An Effective Visualization-Driven Tool for Power and Sample Size Estimation in Two-Stage General Randomized Basket Trial Designs

Desmond Zeya Chen[1,2,3], Sahil S. Patel[1,4,5], Aoqi Xie[1,2], Jiayin Chen[6], David Castle[7,8], Clement Ma[1,2,*]

Affiliations

1. Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

2. Division of Biostatistics, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

3. Genetics and Genome Biology Program, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada.

4. Department of Statistical Sciences, Faculty of Arts and Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

5. Department of Statistical, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, United States.

6. Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

7. Department of Psychiatry, University of Tasmania, Tasmania, Australia.

8. Centre for Mental Health Service Innovation, Statewide Mental Health Service, Tasmania, Australia.

*Correspondence: clement.ma@camh.ca

Abstract: Basket trial designs with interim analysis have gained significant attention due

to their adaptability, flexibility, and scalability. In response to the need for user-friendly

tools that enhance the real-world applicability of these designs, we developed a web-

based interface aimed at facilitating two-stage basket trial designs. Built using R Shiny,

the tool was rigorously validated for output consistency by comparing it to an established

R pipeline. Additionally, user testing was conducted to ensure the interface is intuitive and

easy to use. The result is a freely accessible tool that provides effective and convenient

visualizations for general basket trial designs with interim analysis, available at

https://desmondzeyachen.shinyapps.io/AdaptiveTwoStageBasketTrialFeb14/.   Future

improvements may further expand the tool's capabilities to accommodate the increasing

complexity of trial designs needed by the research community.

Keywords: Shiny App, Basket Trial, Interim Analysis, visualization, novel trial designs,

Adaptive designs.

1. Introduction

A master protocol trial is a state-of-the-art clinical trial design that innovatively aggregates the evaluation of multiple treatments or disease subtypes[1]. Its flexibility, adaptability, and effectiveness aided it gaining popularity since the onset of the millennium[2]. After the first master protocol managed the Imatinib Target Exploration Consortium Study B2225 basket trial design[3,4], there has been a growing number of such trials being implemented[5,6]. Basket trials with biomarker enrichment have pioneered new frontiers in personalized medicine and thoroughly revolutionized the randomized trial design[7].

Prior work from Chen et al[8] has laid the statistical foundation for an adaptive basket trial design, it exquisitely addresses removal of given baskets based on interim results, while still accurately quantifies a trail-wide type 1 error rate, and a guidance for power. However, the impracticality of equal basket size assumption diminished its applicability. Such assumption is rarely fulfilled in real-word settings, considering the disproportionately distributed nature of disease subtypes prevalences among the population[9]. Following trial design guidance with such assumption may leads to a conservative, under-powered sample size estimate. After extensive investigation, we found an analytical solution to overcome this pitfall and developed a complementary software pipeline for efficient implementation and analysis[10].

1.1 Study Objectives and Existing Work

We aim to provide trial researchers with support in proposal writing, trial monitoring, and

trial execution. Majority of trial design software facilitating multiplicity through multi-arm

multi-stage (MAMS) designs, only a few explicitly address basket trial designs. Berry

Consultants developed a commercial software called FACTS[11] (the "Fixed and Adaptive

Clinical Trial Simulator") , which offers simulation-based analysis with highly flexible

options across different trial classes. FACTS can simulate basket trial designs with early

futility and interim analysis. However, sample size estimation was not supported, as

researchers must search through the range of sample sizes manually. Among open-

source packages and pipelines, the Integrated Platform for Designing Clinical Trials

(https://trialdesign.org) currently features two basket design trial R pipelines based on

Bayesian framework. One of these proposes a calibration to the existing Bayesian

hierarchical approach[12], significantly reducing type I error rate inflation compared to the

uncalibrated version. The other, a Bayesian latent subgroup design[13], clusters cancer

types in basket trials into responsive and non-responsive subgroups, resulting in higher

power and controlled type I error rates compared to standard approaches. Additionally, a

frequentist pipeline that analytically solves for early futility of baskets is available in the

supplemental section of Chen et al[8]. Although this overview highlights several essential

tools and pipelines, it is important to recognize that there may be additional software

options for basket trial design that are not included. Hence, to further enhance the

software applicability and user experience, and to present a holistic elucidation of

proposed trial design, we developed a web-based interactive R Shinny App[14,15], to fill the

methodological gap in two-stage general basket trial design[16].

