Empowering Self-Management for Chronic Low Back Pain: A Human-Centered Design Study of Spanish- and Cantonese-Preferring Patients in the United States

Patricia Zheng, MD; Emilia De Marchis, MD, MAS; Jan Yeager, MDes; Karina Del Rosario, MD; Masato Nagao, MD; Tigist Belaye, MS; Adrian Vallejo, BS; Amy Kuang, BS; David Gendelberg, MD; Jeffrey Lotz, PhD; Conor O'Neill, MD

Abstract

Background: Chronic low back pain (cLBP) is a leading cause of disability, with disproportionately high impacts on marginalized populations, including non-English-preferring patients. These patients face significant barriers to accessing care and adhering to self-management strategies due to language barriers, socioeconomic challenges, and cultural differences. Despite the emphasis on self-management for cLBP, limited research has focused on understanding the specific needs and preferences of Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring patients.

Objective: This study aimed to explore the self-management priorities of Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring patients with cLBP at an urban, academic-affiliated county hospital. Using a human-centered design approach, we sought to identify patient preferences for self-management support materials and strategies that could be tailored to their unique needs.

Methods: We conducted six focus groups (three each for Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring participants) between March and May 2024, involving 27 patients with cLBP. Patients were recruited from an urban safety-net hospital, and focus groups were conducted in participants' preferred language. Participants discussed their experiences with cLBP care, barriers to self-management, and their preferences for educational materials. Data were analyzed using an inductive coding approach to identify key themes.

Results: Four primary themes emerged from the focus groups: (1) the need for empathic, tailored educational supports that fit into patients' lives, (2) the desire for self-management plans that account for social and economic constraints, (3) the recognition of mental health and social isolation as critical aspects of cLBP care, and (4) a need for clearer guidance on self-management strategies and trustworthy resources. Both Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring participants expressed a preference for video-based resources, plain-language materials, and support for understanding the causes and management of their pain.

Conclusion: Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring patients with cLBP face significant barriers to self-management, but are motivated to engage in self-care if provided with culturally and linguistically appropriate resources. This study highlights the need for healthcare systems to develop and deliver tailored, accessible self-management support materials that address the unique challenges faced by these populations. Human-centered design offers a promising approach to reducing disparities in cLBP outcomes by creating patient-driven solutions that prioritize empathy, practicality, and cultural relevance.

Keywords: chronic low back pain, self-management, disparities, human-centered design, Spanish-speaking, Cantonese-speaking, healthcare access, patient-centered care

Introduction

Chronic low back pain (cLBP) is one of the leading causes of disability worldwide, affecting a diverse range of populations across different socioeconomic and cultural contexts. The burden of cLBP is particularly high among marginalized groups, including those who face language, racial, and ethnic barriers to healthcare. Language preference, often acting as a proxy for broader issues like racism and discrimination, plays a critical role in shaping access to care, treatment outcomes, and patient satisfaction. For non-English-preferring patients in the United States, such as Spanish- and Cantonese-speaking populations, these barriers are further compounded by socioeconomic factors such as poverty, limited education, and restricted access to resources. These structural inequities contribute to the disproportionately high levels of cLBP-related disability and dissatisfaction with care in these communities.

Current approaches to cLBP management emphasize patient engagement through self-management strategies, which include physical therapy, exercise, lifestyle changes, and psychological support. However, existing self-management programs often fail to account for the unique challenges faced by non-English-speaking patients. These patients may have difficulty understanding care instructions, lack access to culturally and linguistically appropriate educational materials, and face socioeconomic barriers that make it difficult to prioritize self-care. Moreover, much of the existing literature on cLBP care overlooks the specific needs of non-English-preferring populations, particularly in the context of low-income, urban environments. This gap in research underscores the need for a more nuanced understanding of how to support these patients in managing their cLBP.

Human-centered design (HCD) offers a promising approach to addressing these disparities by focusing on the lived experiences of patients and developing interventions that are tailored to their specific needs. Unlike traditional top-down healthcare models, HCD engages patients as co-creators in the design process, ensuring that solutions are not only culturally and linguistically appropriate but also practical and feasible within the constraints of their daily lives. By placing patients at the center of the design process, HCD can help identify the priorities and preferences that matter most to them, particularly in relation to self-management education.

This qualitative study aims to explore the cLBP self-management support priorities of Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring patients at an urban, academic-affiliated county hospital. Using a human-centered design approach, we sought to understand how existing self-management resources could be optimized to better meet the needs of these populations. Specifically, we investigated patient experiences with cLBP care, the barriers they face in adhering to self-management plans, and their preferences for the format and content of self-management materials. By identifying these key factors, we aim to contribute to the development of culturally relevant and accessible self-management supports that can reduce disparities in cLBP care and outcomes for Spanish- and Cantonese-speaking patients.

