Natural history of a parasite-induced biliary cancer

Thomas Crellen[1,2,3*]**, Francesca Vita**[4,5]**, Chiara Braconi**[4,6,7]**, Paiboon Sithithaworn**[8,9]**, and T. Déirdre Hollingsworth**[2]

[1]School of Biodiversity One Health and Veterinary Medicine, Graham Kerr Building, University of Glasgow, 82 Hillhead Street, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK; [2]Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK; [3]Parasites and Microbes Program, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton CB10 1RQ, UK; [4]School of Cancer Sciences, Wolfson Wohl Cancer Research Centre, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Glasgow G61 1QH, UK; [5]Department of Oncology, University of Turin, Turin 10043, Italy; [6]Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre, 1053 Great Western Road, Glasgow G12 0YN, UK; [7]CRUK Scotland Cancer Centre, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Glasgow G61 1BD, UK; [8]Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, 123 Thanon Mittraphap, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand; [9]Cholangiocarcinoma Research Institute, Khon Kaen University, 123 Thanon Mittraphap, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

*Corresponding author: thomas.crellen@glasgow.ac.uk

Pathogens are major drivers of cancer globally. Quantifying the relationship between infection and carcinogenesis is therefore crucial for developing preventative programs. The foodborne trematode *Opisthorchis viverrini* is a primary cause of biliary cancer (cholangiocarcinoma) and infects 12 million people in Southeast Asia. In tumours from patients exposed to *O. viverrini* we found that the earliest chromosomal amplification of driver genes occurred at 30 years old on average, two to four decades before cancer diagnosis, and disproportionately contained *FGFR2*, *TP53* and *PTEN* genes. We fitted transmission models to parasitological data from Thailand spanning 27 years (*n* = 11,517) finding that, for people born between 1960–1989, first exposure occurred at two years old and by 30 years individuals had been cumulatively infected with a median of 72 worms. Trematodes are long-lived and our analysis quantifies the average lifespan of *O. viverrini* as 13 years (90% credible interval [CrI] 6–23 years) within human hosts. Finally, we calculate the lifetime probability of diagnosis with cholangiocarcinoma as 1.2% (90% CrI 1.0–1.4%) given prior exposure to the parasite.

Keywords: Cholangiocarcinoma | Foodborne trematodiases | Cancer genomics | Somatic evolution | Epidemiology | Neglected tropical diseases

Introduction

Infectious organisms are a major contributor to the burden of human cancers globally, thus challenging the classic distinction between 'communicable' and 'non-communicable' diseases (1). Of the eleven pathogens recognised as direct human carcinogens; three are parasitic trematodes[*†]. Infection with the foodborne liver flukes *Opisthorchis viverrini* and *Clonorchis sinensis* cause cancer of the bile duct (cholangiocarcinoma), whilst the waterborne blood fluke *Schistosoma haematobium* causes cancer of the bladder (squamous cell carcinoma). The aetiologies resulting from infection with these parasites are classified as neglected tropical diseases, owing to the historical neglect of research into both the causative agents and the afflicted populations (2). The pathology arising from infection with parasitic worms (also known as helminths) is typically chronic, and leads to morbidity rather than mortality, making it difficult to quantify the impact of any single helminth species over decades of exposure and in populations where multiple co–infections are present (3, 4). This is particularly challenging for carcinogenic parasites, where the facilities to diagnose and treat cancer may be limited in endemic settings (5).

The liver fluke *O. viverrini* has a foodborne transmission route and is acquired from eating raw or lightly fermented freshwater fish, which is a traditional component of the diet in regions of Southeast Asia (6). The fluke has a complex lifecycle, which involves asexual reproduction within freshwater snails and encysts as a mammalian–infective stage (metacercariae) in cyprinid fish. Despite public health programs to control the parasite, an estimated 12.4 million people were infected with *O. viverrini* across Thailand, Laos, Cambodia and Vietnam in 2018 (7). While the prevalence has shown gradual declines in Thailand due to periodic parasite control programs during the second half of the twentieth century (8), progress has recently slowed (9, 10). In Cambodia and Laos, by contrast, there is evidence for increased transmission over the past two decades (7, 11, 12). Liver fluke endemic countries have the highest incidence of cholangiocarcinoma globally and cases of hepatic

and biliary cancers in these regions are disproportionately attributable to cholangiocarcinoma, rather than hepatocellular carcinoma (13, 14). If an early diagnosis is made then curative surgical resection is possible in a minority of cases (15). Patients generally present late, however, and prognosis is poor with a median survival time of <1 year following diagnosis and the standard of care; systemic chemotherapy with cisplatin plus gemcitabine (16, 17). The mechanism through which *O. viverrini* flukes induce cholangiocarcinoma is a combination of mechanical damage, inflammation of the biliary epithelium, and the secretion of parasite products (18).

Given the poor prognosis for cholangiocarcinoma and the preventable nature of parasite infection, there is a strong motivation to understand the link between liver fluke exposure and carcinogensis in humans (3, 19). Prior to the onset of driver mutations, anthelmintic treatment and reducing parasite exposure should be prioritised as public health interventions, whereas following the onset of irreversible malignancies the priority for interventions shifts to ultrasound screening for liver pathology and early referral for surgery (20).

Quantifying the epidemiological relationship between liver fluke infection and the resulting biliary pathology is challenging, however, due to i) the dynamic nature of parasite transmission; ii) exposure being cumulative over time, as humans do not generate protective immunity to reinfection; iii) the long lifespan of adult worms within the human host, which is unknown for *O. viverrini*; and iv) the long temporal lag between parasite infection and cases of cholangiocarcinoma (3, 19, 21).

Recent computational methods allow the evolution of tumours to be characterised from whole-genome sequenced biopsy samples taken at a single timepoint (22, 23). Initially the proportion of cells in the sample which contain tumour, rather than normal tissue, are estimated. This is followed by categorising somatic mutations into populations of either the most recent common ancestor of all tumour cells (clonal), or populations of proliferating cells which have emerged after the most recent common ancestor (subclonal) (24). When variant clonality is combined with copy number data (ploidy), chromosomal amplification events can be ordered into a temporal

---

[*]https://monographs.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono61.pdf
[†]https://monographs.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono100B.pdf

sequence and the chronological age at which they occurred can be estimated. Epidemiological modelling provides a framework to understand the relationship between parasite transmission dynamics and chronic morbidity (21), however previous studies have mainly been theoretical with models rarely fitted to field data (3).

In this study, we infer the timing of the earliest driver mutations for fluke–induced cholangiocarcinoma, and thus the induction and latent periods in humans, which we define, respectively, as i) as the time between first infection with *O. viverrini* and the onset of amplified driver genes for cholangiocarcinoma, and ii) the time between amplified driver genes and diagnosis. Finally, we estimate the lifetime probability of developing cholangiocarcinoma given prior infection with *O. viverrini*. By combining evolutionary cancer genomics with epidemiological analysis, we obtain a unique insight into the relationship between infectious disease exposure and tumourigenesis in human populations.

Results

Cholangiocarcinoma tumour genomes. We obtained paired tumour and normal whole-genome sequences from cholangiocarcinoma patients who were previously exposed to liver fluke and were treated at Srinagarind Hospital in Khon Kaen, Thailand (25). We used a bioinformatics pipeline to call somatic single nucleotide variants (SNVs), copy number alterations, and inferred the clonal status of these mutations (see Methods). The age of the patients at surgery ranged from 37–79 years (median 57 years), 50% of patients were female, and all were born prior to 1980 (25). After mapping reads, variant calling, and filtering (see Methods) we obtained 2,349–27,821 (median 10,360) somatic SNVs and 268–14,230 (median 1,382) somatic indels per–tumour. The overall ploidy (chromosomal copies) per tumour ranged from 1.2–3.7 (median 2.0).

