The State of Ohio Adversity and Resilience (SOAR) Study Protocol:

A Comprehensive, Multimodal, Family-based, Longitudinal Investigation of Risk and Resilience in Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders

Anthony P. King[1], Scott A. Langenecker[1], Stephanie Gorka[1], Jessica Turner[1], Lei Wang[1], Heather Wastler[1], Canada Keck[2], Randall Olsen[2], Soledad Fernandez[4], Hyoshin Kim[4], Brett Klamer[4], Cal Adler[6], Elissar Andari[7], Stacey L. Barrenger[8], Natalie Bonfine[8], Melanie Bozzay[1], Susan L. Brown[9], Chris Browning[5], Katie Burkhouse[18], Kathleen Carter[12], Kim M. Cecil[6], Karin Coifman[13], Timothy N. Crawford[10], Jennifer Cheavens[2], Cory E. Cronin[11], Melissa Delbello[6], Steven W. Evans[11], Chris Flask[15], K. Jean Forney[11], Larrilyn Grant[10], John Gunstad[13], Paul J. Hershberger[10], Kristen R. Hoskinson[14], Christina Klein[6], Jose Moreno[1], Molly McVoy[15], Paula K. Miller[11], Eric E. Nelson[14], Randy Nesse[16], Chris Nguyen[1], Kei Nomaguchi[9], Alissa Paolella[12], Edison Perdomo[12], Colin Odden[5], Martha Sajatovic[15], Robert Smith[7], Jonathan Trauth[12], Ivy Tso[1], Xin Wang[7], Jennifer T. Grant Weinandy[11], Krista K. Westrick-Payne[9], Greta Winbush[12], Brian Wymbs[11], Hong Xie[7], Stephen Gavazzi[3], Timothy R Huerta[17], Grace Wentzel[1], Nina V. Kraguljac[1], K. Luan Phan[1]

[1]The Ohio State University, Dept Psychiatry & Behavioral Health, Columbus, OH
[2]The Ohio State University, CHRR, Columbus, OH
[3]The Ohio State University, Dept Psychology, Columbus, OH
[4]The Ohio State University, Dept of Biomedical Informatics, Columbus, OH
[5]The Ohio State University, Dept Sociology, Columbus, OH
[6]U of Cincinnati / Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH
[7]University of Toledo Medical School, Toledo, OH
[8]Northeast Ohio Medical University, Rootstown, OH
[9]Bowling Green State University, Department of Sociology, Bowling Green, OH
[10]Wright State University Boonshoft School of Medicine, Dayton, OH
[11]Ohio University, Athens OH
[12]Central State University, Wilberforce, OH
[13]Kent State University, Kent, OH
[14]Nationwide Children's Hospital, Center for Biobehavioral Health, Abigail Wexner Research Institute, Columbus, OH
[15]Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine/University Hospitals Cleveland, OH
[16]Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ
[17]The Ohio State University, Dept. Research Information Technology, Columbus, OH
[18]Penn State University, Dept of Psychology, University Park, PA

ABSTRACT

Introduction. Deaths related to drug overdose and suicide in the United States have increased nearly 500% and 35%, respectively over the last two decades. The human and economic costs to society associated with these “deaths of despair” are immense. Great efforts and substantial investments have been made in treatment and prevention, yet these efforts have not abated these increasing trajectories of deaths over time. The COVID pandemic has exacerbated and highlighted these problems. Notably, some geographical areas (e.g. Appalachia, farmland) and some communities (e.g. low-income persons, “essential workers”, minoritized populations) have been disproportionately affected. Risk factors have been identified for substance use and suicide deaths: forms of adversity, neglect, opportunity indexes, and trauma. Yet, the social, psychological, and biological mechanisms driving risk are not uniform. Notably, most people exposed to risk factors do not become symptomatic and could broadly be considered resilient. Thus, protective or resilience factors and biological mechanisms may play important roles. Achieving a better understanding of biological, psychological, and social mechanisms underlying both pathology and resilience will be crucial for improving approaches for prevention and treatment and creating precision medicine approaches for more efficient and effective treatment.

Methods and analysis. The State of Ohio Adversity and Resilience (SOAR) study is a prospective, longitudinal, multimodal, integrated familial study designed to identify biological, psychological, and social risk and resilience factors and processes leading to disorders of the brain, including overdose, suicide and psychological/medical comorbidity (e.g., alcoholism) leading to reduce life expectancy and quality of life. It includes two nested longitudinal samples: (i) Wellness Discovery Survey: an address-based random population epidemiological sample representative of the state of Ohio, of 15,000 individuals (unique households) for psychosocial, psychiatric, and substance use assessment, and (ii) Brain Health Study: a family-based, multimodal, deep-phenotyping study conducted in 1200 families (up to 3600 persons aged 12-72) including MRI, EEG, blood biomarkers, psychiatric diagnostic interviews, neuropsychological assessments, psychosocial functioning, family and community history, dynamics, and supports. SOAR is designed to discover, develop and deploy advanced predictive analytics and interventions to transform mental health prevention, diagnosis, treatment and recovery.

Ethics and dissemination. All participants will provide written informed consent. The study was approved by The Ohio State University Institutional Review Board (study numbers 2023H0316 and 2023H0350). Findings will be disseminated to academic peers, clinicians and healthcare consumers, policymakers and the general public, using local and international academic channels (academic journals, evidence briefs and conferences) and outreach (workshops and seminars).

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This study is a unique combination of a large address-based (ABS) epidemiological random sample Wellness Discovery survey (N=15,000) representative of the State of Ohio, and a nested multimodal deep neurophenotyping study (N=3,600).
- The Brain Health neurophenotyping study is nested in families, allowing for direct study of family dynamics, contextual factors, and intergenerational transmission and redirection from mental illness.
- The neurophenotyping study includes advanced multimodal MRI and EEG at five fixed sites (and one rotating mobile site) with centralized data management and advanced standardized acquisition, multisite harmonization, pre-processing, and analyses pipelines.
- We intend cross-saturation of the Wellness Discovery Survey and Brain Health samples over time. At present, overlap is minimal due to time and funding limitations.
- We have an ambitious plan to actively engage communities across the state in two-way communication over time in this study. Due to time limitation the first wave of data collection did not involve community-based participatory research.

INTRODUCTION

Deaths related to drug overdoses and suicides have risen dramatically in recent decades across the USA (National Center for Health Statistics, 2024, Friedman et al., 2023, Fujita-Imazu et al., 2023). While there has been a decrease (~3%) in the number of overdose deaths in 2023, a very high numbers of these deaths continue (estimated at 107,543 overdose deaths in 2023), despite substantial expenditures and tractable and creative efforts in mental health care, intervention and prevention (Rockett et al., 2023, Lou et al., 2021). The state of Ohio also has documented substantial increases in deaths related to overdose (Ohio Department of Health, 2023) and suicide (Ohio Department of Health, 2023, Health Policy Institute of Ohio, 2024) over the past few decades. The burden on patients, families and their communities is immense, and the public health and economic costs have been overwhelming (Rockett et al., 2023, Lou et al., 2021). Like other fields in medicine, some individuals from certain geographical areas (e.g. Appalachia, farmland, inner cities) and some communities (e.g. disadvantage via increased crime and pollution, decreased economic and educational opportunities, structural racism, and restricted health care access) have been disproportionately affected (White et al., 2024, Pamplin et al., 2023, Morales et al., 2020). The COVID pandemic has further exacerbated and highlighted these problems, and potentially some avenues for improvement (Parenteau et al., 2023, Gupta et al., 2024, Radfar et al., 2021).

Unfortunately, efforts and strategies for prevention, treatment, and access to care have not yet resulted in a substantial population-level reduction of morbidity and mortality associated with these disorders (National Center for Health Statistics, 2024). This is perhaps not surprising, as we do not fully understand the root causes of psychiatric and substance use disorders, nor do we fully appreciate the benefits (and time sensitivity) of protective and buffering factors. The majority of studies in this area of research are conducted with individuals and in modality or diagnostic “silos”. For example, the focus of many investigations is on a single disorder, on a restricted number of risk or protective factors, and on only a few modalities for inquiry (e.g. genetics, imaging), and often with small or modest sample sizes. Finally, the intergenerational transmission of risk for mental health conditions and adversity extends beyond genetics to environment, families, and communities, and their interactions, which is also often

siloed into separate disciplines and studies. In contrast, recent work suggests that many factors are potentially modifiable (Kamdar et al., 2023, Huggard et al., 2023, Kirkbride et al., 2024), both from a risk and protective perspective.

Risks for, and protective factors buffering against expression of mental health challenges are numerous and complex. Some factors related to overdose and suicidality are similar, while others (many not yet identified), may be either shared or unique. Notably, a substantial part of intergenerational transmission (of risk or resilience) relates to shared environment and parental behaviors, including abuse, neglect, and degree and type of supervision. For example, those who experience multiple ACEs are up to 4-fold more likely to have SUD, suicidality, and depression in large epidemiological studies (Felitti et al., 1997, Anda et al., 2002, Choi et al., 2017, Copeland et al., 2023). In addition, deaths from suicide and drug overdose are often preceded by persistent psychological distress, which independently predicts both suicide (Copeland et al., 2020, Stacy & Schulkin, 2022, Hockey et al., 2022) and drug overdose death (Betts, et al., 2015, Shanahan et al., 2021, Hockey et al., 2022) n large prospective studies.

