Title page

Common pathogenic mechanisms for COVID-19 and differentiated thyroid cancer: a proteomic analysis

Hanqing Liu [1,*], Jiaxi Wang [1,*], Dan Yang [2,#], Chuang Chen [1,#]

Addresses

[1] Department of Breast and Thyroid Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430060, Hubei, People's Republic of China.

[2] Department of Cardiology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400010, People's Republic of China.

* The two authors contributed equally to the work

[#] Correspondence:

Prof. Chuang Chen,
Department of Thyroid and Breast Surgery,
Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University,
Wuhan University at Jiefang Road 238, Wuhan 430060, RP China.
Tel: +86 27 88041911; Fax: +86 27 88041911; Email: chenc2469@163.com

Dr. Dan Yang,
Department of Cardiology,
The Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University,
Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400010, RP China.
Tel: +86 023 68811360; Fax: +86 023 68811360; Email: yangdanwhu@foxmail.com

Abstract and keywords

Abstract

Background: Previous studies have proved that viral infection might have potential associations with differentiated thyroid cancer. COVID-19 has spread to hundreds of millions of individuals since Dec 2019. This study aimed to investigate the common pathogenic mechanisms of differentiated thyroid cancer and SARS-CoV-2 infection in thyroid tissues.

Methods: The proteomic profiles of COVID-19 and differentiated thyroid cancer were downloaded from iProx database and were analyzed for differentially expressed proteins. After the common proteins were identified using the Venn diagram, gene ontology and pathway enrichment analysis were performed. Subsequently, a protein-protein interaction network was constructed and hub genes were identified with eight algorithms. The diagnostic performance of hub genes was tested with the receiver operator characteristics curve. The associations between hub genes and diseases were evaluated with the Comparative Toxicogenomics Database.

Results: Forty-nine common differentially expressed proteins were identified. Functional analysis revealed that the metabolism and transport of lipid and cholesterol and coagulation process were the main common biological activities affected by the two diseases. In addition, twelve genes, including AGT, AHSG, APOA2, APOM, C3, GC, ITIH2, KNG1, SERPINA1, SERPINC1, TF and TTR, were identified as the hub genes. These genes could serve as diagnostic markers for COVID-19 and differentiated thyroid cancers.

Conclusion: The current study revealed common pathogenic mechanisms of COVID-19 and differentiated thyroid cancer. The concomitant infection of SARS-CoV-2 might exert adverse effects on patients with thyroid cancers.

Keywords: DTC, coronavirus disease 2019, SARS-CoV-2, bioinformatics

1 Introduction

Thyroid cancer is the most common endocrine malignancy all over the world (1). Its incidence has doubled since the mid-1990s (2, 3). Although thyroid cancer consists of several histopathological types, papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) and follicular thyroid cancer (FTC) account for more than 80% of total cases. PTC and FTC are also categorized as differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) in contrast with poorly differentiated and anaplastic thyroid cancers. Notably, DTC contributes to the majority of incidence elevation (4). While the incidence of other histopathological subtypes remains almost unaltered, the incidence of DTC increased by 300% since 1975 (5). The elevation may be explained by both increasing exposure to risk factors and the application of ultrasound devices with high resolution.

The risk factors for thyroid cancers are various, including radiation, iodine deficiency, genetic susceptibility, etc. More recently, the association between thyroid cancer and viral infection has been noticed. Epstein-Barr virus is a well-known human tumor virus associated with multiple malignancies, including nasopharyngeal carcinoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma and Burkitt's lymphoma. A retrospective clinical study using *in situ* hybridization showed that the detection of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) was significantly higher in thyroid cancer tissues compared with paired adjacent normal tissues (6). Similar results were verified with serologic assays in another study (7). In addition, the potential link between hepatitis C virus and thyroid cancer has been revealed. A higher prevalence of chronic hepatitis was found in patients with thyroid cancers (8). Similar phenomena were also found in patients infected with hepatitis B virus (9, 10), human erythrovirus B19 (11, 12), cytomegalovirus (13), and human T-cell lymphotropic virus (14). Of interest, these viruses are also related to autoimmune thyroiditis (15). The carcinogenetic mechanism of these viruses, if exists, is most likely to be chronic inflammation. Some virus proteins, such as latent membrane protein released by EBV, can exert effects on cell cycle-related intracellular proteins. Inferably, viral oncogene may also be a potential mechanism in the carcinogenesis of thyroid cancer.

Since the first case was reported in Wuhan, China in Dec 2019, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has spread to 228 countries and territories. More than six hundred million cases were confirmed and its mortality is approximately 1% (16). Undoubtedly, the real incidence is much higher than the reported number since nucleic acid tests and antigen assays are not performed in all suspected patients. The pathogen of COVID-19 is severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The new coronavirus can invade peripheral tissue cells via the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and cause multiple organ dysfunctions, including lung, kidney and heart (17). Direct viral infection, cytokine storm, down-regulation of ACE2 and endothelial cell damage are supposed to be the pathogenic mechanisms (18-20).