Methods

Study Design and Setting

This qualitative study employed a human-centered design (HCD) approach to explore the self-management needs and preferences of Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring patients with chronic low back pain (cLBP). The study was conducted at an urban, academic-affiliated county hospital that serves a diverse, low-income patient population. Focus groups were used to gather detailed insights into participants' experiences with cLBP care, barriers to self-management, and their priorities for educational and support materials. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of California, San Francisco.

Participant Recruitment

We recruited a convenience sample of adult patients from the Integrated Spine Service (ISS) clinic at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital (ZSFG) between March and May 2024. Patients were eligible for participation if they met the NIH Research Task Force criteria for chronic low back pain, which defines cLBP as pain lasting more than three months with associated functional limitations. Participants were included if they preferred to speak either Spanish or Cantonese during healthcare interactions. We identified patients via chart review and clinician referrals, and those who met the inclusion criteria were contacted by phone in their preferred language.

Eligible patients were invited to participate in focus groups and informed that participation was voluntary, would not impact their care, and would include a financial incentive for their time. Participants were also informed about the number of focus groups and their potential role in shaping future cLBP self-management materials.

Data Collection

We conducted a total of six focus groups (three each for Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring participants). Each group session lasted approximately 90 minutes and was facilitated by native bilingual, bicultural research team members. The focus groups were semi-structured, using guides developed in both Spanish and Cantonese (see Appendix 1-3 for focus group guides).

Focus groups followed a sequential design, with earlier sessions (focus groups 1 and 2) identifying patient priorities and barriers to self-management, and the final session (focus group 3) focusing on defining patient preferences for the format and content of self-management support materials. Topics covered included prior experiences with cLBP care, challenges with self-management, and suggestions for improving educational resources.

All focus groups were audio recorded, transcribed verbatim, and translated as necessary. Translations underwent a back-translation process to ensure accuracy and cultural relevance. Transcripts were reviewed by the focus group leads for accuracy and conceptual equivalence.

In addition to focus group participation, patients completed a brief survey that included demographic information, household income, education level, access to technology (smartphone and internet), language preferences, the Pain, Enjoyment, and General activities (PEG) scale to assess pain severity, and a measure of trust in healthcare providers.

Data Analysis

We employed an inductive approach to analyze the focus group data. Two trained qualitative researchers (E.H.D. and J.Y.) independently coded the transcripts using NVivo qualitative data

analysis software. The coding process followed a thematic analysis framework, with initial codes developed based on patient-identified priorities for self-management support, barriers to care, and design preferences for educational materials. The two researchers compared their codes and reconciled differences through discussion. Key themes were identified through iterative analysis, with emerging concepts further refined in collaboration with additional study team members (P.Z., et al.).

Themes were grouped into two primary areas: (1) patient priorities for cLBP self-management support, and (2) design principles for developing culturally and linguistically appropriate educational materials. The analysis also highlighted unique differences between the Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring groups, such as differing views on pain management efficacy and resource availability.

We adhered to the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) guidelines throughout the study design, data collection, and analysis processes (see Appendix X for COREQ checklist).

Ethical Considerations

All participants provided written informed consent prior to their involvement in the study. Participation was entirely voluntary, and participants were assured that their involvement would not affect their medical care. To ensure confidentiality, personal identifiers were removed from transcripts, and each participant was assigned a study ID. Participants received a financial incentive in appreciation for their time and contribution to the study. All study procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, San Francisco.

Results

Participant Demographics

A total of 27 unique patients participated across the six focus groups—three conducted with Spanish-preferring participants and three with Cantonese-preferring participants. Of the participants, 18 (67%) identified as Latinx and preferred to speak Spanish, and 9 (33%) identified as Asian and preferred to speak Cantonese. The mean age of participants in the Spanish-speaking group was 57 years, while the mean age in the Cantonese-speaking group was 70 years. A majority of participants were female (67%), and most reported having less than a high school education (63%). Additionally, 75% of participants had household incomes below the federal poverty line. The majority of participants (59%) had access to both a smartphone and the internet, which shaped their preferences for digital self-management resources.

Surveys completed prior to the focus groups indicated moderate to severe pain levels, with an average score of 6.82 on the PEG scale (SD 2.49). Participants also expressed high levels of trust in their healthcare providers, with 71% of Spanish-speaking and 78% of Cantonese-speaking participants reporting “complete trust” in their clinicians.