1.2 Target Audiences and Application Scope

Our tool is designed to support two-stage general randomized basket trials. Unlike

conventional trials that focus on a single disease at a time, basket trials assess the

efficacy of a single treatment across multiple homogenous diseases, significantly

reducing the required sample size. The two-stage setup adds flexibility by allowing

unresponsive disease baskets to be pruned at the interim stage, reallocating the

remaining recruitment quota to responsive baskets. This early futility check ensures that

resources are not wasted, improving the overall efficiency of the trial.

Initially developed for oncology studies, where it has been particularly effective in drug

development for cancers and tumors, this design has become a widely adopted tool in

various clinical research areas. Beyond oncology, the basket trial design is increasingly

used in other therapeutic areas, including rare diseases and precision medicine.

The tool is particularly valuable for clinical trial researchers and biostatistical support

teams due to its accessibility, intuitive usage, and convenience. Its user-friendly interface

simplifies the complex process of designing and analyzing basket trials, making it easier

for researchers to implement this advanced trial design without needing extensive

statistical training.

2. Implementation

2.1 Statistical Methods

The null hypothesis for the design is that none of the baskets are active, while the alternative hypothesis is that only pre-specified baskets are active. As described by Chen et al[8] and Patel et al[10] , the trial's overall Type I error rate is calculated as the sum of rejection probabilities under the null hypothesis for different sets of baskets passing the interim analysis. The rejection probability is assessed based on whether all baskets pass through both the interim and final stages. Similarly, the power is estimated using the same sum of rejection probability function but under the alternative hypothesis, where the rejection region for pre-specified active baskets is adjusted according to the non-centrality parameter given by Chen et al[8].

Analytically solving the sample size formula can be algebraically tedious, so we propose estimating it using a root-finding approach based on the power function. Unequal basket size ratios can be directly estimated from disease prevalence ratios, meaning that only the trial-wide sample size needs to be determined. Given the monotonic relationship between power and sample size, a recursive binary search[17] algorithm is more efficient than computationally expensive iterative loops. This algorithm significantly improves the responsiveness of the app, making it faster and more efficient.

2.2 Variable Definitions and Input Parameters

Both calculations require the user to provide crucial trial characteristics (Figure 1) such

as the standardized effect size (Δ) for each basket. Investigators can estimate this ratio

based on subtype prevalence from published results. Additionally, the proportion of

participants accrued at interim analysis (t) is needed. This is the cutoff point for interim

analysis, expressed as a percentage of the total participants recruited, and should be

consistent across all baskets. The interim significance level (αt) is also required, which is

the designated α level for the interim analysis at proportion t. Any basket with a p-value

exceeding this threshold will be dropped. The trial-wide significance level (α) must also

be provided; this is the designated α level for the entire trial, representing the overall false

positive rate.

Figure 1: Input Parameters Required for Power or Sample Size Calculation

For sample size calculation, the sample size per basket is required. Conversely, for

calculating the sample size per basket, the nominal power (designated $1-\beta$ level for the

entire trial, or one minus the expected type II error rate) and the relative ratio of sample

size in each basket must be provided. These are the primary parameters that directly

influence the calculation, while the number of individuals recruited per month in each

basket only affects the trial duration estimation.