Of interest, current evidences suggest that potential linkages may exist between thyroid cancer and COVID-19. A multicenter cohort study in Los Angeles revealed a positive correlation between comorbid conditions and risk of hospitalization from SARS-CoV-2 infection in thyroid cancer patients (21). Another retrospective study revealed a small but significant elevation of DTC after the outbreak of the pandemic, although the results were hard to explain and confounding factors needed to be explored (22). Autoimmune thyroid diseases are known to increase the risk of thyroid cancer. Previous reports have shown that

the relapse or new diagnosis of autoimmune thyroid diseases could be triggered within one month after SARS-CoV-2 infection (23). Some researchers have assumed that thyroid inflammation could be induced by COVID-19-related immune response (24). Since the ACE2 expression levels are higher in thyroid tissue in comparison with lung (25), the coronavirus might damage normal thyroid tissues and subsequently induce carcinogenesis. Currently, little is known about the association between COVID-19 and DTC from a molecular aspect.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the potential common molecular mechanisms for DTC and COVID-19 using bioinformatic tools.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data acquisition

Two proteomic expression profiles were selected and downloaded from iProX database (Figure 1) (26). iProX database is a public platform for collecting and sharing proteomics datasets. The two proteomic datasets were both released by Guo's lab at Westlake University (27, 28). The tissue biopsy samples were processed using the pressure cycling technique protocol to separate small amounts of components. Subsequently, data-independent acquisition mass spectrometry was used to analyze the prepared samples at high throughput. The IPX0002393000 dataset displayed the proteomic profiles of various organs in patients with COVID-19 (Table 1). An amount of 15 thyroid samples from the autopsy of infected patients and 15 from non-infected patients were extracted. The IPX0001444000 dataset included 1161 samples of various thyroid diseases, including differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC), benign thyroid diseases and normal thyroid tissues (NT). Two hundred and twenty-three samples of differentiated thyroid cancer or normal thyroid tissues in the discovery subgroup were collected for subsequent analysis.

2.2 Identification of DEPs

Expression profiles were inputted into R project (v4.2.0). The clinical information was collected from the two datasets for sample classification. Samples of irrelevant diseases were excluded from the expression matrices. Missing data were imputed using the k-nearest neighbors algorithm in *DMwR2* package. Since technical replicates for each sample were set and batch effects were minimized at the batch design level, the robust multi-array normalization was not conducted so as not to eliminate the true difference (Sup figure 1). UniProtKB AC/IDs were converted to general gene symbols via the online tool UniProt (29). When multiple protein IDs corresponded to one gene symbol, the medians were calculated. The Bayesian method in the *limma* R package was used to identify the differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in two comparisons (COVID-19 *vs.* not infected, and DTC *vs.* NT). *P*-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Fold-changes (FCs) were calculated with the false-discovery rate procedure. DTC-DEGs with $|\log2\text{-FC}| > 1$ and $p < 0.01$ were selected. COVID-19-DEGs were filtered according to the criteria $|\log2\text{-FC}| > 0.5$ and $p < 0.01$. The Venn diagram of DEGs was constructed with SangerBox (v3.0) (30) to identify the overlapping DEPs. Heatmaps were constructed to visualize the common DEPs in the two expression profiles.

2.3 Gene ontology and pathway enrichment analysis

Gene ontology (GO) annotation and pathway analysis were performed with three online tools, namely, DAVID (v2022q3), AmiGO (v2.5.17) and Reactome (v83) (31-33). The GO enrichment analysis included three categories: biological process (BP), molecular function (MF) and cellular component (CC). The results of GO enrichment were verified by the AmiGO database. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome (KEGG) was used to analyze the biological pathways in which the shared DEPs were mainly involved. The Reactome database was used to verify the results of KEGG. P-value <0.05 was deemed as the threshold for the GO enrichment analysis and the pathway analysis. The results were visualized using bubble charts.

2.4 Construction of PPI network and identification of hub genes

The protein-protein interactions (PPIs) of shared DEPs were analyzed with an online tool, STRING (v11.5) (34). PPIs with interaction scores >0.4 was considered significant. The results from STRING database were then imported into Cytoscape (v3.9.1) for visualization of the PPIs. A plug-in software, CytoHubba (35), was used to identify the 20 hub genes with eight algorithms (degree, edge percolated component, maximum neighborhood component, maximal clique centrality, closeness, radiality, betweenness, and stress). The intersected hub genes were identified using the upset plot. GeneMania were utilized to construct the co-expression network of intersected hub genes and their related genes (36).

Receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curve and the area under the curve (AUC) were utilized to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of hub genes on COVID-19 and the DTC.

2.5 Associations between hub genes and diseases

The associations between the twelve hub genes and related diseases were analyzed using the Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD) (37). CTD is an innovative ecosystem that relates the toxicological information of genes to diseases. Diseases categorized as cancer, respiratory tract disease and viral disease were searched. Bar charts were produced to display the associations between hub genes and COVID-19 and DTC.

3 Results

3.1 Identification of DEPs in COVID-19 and DTC

A total of 18373 UniProt IDs were converted to 11615 and 6681 gene symbols for the two datasets (IPX0001444000 and IPX0002393000), respectively. By comparing the thyroid samples from patients infected with COVID-19 and those not infected, 137 proteins were confirmed as the COVID-19-DEPs. In addition, 1613 proteins were found to be DTC-DEPs by comparing DTC samples with NTs (Figure 2a&b). The Venn diagram depicted the shared DEPs of two comparisons. Forty-nine common DEPs were identified (Figure 2c & Supplementary data). The expressions of common DEPs in two matrices were displayed with heatmaps (Sup figure 2a&b).

3.2 GO annotation and functional analysis of shared DEPs

GO annotations were performed with the DAVID database. A total of 64 GO terms with *p*-value <0.05 were revealed to be associated with the common DEPs (Figure 3a-c). The most enriched BPs were triglyceride-rich lipoprotein particle remodeling, negative regulation of cholesterol transport and high-density lipoprotein particle clearance. The most enriched CCs were focused on lipoproteins, including spherical high-density, very-low-density and high-density lipoprotein particles. In addition, enzyme inhibitor activity, including lipase, endopeptidase and cysteine-type endopeptidase, ranked top among the enriched MFs. The fold enrichments (FEs) were >30 for the MFs, BPs and CCs mentioned above. The above results were verified with AmiGO database (Supplementary data).