Many people we lose to suicide and overdose have never had an interaction with a behavioral health service or system, and have no mental health diagnosis, but <u>have</u> had interactions with health care systems (Luoma et al., 2002, Stene-Larsen et al., 2019). Our understanding to date is simple and linear and we do not yet have datasets of sufficient granularity and size to integrate multiple pathways to both risk and resilience. There are many possibilities and modalities to investigate. To attain a more comprehensive and mechanistic understanding of the intricate pathways of risk and protective factors across factors (and their interactions), a different strategy is necessary. Such a strategy necessitates larger samples, multimodal measurement, and embedded sampling (in families, communities, geographical contexts, etc). It also requires a longitudinal, temporal framework of study - how development, nurturing, and support are time-sensitive, unfolding and interactive processes. Such comprehensive strategies hold great promise for transforming the way we conceptualize psychiatric and substance use disorders, and for how we can work to reduce suicides and overdoses. Such studies could also provide the necessary foundational framework to develop new strategies for

identification, prevention, treatment, and monitoring that could lower risk and strengthen resilience at the individual, family, and community level.

Multimodal, longitudinal approaches have transformed other fields in medicine, where identification of risk factors at the individual level are leveraged to improve disease prevention at a population level, to develop individual level cardiovascular disease risk calculators, and to implement precision treatments tailored to an individual's specific risk factors. Perhaps the most prominent example is the Framingham Heart Study (Dawber et al., 1959, Andersson et al., 2019). In 1948, this long-term, multigenerational cohort study recruited approximately 5,000 participants from a small community in Framingham, Massachusetts, with the objective to identify common factors that contribute to cardiovascular disease. The study has been running consecutively for 75 years, and knowledge has accrued incrementally. Specifically, this work (and subsequent work sparked by this study) has resulted in the identification of modifiable cardiovascular disease risk factors such as smoking, obesity, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, and physical inactivity. Notably, when the study began, some of these same factors were considered static (not modifiable) and many of outcomes were considered predestined - outside of the individual's control. Framingham has resulted in development of individual-level risk calculators, numerous new prevention strategies, personalized treatment approaches, evolving understanding of what is modifiable, and new, sensitive disease detection and monitoring tools. The illustration of what elements of protective factors are genetic and what factors are developed over time has illustrated just how much can be modifiable in the context of protection, or resilience.

Brain-based risk (and a few resilience) studies have begun to expand into the hundreds and thousands of participants. These larger studies have emerged in reaction to large scale studies of genetic risks (e.g. Mullins et al., 2022), which have identified hundreds of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) each carrying a small amount of risk for psychiatric illnesses, and many of these are from shared common genes. Large-scale deep-phenotyping studies aimed to understand risk for psychiatric and substance use disorders across multiple levels of analyses have also emerged. These studies are more expensive, more comprehensive, yet almost all have held to the individual person as the primary unit of study. A few prominent examples of longitudinal, imaging focused deep

phenotyping studies include the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study (Bjork et al., 2017, Volkow et al., 2018), the Advancing Understanding of Recovery after Trauma (AURORA) study (McLean et al., 2020), the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort (PNC) (Calkins et al., 2015, Satterthwaite et al., 2016), the Tulsa 1000 study (Victor et al., 2018), and the Texas Resilience Against Depression (T-RAD) (Trivedi et al., 2020) studies. While beyond the scope of this protocol paper, there are innovative design choices for these studies that informed our design of the SOAR study. Interestingly, the T-RAD studies are one of a handful that have stated an explicit intent to study resilience factors. More recently, a consortium level approach called FAMILY (Karguth et al., 2024, van Houtum et al., 2024) builds upon workgroups and data fusion steps to understand intergenerational transmission of mental health challenges, predominantly from a genetic and neuroimaging perspective. Most studies have held fast to the idea that the individual is the sole source of study at level of brain and behavior and have underweighted the importance of family, social and environmental factors. Given the prominence of early life and family factors on risk and resilience, several (and larger) family-based studies will be extremely important to understand processes of intergenerational transmission and prevention. A direct intentional focus on aspects of resilience will be critical.

There has been increasing interest in how individuals, families, and communities cultivate and develop resilience (Windle et al., 2011, Ayed et al., 2019, Ioannidis, et al, 2020, Métais et al., 2022, Schäfer et al., 2024). Resilience is not the absence of risk, or even the absence of mental health challenges. Instead, resilience is the capacity to have positive and meaningful lives in the face of / aftermath of exposure to adversity. Traditionally, resilience has been considered a developmental outcome of genetic and gene by environment process, whereby protective and buffering skills develop (*foundational* resilience) that protect against experienced risks. Additional conceptualizations of resilience have also emerged. *Emergent resilience* refers to a dynamic, adaptive process of "negotiating, managing, and adapting to significant sources of stress or trauma" facilitated by an interaction of internal cognitive-emotional capacities with social and environmental supports, accommodations, experiences and resources (Windle, 2010, Bessette et al., 2018). For example, positive childhood experiences (PCEs) have been identified that mitigate effects of adversity on mental

health (Bethell et al., 2019), including positive interpersonal experiences, connectedness and sense of belonging with family, friends, and adults in social institutions and the community. Education, economic resources and access to care, social capital, and faith and cultural factors also appear to be protective (Windle et al., 2011, Ayed et al., 2020, Fuller et al., 2020, Flaskerud, 2022). Excitingly, many potential emergent resilience factors appear to be modifiable with education, scaffolding, and/or support. S*ocial resource resilience* refers to the buffering and supportive actors and effectors reside outside the individual. These supports are in systems, communities, and families.

The State of Ohio Adversity and Resilience (SOAR) study is intended to identify understand and exploit pathways to development of resilience in a state-wide, population-based, family-linked multi-disciplinary, multi-modal longitudinal study of Ohioans. Ohio is considered to be a microcosm of the USA in many aspects (geography [farmland, cities, mountains], employment, education, race and ethnicity, religion, and variability in population density). As such, the state is ideally suited for conducting a broad generalizable research study of pathways to resilience. We aim to follow the SOAR cohort in regular two-year intervals over the next decade (and hopefully longer), similar to prior large-scale efforts in other disciplines, and will extend beyond previous work to extend representative findings to an entire state (Ohio). The overarching goal of our study is to understand root causes and brain mechanisms of mental illness and substance use disorders, and to better understand intergenerational transmission of risk and resilience. To complete this work, we continue to build a statewide coalition of academic institutions, medical centers and hospitals, community stakeholders. We will investigate social, environmental, psychological, and biological factors to map modifiable and non-modifiable risk and resilience signatures across multiple levels of analysis. The results of the SOAR study will create a novel foundational framework where prevention, treatments, and disease monitoring strategies will be developed based on multilevel risk and resilience factors. It will also provide participants, families, communities and lawmakers with actionable information to promote mental health and well-being. If successful, this comprehensive approach will allow us “bend the curve” of morbidity and mortality related to psychiatric and substance use disorders.

SOAR also includes conceptual, pragmatic, and technical innovations. The study is designed to integrate with the ABCD study (Volkow et al., 2018) in technical measurements for some questionnaires, imaging techniques, and neuropsychological testing. The study also includes key innovations in methodologies for white matter and gray matter microstructural imaging (e.g., neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging, NODDI, Kraguljac et al., 2022) , tests of inhibitory control and set shifting (Parametric Go/No-go and Balloon Analogue Risk Task, Lejuez et al., 2002), use of EEG, integration of multigenerational familial perspective, focus on aspects of resilience, blended sampling strategies that includes multiple geographic and population density frameworks at the state level.

Our first hypothesis is that we will be able to use predictive analytics to develop risk calculators and resilience profiles at the level of groups, including key demographic, resource and geographical factors. These calculators and profiles will include multimodal data from brain, behavioral, social, and person measurements. Our second hypothesis is that these calculators and profiles, respectively, will include unique adjustments and perhaps even different features based upon a host of potential covariates, as noted above (e.g., sex, age, race, education, population density, economic opportunities). SOAR is designed as a data driven, exploratory, longitudinal study that can enable us to exploit and apply knowledge in real time to better the live of Ohioans and to extend what we learned nationally and internationally.

METHODS and ANALYSIS

Overview of SOAR Design of Wellness Discovery and Brain Health Studies

The SOAR study consists of two principal investigations; targeted samples for the SOAR Wellness Discovery Survey of 15,000 Ohioans and the SOAR Brain Health Study of 3,600 Ohioans embedded in 1,200 families. After baseline assessments (detailed below), we aim to reassess the cohort at two-year intervals for generations to come.

The SOAR studies are designed to be two separate (and bidirectionally informative) investigations, assessing overlapping domains, different sample sizes, sampling frameworks, and using

different modalities. The SOAR **Wellness Discovery** study is designed to be broad-based screening of drivers of risk and protective factors as longitudinal, epidemiological sampling at the individual level. The SOAR **Brain Health** study is designed to have overlap in domains, questionnaires and longitudinal design with the Wellness Discovery Survey. It also expands to additional modalities of inquiry, referred to as deep phenotyping. We employ state of science approaches for multimodal measurement of brain structure and function, biomarkers, and psychological functioning, within a family-based approach (Figure 1 illustrates the sample frame and areas of study for the two studies over time).



Figure 1. Illustration of how the SOAR Study includes an address-based sampling (ABS) sample representative of state of Ohio with broad light phenotyping Wellness Discovery Survey, and a Deep phenotyping, family-based Brain Health Study, which includes the Wellness Discovery Survey items plus additional questionnaires and multimodal biological, psychological and social measures.

