Social Determinants of Health and Functional Brain Connectivity Predict Long-Term Physical Activity in Older Adults with a New Cardiovascular Diagnosis

Short title: Physical Activity Change Post Cardiovascular Event

Nagashree Thovinakere, BSc [1,2], Satrajit S. Ghosh, PhD [3,4,5], Yasser Itturia-Medina, PhD [1,6,7], Maiya R. Geddes, MD, FRCPC[1,2,5,6,8,9,10]

1. The Neuro, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.
2. Rotman Research Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
3. Program in Speech and Hearing Bioscience and Technology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
4. Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
5. McGovern Institute for Brain Research, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA.
6. McConnell Brain Imaging Centre (BIC), MNI, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.
7. Ludmer Centre for Neuroinformatics & Mental Health, Montreal, Canada.
8. Centre for Studies in the Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease, Douglas Mental Health Institute, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada
9. McGill University Research Centre for Studies in Aging, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada
10. Department of Psychology, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

Corresponding Author: Nagashree Thovinakere, nagashree.thovinakere@mail.mcgill.ca
The Neuro, Montreal Neurological Institute
McGill University
3801 Rue University
Montreal, QC, H3A 2B4

Total Word Count: 9427

47 **Abstract**
48
49 Background:
50 Physical activity is essential for preventing cognitive decline, stroke and dementia in older
51 adults. A new cardiovascular diagnosis offers a critical window for positive lifestyle changes.
52 However, sustaining physical activity behavior change remains challenging and the underlying
53 mechanisms are poorly understood.
54
Methods:
To identify the neural, behavioral and contextual predictors of successful longer-term behavior
change after a new cardiovascular diagnosis, we used support vector machine learning to predict
changes in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity over four years in 295 cognitively unimpaired
older adults from the UK Biobank, testing three models that incorporated baseline: (i)
demographic, cognitive, and contextual factors, (ii) baseline resting-state functional connectivity
alone, and (iii) combined multimodal features across all predictors.
62
63 Results:
64 The combined multi-modal model had the highest predictive power (r=0.28, p=0.001). Key
65 predictors included greenspace access, social support, retirement status, executive function, and
66 between-network functional connectivity within the default mode, frontoparietal control and
67 salience/ventral attention networks.
68
Conclusions:
These findings underscore the importance of social and structural determinants of health and
uncover neural mechanisms that may support lifestyle modifications. In addition to furthering
our understanding of the mechanisms underlying successful physical activity behavior change,
these findings help to guide the design of interventions and health policy with the ultimate goal
of preventing cardiovascular disease burden and late-life cognitive decline.
75
76
77 Keywords: Behavior change, physical activity, resting-state functional MRI, social determinants
78 of health, cardiovascular disease, dementia prevention, machine learning, translational
79 neuroscience
80
81

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases substantially elevate the risk of dementia and stroke due to shared pathophysiological mechanisms across the heart–brain axis[1]. Indeed, mixed dementia, comprised of combined vascular and Alzheimer pathological changes, is the most prevalent etiology of dementia in older age[2]. With the global dementia burden projected to rise to 132 million by 2050[3], there is an urgent need for targeted strategies to mitigate the vascular contributions to late-life cognitive decline. Physical activity is highly effective in lowering dementia risk and all-cause mortality among individuals with cardiovascular disease[4,5]. Thus, targeting physical activity engagement as a strategy for dementia prevention following a cardiovascular diagnosis, is essential[6,7,8,9].

Despite the well-established benefits of physical activity, physical inactivity remains prevalent, with approximately 27.5% of the global population not meeting recommended activity levels[10]. The prevalence is high among older adults and has escalated since the COVID-19 pandemic, especially among older adults with chronic conditions[11-13]. Moreover, motivating and maintaining long-term behavior change is difficult. Observational studies report that only 4.3% of individuals adopt lifestyle modifications within six months following a cardiovascular event, with adherence rates dropping to 3–11% after five years[14]. Understanding why and when individuals engage in initiation of physical activity is crucial for designing effective interventions.

To move towards a precision medicine approach to behavior change, it is important to go beyond group-level statistical approaches to identify individual differences and contextual factors at the level of the individual[28,71]. Prior behavioral research applying group-level statistics has highlighted factors such as self-efficacy[17], self-regulation[18], and biological sex, where males generally show higher adherence rates than females[19] in influencing physical activity engagement. Psychological factors, including depression, fatigue, and executive function have also previously been shown to influence adherence[20,21]. Furthermore, social and structural determinants of health, which refers to the environmental conditions in which individuals are born, live, learn, work, play, and age have a cumulative impact on physical, mental, and brain health[22,23]. Factors such as access to greenspace and neighborhood walkability[25], social support[26], socioeconomic status[24] are strongly associated with physical activity levels. Critically however, whether these factors also support physical activity behavior change remains unknown. These determinants may not only shape physical activity behavior but also act as upstream contributors to disparities in health outcomes, including incidence of dementias[27]. Further, neuroimaging provides insights into individual differences in brain organization and highlights neurodiversity, that is, how brain functions vary across individuals based on multilevel factors non-modifiable factors (e.g., genetics, biological sex) and differential life exposures to social and structural determinants of health[29].

Functional connectivity offers a promising avenue for characterizing complex brain-behavior relationships[72,73]. Predictive modeling based on functional connectivity[72] leverages the most relevant features of functional connectivity to predict behavioral outcomes. By mapping the brain's intricate connections and integrating them with data on individual behaviors, it offers a window into the neural basis of highly complex phenomena. Indeed, prior research supports the utility of functional brain connectivity for behavioral prediction[72,74], and shows that it can outperform the predictive power of structural features for lifestyle adherence[76]. This is in line with the Stern theoretical framework of cognitive reserve (the ability to maintain function in the

face of age- and disease-related brain changes) that suggests functional measures might best
capture the "neural implementation" of cognitive reserve[77].
To better understand the drivers of physical activity behavior change among older adults who
stand most to benefit, the current study adopts a precision medicine framework combined with a
whole-brain machine learning approach. Specifically, we examine the roles of sociodemographic
factors (e.g., age, sex, socioeconomic status), behavioral characteristics (e.g., retirement status,
general health, pain, depression), cognitive function (e.g., attention, executive function), social
factors (e.g., networks and support), environmental context (e.g., access to green spaces), and
baseline resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) on future physical activity behavior change
after physically inactive older individuals receive a new cardiovascular diagnosis. This
comprehensive approach is designed to uncover tangible targets for future interventions,
including public policy changes, tailored to individual needs for those at a heightened risk of
cognitive decline. By employing a rigorous data-driven machine learning approach, the current
study aims to uncover the neurobehavioral mechanisms driving successful physical activity at the
individual level[29].
142
2. Methods
2.1 Participants
295 (mean age = 63.13 years ± 7.5, 188 women) cognitively unimpaired and physically
inactive older adults from the UK Biobank, a large-scale population-based longitudinal cohort
were included in this study. Inclusion criteria were: 1) cognitively unimpaired at enrollment; 2)
reported a new cardiovascular diagnosis (i.e., hypertension, type II diabetes, dyslipidemia,
cardiac angina or myocardial infarction) between baseline (T1; 2014) and follow-up over four
years later (T2; 2019) (mean duration 4.2 years, SD 1.1); 3) did not meet the World Health
Organization recommendation of 150 minutes/week of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity
(MVPA) at baseline[3]; and 4) age >= 60. These criteria yielded a final sample size of 295 after
removing four participants for having poor quality brain imaging data. Unimpaired cognition
was defined as follows: performance scores on each cognitive test were converted into a
percentile rank, and the raw score corresponding to the 5th percentile (or 95th, on tests where
higher scores represented worse performance) was identified as the cut-off for impairment[54]. An
illustration of the study timeline is shown in Fig. 1. The brain imaging visit (Instance 2 of the UK
Biobank) was considered the baseline timepoint, and the first repeat imaging visit (Instance 3 of
the UK Biobank) was considered the follow-up timepoint. Demographic variables including age,
sex, years of education, household income, and socioeconomic status (as measured through
Townsend deprivation index)[55] were included as covariates of non-interest. Average total
household income before tax was divided into five groups (<￡£18,000, £18,000 to 30,999,
£31,000 to 51,999, £52,000 to 100,000, and￡>￡£100,000). MRI data were obtained at baseline,
before participants had received a new cardiovascular diagnosis, and moderate-to-vigorous
physical activity (MVPA) self-reported data and cognitive indices were obtained for the two
time-points: at baseline and in follow up after 4 years (mean duration 4.2 years, SD 2.1; ranging
from 8 months to 4.8 years). The distribution of cardiovascular conditions was as follows: 183
individuals with hypertension, 20 with diabetes, 161 with high cholesterol, and 10 with cardiac
angina or myocardial infarction. Inventories used to measure physical activity, psychosocial,
cognitive, and environmental factors in the current study are briefly described below. Please
refer to the Supplementary materials for further details. Medication use was measured at follow-
up. Medication use was prevalent in this cohort, with 141 individuals taking cholesterol-lowering

