Title: Salivary IgG antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 as a non-invasive assessment of immune response. Differences between vaccinated children and adults

Author names and affiliations: María Noel Badano[1], Irene Keitelman[1], Matías Javier Pereson[1], Natalia Aloisi[2], Florencia Sabbione[1], Patricia Baré[1,2]

[1] Instituto de Medicina Experimental (IMEX)-Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Academia Nacional de Medicina. Postal address: J.A. Pacheco de Melo 3081, CABA 1425, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
[2] Instituto de Investigaciones Hematológicas (IIHEMA), Academia Nacional de Medicina. Postal address: J.A. Pacheco de Melo 3081, CABA 1425, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Corresponding author: María Noel Badano, Laboratorio de Patogenia de Infecciones Virales, Instituto de Medicina Experimental (IMEX)-CONICET, Academia Nacional de Medicina, J.A. Pacheco de Melo 3081, CABA 1425, Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: noebadano@yahoo.com.ar. Telephone number: +5491167586135. ORCID identifier: 0000-0002-0358-7738.

Short title: Higher salivary IgG SARS-CoV-2 levels in vaccinated children

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by a grant from CONICET (PIP 11220210100378CO).

Conflict of Interest Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Ethics Statement: This study was approved by the Academia Nacional de Medicina Ethics Committee.

Consent Statement: Written informed consent was obtained from adult participants and from parents for children participants.

Abbreviations: binding antibody units (BAU) per mL (BAU/mL), geometric mean concentrations (GMC), 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

Abstract

Studies comparing systemic and salivary antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 between children and adults show conflicting results. Besides, it is still unclear whether salivary antibody determination could be a non-invasive approach to evaluate the humoral immune response.

In this work, we observed that salivary IgG antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 largely reflects the systemic response in vaccinated adults. Salivary and systemic antibody concentrations were higher in vaccinated adults who had been exposed, had schedules including mRNA-based vaccines, a greater number of exposures and a shorter time between last exposure and sample collection.

Salivary antibody detection was associated with schedules including mRNA-based vaccines, time between last exposure and sample collection, and systemic antibody concentrations, suggesting that salivary antibodies might not be detectable in subjects with low systemic antibody levels.

Comparison of antibody levels between vaccinated children and adults showed a stronger salivary antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 in children. This difference remained when antibody levels were compared between children and adults under similar conditions (vaccination schedules, number of exposures, time from last exposure). Multivariable linear regression analysis confirmed that age, symptomatic exposure, number of vaccine doses and schedules including mRNA-based vaccines were associated with salivary antibody levels ($p < 0.0001$).

Salivary antibody determination against SARS-CoV-2 could be a non-invasive approach to evaluate the short-term immune response in subjects with multiple exposures, especially when blood sampling cannot be performed.

Knowledge of the underlying mechanisms that lead to the different local antibody response between children and adults, as well as their protective role in both groups, is required.

Keywords

SARS-CoV-2; Salivary IgG antibody response; Systemic IgG antibody response; vaccines; children.

Introduction

While several advances have been made in the understanding of SARS-CoV-2 infection and its associated pathology, certain aspects related to the immune response remain uncertain. Furthermore, the lower availability of blood samples from children coupled with the late administration of COVID-19 vaccines in this population led to less knowledge about their immune response following infection and vaccination in comparison to adults.

It is still debatable whether children and adults show similar systemic humoral immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 after infection and vaccination. Studies have reported similar [1, 2], lower [3, 4] or stronger and longer-lasting [5, 6] systemic antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection in children than adults. There are also conflicting results regarding antibody responses following vaccination, with studies showing higher [6, 7], similar [8-10] or lower [4] SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody levels in children compared to adults.

Information about the mucosal immune response against SARS-CoV-2 in children is limited. Using saliva-based tests, the prevalence of salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in unvaccinated and vaccinated children has been studied [11-13], further showing that salivary antibody levels increase following infection or household contact exposure [12, 14] and vaccination [13]. However, studies comparing salivary antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 between children and adults have yielded contradictory results, showing higher [15] or lower [4] salivary antibody levels in children than in adults.

On the other hand, results from studies comparing systemic and salivary humoral immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 are inconsistent. While several studies have shown a positive correlation between systemic and salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels in both adults [16-18] and children [11, 15], other studies have shown a weak correlation [19, 20]. We have also previously shown that SARS-CoV-2 breakthrough infections in vaccinated adults boost antibody levels not only in the

blood but also in the salivary compartment [21]. Therefore, although several studies have demonstrate the validity of assessing specific salivary antibodies as indicators of seroconversion after natural infection or vaccination [16-18, 22-23], it remains unclear whether saliva could be suitable as a non-invasive alternative to blood for monitoring antibodies against SARS-CoV-2.