The SOAR Wellness Discovery Survey includes address-based sampling (ABS) and is based on a database listing (1) all people ages 18 and older from (2) all household addresses receiving mail in Ohio. Sampling zones are divided by geography, rurality, and demographics (Figure 2a) to enable

weighted analyses that represent the entire state and can be extrapolated to regional, state and national subgroups.

The SOAR Brain Health (BH) study uses multimodal, deep phenotyping to carefully characterize up to 1,200 families and 3,600 individuals aged 12-72. The BH cohort will be comprised of participants from the SOAR Wellness Survey (address-based sampled). The sampling strategy also includes (1) convenience (2) word of mouth, and (3) targeted recruitment of individuals with psychiatric or substance use disorders, suicidality, or overdose (lived experience). These four strategies include concurrent co-recruitment of family members. The multifaceted strategy enables us to compare / contrast with other types of longitudinal studies that use one, but not all of these sampling strategies.



Figure 2, Panel A. Illustration of the sampling regions for WD survey, subdivided by urban – rural, geographic region, race and ethnicity. Urban counties are further subdivided to optimize sampling strategy (not illustrated here)

Figure 2, Panel B. Illustration of the BH targeted mobile sampling sites (colored dots) and sampling zones. Green dashed lines and numbers indicate google mileage distances between locations. Black dots indicate stationary BH sites in medical centers. Mobile sampling sites vary pending contractual details.

The strategy to include those with lived experience enhances the relevance of the work. In contrast, the address-based sampling, convenience, and word of mouth sampling align with to other research frameworks where individuals may tend to be more high functioning. SOAR's unique BH

sampling strategy includes use of both stationary existing research MRI capable sites AND use of a mobile assessment strategy partnering with regional hospitals (Figure 2B). The mobile research capable MRI scanner spends 2-4 months at each recruitment site. The strategy enables any Ohio family to participate in the BH study by traveling less than 80 miles, enhancing access and representativeness of the sample (particularly for rural participation).

The timeline of the SOAR Studies data collection Waves started in 2024, with the start of the Brain Health Wave 1.0 in November of 2023 and the Wellness Survey Wave 1.0 in January 2024. We anticipate Wave 2.0 to initiate in quarter 4 of 2025, and for data collection of Wave 2.0 to continue over two years. Each subsequent SOAR Wave of data collection is planned to be conducted over a 2-year (~24 month) period.



Figure 3. Anticipated timeline of the SOAR Studies – Wellness Discovery and Brain Health Waves 1.0, and Wave 2.0. Waves 3.0 and beyond not shown and all are contingent on funding.

Together, this multifaceted approach (Figure 1) gives us the necessary breadth and depth needed to comprehensively capture modifiable and stable aspects risk and resilience factors in a longitudinal, interactive framework.

The SOAR Study and SOAR Network of Academic and State Partners

The SOAR Study is directly commissioned by the Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (OhioMHAS) through a State of Ohio legislative bill. Administratively, the OhioMHAS has also spearheaded a parallel SOAR Network, which is intended to elicit immediate action interventions with community boards and behavioral health providers and organizations directly. The network's aims are "to innovate through taking results of applied research and disseminating them more broadly so that more people understand and are prepared to implement strategies that help prevent mental illness and substance use disorders, more effectively treat these illnesses, and support long-term recovery for those experiencing the conditions."

The SOAR Study is anchored at The Ohio State University (OSU) Wexner Medical Center and conducted in partnership with academic, community, and government partners across Ohio. Academic partners include Bowling Green University, Central State University, Kent State University, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Northeast Ohio Medical University, Ohio University, University of Cincinnati, University Hospitals/ Case Western Reserve University, University of Toledo, and Wright State University. Partners also include behavioral health providers and community hospitals (e.g. Avita Health Systems in Ontario OH and Holzer Health in Jackon, OH), community organizations (active and ongoing discussions and coordination with One Ohio Recovery Foundation, Ohio Suicide Prevention Foundation, and related community organizations), and local Alcohol, Drug and Mental Health (ADAMH) boards. Partners interact, guide, and lead SOAR activities in workgroups, events, community engagement, dissemination of knowledge gained and other activities relevant to the mission of the SOAR studies.

SOAR Organizational Structure

We have assembled Cores that have designed and implemented the SOAR assessment instruments and procedures for data acquisition and have facilitated the emergent academic collaboration for both data acquisition and scientific discovery, a Safety and Ethics Committee, and Workgroups for Data Access, and Community Engagement.

Administrative Core. The administrative core includes the steering committee for the study, and other necessary administrative support. The Steering committee directs the collection, administration and reporting of the study data. The steering committee directs the workgroups and committees and other Cores to meet SOAR study objectives.

Wellness Discovery Survey Core. The Wellness Discovery Survey is fielded by the Center for Human Resource Research (CHRR), a nationally recognized survey research center housed at OSU, with a subcontracted mailing organization and subcontracted call center in Chillicothe, Ohio.

Neurophenotyping Core. The neurophenotyping core includes key area experts who have designed and codified the manual of procedures for data acquisition using our multimodality strategy. These include subgroups that have optimized procedures and data acquisition, training, and quality control procedures for; stationary 3T and mobile 1.5; EEG data collection, ecological momentary assessment (EMA), neuropsychological testing, diagnostic interviewing, and questionnaires of demographics, familial and social factors, resilience factors, adversity factors, substance use and mental health conditions.

Biomarkers Core. This core is dedicated to safe biospecimen collection, processing, and secure storage of biospecimens for future planned biomarker assays and inclusion of these data into the SOAR analyses.

Data Management Core. The data management core has designed the data management plan. The core creates and maintains an Integrated Data Storage System that contains a linked dataset from the SOAR studies. The core manages the unification of both data and metadata into a single repository for engagement, linkage, migration pathways to a single computer platform, and long-term storage. Metadata management on behalf of the study will be done via an Honest Broker system. Data access will be provided following approval by the Data access, Publication and Presentation Workgroup. Requests for access to Personally Identifiable Information (PII, e.g. zip code, address, phone number) from partner sites will require additional Data Use Agreements and IRB approval. Documentation and management of access are actively monitored, access reviews occur on a quarterly basis.

Biostatistics Core. The Biostatistics core has responsibility for guiding data cleaning and data processing steps, honing hypotheses, designing data analytic techniques and implementing data analysis steps.

Committees

Safety and Ethics Committee. We expect to have anticipated (and unanticipated) adverse events, as the samples include base rates of such events. The committee evaluates standard operating procedures for management of adverse events and review cumulative study data to evaluate safety, study conduct, and ethical principles of the study. It provides recommendations to the PI and administrative core leaders. The Committee will meet twice a year and consist of three members with a current appointment at any of the participating institutions, but without any other role(s) on the study. In addition, *ad hoc* meetings can be convened at the PI's request - a review in case of a severe adverse event has occurred. Individual terms are 3 years, these are staggered to allow for continuity.

Workgroups

Data Access, Publication and Presentation Workgroup**.** This workgroup will oversee and monitor access to SOAR data and to facilitate the production of high-quality scientific publications and presentations on behalf of SOAR according to the Publication and Presentation Policy. The workgroup is responsible for overseeing, reviewing and tracking of SOAR data requests, study manuscripts from inception to publication and study presentations at scientific conferences. The workgroup will ensure that the necessary regulatory approvals are in place but will not provide support for data analytic or dissemination activities or logistics and administrative support to writing teams. Lead authors assume responsibility for these activities. Each academic center will have a representative on the workgroup, have monthly meetings terms are 2 years and will be staggered for continuity.

Community Engagement Workgroup identifies and connects with community partners, stakeholders and leaders in Ohio. Ohio has mental health services administered through the Ohio Mental Health and Addictions Services (Ohio MHAS), and this includes 50 regional addiction, drug and mental health boards (ADAMH boards) divided at county or multicounty levels. The engagement

activities include building awareness about the SOAR study, and bi-directional accessible communication and dissemination of findings, as well as about community priorities.

SOAR Wellness Discovery Survey Study Protocol

Participants. A random address-based sample, representative of the State of Ohio, of 15,000 adult people who reside in Ohio, receive mail and have the ability to complete the survey. (Figure 2A).

Recruitment. Multiple waves of mailing of 300,0000 postcards (and subsequent targeted mailings by region, age, sex) are conducted. Targeted mailings include unique QR codes for the targeted individual within the household. A call center also works to call individuals directly based upon the location and demographics (e.g., males and older individuals are more likely to respond to calls).

Informed consent. The IRB of record for the Wellness Discovery Survey is the Ohio State University IRB. Written informed consent is obtained virtually and recorded in the CHRR platform. After completion of the survey, participants are given the option to agree to be recontacted for the Brain Health Study. Consent also includes authorization to connect information to the SOAR Wellness Discovery survey (if completed) responses and to publicly available state databases (e.g. Vital Statistics data, Medicare claims data, data from the Ohio Longitudinal Data Archive) to enrich the dataset and provide complementary data on longitudinal outcomes.

Inclusion/ Exclusion criteria. All adults in Ohio are eligible by basis of address – based sampling and capability to complete the questionnaires via online survey or telephone interview in English.