Evolution of cholangiocarcinoma tumours. We estimated the timing of driver mutations in 43 genes implicated in cholangiocarcinoma development (26–28) using evolutionary models which time the emergence of somatic single nucleotide variants (SNVs) occurring on amplified sections of chromosome (22, 23). We first assessed whether any tumours had been subject to whole–genome duplication events based on the correlated timings of chromosomal amplifications across the genome (22) and concluded that this had occurred in three tumours (see Fig. 1A). Across all tumours, the copy number was disproportionately higher in chromosomes 7 and 17. In 17/22 tumours with sufficient ploidy and tumour purity, we inferred the timing of genome amplifications in potential driver genes for cholangiocarcinoma (see Methods). Overall the majority of amplifications (166/287; 58%) occurred later in 'chronological time' (0.75 or later), with a smaller proportion (46/287; 16%) occurring earlier in the lifespan of the tumour (before 0.5), as shown in Fig. 1B.

Timing of amplified driver mutations. We determined the earliest amplified driver gene for each tumour sample, and classified these as either 'clonal' (occurring on the most recent common cell lineage of the tumour) or 'subclonal' (a subsequent clonal expansion within the tumour which has not risen to fixation). To calculate the age at which these amplifications occurred in patients, we multiplied the chronological time estimate with the age at surgery (see Methods). The earliest clonal amplification of driver genes occurred at a median age of 30 years and

with an interquartile range (IQR) of 20–43 years (Fig. 1C). The earliest subclonal amplifications occurred at a median of 33 years (IQR 29–41 years). A variety of genes were the earliest amplified, with the most frequently occurring being *PTEN* in the clonal lineages (earliest in two tumours and amplified in 7/17 tumours) while *NRAS* was the most common in subclonal lineages (earliest in two tumours and amplified in 7/17 tumours) see Fig. 1B.

To determine whether certain genes are disproportionately likely to be amplified early or late within the lifespan of the tumour, we applied a generalised linear model (see Methods) to the chronological age estimates for each of the amplification events, while controlling for host sex, tumour anatomical subtype (extrahepatic or perihilar) and clonality (clonal or subclonal). We excluded nine amplifications with fewer than eight somatic SNVs, giving 278 amplification events from 17 tumours for our analysis. The model estimates per gene are shown by chronological age in Fig. 1D. Overall, the driver genes which were disproportionately found to be amplified early were *FGFR2*, *PTEN* and *TP53* (these genes were amplified in 7 tumours), while *BAP1*, *PBRM1* (both amplified in 5 tumours), and *FGFR1* (amplified in 4 tumours) were preferentially amplified late. Our findings add support to previous studies which have noted the early clonal amplification of *TP53*, in particular, as a driver across a range of cancer types (22).

Liver fluke transmission. As fluke–induced pathology of the biliary tract is chronic, there is a need to consider prior exposure to the parasite at the individual or population level which can be estimated from historical parasitological surveys (3). We therefore collated epidemiological surveys from Northeast Thailand where *O. viverrini* worm burdens or faecal egg counts were available by host age. Our analysis uses data from 4,056 individuals obtained from seven surveys conducted between 1980–1989, prior to the onset of large-scale control programs against liver fluke, and 7,448 individuals from five surveys conducted between 1994–2017, which are summarised in Table 1. We fitted a mechanistic parasite transmission model to these data which considers that the parasite burden at a given age is a result of flukes infecting the host with an age-variable transmission rate, referred to as the 'force of infection', and parasites exit the host due the spontaneous death rate of adult worms. Full model details are provided in Methods.

Exposure to liver fluke in human populations. The average age at which a person born between 1960–1989 is first infected with a single *O. viverrini* fluke was 22 months (90% prediction interval [PI] 19–25 months). The average age of first infection for a person born after 1990 rises to 8 years (90% PI 6.5–9.5 years), though a smaller proportion of the population ultimately becomes exposed during their lifetime; 33% post–intervention compared with 88% pre–intervention. We define exposure to *O. viverrini* as the cumulative number of adult flukes acquired by a person over time, which is given by the area under the force of infection curve (Equation 6). In endemic regions of Thailand in the 1980s by ages 10, 20, and 30 years the median number of *O. viverrini* flukes acquired was 12 (90% prediction interval interval [PI] 8–16), 39 (90% PI 27–53), and 72 (90% PI 48–103) respectively (Fig. 2C). Following public health interventions in the 1990s (8), we estimate that the *O. viverrini* force of infection dropped substantially, with an almost 40–fold reduction in the age–dependent transmission rate, resulting in the majority of individuals born after 1990

Fig. 1. Evolution of cholangiocarcinoma tumours. A) Subclonal lineage reconstruction for cholangiocarcinoma tumour CCA_TH19 using MutationTimeR (22). The upper plot shows the variant allele frequency (VAF) for somatic SNVs which are coloured by clonal state; clonal (early) = green, clonal (late) = purple, clonal (unknown) = blue, subclonal = red. The middle plot shows the inferred copy number frequency (ploidy) by chromosome. The lower plot shows the inferred timings of copy number gains. For this tumour, the timings of copy number gains are correlated across chromosomes, indicating a whole-genome duplication event at a 'mutation time' of 0.6. B) Histogram showing the timing of 287 clonal and subclonal amplification events for 44 driver mutations in 17 cholangiocarcinoma tumours inferred by AmplificationTimeR (23). C) The earliest amplified clonal and subclonal driver mutations in cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) tumours (23) multiplied by the patient age at surgery (25) to give the inferred age for each amplification event. Each point represents the first amplified driver gene per patient, labelled with the gene name, and the points are coloured by tumour anatomical subtype (intrahepatic or perihilar). The overlaid box and whisker plots shows the median and interquartile range. D) Estimates of the chronological time of amplification by gene. Results are shown from a generalised linear model applied to estimates from AmplificationTimeR (see Methods). The black points give the posterior median, the thick blue line gives the 50% credible interval and the thin black line the 95% credible interval.

remaining uninfected by age 30 (median worm burden is zero). The distribution of *O. viverrini* parasites among human hosts shows high variation, as is characteristic for helminths (29), and for the top decile of the most heavily infected hosts the cumulative burden is ≥693 worms by 30 years of age in the pre–intervention period (90% PI 523–931) and ≥14 worms in the post–intervention period (90% PI 10–21). These findings add weight to the reported declines in parasite transmission in Thailand following control programs (7–9), though our analysis is the first to quantify this effect in terms of worm burden, the age of first exposure, and the cumulative proportion exposed.

Lifespan of the adult worm. The lifespan of helminths in human infections is considered to be in the order of one year to three decades, although this is rarely quantified (30, 31). We estimate the lifespan of *O. viverrini* within our force of infection model as 12.9 years (90% CrI 6.2–23.1 years), which is defined as the average time for half of adult stage parasites to die within the human host in the absence of anthelmintic treatment (Equation 3, see Methods). Our posterior distribution for parasite mortality is long tailed (Fig. 2) with the top five percent of adult *O. viverrini* lifespans ≥25.7 years. This finding is consistent with case reports of the related liver fluke *C. sinensis* from travellers, which is known to persist in human hosts for 26 years (32). We note that the posterior distribution of the worm mortality parameter is correlated with the posterior of a force of infection parameter, and therefore we encourage use of the interval for *O. viverrini* adult worm

Fig. 2. Epidemiological data on the carcinogenic liver fluke *Opisthorchis viverrini* in Thailand. A) Worm burdens of *O. viverrini* by participant age, inferred from multiple surveys conducted between 1980–1989 prior to large–scale interventions. The data used for model fitting were counts of i) adult worms obtained from autopsy, or ii) worm expulsion, or iii) parasite eggs in faeces (data shown from S1–S6, see Table 1). The fitted black line shows the simulated median worm burden by host age, with the shaded area giving the 90% prediction interval (model fitted to data from S1–S7; see Table 1). The y–axis is log transformed. B) Proportion of exposed population with at least one parasite in the pre–intervention (1980–1989; S1–S7) and post–intervention periods (1994–2017; S8–S12 see Table 1). Solid lines are central estimates and shaded areas give the 90% credible interval (CrI). Where the solid lines cross the dashed line, this gives the age at which half of the exposed population become first infected, which is 22 months (90% CrI 19–25 months) of age in the pre–intervention period and 8 years of age (90% CrI 7–9.5 years) in the post–intervention period. C) Cumulative exposure to *O. viverrini* by age (Equation 6). The solid line shows the simulated median for the pre–intervention period, while the dot–dash lines show the cumulative worm burden for the upper 90% population percentile. Shaded areas give the 90% prediction interval. The y–axis is log transformed. D) Average lifespan of adult *O. viverrini* worms in human hosts. The posterior probability density is shown here for $log(2)/\sigma$; where σ is the spontaneous death rate of adult worms (Equation 3). Dashed line gives the posterior median (13 years). The filled area gives the 90% CrI (6–23 years).

lifespan (6–23 years), rather than the point estimate, in future analyses.