2.3 System Architecture and User Interface Design

Having cloud computing power freely provided by the R Shiny[15] server, the local machine

is only responsible for capturing inputs and rendering outputs on the user interface,

requiring minimal hardware resources. The remote server handles heavy-duty statistical

computing tasks. The main tab of the tool consists of two sections: the input panel and

the output panel. Power and sample size calculations are unified through an initial

question that asks users which type of calculation they intend the tool to perform. The

corresponding trial characteristics are then required for the calculation of their choice. On

the output panel, an interactive trial design plot is displayed above the text summary. If

incorrect inputs are provided, error messages will appear, masking all outputs.

Additionally, a 'Readme' tab is available, offering an example, detailed explanations of the

interface, and the statistical methods used, helping users gain hands-on experience.

2.4 Operational Workflow and Error Detection

Users can input trial characteristics with just a few clicks and text entries. The app is

initiated by clicking the 'Calculate Now!' button. All input parameters are sent to the

backend, where they are checked for missing data or syntax errors before being passed

into the function. The function then verifies that the sample sizes are whole numbers

within baskets at each stage. If any errors are found, they are promptly flagged for

correction. Once all error checks are passed, the power or sample size is computed. Both

summary statistics and trial characteristics inputs are compiled into a plot to visually

represent the proposed trial. A text summary of the plot is displayed below for additional

clarity. Users could then download the plot and text summary for their intended use.\

2.5 Validation, Reproducibility, and User Testing

To enhance reproducibility, we conducted extensive validation analysis and user testing.

App's outputs were systematically compared with results generated by the original R

scripts used in the underlying statistical calculations from Patel et al[10]. This cross-

verification ensured that the app replicates the established methods accurately. A variety

of edge cases were tested, including extreme values of parameters and atypical trial

configurations, to confirm the app handles all inputs robustly and responsively. This is

crucial for identifying any scenarios where the app will fail and imposing corresponding

error checkers as countermeasures. Additionally, we carefully examined the app's error

reporting mechanisms to ensure they provide clear and actionable feedback to users.

This included verifying that the app correctly identifies and flags incorrect or missing

inputs before proceeding with calculations. Finally, we conducted user acceptance testing with potential end-users like clinicians and biostatisticians to validate the app's functionality in real-world scenarios. Participants were asked to replicate an example provided in the questionnaire, specifically for testing reproducibility. Feedback from these tests was incorporated to refine the app's interface and usability.

3. Results

Our two-stage general basket design visualization tool is hosted at (https://desmondzeyachen.shinyapps.io/AdaptiveTwoStageBasketTrialFeb14/).

To ensure broad accessibility, the app is designed to be fully responsive, allowing seamless operation on desktops, tablets, or even smartphones. The intuitive user interface (Figure 2) is built to cater to users with varying levels of statistical knowledge, ensuring that even those unfamiliar with advanced statistical methods can easily navigate the tool. The interactive trial design figure can be easily downloaded as a JPEG file, and the design summary provides a clear, technical explanation of each characteristic and the summary statistics of the proposed trial design.

Figure 2: App Interface

3.1 User Interaction Feedback and Usability

Three users participated in the testing of the Shiny app, their feedback focused on ease

of use, functionality, layout, and performance. All users found the app easy to navigate,

especially with the help of default examples and detailed guidance provided in the

Readme tab. Our original goal was to make the tool accessible to users with limited

statistical training, aiming to simplify clinical trial design. However, one user noted that the

use of highly specialized statistical language in the input variable names and explanations

could create barriers for our target audience, potentially undermining our objective. We

addressed this issue by incorporating additional explanations for each input from a trial

design perspective, clarifying 'What does this mean in clinical trial terminology?' and 'How

could you decide on a value for it?'

The app was also presented at an internal meeting, where feedback related to sample

size estimation functionality was raised. In response, we enhanced the app by adding

features that support sample size estimation directly within the tool, further improving its

utility for users designing clinical trials.