Assessments. The QR code provides access to the survey for online completion. Alternatively, participants can complete the survey via a phone line that will connect the participant to study staff who will assist in completion of the survey over the phone. The survey takes about 50 minutes to complete and includes questions related to demographics, life experiences, mental health challenges, diversity, privilege, education and occupational activities and opportunities, resilience and coping skills and environments (see Figure 1, Panel A, and Table 1).

Table 1. Assessment and Survey items in the Wellness Survey and Brain Health Studies.

Domain	Construct	Scale	Wellness Discovery Items	Brain Health Items
Primary Outcomes	Substance Use	Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test	3	10
		Tobacco, Alcohol, Prescription medication, other Substance use (TAPS)	2	0
		DSM-5-TR Self-Rated Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom	1	0
		DSM-5-TR Level 2 Substance Use Adult	7	0
		Timeline Follow Back	no	yes
		National Institute on Drug Abuse Clinical Decision Support	2	13
		Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence	1	6
	Suicidality/Self-harm	Self-Injurious Thoughts and Behaviors-Revised	8	18
		Brief Suicide Cognitions Scale	5	5
	Persistent Distress	Kessler Psychological Distress Scale 6 (K6+)	7	11
		Perceived Stress Scale	0	10
DSM-5	Depression	Patient Health Questionnaire-9	2	10
		Patient Health Questionnaire-15	0	15
		Rumination Response Scale	0	10
		Depression Anxiety Stress Scale 21 (DASS-21)	3	0
	Anxiety	General Anxiety Disorder-7	2	8
	Anger	DSM-5-TR Self-Rated Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure	1	0
		DSM-5-TR Level 2 Anger Adult (PROMIS Emotional Distress Anger Short Form)	0	5
	Mania	DSM-5-TR Self-Rated Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom	2	0
		DSM-5-TR Level 2 Mania Adult (Altman Self-Rating Mania Scale)	5	0
	Memory	DSM-5-TR Self-Rated Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom	1	0
	Somatic Symptom	DSM-5-TR Self-Rated Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom	2	0
	Psychosis	DSM-5-TR Self-Rated Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom	3	0
	Sleep Disturbance	DSM-5-TR Self-Rated Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom	1	0
		DSM-5-TR Level 2 Sleep Disturbance Adult (PROMIS)	3	0
		Insomnia Severity Index	0	7
General and Other Health	Health, Mental Health, Pain	Mental Health Access and Use, Personal	3	11
		Chronic Health Hx, Personal and Family	11	14
		Mental Health Hx, Personal and Family	9	12
		Health Hx, COVID	3	3
		36-Item Short Form Survey (SF-36)	0	36
		Brief Pain Inventory (Short Form)	2	0
		Pain Catastrophizing Scale-6	0	6
		Pain, Enjoyment of Life, General Activity (PEG)	0	3
		Widespread Pain Index	0	5
		Accountable Health Communities Health-Related Social Needs Screening Tool	0	26
	Demographics & Personal Info	Demographics inventory (SES, living arrangement, family configuration, address at age 10)	13	13
		Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	0	4

Social and Family	Social Functioning	Lubben Social Network Scale	6	12
		Berkman-Syme Social Network Index	2	2
		UCLA Loneliness Scale	3	20
		Social Values Orientation (SVO)	0	9
		Altruistic Personality Scale (APS)	0	20
		Philosophies of Human Nature Altruism Scale	0	14
		Family Adaptability and Cohesion Scale IV Short Form (FACES-IV-SF)	0	24
		Neighborhood Cohesion Instrument	0	17
Protective and Resilience Factors	Coping, Resilience	Brief-COPE (Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced)	5	28
		Hope Scale-Short Form	3	3
		Brief Resilience Scale	6	0
		Cognitive Flexibility Inventory	6	6
		Meaning in Life Questionnaire	2	10
		Intolerance of Uncertainty Scale	3	12
		Emotional Recovery Scale (Sexton)	3	0
		Experiences Questionnaire (decentering)	1	1
		Emotion Regulation Questionnaire	6	6
		Child and Youth Resilience Measure	0	28
		San Diego Wisdom Scale 7 (SD-WISE-7)	0	7
		Highly Sensitive Person Questionnaire	0	11
		Use of Media and Devices	0	13
	Lifetime Exposures	Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs)	10	10
		Protective and Compensatory Experiences (PACEs)	7	7
		Childhood Family Income: School lunch proxy	1	1
		Primary Care PTSD Screen for DSM-5 (PC-PTSD-5)	5	5
		Life Events Checklist	5	17
		Everyday Discrimination Scale	3	10
		Childhood Trauma Questionnaire	0	28
Other	Economic Outlook	EconoCynicism	5	5
		Utrecht Work Engagement Scale	0	7
		Quality of Life Scale	0	16
		Valued Living Questionnaire	0	20
	Positive affectivity	Happiness Scale	0	10
	Reward responsiveness	Sensitivity to Punishment and Sensitivity to Reward Questionnaire (SPSRQ)	0	48
		Problem Gambling Severity Index	0	9

Table 1. Assessment and Survey items in the Wellness Survey and Brain Health Studies. Shown are the domains, constructs, and specific scales, and number of items in the Wellness Survey and Brain Health Study

Compensation. Participant will be compensated for their time at a rate of $1 per completed question, up to $50, in the form of electronic or physical gift cards.

Data analysis plan for Wellness Discovery Survey. The biostatistics core, interested workgroups, and steering committee will work in unison to analyze the WD data. The size and address-based sample enable key analytic steps. First, weighting will be conducted based upon region (sampling cluster, see Figure 2a) and actual demographic data from the 2020 census. Second, data cleaning steps will be employed to identify and adjust for skewed or out of range values. Third, data aggregation steps will be developed to create adversity and resilience index(es) and other predictive analytics of development of substance use problems, suicidal thoughts and behaviors, and persistent psychological distress (our three primary outcome variables). Such indexes will be evaluated from invariance across region, sex, race, religious, educational and economic background, as it is possible (and indeed likely), that tuning of such indexes is necessary to enhance precision in detection, programmatic targeting, and individualized outcomes. These large group indexes can then be used in subsequent analyses with the BH study (below).

SOAR Brain Health Study Protocol

Participants. The Brain Health Study will recruit 1,200 families (~3,600 individuals) aged 12-72. The first adult member of a family who agrees to participate in the study is asked to invite 1-5 additional family members to participate (average 3 people per family; see Figure 1). The Brain Health cohort will include participants from the SOAR Wellness Survey, a convenience sample of randomly selected families, and a nested sample of families with members with a history of psychiatric or substance use disorders, suicidality, or unintentional drug overdose.

Recruitment. Individuals are recruited across the state of Ohio. Families are not required to be genetically related, but rather are “family units” as self-defined, and thus can include “blended” families and fictive kin / family of choice. Recruitment strategies include linked recruitment through the WD study (an interest question at the end of survey authorizing contact), direct connections through community organizations, and direct advertisement through distribution of flyers, posterboards and

other informational materials, ads on Facebook, hosting of community engagement events, and participation at local events.

Informed consent. A single IRB was used, centralized at OSU. Consent is conducted either via virtual or in person visits (if information is collected in person, a local consent is also signed, e.g., at University of Cincinnati). Consent also includes authorization to connect information to the SOAR Wellness Discovery survey (if completed) responses and to publicly available state databases (e.g. Vital Statistics data, Medicare claims data, data from the Ohio Longitudinal Data Archive) to enrich the dataset and provide complementary data on longitudinal outcomes.

Inclusion/ Exclusion criteria. Individuals between 12 and above who reside in Ohio are eligible for the study. Exclusions are procedure specific based upon safety (e.g., no MRI if pacemaker is present), and comprehension (understanding study procedures and ability to complete the protocol step).

Study sites. Data collection begins at 5 academic medical centers, Case Western Reserve University and University Hospitals, Nationwide Children's Hospital, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Toledo University, and University of Cincinnati and Children's Hospital of Cincinnati. These academic medical centers were selected based upon 3T MRI capability, geographic dispersion, catchment area and local infrastructure for MRI scanning and other deep phenotyping assessments, and expertise to complete study procedures.

In addition, data collection is also collected using mobile sample strategies to minimize burden for participants residing >80 miles from a stationary site, which includes Ohio's Appalachian region and Ohio's farmland regions. We deploy a mobile laboratory with a 1.5 Tesla Siemens Mobile Avanto mobile MRI scanner hosted at distributed community hospital partner site for about 2-4 months. Sites are selected based upon geographical location, interest in participation, capability to accommodate space, electrical, internet and phlebotomy requirements for the mobile scanner, and on availability of facilities that can be used to facilitate deep phenotyping data collection other than MRI imaging.

Assessments. Assessments are conducted in a modular fashion. Visit days, times, module number and order are adjusted to accommodate participant schedules. Participants can opt out of individual modules by interest, comfort, safety and availability. For example, if a participant cannot tolerate an MRI scan or is averse to blood draws, they can choose to still participate in the overall Brain Health Study, but not complete specific modules. To ensure participant comfort and avoid excessive fatigue, there is an option to split study modules up across visits. Some components can be completed entirely remotely (diagnostics, questions, neuropsychological testing, diagnostic interviews).

Diagnostic interview. We use the quick-SCID (First & Williams, 2020), a structured clinical interview for DSM-5, conducted by trained interviewers completed in person or virtually (Zoom, Teams). There is strategic priority ordering (minors, family members of minors, those with intermediate DSM-5 screener scores, those reporting current and prior exposure to potential trauma and abuse, and a random sampling strategy until all individuals have completed the interviews.

