Word Count: 4815

Tables: 4

Figures: 0

A cross-sectional survey of General Practitioners' knowledge of the wait times for adolescent mental health specialists and services in Australia

Bridianne O'Dea[1,2]

Mirjana Subotic-Kerry[2]

Thomas Borchard[2]

Belinda Parker[2]

Bojana Vilus[2]

Frank Iorfino[3]

Alexis E. Whitton[2]

Ben Harris-Roxas[4]

Tracey D. Wade[1]

Madelaine K. de Valle[1]

Nicholas Glozier[5,6]

Jennifer Nicholas[7,8]

Michelle Torok[2,3]

Taylor A. Braund[2,3]

Philip J. Batterham[9]

Author affiliations:

1. Flinders University Institute for Mental Health and Wellbeing, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.
2. Black Dog Institute, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
3. Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
4. School of Population Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
5. Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
6. Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Children and Families over the Life Course
7. Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
8. Orygen, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
9. Centre for Mental Health Research, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia.

Corresponding author:

Bridianne O'Dea

Flinders University Institute for Mental Health and Wellbeing, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.

Email: bridianne.odea@flinders.edu.au

Declaration of funding and/or competing interests:

This research was supported by a generous donation from the Buxton Family Foundation, Australian Unity, the Frontiers Technology Clinical Academic Group Industry Connection Seed Funding Scheme, and the UNSW Medicine, Neuroscience, Mental Health and Addiction Theme and SPHERE Clinical Academic Group Collaborative Research Funding. BOD is supported by an NHMRC MRFF Investigator Fellowship (MRF1197249). AEW is supported by an NHMRC Investigator Fellowship (2017521). This work is supported by a NHMRC MRFF Million Minds Grant (MRF2035416).

Author contributions:

BOD conceived the project and prepared all funding proposals with support from MSK, BP, AEW, BHR. BOD, BP, BHR, and TB led the development of the survey. BP, TB, MSK and EL provided research and operational support. MSK, TB, and BV analysed the data with statistical support from PJB. NG, JN, AEW, MT, TAB, and FI were chief investigators of some of the funding and provided scientific oversight. BOD and BV wrote the first draft of the manuscript with all authors providing feedback. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

ABSTRACT

Background: General Practitioners (GPs) play a key role in referring adolescents with depression and/or anxiety to mental health specialists and services, but their capacity to do so may be compromised by service wait times. There is no standardised reporting of wait times for mental health specialists and services in Australia, and it is unclear how GPs manage the care of adolescents when the choice of treatment is not available.

Aim: To explore GPs' self-reported referral practices to mental health specialists and services for adolescent depression and/or anxiety in Australia, as well as their perceived knowledge, acceptability, and impacts of the wait times for these.

Design, Setting and Methods: A cross-sectional online survey of 192 GPs in Australia who self-identified as treating adolescents (12 to 17 years old) with depression and/or anxiety.

Results: GPs most frequently referred adolescents with depression and/or anxiety to psychologists. The mean estimated wait time for psychologists was 57.26 days (SD: 47.91, Mdn: 45.0, range: 5-365), which was four times their perceived acceptable wait time (M: 14.66 days, SD: 8.70). Almost all GPs (81.8%) had increased their level of care due to long waits but reported limited training in adolescent mental health and limited knowledge of appropriate self-management strategies for the wait time.

Conclusion: GPs in Australia lack information on the wait times for adolescent mental health specialists and services, despite frequent referrals. Greater knowledge of wait times, training in wait time strategies, and self-directed digital interventions may help to enhance the quality of primary care provided to adolescents.

Keywords: Primary care, General Practitioner, Mental Health Services, Adolescent

STRENGTHS & LIMITATIONS

- This is the first study to examine GPs' knowledge of wait times for mental health specialists and services for adolescents with depression and anxiety in Australia.
- GPs were found to have little knowledge of the anticipated wait times of mental health specialists and services, despite frequent referrals.
- This study may not adequately represent the diversity of GPs across Australia or capture the full spectrum of challenges or treatment practices used by GPs due to the sample size and self-report nature.
- Future research should aim to replicate these findings using objective data and explore whether there are GP or patient profiles that may be at greater risk of negative effects during the wait time.
- Additionally, qualitative methods, such as semi-structured interviews, may provide a richer scope of understanding of the impact of wait times on GPs and their rationale for treatment selection.

INTRODUCTION

In Australia and worldwide, anxiety and depression are the leading mental illnesses among adolescents, causing significant disruptions to social, emotional, and educational functioning [1]. General Practitioners (GPs; or family physicians) are often the first source of professional help for adolescents with mental health difficulties [2]. GPs can facilitate timely access to mental health specialists and treatment providers through referrals while also fostering positive help-seeking [2-4]. While psychological issues have been the most common presentation to GPs in Australia for several years [5], the rapid increase in mental health presentations due to COVID-19 has increased pressure on GPs and treatment providers globally [6-8]. Monthly GP visits for mental health by young people in Australia tripled throughout the pandemic and presentations for depression alone increased by 61% [9]. There is an urgent need to assist GPs in managing the rapidly growing demand for mental health treatment among adolescents.

When treating anxiety and depression in adolescents, GPs are expected to align with the clinical guidelines for their region and refer to relevant specialists and services as required. Like the National Health Service in the United Kingdom, child and adolescent mental health services in Australia are currently designed on a four-tier system with mental health management moving to successively higher tiers and specialists as symptom severity increases. Psychological therapy is the first-line treatment for adolescents with moderate depression [10] and anxiety [11] in Australia, with rebated sessions available when referred by a GP. Additional pharmacological intervention is indicated for youth with moderate-severe symptoms, although, only with oversight from specialists due to the potential for serious side effects including suicidality. Other public mental health services in Australia including headspace centres, community-based Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) and hospital inpatient units are also available to support adolescents with mental

illness. Despite the critical role of primary care, there is a wealth of evidence to suggest that the capacity of GPs to expertly treat adolescent depression and anxiety in Australia and internationally is negatively impacted by long wait times for mental health specialists and services [12-15].