The results of Reactome pathway enrichment analysis were depicted in Figure 3d. The pathways that were most related to common DEPs were chylomicron assembly (FE=44.43), chylomicron remodeling (FE=36.59) and intrinsic pathway of fibrin clot formation (FE=35.89). The pathway analysis from KEGG provided additional information (Supplementary data).

3.3 PPI network construction and identification of hub genes

The PPI network included 38 nodes and 149 edges (Figure 4). Eleven common DEPs were excluded due to no connectivity to other genes. Redder color indicated higher connectivity to other genes. Of note, serpin family A member 1 (SERPINA1) was associated with 20 genes in the network.

The top twenty hub gene lists generated by the eight algorithms in CytoHubba were collected (Figure 5a). The intersection of the upset chart identified twelve final hub genes, including AGT, AHSG, APOA2, APOM, C3, GC, ITIH2, KNG1, SERPINA1, SERPINC1, TF and TTR. A complex gene interaction network was constructed with the GeneMania database (Figure 5b). Co-expression contributed to the most interactions in the network (54.09%), followed by co-localizations and physical interactions (21.27% and 19.85%, respectively). The remodeling of protein-lipid complex, plasma lipoprotein particle and protein-containing complex were biological activities most likely associated with hub genes.

GO annotations and pathway enrichment analysis were conducted based on the twelve hub genes (Sup figure 3a&b). The top five GO terms that related to the hub genes were high-density lipoprotein particle clearance, spherical high-density lipoprotein particle, positive regulation of CoA-transferase activity, positive regulation of cholesterol esterification and high-density lipoprotein particle assembly. After excluding pathways which only one hub gene was involved, Reactome database revealed that intrinsic pathway of fibrin clot formation, post-translational protein phosphorylation and regulation of insulin-like growth factor transport and uptake by insulin-like growth factor binding proteins were the most enriched pathways related to hub genes.

3.4 Diagnostic accuracy of hub genes

ROC curves were used to test the diagnostic performance of twelve hub genes (Figure 6a&b). In the COVID-19 dataset, apolipoprotein A2 (APOA2) and serpin family C member 1

(SERPINC1) exhibited the highest diagnostic efficiency (AUC=1.00 for two genes), followed by complement C3 (C3) and inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain 2 (ITIH2) (AUC=0.96 for two genes). In contrast, transferrin (TF) exhibited the best performance (AUC=0.80) in the DTC dataset, followed by transthyretin (TTR, AUC=0.78), alpha 2-Heremans Schmidt glycoprotein (AHSG, AUC=0.78) and vitamin D binding protein (GC, AUC=0.77).

3.5 Associations between hub genes and diseases

Ten among the twelve hub genes were shown to have potential interactions with COVID-19 (Figure 7). Notably, direct evidence has proved that angiotensinogen (AGT) participated in the infection of SARS-CoV-2. Besides, C3 and kininogen 1 (KNG1) also had potential interactions with COVID-19. The associations of hub genes and differentiated thyroid cancers were divided into three categories (PTC, FTC and thyroid neoplasms). Transthyretin (TTR), C3, and apolipoprotein M (APOM) exhibited associations with thyroid cancers in all three categories. In addition, ITIH2 had the strongest connection (interference score=8.00) with FTC while TF connected with thyroid neoplasms (interference score=38.25).

4 Discussion

In this study, twelve hub genes were identified from 49 common DEPs, including AGT, AHSG, APOA2, APOM, C3, GC, ITIH2, KNG1, SERPINA1, SERPINC1, TF and TTR. GO annotations and pathway enrichment analysis revealed several biological activities that might involve in the interaction of COVID-19 and DTC. Briefly, the *in vivo* transport and metabolism of lipid and cholesterol are supposed to be the most likely common pathogenic mechanism in COVID-19 and DTC. In addition, vasodilation and coagulation process may also play roles, whereas chronic inflammation and immune response showed little effects.

The pathogenic effects of COVID-19 on thyroid gland have been gradually noticed since the outbreak of the pandemic in Wuhan. In March 2020, a retrospective clinical study in Wuhan evaluated the clinical and laboratory data of 274 patient infected with the new coronavirus disease (38). In comparison with those who finally recovered from COVID-19, deceased cases presented with lower levels of serum thyroxine and triiodothyronine. Confusingly, the thyroid stimulation hormones were also decreased in these patients. Subsequent studies verified that ACE2 is the main host cell receptor for SARS-CoV-2 (39). Taken that ACE2 is widely expressed in multiple organs, including thyroid and pituitary (25), we may hypothesized that the hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis is impaired during the infection. In comparison with healthy controls, infected patients were more likely to develop hyperthyroidism, as revealed by a retrospective study (40). Another study recruited COVID-19 patients in the intensive care units (41). The incidence of subacute and atypical thyroiditis was much higher in those with high intensity of care (10% *vs.* 0.5%). Besides, Grave's disease could also be triggered by SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with or without a previous Grave's disease history (42, 43). Direct viral infection and hyperinflammatory state by COVID-19 are both believed to induce thyroid autoimmune disorders.

Although numerous evidences have proven the damage of coronavirus on thyroid tissue, the linkage between thyroid cancer and COVID-19 is unclear. Our previous study in Wuhan showed that thyroid cancer behaviors were generally more aggressive compared to those

before the pandemic (22). More cases with multiple lesions, extrathyroidal extension and lymph node metastasis were discovered. A multicenter cohort study in Los Angeles suggested that comorbidities and old age are hazard factors for patients diagnosed with both thyroid cancer and COVID-19 (21). Another nationwide study evaluated the COVID-19 outcomes and mortality in thyroid cancer patients with COVID-19 infection (44). No elevation in mortality and morbidity was revealed. The conclusions were verified by a multicenter cohort study conducted in South Korea (45). Nevertheless, considering the fact that a small proportion of patients receive tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy or have distant metastasis, it is reasonable to anticipate that these patients are at high risk for complications of COVID-19.