Latent and induction periods of cholangiocarcinoma. Bringing together the evidence presented thus far, we hypothesise that people born between 1960–1989 in Northeast Thailand first became infected with liver fluke by age two and driver mutations for biliary cancer occurred around age 30, giving an induction period of approximately 28 years. We sought to validate our hypothesis using cancer registry data (33). The age distribution of 10,737 cases of cholangiocarcinoma diagnosed in Northeast Thailand between 1985–2009 are shown in Figure 3A. The median age of diagnosis was 59 years between 1985–1997 and

63 years between 1997–2009. Using a time-to-event analysis, which accounts for interval censored data, we estimated the age of driver mutation and the subsequent age of cholangiocarcinoma as sequential gamma distributions (see Methods). The induction period (time from initial parasite infection to driver mutation) was estimated as 28 years (90% CrI 16–39 years) and the latent period (time from driver mutation to cancer diagnosis) was estimated as 32 years (90% CrI 21–44 years). These distributions are shown in Fig. 3B. The incidence data therefore supports our estimate of the time to first amplified mutation at thirty years, given exposure by age two, however the latent period is longer than the 22 years indicated by our earlier analysis on tumour genomes (time between first am-

plified driver mutation and surgery), which likely reflects the older average age of diagnosis in the registry data compared to our much smaller sample of patients with sequenced biliary tumours (25, 33). Prior research on the induction and latent periods for fluke–induced cholangiocarcinoma in humans has been limited, though United States veterans from the Vietnam War have an elevated incidence of biliary cancer five or more decades after potential exposure to *O. viverrini* (34). While the association between military service in Vietnam (1965–1971) and fluke–induced cholangiocarcinoma is contentious (35), the reported time between parasite exposure and cancer for veterans (induction plus latent period) is consistent with our findings.

Lifetime probability of cholangiocarcinoma. We estimate the lifetime probability of acquiring cholangiocarcinoma by fitting a survival model (see Methods) to age-incidence cancer registry data from Northeast Thailand between 1998–2009 (33) and Malaysia between 2007–2009 (36), which neighbours Thailand but is non-endemic for liver fluke (37). The underlying data are shown in Fig. 3C as an annual population incidence per 100,000 population and the survival model predictions are shown in Fig. 3D. Our results show that by 75 years of age the cumulative probability of diagnosis with cholangiocarcinoma, conditional on the person surviving to that age, is 0.046% in Malaysia (90% CrI 0.039–0.054%) and 0.99% in Northeast Thailand (90% CrI 0.85–1.1%), which is twenty–fold higher. Under the assumptions that 90% of excess cancer cases in Northeast Thailand are attributable to infection with liver fluke (38) and the total proportion of the population exposed to the parasite between 1960–1989 was 88% (estimated above, see Fig. 2B.), we calculate the probability of developing cholangiocarcinoma, given prior infection with *O. viverrini*, as 1.0% (90% CrI 0.87–1.2%) by 75 years of age and 1.2% (90% CrI 1.0–1.4%) by 85 years. While a previous report suggested a higher lifetime probability of 5% (39), the data and method used to produce this estimate are unclear. Calculating the lifetime probability of cancer given infection depends on the population exposed to *O. viverrini*. Prevalence surveys taken at a single moment in time will underestimate this proportion (38, 40), and hence overestimate the relative probability of cancer among infected people. The probability of developing cholangiocarcinoma covaries with the infection intensity of liver fluke (41). Given improved longitudinal data, the lifetime probability of biliary cancer should be stratified by the cumulative worm burden in future analyses.

Discussion

Our study uncovers many crucial, yet previously unknown, epidemiological processes on the pathway from parasite infection to carcinogenesis in humans. The aim of such research is to inform control programs to reduce both transmission of liver fluke and subsequent cases of cancer (19, 20). Given the findings presented here, that the age of first fluke infection is prior to ten years of age and the first amplified driver mutations for biliary cancer are on average at 30 years of age, we recommend that interventions should be targeted to younger individuals in at–risk regions, such as school–based deworming with the anthelmintic praziquantel (42). Relatively few studies have investigated the impact of anthelmintic treatment on preventing or reversing biliary damage in humans (43–45), though the current evidence suggests a beneficial effect of deworming even in the context of repeated reinfection. The long

temporal lag of four to seven decades between first parasite exposure and the eventual diagnosis of cholangiocarcinoma (see Fig. 2B and Fig. 3) means that changes to *O. viverrini* transmission intensity will take many years to influence the incidence of cholangiocarcinoma at the population level (3, 20). Large–scale ultrasound screening programs for liver disease in Thailand have successfully diagnosed thousands of cholangiocarcinoma and pre–cancerous cases since 2015 (46). However, the enhanced screening will likely lead to short–term increases in the reported incidence of cholangiocarcinoma, thus further complicating our understanding of the relationship between parasite infection and cancer, and particularly given that our epidemiological analysis (see Fig. 2B and 2C) supports a sub-stantial reduction in transmission rates in Thailand from the 1990s onwards (7, 9). Future randomised clinical trials inves-tigating the impact of deworming with praziquantel on the risk of biliary cancer could be considered unethical given the need to withhold or restrict anthelmintic treatment for controls (19). Dynamic simulations have a useful role to play, therefore, in estimating the magnitude of interventions over long time periods (47). Our results provide a platform for biomarker discovery by highlighting early driver genes and we argue that screening for biliary malignancies should start from thirty years of age. Furthermore, the long latent period provides a window for early therapeutic interventions (48). As the data used here are primarily from Northeast Thailand our estimates are most applicable to this region. Future research extending this analysis to Laos and Cambodia, where the burden of disease is greater (7, 11), is therefore a priority.

Methods and Materials

Cholangiocarcinoma whole–genome sequences. We accessed paired tumour–normal whole–genome sequences from 22 in-dividuals in Northeast Thailand with previous exposure to liver fluke infection. Tumour tissue was obtained from patients during surgical resection of the biliary tract at Srinagarind Hos-pital in Khon Kaen, Thailand (25). Normal somatic genomes were obtained from patient blood samples. Tumours are classi-fied according to their anatomical location on the biliary tree; namely intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (within the hepatic ducts), perihilar cholangiocarcinoma (between second order bile ducts and the cystic duct insertion) or distal cholangiocar-cinoma (below the cystic duct). Here our samples consisted of eight perihilar and 14 intrahepatic tumours. The age at surgery ranged from 37–79 years (median 57 years) and 11/22 (50%) of patients were female.

Cancer Genomics. Starting with fastq files, we trimmed adap-tor sequences from 150bp illumina paired–end reads using TrimGalore v.0.4.4 (49) and mapped the reads against the hu-man reference (GRCh37) using bwa mem v.0.7.17 with default parameters (50). The resulting BAM files were then sorted and indexed with samtools v.1.9 (51). Duplicates were marked and removed using GATK v.4.1.4.1 (52) and base quality scores re-calibrated for tumour sequences with ICGC PCAWG con-sensus vcf files as known variants for single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and indels (53). Examining the depth of mapped reads, we took the output from samtools depth -a (including zeroes) and binned the mean depth within 1Mb segments (Fig. 1A). Overall we found that the mean sequencing depth averaged across the whole genomes ranged from 35x–73x per–sample (median 57x) for normal genomes and 45x–72x per–sample (median 54x) for tumour genomes.