Up to 40% of Australians with a mental health condition have reported that they waited "longer than acceptable" to see a mental health specialist, and this has increased over time, in both cities and regional/rural areas [16]. Australian adolescents have reported waiting more than three months for their first session of psychological therapy [17-21]. Wait times for psychiatrists and paediatricians have also been high, both in Australia and globally [18,19,22]. Prolonged wait times represent a period of significant clinical risk because mental health symptoms and associated functional impairments may be severe, but treatment has not yet begun. Longer wait times have been found to be negatively associated with every aspect of patient experience, including confidence in the care provider and the perceived quality of care [23]. Adolescents have reported that they engage in maladaptive coping behaviours during the wait time [17] while also returning more frequently to their GP [21]. Consequently, GPs have reported that they have had to extend the psychological care they provide to their patients, but with limited training and resources to do so effectively [24]. GPs have reported professional difficulties and discomfort when treating adolescents with complex mental health conditions, especially those who self-harm or have suicidal ideation [25,26]. GPs' have also been found to have limited knowledge of at-risk mental health states in adolescents and young people have not initiated important conversations about suicide unless prompted by their GP [27]. Many teens also do not verbally disclose aspects of mental health that represent higher risk (e.g. disordered eating, psychosis, or substance misuse) [26]. Consult durations are also less than 20 minutes in almost all countries, further limiting the intensity of support that GPs can provide [28].

Clinical guidelines provide little advice to GPs on how to treat depression and anxiety in adolescents when the first choice of treatment is not available due to long waits. There is also currently no consensus on acceptable wait times for mental health specialists and services globally or consistent methods of reporting. Across the United Kingdom [29,30] , Norway [31] and Canada [32], healthcare systems have imposed wait time standards of four- to six-weeks for first sessions of psychological therapy but there are no wait time standards for mental healthcare in Australia. It is therefore likely that many GPs receive little to no communication on estimated appointment intervals [14]. It remains unclear how GPs respond to the lack of mental health service availability for their adolescent patients. A recent review of waiting list interventions found only 18 studies and although some had positive effects, none were initiated in primary care [33]. There is some evidence that GPs have recommended lifestyle interventions such as exercise to support individuals' self-management of mental health [34]. GPs have also actively monitored patients through follow-up appointments [35], increasing health service demand and costs. In some settings, GPs have used practice nurses to deliver various therapeutic techniques to youth while they await specialist care [36,37]. GPs have also recommended digital mental health resources [34]. There is some evidence that GPs have increased the prescribing of medications for mental health symptoms (e.g. anti-depressants), although it is unclear whether specialist wait times have contributed to this [38-40]. Overall, the impact of service wait times on GPs' treatment of adolescent depression and anxiety in Australia remains largely unknown [10].

Objectives of the current study

Understanding how to support GPs when the choice of treatment is not available within an acceptable time may help to reduce the negative effects of long wait times on the

adolescent, their family, and the GP. This study aimed to explore GPs' experiences of wait times for mental health treatment and services for adolescents with depression and/or anxiety in Australia. In doing so, we examined GPs' referrals to specialist mental health treatment and other recommendations for adolescents with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety, GPs' awareness of wait times for mental health service providers in Australia, and GPs' perceptions of acceptable wait times for these services. We also examined the perceived impacts of wait times on GPs, their patients, and treatment. Together, these findings will help to improve our knowledge of how mental health service availability in Australia impacts GPs and their capacity to provide timely and high-quality care to adolescents with depression and/or anxiety.

METHOD

Design

This study utilised a national cross-sectional online survey conducted in Australia between May 2022 to November 2022. Ethical approval was obtained from the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee (HC220107). Sample size estimate was based on the proportion of GPs in Australia at the time of the survey (N=26, 599) who were likely to have adolescent patients with mental health presentations (90%) using a 95% Confidence Level, and margin of error of 5% [5]. Based on this, the target sample was 379.

Participant involvement

A GP advisory group was established to co-design the survey and recruitment. The advisory group did not participate in the survey. Please see Supplementary Material for more information.

Participants, recruitment, and consent

Participants were GPs working in Australia who self-identified that they treated adolescents (patients aged between 12-17 years) with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety. Participants were required to be a registered GP with the Australian Allied Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) and the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners or the Australian College of Rural and Remote Medicine. AHPRA numbers were verified using the national register. Other verification checks included an item where participants had to provide correct Australian Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) item numbers and name their Primary Health Network (PHN; 31 independent region-based organisations across Australia that were established by the Australian government to manage the coordination of health services).

GPs were recruited through articles and advertisements in GP magazines, paid social media campaigns, adverts posted to GP groups on social media, promotions at GP conferences (Sydney, May 2022), PHN newsletters and other organisations with links to GPs via emailing lists (e.g. Black Dog Institute). Study adverts directed GPs to the online survey where they were invited to review the study information, complete the screening, and provide their consent. Legitimate responders received a 50AUD e-gift voucher upon completion of the survey. Participants were notified of the study results via email.

Measures

The survey was based on prior studies [14,41] and was co-designed by an advisory group of Australian GPs. See Supplementary Material Table 1 for full survey and more detail on co-design.

GP characteristics: Age, gender identity, location of work (state/territory, metropolitan or rural/regional), years of experience, employment status (full-time, part-time), and number of practices worked in were collected. GPs were asked how frequently they consulted with adolescent patients (aged 12-17 years) in a typical working week; the proportion of their patient load that was adolescents, the proportion of their adolescent patient load that presented with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety; whether the proportion of their adolescent patients presenting with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety in the past 12 months had increased, remained stable/unchanged, or decreased; if they had completed any additional training in mental health and if so, had completed any training specifically in adolescent mental health; how often parents (or equivalent) accompanied adolescent patients to the consultations.

Referral and treatment for adolescent depression and anxiety and the impact of wait times: Using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from never (1) to always (5), GPs were asked to rate how often they referred to a list of mental health professionals (e.g. psychologists) and services (e.g. *headspace*) available in Australia, or recommended interventions (e.g. health behaviour change) to adolescent patients with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety. There was an option to list others. GPs were then asked to estimate the approximate wait time (in days) for the rebated or publicly funded mental health services in Australia, which included psychologists, psychiatrists, headspace centres, local CAMHS, and inpatient centres, with an option to select 'unsure' (1=unsure, 0=not unsure). GPs were also asked to rate how acceptable they perceived these estimated wait times to be using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from not at all acceptable (0) to acceptable (5). GPs were then asked to report what they considered to be an acceptable service wait time (in days).