Questionnaires. Participants complete a broader set of questions and questionnaires (that include the WD survey) in REDCap. Table 1 illustrates the comparative and integrative sampling strategies of the WD surveys and the BH surveys.

Anthropometrics. Height and weight will be measured.

Neuropsychological Testing. Cognitive testing is completed to align with key elements of longitudinal ABCD of youth (e.g., NIH Toolbox, working memory, set shifting, processing speed, working and verbal memory, https://abcdstudy.org/). The Flanker Inhibitory Control and Attention test assesses inhibitory control and attention. The List Sorting Working Memory Test assesses working memory task that assess ability to hold items in memory briefly and complete sorting operations on those items in working memory. Pattern Comparison processing Speed is test for assessing and responding based upon a sorting\perception rule. Picture sequence memory test assesses memory for integrated auditory and visual order information and Rey Verbal learning test assesses learning of serially presented words. The Oral Reading Recognition is an NIH task assessing reading decoding skills and crystallized abilities. Matrix Reasoning is used as an IQ estimate and finger oscillation as a processing speed attenuation component. Cash choice is a single item delayed discounting question.

We also add high-fidelity tasks related to suicide and addiction (impulsivity and inhibition with Parametric Go/No-go and Balloon Analog Risk Tests completed through Pavlovia system on iPad tablets.

Multimodal MRI, Magnetic Resonance Imaging is conducted using multiple platforms at five stationary sites at academic medical centers, and a mobile MRI scanner which is initially to be deployed sequentially at four sites across the state of Ohio. At OSU, scanning occurs at the Center for NeuroImaging, NeuroPhenotyping, NeuroComputation, and NeuroModulation (C4N), a new research-dedicated shared facility for human clinical and translational studies in the OSU Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Health, directed by NVK. Scan sequences are aligned with ABCD longitudinal study. Scanning is conducted using a Siemens MAGNETOM Cima.X 3T MRI system with Gemini Gradients 200* mT/m @ 200 T/m/s, which runs on syngo Expert-i XA60/XA61a software. Sequence packages include Neuro fMRI/DTI, Arterial Spin Labeling 3D, pCASL, spectroscopy and SVS spectral editing packages. At Case Western Reserve / University Hospitals scanning is completed at CWRU Center for Imaging Research (CCIR) using a Siemens 3T Vida MRI scanner. At the University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, scanning is completed at the UC Medical Center MR Imaging Center using a Philips 3T MR 7700 (). MRI scanner, at Nationwide Children's Hospital Department of Radiology using a Siemens 3T Prisma MRI scanner, at University of Toledo at the ECORE Imaging facility using a GE 3T SIGNA Premier MRI scanner. Scanning at the mobile scanner is using a 1.5 T Siemens Avanto MRI scanner.

Each site performs multi-modal MRI scanning for assessment of brain structure and function. **T1- and T2-weighted Structural scans** are conducted for high resolution structural brain imaging: T1w (1x1x1 mm^3/vox resolution) and T2w (1x1x1 mm^3/vox resolution) to allow for cortical and subcortical volumetric analyses, grey matter density (e.g. voxel-based morphometry, VBM) analyses, and cortical thickness analyses. **Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) scans** using neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging (NODDI, 2x2x2 mm^3/vox resolution) is conducted for analyses of cellular tissue architecture and gray and white matter microstructural integrity and abnormalities (Kraguljac et al., 2022), e.g. patterns of neurite density and fiber orientation and microglial density,

which may provide metrics of neuroplasticity and neuroinflammation. A custom analysis pipeline designed for SOAR by NVK using the NODDI toolbox will generate imaging maps and calculate NODDI metrics of neurite density (ND), orientation dispersion (ODI), and extracellular free water (FW). **Functional MRI scans** are conducted for blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) analyses of brain functional activity and functional connectivity and are built to harmonize with the ABCD templates across 3T platforms (Casey et al., 2018). Resolution of fMRI scans are 2.4x2.4x2.4 mm^3/vox resolution for the stationary sites and 3.5x3.5x3.5 mm^3/vox resolution for the mobile. **Resting state** scans (eyes open, two scans ~6 minutes each are performed to allow for resting state functional connectivity analyses (e.g. DeJoseph et al., 2022). **The Rumination Induction** task (RIT) is utilized to assess neural correlates of negative rumination as well as positively valanced "savoring". The RIT is a reliable and sensitive block design task that includes 4 self-relevant rumination prompts, and 4 distraction-oriented imagery neutral imagery prompts (Cooney et al., 2010, Schreiner et al., 2023). It is modified to also include two savoring prompts/sequences. Conditions used for analysis are in 30 second blocks, including memory recall reminders, rumination experience and processing, and distraction conditions. Jittered rest blocks of 8-12 seconds are interspersed to add temporal power, and rumination and sadness / happiness queries are included after each rumination, savoring, or distraction block. The RIT fMRI consists of two scans at ~6 minutes 30 seconds and the sequence parameters are aligned to the ABCD template as published in our prior work (Burkhouse et al., 2016). The total amount of scan time is ~52 minutes.

All MRI data collected by SOAR will be shared and managed through an integrated, standardized data management system designed by the SOAR Data Management Core (led by authors TRH and NVK) and securely stored at the Ohio Supercomputing Center. Mode-specific quality control / assurance, pre-processing, and feature generation and analyses will be performed using custom standardized analytic pipelines designed by SOAR Neuroimaging Core (led by NVK). SOAR will utilize state of the art harmonization tools to align stationary site data. Mobile 1.5 T data will be harmonized to 3T data as possible, but for some analyses, 1.5 and 3T data analyses will be conducted separately. Details of the standardized SOAR data management system and multi-modal MRI data

QC, pre-processing, and feature generation / analytic pipelines will be described at length in a separate publication.

Electroencephalography (EEG) We will record continuous noninvasive EEG using a 32 channel ActiveTwo BioSemi (BioSemi, Amsterdam, Netherlands) EEG recording system. Recordings will be collected during rest and up to four tasks (Table 2). (1) **Error Monitoring (Flanker) Task.** The modified arrowhead version of the original flanker task (Eriksen and Eriksen, 1974) is used to measure neural activity to error and correct responses (i.e., the ERN and correct response negativity [CRN], respectively). Participants see string of arrows on the computer screen and are asked to indicate which direction the center arrow is pointing using a computer mouse (i.e., left or right). Participants are told to make a rapid and accurate decision regarding the center arrow and respond quickly and accurately. (2) **Response to Acute and Sustained Threat. .** This task, adapted from Grillon and colleagues' (Schmitz & Grillon, 2012) No-, Predictable-, Unpredictable –Threat (NPU) paradigm by Nelson and colleagues (Nelson & Hajcac, 2017) captures reactivity to the anticipation of predictable and unpredictable threats. Startle eyeblink potentiation (electromyography [EMG]) is recorded throughout the task as an index of aversive responding via electrodes placed over the orbicularis oculi muscle. In this version of the task, the 'threat' is an 85 dB aversive female scream noise. There are three within-subjects task conditions. In the "No-threat" (N) condition, no screamsare delivered, in the "Predictable threat" (P) condition, screams are delivered when a numeric countdown reaches "1." In the "Unpredictable threat" (U) condition, threats are delivered at random. (3) **Reward Task.** This task measures reward responsiveness via the reward positivity (RewP; also referred to as the feedback negativity [FN]. Participants are presented with two "Doors" to choose from, which give chance for monetary gain or loss. Individuals are told they can win up to $5 in the task; all individuals are paid $5 embedded within the EEG payment. **(4) Emotion Regulation** This ERP task measures late positive potential (LPP) (Fitzgerald et al., 2017) following viewing developmentally appropriate emotionally-valenced pictures (40 neutral, 40 unpleasant, and 40 pleasant) from validated published stimulus sets. With instruction prior to each block, the protocol involves five tasks: "Look"-Neutral, "Look"-Negative, "Look"-Positive, "Decrease"-Negative, and "Increase"-Positive, block order pseudorandomized within and across

subjects. In the 'Look' condition, the subjects passively view unemotional, neutral, positive, or negative pictures without any explicit attempt to regulate the absence of affect. During the 'Decrease' condition, participants are instructed to voluntarily decrease the intensity of their negative affect by using cognitive reappraisal. During the 'Increase" condition, participants are instructed to voluntarily increase the intensity of their positive affect by using savoring/positive regulation strategies.

Table 2. EEG Tasks and Potentials

Psychological Domain	**Event Related Potential or other metric**	**EEG task**
Error processing	Error-related negativity (ERN)	Flanker task
Threat processing	Fear- and anxiety-potentiated startle	No threat, Predictable threat, Unpredictable threat (NPU)
Reward Processing	Reward related Positivity (RewP)	Monetary Choice ("Doors")
Emotional expression and emotional regulation	Late Positive Potential (LPP)	Emotional Regulation Task

Table 2. EEG Tasks and Potentials. Shown are the psychological domain, the event related potential (ERN) measured, and the behavioral task of each EEG assessment.