Fig. 3. Incidence of cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) at the population level. A) The age distribution of 10,737 cases of cholangiocarcinoma from Northeast Thailand between 1985–2009 (33). B) Time–to–event distributions for the induction period (from parasite exposure to driver mutation) and the latent period (from driver mutation to cancer diagnosis). The plots show the posterior probability distributions, where the dashed line gives the median and the shaded orange area shows the 90% credible interval (CrI). The induction period was estimated as a median of 28 years and the latent period as 32 years. C) Annual incidence of cholangiocarcinoma per 100,000 people by age for Northeast (N.E.) Thailand, where the carcinogenic liver fluke *O. viverrini* is endemic, and Malaysia which is non-endemic for *O. viverrini*. D) Cumulative lifetime probability of diagnosis with cholangiocarcinoma for the two populations, N.E. Thailand and Malaysia, at age a, conditional on survival to age a. The model output is shown from a survival analysis (Equation 17, see Methods), where the solid black lines give the posterior median and the shaded areas the 90% CrI. Probability given as a percentage (%).

We called somatic SNVs and indels using GATK Mutect2 with a panel of normals provided by the Broad Institute (54), and with additional filters to remove secondary and supplementary reads. Prior to filtering, the number of somatic SNVs ranged from 109,339–292,886 per sample (median 131,057). We calculated the fraction of reads in the normal with tumour contamination using the GATK tool CalculateContamination in combination with 4.7 million common germline alleles (MAF 0.01–0.20) derived from diverse Asian populations in Singapore (55). This revealed that the contamination in normal samples was low with <0.7% of reads coming from cross–sample contamination. Using the data on contamination, we filtered the Mutect2 variants calls, leaving 2,349–27,821 (median 10,360) somatic SNVs and 268–14,230 (median 1,382) somatic indels per–tumour. The number of somatic variants called in our samples are consistent with those observed for other biliary cancers (56).

Subclonal reconstruction. We estimated tumour copy number using the Battenberg algorithm (57), with reference data from the 1000 Genomes Project. The estimated fraction of tumour cells (rather than normal tissue) in our cholangiocarcinoma genomes, also known as the tumour purity or cellularity, varied substantially between samples (range 10–90%; median 60%). The overall ploidy per tumour ranged from 1.2–3.7 (median 2.0). We then phased somatic variants and assigned them to subclonal lineages (24) using dpclust3p and dpclust (58), implemented in R v.4.3.2. The number of clonal and subclonal lineages, which variants were assigned to, varied from 2–5 per tumour (median 3).

Timing of driver mutations. We applied algorithms to estimate the chronological timing of driver mutations in amplified regions; MutationTimeR (22) and AmplificationTimeR (23), which were implemented in R v.4.3.3. The MutationTimeR algorithm uses a panel of 371 known driver mutations identified by the PCAWG consortium (56). We used the temporal correlation of copy number gains from MutationTimeR to identify if tumours had undergone whole–genome duplication (22). For the AmplificationTimeR analysis, we focused on timing the amplification of 43 driver mutations which have been identified as important in early–stage cholangiocarcinoma (26–28) or previously detected in these tumours (25). The estimates for the chronological time of amplifications were calibrated using C>T mutations at CpG sites, which have been established to have clock–like properties (59). The age in years at which driver gene amplifications occurred was calculated as the product of the bootstrapped chronological time estimates from AmplificationTimeR (23), which fall in the interval [0, 1], and the patient's age at surgery (25).

Earliest and latest amplified genes. We used a generalised linear model to determine which genes were amplified disproportionately early or late within the lifespan of the tumour. We modelled the chronological time estimates for gene g ($y_{t,g}$), estimated by AmplificationTimeR (23) with a minimum of 10 mutations, which fall in the interval [0,1] using a beta distribution parameterised by a mean μ_g and precision κ

$$y_{t,g} \sim Beta\_Proportion(\mu_g, \kappa), \quad [1]$$

where the mean is a transformed linear function of a gene–specific intercept (α_g) plus covariates (x) and slopes (β). The three binary explanatory variables are the sex of the patient, whether the tumour is intrahepatic or perihilar, and whether the amplification is clonal or subclonal

$$\mu_g = logit^{-1}\left(\alpha_g + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3\right). \quad [2]$$

The model was fitted in a Bayesian framework using the stan language v.2.34.1 (60) implemented with cmdstanr v.0.7.1 (61) in R v.4.3.3; see details on parameter estimation below.

Liver fluke surveys. We obtained data from epidemiological surveys on the liver fluke *O. viverrini* in Thailand between 1980–2017. The parasitological observations in these surveys consisted of i) adult worms obtained from liver dissection at autopsy, ii) adult worms recovered through expulsion following anthelminthic treatment, or iii) parasite egg counts obtained by faecal examination, see Table 1. We contacted the study authors to obtain parasitological data by host age. Where these were unavailable, we simulated individual-level data from summary tables which contained the sample size, mean, and variance for parasitological observations (worm burdens or faecal egg counts) aggregated by age group using a Pearson type I distribution.

Parasite transmission model. We developed a mechanistic model that incorporates key aspects of parasite ecology (21, 30) fitted to individual–level data on adult worm burdens or faecal egg counts (Table 1). Our process model characterises the mean worm burden at the population level (M) by host years of age (a) as an immigration–death process

$$\frac{dM}{da} = \lambda(a) - M\sigma, \quad [3]$$

Survey & Method	Province	District	Year(s)	n[1]	Age Range
S1 Autopsy (62)	Khon Kaen	Multiple[2]	1982–9	159	2–78
S2 Expulsion (63)	Khon Kaen	Ban Nam	1987	33	15–56
S3 Expulsion (64)	Kalasin	Huai Mek	1989	373	5–60
S4 Faecal egg (65)	Khon Kaen	Chonnabot	1980	1651	1–72
S5 Faecal egg (66)	Multiple	Rural[3]	1981–3	433	1–65
S6 Faecal egg (66)	Multiple	Urban[3]	1981–3	126	1–70
S7 Prevalence (67)	Khon Kaen	Nong Wai	1981	1284	1–65
S8 Faecal egg (8)	Multiple	Multiple	1994	65	1–70
S9 Prevalence (8)	Multiple	Multiple	1994	1912	1–70
S10 Prevalence (68)	Ratchasima	Multiple	2010–1	1168	5–90
S11 Prevalence (69)	Multiple	Multiple	2013	3916	15–70
S12 Prevalence (70)	Khon Kaen	Khon Kaen	2016–7	387	11–91

Table 1. Cross–sectional surveys analysed in this study investigating the relationship between host age and *Opisthorchis viverrini* worm burden, faecal egg counts, or prevalence by faecal egg diagnostic (Fig. 2A). Surveys were conducted in Northeast (N.E.) Thailand either before the onset of liver fluke control programs (S1–S7; pre–intervention), or following a national control program in the early 1990s (S8–S12; post–intervention). Age range of the participants is shown in years. [1]Sample size of human participants in survey. [2]Autopsy cases were from N.E. Thailand, with the majority from Khon Kaen province. [3]Hospital–based study where patients from N.E. Thailand were recruited and classified as originating from either rural or urban communities.

where σ gives the spontaneous death rate of adult worms in the absence of anthelmintic treatment. The expected lifespan of adult *O. viverrini* parasites is therefore given as the time taken for half of adult worms to die in the absence of anthelmintic treatment; $log(2)/\sigma$. As there is evidence for age–dependent reinfection rates (71), we model the *O. viverrini* force of infection as a function of host age

$$\lambda(a) = \eta a e^{-\beta a}. \quad [4]$$

The dynamic model (Equations 3 and 4) has the following analytical solution for worm burden by age a,

$$M(a) = \frac{\eta e^{-a\sigma}}{(\beta - \sigma)^2}\left(1 + e^{a(\sigma - \beta)}(a(\sigma - \beta) - 1)\right). \quad [5]$$