In this work we investigated and compared the systemic and salivary IgG antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 in vaccinated adults, to analyze whether the determination of salivary antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 could be a non-invasive approach to evaluate the humoral immune response following infection or vaccination. Salivary antibody levels were also compared between vaccinated children and adults to analyze whether the specific humoral immune response differed within the salivary compartment.

Materials and methods

Study Design, Participants and Samples

Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, we conduct an observational prospective cohort study to investigate the humoral immune response against SARS-CoV-2 after infection and vaccination. Adult participants were healthcare workers from our institution, the Academia Nacional de Medicina. Child participants were sons and daughters of healthcare workers and their schoolmates. SARS-CoV-2 diagnoses were conducted in adults and children with COVID-19 symptoms and in some household members with or without symptoms. If one household member tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, all other household members were considered exposed (household contacts), regardless of whether they were also subsequently diagnosed or not. SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by RT-PCR in nasopharyngeal/oropharyngeal swabs or in saliva samples. Children and adults were monitored and information regarding demographic characteristic (date of birth, sex), vaccination (dates and schedules), COVID-19 history (presence, date and duration of symptoms in positive cases and household contacts) was completed in an online questionnaire by adults and parents.

For this study, blood and saliva sample pairs (n = 101) from adults who had received the primary vaccination schedule and a booster dose collected between December 2021-November 2022 were included. Saliva samples (n = 80) from children up to 18 years old who had received two or three vaccine doses collected between February-August 2022 were also included. The median time between last exposure to SARS-CoV-2 antigens (through vaccination, infection or household contact exposure) and sample collection for all samples was 58 (21-270), for adult samples was 50 (21-234) and for children's samples was 77 (21−270) days (Table 1). Samples included, from both adults and children, were obtained from uninfected individuals, as well as from those who became

infected or were household contacts at any stage of vaccination. All subjects with confirmed past SARS-CoV-2 infection had mild disease based on the World Health Organization classification [24]. Information regarding demographic characteristic, COVID-19 history (uninfected, infected, household contact, COVID-19 compatible symptoms, expose to Omicron), vaccination schedules and number of exposures to SARS-CoV-2 antigens are detailed in Table 1. Vaccines from the same platform were administered on similar dates and with similar interval time between doses. This study was approved by the Academia Nacional de Medicina Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from adult participants and from parents for children participants.

Sample collection and processing

Plasma or serum samples were obtained immediately after centrifugation of the peripheral blood and stored in aliquots at −20ºC until use. For saliva sampling, individuals provided their first saliva of the day by spitting into a tube, ensuring they had not consumed any food or drink, brushed their teeth, or engaged in other activities prior to collection that could introduce variability. Saliva samples were centrifuged at 17,000 x *g* for 10 min (4°C) and the supernatant was stored at −20°C until use.

SARS-CoV-2 Antibody ELISA

Detection of anti-spike SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies both in blood and saliva was performed by ELISA (COVIDAR-IgG, Laboratorios Lemos S.R.L, Buenos Aires, Argentina). The plates of the assay are coated with a purified mixture of the spike protein and the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 from the original viral variant from Wuhan (GenBank: MN908947).

Determination of specific antibodies in blood was performed following the manufacturer's instructions [21]. Assessment of salivary antibodies was performed with the conditions we had previously established [21]. Basically, salivary IgG anti-spike antibodies were determined following the manufacturer's instructions, without performing the first sample dilution. As pre-pandemic samples were unavailable, negative controls comprised PCR-negative saliva samples from early stages of the pandemic obtained before vaccination. Antibody concentrations in binding antibody units (BAU) per mL (BAU/mL) were obtained by interpolating the OD 450 nm values of the samples into a calibration curve performed with the provided standard (400 BAU/mL). Each sample was properly diluted to fit an OD 450 nm within the linear range of the calibration curve.

Statistical Analysis

Data analyses were performed using the GraphPad 10.2.3 Prism software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Geometric mean concentrations (GMC) of specific antibody levels with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test were performed to compare antibody levels between three or more groups. Antibody levels between two groups were compared with Mann–Whitney test. The Spearman coefficient of rank correlation was used to assess the correlation between specific salivary and blood antibodies. A multivariable linear regression model was performed with age, sex, symptomatic exposure, number of vaccine doses, number of exposures, vaccination schedules that included mRNA-based vaccines, time between the most recent antigen exposure and sample collection as independent variables and salivary antibody levels as dependent variable. The linear regression coefficients (β) with 95% CI were calculated. In all cases, a value of $p < 0.05$ was considered indicative of a significant difference.