Among the twelve hub genes identified, AGT is the gene most related to COVID-19. AGT gene encodes for the angiotensinogen precursor, which is quickly converted to angiotensin I with the regulation of blood pressure and renin. Two retrospective studies drew the same conclusion that AGT rs699 C>T single-nucleotide polymorphism could increase the risk and severity of COVID-19 (46, 47). Another study collected serum samples from 45 infected patients and 34 controls (48). Proteomic analysis revealed that AGT was elevated in almost all infected patients and its serum concentration was strongly indicative of disease severity. Taken that ACE2 is the key factor in the internalization of SARS-CoV-2, the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone (RAS) system is supposed to over-activate in response to COVID-19. The RAS system was less studied in thyroid carcinomas. A clinical study revealed a significantly increased expression of ACE2 in cancer tissues in comparison with benign nodules (49). The result suggested that the RAS system might involve in tumor progression, as supported in previous basic research (50). However, direct and solid evidences are lacking in the relationship between AGT and thyroid cancers.

KNG1 is an essential factor in the kallikrein-kinin system and plays an important role in blood coagulation. The over expression of KNG1 could inhibit proliferation and induce apoptosis of glioma cells (51). Its anticancer mechanisms include the induction of G1 phase cell cycle, the activation of caspase 3 and 9 and the inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor expression. Of interest, the expression of KNG1 was increased in colorectal cancers (52, 53). The phenomenon could be explained by the compensative effect of cancer tissues. In patients with COVID-19, KNG1 was shown to be upregulated in circulation together with other regulators of coagulation (plasminogen, fibronectin 1, etc.) (54), suggesting that coagulation dysfunction might occur.

Lipid and cholesterol metabolism and transport are biological processes most likely involved in the common pathogenic mechanism of COVID-19 and DTC. Schmelter *et al.* collected 482 serum samples from infected patients and healthy volunteers and tested the serum lipid profile. They discovered that very-low-density lipoprotein, intermediate-density lipoprotein particles and associated apolipoprotein B were significantly elevated in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, whereas cholesterol and APOA2 were decreased (55). In another study using the proteomic approach with 41 patients, APOM in high-density lipoprotein was inversely associated with the odds of death due to COVID-19 complications (56). Since numerous studies have reported dyslipidemia due to COVID-19, recent researches uncovered the underlying mechanisms. When SARS-CoV-2 enters the host cell via the receptor ACE2,

cholesterol is essential for the formation of syncytia (57). This might help to explain the success of lipid apheresis as the treatment for patients with long-COVID syndromes (58). Previous studies have proved that dyslipidemia, especially hyperlipidemia and hypercholesterolemia, could increase the risk of various cancers (59, 60). Excess lipid and cholesterol would increase intracellular oxidative stress and consequently promote carcinogenesis and aggressiveness (61). Evidence has shown that lipid metabolism was impaired in those individuals with long-COVID-19 (62), we could postulate that dyslipidemia due to post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 might elevate the risk of thyroid cancer in a long term.

The associations between other hub genes and COVID-19 and DTC were also studied. C3 encodes for complement component 3. The complement system can be activated by several different mechanisms in acute inflammation and malignancies. The clinical studies of C3 in COVID-19 are controversial. Some reports favored that decreased C3 levels were associated with the disease severity (63, 64), while another research found no statistical significance (65). A clinical study evaluated the proteomic profile in fine needle aspiration biopsy (66). C3 expression was discovered to decrease in PTC tissues, which could activate the downstream complement system and exert a compensative anticancer effect. Similar to this article, GC expression was also altered in our study. A previous research observed a decreased GC in PTC tissue was related to enhanced tumor proliferation and migration (67). The link between COVID-19 and GC is unclear currently. A commentary postulated that GC might play a role in vitamin D deficiency in infected patients (68). Besides, TF, an iron-related serum protein associated with chronic inflammation, was proved to be indicative of the severity and coagulative state in COVID-19 patients (69-71). However, the association between TF and DTC, if exists, was unknown. Of the rest five hub genes, the levels of AHSG, ITIH2 and SERPINA1 were found to be the predictors of severity in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection (72-74). Besides, the expressions of AHSG, SERPINA1 and TTR were altered in PTCs (75-77). Of note, the above researches utilized omics methods to evaluate the expression profiles but the underlying mechanisms between molecules and diseases were not explored. The roles of SERPINC1 in COVID-19 and thyroid cancer have not been studied.

One letter published in Sept 2022 conducted a bioinformatic analysis similar to our study (78). In this study, a database from iProx was analyzed for the identification of DEPs. The transcription profiles of thyroid cancers and normal controls were downloaded from the data from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Using a different algorithm and criteria ($p<0.05$, $\log2|FC|>1$), this research identified ten more DEPs for COVID-19-infected thyroid tissues (137 in our study and 147 in this study). Besides, 31 differentially expressed genes were determined with 568 TCGA samples. However, only one common gene/protein (coagulation factor XII) was identified with the Venn diagram. This pilot research has some defects in the study design. First, samples in TCGA databases were collected from different sources and batches. The batch effects between arrays were not normalized before analysis. Second, differential expression analysis was conducted on both mRNA and protein levels. Altered mRNA expressions do not certainly indicate the changes of translation, and vice versa. Finally, the GO annotations and pathway enrichment analysis were not conducted, which limited the generalization of conclusions.