GPs were asked whether they or their practice monitored wait times for the specialists that they referred to and how helpful knowledge on wait times would be for informing their

treatment decisions. GPs were asked how often they (i) referred adolescent patients to different services because the most appropriate service had lengthy wait times and (ii) increased their level of involvement in their patient's care because of lengthy wait times. Using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5), GPs were asked to rate their agreement with a list of 16 statements of the impact of wait times on them (e.g. additional burden on duty of care) and their adolescent patients (e.g. increased treatment drop-out/discontinuation).

Care provided to adolescent patients while waiting for referred mental health treatment: Using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from not at all (1) to extremely (5), GPs were asked to rate how important it was that they provided mental health strategies or resources to their adolescent patients while they waited for treatment. GPs were then provided with a list of 13 interventions (e.g. sleep hygiene) and asked how frequently they recommended each on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from never (1) to always (5). GPs could specify other interventions in a free response option. In a free response item, GPs were asked to describe what they believed would be most helpful for adolescent patients during the wait time. Using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from very poor (1) to excellent (5), GPs were asked to rate the quality of the clinical support that they provided to their adolescent patients during the wait time as well as their parents/guardians. GPs were then asked to rate their own level of knowledge about what strategies and resources are most helpful for their adolescent patients during the wait time using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 'no knowledge' (1) to 'superior knowledge' (5).

Data analyses

The survey was administered using Qualtrics and stored on cloud storage systems hosted on UNSW servers in Australia. Invalid responses were detected by comparing survey response details (i.e., email, IP addresses) and response patterns (item responses and speed of response) [42]. Researchers reviewed suspected invalid responses and discrepancies were resolved by a third rater. See Supplementary Material for more detail.

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (version 28). Geographical area (i.e., metropolitan versus regional/rural) was determined using participants' postcode and the Australian Government's Area classification system [43]. Wait time estimates exceeding 12 months were deemed outliers and removed given referrals expire beyond this time. For the "select all that apply" items, percentages were calculated by dividing from the overall total number of responses. To compare routine care with wait time care, mean scores were calculated and where necessary, collapsed into intervention types appropriately (i.e., diet and exercise/physical activity were collapsed into lifestyle modification category). All free response options were examined using thematic analysis. Two independent raters reviewed all responses and disagreements were resolved by a third independent rater.

The relationships between frequency of referrals and recommendations within GPs were explored using ordinal correlational analyses (Spearman's Rho) with a Bonferroni correction to account for multiple comparisons (p <.002). The same approach was used to explore whether GPs' knowledge of service wait times was associated with background factors (years of experience, gender, frequency of weekly contact with youth patients, proportion of patient load that were adolescents, the proportion of their youth patient load that had anxiety and/or depression, mental health training) and their frequency of referring.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

A total of 837 surveys were submitted, with 645 (77.1%) removed due to being invalid (*n*=523), ineligible (*n*=62), incomplete (*n*=55), or completed too quickly (*n*=7). The final sample consisted of 190 GPs.

The majority were female (71.6%, *n*=136), working full-time (60.0%, *n*=114) in one practice (71.6%, *n*=136) in a metropolitan area (69.5%, *n*=132, see Supplementary Table 3 for state breakdown). On average, GPs were aged 42.38 years (SD: 10.25, range: 27 to 73) and had 11.69 years of experience (SD:9.93, range 0.5-50). The majority (66.8%; *n*=127) frequently treated adolescents aged 12 to 17 years in a typical working week and reported that adolescents accounted for a moderate (60.0%, *n*=114*)* to very high proportion (12.1%, *n*=20) of their total patient load. The majority also reported that the proportion of adolescents presenting with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety was moderate (46.3%; *n*=88) or high to very high (45.2%; *n*=86) and that this had increased in the past 12 months (80.0%; *n*=152). The majority indicated that parents (or equivalent) were often or always (57.9%; *n*=110) present in consultations. More than half (63.7%, *n*=121) had completed additional training in mental health but less than one-third (28.9%, *n*=55) had training in youth mental health.

GPs' referrals and recommendations for adolescent depression and/or anxiety.

As shown in Table 1, there was variation in the frequency of GPs' referrals and recommendations for adolescent depression and/or anxiety. GPs most frequently referred these adolescents to psychologists (M: 4.24, SD: 0.67, Mdn: 4.00) with nearly all GPs (*n*=175, 92.1%) reporting that they "always" or "often" made this referral. Nearly 40% (*n*=75) of GPs "always" or "often" referred to headspace centres (M: 3.23, SD: 0.88, Mdn:

3.0). All GPs recommended ongoing GP care, lifestyle modifications, and sleep hygiene to some extent and these recommendations were all positively correlated (r=0.35 – 0.68 , p<.001). Less than 1 in 10 GPs (n=14, 7.9%) “always” or “often” prescribed medication to adolescents with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety. In the free response, some GPs also recommended social, family, or peer support (12.3%), mindfulness or meditation activities (8.2%), and pursued additional medical investigations (e.g. blood tests) (5.5%).

Table 1. GP referrals and/or recommendations to mental health specialists and services for adolescent depression and/or anxiety in Australia (N=190).

Type of specialist and/or service provider	M	SD	Mdn	Range
Referrals to health professionals				
Psychologist	4.24	0.67	4.0	1-5
School counsellor/psychologist	3.32	0.79	3.0	1-5
Psychiatrist	2.75	0.67	3.0	1-5
Paediatrician	2.75	0.77	3.0	1-5
Other allied health care provider (excluding any listed here)	2.59	0.94	3.0	1-5
Referrals to services or programs				
Headspace centres	3.23	0.88	3.0	1-5
Local Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service (CAMHS)	2.60	0.76	3.0	1-5
Structured in-person therapeutic programs (e.g. Cool Kids)	2.48	1.12	2.0	1-5
Support groups (e.g., a group of people meeting to share experiences)	2.04	1.01	2.0	1-5

Inpatient centres	1.99	0.66	2.0	1-5
Other GP-led interventions				
Ongoing appointments with GP	4.40	0.83	5.0	1-5
Medication (e.g. anti-depressants)	2.72	0.66	3.0	1-5
Other recommendations				
Lifestyle modification (e.g., diet and/or exercise, physical activity)	4.29	0.76	4.0	2-5
Sleep hygiene	4.26	0.71	4.0	2-5
Digital psychoeducation (e.g., websites)	3.81	0.93	4.0	1-5
Telephone helplines (e.g., Kids Helpline)	3.56	1.12	4.0	1-5
Digital mental health interventions (e.g. smartphone apps, web-based programs)	3.44	1.05	4.0	1-5
Psycho-education handouts (e.g. brochures)	3.02	1.16	3.0	1-5

Table notes: Frequency reported on 5-point Likert scale ranging from never (0) to always (5).