medication, 162 taking blood pressure medication, and 85 using both. Participant demographic
characteristics are summarized in Table 1.
175

Demographic Factor (n = 295)	Mean±SD
Age at baseline (years)	63.13 years ± 7.5
Female sex (count and %)	188 women (63.72%)
Household income (£, most frequent range)	18,000 to 30,999 (61%)
Education (years)	15.4±3.2
Townsend deprivation index score	-1.3±3.2
MVPA at baseline (min/week)	101.62±4.5
MVPA at follow-up (min/week)	109.10±6.8
Frequency of friends and family visits at baseline	4.2±1.03
Able to confide at baseline	3.8±1.67
Greenspace proximity at baseline (%)	34.1±20.59
Coastal proximity at baseline (%)	0.89±2.88
Depression score at baseline	2.1±1.02
Anxiety score at baseline	1.8±0.88
Number retired at baseline (count and %)	111 retired (37.64%)

176
177 **Table 1: Participant baseline demographic information for the UK Biobank sample.** SD =
178 Standard Deviation. MVPA = Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity.

179
Fig. 1. Study Timeline: Older participants received a new cardiovascular diagnosis (i.e.,
hypertension, type II diabetes, dyslipidemia, cardiac angina or myocardial infarction) between
the baseline and follow-up periods (mean 4.2 years), with no cardiovascular diagnoses reported
prior to baseline. Assessments included self-reported moderate-to-vigorous physical activity
(MVPA), cognitive function, neurobehavioral factors (such as depression, anxiety, general or
pain), social and structural determinants of health (including social support, retirement status and
greenspace access). Resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) MRI was assessed at baseline.
187
2.2 Data analysis overview of behavioral and contextual factors

Participants completed a comprehensive battery of psychosocial, behavioral, cognitive, and
environmental assessments at both baseline and follow-up (Fig. 1). These assessments are briefly
outlined below. To investigate the relationship between physical activity and cognition, we first
examined whether baseline cognitive function predicted future physical activity behavior. We
then assessed whether increases in physical activity at follow-up were linked to cognitive gains.
Next, we evaluated whether social and structural health determinants at baseline predicted
successful future engagement in physical activity. Resting state functional connectivity (RSFC)
was assessed at baseline only, prior to any cardiovascular diagnosis, thereby reducing potential
confounding effects related to blood flow alterations[56,57] (Makedonov et al., 2013; Tsvetanov et
al., 2021). A full list of input variables used in the prediction models is available in
Supplementary Table 1.
200
2.2.1 Townsend deprivation index
The Townsend Deprivation Score is an area-based score of social deprivation aggregated from
percentage of unemployment rate, non-car ownership rate, non-home ownership rate and
household overcrowding (proportion of households with more people than rooms). This indicator
was determined immediately prior to the participant joining the Biobank and was based on data
from the preceding national census[58]. The Townsend Deprivation Index is a composite,
standardized score with higher positive values indicating greater socioeconomic deprivation and
lower (negative) values indicating less deprivation. Each participant was assigned a score
corresponding to their postal code area.
210
2.2.2 Physical activity questionnaires
Successful future physical activity engagement, the primary behavioral outcome of interest, was
defined as the difference between the overall MVPA in minutes per week measured at follow-up
compared to the overall MVPA in minutes per week measured at baseline. This change in
MVPA was assessed using the Lifetime Total Physical Activity Questionnaire[59], which captures
self-reported MVPA by recording the frequency and duration of each physical activity type
performed weekly. The total time spent on moderate and vigorous activities was then calculated
to derive the overall MVPA in minutes per week. This total score served as an indicator of each
individual's physical activity engagement. The scale was administered at both baseline and
follow-up timepoints to assess changes over time.
Leisure-time physical activity was also measured through items capturing activities such
as walking for pleasure, light and heavy do-it-yourself (DIY) tasks (e.g., pruning, watering the
lawn, carpentry, digging, weeding), and recreational activities (e.g., swimming, cycling,
bowling). The total time spent on these activities was then calculated to derive the overall leisure
time physical activity in minutes per week.
Occupational physical activity was assessed with questions adapted from the UK
Biobank, including "Does your work involve heavy manual or physical work?" and "Does your
work involve walking or standing for most of the time?" These questions helped capture physical
activity levels related to participants' work environments. Scores ranged from 1 (Never/rarely) to
4 (Always) and were treated as a continuous measure.
231
2.2.3 Cognitive assessments
A computerized cognitive battery was administered using a touchscreen tablet. The tests were
specifically developed for the UK Biobank and have been validated[54], while sharing features

with established cognitive assessments. The battery included the following tasks: Reaction time,
Numeric memory, Prospective Memory, Fluid intelligence, Matrix pattern completion, Tower
rearranging, and Trail making. A detailed description of these tasks can be found in
Supplementary materials Appendix A.
239
2.2.4 Social support
The measures available in the UK Biobank for social support come from the items "How often
do you visit friends or family or have them visit you?" and "How often are you able to confide in
someone close to you?" Participants rated each item on a Likert scale from 0 (Never or almost
never) to 6 (Almost daily). For the frequency of visits, the categories "never or almost never"
and "no friends or family outside the household" were combined into a single category, "never."
This adjustment was made because these responses were similar, and there were only a few
participants with no friends or family outside the household (n = 16). Scores ranged from 0 to 6
and were treated as a continuous measure. Loneliness was also assessed using the item "Do you
often feel lonely?". Responses were recorded as yes (1) or no (0).
250
2.2.5 Greenspace and coastal proximity assessment
Environmental indicators included in this study were the proportion of green space and water
within 300 m of residential addresses, using the 2005 Generalised Land Use Database for
England and Centre for Ecology and Hydrology 2007 Land Cover Map data for Great Britain[60].
The buffer size of 300m was decided based on relevant health evidence and public policy on
both density and accessibility. Coastal proximity was estimated using Euclidean distance raster[61].