There are some limitations in our study. First, due to the lack of patients with concomitant thyroid cancer and COVID-19, the results of our study were not validated in human samples. Second, we identified some novel molecules associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection or DTC, the underlying mechanisms were not evaluated with *in vitro* or *in vivo* experiments. Besides, only 30 samples were extracted and analyzed in the matrix of COVID-19. The sample size was relatively small.

In conclusion, our study identified twelve hub genes from 49 common DEPs. GO annotations and pathway enrichment analysis focused on lipid and cholesterol metabolism and transport, followed by vasodilation and coagulation process. The common pathogenic mechanisms suggest the infection of SARS-CoV-2 might exert adverse effects on patients with DTCs. Further clinical and basic researches are needed to verify our conclusions.

Abbreviations

ACE2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; AGT, angiotensinogen; AHSG, alpha 2-Heremans Schmidt glycoprotein; APOA2, apolipoprotein A2; APOM, apolipoprotein M; AUC, the area under the curve; BP, biological process; C3, complement C3; CC, cellular component; COIVD-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CTD, Comparative Toxicogenomics Database; DEP, differentially expressed protein; DTC, differentiated thyroid cancer; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; FC, fold change; FE, fold enrichment; FTC, follicular thyroid cancer; GC, vitamin D binding protein; GO, Gene Ontology; ITIH2, inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain 2; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome; KNG1, kininogen 1; MF, molecular function; NT, normal thyroid tissues; PPI, protein-protein interaction; PTC, papillary thyroid cancer; RAS, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone; ROC, receiver operator characteristics; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SERPINA1, serpin family A member 1; SERPINC1, serpin family C member 1; TCGA, the Cancer Genome Atlas; TF, transferrin; TriC/CCT, T-complex protein 1 ring complex/chaperonin-containing T-complex protein 1; TTR, transthyretin.

Disclosures

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors contribution

Conceptualization: HQ. Liu & C. Chen
Methodology: HQ. Liu, JX. Wang & C. Chen
Formal analysis: HQ. Liu, JX. Wang & D. Yang
Investigation: HQ. Liu, JX. Wang & D. Yang
Writing – original draft preparation: HQ. Liu & JX. Wang
Writing – review and editing: D. Yang & C. Chen
Supervision: C. Chen
Funding acquisition: C. Chen

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank researchers who provide the two proteomic profiles. *This article is dedicated to the memory of those who lost their lives during the pandemic.*

Funding

This research was supported by the grants from Beijing Xisike Clinical Oncology Research Foundation (Y-SY201901-0189), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2042019kf0229), the Science and Technology Major Project of Hubei Province (Next-Generation AI Technologies) (2019AEA170).

Data availability

The two proteomic profiles can be downloaded from iProx database. No new data was generated in this study.

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2022. Ca-a Cancer Journal for Clinicians. 2022;72(1):7-33.
2. Guay B, Johnson-Obaseki S, McDonald JT, Connell C, Corsten M. Incidence of Differentiated Thyroid Cancer by Socioeconomic Status and Urban Residence: Canada 1991-2006. Thyroid. 2014;24(3):552-5.
3. Yan KL, Li S, Tseng C-H, Kim J, Nguyen DT, Dawood NB, et al. Rising Incidence and Incidence-Based Mortality of Thyroid Cancer in California, 2000-2017. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2020;105(6):1770-7.
4. Banerjee M, Wiebel JL, Guo C, Gay B, Haymart MR. Use of imaging tests after primary treatment of thyroid cancer in the United States: population based retrospective cohort study evaluating death and recurrence. British Medical Journal. 2016;354:i3839.
5. Davies L, Hoang JK. Thyroid cancer in the USA: current trends and outstanding questions. Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2021;9(1):11-2.
6. Li Q, Li X, Sun J, Di W, Zhang L. The relationship between the thyroid carcinoma and epstein-barr virus infection. Chinese Journal of Experimental Surgery. 2014;31(3):627-9.
7. Moghoofei M, Mostafaei S, Nesaei A, Etemadi A, Nahand JS, Mirzaei H, et al. Epstein-Barr virus and thyroid cancer: The role of viral expressed proteins. Journal of Cellular Physiology. 2019;234(4):3790-9.
8. Antonelli A, Ferri C, Fallahi P, Pampana A, Ferrari SM, Barani L, et al. Thyroid cancer in HCV-related chronic hepatitis patients: A case-control study. Thyroid. 2007;17(5):447-51.
9. An J, Kim JW, Shim JH, Han S, Yu CS, Choe J, et al. Chronic hepatitis B infection and non-hepatocellular cancers: A hospital registry-based, case-control study. Plos One. 2018;13(3):e0193232.
10. Hong CY, Sinn DH, Kang D, Paik SW, Guallar E, Cho J, et al. Incidence of extrahepatic cancers among individuals with chronic hepatitis B or C virus infection: A nationwide cohort study. Journal of Viral Hepatitis. 2020;27(9):896-903.
11. Wang JH, Zhang WP, Liu HX, Wang D, Li YF, Wang WQ, et al. Detection of human parvovirus B19 in papillary thyroid carcinoma. British Journal of Cancer. 2008;98(3):611-8.
12. Adamson LA, Fowler LJ, Clare-Salzler MJ, Hobbs JA. Parvovirus B19 Infection in Hashimoto's Thyroiditis, Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma, and Anaplastic Thyroid Carcinoma. Thyroid. 2011;21(4):411-7.
13. Tsai JH, Tsai CH, Cheng MH, Lin SJ, Xu FL, Yang CC. Association of viral factors with non-familial breast cancer in Taiwan by comparison with non-cancerous, fibroadenoma, and thyroid tumor tissues. Journal of Medical Virology. 2005;75(2):276-81.
14. Arisawa K, Soda M, Akahoshi M, Fujiwara S, Uemura H, Hiyoshi M, et al. Human T-cell lymphotropic virus type-1 infection and risk of cancer: 15.4 year longitudinal study among atomic bomb survivors in Nagasaki, Japan. Cancer Science. 2006;97(6):535-9.
15. Hobbs JA, Adamson-Small LA. Parvovirus and Thyroid Cancer. Seminars in Oncology. 2015;42(2):304-8.
16. Worldometer. Countries where COVID-19 has spread 2022 [Available from: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/countries-where-coronavirus-has-spread/?rel=outbound.
17. Chen K, Jiang S, Hu A. Research progress on the mechanism of multiple organ dysfunction in patients with COVID-19. Chinese Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021;14(2):102-6.