The app's layout and functionality were deemed logical and well-organized, with users

appreciating the clear instructions and intuitive design. Suggestions for enhanced result

explanations and improved result visibility were carefully incorporated. In terms of

performance, while the app generally worked well, users did experience occasional

freezing or slower performance when working with larger sample sizes. These issues are

common with the free version of the Shiny App server, and we plan to address them by

either hosting the app on an internal server or upgrading to a premium account. All users

expressed their willingness to use and recommend the app, citing its user-friendly

interface and practical features. The user testing process, along with the feedback from

the internal meeting, significantly improved the app's usability and provided

valuable, unbiased feedback from potential users.

a. Comparative Analysis

Primary trial characteristics like the total sample size, interim sample size, and number of

baskets are influenced by the interrelated inputs: the number of baskets, sample size per

basket, and interim proportions. Selecting an interim alpha of 0.3 allows for effective

interim analysis without being overly conservative during partial recruitment. The trial-

wide alpha is set at the conventional two-sided significance level of 0.025. We validate

the Shiny App by comparing it against an R pipeline, using selected combinations of these

inputs while controlling for effect sizes and alphas (Table 1).

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of the Tool vs R pipeline varying number of baskets and interim proportion						
Number of Baskets	Size Per Basket	Interim Proportion	R code alpha*	Shiny App alpha*	R code Power	Shiny App Power
2	(60,60)	0.3	0.018037	0.018	0.765977	77%
2	(60,60)	0.5	0.014339	0.0143	0.789333	79%
3	(60,60,30)	0.3	0.0137	0.0137	0.860155	86%
3	(60,60,30)	0.5	0.01057	0.0106	0.872503	87%
4	(60,60,20,60)	0.3	0.011321	0.0113	0.942003	94%
4	(60,60,20,60)	0.5	0.008469	0.0085	0.948105	95%
5	(60,60,20,60,40)	0.3	0.009582	0.0096	0.973314	97%
5	(60,60,20,60,40)	0.5	0.006907	0.0069	0.976438	98%
6	(60,60,20,60,40,60)	0.3	0.008301	0.0083	0.991963	99%
6	(60,60,20,60,40,60)	0.5	0.005759	0.0058	0.993236	99%
7	(60,60,20,30,40,60,30)	0.3	0.007563	0.0076	0.992084	99%
7	(60,60,20,30,40,60,30)	0.5	0.005134	0.0051	0.993035	99%
8	(60,60,20,30,40,40,30,20)	0.3	0.006894	0.0069	0.992196	99%
8	(60,60,20,30,40,40,30,20)	0.5	0.004558	0.0046	0.99303	99%
9	(60,30,20,30,40,40,30,20,30)	0.3	0.006115	0.0061	0.992624	99%

9	(60,30,20,30,40,40,30,20,30)	0.5	0.00388	0.0039	0.993487	99%
10	(20,30,20,30,40,40,30,20,30,40)	0.3	0.005407	0.0054	0.99337	99%
10	(20,30,20,30,40,40,30,20,30,40)	0.5	0.003276	0.0033	0.994405	99%

Table 1: Assuming standardized effect size are all 0.5, all baskets are active interim alpha set at 0.3, and trial-wide alpha set at 0.025, alpha* is the recommended significance threshold of final analysis based on user provided trial wide alpha.

The alternative hypothesis is defined by user-specified effect sizes, with a value of 0

indicating that a basket will be pruned during interim analysis. To validate the tool under

different alternative hypothesis scenarios, we varied the effect sizes per basket while

keeping the sample size, number of baskets, interim proportion, and alphas constant

(Table 2).

The results from the tool matched those generated by the R code after rounding,

confirming that the tool correctly interprets the inputs and performs equivalently to the R

code. This validation demonstrates the tool's robustness and reliability in replicating

established statistical processes. The consistency between the tool and the R code

suggests that users can confidently employ the tool for interim analysis and hypothesis

testing in clinical trials.