2.2.6 Psychosocial and mental health factors
Psychosocial factors were assessed through self-reported experiences, including depression,
anxiety, general pain, and lifestyle factors such as retirement status. Depression was evaluated
using two items: "Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless" and "Little interest or pleasure in doing
things." Participants rated their experiences on a four-point scale, ranging from 0 (Not at all) to 4
(Nearly every day). Anxiety was assessed similarly, with two items: "Feeling nervous, anxious,
or on edge" and "Not being able to stop or control worrying." General pain was measured using
a single item: "Have you had pains all over your body for more than 3 months?" Responses were
recorded as yes (1) or no (0). Participants also rated their overall health perception on a scale
from 1 (Excellent) to 4 (Poor). Additionally, participants indicated their retirement status with a
simple yes (1) or no (0) response. These assessments were conducted at both baseline and
follow-up timepoints.
269
2.3 MRI Data Acquisition
Details of image acquisition and processing are available in the UK Biobank Protocol
(http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/refer.cgi?id=2367), and Brain Imaging Documentation
(http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/refer.cgi?id=1977). Briefly, all brain MRI data were
acquired on a Siemens Skyra 3T scanner with a standard Siemens 32-channel RF receiver head
coil, using the following parameters: TR = 2000 ms; TI = 800 ms; R = 2; FOV = 208 × 256 ×
256 mm; voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm. For resting-state fMRI scans, two consecutive functional
T2*-weighted runs were collected with eyes closed using a blood oxygen level dependent
(BOLD) sensitive, single-shot echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence with the following

parameters: TR = 735 ms; TE = 39 ms; flip angle = 52°; FOV 88 x 88 x 64 matrix; resolution =
$2.4 \times 2.4 \times 2.4$ mm; 490 volumes; and acquisition time = 6 minutes per run.
281
<u>2.4 Resting-State Functional MRI Data Preprocessing</u>
Preprocessing of raw functional images from the UK Biobank was done using the fMRIprep
pipeline (version 20.2.4)[62]. For each of the BOLD runs per participant, the following
preprocessing was performed: First, the T1w reference was skull-stripped using a Nipype
implementation of the antsBrainExtraction.sh (ANTs) tool. A B0-nonuniformity map (or
fieldmap) was estimated based on a phase-difference map calculated with a dual-echo gradient-
recall echo (GRE) sequence, which was then co-registered to the target EPI reference run and
converted to a displacements field map. A distortion-corrected BOLD EPI reference image was
constructed and registered to the T1-weighted reference using a boundary-based approach (using
bbregister, Freesurfer). Rigid-body head-motion parameters with respect to the BOLD EPI
reference were estimated (using mcflirt, FSL 5.0.9)[63] before spatiotemporal filtering was
performed. BOLD runs belonging to the single band acquisition sessions were slice-time
corrected (using 3dTshift, AFNI 20160207). The BOLD time series were resampled into their
original, native space by applying a single, composite transform to correct for scan-to-scan head
motion and susceptibility distortions. Functional scans were spatially smoothed using a 6 mm
full width at half maximum Gaussian smoothing kernel.
Additional preprocessing steps were undertaken to remove physiological, subject motion,
and outlier-related artifacts, which were implemented using the nilearn package. Non-neuronal
sources of noise from white matter and CSF were estimated and removed using the anatomical
CompCor method[64] to allow for valid identification of correlated and anticorrelated
networks[65,66]. Temporal band-pass filtering (0.008–0.09 Hz) was then applied. Additionally,
scan-to-scan mean head motion (framewise displacement) was used as a covariate of non-interest
in all second-level analyses (mean head motion = 0.2 mm, SD = 0.1 mm). Head motion is a
known important potential confound as it produces systematic and spurious patterns in
connectivity and is accentuated in Alzheimer's disease (AD) and cognitively typical aging
populations[67]. Critically, we did not identify a relationship between the mean head motion
parameter and the primary behavioral variable of interest, physical activity change (all p > 0.05).
The framewise displacement timeseries was determined by calculating the maximum shift in the
position of six control points situated at the center of a bounding box around the brain, computed
independently for each scan. Four participants were removed from the UK Biobank sample final
analysis for having >30 scan volumes flagged, leading to the final sample size of 295
participants. This cut off was determined based on preserving at least 5 minutes of scanning
time[68].
315
<u>2.5 Machine Learning Modelling</u>
To predict future successful physical activity (MVPA) behavior change as a continuous measure
following a new cardiovascular diagnosis, we used the support vector machine (SVM) algorithm
from the scikit-learn (v0.21.3) library, utilizing the pydra-ml (v0.3.1) toolbox. Three separate
models were trained: (1) combined demographic, cognitive and contextual features only (2)
neuroimaging features only, and (3) multimodal model combining all demographic, cognitive,
contextual and neuroimaging features. Contextual features encompass many factors influencing
responses to interventions and overall clinical outcomes, including but not limited to the personal
characteristics, and social and structural determinants of health[14,19,23]. This multilevel, complexly

interacting framework is essential for understanding physical activity behavior change in
individuals with cardiovascular disease and optimizing the effectiveness of preventive strategies
and interventions.
The SVR works by placing constraints to ensure only a small number of observations
(support vectors) are used. SVR works with the goal of constructing a regression line that fits the
data within some chosen level of error. We used the default parameters, which include the radial
basis function kernel to capture non-linearities in the data. To assess the robustness of our
findings, we repeated our analysis using additional machine learning algorithms of increasing
complexity, defined by the computational resources required for model simulation. Specifically,
we examined linear regression, random forest, and multi-layer perceptron algorithms, using
default parameters unless otherwise specified. Further details on these algorithms are available in
the supplementary materials Appendix B.
We investigated model performance using four features selection strategies for all the
prediction models we tested (Linear Regression, SVM regression, Random Forest regression,
and multi-layer perceptron): (1) using all features, (2) removing redundant neuroimaging
features, (3) selecting only the top 20 features, and (4) excluding the top 20 features to assess
their necessity for predictive performance. To generate independent test and train data splits, we
used a bootstrapped group shuffle split sampling scheme. For each iteration of bootstrapping, a
random selection of 20% of the participants, balanced between the two groups, was designated as
the held-out test set. The remaining 80% of participants were used for training. This process was
repeated 50 times, fitting and testing the four classifiers for each test/train split. We used the
default of 50 bootstrapping splits from pydra-ml toolbox. We provide several interpretable
measures of model performance based on the observed vs predicted values; Pearson's r
correlation, the squared correlation, R^2, root mean squared error (RMSE), which measures the
average prediction error as the average difference between the observed and predicted values and
the mean absolute error (MAE) as the average absolute difference between the observed and
predicted values. RMSE and MAE are related with MAE being less sensitive to outliers and the
lower the value the better the model performance. The p-value for each model is derived by
comparing the correlation coefficient between the observed and predicted values to a null
distribution derived from 1000 non-parametric permutations. Age, sex, years of education, and
medication use were controlled as covariates in all prediction models.
We employed Kernel SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations)[69] to assess the significance
of baseline RSFC features in predicting successful engagement in physical activity. We
computed the average absolute SHAP values across all predictions, weighted by the model's
median performance, and calculated mean SHAP values across splits for each model. This entire
pipeline, encompassing machine learning models, bootstrapping, and SHAP analysis, was
implemented using pydra-ml toolbox.
362
2.6 Reducing collinearity using Independence Factor to enhance model interpretability
Collinearity among features can significantly affect model generation and interpretation,
particularly in resting state fMRI - analyses. To address this, we employed the Independence
Factor method[69], which iteratively removes features with strong dependence above a set
threshold, ensuring a consistent set of features across models. Using distance correlation, which
accommodates non-monotonic relationships, we systematically increased the threshold to
eliminate redundant features while preserving model performance within a narrow margin.
Importantly, reducing distance correlation enhances statistical independence among features,

thereby improving model interpretability. We applied thresholds ranging from 1.0 (keeping all features) to 0.2 (removing features with distance correlation above 0.2). Our goal was to identify a feature set that maintained model performance within three percentage points of using all features, resulting in a more parsimonious and interpretable model without compromising accuracy, essential for clinical applicability.