Results

IgG specific salivary and blood antibody responses in vaccinated adults

Specific antibodies were detected in 100% of blood samples (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI: 3154, 2461−4043) and in 82% of saliva samples (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI: 67.0, 42.8−104.7). Subjects with higher systemic antibody levels (≥GMC: 3154 BAU/mL) also showed higher salivary antibody concentrations compared to those lower systemic antibody levels (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI, Saliva: 156.8, 92.7−265.2 *vs* 24.2, 11.1−52.7; $p < 0.001$). Furthermore, higher systemic antibody levels were found in subjects with detectable salivary antibodies compared to those without detectable salivary antibodies (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI, Blood: 3841, 2979−4952 *vs* 1316, 701.1−2469; $p < 0.01$) (Figure 1A-D, black or white circles and squares). Specific antibody levels in blood and saliva were positively correlated ($r = 0.5$, $p < 0.0001$).

Therefore, we analyzed how variables previously reported to be associated with systemic anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels (50 *vs* ≥50 years, sex, exposure, number of exposures, vaccination schedules and time between most recent antigen exposure and sample collection), relate to antibody levels in both the blood and the salivary compartment. The results of the variables where significant differences in antibody levels were observed are shown. As similar results were observed among subjects who received one or two doses of mRNA-based vaccines, they were combined into a single group (non-mRNA + mRNA (3d)) for results presentation. Levels of anti-spike SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies were higher in subjects who received three doses of schedules that included mRNA-based vaccines (non-mRNA + mRNA (3d)) compared to those in individuals who received three doses of schemes not including mRNA-based vaccines (non-mRNA (3d)) (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI, Blood: 6335, 3990−10058 *vs* 2494, 1875−3318; $p < 0.01$; Saliva: 183.3, 106.5−315.6 *vs* 47.2, 27.1−82.2; $p < 0.01$) (Figure 1A). Subjects with a greater number of exposures

to SARS-CoV-2 antigens (through vaccination, infection or household contact exposure) showed higher antibody concentrations than those with a lower number of exposures (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI, Blood: 5586, 4237−7365 *vs* 1615, 1162−2245; $p < 0.0001$; Saliva: 99.0, 52.6−186.4 *vs* 43.2, 23.5−80.0; $p < 0.01$) (Figure 1B). Antibody concentrations decreased as the interval time between last exposure and sample collection increased (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI, Blood: 5448, 4087−7263 *vs* 1847, 1305−2614; $p < 0.0001$; Saliva: 179.3, 113.3−283.7 *vs* 22.0, 11.1−43.5; $p < 0.0001$) (Figure 1C). Since individuals with confirmed past SARS-CoV-2 infection and household contacts showed similar antibody levels, they were combined into the "exposed" group for results presentation. We observed that SARS-CoV-2 exposed subjects showed higher antibody levels than uninfected subjects (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI, Blood: 5183, 3871−6940 *vs* 1807, 1275−2561; $p < 0.0001$; Saliva: 104.5, 54.8−200.0 *vs* 42.9, 23.6−78.0; $p < 0.01$) (Figure 1D).

Using Fisher's exact test, detection of specific antibodies in saliva was associated with schedules including mRNA-based vaccines ($p < 0.05$; OR=7.8) (Figure 1A), the time between last exposure and sample collection ($p < 0.001$; OR=7.8) (Figure 1C) and systemic antibody concentrations ($p < 0.01$; OR=7.1) (Figure 1A-D).

Comparison of IgG specific salivary antibody responses between vaccinated children and adults

Considering all samples, children showed higher salivary antibody concentrations compared to adults (GMC: 104.0 BAU/mL, 95% CI: 58.7−184.1 *vs* 66.0 BAU/mL, 95% CI: 42.8−104.7; $p < 0.05$). Antibody levels in children who received two doses of non-mRNA-based vaccines were not significantly different from those in adults who received three doses of non-mRNA-based vaccines (non-mRNA (3d)) (GMC: 27.3 BAU/mL, 95% CI: 13.7−54.3 *vs* 47.2 BAU/mL, 95% CI: 27.1−82.2; $p=0.3$), but were significantly lower than those in adults who received three doses of

schedules including mRNA-based vaccines (non-mRNA + mRNA (3d)) (GMC: 183.3 BAU/mL; 95% CI: 106.5−315.6; $p < 0.001$). Children who received three doses of mRNA vaccines (mRNA (3d)) (GMC: 486.0 BAU/mL; 95% CI: 220.7–1069) or three doses combining mRNA and non-mRNA-based vaccines (non-mRNA + mRNA (3d)) (GMC: 1997 BAU/mL; 95% CI: 878.6–4538) showed higher antibody concentrations than adults who received three doses of schedules including or not mRNA-based vaccines ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 2A). Salivary antibody concentrations were higher in children who had three exposures compared to adults who had three exposures (GMC: 138.4 BAU/mL, 95% CI: 64.3−298.0 *vs* 43.2 BAU/mL, 95% CI: 23.5−80.0; $p < 0.001$) (Figure 2B). Children who had four exposures (GMC: 495.3 BAU/mL; 95% CI: 178.2–1377) showed higher antibody levels than adults who had three or four exposures (GMC: 99.0 BAU/mL; 95% CI: 52.6–186.4) ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 2B). Antibody concentrations were higher in children compared to adults who had the same interval time (≤58 days) between last exposure and saliva collection (GMC: 396.0 BAU/mL, 95% CI: 185.8−844.1 *vs* GMC: 156.3 BAU/mL, 95% CI: 98.0−249.6; $p < 0.01$) (Figure 2C). As with adults, subjects with confirmed past SARS-CoV-2 infection and household contacts were combined into the "exposed" group, and antibody levels were analyzed taking into account exposure and the presence or absence of symptoms. The highest antibody levels were observed in symptomatic exposed children, being significantly higher than those in uninfected adults (GMC BAU/mL, 95% CI: 182.8, 64.7−516.8 *vs* 42.9, 23.6−77.9) ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 2D). Age (β1: -24.6, 95% CI: -39.2−-9.9; $p < 0.001$), symptomatic exposure (β2: 871.7, 95% CI: 249.5−1494; $p < 0.01$), number of vaccine doses (β3: 881.4, 95% CI: 90.5−1672; $p < 0.05$) and schedules that included mRNA-based vaccines (β4: 726.0, 95% CI: 189.5−1263; $p < 0.01$) were associated with salivary antibody levels in a multivariable linear regression analysis ($p < 0.0001$).

Discussion

Data from studies comparing antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 after infection or vaccination between children and adults are conflicting in blood [1-10] and in the mucosa [4, 15]. On the other hand, as studies comparing systemic and salivary humoral immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 have yielded contradictory results [11, 15, 16-22], it remains yet to be determined whether saliva could be used as a non-invasive alternative to blood for the determination of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2.

In this work, we investigated and compared the systemic and salivary IgG antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 in vaccinated adults. Analysis of different variables previously reported to be related to systemic anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels showed similar results for blood and saliva. Higher antibody concentrations were observed in both, the blood and saliva of adults who had schedules including mRNA-based vaccines, a greater number of exposures, a shorter interval time between last antigens exposure and saliva collection and had been exposed to SARS-CoV-2. Furthermore, a positive correlation was found between systemic and salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels, with higher salivary antibody concentrations observed in subjects with higher systemic antibody levels. However, specific antibodies were detected in 100% of blood samples and in 82% of saliva samples. Detection of specific salivary antibodies was associated with schedules that included mRNA-based vaccines, time between last exposure and sample collection, and systemic antibody concentrations. These results, together with the fact that most subjects without detectable salivary antibodies showed lower systemic antibody levels, suggest that salivary antibody detection could depend on variables that influence systemic antibody levels. Therefore, although our results showed that the salivary immune response against SARS-CoV-2 in vaccinated adults largely reflects that observed at systemic levels, salivary antibody detection might not be possible in subjects presenting lower systemic antibody concentrations. This is in agreement with previously reported results showing that salivary antibody responses were mainly detected in subjects showing

higher serum antibody levels [25]. However, we observed that the prevalence of salivary antibodies was high in both adults (82%) and children (81%) [12], in contrast to previous reports in unvaccinated infected subjects [11, 13, 25] but in agreement with findings in vaccinated subjects [13, 18, 22-23]. This suggests that multiple exposures are necessary to enhance systemic antibody levels and allow salivary antibody detection.