Biospecimen collection. Biospecimens will be collected and archived at -80C for future downstream analyses of whole-blood leukocyte DNA, RNA, and plasma biomarkers of stress response, inflammation, and metabolic function. Standard phlebotomy procedures will be employed to safely obtain venous blood specimens, a total of 45 ml into EDTA vacutainers and a TEMPUS™ tube (for whole blood leukocyte RNA). A whole blood specimen (4 ml) is frozen for DNA, the remaining blood is centrifuged at 2000 RCF to separate blood components, and multiple aliquots of plasma and "buffy coat" (white blood cells / whole blood leukocytes) are frozen and securely archived at -80 C. We will also perform point-of-contact assays for Hemoglobin A1c and lipid profiles (HDL, LDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, blood glucose) using benchtop analyzers (Abbot Labs, Infinion and Cholestech LDX) from collected venous blood or fingerprick.

Table 3. Ecological Momentary Assessment Data Collection module.

EMA Battery	Number of Items		Domain
	Adult	Adolescent	
Standard Items (4x/day)			
Suicide Risk[1]	5-7	5-7	Outcome
NSSI[2]	1	1	Outcome
Substance Use[1]	4	2	Outcome
Affect	10	10	Outcome
Emotional Regulation	5	5	Risk/Resilience
Social Support & Conflict	3	4-6	Risk/Resilience
Other Resilience[1]	2	2	Resilience
Rotating Items (~3x/day)[1]			
Pain	1	0	Risk
Intolerance of Uncertainty	1	0	Risk
Other Cognitions	4	4	Risk/Resilience
Morning Items (1x/day)			
Sleep	5	5	Risk/Resilience
Discrimination	1	1	Risk
ADLs	1	0	Resilience
Unsecure Firearms	1	0	Risk
Bullying Experiences	0	1	Risk
Social Media Experiences	0	4	Risk/Resilience

Table 3. Ecological Momentary Assessment Data Collection module.

[1]Item also delivered during morning battery; [2]Item delivered during standard and morning battery for adolescents, but during morning only for adults.
Abbreviations: NSSI, Non-suicidal self-injury; ADL, Activities of Daily Living

Ecological Momentary Assessments (EMA) and digital phenotyping. A subset of Participants will complete 28 days of ecological momentary assessment (EMA) delivered using the HIPAA compliant, cross-platform (i.e., iOS and Android) MetricWire application. Participants receive 5 prompts / day for total 140 EMAs per participant. A static morning survey will be administered and then EMAs will be sent at pseudorandom times 4x/day, at least 3 hours apart, based on participant's sleep/wake times. Items will assess SOAR primary outcomes (distress, suicide risk, substance use) as well as risk and resilience factors. EMA surveys will include a rotating battery to randomly select items from a broader question bank of risk and resilience factors to capture sufficient frequency (~3x/day per item), while reducing participant burden. Adolescent and adult batteries will include largely overlapping items with some adolescent specific items (Table 3). An integrated safety protocol will direct participants to contact emergency resources if they endorse severe suicide intent or recent suicidal behavior during EMA. A

list of mental health resources is available in the application at all times. *Passive sensing protocol*. we will also passively collect mobile sensor data for digital phenotyping. Derived data include ambient light and noise, tracking nearby Bluetooth devices, call/text logs, app usage, pedometer (i.e., steps) and physical activity (i.e., stationary, walking, in transit) tracking based on accelerometry. All EMA and sensor data collected is de-identified. Participants may decline permission to collect each type of sensor data.

Data Analysis of the Brain Health Study Core analyses are presented briefly here. In a manner similar to used in the Wellness Discovery analyses, multimodal aggregate adversity indexes will be created by location, by family, and by individual using demographic, economic, personal measures and related social determinants of health (SDoH). Aggregate resilience and coping indexes will be created based upon location, by family and social network and by individuals (multimodal data). Data reduction steps for high and low dimensional data are often difficult, multistep processes (Calkins et al., 2015). Power analyses in longitudinal, multimodal data sampling studies are difficult to compute. Within subject, mixed level models are incredibly powerful in this context and accommodate significant data loss while retaining generalizability.

BH and WD measures will be included as exploratory and potentially modifiable predictors of cross sectional and planned future longitudinal outcomes. For example, previous work by our teams has used EEG and MRI data to predict longitudinal outcomes in trauma, addiction, psychosis, depression, and treatment contexts. Longitudinal analyses address predictors of mental health outcomes within persistent distress domains, including anxiety, depression, trauma, psychosis, and substance use. We will have data related to 30-day history of substance use and 30 day to lifetime history of suicidal thoughts and behaviors. Suicide and overdose losses in the state of Ohio (15.0 per 100,000 (Health Policy Institute of Ohio, 2024) and 39 per 100,000 (Ohio Department of Health, 2023), respectively) are unlikely to be of sufficient number for logistic regression longitudinal models. In contrast, there were >30,000 (~272 per 100,000) emergency department (ED) visits in Ohio related to drug overdose crises in 2023 (Ohio Department of Health, 2023) and extrapolating national trends

suggests another ~40 per 100,000 ED visits for crises related to suicidal ideation or behaviors, suggesting such crises may be able to be statistically analyzed. The family design of the BH study, including genetically related parents and offspring (as well as genetically unrelated caregivers and children) will also allow for future exploratory studies of intergenerational transmission of risk and resilience factors, including approaches such as family-based association testing.

Alignment and potential for harmonization with ABCD data provides another powerful potential avenue for our deep phenotyping models to predict outcomes and for these to be replicated in ABCD data, even if there is lighter clinical phenotyping and familial context measures from ABCD. There is overlap in neuropsychological measures, MRI measures, biospecimens, and questionnaires. SOAR data and analyses can enhance what is learned in ABCD, by including intergenerational, familial, and social contextual measurements.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethical and safety considerations, as described above, will be managed by our Administrative Core, our Safety and Ethics Committee, and The Ohio State Institutional Review Board.

Our dissemination plan will include scientific publications and white papers reporting data and results of analyses to the scientific and policy communities. We also plan for high-level reporting of data and results to stakeholders and the public in accessible manners, including reports and report summaries, and web-based reporting at appropriate reading levels.

All data collected as part of the SOAR studies will be processed and curated by our Data Management Core according to our comprehensive data management plan. High density data modality sources (e.g. structural MRI, NODDI, functional MRI, and EEG recordings) will have quality control measures applied and be processed through standardized analytic pipelines designed and implemented by our Data Management Core. A validated dataset of merged multi-modal data (self-report, interview, and testing responses, and data elements and features extracted or derived from MRI

and EEG recordings, and biomarker assays) will be archived at The Ohio Supercomputing Center and the Ohio MHAS platform.

FUNDING STATEMENT

This work was supported by a grant from the State of Ohio Mental Health and Addiction Services.

REFERENCES

Anda RF, Whitfield CL, Felitti VJ, Chapman D, Edwards VJ, Dube SR, Williamson DF. Adverse childhood experiences, alcoholic parents, and later risk of alcoholism and depression. Psychiatr Serv. 2002 Aug;53(8):1001-9. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.53.8.1001. PMID: 12161676.Choi et al., 2017).

Andersson C, Johnson AD, Benjamin EJ, Levy D, Vasan RS. 70-year legacy of the Framingham Heart Study. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2019 Nov;16(11):687-698. doi: 10.1038/s41569-019-0202-5. PMID: 31065045.

Ayed N, Toner S, Priebe S. Conceptualizing resilience in adult mental health literature: A systematic review and narrative synthesis. Psychol Psychother. 2019 Sep;92(3):299-341. doi: 10.1111/papt.12185. Epub 2018 Jun 11. PMID: 29888437.

Bessette KL, Burkhouse KL, Langenecker SA. An Interactive Developmental Neuroscience Perspective on Adolescent Resilience to Familial Depression. JAMA Psychiatry. 2018 May 1;75(5):503-504. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.0050. PMID: 29562054; PMCID: PMC6549685.

Bethell, C., Jones, J., Gombojav, N., Linkenbach, J., & Sege, R. (2019). Positive Childhood Experiences and Adult Mental and Relational Health in a Statewide Sample: Associations Across Adverse Childhood Experiences Levels. JAMA Pediatrics, 173(11), e193007. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.3007

Betts KS, McIlwraith F, Dietze P, Whittaker E, Burns L, Cogger S, Alati R. Can differences in the type, nature or amount of polysubstance use explain the increased risk of non-fatal overdose among psychologically distressed people who inject drugs? Drug Alcohol Depend. 2015 Sep 1;154:76-84. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.06.020. Epub 2015 Jun 22. PMID: 26130335.

Bjork JM, Straub LK, Provost RG, Neale MC. The ABCD study of neurodevelopment: Identifying neurocircuit targets for prevention and treatment of adolescent substance abuse. Curr Treat Options Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;4(2):196-209. doi: 10.1007/s40501-017-0108-y. Epub 2017 Apr 20. PMID: 29038777; PMCID: PMC5639722

Buhr, K., & Dugas, M. J. The intolerance of uncertainty scale: Psychometric properties of the English version. *Behaviour research and therapy*, 2022, *40*(8), 931-945.

Burkhouse KL, Jacobs RH, Peters AT, Ajilore O, Watkins ER, Langenecker SA. Neural correlates of rumination in adolescents with remitted major depressive disorder and healthy controls. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci. 2017 Apr;17(2):394-405. doi: 10.3758/s13415-016-0486-4. PMID: 27921216; PMCID: PMC5366093.

Calkins ME, Merikangas KR, Moore TM, Burstein M, Behr MA, Satterthwaite TD, Ruparel K, Wolf DH, Roalf DR, Mentch FD, Qiu H, Chiavacci R, Connolly JJ, Sleiman PMA, Gur RC, Hakonarson H,

Gur RE. The Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort: constructing a deep phenotyping collaborative. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015 Dec;56(12):1356-1369. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12416. Epub 2015 Apr 8. PMID: 25858255; PMCID: PMC4598260.