<u>2.7 Performance using most important and least important features</u>

To address the question of why certain features are important, we evaluated model performance under two scenarios: one using only the top 20 features and another excluding these features. This method mitigates the common pitfall in brain-behavior prediction analyses, where the significance of the top features may not reflect their true impact on model performance. By comparing performance metrics in both scenarios, we can gain a more nuanced understanding of the highlighted features' contributions and derive mechanistic insights into the neural correlates of successful behavior change.

This article was prepared according to the guidelines outlined in TRIPOD + AI statement: updated guidance for reporting clinical prediction models that use regression or machine learning methods[83]. The checklist is available in supplementary materials.

3. Results

<u>3.1. Behavioral Results</u>

Following a new cardiovascular diagnosis, participants demonstrated a significant average increase in physical activity engagement of 7.48 min/week $\pm$ 1.23, reflecting a 7.36% increase in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) (r=0.38. p < 0.01). A positive trend was observed between higher baseline MVPA and change in MVPA at follow-up among inactive older adults (r = 0.51, p = 0.12). No significant associations were identified between medication use (cholesterol-lowering or blood pressure) and either baseline MVPA or change in MVPA following a new cardiovascular diagnosis. Baseline cognitive function across multiple domains, including processing speed and executive function, was not significantly associated with baseline MVPA. Moreover, changes in cognitive function (i.e., follow-up minus baseline scores/baseline) were not associated with either change in MVPA or baseline MVPA.

<u>3.2. Prediction Modeling Results</u>

Prediction of future change in physical activity (MVPA as a continuous variable) among 295 cognitively unimpaired older adults, was conducted separately across three support vector machine (SVM) learning models with inputs that included baseline neuroimaging, behavioral or combined features as predictors: (Model 1) demographic, cognitive, and contextual features, (Model 2) RSFC MRI inputs, and (Model 3) a multimodal model integrating all behavioral and neural features. As shown in Table 2, the model based solely on demographic, cognitive, and contextual features did not significantly predict changes in MVPA at follow-up (r=0.17, p=0.056). In contrast, the neuroimaging model (r=0.25, p=0.004, FDR-corrected) and the multimodal model combining all features (r=0.28, p=0.001, FDR-corrected) significantly predicted MVPA change. SVM models consistently outperformed other machine learning algorithms, including linear regression, random forest, and multi-layer perceptron (performance metrics for the other algorithms described in Supplementary Table 2).

Model	r	R²	RMSE	MAE	p-value
Behavioral and SSDoH	0.17	0.02	0.13	0.11	0.058
Neuroimaging	0.25	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.004
Multimodal	0.28	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.001

Table 2: Performance metrics derived from SVM regression models. R and R^2 represent the Pearson correlation and the squared correlation between the predicted and observed values, respectively. Root mean squared error (RMSE) represents the average difference between the observed and predicted values (average prediction error). Mean squared error (MAE) represents the absolute mean difference between the predicted and observed values. SSDoH represents the social and structural determinants of health.

A predictive model that generalizes to different settings has greater clinical utility than a model that only works under specific conditions. The SVM model demonstrated robust performance across all scenarios (Supplementary Table 3). Given the high dimensionality of resting state fMRI data, Independence Factor Analysis[69] was applied to neuroimaging features, resulting in an optimal subset of 250 features for subsequent analyses. After removing highly dependent features, based on distance correlation, from the original 400 neuroimaging features, the final model included 250 neuroimaging features and 19 demographic, cognitive, and contextual features, for a total of 269 features.

Mean SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values illustrating feature importance across the three models are summarized in supplementary table 4. In the multimodal model, neighborhood greenspace percentage, social support (i.e., frequency of visits from friends and family), retirement status, and occupational physical activity showed a significantly positive association with MVPA change, indicating that higher greenspace exposure, more frequent friend and family visits, not being retired, and greater occupational physical activity predicted greater improvements in MVPA (p < 0.05, FDR-corrected). For cognitive features, improved higher executive function (the Tower Rearranging task) emerged as a significant predictor of future increase in MVPA (supplementary Table 4), while no other behavioral, cognitive, or contextual features showed significant prediction effects.

Figure 2 highlights the most significant baseline RSFC MRI features from the highest-performing multimodal prediction model. These features were primarily located within the left hemisphere and spanned multiple large-scale networks, with critical nodes in the default mode network (e.g., temporal lobe and medial prefrontal cortex), frontoparietal control network (e.g., lateral prefrontal cortex), and salience/ventral attention network (e.g., frontal operculum) (Figure 2b). Of the top RSFC nodes, 7 were within the default network, 7 were within the frontoparietal control network, 6 were within the salience ventral attention network. Enhanced RSFC within the default mode network was associated with increased physical activity at follow-up. Moreover, increased MVPA was associated with greater positive RSFC between frontoparietal control network and the default mode network.

Figure 2. Baseline RSFC features that predict future increase in MVPA after a new cardiovascular diagnosis in aging. (a) Neuroanatomical depiction of significant features from the multimodal model and their corresponding importance values: Node size (spheres) depicts the frequency of that brain region among predictive features, while edge thickness (line connecting two nodes) represents the weight or importance of a predictive RSFC feature. Purple signifies positive RSFC whereas grey signifies negative RSFC associated with enhanced physical activity at follow up compared to baseline. (b) The summary of frequency and distribution of predictive nodes grouped by location within canonical neural networks (i.e., Yeo 7 networks).

4. Discussion

In this study, we systematically evaluated whether neural, cognitive, behavioral or social and structural determinants of health (SSDoH) predict successful long-term physical activity behavior change among inactive older adults newly diagnosed with a cardiovascular illness. Our findings highlight the importance of SSDoH, particularly access to greenspace, social support, occupational physical activity and retirement as key predictors of positive changes in physical activity (i.e., MVPA) following cardiovascular disease diagnosis in aging. From a cognitive standpoint, improved performance on the tower rearranging task, a measure of executive function (i.e., goal-directed planning) was significantly associated with positive physical activity behavior change. We found that a multimodal model incorporating behavioral, contextual, and neuroimaging features provided the strongest predictive value. Functional connectivity analyses revealed that sustained increases in MVPA were linked to greater within-network connectivity in key regions of the default mode network and enhanced between-network connectivity between the default mode and frontoparietal networks. These predominantly left-lateralized connections localized primarily within heteromodal cortices, underscoring the role of large-scale brain networks in facilitating behavior change.