The cumulative parasite exposure (δ) by age a is given by the definite integral of the force of infection (Equation 4)

$$\delta(a) = \int_0^a \eta a e^{-\beta a} \, da. \quad [6]$$

Observation model for survey data. The true number of *O. viverrini* adult worms per individual i of age group a ($x_{i,a}$) follows the negative binomial distribution (NB), which takes the form of a gamma–Poisson mixture model parameterised with a mean worm burden $M(a)$ (Equations 3 and 5) and age–dependent dispersion k_a (40). Values of k_a are estimated for each age–group and are themselves normally distributed with an overall mean of μ_k and a standard deviation of σ_k. During autopsy surveys, adult *O. viverrini* were carefully removed from cross–sections of liver and worm recovery is likely close to 100%, therefore we consider that the true worm count for each individual is equal to the recovered worms in autopsy studies ($x_{i,a} \equiv w_{i,a} \mid r = 1$),

$$Pr\left(X_{i,a} = x_{i,a} \mid M(a), k_a\right) =$$
$$NB\left(x_{i,a} \mid M(a), k_a\right). \quad [7]$$

In surveys where *O. viverrini* flukes were obtained by expulsion, participants were treated with the anthelmintic praziquantel followed by a saline purgative, which is known to result in imperfect subsequent recovery of from faeces (72). Therefore, we allow the true worm burden to be greater than, or equal to, the observed number of worms for individuals in expulsion studies ($x_{i,a} \geq w_{i,a}$) and the probability of observing w_i worms given a true count of x_i is a binomial sampling process with probability of worm recovery $r = 0.44$ (40),

$$Pr\big(W_{i,a} = w_{i,a} \mid M(a), k_a, r\big) =$$

$$\sum_{x=w_{i,a}}^{\infty} Binom(w_{i,a} \mid x, r) \cdot NB\big(x \mid M(a), k_a\big), \qquad [8]$$

where *Binom* refers to a standard binomial probability distribution. In surveys where the outcome variables are eggs per gram of stool (y), if at least one egg is observed for individual i of age group a ($y_{i,a} \geq 1$), we relate this to the expected egg count for that individual using a negative binomial error distribution, where the mean is given as a density–dependent function of the true worm burden; $\pi(x) = (\Lambda x)^\gamma$ and the dispersion is given by parameter h, which has previously been estimated for *O. viverrini* as 0.4 (40),

$$Pr\big(Y_{i,a} = y_{i,a} \mid M(a), k_a, \Lambda, \gamma, h\big) =$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} NB\big(y_{i,a} \mid \pi(x), h\big) \cdot NB\big(x \mid M(a), k_a\big). \qquad [9]$$

Where zero eggs are observed ($y_{i,a} = 0$), we consider the individual diagnostic sensitivity as a saturating function of the worm burden, $se(x) = x/(x + b)$, where the parameter b has been previously estimated for *O. viverrini* as 1.7 (40),

$$Pr\big(Y_{i,a} = 0 \mid M(a), k_a, b\big) =$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{x+b}\right) \cdot NB\big(x \mid M(a), k_a\big). \qquad [10]$$

The population level sensitivity (S) for faecal egg diagnostics is a function of the worm burden distribution at the population level (40),

$$S(M, k) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} se(x) \cdot \binom{x+k-1}{x} \frac{\left(\frac{k}{M+k}\right)^k \left(\frac{M}{M+k}\right)^x}{p(M,k)}, \quad [11]$$

where $p(M, k)$ indicates the true prevalence and is given by

$$p(M, k) = 1 - \left(\frac{k}{M+k}\right)^k. \qquad [12]$$

Given an assumed diagnostic specificity of one, the observed prevalence p' for for age group a is therefore related to the true prevalence with the following expression,

$$p' = p(M, k) \cdot S(M, k) + \big(1 - p(M, k)\big) \cdot (1 - sp), \qquad [13]$$

where sp gives the faecal egg diagnostic specificity, which is assumed here to be 1. For surveys where only the prevalence is given by faecal egg diagnostic, we represent this as individual–level positive or negative outcomes; $z_{i,a} \in \{0, 1\}$. The probability for the binary diagnostic observations is therefore given by a Bernoulli distribution

$$Pr\big(Z_{i,a} = z_{i,a} \mid M(a), k_a, b\big) =$$

$$\begin{cases} p'_a & \text{if } z_{i,a} = 1 \\ 1 - p'_a & \text{if } z_{i,a} = 0. \end{cases} \qquad [14]$$

Epidemiological parameter estimation. Model fitting was performed in a Bayesian framework using the stan language v.2.34.1 (60) implemented with cmdstanr v.0.7.1 (61) in R v.4.3.3. Parameters were assigned weakly or moderately informative prior distributions based on the results from a previous analysis (40) for the pre–intervention data (S1–S7, see Table 1). For the post–intervention analysis (S8–S12), several model parameter values were taken directly from the pre–intervention analysis posterior distributions; including the parameters relating worm burdens to egg counts (Λ, γ) and the worm mortality rate (σ), which was taken as the highest percentile of the posterior estimate ($\sigma = 0.116$), corresponding to a mean worm lifespan of 6 years, to account for higher parasite mortality resulting from periodic anthelmintic treatment. Each model was run with four parallel chains with a burn-in of 1700 iterations per chain and a total of 1000 iterations. The Gelman–Rubin diagnostic $\hat{r} \leq 1.01$ and effective sample size >500 were used to diagnose successful Markov chain convergence. Results are presented as credible intervals (CrI) of parameter posterior distribution or prediction intervals (PI) from simulations.

Induction and latent period. We performed a time–to–event analysis to validate the induction and latent periods estimated by the earlier evolutionary cancer analysis using population–level data. We obtained the age distribution of 10,737 cases of cholangiocarcinoma diagnosed at Srinagarind Hospital between 1985–2009 (33) which were grouped into age classes. We consider that for each cancer case at age a there is an unknown age at which a driver mutation was obtained m, where $m < a$. Values of m are drawn from a gamma distribution with mean μ_{mut} and shape parameter α_{mut}. Following the mutation at age m there is a latent period before cancer diagnosis at age a, this latent period is also gamma distributed with mean μ_{cca} and shape α_{cca}. The probability of developing cholangiocarcinoma at age a, ϕ_a is therefore

$$\phi_a = \sum_{m=1}^{a-1} Gamma\big(m \mid \mu_{mut}, \alpha_{mut}\big) \cdot$$

$$Gamma\big(a - m \mid \mu_{cca}, \alpha_{cca}\big). \qquad [15]$$

Cholangiocarcinoma cases are reported by age group with a lower bound of l and an upper bound of u in years. The likelihood $\mathcal{L}$ for each observation is therefore optimised on the interval probability, given by

$$\mathcal{L} = 1 - \prod_{a=l}^{u} (1 - \phi_a). \qquad [16]$$

Probability of acquiring cholangiocarcinoma. We adopt a binomial regression framework with the probability of contracting cholangiocarcinoma at age a in years given by

$$\pi_a = logit^{-1}\big(\alpha_a + \beta x_f\big), \qquad [17]$$

where α is an age dependent intercept and β is a coefficient multiplied by a binary variable $x_f \in \{0, 1\}$ indicating whether the cases of cholangiocarcinoma come from a region endemic for liver fluke ($x_f = 1$ for Thailand and $x_f = 0$ for Malaysia). Values of α are drawn from a multivariate normal distribution with a covariance matrix which accounts for temporal autocorrelation between years.

Counts of cholangiocarcinoma cases c are reported by age group with a lower bound l and an upper bound of u in years

and are therefore interval censored. Cases are drawn from an underlying population of size N. We can therefore express a binomial likelihood function for each interval,

$$Pr\big(C_l^u = c_l^u | N_l^u, \theta_l^u\big) = Binom\big(c_l^u \mid N_l^u, \theta_l^u\big), \qquad [18]$$

where the interval probability of cancer θ_l^u is related to the yearly probability

$$\theta_l^u = 1 - \prod_{a=l}^{u}(1 - \pi_a). \qquad [19]$$

Data on cholangiocarcinoma cases in Thailand come from the cancer registry at Srinagarind Hospital in Khon Kaen (33). For this analysis we used counts of cholangiocarcinoma cases between 1998–2009 along with corresponding demographic data (N) for Khon Kaen in the same period (73). Cancer case and demographic data for Malaysia between 2007–2009 were obtained from the World Health Organization Mortality Database (36), using version 10 ICD codes C22 (malignant neoplasm of liver and intrahepatic bile ducts) and C24 (malignant neoplasm of other and unspecified parts of biliary tract). We assumed that half of these biliary cancers were attributable to cholangiocarcinoma (18) and, given the very low survival rate, that mortality is a valid approximation for incidence (16, 17).