18. Mitsuyama K, Tsuruta K, Takedatsu H, Yoshioka S, Morita M, Niwa M, et al. Clinical Features and Pathogenic Mechanisms of Gastrointestinal Injury in COVID-19. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2020;9(11):3630.
19. Chegini R, Mojtahedi Z, Lakkakula BVKS, Pezeshgi A, Niazi S, Nasri H. COVID-19 and the kidney; mechanisms of tubular injury by SARS-CoV-2. Journal of Renal Injury Prevention. 2021;10(1):e08.
20. Yi J, Miao J, Zuo Q, Owusu F, Dong Q, Lin P, et al. COVID-19 pandemic: A multidisciplinary perspective on the pathogenesis of a novel coronavirus from infection, immunity and pathological responses. Frontiers in Immunology. 2022;13:978619.
21. Kathuria-Prakash N, Mosaferi T, Xie M, Antrim L, Angell TE, In GK, et al. COVID-19 Outcomes of Patients With Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A Multicenter Los Angeles Cohort Study. Endocrine Practice. 2021;27(2):90-4.
22. Liu HQ, Zhan L, Guo LT, Yu XZ, Li LR, Feng HF, et al. More Aggressive Cancer Behaviour in Thyroid Cancer Patients in the Post-COVID-19 Pandemic Era: A Retrospective Study. International Journal of General Medicine. 2021;14:7197-206.
23. Duntas LH, Jonklaas J. COVID-19 and Thyroid Diseases: A Bidirectional Impact. Journal of the Endocrine Society. 2021;5(8):bvab076.
24. Scappaticcio L, Pitoia F, Esposito K, Piccardo A, Trimboli P. Impact of COVID-19 on the thyroid gland: an update. Reviews in endocrine & metabolic disorders. 2021;22(4):803-15.
25. Li M-Y, Li L, Zhang Y, Wang X-S. Expression of the SARS-CoV-2 cell receptor gene ACE2 in a wide variety of human tissues. Infectious Diseases of Poverty. 2020;9(1):45.
26. Ma J, Chen T, Wu S, Yang C, Bai M, Shu K, et al. iProX: an integrated proteome resource. Nucleic Acids Research. 2019;47(D1):D1211-D7.
27. Nie X, Qian L, Sun R, Huang B, Dong X, Xiao Q, et al. Multi-organ proteomic landscape of COVID-19 autopsies. Cell. 2021;184(3):775-91.e14.
28. Sun Y, Selvarajan S, Zang Z, Liu W, Zhu Y, Zhang H, et al. Artificial intelligence defines protein-based classification of thyroid nodules. Cell Discovery. 2022;8(1):85.
29. Bateman A, Martin M-J, Orchard S, Magrane M, Agivetova R, Ahmad S, et al. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. Nucleic Acids Research. 2021;49(D1):D480-D9.
30. Shen W, Song Z, Zhong X, Huang M, Shen D, Gao P, et al. Sangerbox: A comprehensive, interaction-friendly clinical bioinformatics analysis platform. iMeta. 2022;1(3):e36.
31. Carbon S, Ireland A, Mungall CJ, Shu S, Marshall B, Lewis S. AmiGO: online access to ontology and annotation data. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(2):288-9.
32. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nature Protocols. 2009;4(1):44-57.
33. Gillespie M, Jassal B, Stephan R, Milacic M, Rothfels K, Senff-Ribeiro A, et al. The reactome pathway knowledgebase 2022. Nucleic Acids Research. 2022;50(D1):D687-D92.
34. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Nastou KC, Lyon D, Kirsch R, Pyysalo S, et al. The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein-protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. Nucleic Acids Research. 2021;49(D1):D605-D12.
35. Chin C-H, Chen S-H, Wu H-H, Ho C-W, Ko M-T, Lin C-Y. cytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. Bmc Systems Biology. 2014;8:S11.
36. Franz M, Rodriguez H, Lopes C, Zuberi K, Montojo J, Bader GD, et al. GeneMANIA update 2018. Nucleic Acids Research. 2018;46(W1):W60-W4.