The critical windows theory suggests that successful behavior change may be facilitated by an external threat from a major life event or circumstance (e.g., receiving a diagnosis of a new chronic illness such as a cardiovascular disease, pregnancy, or menopause), which might catalyze the reassessment of goals and increase motivation for change presenting a 'teachable moment' in life[15]. For example, individuals with chronic conditions, including diabetes and other

cardiovascular diseases are often more likely to maintain or increase their leisure-time physical
activity levels[16]. Consistent with this, our study observed increased physical activity behavior
among older adults who reported a new cardiovascular diagnosis. Thus, life transitions may
serve as critical windows for intervention, offering opportunities to promote long-term physical
activity engagement.
Our findings build on the growing body of literature demonstrating the influence of
SSDoH on age-related health outcomes; for example, the influence of upstream factors on
downstream protective behaviors such as physical activity engagement. Consistent with prior
research, proximity to greenspace and social support were linked to increased physical activity
behavior change[25, 33]. Similarly, high social support from friends and family was significantly
associated with enhanced MVPA[23,28]. However, we found that quantitative aspects of social
support (e.g., frequency of visits from family and friends) were stronger predictors of behavior
change than qualitative aspects (e.g., ability to confide in others or perceived loneliness). There
is likely a complex, bidirectional relationship between social contact frequency and emotional
support in influencing physical activity[34].
Contrary to prior research suggesting that retirement can increase leisure-time physical
activity[35], we observed a decline in physical activity over a five-year follow-up period after
retirement. This reduction may be partially attributed to diminished social interactions post-
retirement. Furthermore, catalysts for retirement, such as health issues or caregiving
responsibilities can impact an individual's motivation, financial capacity, and physical ability to
remain active[36]. Indeed, retirement due to disability is associated with a decline in physical
activity levels[35]. This finding highlights the importance of life milestones (e.g., parenthood,
death of a loved one) as critical windows for behavior change and potential opportunities for
dementia prevention[70].
Even modest increases in MVPA can yield substantial health benefits for individuals with
cardiovascular risk factors[32]. However, comorbid conditions may necessitate personalized
activity targets due to variability in clinically meaningful responses. By identifying individual
differences in key factors influencing long-term behavior change, spanning behavioral,
cognitive, neural, social, and structural determinants, our findings contribute to the growing
evidence base that can be leveraged to develop scalable and effective personalized physical
activity interventions. Despite mixed prior findings suggesting that antihypertensives and
cholesterol lowering medications such as beta-blockers and statins can impair exercise capacity
due to muscle fatigue or reduced endurance[32], we did not identify a relationship between
medication use and behavior change, suggesting these medications may not limit long-term
MVPA engagement.
We identified neural markers that predicted successful physical activity behavior change
among older adults following a cardiovascular risk diagnosis. Future increases in physical
activity were associated with enhanced positive functional connectivity between the default
mode network and frontoparietal network, as well as greater within-network connectivity in the
default mode network. These findings align with prior research showing that network
connectivity of regions within the default mode network, especially the prefrontal cortex, support
compensatory mechanisms in aging[73,74]. Prior age-related neuroimaging research has shown that
default mode network is associated with complex decision-making processes critical for adaptive
behavior in aging[37-39]. Moreover, our finding of increased default mode to frontoparietal network
coupling with enhanced physical activity behavior change supports the default-executive
coupling hypothesis of aging[37-47]: This model suggests that goal-directed cognition in older

adults increasingly relies on accumulated knowledge (semanticization of cognition) to offset
declining cognitive control resources for successful behavior[37,80]. Default-executive coupling has
been associated with positive behavioral outcomes including creative problem solving[78] and
autobiographical memory[37,79]. Our findings point to a possible large-scale network connectivity
fingerprint as a marker of resilient aging and of individuals who may be the most receptive to
changing their lifestyle behavior.
Finally, our observation that combining multimodal brain and behavioral features leads to
an increase in model performance suggests that these features provide independent and relevant
information for predicting changes in physical activity. Previous studies[48,49] have also
demonstrated that multimodal prediction models outperform unimodal ones. This improvement
in prediction performance may arise because individual features capture distinct aspects of
complex behaviors related to physical activity behavior change—insights that unimodal features
alone may fail to capture.
Despite these contributions, several limitations of this work should be noted. First, self-
reported measures of MVPA were used rather than objectively-measured physical activity
measured using wearables. This choice was made due to the availability of accelerometry data at
only one of the timepoints, making it impossible to measure behavior change. Self-reports should
be interpreted with caution due to potential reverse causation effects, and significant variance
between objectively measures and self-reported estimates of physical activity[82]. Second,
objective measures such as accelerometers can differentiate between sedentary behavior, light
activity, and moderate/vigorous activity, and can also provide physiological metrics for
estimating cardiorespiratory fitness[50]. Finally, the correlational nature of functional connectivity
analyses prevents us from determining causality in the brain behavior relationship
identified[51,52,53].
Nonetheless, our study has several notable strengths. It represents the largest and most
comprehensive assessment of the brain, behavioral and contextual factors predicting successful
longer-term physical behavior change after cardiovascular diagnosis in aging. This study
highlights the importance of going beyond individual-level factors and considering structural
factors such as greenspace and social support to promote physical activity behavior change,
evidence that is critical to guide policy decision-making and urban planning. Future research
must adopt a life course perspective to identify factors in younger or midlife adults and build a
comprehensive understanding of physical activity behavior change across the lifespan.
562

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrated that individual differences in brain, cognition, behavior, and
contextual factors, including social and structural determinants of health, drive a complex human
behavior: Future engagement in physical activity among older adults that are newly diagnosed
with a cardiovascular illness. Leveraging mechanistic predictors of future physical activity and
adopting a precision medicine framework will potentially lead to targeted interventions that
result in sustained behavioral change and dementia prevention.
570

6. Data and Code Availability:

572   The individual-level UK Biobank data can be obtained from
573 https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/. The code required to run the analyses is available through Github
574 (https://github.com/nagatv11/cvd_MVPA.git).
575

7. Ethics Statement:

This study utilized data from the UK-Biobank study, which obtained ethics approval from the Northwest Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (MREC, approval number: 11/NW/0382), and obtained written informed consent from all participants prior to the study. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application No. 45551.

8. Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank Steven Grover and Michael Petrides for their helpful comments on this manuscript. This research used the NeuroHub infrastructure and was undertaken thanks in part to funding from the Canada First Research Excellence Fund, awarded through the Healthy Brains, Healthy Lives initiative at McGill University. This research was enabled in part by support provided by Calcul Québec and the Digital Research Alliance of Canada. This research was undertaken thanks in part to funding from a National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant (DGECR-2022-00299), an NSERC Early Career Researcher Supplement (RGPIN-2022-04496), a Fonds de Recherche Santé Québec (FRSQ) Salary Award, the Canada Brain Research Fund (CBRF), an innovative arrangement between the Government of Canada (through Health Canada) and Brain Canada Foundation, a Brain Canada Future Leaders Award, an Alzheimer Society Research Program (ASRP) New Investigator Grant, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Canada First Research Excellence Fund, awarded through the Healthy Brains, Healthy Lives initiative at McGill University, and the National Institutes of Health (P30 AG048785) to MRG, and the Consortium pour l'Identification précoce de la Maladie d'Alzheimer-Québec (CIMA-Q) awarded to NT. SSG was partially supported by NIH projects P41EB019936 and RF1MH121885.

References:

1. Saeed, A., Lopez, O., Cohen, A., & Reis, S. E. (2023). Cardiovascular Disease and Alzheimer's Disease: The Heart–Brain Axis. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, *12*(21), e030780. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.123.030780

2. Korczyn, A. D. (2002). Mixed Dementia—The Most Common Cause of Dementia. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *977*(1), 129–134. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb04807.x

3. World Health Organization. (2017). *Global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017–2025*. World Health Organization. https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/259615

4. Dao, E., Barha, C. K., Zou, J., Wei, N., & Liu-Ambrose, T. (2024). Prevention of Vascular Contributions to Cognitive Impairment and Dementia: The Role of Physical Activity and Exercise. *Stroke*, *55*(4), 812–821. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.123.044173

5. Gorelick, P. B., Scuteri, A., Black, S. E., DeCarli, C., Greenberg, S. M., Iadecola, C., Launer, L. J., Laurent, S., Lopez, O. L., Nyenhuis, D., Petersen, R. C., Schneider, J. A., Tzourio, C., Arnett, D. K., Bennett, D. A., Chui, H. C., Higashida, R. T., Lindquist, R., Nilsson, P. M., … Seshadri, S. (2011). Vascular Contributions to Cognitive Impairment and Dementia. *Stroke*, *42*(9), 2672–2713. https://doi.org/10.1161/STR.0b013e3182299496