Salivary antibody concentrations were also compared between vaccinated children and adults to analyze whether specific antibody responses differed within the salivary compartment. We observed a higher salivary antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 in vaccinated children compared to adults. This also remained when antibody levels were compared between children and adults who had similar vaccination schedules (three doses combining mRNA and non-mRNA based vaccines) or the same number of exposures. Furthermore, when considering the same interval time between last exposure and saliva collection, children also showed higher antibody concentrations than adults. The analysis of antibody levels in relation to exposure and the presence of symptoms showed that symptomatic exposed children had the highest antibody concentrations. This supports that infection or exposure accompanied by symptoms contributes to increased salivary antibody levels [12, 14]. These results were confirmed in a multivariable linear regression analysis, showing that age, symptomatic exposure, number of vaccine doses and schedules that included mRNA-based vaccines were associated with salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels.

The biological and molecular basis for a favorable outcome after SARS-CoV-2 infection in children compared to adults is not fully understood. Several hypotheses have tried to explain the milder presentation of the disease in children, including a protective role of pre-existing cross-reactive antibodies against seasonal coronaviruses, a lower expression of the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and a less propensity to develop an exacerbated pro-inflammatory response, among others [26]. Furthermore, the contribution of the antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 to the favorable outcome in the pediatric population is not entirely clear. In the current work, we showed that children had higher salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody concentrations than adults. Given

that mucosal immunity plays a key role in prevention and early defense against infection, being the entry route for the virus and the site of first encounter with the immune response, it is tempting to speculate that the stronger salivary antibody response observed in children could help prevent or limit infection, thus contributing to the favorable outcome observed in the pediatric population. While salivary IgG is derived primarily from circulating IgG through transudation [27] and the salivary IgG response in adults highly reflects the systemic antibody response, it remains to be determined whether the salivary antibody response observed in children also largely reflects that present in blood. Besides, given that there is also some local IgG production, it cannot be ruled out that a difference in the local antibody response mounted may also account to the observed difference in the salivary antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 between children and adults. Limitations of this study include the lack of information on the systemic antibody response in children, which precluded direct comparison between the systemic and salivary immune responses in children. The limited remaining volume of saliva samples (especially those from children that were diluted several times), prevented further investigation on neutralizing and specific IgA antibody responses. Although some reports showed that salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels strongly correlate with the neutralizing capacities of both serum [18] and saliva [23], knowledge of IgA and neutralizing antibody responses could also add insights related to the immune protection provided by salivary antibodies in both children and adults. For reasons inherent to the vaccination policies in Argentina, adults mainly received non-mRNA based primary vaccination schedules and children only received mRNA vaccines as third doses. Therefore, a comparison could not be made with samples from adults vaccinated with three doses of mRNA vaccines or from children vaccinated with three doses of non-mRNA-based vaccines. Despite this, we observed that among subjects who received three vaccine doses, children who only received one dose of mRNA-based vaccine showed higher salivary antibody concentrations than adults who also received one or even two doses of mRNA-based vaccines. This suggests that other factors inherent to children, in addition to the vaccination schedules received, could be contributing to the higher

salivary antibody response observed in children. Information about SARS-CoV-2 variants involved in the infections/household contact exposures and their association with antibody levels was not available. However, most infections/household contact exposures, both in the adults and child participants, occurred when the only circulating variant in Argentina was Omicron.

Altogether, our results showed that the salivary immune response against SARS-CoV-2 in vaccinated adults largely reflects that observed at systemic levels. However, salivary antibodies may not be detectable in subjects with low systemic antibody levels. Nevertheless, since saliva sampling is non-invasive and allows self-collection unlike blood sampling, salivary antibody determination could be performed as an initial screening to evaluate the immune response against SARS-CoV-2. Besides, as salivary immune response is indicative of the systemic antibody response, salivary antibody determination could guide vaccination strategies through the administration of booster doses in those subjects who lack detectable salivary antibodies, so that they can achieve high systemic antibody levels.

In conclusion, the determination of salivary antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 could be a non-invasive approach for the evaluation of the short-term immune response in subjects with multiple exposures, either resulting from vaccination combined with infection or from the administration of immunogenic vaccination schedules, both in the adult and pediatric population, especially in settings where blood sampling cannot be taken.

