-154. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2020.01.003

66. Michell-Robinson MA, Touil H, Healy LM, et al. Roles of microglia in brain development, tissue maintenance and repair. *Brain*. 2015;138(5):1138-1159. doi:10.1093/brain/awv066

67. Reemst K, Noctor SC, Lucassen PJ, Hol EM. The Indispensable Roles of Microglia and Astrocytes during Brain Development. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2016;10. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2016.00566

68. Dietz AG, Goldman SA, Nedergaard M. Glial cells in schizophrenia: a unified hypothesis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(3):272-281. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30302-5

69. Cabral J, Hugues E, Kringelbach ML, Deco G. Modeling the outcome of structural disconnection on resting-state functional connectivity. *NeuroImage*. 2012;62(3):1342-1353. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.06.007

70. Dear R, Wagstyl K, Seidlitz J, et al. Cortical gene expression architecture links healthy neurodevelopment to the imaging, transcriptomics and genetics of autism and schizophrenia. *Nat Neurosci*. 2024;27(6):1075-1086. doi:10.1038/s41593-024-01624-4

71. Wu XL, Yan QJ, Zhu F. Abnormal synaptic plasticity and impaired cognition in schizophrenia. *World J Psychiatry*. 2022;12(4):541-557. doi:10.5498/wjp.v12.i4.541

72. Busse S, Busse M, Schiltz K, et al. Different distribution patterns of lymphocytes and microglia in the hippocampus of patients with residual versus paranoid schizophrenia: Further evidence for disease course-related immune alterations? *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2012;26(8):1273-1279. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2012.08.005

73. Fulford D, Pearson R, Stuart BK, et al. Symptom assessment in early psychosis: The use of well-established rating scales in clinical high-risk and recent-onset populations. *Psychiatry Research*. 2014;220(3):1077-1083. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2014.07.047

74. Marek S, Tervo-Clemmens B, Calabro FJ, et al. Reproducible brain-wide association studies require thousands of individuals. *Nature*. 2022;603(7902):654-660. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04492-9

75. Crespo-Facorro B, Pérez-Iglesias R, Ramirez-Bonilla M, Martínez-García O, LLorca J, Vázquez-Barquero JL. A Practical Clinical Trial Comparing Haloperidol, Risperidone, and Olanzapine for the Acute Treatment of First-Episode Nonaffective Psychosis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2006;67(10):1511-1521. doi:10.4088/JCP.v67n1004

76. Rodríguez-Sánchez JM, Setién-Suero E, Suárez-Pinilla P, et al. Ten-year course of cognition in first-episode non-affective psychosis patients: PAFIP cohort. *Psychological Medicine*. 2022;52(4):770-779. doi:10.1017/S0033291720002408

77. Mihalik A, Chapman J, Adams RA, et al. Canonical Correlation Analysis and Partial Least Squares for Identifying Brain–Behavior Associations: A Tutorial and a Comparative Study. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*. 2022;7(11):1055-1067. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2022.07.012

78. Markello RD, Hansen JY, Liu ZQ, et al. neuromaps: structural and functional interpretation of brain maps. *Nat Methods*. 2022;19(11):1472-1479. doi:10.1038/s41592-022-01625-w

Author Contributions

N.G.S. performed data curation, methodological design, data analysis, and drafted the manuscript; R.A.I.B., A.M., J.S., I.S., C.A.M., L.D., G.S., S.E.M., M.R.V., R.A.A., J.V.B., B.M., J.S., B.C.F., and R.R.G contributed to data acquisition, provided advice on data analysis, and participated in writing and editing the manuscript. R.R.G. also contributed to conceptualization and supervision of the work. All authors approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

R.R.G. is funded by *Plan de Generación de Conocimiento* from the Spanish Ministry of Science (PID2021-122853OA-I00) and *Plan de Consolidación* (CNS2023-143647). Both R.R.G. and N.G.S. are funded by the *EMERGIA Junta de Andalucía* program (EMERGIA20_00139). R.A.A. is funded by *Plan de Consolidación* (CNS2022-136110).

Competing interests

R.AI.B. and J.S. hold equity in and are directors of Centile Bioscience. All other authors report no competing interests.