37. Davis AP, Grondin CJ, Johnson RJ, Sciaky D, Wiegers J, Wiegers TC, et al. Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD): update 2021. Nucleic Acids Research. 2021;49(D1):D1138-D43.
38. Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, Yan W, Yang D, Chen G, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. British Medical Journal. 2020;368:m1091.
39. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet. 2020;395(10224):565-74.
40. Lania A, Sandri MT, Cellini M, Mirani M, Lavezzi E, Mazziotti G, et al. Thyrotoxicosis in patients with COVID-19: the THYRCOV study. European Journal of Endocrinology. 2020;183(4):381-7.
41. Muller I, Cannavaro D, Dazzi D, Covelli D, Mantovani G, Muscatello A, et al. SARS-CoV-2-related atypical thyroiditis. Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2020;8(9):739-41.
42. Jimenez-Blanco S, Pla-Peris B, Marazuela M. COVID-19: a cause of recurrent Graves' hyperthyroidism? Journal of Endocrinological Investigation. 2021;44(2):387-8.
43. Mateu-Salat M, Urgell E, Chico A. SARS-COV-2 as a trigger for autoimmune disease: report of two cases of Graves' disease after COVID-19. Journal of Endocrinological Investigation. 2020;43(10):1527-8.
44. Sahin M, Haymana C, Demirci I, Tasci I, Rifat E, Unluturk U, et al. The clinical outcomes of COVID-19 infection in patients with a history of thyroid cancer: A nationwide study. Clinical Endocrinology. 2021;95(4):628-37.
45. Chai C, Feng X, Lu M, Li S, Chen K, Wang H, et al. One-year mortality and consequences of COVID-19 in cancer patients: A cohort study. Iubmb Life. 2021;73(10):1244-56.
46. Cafiero C, Rosapepe F, Palmirotta R, Re A, Ottaiano MP, Benincasa G, et al. Angiotensin System Polymorphisms' in SARS-CoV-2 Positive Patients: Assessment Between Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Patients: A Pilot Study. Pharmacogenomics & Personalized Medicine. 2021;14:621-9.
47. Kouhpayeh HR, Tabasi F, Dehvari M, Naderi M, Bahari G, Khalili T, et al. Association between angiotensinogen (AGT), angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and angiotensin-II receptor 1 (AGTR1) polymorphisms and COVID-19 infection in the southeast of Iran: a preliminary case-control study. Translational medicine communications. 2021;6(1):26.
48. Tepasse P-R, Vollenberg R, Steinebrey N, Koenig S. The Dysregulation of the Renin-Angiotensin System in COVID-19 Studied by Serum Proteomics: Angiotensinogen Increases with Disease Severity. Molecules. 2022;27(8):2495.
49. Narayan SS, Lorenz K, Ukkat J, Hoang-Vu C, Trojanowicz B. Angiotensin converting enzymes ACE and ACE2 in thyroid cancer progression. Neoplasma. 2020;67(2):402-9.
50. Egami K, Murohara T, Shimada T, Sasaki K, Shintani S, Sugaya T, et al. Role of host angiotensin II type 1 receptor in tumor angiogenesis and growth. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2003;112(1):67-75.
51. Xu J, Fang J, Cheng Z, Fan L, Hu W, Zhou F, et al. Overexpression of the Kininogen-1 inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis of glioma cells. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research. 2018;37:180.
52. Quesada-Calvo F, Massot C, Bertrand V, Longuespee R, Bletard N, Somja J, et al. OLFM4, KNG1 and Sec24C identified by proteomics and immunohistochemistry as potential markers of early colorectal cancer stages. Clinical Proteomics. 2017;14:9.
53. Lin L, Zeng X, Liang S, Wang Y, Dai X, Sun Y, et al. Construction of a co-expression network

and prediction of metastasis markers in colorectal cancer patients with liver metastasis. Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology. 2022;13(5):2426-38.
54. Geyer PE, Arend FM, Doll S, Louiset M-L, Winter SV, Mueller-Reif JB, et al. High-resolution serum proteome trajectories in COVID-19 reveal patient-specific seroconversion. Embo Molecular Medicine. 2021;13(8):e14167.
55. Schmelter F, Foeh B, Mallagaray A, Rahmoeller J, Ehlers M, Lehrian S, et al. Metabolic and Lipidomic Markers Differentiate COVID-19 From Non-Hospitalized and Other Intensive Care Patients. Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences. 2021;8:737039.
56. Souza Junior DR, Martins Silva AR, Rosa-Fernandes L, Reis LR, Alexandria G, Bhosale SD, et al. HDL proteome remodeling associates with COVID-19 severity. Journal of Clinical Lipidology. 2021;15(6):796-804.
57. Sanders DW, Jumper CC, Ackerman PJ, Bracha D, Donlic A, Kim H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 requires cholesterol for viral entry and pathological syncytia formation. Elife. 2021;10:e65962.
58. Bornstein SR, Voit-Bak K, Donate T, Rodionov RN, Gainetdinov RR, Tselmin S, et al. Chronic post-COVID-19 syndrome and chronic fatigue syndrome: Is there a role for extracorporeal apheresis? Molecular Psychiatry. 2022;27(1):34-7.
59. Moon H, Ruelcke JE, Choi E, Sharpe LJ, Nassar ZD, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, et al. Diet-induced hypercholesterolemia promotes androgen-independent prostate cancer metastasis via IQGAP1 and caveolin-1. Oncotarget. 2015;6(10):7438-53.
60. Shen Y, Wang C, Ren Y, Ye J. A comprehensive look at the role of hyperlipidemia in promoting colorectal cancer liver metastasis. Journal of Cancer. 2018;9(16):2981-6.
61. Revilla G, de Pablo Pons M, Baila-Rueda L, Garcia-Leon A, Santos D, Cenarro A, et al. Cholesterol and 27-hydroxycholesterol promote thyroid carcinoma aggressiveness. Sci Rep. 2019;9:10260.
62. Guntur VP, Nemkov T, de Boer E, Mohning MP, Baraghoshi D, Cendali FI, et al. Signatures of Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Impaired Fatty Acid Metabolism in Plasma of Patients with Post-Acute Sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC). Metabolites. 2022;12(11):1026.
63. Fang S, Wang H, Lu L, Jia Y, Xia Z. Decreased complement C3 levels are associated with poor prognosis in patients with COVID-19: A retrospective cohort study. International immunopharmacology. 2020;89(Pt A):107070.
64. Jiang H, Chen Q, Zheng S, Guo C, Luo J, Wang H, et al. Association of Complement C3 with Clinical Deterioration Among Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19. International Journal of General Medicine. 2022;15:849-57.
65. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang S, Tao Y, et al. Dysregulation of Immune Response in Patients With Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China. Clinical infectious diseases. 2020;71(15):762-8.
66. Yekta RF, Oskouie AA, Tavirani MR, Mohajeri-Tehrani MR, Soroush AR. Decreased apolipoprotein A4 and increased complement component 3 as potential markers for papillary thyroid carcinoma: A proteomic study. International Journal of Biological Markers. 2018;33(4):455-62.
67. Mull B, Davis R, Munir I, Perez MC, Simental AA, Khan S. Differential expression of Vitamin D binding protein in thyroid cancer health disparities. Oncotarget. 2021;12(7):596-607.
68. Speeckaert MM, Speeckaert R, Delanghe JR. Vitamin D binding protein in COVID-19. Clinical Medicine. 2020;20(5):E136-E7.
69. McLaughlin K-M, Bechtel M, Bojkova D, Muench C, Ciesek S, Wass MN, et al. COVID-19-