6. Aarsland, D., Sardahaee, F. S., Anderssen, S., Ballard, C., & the Alzheimer's Society Systematic Review group. (2010). Is physical activity a potential preventive factor for vascular dementia? A systematic review. Aging & Mental Health, 14(4), 386–395. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607860903586136

7. Grodstein, F. (2007). Cardiovascular risk factors and cognitive function. *Alzheimer's &*

Dementia, *3*(2S), S16–S22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2007.01.001

8. Verdelho, A., Madureira, S., Ferro, J. M., Baezner, H., Blahak, C., Poggesi, A., Hennerici, M.,

Pantoni, L., Fazekas, F., Scheltens, P., Waldemar, G., Wallin, A., Erkinjuntti, T., &

Inzitari, D. (2012). Physical Activity Prevents Progression for Cognitive Impairment and

Vascular Dementia. *Stroke*, *43*(12), 3331–3335.

https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.661793

9. Landman, T. R., Thijssen, D. H., Tuladhar, A. M., & de Leeuw, F.-E. (2021). Relation

between physical activity and cerebral small vessel disease: A nine-year prospective

cohort study. *International Journal of Stroke: Official Journal of the International Stroke*

Society, *16*(8), 962–971. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493020984090

10. Guthold, R., Stevens, G. A., Riley, L. M., & Bull, F. C. (2018). Worldwide trends in

insufficient physical activity from 2001 to 2016: A pooled analysis of 358 population-

based surveys with 1·9 million participants. *The Lancet. Global Health*, *6*(10), e1077–

e1086. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30357-7

11. Xu, L., Li, T., He, W., Cao, D., Wu, C., & Qin, L. (2023). Prevalence of sufficient physical

activity among general adult population and sub-populations with chronic conditions or

disability in the USA. *The European Journal of Public Health*, *33*(5), 891–896.

https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad132

12. Peçanha, T., Goessler, K. F., Roschel, H., & Gualano, B. (2020). Social isolation during the

COVID-19 pandemic can increase physical inactivity and the global burden of

cardiovascular disease. *American Journal of Physiology - Heart and Circulatory*

Physiology, *318*(6), H1441–H1446. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00268.2020

13. Ng, T. K. Y., Kwok, C. K. C., Ngan, G. Y. K., Wong, H. K. H., Zoubi, F. A., Tomkins-Lane,

C. C., Yau, S. K., Samartzis, D., Pinto, S. M., Fu, S.-N., Li, H., & Wong, A. Y. L. (2022).

Differential Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Physical Activity Involvements and

Exercise Habits in People With and Without Chronic Diseases: A Systematic Review and

Meta-analysis. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *103*(7), 1448-1465.e6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2022.03.011

14. Brinks, J., Fowler, A., Franklin, B. A., & Dulai, J. (2016). Lifestyle Modification in

Secondary Prevention: Beyond Pharmacotherapy. *American Journal of Lifestyle*

Medicine, *11*(2), 137. https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827616651402

15. Lane-Cordova, A. D., Jerome, G. J., Paluch, A. E., Bustamante, E. E., LaMonte, M. J., Pate,

R. R., Weaver, R. G., Webber-Ritchey, K. J., Gibbs, B. B., & on behalf of the Committee

on Physical Activity of the American Heart Association Council on Lifestyle and

Cardiometabolic Health. (2022). Supporting Physical Activity in Patients and Populations

During Life Events and Transitions: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart

Association. *Circulation*, *145*(4), e117–e128.

https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001035

16. Dai, S., Wang, F., & Morrison, H. (2014). Predictors of Decreased Physical Activity Level

Over Time Among Adults: A Longitudinal Study. *American Journal of Preventive*

Medicine, *47*(2), 123–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2014.04.003

17. McAuley, E., Mullen, S. P., Szabo, A. N., White, S. M., Wójcicki, T. R., Mailey, E. L.,

Gothe, N. P., Olson, E. A., Voss, M., Erickson, K., Prakash, R., & Kramer, A. F. (2011).

Self-regulatory processes and exercise adherence in older adults: Executive function and

self-efficacy effects. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *41*(3), 284–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2011.04.014

18. de Bruin, M., Sheeran, P., Kok, G., Hiemstra, A., Prins, J. M., Hospers, H. J., & van Breukelen, G. J. P. (2012). Self-regulatory processes mediate the intention-behavior relation for adherence and exercise behaviors. *Health Psychology: Official Journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association*, *31*(6), 695–703. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027425

19. Cadmus-Bertram, L., Irwin, M., Alfano, C., Campbell, K., Foster-Schubert, K., Wang, C., & McTiernan, A. (2014). Predicting adherence of adults to a 12-month exercise intervention. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*, *11*(7), 1304–1312. https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2012-0258

20. Flegal, K., Kishiyama, S., Zajdel, D., Haas, M., & Oken, B. (2007). Adherence to yoga and exercise interventions in a 6-month clinical trial. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, *7*, 37. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-7-37

21. Burzynska, A. Z., Nagel, I. E., Preuschhof, C., Gluth, S., Bäckman, L., Li, S.-C., Lindenberger, U., & Heekeren, H. R. (2012). Cortical thickness is linked to executive functioning in adulthood and aging. *Human Brain Mapping*, *33*(7), 1607–1620. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.21311

22. Gómez, C. A., Kleinman, D. V., Pronk, N., Wrenn Gordon, G. L., Ochiai, E., Blakey, C., Johnson, A., & Brewer, K. H. (2021). Addressing Health Equity and Social Determinants of Health Through Healthy People 2030. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, *27*(Supplement 6), S249. https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000001297

23. Carroll-Scott, A., Gilstad-Hayden, K., Rosenthal, L., Peters, S. M., McCaslin, C., Joyce, R.,

& Ickovics, J. R. (2013). Disentangling neighborhood contextual associations with child

body mass index, diet, and physical activity: The role of built, socioeconomic, and social

environments. *Social Science & Medicine*, *95*, 106–114.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.04.003

24. Wen, M., Browning, C. R., & Cagney, K. A. (2007). Neighbourhood Deprivation, Social

Capital and Regular Exercise during Adulthood: A Multilevel Study in Chicago. *Urban*

Studies, *44*(13), 2651–2671. https://doi.org/10.1080/00420980701558418

25. Richardson, E. A., Pearce, J., Mitchell, R., & Kingham, S. (2013). Role of physical activity

in the relationship between urban green space and health. *Public Health*, *127*(4), 318–

324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2013.01.004

26. Ho, E. C., Hawkley, L., Dale, W., Waite, L., & Huisingh-Scheetz, M. (2018). Social capital

predicts accelerometry-measured physical activity among older adults in the U.S.: A

cross-sectional study in the National Social Life, Health, and Aging Project. *BMC Public*

Health, *18*(1), 804. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5664-6

27. Adkins-Jackson, P. B., George, K. M., Besser, L. M., Hyun, J., Lamar, M., Hill-Jarrett, T. G.,

Bubu, O. M., Flatt, J. D., Heyn, P. C., Cicero, E. C., Kraal, A. Z., Zanwar, P. P., Peterson,

R., Kim, B., Turner, R. W., Viswanathan, J., Kulick, E. R., Zuelsdorff, M., Stites, S. D.,

… Babulal, G. (2023). The structural and social determinants of Alzheimer's disease

related dementias. *Alzheimer's & Dementia : The Journal of the Alzheimer's*

Association, *19*(7), 3171–3185. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.13027

28. Cohen, G. L., & Sherman, D. K. (2014). The psychology of change: Self-affirmation and

social psychological intervention. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *65*, 333–371.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115137

29. Gabrieli, J. D. E., Ghosh, S. S., & Whitfield-Gabrieli, S. (2015). Prediction as a humanitarian

and pragmatic contribution from human cognitive neuroscience. *Neuron*, *85*(1), 11–26.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.047

30. Ai, M., Morris, T. P., Zhang, J., de la Colina, A. N., Tremblay-Mercier, J., Villeneuve, S.,

Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Kramer, A. F., & Geddes, M. R. (2023). Resting-state MRI

functional connectivity as a neural correlate of multidomain lifestyle adherence in older

adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1), 7487.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-32714-1

31. Gardner AW, Montgomery PS, Wang M, Shen B. Minimal clinically important differences in

daily physical activity outcomes following supervised and home-based exercise in

peripheral artery disease. Vasc Med. 2022 Apr;27(2):142-149. doi:

10.1177/1358863X211072913. Epub 2022 Feb 15. PMID: 35164605.