Acknowledgements. We thank Dr. Stefan Dentro and Prof. Moritz Gerstung for providing additional code relating to the PCAWG consortium analysis to calculate mutation times of 2,778 tumour genomes. We appreciate the comments from Dr. Arporn Wangwiwatsin and Prof. Watcharin Loilome on a poster relating to this manuscript. We further thank Dr. Jessica Clark and Dr. Paul Johnson for discussions on the survival analysis.

Access to whole-genome paired cancer and normal sequence data was approved by the International Cancer Genome Consortium Data Access Compliance Office (reference: DACO-63). We acknowledge the important role of Prof. Apinya Jusakul, Prof. Patrick Tan and colleagues for originally generating this sequence data.

Access to the SG10K_Pilot data was approved by the National Precision Medicine Data Access Committee (NPM DAC) in Singapore (Application no. SG10KP00043).

We thank the 'SG10K_Pilot Investigators' for providing the 'SG10K_Pilot data' (EGAD00001005337). The data from the 'SG10K_Pilot Study' reported here were obtained from EGA. This manuscript was not prepared in collaboration with the 'SG10K_Pilot Study' and does not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of the 'SG10K_Pilot Study'.

We used data from the World Health Organization (WHO) Mortality Database for biliary cancer incidence. The analyses, interpretations and conclusions shown in this article are attributable solely to the authors and not to WHO, which is responsible only for the provision of the original information.

This research was funded in whole, or in part, by the Wellcome Trust [Grant number 215919/B/19/Z]. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

C.B. acknowledges that this study was performed under the collaborative umbrella of the European Network for the Study of Cholangiocarcinoma (EURO-CHOLANGIO-NET) supported by COST action (CA) 18122 and the Precision-BTC network supported by CA22125. F.V. acknowledges funding from a short term grant from EURO-CHOLANGIO-NET CA18122.

P.S. acknowledges funding from the National Research Council of Thailand as part of the Fluke–Free Thailand program.

Ethical Statement. As an analysis of previously published and anonymous human data, this study met the criteria for exemption from ethical review at the Universities of Oxford and Glasgow.

Data and Code Accessibility. This study uses previously published datasets. Code to reproduce the analysis is available at https://github.com/tc13/ov-cca-models/.

Authors' Contributions. T.C. conceptualised the study, T.C. developed methodology, T.C. analysed epidemiological data, T.C and F.V. analysed cancer genomic data, P.S provided resources, C.B. provided supervision for cancer genomics, T.D.H. provided supervision for epidemiological modelling, T.C. wrote the manuscript.

Competing Interests. C.B. received honoraria as speaker (AstraZeneca, Incyte) and consultant (Incyte, Servier, Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Jazz Therapeutics, Tahio), received research funds (Avacta, Medannex, Servier) and her spouse is an employee of AstraZeneca. The other authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Catherine de Martel, Damien Georges, Freddie Bray, Jacques Ferlay, and Gary M Clifford. Global burden of cancer attributable to infections in 2018: a worldwide incidence analysis. *The Lancet global health*, 8(2):e180–e190, 2020.

2. Peter J Hotez. *Forgotten people, forgotten diseases: the neglected tropical diseases and their impact on global health and development.* John Wiley & Sons, 2021.

3. Anna Borlase, Joaquin M Prada, and Thomas Crellen. Modelling morbidity for neglected tropical diseases: the long and winding road from cumulative exposure to long-term pathology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 378(1887):20220279, 2023.

4. Sergi Alonso, Moses Arinaitwe, Alon Atuhaire, Andrina Barungi Nankasi, Joaquín M Prada, Emma McIntosh, and Poppy HL Lamberton. The short-term impact of schistosoma mansoni infection on health-related quality of life: implications for current elimination policies. *Proceedings B*, 291(2024):20240449, 2024.

5. Paiboon Sithithaworn, Melissa R Haswell-Elkins, Pisaln Mairiang, Soisungwan Satarug, Eimorn Mairiang, Vanchai Vatanasapt, and David B Elkins. Parasite-associated morbidity: liver fluke infection and bile duct cancer in northeast thailand. *International journal for parasitology*, 24(6): 833–843, 1994.

6. Carl Grundy-Warr, Ross H Andrews, Paiboon Sithithaworn, Trevor N Petney, Banchop Sripa, Luxana Laithavewat, and Alan D Ziegler. Raw attitudes, wetland cultures, life-cycles: socio-cultural dynamics relating to opisthorchis viverrini in the mekong basin. *Parasitology international*, 61(1):65–70, 2012.

7. Ting-Ting Zhao, Yi-Jing Feng, Pham Ngoc Doanh, Somphou Sayasone, Virak Khieu, Choosak Nithikathkul, Men-Bao Qian, Yuan-Tao Hao, and Ying-Si Lai. Model-based spatial-temporal mapping of opisthorchiasis in endemic countries of southeast asia. *elife*, 10:e59755, 2021.

8. P Jongsuksuntigul and T Imsomboon. The impact of a decade long opisthorchiasis control program in northeastern thailand. *The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, 28(3):551–557, 1997.

9. Apiporn Suwannatrai, Prasert Saichua, and Melissa Haswell. Epidemiology of Opisthorchis viverrini infection. *Advances in Parasitology*, 101:41–67, 2018.

10. Oranard Wattanawong, Sopon Iamsirithaworn, Thongroo Kophachon, Worayuth Nak-Ai, Ampas Wisetmora, Thitima Wongsaroj, Paron Dekumyoy, Choosak Nithikathkul, Apiporn T Suwannatrai, and Banchob Sripa. Current status of helminthiases in Thailand: A cross-sectional, nationwide survey, 2019. *Acta Tropica*, 223:106082, 2021.

11. Virak Khieu, Thomas Fürst, Kazuko Miyamoto, Tai-Soon Yong, Jong-Yil Chai, Rekol Huy, Sinuon Muth, and Peter Odermatt. Is opisthorchis viverrini emerging in cambodia? *Advances in parasitology*, 103:31–73, 2019.

12. Bong-Kwang Jung, Sooji Hong, Taehee Chang, Jaeeun Cho, Seungwan Ryoo, Keon Hoon Lee, Jeonggyu Lee, Woon-Mok Sohn, Sung-Jong Hong, Virak Khieu, et al. High endemicity of opisthorchis viverrini infection among people in northern cambodia confirmed by adult worm expulsion. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1):9654, 2023.

13. V Vatanasapt, N Sriplung, K Chindavijak, S Sontipong, H Sriamporn, DM Parkin, and J Ferlay. Cancer incidence in thailand, 1988-1991. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention: a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology*, 4(5):475–483, 1995.

14. Andrea A Florio, Jacques Ferlay, Ariana Znaor, David Ruggieri, Christian S Alvarez, Mathieu Laversanne, Freddie Bray, Katherine A McGlynn, and Jessica L Petrick. Global incidence and trends in intra-and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma from 1993 to 2012. *Cancer*, 126(11):2666, 2020.

15. William R Jarnagin, Yuman Fong, Ronald P DeMatteo, Mithat Gonen, Edmund C Burke, Jessica Bodniewicz, Miranda Youssef, David Klimstra, and Leslie H Blumgart. Staging, resectability, and outcome in 225 patients with hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Annals of surgery*, 234(4):507–519, 2001.

16. Juan Valle, Harpreet Wasan, Daniel H Palmer, David Cunningham, Alan Anthoney, Anthony Maraveyas, Srinivasan Madhusudan, Tim Iveson, Sharon Hughes, Stephen P Pereira, et al. Cisplatin plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine for biliary tract cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 362(14):1273–1281, 2010.