Related Coagulopathy-Is Transferrin a Missing Link? Diagnostics. 2020;10(8):539.
70. Suriawinata E, Mehta KJ. Iron and iron-related proteins in COVID-19. Clinical and Experimental Medicine. 2022;18:1-23.
71. Yadav D, Pvsn KK, Tomo S, Sankanagoudar S, Charan J, Purohit A, et al. Association of iron-related biomarkers with severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology. 2022;74:127075.
72. Vollmy F, van den Toorn H, Chiozzi RZ, Zucchetti O, Papi A, Volta CA, et al. A serum proteome signature to predict mortality in severe COVID-19 patients. Life Science Alliance. 2021;4(9):e202101099.
73. Upadhyai P, Shenoy PU, Banjan B, Albeshr MF, Mahboob S, Manzoor I, et al. Exome-Wide Association Study Reveals Host Genetic Variants Likely Associated with the Severity of COVID-19 in Patients of European Ancestry. Life. 2022;12(9):1300.
74. Zheng J, Zhang Y, Zhao H, Liu Y, Baird D, Karim MA, et al. Multi-ancestry Mendelian randomization of omics traits revealing drug targets of COVID-19 severity. Ebiomedicine. 2022;81:104112.
75. Abdullah MI, Lee CC, Junit SM, Ng KL, Hashim OH. Tissue and serum samples of patients with papillary thyroid cancer with and without benign background demonstrate different altered expression of proteins. Peerj. 2016;4:e2450.
76. Giusti L, Iacconi P, Ciregia F, Giannaccini G, Donatini GL, Basolo F, et al. Fine-needle aspiration of thyroid nodules: Proteomic analysis to identify cancer biomarkers. Journal of Proteome Research. 2008;7(9):4079-88.
77. Qu T, Li Y-P, Li X-H, Chen Y. Identification of potential biomarkers and drugs for papillary thyroid cancer based on gene expression profile analysis. Molecular medicine reports. 2016;14(6):5041-8.
78. Deng J, Cai X, Chen Z. The putative mechanistic insights on how SARS-CoV-2 might influence the outcomes in cancer patients. Experimental Hematology & Oncology. 2022;11(1):52.

Table

Table 1. The summary of the included datasets

Series number	Organism	Sample type	Diseases	Release date	Number of samples (used/total)	Groups
IPX0001444000	Human	FFPE, FNAB	PTC, FTC, benign thyroid diseases, normal thyroid tissues	Sept 6, 2022	223/1161 [a]	FTC/PTC/normal: 64/119/40
IPX0002393000	Human	FFPE	COVID-19, normal thyroid tissues	Dec 11, 2020	30/218	COVID-19/normal: 15/15

Note: The two datasets were provided by Westlake laboratory of life sciences and biomedicine, key laboratory of structural biology of Zhejiang province, school of life sciences, Westlake University.

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue; FNAB, fine-needle aspiration biopsy; FTC, follicular thyroid cancer; PTC, papillary thyroid cancer

[a] A total of 1133 patients were involved in the dataset. More than one samples could be collected from different nodules of one patient.

Figures



Figure 1. The flow chart of the study.

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; DEP, differentially expressed protein; DTC, differentiated thyroid cancer; NT normal thyroid tissue.



Figure 2. The volcano map of DEPs in two comparisons, (a) COVID-19 vs. not infected, and (b) DTC vs. NT. (c). The Venn diagram of DEPs from two expression matrices.

Note: The three DEPs with lowest *p*-value were displayed in the figure.

Abbreviations: NT, normal thyroid tissue; DTC, differentiated thyroid cancer.



Figure 3. The bubble charts of gene ontology. (a) biological process, (b) cellular component, and (c) molecular function. (d). The results of pathway enrichment analysis.

Note: Pathways with *p*-value <0.001 were displayed in the chart, while other pathways could be found in the Supplementary data.



Figure 4. The protein-protein interaction network of shared DEPs.

Note: Redder color indicates higher connectivity of the gene with other genes. Disconnected nodes were removed from the diagram.



Figure 5. (a) The 12 intersected hub genes identified with the eight algorithms in CytoHubba. (b) The co-expression map of 12 hub genes and their related genes.

Twelve hub genes were displayed in the inner circle while the related genes were in the outer circle.
Abbreviations: EPC, edge percolated component; MCC, maximal clique centrality; MNC, maximum neighborhood component.



Figure 6. The ROC curve of 12 hub genes in diagnosis of (a) COVID-19 and (b) DTC.



Figure 7. The associations of hub genes and COVID-19 and DTC

* Direct evidence has been revealed between AGT and COVID-19.