Themes and Key Findings

Four major themes emerged from the focus groups related to patient priorities for self-management support and preferences for educational materials. These themes were consistent across both

Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring participants, although specific concerns varied slightly between the two groups.

Theme 1: Need for Empathic, Tailored Educational Supports

Participants across both groups expressed dissatisfaction with current cLBP care plans, often describing them as unclear, ineffective, or not aligned with their personal experiences. Despite high levels of trust in their clinicians, participants reported a lack of understanding about the causes of their pain and how treatments such as physical therapy or exercise could help. This contributed to skepticism about self-management strategies and confusion about how to approach their care.

Cantonese-preferring participants emphasized the “incurable” nature of their pain and voiced concerns that self-management strategies like exercise or physical therapy would not be effective. Some participants conflated prescription medication with self-care and did not view non-pharmacologic interventions as helpful.

- "This is incurable, depends on your good mood, so you need to watch some drama. If you managed to watch something interesting, you will follow the drama and reduce your pain." – Cantonese-preferring participant
- "It [physical therapy] was not helpful. Cannot cure my nerve pain. Regardless of what is done, my nerve was damaged. It is incurable." – Cantonese-preferring participant

Spanish-preferring participants highlighted the need for better-quality educational materials, with many expressing frustration that information provided by clinicians was either confusing or not helpful. There was a strong desire for clear explanations of their diagnoses and why particular treatments were recommended.

- "My primary care doctor referred me to physical therapy. In physical therapy, a therapist sees me and just prescribed some movements without any explanation." – Spanish-preferring participant

---

Theme 2: Need for Self-Care Plans That Fit Into Daily Life

Participants, particularly those in the Spanish-speaking groups, emphasized the difficulty of incorporating self-care practices into their daily routines, especially given their social and economic circumstances. Many participants worked multiple jobs, lacked access to exercise facilities, or faced financial constraints that limited their ability to engage in recommended activities like yoga or physical therapy.

- "The gym is very expensive, and I don’t have time." – Spanish-preferring participant

Cantonese-preferring participants also reported challenges in accessing safe environments for exercise due to fear of being attacked in their communities, reflecting broader concerns about personal safety.

- "I don’t usually walk outside because it’s not safe around my house area. Now they assault you, so I do not dare to leave my house." – Cantonese-preferring participant

Both groups expressed a preference for self-management resources that were easy to follow and accessible at any time, such as short videos or simple written guides. Spanish-speaking participants favored videos that could be accessed via smartphone during brief breaks, while Cantonese-speaking participants requested written materials with clear visuals to help with understanding.

Theme 3: Recognizing the Mental and Physical Health Impact of cLBP

Both Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring participants discussed the profound impact of cLBP on their mental health. Feelings of isolation were common, with participants expressing a desire for their care teams to facilitate connections with others experiencing similar challenges. Group visits, support groups, and community-based exercise programs were suggested as potential solutions to reduce isolation and provide mutual support.

- "Yes, it would be good to have courses or workshops, that you can attend. Maybe one or two times a week, that would be something I would like." – Spanish-preferring participant

Cantonese-preferring participants also recognized the need for more continuity in their care, noting that turnover among clinicians made it difficult to build trust and follow through on self-management plans.

Theme 4: Need for Clearer Guidance on Self-Management Strategies

Participants across both groups were motivated to engage in self-management but expressed difficulty in determining which materials or online resources were trustworthy. Many noted the overwhelming amount of conflicting information available online and stressed the importance of receiving guidance directly from clinicians. Spanish-speaking participants, in particular, felt unsure about which exercises were appropriate for their specific condition.

- "I see 500 different exercises [on YouTube], and I don't trust any of them." – Spanish-preferring participant
- "The doctor can introduce which video to watch and give suggestions. These suggestions will be professional because not every exercise is suitable for everyone." – Cantonese-preferring participant

Both groups called for clearer explanations of the causes of their pain, what self-management entails, and what they could realistically expect from different treatment options. They expressed a need for resources that provide step-by-step instructions, as well as visual aids like videos and diagrams to help them better understand their care plans.

Design Preferences for Self-Management Materials

Participants in both groups strongly preferred self-management materials that were visually engaging, easy to understand, and available in their preferred language. Short videos were the most commonly requested format, with participants emphasizing the need for clear, simple instructions that could be accessed via smartphone. Spanish-speaking participants favored shorter, mobile-friendly videos, while Cantonese-speaking participants preferred written materials with accompanying visual aids, such as diagrams or pictures. Both groups highlighted the need for culturally relevant, empathetic communication in the materials, with a recognizable “face” or trusted authority delivering the information.