17. Sumera Rizvi, Shahid A Khan, Christopher L Hallemeier, Robin K Kelley, and Gregory J Gores. Cholangiocarcinoma—evolving concepts and therapeutic strategies. *Nature reviews Clinical oncology*, 15(2):95–111, 2018.

18. Banchob Sripa, Paul J Brindley, Jason Mulvenna, Thewarach Laha, Michael J Smout, Eimorn Mairiang, Jeffrey M Bethony, and Alex Loukas. The tumorigenic liver fluke Opisthorchis viverrini–multiple pathways to cancer. *Trends in Parasitology*, 28(10):395–407, 2012.

19. Thomas Crellen, Paiboon Sithithaworn, Opal Pitaksakulrat, Narong Khuntikeo, Graham F Medley, and T Déirdre Hollingsworth. Towards evidence-based control of Opisthorchis viverrini. *Trends in Parasitology*, 37(5):370–380, 2021.

20. Narong Khuntikeo, Bandit Thinkhamrop, Thomas Crellen, Chatanun Eamudomkarn, Trevor N Petney, Ross H Andrews, and Paiboon Sithithaworn. Epidemiology and control of opisthorchis viverrini infection: Implications for cholangiocarcinoma prevention. In *Liver Fluke, Opisthorchis viverrini Related Cholangiocarcinoma: Liver Fluke Related Cholangiocarcinoma*, pages 27–52. Springer, 2023.

21. GF Medley and DA Bundy. Dynamic modeling of epidemiologic patterns of schistosomiasis morbidity. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*, 55(5 Suppl):149–158, 1996.

22. Moritz Gerstung, Clemency Jolly, Ignaty Leshchiner, Stefan C Dentro, Santiago Gonzalez, Daniel Rosebrock, Thomas J Mitchell, Yulia Rubanova, Pavana Anur, Kaixian Yu, et al. The evolutionary history of 2,658 cancers. *Nature*, 578(7793):122–128, 2020.

23. G Maria Jakobsdottir, Stefan C Dentro, Robert G Bristow, and David C Wedge. Amplification-timer: An r package for timing sequential amplification events. *Bioinformatics*, page btae281, 2024.

24. Stefan C Dentro, David C Wedge, and Peter Van Loo. Principles of reconstructing the subclonal architecture of cancers. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine*, 7(8):a026625, 2017.

25. Apinya Jusakul, Ioana Cutcutache, Chern Han Yong, Jing Quan Lim, Mi Ni Huang, Nisha Padmanabhan, Vishwa Nellore, Sarinya Kongpetch, Alvin Wei Tian Ng, Ley Moy Ng, et al. Whole-genome and epigenomic landscapes of etiologically distinct subtypes of cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer discovery*, 7(10):1116–1135, 2017.

26. Benjamin Goeppert, Trine Folseraas, Stephanie Roessler, Matthias Kloor, Anna-Lena Volckmar, Volker Endris, Ivo Buchhalter, Albrecht Stenzinger, Krzysztof Grzyb, Marit M Grimsrud, et al. Genomic characterization of cholangiocarcinoma in primary sclerosing cholangitis reveals therapeutic opportunities. *Hepatology*, 72(4):1253–1266, 2020.

27. Benjamin Goeppert, Damian Stichel, Reka Toth, Sarah Fritzsche, Moritz Anton Loeffler, Anna Melissa Schlitter, Olaf Neumann, Yassen Assenov, Monika Nadja Vogel, Arianeb Mehrabi, et al. Integrative analysis reveals early and distinct genetic and epigenetic changes in intraductal papillary and tubulopapillary cholangiocarcinogenesis. *Gut*, 71(2):391–401, 2022.

28. Khaa Hoo Ong, Yao-Yu Hsieh, Hong-Yue Lai, Ding-Ping Sun, Tzu-Ju Chen, STEvEN KuAN-HuA HuANG, Yu-Feng Tian, Chia-Lin Chou, Yow-Ling Shiue, Hung-Chang Wu, et al. Lamc2 is a potential prognostic biomarker for cholangiocarcinoma. *Oncology Letters*, 26(6):1–14, 2023.

29. DJ Shaw, BT Grenfell, and AP Dobson. Patterns of macroparasite aggregation in wildlife host populations. *Parasitology*, 117(6):597–610, 1998.

30. Roy M Anderson and Robert M May. *Infectious diseases of humans: dynamics and control*. Oxford University Press, 1991.

31. Jae Hoon Lim. Liver flukes: the malady neglected. *Korean journal of radiology*, 12(3):269–279, 2011.

32. HD Attwood and ST Chou. The longevity of Clonorchis sinensis. *Pathology*, 10(2):153–156, 1978.

33. Supot Kamsa-ard, Surapon Wiangnon, Krittika Suwanrungruang, Supannee Promthet, Narong Khuntikeo, Siriporn Kamsa-ard, Suwannee Mahaweerawat, et al. Trends in liver cancer incidence between 1985 and 2009, khon kaen, thailand: cholangiocarcinoma. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, 12(9):2209–2213, 2011.

34. George Psevdos, Florence M Ford, and Sung-Tae Hong. Screening US vietnam veterans for liver fluke exposure 5 decades after the end of the war. *Infectious Diseases in Clinical Practice*, 26(4):208–210, 2018.

35. Theodore E Nash, David Sullivan, Edward Mitre, Eric Garges, Victoria J Davey, Gary Roselle, Stephen J Davies, and Peter D Rumm. Comments on "Screening US Vietnam veterans for liver fluke exposure 5 decades after the end of the war". *Infectious Diseases in Clinical Practice*, 26(4):240–241, 2018.

36. World Health Organization. website, February 2024. URL https://www.who.int/data/data-collection-tools/who-mortality-database. WHO Mortality Database.

37. Banchob Sripa, Apiporn T Suwannatrai, Somphou Sayasone, Dung Trung Do, Virak Khieu, and Yichao Yang. Current status of human liver fluke infections in the greater mekong subregion. *Acta tropica*, 224:106133, 2021.

38. D Maxwell Parkin, Petcharin Srivatanakul, Myriam Khlat, Dhiraphol Chenvidhya, Pornarong Chotiwan, Somchai Insiripong, Kristan A L'abbé, and Christopher P Wild. Liver cancer in thailand. i. a case-control study of cholangiocarcinoma. *International journal of cancer*, 48(3):323–328, 1991.

39. Nopparat Songserm, Supannee Promthet, Paiboon Sithithaworn, Chamsai Pientong, Tipaya Ekalaksananan, Peechanika Chopjitt, and Donald Maxwell Parkin. Risk factors for cholangiocarcinoma in high-risk area of thailand: role of lifestyle, diet and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms. *Cancer epidemiology*, 36(2):e89–e94, 2012.

40. Thomas Crellen, Melissa Haswell, Paiboon Sithithaworn, Somphou Sayasone, Peter Odermatt, Poppy HL Lamberton, Simon EF Spencer, and T Déirdre Hollingsworth. Diagnosis of helminths depends on worm fecundity and the distribution of parasites within hosts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 290(1991):20222204, 2023.

41. Melissa R Haswell-Elkins, Soisungwan Satarug, Mitsuhiro Tsuda, Eimorn Mairiang, Hiroyasu Esumi, Paiboon Sithithaworn, Pisain Mairiang, Minoru Saitoh, Puangrat Yongvanit, and David B Elkins. Liver fluke infection and cholangiocarcinoma: model of endogenous nitric oxide and extragastric nitrosation in human carcinogenesis. *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*, 305(2):241–252, 1994.

42. Joanne P Webster, David H Molyneux, Peter J Hotez, and Alan Fenwick. The contribution of mass drug administration to global health: past, present and future. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 369(1645):20130434, 2014.

43. Eimorn Mairiang, Melissa R Haswell-Elkins, Pisaln Mairiang, Paiboon Sithithaworn, and David B Elkins. Reversal of biliary tract abnormalities associated with Opisthorchis viverrini infection following praziquantel treatment. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 87(2):194–197, 1993.

44. S Pungpak, C Viravan, B Radomyos, K Chalermrut, C Yemput, W Plooksawasdi, M Ho, T Harinasuta, and D Bunnag. Opisthorchis viverrini infection in thailand: studies on the morbidity of the infection and resolution following praziquantel treatment. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*, 56(3):311–314, 1997.