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of the Tool vs R pipeline varying effect size per basket					
Effect Size Per Basket	Truly Active Vector	R code alpha*	Shiny App alpha*	R code Power	Shiny App Power
(0.2,0.2,0)	(1,1,0)	0.01370037	0.0137	0.19221983	19%
(0.2,0.2,0.2)	(1,1,1)	0.01369794	0.0137	0.23586268	24%

(0.5,0.2,0)	(1,1,0)	0.01370189	0.0137	0.55850379	56%
(0.5,0.2,0.2)	(1,1,1)	0.01369941	0.0137	0.58211621	58%
(0.5,0.5,0)	(1,1,0)	0.01370145	0.0137	0.78413515	78%
(0.5,0.5,0.2)	(1,1,1)	0.01370213	0.0137	0.79281031	79%
(0.5,0.5,0.5)	(1,1,1)	0.0137017	0.0137	0.8601982	86%

Table 2: Varying effect size & whether the basket is truly active of (60,60,30). Interim proportion set at 0.3, interim alpha set at 0.3, and trial-wide alpha set at 0.025. Truly active vector, the corresponding baskets that pass interim analysis, deduced via effect size per basket that user provided.

4. Discussion

Our open-source calculator is compiled to run on browser while computing were done

remotely at RShiny[15] server. Both power and sample size calculation functions were

integrated into the same interface. Instead of manually constructing the pipeline,

calibrating inputs, rephrasing outputs so its human readable, and plotting the design; our

visualization tool automates all above tasks with just few simple steps. It provides users

with an integrated, statistics enriched trial design figure and detailed textual exposition of

the trial design alongside statistical results. These outputs can be conveniently

assimilated as presented.

This user-friendly approach not only saves time but also reduces the potential for human

error in trial design, ensuring a higher degree of accuracy and consistency. The tool's

ability to produce ready-to-assimilate outputs enhances its practical value, allowing for

immediate integration into trial planning and reporting.

As clinical research moves towards more complex trial designs, basket trials have

become increasingly popular due to their efficiency in exploring the effects of interventions

across multiple disease types. These designs allow clinicians to investigate multiple

patient populations simultaneously, improving resource allocation and potentially

accelerating the discovery of effective treatments. Our tool fits seamlessly into this

evolving landscape, providing an accessible means for researchers to engage with these

complex designs without the need for extensive statistical training. By supporting the

development and execution of basket trials, the tool also holds potential for educational

purposes, enabling clinicians and researchers to independently explore and visualize

these innovative trial structures. This flexibility, combined with the potential to expand into

multi-stage and multi-arm trial designs, underscores the importance of developing tools

that can keep pace with modern research needs.

Additionally, as the use of basket trials continues to expand, our tool is positioned to

evolve alongside these developments, with potential future enhancements to support

multi-stage designs, multi-arm trials, and other innovative trial methodologies. Gathering

user feedback will be critical in guiding these developments to ensure the tool continues

to meet the evolving needs of the research community.

Author Contributions

D.Z.C. and C.M. conceptualized the study. C.M. supervised the study. D.Z.C. and C.M.

drafted the manuscript. D.Z.C. performed the analyses, developed the visualization

software, and summarized the results. S.S.P. developed the base R code. S.S.P., A.X.,

J.C., and D.C. contributed to the investigation by conducting user testing of the application.

All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The author(s) declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Marcos Sanches and the rest of the biostatistics core at CAMH for

their insightful questions during the internal meeting, which played a key role in shaping

the development of this application. We would like to acknowledge the support of the

University of Toronto Fellowship, provided by the University of Toronto for funding this

research.

Software and Web Resources

The visualization tool was developed using R[14] version 4.3.2. The implementation

involved several R packages, including shiny[15] (version 1.8.0), shinythemes[18] (version

1.2.0), ggplot2[19] (version 3.4.4), ggtext[20] (version 0.1.2), and ggforce[21] (version 0.4.1).