Furthermore, the higher levels of salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies observed in children are in agreement with studies reporting stronger systemic antibody responses in the pediatric population. This suggests that children could have more efficient adaptive immune responses, both at local and systemic levels, compared to adults. Additional studies are required to identify the mechanisms involved in the difference observed in the local humoral immune response between children and adults and to understand the immune protection provided by the salivary antibodies in both children and adults.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the children and adults enrolled in this study for their participation and collaboration.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant from CONICET (PIP 11220210100378CO). Some aspects of this work could not have been fulfilled without the generous contribution of the IIHEMA and the Academia Nacional de Medicina, who provide financial support to our ongoing research.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

M.N.B and P.B conceived and designed the study, M.N.B, I.K., M.P, N.A, F.S., and P.B.; participated in sample and clinical data collection, M.N.B, I.K., F.S., and P.B., performed the experiments, M.N.B and P.B.; analyzed and interpreted the data., M.N.B. and P.B.; drafted the first version and wrote the final version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript version.

References

1. Weisberg SP, Connors TJ, Zhu Y, Baldwin MR, Lin WH, Wontakal S, et al. Distinct antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in children and adults across the COVID-19 clinical spectrum. Nat Immunol. 2021;22(1):25-31. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-00826-9.
2. Toh ZQ, Higgins RA, Do LAH, Rautenbacher K, Mordant FL, Subbarao K, Dohle Ket al. Persistence of SARS-CoV-2-Specific IgG in Children 6 Months After Infection, Australia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27(8):2233-2235. doi: 10.3201/eid2708.210965.
3. Hachim A, Gu H, Kavian O, Mori M, Kwan MYW, Chan WH, et al. SARS-CoV-2 accessory proteins reveal distinct serological signatures in children. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):2951. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-30699-5.
4. Padoan A, Cosma C, Di Chiara C, Furlan G, Gastaldo S, Talli I, et al. Clinical and Analytical Performance of ELISA Salivary Serologic Assay to Detect SARS-CoV-2 IgG in Children and Adults. Antibodies (Basel). 2024 Jan;13(1):6. doi: 10.3390/antib13010006.
5. Dowell AC, Butler MS, Jinks E, Tut G, Lancaster T, Sylla P, et al. Children develop robust and sustained cross-reactive spike-specific immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Immunol. 2022;23(1):40-49. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-01089-8.
6. Kim M, Cheng WA, Congrave-Wilson Z, Marentes Ruiz CJ, Turner L, et al. Comparisons of Pediatric and Adult SARS-CoV-2-Specific Antibodies up to 6 Months after Infection, Vaccination, or Hybrid Immunity. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc. 2024;13(1):91-99. doi: 10.1093/jpids/piad107.
7. Tawinprai K, Siripongboonsitti T, Porntharukchareon T, Vanichsetakul P, Thonginnetra S, Niemsorn K, et al. Safety and Immunogenicity of the BBIBP-CorV Vaccine in Adolescents Aged 12 to 17 Years in the Thai Population: An Immunobridging Study. Vaccines (Basel). 2022 ;10(5):807. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10050807.
8. Rosa Duque JS, Wang X, Leung D, Cheng SMS, Cohen CA, Mu X, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines BNT162b2 and CoronaVac in healthy adolescents. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):3700. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-31485-z.
9. Xia S, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Wang H, Yang Y, Gao GF, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated COVID-19 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV, in people younger than 18 years: a randomised, double-blind, controlled, phase 1/2 trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022;22(2):196-208. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00462-X.
10. Li G, Cappuccini F, Marchevsky NG, Aley PK, Aley R, Anslow R, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine in children aged 6-17 years: a preliminary report of COV006, a phase 2

single-blind, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet. 2022;399(10342):2212-2225. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00770-X.