45. Eimorn Mairiang, Thewarach Laha, Sasithorn Kaewkes, Alex Loukas, Jeffrey Bethony, Paul J Brindley, and Banchob Sripa. Hepatobiliary morbidities detected by ultrasonography in opisthorchis viverrini-infected patients before and after praziquantel treatment: a five-year follow up study. *Acta tropica*, 217:105853, 2021.

46. Kavin Thinkhamrop, Narong Khuntikeo, Nittaya Chamadol, Apiporn T Suwannatrai, Surachai Phimha, and Matthew Kelly. Associations between ultrasound screening findings and cholangiocarcinoma diagnosis in an at-risk population. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1):13513, 2022.

47. Sue J Goldie, Daniel Grima, Michele Kohli, Thomas C Wright, Milton Weinstein, and Eduardo Franco. A comprehensive natural history model of hpv infection and cervical cancer to estimate the clinical impact of a prophylactic hpv-16/18 vaccine. *International Journal of cancer*, 106(6):896–904, 2003.

48. Do-Youn Oh, Aiwu Ruth He, Shukui Qin, Li-Tzong Chen, Takuji Okusaka, Arndt Vogel, Jin Won Kim, Thatthan Suksombooncharoen, Myung Ah Lee, Masayuki Kitano, et al. Durvalumab plus gemcitabine and cisplatin in advanced biliary tract cancer. *NEJM evidence*, 1(8): EVIDoa2200015, 2022.

49. Felix Krueger. URL https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore. Github.

50. Heng Li and Richard Durbin. Fast and accurate short read alignment with burrows–wheeler transform. *bioinformatics*, 25(14):1754–1760, 2009.

51. Petr Danecek, James K Bonfield, Jennifer Liddle, John Marshall, Valeriu Ohan, Martin O Pollard, Andrew Whitwham, Thomas Keane, Shane A McCarthy, Robert M Davies, and Heng Li. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*, 10(2), 02 2021. ISSN 2047-217X. . URL https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008. giab008.

52. Geraldine A Van der Auwera and Brian D O'Connor. *Genomics in the cloud: using Docker, GATK, and WDL in Terra*. O'Reilly Media, 2020.

53. PCAWG. URL https://dcc.icgc.org/releases/PCAWG/consensus_snv_indel. DACO.

54. Broad Institute. URL https://console.cloud.google.com/storage/browser/gatk-best-practices. GATK Best Practices.

55. Degang Wu, Jinzhuang Dou, Xiaoran Chai, Claire Bellis, Andreas Wilm, Chih Chuan Shih, Wendy Wei Jia Soon, Nicolas Bertin, Clarabelle Bitong Lin, Chiea Chuen Khor, et al. Large-scale whole-genome sequencing of three diverse asian populations in singapore. *Cell*, 179(3): 736–749, 2019.

56. The ICGC/TCGA Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes Consortium. Pan-cancer analysis of whole genomes. *Nature*, 578(7793):82–93, 2020.

57. Serena Nik-Zainal, Peter Van Loo, David C Wedge, Ludmil B Alexandrov, Christopher D Greenman, King Wai Lau, Keiran Raine, David Jones, John Marshall, Manasa Ramakrishna, et al. The life history of 21 breast cancers. *Cell*, 149(5):994–1007, 2012.

58. Niccolo Bolli, Hervé Avet-Loiseau, David C Wedge, Peter Van Loo, Ludmil B Alexandrov, Inigo Martincorena, Kevin J Dawson, Francesco Iorio, Serena Nik-Zainal, Graham R Bignell, et al. Heterogeneity of genomic evolution and mutational profiles in multiple myeloma. *Nature communications*, 5(1):2997, 2014.

59. Zane Koch, Adam Li, Daniel S Evans, Steven Cummings, and Trey Ideker. Somatic mutation as an explanation for epigenetic aging. *bioRxiv*, 2023.

60. Bob Carpenter, Andrew Gelman, Matthew D Hoffman, Daniel Lee, Ben Goodrich, Michael Betancourt, Marcus Brubaker, Jiqiang Guo, Peter Li, and Allen Riddell. Stan: A probabilistic programming language. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 76(1), 2017.

61. Jonah Gabry, Rok Češnovar, and Andrew Johnson. *cmdstanr: R Interface to 'CmdStan'*, 2023. https://mc-stan.org/cmdstanr/, https://discourse.mc-stan.org.

62. Paiboon Sithithaworn, Smarn Tesana, Vichit Pipitgool, Sasithorn Kaewkes, Kasemsri Thaiklar, Chavalit Pairojkul, Bunchob Sripa, Anucha Paupairoj, Pisit Sanpitak, and Chanarong Aranyanat. Quantitative post-mortem study of Opisthorchis viverrini in man in North-East Thailand. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 85(6):765–768, 1991.

63. Roger J Ramsay, Paiboon Sithithaworn, Paul Prociv, Douglas E Moorhouse, and Chingchai Methaphat. Density-dependent fecundity of Opisthorchis viverrini in humans, based on faecal recovery of flukes. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 83(2): 241–242, 1989.

64. MR Haswell-Elkins, DB Elkins, Paiboon Sithithaworn, Phattara Treesarawat, and Sasithorn Kaewkes. Distribution patterns of opisthorchis viverrini within a human community. *Parasitology*, 103(1):97–101, 1991.

65. ES Upatham, V Viyanant, S Kurathong, J Rojborwonwitaya, WY Brockelman, S Ardsungnoen, P Lee, and S Vajrasthira. Relationship between prevalence and intensity of opisthorchis viverrini infection, and clinical symptoms and signs in a rural community in north-east thailand. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 62(3):451, 1984.

66. Sucha Kurathong, Pravit Lerdverasirikul, Virasak Wongpaitoon, Chutima Pramoolsinsap, and E Suchart Upatham. Opisthorchis viverrini infection in rural and urban communities in northeast thailand. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 81(3):411–414, 1987.

67. S Sornmani, FP Schelp, P Vivatanasesth, W Patihatakorn, P Impand, P Sitabutra, P Worasan, and S Preuksaraj. A pilot project for controlling o. viverrini infection in nong wai, northeast thailand, by applying praziquantel and other measures. *Arzneimittel-forschung*, 34(9B):1231–1234, 1984.

68. Soraya J Kaewpitoon, Ratana Rujirakul, and Natthawut Kaewpitoon. Prevalence of opisthorchis viverrini infection in nakhon ratchasima province, northeast thailand. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 13(10):5245–5249, 2012.

69. Kesorn Thaewnongiew, Seri Singthong, Saowalux Kutchamart, Sasithorn Tangsawad, Supannee Promthet, Supan Sailugkum, and Narong Wongba. Prevalence and risk factors for opisthorchis viverrini infections in upper northeast thailand. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 15(16):6609–6612, 2014.

70. Pokkamol Laoraksawong, Oranuch Sanpool, Rutchanee Rodpai, Tongjit Thanchomnang, Wanida Kanarkard, Wanchai Maleewong, Ratthaphol Kraiklang, and Pewpan M Intapan. Current high prevalences of strongyloides stercoralis and opisthorchis viverrini infections in rural communities in northeast thailand and associated risk factors. *BMC Public Health*, 18:1–11, 2018.

71. ES Upatham, V Viyanant, WY Brockelman, S Kurathong, P Lee, and R Kraengraeng. Rate of re-infection by Opisthorchis viverrini in an endemic northeast Thai community after chemotherapy. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 18(5):643–649, 1988.

72. Chenghua Shen, Jae-hwan Kim, Jeong-Keun Lee, Young Mee Bae, Min-Ho Choi, Jin-Kyoung Oh, Min Kyung Lim, Hai-Rim Shin, and Sung-Tae Hong. Collection of Clonorchis sinensis adult worms from infected humans after praziquantel treatment. *The Korean Journal of Parasitology*, 45(2):149, 2007.

73. Thomas Brinkhoff. website, February 2024. URL http://www.citypopulation.de. City Population.