References:

1.   Redman MW, Allegra CJ. The Master Protocol Concept. *Semin Oncol*. Oct 2015;42(5):724-30.
doi:10.1053/j.seminoncol.2015.07.009
2.   Cunanan KM, Iasonos A, Shen R, Begg CB, Gonen M. An efficient basket trial design. *Stat Med*. May 10
2017;36(10):1568-1579. doi:10.1002/sim.7227
3.   McArthur GA, Demetri GD, van Oosterom A, et al. Molecular and clinical analysis of locally advanced
dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans treated with imatinib: Imatinib Target Exploration Consortium Study B2225.
J Clin Oncol. Feb 1 2005;23(4):866-73. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.07.088
4.   Heinrich MC, Joensuu H, Demetri GD, et al. Phase II, open-label study evaluating the activity of imatinib
in treating life-threatening malignancies known to be associated with imatinib-sensitive tyrosine kinases. *Clin*
Cancer Res. May 1 2008;14(9):2717-25. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-4575
5.   Park JJH, Siden E, Zoratti MJ, et al. Systematic review of basket trials, umbrella trials, and platform trials: a
landscape analysis of master protocols. *Trials*. Sep 18 2019;20(1):572. doi:10.1186/s13063-019-3664-1
6.   Cummings J, Montes A, Kamboj S, Cacho JF. The role of basket trials in drug development for
neurodegenerative disorders. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. May 25 2022;14(1):73. doi:10.1186/s13195-022-01015-6
7.   Fountzilas E, Tsimberidou AM, Vo HH, Kurzrock R. Clinical trial design in the era of precision medicine.
Genome Med. Aug 31 2022;14(1):101. doi:10.1186/s13073-022-01102-1
8.   Chen C ea. Statistical Design and Considerations of a Phase 3 Basket Trial for Simultaneous Investigation
of Multiple Tumor Types in One Study. *Statistics in Biopharmaceutical Research*. 2016;8(3):248-257.
doi:10.1080/19466315.2016.1193044
9.   Wang M, Spiegelman D, Kuchiba A, et al. Statistical methods for studying disease subtype heterogeneity.
Stat Med. Feb 28 2016;35(5):782-800. doi:10.1002/sim.6793
10.   Patel SS. Randomized Basket Trial with an Interim Analysis (RaBIt) and Applications in Mental Health. 2024
11.   Berry Consultants. Fixed and adaptive clinical trial simulator. Accessed 08-13, 2024.
https://www.berryconsultants.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/FACTS-Overview.pdf
12.   Chu Y, Yuan Y. A Bayesian basket trial design using a calibrated Bayesian hierarchical model. *Clin Trials*.
Apr 2018;15(2):149-158. doi:10.1177/1740774518755122
13.   Yiyi Chu YY. BLAST: Bayesian Latent Subgroup Design for Basket Trials Accounting for Patient
Heterogeneity. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C: Applied Statistics*. 2018;67(3):723–740.
14.   RCoreTeam. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.R-project.org/
15.   *Shiny App: Easy web applications in R*. Version 1.8.0. 2013. http://www.rstudio.com/shiny/
16.   Kasim A, Bean N, Hendriksen SJ, Chen TT, Zhou H, Psioda MA. Basket trials in oncology: a systematic
review of practices and methods, comparative analysis of innovative methods, and an appraisal of a missed
opportunity. *Front Oncol*. 2023;13:1266286. doi:10.3389/fonc.2023.1266286
17.   D.H.Lehmer. *Proc Symp Appl Math*. 1960;10:180-181.
18.   Chang W. shinythemes: Themes for Shiny. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=shinythemes
19.   Wickham H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York; 2016.
https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org
20.   Wiernik COWaBM. ggtext: Improved Text Rendering Support for 'ggplot2'. https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=ggtext
21.   Pedersen TL. ggforce: Accelerating 'ggplot2'. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggforce