Chlorinated Drinking Water Exposure Enriches Antimicrobial Resistance Pathways in the Infant Gut Microbiome: A Randomized Trial.

Kimberley Parkin[1,2], Claus T. Christophersen[3,4], Valerie Verhasselt[5,6], Debra J. Palmer[2,6], Matthew N. Cooper[2,6], Susan L. Prescott[2,7,8,9,10], Desiree Silva[2,4,7,10], David Martino[1,11,*]

Wal-yan Respiratory Research Centre, The Kids Research Institute Australia, Nedlands, Perth, WA 6009, Australia
Medical School, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia
WA Human Microbiome Collaboration Centre, Curtin University, Bentley, Perth, WA 6102, Australia
Nutrition & Health Innovation Research Institute, School of Medical and Health Sciences, Edith Cowen University, Joondalup, Perth, WA 6027, Australia
Larsson-Rosenquist Centre for Immunology and Breastfeeding, Medical School, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia
The Kids Research Institute Australia, Nedlands, Perth, WA 6009, Australia
Joondalup Health Campus, Joondalup, Perth, WA 6027, Australia
Nova Institute for Health, Baltimore, Maryland 21231, USA
Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA
The ORIGINS Project, The Kids Research Institute Australia, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia
School of Molecular Sciences, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia

*Correspondence: david.martino@thekids.org.au, The Kids Research Institute Australia, Perth Children's Hospital, 15 Hospital Avenue, Nedlands WA 6009

Trial Registration: ACTRN12619000458134;
https://www.anzctr.org.au

Short Title: Chlorinated Water Effects on Infant Gut Microbiota

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare that this study received funding from the Water Corporation WA. This funding was used to support the costs associated with home tap water analysis and metagenomic sequencing. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The authors declare no other potential conflicts of interest.

Funding
This research was funded by the Kids Research Institute Australia Bluesky award, and the Water Corporation, Australia. The Water Corporation had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Figures: 4
Tables: 2
References: 33

ABSTRACT

Background: Water chlorination is essential for controlling harmful microbes in drinking water; however, the antimicrobial effects of chlorine-based disinfectants present in tap water may influence early life gut microbial ecology.

Objective: To investigate the functional and compositional impact of chlorinated drinking water on the gut microbiome of infants.

Design: The waTer qUality and Microbiome Study (TUMS) was an Australian-based double-blinded, randomised controlled trial. Six-month old infants (n=197) received either de-chlorinated drinking water via benchtop filtration (treatment, n=99), or regular chlorinated water (control, n=98) for twelve months. Tap water and stool samples were collected at baseline and at end of intervention. Metagenomic sequencing was used for faecal microbiome analysis. Primary outcomes were differences in gut microbiota alpha and beta diversity. Secondary outcomes included changes in the differential abundance of species-level genome bins (SGBs) and functional profiles.

Results: 170 baseline (83 control, 87 intervention), and 130 end of intervention (65 control, 65 intervention) stool samples were collected. Overall community structure was similar between groups after the intervention, including beta diversity (95% CI; 0.4, 0.13, p=0.35), richness (-4.25, 95% CI; -14.85, 6.35, p=0.43) or Shannon Index (0.06, 95% CI; -0.14, -0.32, 0.04, p=0.45). The chlorinated water group showed enrichment of antibiotic resistance MetaCyc groups and pathways (adjusted p < 0.05).

Conclusion: Chlorinated drinking water may enhance resistance functions in the infant gut microbiome. While remaining vital for public health, future studies should explore whether adjusting the timing or method of drinking water disinfectants into the infant diet can reduce selective pressures.

Key words: *Antibiotic resistance, water chlorination, gut microbiota, infant*

KEY MESSAGES

What is already known on this topic:

Chlorination of drinking water is a cornerstone public health intervention to prevent waterborne disease. Chlorine-based disinfectants possess antimicrobial properties that may influence gut microbial ecology, particularly in early life when microbial communities are still developing. Recent studies in low- and middle-income settings have demonstrated that exposure to chlorinated water can increase the abundance of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) in children's gut microbiota. However, data from high-income settings with advanced water treatment practises, and from randomized controlled trials remain scarce.

What this study adds:

This randomized controlled trial provides the first evidence from a high-income setting that chlorinated drinking water, while not substantially altering gut microbial diversity in infants, is associated with enrichment of ARG-related functional pathways. The findings indicate that routine water disinfection may exert selective pressures that enhance resistance functions in the early-life gut microbiome, even in the absence of overt taxonomic changes.

How this study might affect research, practice or policy:

These findings underscore the need to consider AMR implications when evaluating infant feeding guidelines and drinking water disinfection strategies. Further research should investigate whether timing or mode of drinking water introduction can minimize selective pressures and consider alternative disinfection strategies.

INTRODUCTION

The early life gut microbiota is essential for healthy immune maturation, metabolic development and long-term health [1]. Perturbations during infancy and early childhood can have long-term consequences for gut health and disease resilience. Antibiotic resistance in children

has arisen as a major global health challenge [2], with global surveillance studies estimating a

1.8-fold increase in paediatric cases [3]. The gut microbiota is a potential reservoir for resistance

gene acquisition and transmission, and although antibiotic exposure is the primary driver of

resistome development, other environmental factors may contribute.

One understudied environmental factor and near universal exposure with the potential

to influence antibiotic resistance is chlorinated drinking water [4]. Chlorine disinfectants are

widely implemented and highly effective for controlling enteric infections via the antimicrobial

action of chlorine. Current international standards impose an upper limit of 5 mg/L [5] on residual

chlorine levels in tap water following disinfection, a level considered safe for people of all

ages. According to current infant feeding guidelines in Australia, chlorinated tap water can be

introduced to infants at twelve months of age, yet there is limited empirical evidence to support

this as an optimal time [6].

Previous research in animal models has shown the potential for oral chlorine exposure to alter

gut microbiome composition, diversity, and function [7-11]. Observational cohort studies have

revealed associations between household water sources and distinct gut microbiota signatures

[4,12]. A recent field study conducted in urban Bangladesh linked chlorinated water consumption

in children to an increased abundance of ARGs, raising the possibility that chlorination may

shape the early-life resistome [13]. Whether these effects on the gut microbiome are also evident

in high-income settings with more advanced water treatment infrastructure and

demographically unique microbiota remains an important unanswered question.

The Water Quality and The Microbiome Study (TUMS) was designed to evaluate the

effects of residual chlorine on taxonomic and functional changes in the infant gut microbiota.

We report results from the first randomized controlled trial (RCT) in which six-month-old

infants were assigned to receive either chlorinated tap water or de-chlorinated water for twelve

months, and we prospectively evaluated changes in stool microbiota diversity, composition,

and function[14]. We report that chlorinated tap water increases the carriage of antibiotic-

resistance genes pathways in young children.

METHODS

Study design

The Water Quality and the Microbiome Study (TUMS) is a parallel (ratio 1:1), double-blinded

randomised controlled trial (RCT), nested within the ORIGINS Project birth cohort [15]. The

ORIGINS Project is a longitudinal observational study of 10,000 pregnant women and their

families, recruited from the Joondalup and Wanneroo communities of Western Australia during

pregnancy, and followed until their offspring are five years of age [15]. We recruited six-month-

old infants participating in the ORIGINS Project and randomly assigned them to receive either

de-chlorinated tap water (intervention group) or regular tap water (control group) for twelve

months. De-chlorinated water was provided via a benchtop water filtration device, while the

control group received an identical sham filter that did not alter the chemical composition of

the water.

Stool samples were collected from participants at study entry (baseline, six months of age) and

again after one year (end of intervention, eighteen months of age). Metagenomic sequencing

was performed on samples from both timepoints to profile the gut microbiome. Tap water

samples were collected at baseline (prior to filter installation, pre-intervention) and post-

intervention for detailed chemical analysis. Health assessments were conducted on

approximately half of the cohort (opt-in) at twelve months of age as part of the standard

ORIGINS Project protocol. The study design is presented in Supplementary Figure 1. The

study protocol was approved by the Ramsay Health Care (RHC) WA | SA Human Research

Ethics Committee (EC00266; HREC reference number 1911).

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria were: living within the Perth metropolitan area (Western Australia), born healthy, at least 37 weeks' gestation, and using tap water connected to the mains water supply to prepare infant drinks. Exclusion criteria were: participating in another diet-based research study, using bottled or filtered water to prepare infant drinks, using childcare facilities for three or more days per week, planning overseas holidays lasting longer than four weeks, having received antibiotics since birth, or being in their seventh month of life.

Intervention

All participants received a benchtop water filter attached to their kitchen faucet. The filters assigned to the intervention group contained a Hi-Tech Optimizer carbon filtration block rated to remove >97% of chloramines and >99% of chlorine, while preserving fluoride. The control group received identical filters with a sediment-only filter that did not alter the chemical composition of the water. Participants were encouraged to use the provided filter for all infant drinks, maintain normal drinking habits, and carry study-supplied filtered water when outside the home. Filter cartridges were rated for 5,000 litres or twelve months to last the study duration. Participants were advised to follow Australian Infant Feeding Guidelines, regardless of group assignment. Pure Water Systems (Burleigh Heads, Queensland, Australia) supplied the unbranded intervention devices but did not sponsor this study. Both participants and investigators were blinded during the intervention period.

Randomisation and blinding

Following enrolment, participants were randomised to either treatment group 'A' or 'B' using minimization (biased coin; 0.7) stratified by sex, breastfeeding status at recruitment, and mode of delivery. Allocation of filters to treatment groups was done by an independent biostatistician,

with both participants and investigators blinded throughout the intervention. The allocator

labelled filters, removing identifying information, and revealed group assignments only after

data collection was complete. Filters were installed by the principal investigator, and

unblinding occurred at completion of the intervention.

Data collection

House visits: Home visits were conducted at study entry and post-intervention by a member of

the research team. Baseline data collection included a study entry questionnaire, stool sample

collection, tap water sampling, and filter installation. Post-intervention, a water sample was

collected from the filter before removal. Participants returned their stool sample kits via a pre-

paid envelope.

Water quality testing: A sample of flushed (30 seconds) tap water was analysed for heavy

metals, major ions, nitrates, pH, phosphates, chloramines, and trihalomethanes at baseline

before installing the intervention filter, and at end of intervention. On-site testing for free/total

chlorine and pH was also conducted using a Hach D300 pocket colorimeter. Sample collection

bottles were filled to exclude air and kept out of direct sunlight. Water samples were delivered

to accredited facility ChemCentre in Bentley, Perth.

Questionnaires: Participants completed ORIGINS Project questionnaires providing

information on antenatal characteristics, water use, child health, breastfeeding, demographics,

medical history, and diet. Additional questionnaires assessed filter usage at nine, twelve, and

fifteen months. Data was entered into a secure REDCap database.

Health assessments: Infant eczema, recurrent wheeze, and allergen sensitization were assessed

at twelve months in a subset of participants who opted for paediatrician visits. Medically

diagnosed eczema was defined by typical skin lesions [16] clinically observed by a medical

practitioner. Recurrent wheeze was defined as more than one separate episode of wheeze

symptoms clinically observed by a medical practitioner. Infant allergen sensitisation is defined as a positive skin prick test (with mean weal diameter ≥ 3 mm above the control weal size) to at least one food and/or environmental allergen at one year of age. The panel of allergens tested included hen's egg, cow's milk, wheat, fish (tuna), peanut, cashew nut, ryegrass pollen, cat and house dust mite, with histamine and control solutions, using commercial extracts, and in accordance with standard clinical methods[17].

Stool sample collection: Mothers collected stool samples at six and eighteen months of age using provided stool collection kits that utilized a Copan FLOQSwab in an active drying tube (FLOQSwab-ADT, Copan Diagnostics, Murrieta, CA, USA). Samples were shipped directly to Microba Life Sciences Limited (for storage and processing) as previously reported.

Laboratory processing and bioinformatics profiling

Metagenomic sequencing: DNA was extracted from stool samples and subjected to shotgun metagenomic sequencing and microbiome profiling using standard workflows developed by Microba Life Sciences (Brisbane, Queensland, Australia). A more detailed description of the methodology; DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing, has been previously published [18]. To summarise, DNA extraction was performed using a modified protocol, optimising the mechanical lysis step, and following the manufacturer's instructions for the QIAmp 96 PowerSoil QIAcube HT Kit (Qiagen 47021) on the QIAcube HT automated extraction system. Samples were required to reach a minimum of 0.2 ng/µL to pass quality control requirements. Libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions using the Illumina DNA Prep (M) Tagmentation Kit and indexed with IDT for Illumina Nextera DNA Unique Dual Indexes Set A-D (Illumina 20027213-16) with a modification to volume to accommodate processing in a 384-well plate format. Nextera XT libraries were pooled in equimolar amounts to create a sequencing pool and assessed using QuantIT (Thermo Fisher

Q33120) and visualised by capillary gel electrophoresis using a QIAxcel DNA High Resolution Kit (Qiagen 929002). Sequencing pools were loaded and sequenced on a NovaSeq6000 (Illumina) according to manufacturer's instructions and sequenced with 2 x 150bp paired-end chemistry in the Microba accredited laboratories.

Raw data processing: Data quality control and processing was performed at Microba Life Sciences Limited. Paired-end DNA sequencing data was demultiplexed and adaptor-trimmed using the Illumina BaseSpace Bcl2fastq2 (v2.20), accepting one mismatch in index sequences. Reads were quality trimmed and residual adaptors were removed using the Trimmomatic v0.39 [19] software package with the following parameters: -phred33 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 CROP:100000 HEADCROP:0 MINLEN:100. Human DNA was identified and removed by aligning reads to the human genome reference assembly 38 (GRCh38.p12, GCF_000001405) using bwa-mem v0.7.17 [20] with default parameters, except that the minimum seed length was set to 31 (-k31). Human genome alignments were filtered using SAMtools v1.7 [21], with flags -ubh-f1-F2304. Any read pairs in which at least one read mapped to the human genome with >95% identity and >90% of the read length were flagged as human DNA and removed. All samples were randomly subsampled to a standard depth of seven million read pairs to standardize the number of reads across all samples to ensure they could be statistically compared.

Taxonomic and metabolic Profiling: Species-level metagenomes were assembled using the Microba Community Profiler (MCP) v1.0 (www.microba.com) using the Microba Genome Database (MGDB) v1.0.3 as the genome reference database. Reads were assigned to genomes within the MGDB, and the relative cellular abundance of species clusters were estimated and reported. Quantification of gene and pathway abundance in the metagenomic samples were performed using the Microba Gene and Pathway Profiler (MGPP) v1.0 against the Microba Genes (MGENES) database v1.0.3. MGPP is a two-step process. In step one, all open reading

frames (ORFs) from all genomes in the MGDB were clustered against UniRef90 [22] release

2019/04 using 90% identity over 80% of the read length with MMSeqs2 Release 10-6d92c [23].

To determine metabolic pathways encoded by metagenome profiles, we used the Enzyme

Commission annotations of MetaCyc [24] pathways using the EnrichM tool

(https://github.com/geronimp/enrichM), and identified MetaCyc pathways with a minimum

completeness >= 80%. In step two, all DNA sequencing read pairs aligned with one or more

bases to the gene sequence from any protein within an MGENES protein cluster were summed.

The abundance of encoded pathways of species reported as detected by MCP was calculated

by averaging the read counts of all genes for each enzyme in that pathway.

Statistical analyses

All analyses were performed using R version 4.3.1. All boxplots represent the first and third

quartiles, with a median as a middle line and whiskers at the last value within a $1.5 \times$ IQR

distance from the upper or lower quartile, where IQR is the interquartile range. An alpha level

0.05 was used to define statistical significance for unadjusted and adjusted p-values as

appropriate. All participants who successfully submitted a baseline (n= 170) and end of

intervention (n=130) were included in the relevant analyses. Participants who did not submit

stool samples at the relevant timepoint were not included in that analysis.

Sample size: Our sample size was based on a previously published randomised control

crossover intervention, which investigated the effects of alkaline and neutral water pH on faecal

microbiota in 29 Danish men. The authors noted that their post hoc analysis indicated a sample

size of 180 would have 80% power to detect differences alpha diversity at a p-value of 0.05 [25].

Study outcomes: The primary outcome of this study was the difference in infant gut

microbiome composition between the intervention and control groups, measured from stool

samples collected at six and eighteen months of age. Secondary outcomes included the

incidence of allergic sensitization, wheeze, and atopic eczema, as assessed by physician

diagnosis at 12 months of age.

Community comparison: The overall composition of the gut microbiome was visualized using

taxonomic bar plots of the average relative abundance of the top eight most abundant phyla.

Data were stratified by age and intervention group.

Diversity analysis: Diversity measures were calculated using the phyloseq R package (version

1.38.0) [26]. The Shannon index and observed richness were used to estimate alpha diversity and

the mean difference between groups was presented with a 95% CI and Student's T-test

comparison. Beta diversity was calculated using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index, and non-

metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was employed to visualize beta diversity.

Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was used to formally test for

differences in beta diversity between groups [27]. Beta diversity confidence intervals were

calculated using bootstrapping (n=1000).

Differential analysis: To identify differentially abundant SGBs and metabolic pathways

between the intervention and control groups at 18 months of age, we utilized DESeq2 (version

1.40.2) [28]. This approach models count data using a negative binomial distribution and applies

a Wald test for significance. SGBs present in at least 5% of samples (n=9/170) and with a total

count exceeding 1000 were considered for analysis, and taxonomic classification was

determined at the species level. P-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the

Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction. Similarly, differentially abundant

MetaCyc pathways between groups were identified using DESeq2. Data visualization was

performed using the R packages phyloseq (24), microViz (version 0.10.0) (27), ggplot2

(version 3.4.0) (28), and ggstatsplot (package version 0.12.2) (29).

SAGER guidelines. Subject sex was recorded in clinical notes as parent-reported male or

female. Regression modelling was adjusted for sex such that findings are generalizable to both

sexes.

Inclusion and Ethics. This research was conducted in partnership with local researcher

consumers who were involved in the design, data collection, analysis and reporting of the study.

The research is locally relevant, and the study protocol was acceptable to parents and local

Institutional Review Board (IRB).

RESULTS

Study Design and Participant Flow

Recruitment occurred between November 2019 and March 2022, randomising 197 participants

to the control (n=98) or treatment (n=99) groups. Baseline characteristics were balanced

between groups, including sex, delivery method (assisted vaginal, unassisted vaginal, elective

caesarean, or emergency caesarean), and breastfeeding status at recruitment (predominantly

formula-fed, predominantly breastfed, or mixed-fed), as shown in Table 1. Further

demographic details on the cohort were described previously [18]. During the study, 76 adverse

events (AEs) were reported, defined as instances where a participant presented to hospital for

any reason. These were mostly due to unrelated common childhood infections or injuries. Two

participants experienced serious adverse events (SAEs) including anaphylaxis, and septic

arthritis which were assessed and deemed unrelated to the intervention.

Of the 426 infants assessed for eligibility, 229 were excluded (Supplementary Figure 2).

Reasons for exclusion included: not meeting inclusion criteria (n=190), declining participation

(n=36), residing outside the eligible area (n=1), and logistical constraints preventing the initial

home visit (n=1). Of the 197 randomised participants, 10 did not receive the intervention (4

treatment, 6 control) due to filter incompatibility with their tap fittings. A total of 170

participants submitted baseline stool samples, and 130 participants (66%) completed the study

and provided stool samples at eighteen months of age. Among those with twelve-month health

assessment data available, no significant differences were observed in health outcomes

between intervention and control groups, including antibiotic use (Table 1). Due to the

logistical disruptions related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the rate of missing data in these

health outcomes was high (approximately 50%).

Effectiveness of the Intervention on Water Quality

Participants primarily resided in the northern regions of Perth, Western Australia. Pre- and

post-intervention water samples were collected from 83 and 57 treatment group households,

and 82 and 57 control group households, respectively. Pre-intervention total chlorine levels

varied across homes (0.3-1.1 mg/L), but all were below the 5 mg/L recommended by the

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (Figure 1A). Disinfection by-products (bromoform,

bromodichloromethane, chloroform, chlorodibromomethane) also varied at baseline but

remained below recommended levels (Supplementary Figure 3).

The intervention significantly reduced total chlorine levels in the treatment group from a mean

of 0.74 mg/L (SD = 0.2447) pre-intervention to 0.10 mg/L (SD = 0.000) post-intervention

(paired t-test, $p = 2.2^{-16}$). In contrast, the control group showed no significant change in mean

total chlorine levels (0.71 mg/L pre-intervention vs. 0.63 mg/L post-intervention, paired t-test,

p = 0.06). The intervention also significantly reduced chlorine disinfection by-products

including bromoform, bromodichloromethane, and chlorodibromomethane levels, while the

control group showed no significant changes in these disinfection by-products (Figures 1C, 1D,

and 1E).

Figure 1. Impact of the intervention on water quality in TUMS participant homes. A geospatial heatmap map displaying mean total chlorine levels (mg/mL), grouped by suburb. Total n=197 (**A**). Boxplot comparison between mean levels of total chlorine (**B**) and disinfection by-products: bromoform (**C**), bromodichloromethane (**D**), and chlorodibromomethane (**E**) between the treatment and control groups. The box indicates the interquartile range (25th to 75th percentile), the horizontal line within the box represents the median, and the whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Outliers are shown as individual points. Total n=140.

Participant compliance with the intervention, assessed through three monthly surveys, revealed no significant differences between the treatment and control groups. Survey questions encompassed the daily consumption of filtered water (cups per day) by the infant (mean in control group $= 3.1$, mean in intervention $= 2.9$, $p = 0.06$, Welch t-test), usage of study-provided filters outside the home (never, sometimes, always, $p = 0.574$, Chi-Squared test), and the age at which unboiled tap water was introduced (mean in control group $= 10.71$, mean in intervention $= 10.60$, $p = 0.781$, Welch t-test). These findings suggest consistent adherence to the intervention protocol across both groups (Supplementary Figure 4).

Microbial community profiling

We analysed 170 stool samples collected at baseline (six months of age) and 130 samples collected at end of intervention (eighteen months of age), totalling 300 unique faecal metagenomes. Batch effect analysis did not identify any significant differences in microbial community composition between sequencing batches (Supplementary Figure 5). After quality filtering and rarefaction to 7,000,000 read pairs per sample, a total of 3,936,112,872 reads were obtained (mean per sample: $12,990,472 \pm 301,687$ SD). On average,

47,087 human DNA reads per sample (SD ± 655,372) were removed before analysis. Across all samples, we identified 1,305 bacterial SGBs classified at the species level, belonging to 420 genera, 98 families, 42 orders, 17 classes, and 14 phyla. Additionally, we identified two archaeal SGBs (*Methanobrevibacter smithii* and *Methanobrevibacter smithii_A*) and ten eukaryotic SGBs (various *Candida*, *Clavispora*, *Geotrichum*, *Kluyveromyces*, *Saccharomyces*, *Wickerhamiella*, and *Blastocystis* species). The three dominant phyla across both timepoints and randomisation groups were Actinobacteria, Firmicutes_A, and Bacteroidata and the three dominant species were *Bifobacterium longum*, *Bifobacterum breve*, and *Bifobacterium infantis* (Supplementary Figure 6).

Effect of chlorinated water on microbiota taxonomic structure and diversity

The taxonomic characterization of participants' microbiota aligned with previous reports on young infants, with *Bifidobacterium infantis*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, and *Bifidobacterium breve* as the predominant species at six months of age [29,30]. Interindividual variation in microbiome composition decreased from baseline to end of intervention (Supplementary Figure 7). While both groups at each timepoint displayed similar broad phylum-level patterns (Figure 2A), these changed overall from baseline to end of intervention. Compositional profiles changed significantly from baseline to end of intervention, as captured by beta-diversity metrics and differential abundance testing below.

Beta diversity analysis revealed significant variation associated with age (11.3% of variance explained, PERMANOVA, p = 0.001), but no significant clustering by treatment group (0.6% of variance explained, p = 0.35) in both longitudinal (Figure 2B) and cross-sectional analyses (Supplementary Figure 8). Alpha diversity assessed by richness did not differ significantly between groups before (1.35; 95% CI -3.77- 6.47; p=0.6) or after (-4.25; 95% CI: -14.85 - 6.35;

p=0.43) treatment (Figure 2C). Similarly, alpha diversity assessed by Shannon Index did not

differ significantly between groups before (0.06; 95% CI -0.13 - 0.24; p=0.54) or after (-0.14;

95% CI -0.32 - 0.04; p=0.12) treatment (Figure 2D

Figure 2. No effect of chlorinated drinking water on the taxonomic structure and diversity of the infant gut microbiome. (**A**) Average

relative abundance of bacterial phyla across all samples, stratified by age (six and eighteen months) and treatment group (chlorinated or de-

chlorinated water). (**B**) Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot visualizing beta diversity (inter-sample dissimilarity) based on Bray-

Curtis distances. Each point represents a sample, coloured by age and shaped by treatment group. (**C**) Boxplots comparing observed richness

(number of unique genera) between treatment groups at six and eighteen months of age. (**D**) Boxplots comparing Shannon diversity indices (a

measure of both richness and evenness) between treatment groups at six and eighteen months of age. The boxes indicate the interquartile range

(25th to 75th percentile), the horizontal line within the box represents the median, and the whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range.

Outliers are shown as individual points.

Water chlorination-induced shifts in taxa abundance

Differential abundance analysis using DESeq2 revealed 55 genera across 7 phyla were significantly different in abundance between the intervention (de-chlorinated water) and control (chlorinated water) groups in the eighteen-month (post-intervention) stool samples (adjusted p-value < 0.01) (Figure 3). These differentially abundant genera were primarily members of the Firmicutes phylum. However, due to high inter-individual variation, most of these taxa were present at low frequencies in both groups, although they were more frequently detected in the intervention group (Supplementary Figure 9). 13 genera across 5 phyla were initially different between the intervention and control groups at baseline (Supplementary Figure 10A). A comprehensive list of all differentially abundant SGBs, along with their statistical significance, is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Most Differentially Abundant Genus

Figure 3 - Differential abundance of bacterial genera in infant gut microbiota between treatment groups. The dot plot displays the log2 fold change in abundance for each bacterial genus identified as significantly different (adjusted p-value < 0.01) between the de-chlorinated water (intervention) and chlorinated water (control) groups at eighteen months of age. Each dot represents a genus, coloured by phylum. A positive log2 fold change indicates higher abundance in the intervention group, while a negative value indicates higher abundance in the control group. Data are plotted at the genus level for ease of visualisation.

Higher antibiotic resistance gene abundance in children receiving chlorinated water

To assess the impact of water chlorination on gut microbiome function, we analysed the

abundance of metabolic pathways annotated in the MetaCyc database [24] using DESeq2 [28]. In

the eighteen-month (post-intervention) samples, we identified 901 metabolic pathways

belonging to 51 major groups. Two of these groups were differentially abundant between the

intervention and control, with chlorinated water exposure most significantly associated with

higher abundance of the 'Antibiotic Resistance' major group, as well as the sundry group

'Other' (adjusted p < 0.05) (Figure 4A, Table 2). At the pathway level, 29 metabolic pathways

showed higher relative abundance in the chlorinated water group (adjusted p < 0.05), including

polymyxin resistance which is a member of the 'Antibiotic Resistance' major group (Figure

4B, Table 2), which was not significantly different at baseline (Supplementary Figure 10B,

Supplementary Table 2). There were no significantly differentially abundant MetaCyc major

groups between the intervention and control group at baseline. To determine which species

contributed to the higher abundance of antibiotic resistance pathways, we examined the species

abundance in individual microbiomes that were annotated to the MetaCyc 'Antibiotic

Resistance' functional pathway. This analysis revealed that antibiotic resistance genes were

distributed across multiple species, including *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*,

Clostridia, and *Citrobacter*, among others (Supplementary Figure 11).

Figure 4. Impact of water chlorination on infant gut microbiome metabolic pathways (post-intervention). (**A**) Volcano plot displaying the differential abundance of MetaCyc metabolic groups between the de-chlorinated water (intervention) and chlorinated water (control) groups. Each point represents a metabolic group, with the x-axis showing log2 fold change and the y-axis showing -log10 adjusted p-value. Red points indicate groups with significantly higher abundance in the control group (adjusted p < 0.05). (**B**) Volcano plot displaying the differential abundance of individual MetaCyc metabolic pathways between the intervention and control groups, following the same format as panel **A**.

DISCUSSION

In this double-blinded randomised controlled trial conducted in a high-income setting, infants exposed to chlorinated drinking water exhibited no differences in the overall richness and diversity of the microbiota, although subtle shifts in taxonomic abundance were observed. Several species known to be part of a healthy gut microbiome, including *Bacteroides,*

Clostridium, and *Blautia* showed reductions in taxonomic abundance. While some beneficial

bacteria like *Faecalibacterium prauznitzii* and *Bifidobacterium* increased in abundance, others

including *Streptococcus, Clostridia* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* also increased, which could be

opportunistic pathogens under certain circumstances. It is important to note that carriage of

differentially abundant taxa was generally low across the cohort against a background of

strikingly individualized microbiota compositions in this age group. On balance, our data

suggest chlorination-induced shifts in the microbiota vary substantially from infant to infant,

possibly due to a range of factors including genetics, diet and environmental exposures.

Consistent with the recent study by Nadimpalli et al. [13], we found that exposure to chlorinated

drinking water for a twelve-month period was associated with a higher carriage of antibiotic

resistance genes in stool samples. These ARGs, which encompass genes encoding efflux

pumps and antibiotic-degrading enzymes, were detected across diverse bacterial species,

including *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Clostridia*, and *Citrobacter*. Taken together,

our study and the Bangladeshi trial suggests chlorinated water may selectively promote the

growth of bacteria harbouring ARG genes [13]. It is noteworthy that the age group in the previous

study where chlorination effects were observed (15-30 months) overlaps with the age range of

our study participants. This raises the possibility of a developmental window during which the

gut microbiome may be particularly susceptible to environmental exposures such as chlorinated

water. However, we acknowledge that the observed changes in microbial composition may

reflect a relative enrichment of more chlorine-tolerant species, rather than direct promotion of

their growth. Importantly, the chlorination levels in our study (0.3–1.1 mg/L) are substantially

lower than those used in the Bangladeshi trial, yet we observed comparable shifts in microbial

composition and ARG carriage. This suggests that even low-level chlorination, typical of

public water systems in high-income countries, may influence the developing gut microbiome

and resistome. Further investigation is required to ascertain the potential for the development

or spread of antibiotic resistance in this population.

These findings have important public health implications. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is

a growing global health threat, identified by the World Health Organization as one of the top

global public health priorities [2]. While efforts to combat AMR have largely focused on reducing

antibiotic use and promoting vaccination, our study suggests that environmental exposures,

such as residual disinfectants in drinking water, may also play a role in shaping the resistome

in early life. Children receive frequent antibiotics in the first five years, which may interact

with a chlorinated-water driven ARG gene expression in undesirable ways. Further

understanding these interactions is now cruicial for developing comprehensive mitigation

strategies.

Our study has limitations, including a relatively small sample size and the inability to draw

definitive conclusions about infant health outcomes. Antibiotic use, recorded in approximately

one-third of participants equally across both groups, could not be controlled for in a per-

protocol analysis due to power limitations. While compliance and water consumption practises

were monitored, the potential for unmeasured confounders is acknowledged. We acknowledge

that infant feeding method is an important determinant of gut microbiota composition. In our

study, the distribution of feeding categories (predominantly breastfed, formula-fed, and mixed

fed) was balanced between the treatment and control groups (Table 1). Infant feeding method

was recorded at recruitment at six months of age, meaning we were unable to address the role

of maternal drinking water exposure during the breastfeeding period. Additionally, our findings

cannot be solely attributed to chlorine, as the study filters also removed nitrates, heavy metals,

and disinfection by-products, however, among these components chlorine and its by-products

remain the most plausible candidates given their well-established antimicrobial properties.

Although all measured chlorine levels in our study fell below the 5 mg/L limit set by the

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, the range observed (0.3–1.1 mg/L) is typical of residual

disinfectant concentrations used in public water systems internationally. Our findings therefore

reflect real-world exposures encountered by large populations. However, we acknowledge that

even within this range, local variations in water chemistry and treatment practices may

influence microbiome outcomes, and caution is warranted in extrapolating these findings

beyond comparable settings.

To our knowledge, this is the first double-blinded RCT to examine the impact of chlorinated

drinking water on the infant gut microbiome and resistome in a high-income country setting.

While similar studies have been conducted in Low- and Middle-Income Countries, the water

treatment context, baseline microbiota, and environmental exposures differ substantially,

making our findings uniquely relevant to urban populations in developed settings.

CONCLUSION

Our study extends previous findings that suggest chlorinated drinking water may drive

adaptations in the gut microbiota influencing antibiotic resistance gene carriage. Further work

is now needed to understand how this might influence health outcomes, particularly among

children exposed to frequent antibiotics in early childhood.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate.

The study protocol was approved by the Ramsay Health Care (RHC) WA | SA Human

Research Ethics Committee (EC00266; HREC reference number 1911). Mothers provided

written informed consent on behalf of their children. All procedures were conducted in

accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for Publication.

This study does not contain any individual person's data, only aggregate data are presented.

Availability of Data

Source data, de-identified participant data, study protocol, analysis code, and other materials

supporting the conclusions of this article are available under CC-By Attribution 4.0

International license on the Open Science Framework landing page for this project

https://osf.io/krjbe/?view_only=bf3fc85240ed4e6a9324838132837a1a.

The   direct   link   to   the   Mendeley   Data   repository:

https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/8f59b8sxwv/2

Authors Contributions

Conceptualization (DM, DS, MC, DP, CC, VV, SP). Data curation (KP). Formal analysis (KP).

Writing – original draft (KP, DM). Writing – review & editing (all authors).

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the invaluable contributions of the ORIGINS Project

families for their ongoing support of The Water Quality and Microbiome Study (TUMS). We

extend our sincere thanks to the following teams and individuals who have made The ORIGINS

Project possible: The ORIGINS Project team; Joondalup Health Campus (JHC); members of

ORIGINS Project Community Reference and Participant Reference Groups; Research Interest

Groups and the ORIGINS Project Scientific Committee; The Kids Research Institute Australia;

City of Wanneroo; City of Joondalup; and Fiona Stanley.

We are also grateful for the contributions of Richard Theobald (WA Department of Health) for

advice on water quality testing and study design considerations; The Kids Research Institute

Australia Biostatistics team (Ali Hollingsworth, Zac Dempsey) for their assistance with

randomisation, blinding and compliance monitoring; Nikki Schultz for her analysis of the

compliance data, the Water Corporation WA (Cameron Gordon, Steve Christie, Gabrielle

O'Dwyer) for their interest, advice, and engagement with the study. We acknowledge the

support of our research partners, Microba Life Sciences, ChemCentre and Pure Water Systems

for their additional in-kind support of the project. We would also like to thank Jen Rozier from

The Kids Research Institute Australia for the geospatial mapping analysis, and Cynthia Joll

from Curtin University for her expertise and advice on water quality guidelines in Australia.

The initial draft of this manuscript was refined for clarity with the assistance of Gemini

Advanced, Google's large language model.

REFERENCES

1. Lynch SV, Pedersen O. The Human Intestinal Microbiome in Health and Disease. *N Engl J Med* 2016; **375**(24): 2369-79.
2. Murray CJL, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet* 2022; **399**(10325): 629-55.
3. Alomari R A-RA, Shamiah H. Comprehensive assessment of the global burden of antimicrobial resistance: Trends and insights from 2000 to 2023. *American Journal of Biomedicine* 2024; **12**(4): 151-68.
4. Bowyer RCE, Schillereff DN, Jackson MA, et al. Associations between UK tap water and gut microbiota composition suggest the gut microbiome as a potential mediator of health differences linked to water quality. *Sci Total Environ* 2020; **739**: 139697.
5. Organization WH. Guidelines for drinking-water quality: World Health Organization; 2004.
6. Council. CNHaMR. Infant Feeding Guidelines. *National Health and Medical Research Council (2012)* 2012.
7. Zhang Y, Zhao F, Deng Y, Zhao Y, Ren H. Metagenomic and metabolomic analysis of the toxic effects of trichloroacetamide-induced gut microbiome and urine metabolome perturbations in mice. *Journal of proteome research* 2015; **14**(4): 1752-61.
8. Sasada T, Hinoi T, Saito Y, et al. Chlorinated water modulates the development of colorectal tumors with chromosomal instability and gut microbiota in Apc-deficient mice. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**(7): e0132435.
9. Dias MF, Reis MP, Acurcio LB, et al. Changes in mouse gut bacterial community in response to different types of drinking water. *Water Res* 2018; **132**: 79-89.
10. Zhou K, Liu W, Chen Z, et al. The effect of different drinking water in culture medium on feces microbiota diversity. *J Water Health* 2021; **19**(2): 267-77.
11. Zhou Z, Lin Z, Shuai X, Achi C, Chen H. Antibiotic resistance genes alterations in murine guts microbiome are associated with different types of drinking water. *J Hazard Mater* 2024; **465**: 133422.
12. Baumann-Dudenhoeffer AM, D'Souza AW, Tarr PI, Warner BB, Dantas G. Infant diet and maternal gestational weight gain predict early metabolic maturation of gut microbiomes. *Nat Med* 2018; **24**(12): 1822-9.
13. Nadimpalli ML, Lanza VF, Montealegre MC, et al. Drinking water chlorination has minor effects on the intestinal flora and resistomes of Bangladeshi children. *Nat Microbiol* 2022; **7**(5): 620-9.
14. Parkin K, Christophersen CT, Verhasselt V, et al. The Impact of Chlorinated Drinking Water Exposure on Gut Microbiota Development in Infants: a Randomised Controlled Trial. *medRxiv* 2024.
15. Silva DT, Hagemann E, Davis JA, et al. Introducing the ORIGINS project: a community-based interventional birth cohort. *Rev Environ Health* 2020; **35**(3): 281-93.
16. Hanifin JM, Rajka G. Diagnostic features of atopic dermatitis. 1980.
17. Palmer DJ, Keelan J, Garssen J, et al. Study Protocol for a Randomised Controlled Trial Investigating the Effects of Maternal Prebiotic Fibre Dietary Supplementation from Mid-Pregnancy to Six Months' Post-Partum on Child Allergic Disease Outcomes. *Nutrients* 2022; **14**(13): 2753.
18. Parkin K, Palmer DJ, Verhasselt V, et al. Metagenomic Characterisation of the Gut Microbiome and Effect of Complementary Feeding on Bifidobacterium spp. in Australian Infants. *Microorganisms* 2024; **12**(1): 228.
19. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2014; **30**(15): 2114-20.
20. Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv: Genomics* 2013.
21. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 2009; **25**(16): 2078-9.

22. Suzek BE, Wang Y, Huang H, McGarvey PB, Wu CH. UniRef clusters: a comprehensive and
scalable alternative for improving sequence similarity searches. *Bioinformatics* 2015; **31**(6): 926-32.
23. Steinegger M, Söding J. Clustering huge protein sequence sets in linear time. *Nat Commun*
2018; **9**(1): 2542.
24. Caspi R, Billington R, Keseler IM, et al. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and
enzymes - a 2019 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2020; **48**(D1): D445-d53.
25. Hansen TH, Thomassen MT, Madsen ML, et al. The effect of drinking water pH on the human
gut microbiota and glucose regulation: results of a randomized controlled cross-over intervention.
Sci Rep 2018; **8**(1): 16626.
26. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and
graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**(4): e61217.
27. Anderson MJ. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral*
Ecology 2001; **26**(1): 32-46.
28. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* 2014; **15**(12): 550.
29. Sakata S, Tonooka T, Ishizeki S, et al. Culture-independent analysis of fecal microbiota in
infants, with special reference to Bifidobacterium species. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2005; **243**(2): 417-23.
30. Tannock GW, Lawley B, Munro K, et al. Comparison of the compositions of the stool
microbiotas of infants fed goat milk formula, cow milk-based formula, or breast milk. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol 2013; **79**(9): 3040-8.

673 **TABLES**

674 **Table 1.** Characteristics of 197 children participating in TUMS

Characteristics	Treatment, *n* (%)	Control, *n* (%)
Sex		
Male	49/99 (49.5)	46/98 (46.9)
Female	50/99 (50.5)	52/98 (53.1)
Milk-based diet at six months of age		
Predominantly breastfed	55/99 (55.5)	50/98 (51.0)
Predominantly formula-fed	32/99 (32.3)	36/98 (36.7)
Mixed fed	12/99 (12.1)	12/98 (12.2)
Delivery method		
Vaginal	55/99 (55.5)	57/98 (58.2)
Emergency caesarean	20/99 (20.2)	18/98 (18.4)
Elective caesarean	24/99 (24.2)	23/98 (23.5)
Health outcomes at twelve months of age		
Antibiotic use	33/156 (21.2)	30/156 (19.2))
Allergen sensitisation	4/76 (5.3)	4/76 (5.3)
Wheeze	3/15 (20)	2/15 (13.3)
Eczema	5/16 (31.3)	6/16 (37.5)

Table 2. Significantly differentially abundant MetaCyc groups (p<0.05) and pathways (adjusted p<0.05) between the intervention and control groups at end of intervention (rounded to four decimal places)

MetaCyc major group	Log2FoldChange	p-value	Adjusted p-value
Antibiotic Resistance	1.5876	0.0006	0.0300
Other	1.7332	0.0017	0.0407
Methylglyoxal Detoxification	1.7602	0.0058	0.0587
TCA cycle	1.7502	0.0060	0.0587
Respiration	0.7191	0.0052	0.0587
Entner-Duodoroff Pathways	1.4993	0.0119	0.0975
Fatty Acid and Lipid Degradation	0.47441	0.0226	0.1583
Metabolic Regulator Biosynthesis	-0.2116	0.0430	0.2448
O-Antigen Biosynthesis	1.2175	0.0450	0.2448
MetaCyc pathway			
PWY-6618~guanine and guanosine salvage III	0.5285	0.0001	0.0480
PWY-6536~4-aminobutanoate degradation III	0.5265	0.0002	0.0480
PWY-1881~formate oxidation to CO2	0.3693	0.0003	0.0480
KDO-LIPASYN-PWY~(Kdo)2-lipid A biosynthesis I	0.5522	0.0005	0.0480
PWY66-162~ethanol degradation IV	0.3786	0.0005	0.0480
PWY0-1338~polymyxin resistance	0.5610	0.0006	0.0480
PWY-6537~4-aminobutanoate degradation II	0.5072	0.0007	0.0480
PWY0-1471~uracil degradation III	0.5748	0.0007	0.0480
GLUCARGALACTSUPER-PWY~superpathway of D-glucarate and D-galactarate degradation	0.4901	0.0007	0.0480
PWY-6896~thiamine salvage I	0.5748	0.0008	0.0480
PWY-46~putrescine biosynthesis III	0.2671	0.0009	0.0480
CHOLINE-BETAINE-ANA-PWY~choline degradation I	0.5296	0.0009	0.0480
BETSYN-PWY~glycine betaine biosynthesis I (Gram-negative bacteria)	0.5296	0.0009	0.0480
PWY66-394~aspirin triggered resolvin E biosynthesis	0.4882	0.0010	0.0480
P283-PWY~hydrogen oxidation I (aerobic)	0.2789	0.0010	0.0480
SO4ASSIM-PWY~assimilatory sulfate reduction I	0.6237	0.0011	0.0480
PWY-6050~dimethyl sulfoxide degradation	0.2765	0.0011	0.0480
TCA-GLYOX-BYPASS~superpathway of glyoxylate bypass and TCA	0.5565	0.0012	0.0480
FERMENTATION-PWY~mixed acid fermentation	0.5438	0.0013	0.0480
PWY-6535~4-aminobutanoate degradation I	0.5876	0.0013	0.0480

DETOX1-PWY-1~reactive oxygen species degradation	0.6156	0.0013	0.0480
PWY-7417~phospholipid remodeling (phosphatidate, yeast)	0.5341	0.0014	0.0480
PWY0-1241~ADP-L-glycero-Î²-D-manno-heptose biosynthesis	0.3240	0.0015	0.0480
PWY0-981~taurine degradation IV	0.5531	0.0015	0.0480
PUTDEG-PWY~putrescine degradation I	0.5320	0.0016	0.0480
PWY-5137~fatty acid Î²-oxidation III (unsaturated, odd number)	0.5200	0.0017	0.0480
TCA~TCA cycle I (prokaryotic)	0.5852	0.0018	0.0480
PWY-5755~4-hydroxybenzoate biosynthesis II (bacteria)	0.5693	0.0018	0.0480
PWY-7856~heme a biosynthesis	0.5484	0.0018	0.0480