32. Sivashanmugarajah, A., Fulcher, J., Sullivan, D., Elam, M., Jenkins, A., & Keech, A. (2019).

Suggested clinical approach for the diagnosis and management of 'statin intolerance' with

an emphasis on muscle-related side-effects. Internal medicine journal, 49(9), 1081–1091.

https://doi.org/10.1111/imj.14429

33. Coombes, E., Jones, A. P., & Hillsdon, M. (2010). The relationship of physical activity and

overweight to objectively measured green space accessibility and use. *Social Science &*

Medicine, *70*(6), 816–822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.11.020

34. Vancampfort, D., Lara, E., Smith, L., Rosenbaum, S., Firth, J., Stubbs, B., Hallgren, M., &

Koyanagi, A. (2019). Physical activity and loneliness among adults aged 50 years or

older in six low- and middle-income countries. *International Journal of Geriatric*

Psychiatry, *34*(12), 1855–1864. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.5202

35. Feng, X., Croteau, K., Kolt, G. S., & Astell-Burt, T. (2016). Does retirement mean more

physical   activity?   A   longitudinal   study.   *BMC   Public   Health*,   *16*,   1.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3253-0

36. Barnett, I., van Sluijs, E. M. F., & Ogilvie, D. (2012). Physical Activity and Transitioning to

Retirement: A Systematic Review. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *43*(3),

329–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2012.05.026

37. Spreng, R. N., & Turner, G. R. (2019). The Shifting Architecture of Cognition and Brain

Function in Older Adulthood. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *14*(4), 523–542.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619827511

38. Lustig C, Snyder AZ, Bhakta M, O'Brien KC, McAvoy M, Raichle ME, Morris JC, Buckner

RL. Functional deactivations: change with age and dementia of the Alzheimer type. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100:14504–14509. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2235925100.

39. Andrews-Hanna JR, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Lustig C, Head D, Raichle ME, Buckner RL.

Disruption of large-scale brain systems in advanced aging. Neuron. 2007;56:924–935.

doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.038.

40. Wang L, Laviolette P, O'Keefe K, Putcha D, Bakkour A, Van Dijk KR, Pihlajamaki M,

Dickerson BC, Sperling RA. Intrinsic connectivity between the hippocampus and

posteromedial cortex predicts memory performance in cognitively intact older

individuals. Neuroimage. 2010;51:910–917. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.02.046.

41. Buckner RL. Memory and executive function in aging and AD: multiple factors that cause

decline and reserve factors that compensate. Neuron. 2004;44:195–208. doi:

10.1016/j.neuron.2004.09.006.

42. Greicius MD, Srivastava G, Reiss AL, Menon V. Default-mode network activity

distinguishes Alzheimer's disease from healthy aging: evidence from functional MRI.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101:4637–4642. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0308627101.

43. Hedden T, Van Dijk KR, Becker JA, Mehta A, Sperling RA, Johnson KA, Buckner RL.

Disruption of functional connectivity in clinically normal older adults harboring amyloid

burden. J Neurosci. 2009;29:12686–12694. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3189-09.2009.

44. Sheline YI, Raichle ME, Snyder AZ, Morris JC, Head D, Wang S, Mintun MA. Amyloid

plaques disrupt resting state default mode network connectivity in cognitively normal

elderly. Biol Psychiatry. 2010;67:584–587. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.08.024.

45. Filippini N, MacIntosh BJ, Hough MG, Goodwin GM, Frisoni GB, Smith SM, Matthews

PM, Beckmann CF, Mackay CE. Distinct patterns of brain activity in young carriers of

the APOE-□4 allele. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:7209–7214. doi:

10.1073/pnas.0811879106.

46. Machulda MM, Jones DT, Vemuri P, McDade E, Avula R, Przybelski S, Boeve BF,

Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Jack CR Jr. Effect of APOE □4 status on intrinsic network

connectivity in cognitively normal elderly subjects. Arch Neurol. 2011;68:1131–1136.

doi: 10.1001/archneurol.2011.108.

47. Westlye ET, Lundervold A, Rootwelt H, Lundervold AJ, Westlye LT. Increased

hippocampal default mode synchronization during rest in middle-aged and elderly APOE

□4 carriers: relationships with memory performance. J Neurosci. 2011;31:7775–7783.

doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1230-11.2011.

48. Mill, R. D., Winfield, E. C., Cole, M. W., & Ray, S. (2021). Structural MRI and functional

connectivity features predict current clinical status and persistence behavior in

prescription opioid users. *NeuroImage. Clinical*, *30*, 102663.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102663

49. Vogel, J. W., Vachon-Presseau, E., Pichet Binette, A., Tam, A., Orban, P., La Joie, R.,

Savard, M., Picard, C., Poirier, J., Bellec, P., Breitner, J. C. S., Villeneuve, S., &

Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative* and the PREVENT-AD Research Group.

(2018). Brain properties predict proximity to symptom onset in sporadic Alzheimer's

disease. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, *141*(6), 1871–1883.

https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy093

50. Kramer, A. F. (2021). How to Better Study the Associations Between Physical Activity,

Exercise, and Cognitive and Brain Health. *JAMA Network Open*, *4*(3), e215153.

https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.5153

51. Siddiqi, S. H., Schaper, F. L. W. V. J., Horn, A., Hsu, J., Padmanabhan, J. L., Brodtmann, A.,

Cash, R. F. H., Corbetta, M., Choi, K. S., Dougherty, D. D., Egorova, N., Fitzgerald, P.

B., George, M. S., Gozzi, S. A., Irmen, F., Kuhn, A. A., Johnson, K. A., Naidech, A. M.,

Pascual-Leone, A., … Fox, M. D. (2021). Brain stimulation and brain lesions converge

on common causal circuits in neuropsychiatric disease. *Nature Human Behavior*, *5*(12),

1707–1716. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01161-1

52. Silvanto, J., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2012). Why the assessment of causality in brain-behavior

relations requires brain stimulation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *24*(4), 775–777.

https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_00193

53. Vaidya, A. R., Pujara, M. S., Petrides, M., Murray, E. A., & Fellows, L. K. (2019). Lesion

Studies in Contemporary Neuroscience. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *23*(8), 653–671.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.05.009

54. Lyall, D. M., Cullen, B., Allerhand, M., Smith, D. J., Mackay, D., Evans, J., Anderson, J.,

Fawns-Ritchie, C., McIntosh, A. M., Deary, I. J., & Pell, J. P. (2016). Cognitive Test

Scores in UK Biobank: Data Reduction in 480,416 Participants and Longitudinal

Stability   in   20,346   Participants.   *PLOS   ONE*,   *11*(4),   e0154222.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154222

55.   Townsend   P.   Deprivation.   Journal   of   Social   Policy.   1987;16(2):125-146.

doi:10.1017/S0047279400020341

56. Makedonov, I., Black, S. E., & MacIntosh, B. J. (2013). BOLD fMRI in the white matter as a

marker of aging and small vessel disease. PloS one, 8(7), e67652.

57. Tsvetanov, K. A., Henson, R. N., & Rowe, J. B. (2021). Separating vascular and neuronal

effects of age on fMRI BOLD signals. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society

B, 376(1815), 20190631.

58. Woodward M, Peters SAE, Harris K. Social deprivation as a risk factor for COVID-19

mortality among women and men in the UK Biobank: nature of risk and context suggests

that social interventions are essential to mitigate the effects of future pandemics. J

Epidemiol Community Health. 2021 Nov;75(11):1050-1055. doi: 10.1136/jech-2020-

215810. Epub 2021 Apr 27. PMID: 33906905; PMCID: PMC8098299.

59. Friedenreich, C. M., Courneya, K. S., & Bryant, H. E. (1998). The lifetime total physical

activity questionnaire: Development and reliability. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*

Exercise, *30*(2), 266–274. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-199802000-00015

60. Mamouei, M., Zhu, Y., Nazarzadeh, M. *et al.* Investigating the association of environmental

exposures and all-cause mortality in the UK Biobank using sparse principal component

analysis. *Sci Rep* **12**, 9239 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13362-3

61. Wheeler, B. W., White, M., Stahl-Timmins, W., & Depledge, M. H. (2012). Does living by

the coast improve health and wellbeing? Health & Place, 18(5), 1198–1201.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2012.06.015

62. Esteban, O., Markiewicz, C. J., Blair, R. W., Moodie, C. A., Isik, A. I., Erramuzpe, A., Kent,

J. D., Goncalves, M., DuPre, E., Snyder, M., Oya, H., Ghosh, S. S., Wright, J., Durnez,

J., Poldrack, R. A., & Gorgolewski, K. J. (2019). fMRIPrep: A robust preprocessing

pipeline for functional MRI. *Nature Methods*, *16*(1), 111–116.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0235-4

63. Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M., & Smith, S. (2002). Improved optimization for the

robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images.

NeuroImage, *17*(2), 825–841. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1053-8119(02)91132-8

64. Behzadi, Y., Restom, K., Liau, J., & Liu, T. T. (2007). A component based noise correction

method (CompCor) for BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. *NeuroImage*, *37*(1), 90–101.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.04.042

65. Chai, X. J., Castañón, A. N., Ongür, D., & Whitfield-Gabrieli, S. (2012). Anticorrelations in

resting state networks without global signal regression. *NeuroImage*, *59*(2), 1420–1428.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.048

869 66. Murphy, K., Birn, R. M., Handwerker, D. A., Jones, T. B., & Bandettini, P. A. (2009). The

870  impact of global signal regression on resting state correlations: Are anti-correlated

871  networks introduced? *NeuroImage*, *44*(3), 893–905.

872  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.09.036

873 67. Power, J. D., Barnes, K. A., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2011).

874  Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from

875  subject motion. *Neuroimage*, *59*(3), 2142.

876  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.018

877 68. Van Dijk, K. R. A., Hedden, T., Venkataraman, A., Evans, K. C., Lazar, S. W., & Buckner,

878  R. L. (2010). Intrinsic functional connectivity as a tool for human connectomics: Theory,

879  properties, and optimization. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *103*(1), 297–321.

880  https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00783.2009

881 69. Low, D. M., Rao, V., Randolph, G., Song, P. C., & Ghosh, S. S. (2024). Identifying bias in

882  models that detect vocal fold paralysis from audio recordings using explainable machine

883  learning and clinician ratings. *medRxiv: The Preprint Server for Health Sciences*,

884  2020.11.23.20235945. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.23.20235945

885 70. Gropper, H., John, J. M., Sudeck, G., & Thiel, A. (2020). The impact of life events and

886  transitions on physical activity: A scoping review. PloS one, 15(6), e0234794.

887 71. Noriega de la Colina, A., Morris, T.P., Kramer, A.F. et al. Your move: A precision medicine

888  framework for physical activity in aging. npj Aging 10, 16 (2024).

889  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41514-024-00141-9

890 72. Shen, X. et al. Using connectome-based predictive modeling to predict individual behavior

891  from brain connectivity. Nat. Protoc. 12, 506–518 (2017).

892   73. Finn ES, Shen X, Scheinost D, Rosenberg MD, Huang J, Chun MM, ... & Constable RT

893   (2015). Functional connectome fingerprinting: identifying individuals using patterns of

894   brain connectivity. Nature neuroscience, 18(11), 1664-1671.

895   74. Horien C, Shen X, Scheinost D, Constable RT (2019). The individual functional connectome

896   is unique and stable over months to years. Neuroimage, 189, 676-687.

897   75. Morris, T.P., Kucyi, A., Anteraper, S.A. et al. Resting state functional connectivity provides

898   mechanistic predictions of future changes in sedentary behavior. Sci Rep 12, 940 (2022).

899   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-04738-y

900   76. Ai M., Morris T.P., Zhang. J., Noriega de la Colina. A., Tremblay-Mercier. J., Villeneuve S.

901   Whitfield-Gabrieli., Kramer A. F., Geddes M. R. (2023). Resting-state MRI functional

902   connectivity as a neural correlate of multidomain lifestyle adherence in older adults at

903   risk   for   Alzheimer's   disease.   Scientific   Reports.   13,   7487.

904   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-32714-1

905   77. Stern Y., Arenaza☐Urquijo E.M., Bartrés☐Faz D., Belleville S., Cantilon M., Chetelat G., ...

906   & Reserve, Resilience and Protective Factors PIA Empirical Definitions and Conceptual

907   Frameworks Workgroup. (2020). Whitepaper: Defining and investigating cognitive

908   reserve, brain reserve, and brain maintenance. Alzheimer's & Dementia, 16(9), 1305-

909   1311

910   78. Adnan A., Beaty R., Lam J., Spreng N., Turner. Intrinsic default—executive coupling of the

911   creative aging brain, *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, Volume 14, Issue 3,

912   March 2019, Pages 291–303, https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsz013

913   79. Spreng, R.N., Lockrow, A.W., DuPre, E., Setton, R., Spreng, K.A., Turner, G.R. (2018).

914   Semanticized autobiographical memory and the default–executive coupling hypothesis of

915   aging. Neuropsychologia, 110, 37–43.

916   80. Maillet D., and Schacter D.L.   Default network and aging: Beyond the task-negative
917   perspective. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 20.9 (2016): 646-648.

918   81. Lighthall, N. R., Huettel, S. A., & Cabeza, R. (2014). Functional compensation in the
919   ventromedial prefrontal cortex improves memory-dependent decisions in older adults.
920   Journal of Neuroscience, 34(47), 15648-15657.

921   82. Skender, S., Ose, J., Chang-Claude, J. et al. Accelerometry and physical activity
922   questionnaires - a systematic review. BMC Public Health 16, 515 (2016).
923   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3172-0

924   83. Collins, G. S., Moons, K. G. M., Dhiman, P., Riley, R. D., Beam, A. L., Van Calster, B.,
925   Ghassemi, M., Liu, X., Reitsma, J. B., van Smeden, M., Boulesteix, A. L et al. (2024).
926   TRIPOD+AI statement: updated guidance for reporting clinical prediction models that
927   use regression or machine learning methods. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 385, e078378.
928   https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-078378