11. Keuning MW, Grobben M, Bijlsma MW, Anker B, Berman-de Jong EP, Cohen S, et al. Differences in systemic and mucosal SARS-CoV-2 antibody prevalence in a prospective cohort of Dutch children. Front Immunol. 2022;13:976382. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.976382.
12. Badano MN, Duarte A, Salamone G, Sabbione F, Pereson M, Chuit R, et al. Prevalence of salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in vaccinated children. Immunology. 2023;169(3):384-387. doi: 10.1111/imm.13656.
13. Conti MG, Piano Mortari E, Nenna R, Pierangeli A, Sorrentino L, Frasca F, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific mucosal immune response in vaccinated versus infected children. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2024;14:1231697. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2024.1231697.
14. Thomas AC, Oliver E, Baum HE, Gupta K, Shelley KL, Long AE, et al. Evaluation and deployment of isotype-specific salivary antibody assays for detecting previous SARS-CoV-2 infection in children and adults. Commun Med (Lond). 2023;3(1):37. doi: 10.1038/s43856-023-00264-2.
15. Dobaño C, Alonso S, Vidal M, Jiménez A, Rubio R, Santano R, et al. Multiplex Antibody Analysis of IgM, IgA and IgG to SARS-CoV-2 in Saliva and Serum From Infected Children and Their Close Contacts. Front Immunol. 2022;13:751705. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.751705.
16. Isho B, Abe KT, Zuo M, Jamal AJ, Rathod B, Wang JH, et al. Persistence of serum and saliva antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike antigens in COVID-19 patients. Sci Immunol. 2020;5(52):eabe5511. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abe5511.
17. Pisanic N, Randad PR, Kruczynski K, Manabe YC, Thomas DL, Pekosz A, et al. COVID-19 Serology at Population Scale: SARS-CoV-2-Specific Antibody Responses in Saliva. J Clin Microbiol. 2020;59(1):e02204-20. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02204-20.
18. Healy K, Pin E, Chen P, Söderdahl G, Nowak P, Mielke S, et al. Salivary IgG to SARS-CoV-2 indicates seroconversion and correlates to serum neutralization in mRNA-vaccinated immunocompromised individuals. Med. 2022;3(2):137-153.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.medj.2022.01.001.
19. Darwich A, Pozzi C, Fornasa G, Lizier M, Azzolini E, Spadoni I, et al. BNT162b2 vaccine induces antibody release in saliva: a possible role for mucosal viral protection? EMBO Mol Med. 2022;14(5):e15326. doi: 10.15252/emmm.202115326.
20. Azzi L, Dalla Gasperina D, Veronesi G, Shallak M, Ietto G, Iovino D, et al. Mucosal immune response in BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine recipients. EBioMedicine. 2022;75:103788. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103788.

21. Badano MN, Pereson MJ, Sabbione F, Keitelman I, Aloisi N, Chuit R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Breakthrough Infections after Third Doses Boost IgG Specific Salivary and Blood Antibodies. Vaccines (Basel). 2023;11(3):534. doi: 10.3390/vaccines11030534.

22. Ketas TJ, Chaturbhuj D, Portillo VMC, Francomano E, Golden E, Chandrasekhar S, et al. Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccines Are Detectable in Saliva. Pathog Immun. 2021;6(1):116-134. doi: 10.20411/pai.v6i1.441.

23. Castro VT, Chardin H, Amorim Dos Santos J, Barra GB, Castilho GR, Souza PM, etc. Detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 salivary antibodies in vaccinated adults. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1296603. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1296603.

24. World Health Organization. Clinical management of COVID-19: living guideline (WHO/2019-nCoV/clinical/2023.2). Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-clinical-2023.2 (Accessed March 18, 2025).

25. Faustini SE, Jossi SE, Perez-Toledo M, Shields AM, Allen JD, Watanabe Y, et al. Development of a high-sensitivity ELISA detecting IgG, IgA and IgM antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein in serum and saliva. Immunology. 2021;164(1):135-147. doi: 10.1111/imm.13349.

26. Falahi S, Abdoli A, Kenarkoohi A. Claims and reasons about mild COVID-19 in children. New Microbes New Infect. 2021;41:100864. doi: 10.1016/j.nmni.2021.100864.

27. Brandtzaeg P. Secretory immunity with special reference to the oral cavity. J Oral Microbiol. 2013;5. doi: 10.3402/jom.v5i0.20401.


A
Blood
P < .01
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
non-mRNA (3d)
non-mRNA + mRNA (3d)
Saliva
P < .01
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
non-mRNA (3d)
non-mRNA + mRNA (3d)
B
Blood
P < .0001
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
3X
4X
Saliva
P < .01
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
3X
4X
C
Blood
P < .0001
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
≥51d
≤50d
Saliva
P < .0001
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
≥51d
≤50d
D
Blood
P < .0001
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
Uninfected
Exposed
Saliva
P < .01
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
Uninfected
Exposed


A
P < .0001
P < .0001
P < .0001
P < .01
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
13000
9000
5000
1000
500
400
300
200
100
80
60
40
20
0
non-mRNA (3d) adults
non-mRNA + mRNA (3d) adults
mRNA (3d) children
non-mRNA + mRNA (3d) children
B
P < .0001
P < .001
P < .001
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
3X adults
4X adults
3X children
4X children
C
P < .0001
P < .01
P < .01
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
≥59d adults
≤58d adults
≥59d children
≤58d children
D
P < .001
P < .05
P < .01
IgG anti-Spike BAU/mL
Uninfected adults
Asymptomatic exposed adults
Symptomatic exposed adults
Uninfected children
Asymptomatic exposed children
Symptomatic exposed children

Figure legends

Figure 1. Systemic and salivary antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 in vaccinated adults. Blood and salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels were analyzed in vaccinated adults (*n* = 101) according to: vaccination schedules (A), number of exposures (B), time between last exposure and sample collection (C), exposure to SARS-CoV-2 (D). IgG anti-spike antibody concentrations (BAU/mL) with geometric means are shown. Dotted line indicates the assay detection limit (4.03 BAU/mL). Subjects with detectable salivary antibodies (white circles or squares) and those without detectable salivary antibodies (black circles or squares) are shown. *p* values were determined by Mann-Whitney test. non-mRNA (3d), three doses of non-mRNA-based-vaccines; non-mRNA + mRNA (3d), three doses combining mRNA and non-mRNA-based vaccines.

Figure 2. Comparison of salivary antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 between vaccinated children and adults. Salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels were compared between vaccinated adults (*n* = 101) and children (*n* = 80) according to: vaccination schedules (A), number of exposures (B), time between last exposure and sample collection (C), SARS-CoV-2 exposure and symptoms (D). IgG anti-spike antibody concentrations (BAU/mL) with geometric means are shown. Dotted line indicates the assay detection limit (4.03 BAU/mL). *p* values were determined by Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test or Mann-Whitney test. non-mRNA (3d), three doses of non-mRNA-based-vaccines; non-mRNA + mRNA (3d), three doses combining mRNA and non-mRNA-based vaccines; mRNA (3d), three doses of mRNA-based vaccines.

Table 1. Characteristics of children and adults

	Children (n=80)	Adults (n=101)
General characteristics		
Age, median (range), y	10 (4−17)	46 (27-83)
Sex		
Female, No. (%)	39/80 (49)	71/101 (70)
Male, No. (%)	41/80 (51)	30/101 (30)
COVID-19 history		
Uninfected, No. (%)	34/80 (42.5)	49/101 (48.5)
Confirmed past SARS-CoV-2 infection, No. (%)	11/80 (13.75)	49/101 (48.5)
Household contacts, No. (%)	35/80 (43.75)	3/101 (3)
Infected/household contacts with COVID-19 compatible symptoms, No. (%)	26/46 (56)	48/52 (92)
Infected/household contacts exposed to pre-Omicron variants	13/46 (28)	14/52 (27)
Infected/household contacts exposed to Omicron variant	33/46 (72)	38/52 (73)
Vaccination schedules non-mRNA-based		
BBIBP-CorV x 2, No. (%)	46/80 (57.5)	
BBIBP-CorV x 2 + ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 x 1, No. (%)	–	62/101 (61)
Sputnik V x 2 + ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 x 1, No. (%)	–	8/101 (8)
ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 x 3, No. (%)	–	4/101 (4)
Vaccination schedules mRNA-based		
BNT162b2 mRNA x 3, No. (%)	17/80 (21)	–
BNT162b2 mRNA x 2 + mRNA-1273 x 1, No. (%)	4/80 (5)	–
BBIBP-CorV x 2 + BNT162b2 mRNA x1, No. (%)	11/80 (14)	7/101 (7)
BBIBP-CorV x 2 + mRNA-1273 x 1, No. (%)	2/80 (2.5)	–
Sputnik V x 2 + BNT162b2 mRNA x1, No. (%)	–	5/101 (5)
ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 x 2+ BNT162b2 mRNA x1, No. (%)	–	3/101 (3)
Sputnik V x 1 + mRNA-1273 x 2, No. (%)	–	12/101 (12)
Number of antigen exposures		
Two vaccine doses (2X), No. (%)	22/80 (27.5)	–
Two vaccine doses plus one infection/household contact exposure (3X), No. (%)	22/80 (27.5)	–
Three vaccine doses (3X), No. (%)	12/80 (15)	46/101 (45.5)
Two vaccine doses plus two household contact exposures (4X), No. (%)	2/80 (2.5)	–
Three vaccine doses plus one infection/ household contact exposures (4X), No. (%)	22/80 (27.5)	55/101 (54.5)
Time from last antigen exposure and sample collection, median (range), days	77 (21−270)	50 (21-234)
Time from last antigen exposure and sample collection, median (range), days	58 (21-270)	

BBIBP-CorV (Sinopharm); BNT162b2 mRNA (Pfizer–BioNTech); mRNA-1273 (Moderna).