medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Word Count: 4548

Tables: 4

Figures: 0

A cross-sectional survey of General Practitioners' knowledge of the wait times for mental health treatment and services for adolescent patients with depression and anxiety in Australia

Bridianne O'Dea[1,2]

Mirjana Subotic-Kerry[2]

Thomas Borchard[2]

Belinda Parker[2]

Bojana Vilus[2]

Frank Iorfino[3]

Alexis E. Whitton[2]

Ben Harris-Roxas[4]

Tracey D. Wade[1]

Madelaine K. de Valle[1]

Nicholas Glozier[5,6]

Jennifer Nicholas[7,8]

Michelle Torok[2,3]

Taylor A. Braund[2,3]

Philip J. Batterham[9]

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Author affiliations:

1. Flinders University Institute for Mental Health and Wellbeing, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.
2. Black Dog Institute, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
3. Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
4. School of Population Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
5. Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
6. Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Children and Families over the Life Course
7. Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
8. Orygen, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
9. Centre for Mental Health Research, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia.

Corresponding author:

Bridianne O'Dea

Flinders University Institute for Mental Health and Wellbeing, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.

Email: bridianne.odea@flinders.edu.au

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Declaration of funding and/or competing interests:

This research was supported by a generous donation from the Buxton Family Foundation, Australian Unity, the Frontiers Technology Clinical Academic Group Industry Connection Seed Funding Scheme, and the UNSW Medicine, Neuroscience, Mental Health and Addiction Theme and SPHERE Clinical Academic Group Collaborative Research Funding. BOD is supported by an NHMRC MRFF Investigator Fellowship (MRF1197249). AEW is supported by an NHMRC Investigator Fellowship (2017521). This work is supported by a NHMRC MRFF Million Minds Grant (MRF2035416).

Author contributions:

BOD conceived the project and prepared all funding proposals with support from MSK, BP, AEW, BHR. BOD, BP, BHR, and TB led the development of the survey. BP, TB, MSK and EL provided research and operational support. MSK, TB, and BV analysed the data with statistical support from PJB. NG, JN, AEW, MT, TAB, and FI were chief investigators of some of the funding and provided scientific oversight. BOD and BV wrote the first draft of the manuscript with all authors providing feedback. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Background: General Practitioners (GPs) play a key role in the treatment of adolescent depression and anxiety in Australia, but their capacity to provide effective care is likely compromised by long wait times for mental health professionals and services.

Aim: To explore GPs' referral practices to mental health treatment and services for adolescents with depression and/or anxiety, their knowledge of wait times and the perceived impact of these on GPs and their patients and proposed acceptable wait times.

Design, Setting and Methods: An online survey of 192 GPs in Australia who treated adolescents (12 to 17 years old) with depression and/or anxiety.

Results: GPs most frequently referred their adolescent patients with depression and/or anxiety to psychologists and the mean estimated wait time was 57 days (SD: 47.9), which was four times their proposed acceptable wait time (M: 16.7 days, SD: 27.0). The frequency of medication prescribing by GPs almost doubled during the wait time when compared to routine care (14.6% versus 8.3%, respectively). Almost all GPs (81.8%) increased their level of care due to long wait times but had limited training in adolescent mental health or knowledge on appropriate strategies to do so effectively.

Conclusion: The findings signify the discrepancies between GPs' preferences for mental health treatment availability in Australia and what they perceive to be acceptable and the experience of their adolescent patients who they have referred to specialist care. Greater knowledge of wait time strategies, adolescent mental health training, and improved communication between referrer and referred services may help GPs to enhance the quality of primary care provided to adolescent patients with depression and/or anxiety in Australia.

Keywords: Primary care, General Practitioner, Mental Health Services, Adolescent

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

STRENGTHS & LIMITATIONS

- This is the first study to examine GPs' knowledge of wait times for mental health specialists and services for adolescent patients with depression and anxiety in Australia.
- The current study is strengthened by its focus on the most common mental health conditions in adolescents, however, different results may be found when examining GPs' treatment patterns for mental health conditions that may have more immediate need for treatment (e.g. psychosis).
- Due to the sample size and self-report nature, the study may not adequately represent the diversity of GPs across Australia or capture the full spectrum of challenges or treatment practices used by GPs.
- Future research should explore other non-mental health related patient characteristics or profiles that may be at greater risk of negative effects during the wait time, such as unique developmental needs, socio-economic disadvantage and parental separation.
- Additionally, qualitative methods, such as semi-structured interviews, may provide a richer scope of understanding of the impact of wait times on GPs and their rationale for treatment selection.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

General Practitioners (GPs; or family physicians) are often the first source of professional help for adolescents when faced with mental health difficulties [1]. GPs can enable diagnoses, facilitate timely access to treatment and specialists through referrals, and can foster positive help-seeking attitudes and behaviours [1-3]. However, the rapid increase in mental health presentations due to the COVID-19 pandemic has increased pressure on GPs and mental health treatment providers globally [4-6]. In Australia, average monthly GP visits for mental health by young people tripled throughout the pandemic and presentations for depression increased by 61% [7]. While psychological therapy is the first-line treatment for adolescent depression [8] and anxiety [9], wait times for psychologists in Australia have exceeded three months [10-14]. Wait times for other mental health professionals including psychiatrists and paediatricians have also been high, both in Australia and globally [11,12,15]. The lack of availability of key specialists has therefore diminished the capacity of GPs to effectively treat their patients with mental health problems [16,17].

Prolonged wait times represent a period of significant clinical risk, for the treating GP and the adolescent patient because mental health symptoms are heightened but treatment has not yet begun. Longer wait times are negatively associated with every aspect of patient experience, including confidence in the care provider and the perceived quality of care [18]. Adolescents have reported that they engage in maladaptive coping behaviours during the wait time [10] while also returning more frequently to their GP [14]. Consequently, GPs have reported that they have had to extend the psychological care they provide to their patients, but with limited training and resources to do so effectively [19]. Consult durations are typically less than 20 minutes in almost all countries, further limiting the intensity of mental healthcare and support that GPs can provide [20]. GPs have also reported professional difficulties and discomfort when treating adolescents with complex mental health conditions, especially those

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

who self-harm or have suicidal ideation [21,22]. GPs' limited understanding of at-risk mental health states in adolescents is problematic because many young people expect GPs to initiate conversations around suicide and withhold this information until prompted [23]. Many teens do not verbally disclose other behaviours or co-occurring symptoms that represent higher risk (e.g. disordered eating, psychosis, or substance misuse) and require a specific treatment [22]. Despite this heightened risk, there is currently no consensus on "acceptable wait times" for mental health treatment globally or consistent methods of tracking these. Across the United Kingdom [24,25] , Norway [26] and Canada [27], four- to six-week wait times have been proposed for first session of psychological therapy whereas no standards exist in Australia. While optimal wait periods for mental health treatment remain unknown, in the state of New South Wales (NSW) Australia, 40% of individuals with a mental health condition felt that they waited "longer than acceptable" to get an appointment with a specialist, and this has increased over time, in both cities and regional/rural areas [28]. There is a demonstrated need to understand the unique care needs during the wait time, particularly among health professionals like GPs who play a key role in connecting adolescents with the necessary care providers in a timely manner.

While prior reviews have examined the effectiveness of wait time interventions administered by mental health services [29,30], little research has examined how GPs respond to the lack of mental health service availability for their adolescent patients. There is some evidence that GPs recommend lifestyle interventions such as exercise to support patients' self-management of their mental health [31]. GPs have also reported greater active monitoring of their patients' through frequent follow-up appointments [32]. In some settings, GPs have used practice nurses to deliver various therapeutic techniques to support adolescents' mental health while they wait for their specialist care [33,34]. GPs have also recommended digital mental health resources to provide support outside of appointments [31]. There is some

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

evidence that GPs have increased the prescribing of medications for mental health symptoms (e.g. anti-depressants), although it is unclear whether service wait times have contributed to this [35-37]. Overall, much remains unknown about the impact of service wait times on GPs' treatment of adolescent depression and anxiety in Australia [8]. Understanding how to support GPs when the choice of treatment is not available within an acceptable time may help to reduce the negative effects of long wait times on the adolescent, their family, and the GP.

Objectives of the current study

This study aimed to improve our understanding of GPs' experiences of wait times for mental health treatment and services for adolescents with depression and anxiety in Australia. In doing so, we examined GPs' referrals to specialist care and their other recommendations for adolescents presenting with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety, GPs' knowledge of wait times for specialist mental health service providers, and GPs' perceptions of acceptable wait times. We also examined the perceived impacts of wait times on GPs, their patients and their treatment. Together, these findings will help to increase our knowledge of how mental health service availability in Australia impacts GPs and their capacity to provide timely and high-quality care to their adolescent patients with depression and/or anxiety.

METHOD

Design

This study utilised a cross-sectional online survey conducted in Australia between May 2022 to November 2022. Ethical approval was obtained from the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee (HC220107).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Participant involvement

Prospective participants (GPs) were involved in the design of this research. An advisory group of GPs was established to oversee the design of the survey instrument and methods of recruitment. All participating GPs were notified of the results of the study via email.

Participants and screening

Participants were GPs working in Australia who reported that they were treating adolescents aged between 12-17 years with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety. Participants were required to be a registered GP with the Australian Allied Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) and the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners or the Australian College of Rural and Remote Medicine. AHPRA numbers were verified using the national register. Other verification checks included an item where participants had to provide correct Australian Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) item numbers and name their Primary Health Network (PHN). A total of 837 surveys were submitted, with 645 (77.1%) removed due to being identified as invalid, fraudulent, or duplicates (n=521), ineligible (n=62), incomplete (n=55), or completed too quickly (n=7). Fraudulent and duplicate responses were detected by comparing survey response details (i.e., email, IP addresses) and response patterns (item responses and speed of response) [38]. Researchers reviewed suspected fraudulent responses and discrepancies were resolved by a third rater. See Supplementary Material for more detail.

Recruitment and consent

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

GPs were recruited through paid social media campaigns, adverts posted to GP groups on social media, articles in GP magazines, promotions at GP conferences (Sydney, May 2022), and newsletters by Australian PHNs. Adverts directed GPs to the online survey where participants were invited to review the study information and complete the consent form prior to taking part in the survey. Full legitimate responders received a 50AUD e-gift voucher.

Survey

The survey was designed in partnership with an advisory group of GPs. The questions in the survey were exploratory in nature given the lack of research in this area. More detail and a copy of the full survey is provided in the Supplementary Material.

GP characteristics. Age, gender identity, location of work (state/territory, postcode), years of experience, employment status (full-time, part-time), and number of practices worked in were collected. GPs were asked how frequently they consulted with adolescent patients (aged 12-17 years) in a typical work week; the proportion of their adolescent patient load that presented with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety; whether the proportion of their adolescent patients presenting with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety in the past 12 months had increased, remained stable/unchanged, or decreased; if they had completed any additional training in mental health and if so, had completed any training specifically in adolescent mental health; how often parents (or equivalent) accompanied adolescent patients to the consultations.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Referral and treatment for adolescent depression and anxiety and the impact of wait times. Using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from never (1) to always (5), GPs were asked to rate how often they referred or recommended a list of 19 mental health professionals (e.g. psychologists), services (e.g. headspace), or interventions (e.g. health behaviour change) to adolescent patients with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety with the option to provide any others that were not listed. GPs were then asked to estimate the approximate wait time (in days) for some of the rebated mental health services in Australia, which included psychologists, psychiatrists, headspace centres, CAMHS, and inpatient units. GPs were also asked to rate how acceptable they perceived the estimated wait times to be using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from not at all acceptable to acceptable. GPs were then asked to nominate what they considered to be an acceptable service wait time. GPs were asked to report whether they or their practice monitored wait times for the professionals or services that they referred to and how helpful knowledge on wait times would be for informing their treatment decisions. GPs were asked how often they (i) referred adolescent patients to different services because the most appropriate service had lengthy wait times and (ii) increased their level of involvement in their patient's care because of lengthy wait times. Using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 'strongly disagree' (1) to 'strongly agree' (5), GPs were asked to rate their agreement with a list of 16 statements of the outcomes of wait times on them (e.g. additional burden on duty of care) and their adolescent patients (e.g. increased treatment drop-out/discontinuation).

Care provided to adolescent patients while waiting for referred mental health treatment. Using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 'not at all' (1) to 'extremely' (5), GPs were asked to rate how important it was that they provided mental health strategies or resources to their adolescent patients while they waited for treatment. GPs were then provided with a list of 13

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

interventions (e.g. sleep hygiene) and asked how frequently they recommended each on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 'never' (1) to 'always' (5). GPs could specify other interventions in a free response option. GPs were asked to describe the optimal intervention for adolescent patients during the wait time (free response). GPs were asked to reflect on their recent experiences of referring adolescent patients to treatment and services and rate the quality of the clinical support that they provided to these patients and their parents/guardians from 'very poor' (1) to 'excellent' (5). GPs were then asked to rate their own level of knowledge about what strategies and resources are most helpful for their adolescent patients during the wait time using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 'no knowledge' (1) to 'superior knowledge' (5).

Data analyses

The survey was administered using Qualtrics and stored on cloud storage systems hosted on UNSW servers in Australia. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (version 28). Geographical area was determined using participants' postcode and the Australian Government's Area classification system [39]. Outliers for estimated wait time duration were removed if the estimate was greater than 2.5 years. Two outliers were removed. For the "select all that apply" items, percentages were calculated by dividing from the overall total number of responses. To compare routine care with wait time care, tallies for the related interventions were calculated and then collapsed appropriately (i.e., participants reporting 'often or always' for exercise, diet, or physical activity were collapsed into 'lifestyle modification' category). Free response options were examined using thematic analysis. Two independent raters reviewed all responses and disagreements were resolved by a third independent rater.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

The sample consisted of 192 GPs. The majority were female (71.9%, *n*=138), working full-time (59.9%, *n*=115), based in a single practice (71.7%, *n*=137) in a metropolitan area (69.3%, *n*=133, see Supplementary Table 1 for more detail). On average, GPs were aged 42.18 years (SD: 10.39, range: 23 to 73) and had 11.61 years of experience (SD:9.91, range 0.5-50). The majority (66.6%; *n*=128) frequently treated adolescents aged 12 to 17 years in a typical working week and indicated that parents (or equivalent) were often or always (57.8%; *n*=111) present in consultations. The majority also reported that the proportion of their adolescent patient load with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety was moderate (45.8%; *n*=88) or high to very high (54.8%; *n*=88) and that this had increased in the past 12 months (79.7%; *n*=153). More than half (63.5%, *n*=122) had completed additional training in mental health but less than one-third (29.2%, *n*=56) had training in youth mental health. Of GPs who frequently treated adolescent patients on a weekly basis (*n*=84), only half (50.0%, *n*=42/84) had youth mental health training.

GPs' referrals and recommendations for adolescents presenting with depressive and/or anxiety symptoms .

Outlined in Table 1, almost all GPs (92.2%) always or often referred adolescents with depressive and/or anxiety symptoms to psychologists. Psychiatrists, paediatricians, and other health professionals were referred to less frequently, with approximately 5% of GPs reporting that they never referred these patients to paediatricians. Nearly 40% of GPs frequently referred these patients to headspace centres and 1 in 10 GPs also frequently referred to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

CAMHS. Support groups and structured therapy programs were referred to less frequently, with up to one third of GPs reporting that they never referred to these types of service providers.

In addition to referrals, GPs frequently recommended their adolescent patients with depressive and/or anxiety symptoms received ongoing GP care (87.6%). Nearly 1 in 10 GPs (8.9%) almost always or often prescribed medication. Only one third of these prescribing GPs (31.3%, *n*=5/16) also frequently (i.e., always or often) referred to psychiatrists. Of the GPs who reported any prescribing of medication (i.e., rarely, sometimes, often or always, *n*=187/192, 97.4%), only 22.5% (*n*=42/187) often or always referred these adolescents to a psychiatrist and only 29.4% (*n*=55/187) had received adolescent mental health training.

GPs also frequently recommended sleep hygiene (84.9%) and/or lifestyle modifications (82.3%) to adolescent patient with depressive and/or anxiety symptoms. Two-thirds recommended mental health websites (63.5%) and over half recommended other digital resources (54.7%). In the free response, some GPs also reported recommending social, family, or peer support (12.3%), mindfulness or meditation activities (8.2%), and pursuing additional medical investigations (e.g. blood tests) (5.5%) for adolescents with depressive and/or anxiety symptoms.

Table 1. GP referrals and/or recommendations to treatments and services providers for depressive and/or anxiety symptoms in adolescents in Australia (N=192).

	Frequency *n* (%)		
Type of treatment and/or service provider	Always-Often	Sometimes-Rarely	Never
Referrals to health professionals			

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Psychologist	177 (92.2)	13 (6.8)	2 (1.0)
School counsellor/psychologist	83 (43.2)	105 (54.7)	4 (2.1)
Other allied health care provider (excluding any listed here)	32 (16.7)	138 (71.8)	22 (11.5)
Paediatrician	22 (11.5)	161 (83.8)	9 (4.7)
Psychiatrist	22 (11.4)	169 (88.0)	1 (0.5)
Referrals to services			
Headspace	76 (39.6)	109 (56.8)	7 (3.6)
A structured in-person therapeutic service program (e.g. Cool Kids)	43 (22.4)	104 (54.1)	45 (23.4)
Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service (CAMHS)	19 (9.9)	162 (84.4)	11 (5.7)
Support group (e.g., a group of people meeting to share experiences)	18 (9.4)	105 (54.7)	69 (35.9)
Other GP-led interventions			
Ongoing appointments with GP	168 (87.6)	21 (10.9)	3 (1.6)
Medication (e.g. anti-depressants)	16 (8.3)	171 (89.1)	5 (2.6)
Recommendations			
Sleep hygiene	163 (84.9)	29 (15.1)	0 (0)
Lifestyle modification (e.g., diet and/or exercise, physical activity)	158 (82.3)	34 (17.7)	0 (0)
Psycho-education handouts (e.g. brochures)	63 (32.9)	107 (55.7)	22 (11.5)
Digital psychoeducation (e.g., websites)	122 (63.5)	67 (34.9)	3 (1.6)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Telephone helplines (e.g., Kids Helpline)	106 (55.2)	77 (40.1)	9 (4.7)
Digital mental health interventions (e.g. smartphone apps, web-based programs)	105 (54.7)	76 (39.6)	11 (5.7)

GPs' knowledge of wait times for mental health treatment providers.

Most GPs (85.9%; *n*=165) reported that knowledge of the wait times for mental health treatment providers would help to inform their treatment decisions, although nearly all (94.8%; *n*=182) did not actively track these. The few GPs that tracked wait times did so by contacting the services directly and by asking the patient about their wait time in a follow-up consultation.

When asked to estimate the wait times of the services that they most frequently referred to, GPs reported that psychiatrists, headspace centres, and psychologists had the longest wait times (see Table 2). GPs in metropolitan areas reported significantly higher estimated wait times for psychiatrists (MD: +43.0 days, t=3.26, df=173, $p<.001$) and CAMHS (MD: +36.1 days, t=2.74, df=101.8, $p<.05$) than GPs in regional/rural areas. No other differences between areas were found (all p=.370-.521, see Supplementary Material for more detail).

Most GPs reported that the wait times for psychiatrists (88.5%; *n*=170), psychologists (85.5%; *n*=164), headspace centres (55.7%; *n*=106), and CAMHS (52.6%; *n*=101) were unacceptable. The wait times that GPs nominated as being acceptable for these services ranged between one and four weeks (6.6 to 27.7 days) and were all significantly lower (all $p < .001$) than the estimated wait times.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. The mean estimated wait times for the frequently referred mental health treatment and services for adolescents and the acceptability of these among GPs in Australia (N=192).

	Estimated wait time (days)			Acceptability rating for estimated wait time *n* (%)			Proposed acceptable wait time (days)
	M (SD)	Range	Unsure *n* (%)	Unacceptable or slightly unacceptable	Neutral	Slightly acceptable or acceptable	M (SD)
Psychologist	57.0 (47.9)	5-365	13 (6.8)	164 (86.3)	17 (8.9)	9 (4.7)	16.7 (27.0)
Psychiatrist	114.1 (81.3)	1-365	15 (7.8)	170 (89.0)	12 (6.3)	9 (4.7)	27.7 (29.7)
Headspace	61.2 (77.6)	1-730	52 (27.1)	107 (57.8)	60 (31.3)	18 (9.7)	13.9 (9.1)
CAMHS	52.0 (76.6)	0-365	59 (30.7)	101 (55.8)	53 (27.6)	27 (14.9)	12.1 (14.8)
Inpatient unit	35.9 (111.6)	0-180	72 (37.5)	60 (38.5)	73 (38.0)	23 (14.7)	6.6 (8.8)

Note: *n* varies (range: 156 to 191) for each provider/service because these questions are only asked if they endorsed referring to that provider/service.