Associations between different types of referrals and recommendations were observed. Referring to psychologists was associated with recommending ongoing care (r=0.37, p<.001) only. Referring to school counsellors was associated with referring to headspace centres (r=.28, p<.001), recommending ongoing care (r=.25, p<.001) and lifestyle modifications (r=.27, p<.001). Referring to psychiatrists was associated with referring to paediatricians (r=.37, p<.001), CAMHS (r=.23, p<.001) and inpatient centres (r=.24, p<.001). Referring to *headspace* centres was associated with referring to CAMHS (r=.23, p<.002) and allied health providers (r=.21, p<.001). Recommending medication was associated with referring to CAMHS (r=.22, p<.002) and inpatient centres (r= .24, p<.001). No other significant associations were found.

GPs' knowledge of wait times for mental health specialists and services.

Only 10 GPs actively tracked wait times (5.3%) and did so by contacting providers directly or by asking patients in follow up consultations. All but one participant (*n*=189, 99.5%) reported that up-to-date information on wait times would be helpful to some extent for informing their treatment decisions (M: 4.30, SD: 0.87, Mdn: 5.0, Range: 1 to 5).

As shown in Table 2, although most GPs could provide an estimate of wait times for psychologists and psychiatrists, 27 to 37% were unsure about headspace centres, CAMHS and inpatient centres, despite referring to these. There were no associations between any GP characteristics and knowledge of wait times for psychologists (p=.244-.692), psychiatrists (p=.064-.625), headspace (p=.022-.705), or inpatient centres (p=.324-.707). Knowledge of wait times for CAMHS was associated with a higher patient load of adolescent depression and/or anxiety (r=-.24, p<.001). Knowledge of wait times was also not associated with increased frequency of referring to psychologists (p=.02), psychiatrists (p=.297), headspace centres (p=.02), or CAMHS (p=.213), although, GPs who were uncertain of the wait times for inpatient centres were more likely to refer to these (r=.24, p<.001). No other significant associations were found.

GPs estimated that psychologists, headspace centres, and psychiatrists had the longest wait times ranging from 56 to 113 days, respectively (see Table 2). GPs in metropolitan areas estimated significantly higher wait times for psychiatrists (MD: +39.48 days, t=3.04, df=171, p<.001) and CAMHS (MD: +35.4 days, t=2.67, df=98.20, p<.002) than GPs in regional/rural areas. No other differences were found (p=.118-.378, see Supplementary Material Table 4 for more detail). Wait time estimates were also not associated with any caseload factors (p=.080-.843). Most GPs reported that wait times for psychologists (86.7%; n=163) and psychiatrists (89.4%; n=169) were unacceptable and over half reported that the wait times for headspace

centres (57.9%; n=106), and CAMHS (56.4%; n=101) were unacceptable. The mean waits that GPs proposed as being acceptable for these services ranged between one and five weeks (6.61 to 26.10 days) and were all significantly lower (all $p < .001$) than the mean estimations (24.33 to 113.22 days).

Table 2. The mean estimated wait times for the frequently referred mental health treatment and services for adolescents and the acceptability of wait times among GPs in Australia (N=190).

	Estimated wait time (days)				Acceptability ratings for wait times						Proposed acceptable wait time (days)
	M (SD)	Mdn	Range	Unsure *n* (%)	M (SD)	Mdn	Range	*n* (%) Unacceptable or slightly unacceptable	*n* (%) Neutral	*n* (%) Slightly acceptable or acceptable	M (SD, range, Mdn)
Psychologist	57.26 (47.91)	45.0	5-365	12 (6.3)	1.64 (0.87)	1.0	1-5	163 (86.7)	16 (8.5)	9 (4.8)	14.66 (8.70, 1-60, 14.0)
Psychiatrist	113.22 (79.05)	90.0	4-365	15 (7.9)	1.44 (0.88)	1.0	1-5	169 (89.4)	11 (5.8)	9 (4.7)	26.1 (16.65, 1-90, 28.0)
Headspace	56.35	43.5	1-365	51	2.20	2.0	1-5	106 (57.9)	59	18 (9.8)	13.95 (9.15, 1-

centres	(51.10)			(26.8)	(1.15)				(32.2)		60, 14.0)
CAMHS	52.41 (76.71)	30.0	0-365	58 (30.5)	2.31 (1.23)	2.0	1-5	101 (56.4)	52 (27.6)	26 (14.5)	11.45 (11.30, 0-90, 7.0)
Inpatient centres	24.33 (33.51)	14.0	0-180	70 (36.8)	2.64 (1.21)	3.0	1-5	60 (38.9)	71 (46.1)	23 (14.9)	6.61 (8.88, 0-60, 3.0)

Note: *n* varies (range: 83 to 190) for each provider/service because estimations are only asked if they endorsed referring to that provider/service. Total estimated wait times were capped at 12 months. Higher acceptability ratings indicate greater acceptability.

The impact of wait times on GPs and their patients.

Over two-thirds of GPs (70.0%; *n*=133) reported that they often or always chose to refer to a different service due to long wait times and the majority (82.1%; *n*=156) often or always had to increase their level of care. Shown in Table 3, almost all GPs agreed that long wait times negatively impacted their professional responsibilities and duty of care (*n*=183, 96.3%), increased adolescents' feelings of abandonment and neglect (*n*=179, 94.2%), their likelihood of treatment dropout (*n*=182, 95.7%), self-harm and suicide risk (*n*=172, 90.5%), and reduced help-seeking (*n*=172, 90.5%). GPs also noted in the free response that long wait times increased family/carer stress (10.5%), increased negative perceptions towards the healthcare system (7.3%), and increased patient costs (5.2%).

Table 3. Level of agreement on the impacts of wait times on GPs and their adolescent patients (N=190).

	M (SD)	Mdn
Impact on GPs		
Increased professional responsibility and duty of care	4.74 (0.57)	5.0
Feelings of systemic neglect and abandonment	4.20 (0.91)	4.0
Increased prescribing of mental health medication	3.85 (0.97)	4.0
Impact on adolescent patients		
Increased feelings of abandonment and neglect	4.54 (0.65)	5.0
Increased treatment drop-out/discontinuation	4.47 (0.70)	5.0
Exacerbated self-harm and suicide risk	4.44 (0.73)	5.0
Reduced likelihood of future help-seeking	4.44 (0.73)	5.0
Increased social withdrawal and dysfunction	4.42 (0.64)	4.0

Delayed remission/recovery	4.42 (0.63)	4.0
Negatively impact adherence to treatment	4.41 (0.78)	4.0
Greater deterioration of symptoms or illness	4.39 (0.70)	4.0
Increased use of unhelpful coping strategies and behaviours	4.39 (0.71)	4.5
Greater chances of relapse	4.36 (0.66)	4.0
Increased school disengagement/absenteeism	4.33 (0.76)	4.0
Left feeling that their issues are not important	4.21 (0.80)	4.0
Increased rates of hospitalisation	3.95 (0.87)	4.0

Table note: Scores ranged from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating greater levels of agreement.

GPs rated the quality of clinical support that they provided to adolescents during the wait time as fair (35.3%; *n*=67), good (50.5%; *n*=96) or excellent (6.3%, *n*=12) with an overall mean score of 3.53 (SD: 0.79, Mdn=4.00, range: 1-5). Similar findings were reported for the quality of care provided to parents and/or guardians (fair: 43.7%; *n*=83, good: 41.6%; *n*=79, excellent: 4.7%, *n*=9) with an overall score of 3.39 (SD: 0.78, Mdn=3.00, range: 1-5). Almost all GPs (95.8%; *n*=182) felt it was very or extremely important to provide mental health strategies and resources to their adolescent patients while they were waiting for treatment. Most of the GPs described their knowledge of appropriate strategies and resources for the wait time as minimal (8.4%, *n*=16), basic (37.9%; *n*=72) or adequate (48.4%; *n*=92) with 10 GPs selecting superior (5.3%).

Ongoing GP appointments (88.9%, *n*=169), sleep hygiene (85.2%, *n*=162), exercise/physical activity (84.7%, *n*=161), mindfulness (74.7%, *n*=142), and diet modifications (66.8%, *n*=127) were the interventions often or always recommended by GPs

to adolescents during the wait time. Over half also frequently recommended mental health websites (67.8%, *n*=129) or other digital resources such as mobile apps (55.2%, *n*=105) or web-based programs (50.5%, *n*=96). GPs also listed social support resources (21.1%) and relaxation activities (e.g. meditation, reading, listening to music, 19.7%) in the free response. However, as shown in Table 4, there was no difference in the frequency scores of interventions recommended by GPs in routine care and those recommended for the wait time.

Table 4. A comparison of the frequency of interventions recommended by GPs in routine care for adolescent depression and/or anxiety with those recommended during the wait time (N=190).

Type of intervention	Routine care M (SD)	Wait time M (SD)	Wait time Mdn (Range)
Ongoing appointments with GP	4.40 (0.83)	4.33 (0.70)	4.0 (2-5)
Sleep hygiene	4.26 (0.72)	4.15 (0.72)	4.0 (2-5)
Lifestyle modification (e.g., diet and/or exercise, physical activity)	4.29 (0.76)	4.08 (0.81)	4.0 (1-5)
Digital psychoeducation (e.g. mental health websites)	3.81 (0.93)	3.92 (0.92)	4.0 (1-5)
Digital mental health interventions (e.g. smartphone apps, web-based programs)	3.44 (0.93)	3.47 (1.11)	4.0 (1-5)
Telephone helplines (e.g., Kids Helpline)	3.56 (1.12)	3.56 (1.12)	4.0 (1-5)
School counsellor/psychologist	3.32 (0.79)	3.49 (0.80)	3.0 (1-5)
Psycho-education handouts (e.g. brochures)	3.02 (1.16)	3.30 (1.15)	3.0 (1-5)

Medication prescribing (e.g. anti-depressants)	2.72 (0.66)	2.2 (0.75)	3.0 (1-5)

Table note: Scores ranged from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating greater levels of agreement.

When asked to describe the ideal intervention for adolescents waiting for mental health treatment using free response, GPs proposed included the following themes: ongoing GP appointments (30.7%), digital interventions (28.1%), lifestyle modifications (14.6%), multi-disciplinary support from other mental health or allied health professionals (13.5%) and check-ins (13.0%).

DISCUSSION

This survey explored GPs' self-reported referrals to mental health specialists and services for adolescent depression and/or anxiety in Australia, their estimates and acceptability of the wait times, and the perceived impacts of these on their treatment decisions and adolescents. All GPs recommended ongoing GP care and lifestyle interventions to some extent and many frequently referred to psychologists. These practices are consistent with the clinical guidelines [10,11,44] and default referral pathways of GPs in Australia [36,45]. However, there was variability in the frequency of referring and mixed associations between types of services. Almost all GPs did not actively track wait times, and many were unable to provide wait time estimates, despite frequent referrals. Wait time estimates also varied between GPs and across services but were not associated with background factors or frequency of referring. There was also variability in the proposed acceptable wait times. Overall, GPs felt that the wait times for almost all adolescent mental health specialists and services in Australia were currently unacceptable and should be less than one month.

This study found that GPs routinely referred adolescent patients to specialist services with limited insight into anticipated wait times. This highlights critical information gaps in GPs' knowledge of mental health treatment availability in Australia. Notably, GPs' knowledge of wait times was not influenced by how frequently they referred patients, their patient caseload, geographic location, or prior training. Future research should therefore examine the best methods to inform GPs of wait times. Greater dialogue and collaboration between GPs and referred providers may help to improve timely access to treatment by helping to identify providers who are available within an acceptable period and ensuring that patients do indeed qualify for the referred service [25]. Information-sharing on psychologists who can accept new referrals should be prioritised, given they are the most common referral and many have been at capacity since the pandemic [46]. Digital referral systems can also enable greater real-time tracking of service capacity at a localised level when compared to paper forms [47,48]. These have already been shown to be acceptable to GPs [49], increase patients' uptake of low intensity interventions for depression [50], and enable smarter service planning and co-ordination of care when integrated and utilised [51,52]. Given that timely access to mental health care is a key determinant of both short- and long-term treatment outcomes for adolescents, there is an urgent need to provide GPs with more precise estimates of expected wait times to enhance their referral decision-making and patient care.

All GPs agreed that long wait times for mental health specialists and services had negative impacts on adolescents, and that GPs had an instrumental role in supporting these patients. Most of the GPs had also increased their involvement in patient care due to long wait times. These findings are consistent with reports by Australian adolescent help-seekers [21]. However, many of the interventions recommended by GPs during the wait time were the same interventions recommended in routine care. This suggests that GPs were not introducing strategies or supports specifically to address the unique needs of adolescents

during the wait period. This may contribute to the sense of abandonment and neglect reported by adolescent help-seekers [21]. Digital resources warrant further investigation, as self-guided, CBT-based smartphone applications can reduce depressive symptoms [53] and enhance help-seeking [54] among adolescents, with increasing service demand. Improving the digital literacy of GPs may increase the uptake of this approach during the wait time [55,56]. Although lifestyle interventions are included in clinical guidelines for depression [10], future research would benefit from clarifying whether GPs carefully assessed the suitability of these for self-management among this patient group. Physical activity has been found to be the least preferred brief intervention among adolescents [57] with low-quality evidence to support its use [58] . The increased prevalence of disordered eating among depressed adolescents [9] may mean that behaviour change advice is contraindicated in some situations. Increasing GPs use of brief mental health screening tools may help GPs to tailor their recommendations during the wait time [49,50] and to ensure that lifestyle modifications focus on functional movement and nutrition. Screening tools may also help to direct referrals more appropriate to ensure that patients are indeed waiting for the right treatment provider.

GPs agreed that long wait times had a negative impact on their professional wellbeing. This is consistent with prior research, where many GPs have described themselves as “ethically stressed and frustrated” when unable to adequately manage the mental health needs of their patients [59]. Specialised training in youth mental health and brief psychological interventions may increase GPs’ confidence and skills in managing the wait time. Future research should examine whether single-session psychological interventions may offer a feasible and effective approach for primary care, given almost all GPs scheduled ongoing follow-up appointments with adolescents [60]. Lastly, family carers may be an underutilised support for GPs and adolescents during the wait time, given that many parents were present at appointments and immediately available [61]. Some parent-led interventions have been found to

be effective for improving clinical outcomes during the wait time, although none of these were initiated by the GP [33]. While parents have reported their own preferences for care during the wait time [62], future work should endeavour to bring GPs, adolescents, and their carers together to design a cooperative approach to wait time care that not only meets the psychological needs of adolescents but also strengthens family support and the capacity of GPs to provide high quality care.

Limitations

This study is the first to examine the impact of wait times on GPs in Australia. However, despite several efforts to recruit GPs, we did not reach our sample target. While our sample is larger than many prior GP studies [12,14,41], the results may not adequately represent the diversity of GPs across Australia or capture the full spectrum of challenges or treatment practices used by GPs. Our study may have attracted GPs with a specific interest in mental health. This sample was younger and had higher female representation than national Australian averages, but consistent with female GPs seeing more psychological issues in youth patients [5]. Referrals, wait time estimates, and acceptability ratings are likely to also vary depending on case complexity [19], which was not measured in this study. Future studies should aim to incorporate objective or patient-reported data to validate some of the patterns that emerged in our findings. Although our findings are also generally consistent with cross-national data on wait times, different results may be found in other countries with different healthcare systems. Future research should explore other patient characteristics or profiles that may be associated with greater risk of negative effects during the wait time, such as developmental needs, socio-economic disadvantage and parental separation [63]. Qualitative methods, such as semi-structured interviews, may also provide a richer understanding of the

impact of wait times on GPs and their rationale for treatment selection[45]. Future research should also target psychologists and other treatment providers with long wait times to examine how often they see adolescents after a long wait who could have been referred to a more appropriate provider or one with less wait, and whether there are specific case presentations or help-seeking profiles that are more likely to be on unhelpful referral pathways.

Conclusion

Many GPs in Australia have limited formal knowledge of the wait times for adolescent mental health specialist and treatment provider but agree that this information would help them to make better treatment decisions. Improving the communication and collaboration between primary care and referred services may help GPs to make more informed referrals and introduce additional resources and support. While broader systemic efforts to reduce service wait times must continue, our findings suggest that GPs would also benefit from training in targeted strategies to help them support adolescent wellbeing while waiting. Further research in this area is needed to enhance the positive and protective help-seeking relationships between GPs and adolescents.

References

1. Shorey S, Ng ED, Wong CHJ. Global prevalence of depression and elevated depressive symptoms among adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Clin Psychol* 2022;61(2):287-305. doi: 10.1111/bjc.12333

2. MacDonald K, Fainman-Adelman N, Anderson KK, et al. Pathways to mental health services for young people: a systematic review. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2018;53(10):1005-38. doi: 10.1007/s00127-018-1578-y

3. Lawrence SM, Saab MM, Savage E, et al. Adolescents' perspectives and experiences of accessing general practitioner services: A systematic review. *J Child Health Care* 2024;0(0):13674935241239837. doi: 10.1177/13674935241239837

4. Crouch L, Reardon T, Farrington A, et al. "Just keep pushing": Parents' experiences of accessing child and adolescent mental health services for child anxiety problems. *Child Care Health Dev* 2019;45(4):491-99. doi: 10.1111/cch.12672

5. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. General Practice Health of the Nation 2022 2022 [Available from: https://www.racgp.org.au/getmedia/80c8bdc9-8886-4055-8a8d-ea793b088e5a/Health-of-the-Nation.pdf].

6. Russell MJ, Urichuk L, Parker N, et al. Youth mental health care use during the COVID-19 pandemic in Alberta, Canada: an interrupted time series, population-based study. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health* 2024;18(1):101. doi: 10.1186/s13034-024-00785-1

7. Daneshmand R, Acharya S, Zelek B, et al. Changes in Children and Youth's Mental Health Presentations during COVID-19: A Study of Primary Care Practices in Northern Ontario, Canada. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2023;20(16):6588.

8. Villas-Boas SB, Kaplan S, White JS, et al. Adolescent Total and Mental Health-Related Emergency Department Visits During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open* 2023;6(10):e2336463. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.36463

9. John JR, Khan JR, Lin PI, et al. A nationwide study of COVID-19 impact on mental health-related presentations among children and adolescents to primary care practices in Australia. *Psychiatry Res* 2023;326:115332. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2023.115332

10. Malhi GS, Bell E, Bassett D, et al. The 2020 Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2021;55(1):7-117. doi: 10.1177/0004867420979353

11. Andrews G, Bell C, Boyce P, et al. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of panic disorder, social anxiety disorder and generalised anxiety disorder. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2018;52(12):1109-72. doi: 10.1177/0004867418799453

12. Paton K, Gillam L, Warren H, et al. Clinicians' perceptions of the Australian Paediatric Mental Health Service System: Problems and solutions. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2021;55(5):494-505. doi: 10.1177/0004867420984242

13. Petrie K, Baldwin P, Crawford J, et al. The voice of mental health practice in Australia: a mixed-method cross-sectional study of gaps and areas of need. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2021;45(4):318-24. doi: 10.1111/1753-6405.13078

14. Fleury M-J, Imboua A, Aubé D, et al. General practitioners' management of mental disorders: A rewarding practice with considerable obstacles. *BMC Fam Pract* 2012;13(1):19. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-13-19

15. Dumesnil H, Apostolidis T, Verger P. Opinions of general practitioners about psychotherapy and their relationships with mental health professionals in the

management of major depression: A qualitative survey. *PLoS One* 2018;13(1):e0190565. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190565

16. Mental Health Commission of New South Wales. Waited longer than acceptable for an appointment with a health professional 2024 [Available from: https://www.nswmentalhealthcommission.com.au/measuring-change-indicator/waited-longer-acceptable-appointment-health-professional accessed 7th June 2024.
17. Punton G, Dodd AL, McNeill A. 'You're on the waiting list': An interpretive phenomenological analysis of young adults' experiences of waiting lists within mental health services in the UK. *PLoS One* 2022;17(3):e0265542. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0265542
18. Kowalewski K, McLennan JD, McGrath PJ. A preliminary investigation of wait times for child and adolescent mental health services in Canada. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2011;20(2):112-9.
19. Edbrooke-Childs J, Deighton J. Problem severity and waiting times for young people accessing mental health services. *BJPsych Open* 2020;6(6):e118. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2020.103
20. McNicholas F, Kelleher I, Hedderman E, et al. Referral patterns for specialist child and adolescent mental health services in the Republic of Ireland during the COVID-19 pandemic compared with 2019 and 2018. *BJPsych Open* 2021;7(3):e91. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.48
21. Subotic-Kerry M, Borchard T, Parker B, et al. While they wait: A cross-sectional survey on wait times for mental health treatment for anxiety and depression for Australian adolescents. *medRxiv* 2023:23294348. doi: 10.1101/2023.08.21.23294348

22. Smith J, Kyle RG, Daniel B, et al. Patterns of referral and waiting times for specialist Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services. *Child Adolesc Ment Health* 2018;23(1):41-49. doi: 10.1111/camh.12207

23. Bleustein C, Rothschild DB, Valen A, et al. Wait times, patient satisfaction scores, and the perception of care. *Am J Manag Care* 2014;20(5):393-400.

24. De Silva T, Prakash A, Yarlagadda S, et al. General practitioners' experiences and perceptions of mild moderate depression management and factors influencing effective service delivery in rural Australian communities: a qualitative study. *Int J Ment Health Syst* 2017;11:54. doi: 10.1186/s13033-017-0159-x

25. Smith O, Bergmann J, Schall U. Youth mental health competencies in regional general practice. *Australas Psychiatry* 2021;29(2):129-33. doi: 10.1177/1039856220970058

26. Kehoe M, Winton-Brown T, Lee S, et al. General Practitioners' management of young people with mental health conditions in Australia. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2020;14(1):124-29. doi: 10.1111/eip.12892

27. Osborne D, De Boer K, Meyer D, et al. Raising Suicide in Medical Appointments-Barriers and Facilitators Experienced by Young Adults and GPs: A Mixed-Methods Systematic Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2023;20(1) doi: 10.3390/ijerph20010822

28. Irving G, Neves AL, Dambha-Miller H, et al. International variations in primary care physician consultation time: a systematic review of 67 countries. *BMJ Open* 2017;7(10):e017902. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017902

29. Public Health Scotland. Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services waiting times in Scotland Quarter Ending 30 June 2021 2021 [Available from: https://publichealthscotland.scot/media/8975/2021-09-07-camhs-waiting-times-report.pdf accessed 23rd December 2024.

30. NHS England. Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) Waiting Times Guidance and FAQ's 2015 [Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/iapt-wait-times-guid.pdf accessed 23rd December 2024.

31. Yang F, Wangen KR, Victor M, et al. Referral assessment and patient waiting time decisions in specialized mental healthcare: an exploratory study of early routine collection of PROM (LOVePROM). *BMC Health Serv Res* 2022;22(1):1553. doi: 10.1186/s12913-022-08877-4

32. Canadian Institute for Health Information. Wait Times for Community Mental Health Counselling 2024 [Available from: https://www.cihi.ca/en/indicators/wait-times-for-community-mental-health-counselling accessed 23rd December 2024.

33. Valentine AZ, Hall SS, Sayal K, et al. Waiting-list interventions for children and young people using child and adolescent mental health services: a systematic review. *BMJ Ment Health* 2024;27(1) doi: 10.1136/bmjment-2023-300844

34. Keyes B, McCombe G, Broughan J, et al. Enhancing GP care of mental health disorders post-COVID-19: a scoping review of interventions and outcomes. *Ir J Psychol Med* 2023;40(3):470-86. doi: 10.1017/ipm.2022.17

35. Budd M, Iqbal A, Harding C, et al. Mental health promotion and prevention in primary care: What should we be doing vs. what are we actually doing? *Mental Health and Prevention* 2021;21 doi: 10.1016/j.mhp.2020.200195

36. Koet LB, de Schepper EI, Bohnen AM, et al. Anxiety problems in children and adolescents: a population-based cohort study on incidence and management in Dutch primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2022;72(719):e405-e12. doi: 10.3399/bjgp.2021.0557

37. Koet LBM, Gerger H, Jansen W, et al. Evaluation of practice nurses' management of paediatric psychosocial problems in general practice. *J Public Health* 2024;46(2):e261-e68. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdae008

38. Ball WP, Black C, Gordon S, et al. Inequalities in children's mental health care: analysis of routinely collected data on prescribing and referrals to secondary care. *BMC Psychiatry* 2023;23(1):22. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04438-5

39. Cao TXD, Fraga LFC, Fergusson E, et al. Prescribing Trends of Antidepressants and Psychotropic Coprescription for Youths in UK Primary Care, 2000-2018. *J Affect Disord* 2021;287:19-25. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.03.022

40. Jack RH, Hollis C, Coupland C, et al. Incidence and prevalence of primary care antidepressant prescribing in children and young people in England, 1998-2017: A population-based cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2020;17(7):e1003215. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003215

41. Steele M, Shapiro J, Davidson B, et al. Survey Comparing Criteria Used by Rural and Urban Primary Care Physicians for Referrals to Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists and Children's Mental Health Agencies in Ontario. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2010;19(4):284-9.

42. Cobanoglu C, Cavusoglu, M., & Turktarhan, G. . A beginner’s guide and best practices for using crowdsourcing platforms for survey research: The Case of Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk). *JGBI* 2021;6(1):92-97.

43. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Remoteness Areas (2021 to 2026), 2021.

44. Orygen. Clinical Practice Guideline: Treating depression in young people Guidance, resources and tools for assessment and management: Orygen - The National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health. 2017.

45. Stallman A, Sheeran N, Boschen M. A Qualitative Exploration of General Practitioners' Treatment Decision-Making for Depressive Symptoms. *Med Decis Making* 2023;43(4):498-507. doi: 10.1177/0272989x231166009

46. Australian Psychological Society. 1 in 3 psychologists are unable to see new clients, but Australians need help more than ever 2022 [Available from: https://psychology.org.au/for-members/news-and-updates/news/2022/australians-need-psychological-help-more-than-ever.

47. Kern C, Fu DJ, Kortuem K, et al. Implementation of a cloud-based referral platform in ophthalmology: making telemedicine services a reality in eye care. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2020;104(3):312-17. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2019-314161

48. Azamar-Alonso A, Costa AP, Huebner LA, et al. Electronic referral systems in health care: a scoping review. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res* 2019;11:325-33. doi: 10.2147/ceor.S195597

49. Parker BL, Achilles MR, Subotic-Kerry M, et al. Youth StepCare: a pilot study of an online screening and recommendations service for depression and anxiety among youth patients in general practice. *BMC Fam Pract* 2020;21(1):2. doi: 10.1186/s12875-019-1071-z

50. Whitton AE, Hardy R, Cope K, et al. Mental Health Screening in General Practices as a Means for Enhancing Uptake of Digital Mental Health Interventions: Observational Cohort Study. *J Med Internet Res* 2021;23(9):e28369. doi: 10.2196/28369

51. Capon W, Hickie IB, McKenna S, et al. Characterising variability in youth mental health service populations: A detailed and scalable approach using digital technology. *Australas Psychiatry* 2023;31(3):295-301. doi: 10.1177/10398562231167681

52. Iorfino F, Piper SE, Prodan A, et al. Using Digital Technologies to Facilitate Care Coordination Between Youth Mental Health Services: A Guide for Implementation. *Front Health Serv* 2021;1:745456. doi: 10.3389/frhs.2021.745456

53. O'Dea B, Li SH, Subotic-Kerry M, et al. The MobiliseMe study: A randomised controlled efficacy trial of a cognitive behavioural therapy smartphone application (ClearlyMe®)

for reducing depressive symptoms in adolescents. *medRxiv* 2024:2024.11.17.24317363.

54. O'Dea B, Han J, Batterham PJ, et al. A randomised controlled trial of a relationship-focussed mobile phone application for improving adolescents' mental health. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2020;61(8):899-913. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13294

55. Sarradon-Eck A, Bouchez T, Auroy L, et al. Attitudes of General Practitioners Toward Prescription of Mobile Health Apps: Qualitative Study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2021;9(3):e21795. doi: 10.2196/21795

56. Byambasuren O, Beller E, Glasziou P. Current Knowledge and Adoption of Mobile Health Apps Among Australian General Practitioners: Survey Study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2019;7(6):e13199. doi: 10.2196/13199

57. Schley C, Pace N, Mann R, et al. The headspace Brief Interventions Clinic: Increasing timely access to effective treatments for young people with early signs of mental health problems. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2019;13(5):1073-82. doi: 10.1111/eip.12729

58. Oberste M, Medele M, Javelle F, et al. Physical Activity for the Treatment of Adolescent Depression: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Physiol* 2020;11 doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00185

59. Kappelin C, Sandlund C, Westman J, et al. Dancing with the patient: a qualitative study of general practitioners' experiences of managing patients with multimorbidity and common mental health problems. *BMC Prim Care* 2023;24(1):104. doi: 10.1186/s12875-023-02056-y

60. Perreault K, Breton M, Berbiche D. An innovative model of psychological service delivery in primary healthcare: the Single-Session Intervention. *BMC Primary Care* 2023;24(1):1. doi: 10.1186/s12875-022-01949-8

61. Cronin M, McLoughlin K, Foley T, et al. Supporting family carers in general practice: a scoping review of clinical guidelines and recommendations. *BMC Primary Care* 2023;24(1):234. doi: 10.1186/s12875-023-02188-1

62. Cunningham CE, Chen Y, Deal K, et al. The Interim Service Preferences of Parents Waiting for Children's Mental Health Treatment: A Discrete Choice Conjoint Experiment. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2013;41(6):865-77. doi: 10.1007/s10802-013-9728-x

63. Boulton KA, Hodge A, Levu K, et al. Access and barriers to supports for children and caregivers attending public child developmental assessment services: Findings from the Sydney child neurodevelopment research registry. *Autism Res* 2024;17(3):555-67. doi: 10.1002/aur.3064