Carpenter, J. S., & Andrykowski, M. A. (1998). Psychometric evaluation of the Pittsburgh sleep quality index. *Journal of psychosomatic research*, *45*(1), 5-13.

Casey BJ, Cannonier T, Conley MI, Cohen AO, Barch DM, Heitzeg MM, Soules ME, Teslovich T, Dellarco DV, Garavan H, Orr CA, Wager TD, Banich MT, Speer NK, Sutherland MT, Riedel MC, Dick AS, Bjork JM, Thomas KM, Chaarani B, Mejia MH, Hagler DJ Jr, Daniela Cornejo M, Sicat CS, Harms MP, Dosenbach NUF, Rosenberg M, Earl E, Bartsch H, Watts R, Polimeni JR, Kuperman JM, Fair DA, Dale AM; ABCD Imaging Acquisition Workgroup. The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2018 32:43-54. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2018.03.001. PMID: 29567376; PMCID: PMC5999559.

Cooney RE, Joormann J, Eugène F, Dennis EL, Gotlib IH. Neural correlates of rumination in depression. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci. 2010 Dec;10(4):470-8. doi: 10.3758/CABN.10.4.470. PMID: 21098808; PMCID: PMC4476645.

Copeland WE, Gaydosh L, Hill SN, Godwin J, Harris KM, Costello EJ, Shanahan L. Associations of Despair with Suicidality and Substance Misuse Among Young Adults. JAMA Netw Open. 2020 Jun 1;3(6):e208627. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.8627. PMID: 32573708; PMCID: PMC7312388.

Copeland WE, Halvorson-Phelan J, McGinnis E, Shanahan L. Adult Mental Health, Substance Use Disorders, and Functional Outcomes of Children Resilient to Early Adversity. Am J Psychiatry. 2023 Dec 1;180(12):906-913. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.20230038. Epub 2023 Nov 9. PMID: 37941330.

Copeland WE, Shanahan L, Hinesley J, Chan RF, Aberg KA, Fairbank JA, van den Oord EJCG, Costello EJ. Association of Childhood Trauma Exposure With Adult Psychiatric Disorders and Functional Outcomes. JAMA Netw Open. 2018 Nov 2;1(7):e184493. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.4493.

Dawber TR, Kannel WB, Revotskie N, Stokes JI, Kagan A, Gordon T: Some factors associated with the development of coronary heart disease. Six years' follow-up experience in the Framingham Study. Am J Public Health 1959; 49(10):1349-1356. PMID 13814552

Denckla CA, Cicchetti D, Kubzansky LD, Seedat S, Teicher MH, Williams DR, Koenen KC. Psychological resilience: an update on definitions, a critical appraisal, and research recommendations. Eur J Psychotraumatol. 2020 11(1):1822064. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2020.1822064. PMID: 33244362; PMCID: PMC7678676.

Eriksen, B.A., Eriksen, C.W. Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a nonsearch task. *Perception & Psychophysics* **16**, 143–149 (1974). https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03203267

Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, Koss MP, Marks JS. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. Am J Prev Med. 1998 May;14(4):245-58. doi: 10.1016/s0749-3797(98)00017-8. PMID: 9635069.

First, MB & Williams. JB. Quick Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 Disorders (QuickSCID-5), American Psychiatric Association Publishing, 2020, ISBN1615373632, 9781615373635

Fitzgerald JM, Gorka SM, Kujawa A, DiGangi JA, Proescher E, Greenstein JE, Aase DM, Schroth C, Afshar K, Kennedy AE, Hajcak G, Phan KL. Neural indices of emotional reactivity and regulation predict course of PTSD symptoms in combat-exposed veterans. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2018; 82:255-262. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.11.005. Epub 2017 Nov 7. PMID:

29122638.

Flaskerud, J. H. Resilience: Cultural Values and Support Resources. Issues in Mental Health Nursing, 2022. 43(8), 784–788. https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840.2021.2018896

Forkmann, Thomas, et al. Assessing suicidality in real time: A psychometric evaluation of self-report items for the assessment of suicidal ideation and its proximal risk factors using ecological momentary assessments." Journal of abnormal psychology 2018: 127.8 758.

Friedman J, Shover CL. Charting the fourth wave: Geographic, temporal, race/ethnicity and demographic trends in polysubstance fentanyl overdose deaths in the United States, 2010-2021. Addiction. 2023 Dec;118(12):2477-2485. doi: 10.1111/add.16318. Epub 2023 Sep 13. PMID: 37705148.

Fujita-Imazu S, Xie J, Dhungel B, Wang X, Wang Y, Nguyen P, Khin Maung Soe J, Li J, Gilmour S. Evolving trends in drug overdose mortality in the USA from 2000 to 2020: an age-period-cohort analysis. EClinicalMedicine. 2023; 61:102079. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.102079. PMID: 37483548; PMCID: PMC10359729.

Fuller AE, Garg A, Brown NM, Tripodis Y, Oyeku SO, Gross RS. Relationships between material hardship, resilience, and health care use. Pediatrics. 2020. 145(2).

Gupta M, Ladegard K, Gupta N, Khurana P, Krasner A. Antecedents and Trajectories of the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Crisis: Assimilating Empirically Guided Pathways for Stakeholders. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord. 2024; 26(5):24nr03747. doi: 10.4088/PCC.24nr03747. PMID: 39480159.

Hajcak, G. What We've learned from mistakes: Insights from error-related brain activity. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2012 21(2), 101-106 https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721412436809

Health Policy Institute of Ohio. Data Snapshot: Suicide in Ohio, February 2024. Available at: https://www.healthpolicyohio.org/health-policy-news/2024/02/09/hpio-data-snapshot-details-suicide-trends-in-ohio\

Hockey M, Rocks T, Ruusunen A, Jacka FN, Huang W, Liao B, Aune D, Wang Y, Nie J, O'Neil A. Psychological distress as a risk factor for all-cause, chronic disease- and suicide-specific mortality: a prospective analysis using data from the National Health Interview Survey. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2022; 57(3):541-552. doi: 10.1007/s00127-021-02116-7. PMID: 34363488; PMCID: PMC8346782.

Huggard, Leigh, Rachel Murphy, Cliodhna O'Connor, and Finiki Nearchou.. "The Social Determinants of Mental Illness: A Rapid Review of Systematic Reviews." Issues in Mental Health Nursing 2023. 44 (4): 302–12. doi:10.1080/01612840.2023.2186124.

Ioannidis K, Askelund AD, Kievit RA, van Harmelen AL. The complex neurobiology of resilient functioning after childhood maltreatment. BMC Med. 2020; 18(1):32. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-1490-7. PMID: 32050974; PMCID: PMC7017563.

Kamdar N, Khan S, Brostow DP, Spencer L, Roy S, Sisson A, Hundt NE. Association between modifiable social determinants and mental health among post-9/11 Veterans: A systematic review. J Mil Veteran Fam Health. 2023; 9(3):8-26. doi: 10.3138/jmvfh-2022-0025. PMID: 37886122; PMCID: PMC10601397.

Karguth N. et al (2024), "Understanding and predicting the intergenerational transmission of mental illness", Open Access Government October 2024, pp.130-132. Available at https://www.openaccessgovernment.org/article/understanding-and-predicting-the-intergenerational-transmission-of-mental-illness/182773/.(Accessed: 03 Nov 2024)

Kirkbride JB, Anglin DM, Colman I, Dykxhoorn J, Jones PB, Patalay P, Pitman A, Soneson E, Steare

T, Wright T, Griffiths SL. The social determinants of mental health and disorder: evidence, prevention and recommendations. World Psychiatry. 2024; 23(1):58-90. doi: 10.1002/wps.21160. PMID: 38214615; PMCID: PMC10786006.

Kraguljac NV, Guerreri M, Strickland MJ, Zhang H. Neurite Orientation Dispersion and Density Imaging in Psychiatric Disorders: A Systematic Literature Review and a Technical Note. Biol Psychiatry Glob Open Sci. 2022; 3(1):10-21. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsgos.2021.12.012. PMID: 36712566; PMCID: PMC9874146.

Lejuez CW, Read JP, Kahler CW, Richards JB, Ramsey SE, Stuart GL, Strong DR, Brown RA. Evaluation of a behavioral measure of risk taking: the Balloon Analogue Risk Task (BART). J Exp Psychol Appl. 2002; 8(2):75-84. doi: 10.1037//1076-898x.8.2.75. PMID: 12075692.

Luo F, Li M, Florence C. State-Level Economic Costs of Opioid Use Disorder and Fatal Opioid Overdose — United States, 2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:541–546. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7015a1

Luoma JB, Martin CE, Pearson JL. Contact with mental health and primary care providers before suicide: a review of the evidence. Am J Psychiatry. 2002; 159(6):909-16. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.159.6.909. PMID: 12042175; PMCID: PMC5072576.