Discussion

This study aimed to explore the self-management needs and priorities of Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring patients with chronic low back pain (cLBP) at an urban county hospital. By using a human-centered design approach, we were able to identify key areas where these populations face barriers to care and where improvements in self-management resources could be made. The findings reveal that while patients were motivated to self-manage their cLBP, they faced significant challenges in accessing appropriate resources, understanding care plans, and integrating self-management practices into their daily lives. Our results suggest the need for more culturally tailored, accessible, and comprehensive self-management support systems.

Barriers to Chronic Low Back Pain Care

One of the major findings of this study was the pervasive sense of skepticism and confusion regarding current cLBP treatment plans, particularly among Cantonese-preferring participants. Despite reporting high levels of trust in their healthcare providers, participants expressed frustration with care teams who failed to explain the underlying causes of their pain or how prescribed treatments would help alleviate it. This lack of clarity contributed to a perception that self-care, particularly physical therapy, was ineffective, leaving patients feeling that their pain was incurable.

Spanish-preferring participants similarly reported dissatisfaction with self-care recommendations, noting that information was often unclear, not useful, or difficult to implement given their socioeconomic constraints. These participants, many of whom were working multiple jobs, also highlighted the challenge of finding the time and resources to follow prescribed self-management activities. Additionally, they raised concerns about how mental health and competing life demands, such as financial and familial responsibilities, further hindered their ability to prioritize self-care.

Self-Management Needs: Empathy and Practicality

Both Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring participants voiced a need for their care teams to "meet them where they were" with respect to education and support. This included providing empathic educational materials that acknowledged their specific cultural and social contexts, as well as more practical self-care plans that could be integrated into their daily lives. Importantly, participants emphasized that information on cLBP should be delivered in plain language, focusing on the root causes of their pain and how self-management practices could work to alleviate it.

Cantonese participants favored more visual and accessible formats, such as videos available on platforms like YouTube, while Spanish-preferring participants expressed a preference for short,

easy-to-follow videos that could be accessed during brief breaks in their busy workdays. Both groups wanted materials that provided clear, actionable steps for managing their pain without requiring expensive equipment or inaccessible locations like gyms.

Social Isolation and Mental Health Concerns

Another major theme was the recognition of how cLBP affected participants' mental health and contributed to feelings of isolation. Both groups expressed a desire for their care team to serve as a point of connection, helping them link with other patients experiencing similar challenges. Group-based care, including workshops, exercise classes, and support groups, was suggested as a means of reducing isolation and promoting mental and emotional well-being. The importance of addressing mental health as a component of self-management was particularly salient for Spanish-speaking participants, who called for greater access to mental health resources and social support services.

Supporting Self-Management through Clear Guidance

Participants from both groups were motivated to engage in self-management but requested additional supports to help them navigate the overwhelming amount of health information available online. Spanish-speaking participants, in particular, noted the difficulty in determining which online resources were reliable, and both groups expressed a preference for information to come directly from trusted healthcare professionals. Cantonese-preferring participants echoed this sentiment, suggesting that clinicians recommend specific exercises or videos to ensure that the materials were appropriate for their individual needs.

To address these concerns, participants called for clear guidance on what self-management entails, including the role of physical activity, the expected outcomes of different treatments, and how to safely perform exercises. Both groups highlighted the need for transparency regarding treatment goals—whether pain management or pain resolution—and expressed a desire for more visual aids, such as a "map" of their care journey that clearly outlined the roles of various healthcare providers, treatment options, and next steps in their care plans.

Implications for Future Interventions

This study is among the first to explore the specific self-management needs of Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring patients with cLBP, populations that have historically been underserved in the healthcare system. The findings underscore the need for tailored self-management resources that not only address linguistic barriers but also take into account cultural, social, and economic factors. Future interventions aimed at reducing cLBP disparities should prioritize the development of culturally appropriate educational materials, including short videos, podcasts, and written guides that are accessible, easy to understand, and actionable.

Moreover, healthcare systems should explore ways to collaborate with community organizations to create safe, culturally relevant spaces for self-management, such as group-based therapy or peer support programs. Efforts to improve patient education on the roles of different healthcare providers, the expected outcomes of self-management, and how to effectively integrate self-care practices into daily life are also critical.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study highlights the significant barriers that Spanish- and Cantonese-preferring patients face when attempting to self-manage their cLBP. The findings point to a pressing need for culturally sensitive, accessible, and practical self-management support systems that address both the physical and mental health challenges of living with cLBP. Future work should focus on developing and evaluating interventions that can reduce cLBP disparities by enhancing patient education, fostering social connections, and providing clear, reliable, and motivating self-management resources.