McLean SA, Ressler K, Koenen KC, Neylan T, Germine L, Jovanovic T, Clifford GD, Zeng D, An X, Linnstaedt S, Beaudoin F, House S, Bollen KA, Musey P, Hendry P, Jones CW, Lewandowski C, Swor R, Datner E, Mohiuddin K, Stevens JS, Storrow A, Kurz MC, McGrath ME, Fermann GJ, Hudak LA, Gentile N, Chang AM, Peak DA, Pascual JL, Seamon MJ, Sergot P, Peacock WF, Diercks D, Sanchez LD, Rathlev N, Domeier R, Haran JP, Pearson C, Murty VP, Insel TR, Dagum P, Onnela JP, Bruce SE, Gaynes BN, Joormann J, Miller MW, Pietrzak RH, Buysse DJ, Pizzagalli DA, Rauch SL, Harte SE, Young LJ, Barch DM, Lebois LAM, van Rooij SJH, Luna B, Smoller JW, Dougherty RF, Pace TWW, Binder E, Sheridan JF, Elliott JM, Basu A, Fromer M, Parlikar T, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler R. The AURORA Study: a longitudinal, multimodal library of brain biology and function after traumatic stress exposure. Mol Psychiatry. 2020 Feb;25(2):283-296. doi: 10.1038/s41380-019-0581-3. Epub 2019.

Métais, C., Burel, N., Gillham, J. E., Tarquinio, C., & Martin-Krumm, C. (2022). Integrative Review of the Recent Literature on Human Resilience: From Concepts, Theories, and Discussions Towards a Complex Understanding. Europe's Journal of Psychology, 18(1), 98-119. https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.2251

Morales DA, Barksdale CL, Beckel-Mitchener AC. A call to action to address rural mental health disparities. J Clin Transl Sci. 2020 May 4;4(5):463-467. doi: 10.1017/cts.2020.42. PMID: 33244437; PMCID: PMC7681156.

Mullins N, Kang J, Campos AI, Coleman JRI, Edwards AC, … Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; Bipolar Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; Eating Disorders Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; German Borderline Genomics Consortium; MVP Suicide Exemplar Workgroup; VA Million Veteran Program; et al., Dissecting the Shared Genetic Architecture of Suicide Attempt, Psychiatric Disorders, and Known Risk Factors. Biol Psychiatry. 2022; 91(3):313-327. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.05.029.

National Center for Health Statistics, 2024.. See Sources and Definitions, National Vital Statistics System (NVSS) and Health, United States, 2020–2021 Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/2020-2021/ODMort.pdf

Nelson BD, Hajcak G. Defensive motivation and attention in anticipation of different types of predictable and unpredictable threat: A startle and event-related potential investigation. Psychophysiology. 2017 Aug;54(8):1180-1194. doi: 10.1111/psyp.12869. Epub 2017 Apr 1. PMID: 28370078; PMCID: PMC5507715.

Nock MK, Holmberg, E. B., Photos, V. I. , & Michel, B. D. The Self-Injurious Thoughts and Behaviors Interview: Development, reliability, and validity in an adolescent sample. Psychological Assessment. 2007;73:872-879.

Ohio Department of Health, 2023, Unintentional Drug Overdose report. Available at: https://www.healthpolicyohio.org/health-policy-news/2024/02/09/hpio-data-snapshot-details-suicide-trends-in-ohio

Pamplin JR 2nd, Kelsall NC, Keyes KM, Bates LM, Prins SJ. Race, criminalization and urban mental health in the United States. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2023 May 1;36(3):219-236. doi: 10.1097/YCO.0000000000000857. Epub 2023 Jan 25. PMID: 36762668; PMCID: PMC10079600.

Parenteau AM, Boyer CJ, Campos LJ, Carranza AF, Deer LK, Hartman DT, Bidwell JT, Hostinar CE. A review of mental health disparities during COVID-19: Evidence, mechanisms, and policy recommendations for promoting societal resilience. Dev Psychopathol. 2023; 35(4):1821-1842. doi: 10.1017/S0954579422000499.

Proudfit GH. The reward positivity: from basic research on reward to a biomarker for depression. Psychophysiology. 2015 Apr;52(4):449-59. doi: 10.1111/psyp.12370. PMID: 25327938.

Radfar A, Ferreira MM, Sosa JP, Filip I. Emergent Crisis of COVID-19 Pandemic: Mental Health Challenges and Opportunities. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:631008. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.631008. PMID: 34349675; PMCID: PMC8326372.

Rockett, I.R., Ali, B., Caine, E.D. et al. Escalating costs of self-injury mortality in the 21st century United States: an interstate observational study. BMC Public Health 23, 285 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15188-8

Rudd, M. D., & Bryan, C. J. (2021). The brief suicide cognitions scale: development and clinical application. Frontiers in psychiatry, 12, 737393.

Satterthwaite TD, Connolly JJ, Ruparel K, Calkins ME, Jackson C, Elliott MA, Roalf DR, Hopson R, Prabhakaran K, Behr M, Qiu H, Mentch FD, Chiavacci R, Sleiman PMA, Gur RC, Hakonarson H, Gur RE. The Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort: A publicly available resource for the study of normal and abnormal brain development in youth. Neuroimage. 2016; 124(Pt B):1115-1119. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.03.056. PMID: 25840117; PMCID: PMC4591095.

Schäfer, S.K., Supke, M., Kausmann, C. et al. A systematic review of individual, social, and societal resilience factors in response to societal challenges and crises. Commun Psychol 2, 92 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s44271-024-00138-w

Schmitz A, Grillon C (2012) Assessing fear and anxiety in humans using the threat of predictable and unpredictable aversive events (the NPU-threat test). Nat Protoc. 2012 Feb 23;7(3):527-32. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012.001.

Schreiner MW, Miller RH, Jacobsen AM, Crowell SE, Kaufman EA, Farstead B, Feldman DA, Thomas L, Bessette KL, Welsh RC, Watkins ER, Langenecker SA. Rumination Induction Task in fMRI: Test-Retest Reliability in Youth and Potential Mechanisms of Change with Intervention. medRxiv [Preprint]. 2023 2023.10.09.23296759. doi: 10.1101/2023.10.09.23296759. PMID: 37873244; PMCID: PMC10592982.

Shanahan L, Copeland WE. Psychiatry and Deaths of Despair. JAMA Psychiatry. 2021 Jul 1;78(7):695-696. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.0256. PMID: 33787824; PMCID: PMC10184264.

Shepard DS, Gurewich D, Lwin AK, Reed GA Jr, Silverman MM. Suicide and suicidal attempts in the United States: costs and policy implications. Suicide Life Threat Behav. 2015;46(3):352–62.

Stacy M, Schulkin J. Suicide: Allostatic regulation and resilience. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2022

May;139:105691. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2022.105691. Epub 2022 Feb 17. PMID: 35218982.

Stene-Larsen K, Reneflot A. Contact with primary and mental health care prior to suicide: A systematic review of the literature from 2000 to 2017. Scand J Public Health. 2019 Feb;47(1):9-17. doi: 10.1177/1403494817746274. Epub 2017 Dec 5. PMID: 29207932.

Trivedi MH, Chin Fatt CR, Jha MK, Cooper CM, Trombello JM, Mason BL, Hughes J, Gadad BS, Czysz AH, Toll RT, Fuller AK, Sethuram S, Mayes TL, Minhajuddin A, Carmody T, Greer TL. Comprehensive phenotyping of depression disease trajectory and risk: Rationale and design of Texas Resilience Against Depression study (T-RAD). J Psychiatr Res. 2020 Mar;122:22-32. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2019.12.004. Epub 2019 Dec 10. PMID: 31918350.

van Houtum LAEM, Baaré WFC, Beckmann CF, Castro-Fornieles J, Cecil CAM, Dittrich J, Ebdrup BH, Fegert JM, Havdahl A, Hillegers MHJ, Kalisch R, Kushner SA, Mansuy IM, Mežinska S, Moreno C, Muetzel RL, Neumann A, Nordentoft M, Pingault JB, Preisig M, Raballo A, Saunders J, Sprooten E, Sugranyes G, Tiemeier H, van Woerden GM, Vandeleur CL, van Haren NEM. Running in the FAMILY: understanding and predicting the intergenerational transmission of mental illness. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2024 Apr 13. doi: 10.1007/s00787-024-02423-9. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38613677.

Victor TA, Khalsa SS, Simmons WK, Feinstein JS, Savitz J, Aupperle RL, Yeh HW, Bodurka J, Paulus MP. Tulsa 1000: a naturalistic study protocol for multilevel assessment and outcome prediction in a large psychiatric sample. BMJ Open. 2018 Jan 24;8(1):e016620. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016620. PMID: 29371263; PMCID: PMC5786129.

Volkow ND, Koob GF, Croyle RT, Bianchi DW, Gordon JA, Koroshetz WJ, Pérez-Stable EJ, Riley WT, Bloch MH, Conway K, Deeds BG, Dowling GJ, Grant S, Howlett KD, Matochik JA, Morgan GD, Murray MM, Noronha A, Spong CY, Wargo EM, Warren KR, Weiss SRB. The conception of the ABCD study: From substance use to a broad NIH collaboration. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2018 Aug;32:4-7. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2017.10.002. Epub 2017 Oct 10. PMID: 29051027; PMCID: PMC5893417.

Watson, D., & Clark, L. A. The PANAS-X: Manual for the positive and negative affect schedule-expanded form. 1994

White TM, Borrell LN, El-Mohandes A. A Review of the Public Health Literature Examining the Roles of Socioeconomic Status and Race/Ethnicity on Health Outcomes in the United States. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities. 2024 Oct 28. doi: 10.1007/s40615-024-02195-7.

Windle, G. (2011). What is resilience? A review and concept analysis. Reviews in Clinical Gerontology, 21(2), 152–169. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959259810000420