The impact of wait times on GPs and their patients.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Over two-thirds of GPs (69.8%; *n*=134) reported that they often or always chose to refer to a different service due to long wait times and the majority (81.8%; *n*=157) often or always had to increase their level of care. Shown in Table 3, almost all GPs reported that long wait times negatively impacted their professional burden and duty of care (95.8%). Two thirds (66.2%) also agreed that wait times led to an increased prescribing of mental health medication. Almost all GPs agreed that long wait times increased adolescents' likelihood of treatment dropout (95.3%), worsened the adolescents' condition through deteriorating symptoms (92.2%), increased the risk of self-harm and suicide (90.6%), and reduced future help-seeking (90.1%). GPs also noted in the free response that long wait times increased family/carer stress (10.5%), increased negative perceptions towards the healthcare system (7.3%), and increased patient costs (5.2%).

Table 3. Level of agreement on the impacts of wait times on GPs and their adolescent patients (N=192).

	Strongly disagreed or disagreed	Neutral	Strongly agreed or agreed
	n (%)	*n* (%)	*n* (%)
Impact on GPs			
Additional burden and pressure on duty of care	1 (0.5)	7 (3.6)	184 (95.8)
Increased prescribing of mental health medication	19 (9.9)	46 (24.0)	127 (66.2)
Impact on adolescent patients			

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Increased treatment drop-out/discontinuation	4 (2.0)	5 (2.6)	183 (95.3)
Increased social withdrawal and dysfunction	2 (1.0)	7 (3.6)	183 (95.3)
Delayed remission/recovery	1 (0.5)	8 (4.2)	183 (95.3)
Increased feelings of abandonment and neglect	1 (0.5)	11 (5.7)	180 (93.7)
Reduced adherence to treatment	8 (4.1)	5 (2.6)	179 (93.2)
Greater deterioration of symptoms or illness	3 (1.5)	12 (6.3)	177 (92.2)
Greater chances of relapse	1 (0.5)	14 (7.3)	177 (92.2)
Exacerbated self-harm and suicide risk	3 (1.5)	15 (7.8)	174 (90.6)
Reduced likelihood of future help-seeking	3 (1.5)	16 (8.3)	173 (90.1)
Increased use of unhelpful coping strategies and behaviours	1 (0.5)	19 (9.9)	172 (89.6)
Left feeling that their issues are not important	7 (3.6)	19 (9.9)	166 (86.5)
Increased school disengagement/absenteeism	2 (1.0)	25 (13.0)	165 (85.9)
Left feeling abandoned, neglected, or forgotten	12 (6.2)	24 (12.5)	156 (81.2)

Almost all GPs (95.9%; *n*=184/192) felt it was very important to provide mental health strategies and resources to their adolescent patients while they waited for treatment. Most of the GPs described their knowledge of appropriate strategies and resources for the wait time as adequate (47.9%; *n*=92) or basic (38.0%; *n*=73).

Ongoing GP appointments (89.1%, *n*=171), sleep hygiene (84.9%, *n*=163), exercise/physical activity (84.4%, *n*=162), mindfulness (74.5%, *n*=143), and diet modifications (66.1%, *n*=127) were the interventions most frequently recommended by GPs to adolescent patients during the wait time (see Supplementary Table 4). Over half of the GPs also frequently recommended mental health websites (67.7%, *n*=130) or other digital resources such as web-based programs (50.6%, *n*=97) or mobile apps (55.2%, *n*=106). In the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

free response, GPs also recommended social support resources (21.1%) and relaxation activities (e.g. meditation, reading, listening to music, 19.7%).

GPs rated the quality of clinical support that they provided to adolescents during the wait time as fair (35.4%; *n*=68, good (50.0%; *n*=96) or excellent (6.8%, *n*=13). Similar findings were reported for the quality of care provided to parents (or equivalent) (fair: 43.8%; *n*=84, good: 41.1%; *n*=79, excellent: 5.2%, *n*=10). As shown in Table 4, many of the interventions recommended by GPs for use during the wait time were interventions already used by GPs in routine care, with some exceptions. The frequency of medication prescribing almost doubled during the wait time when compared to routine care (14.6% versus 8.3%, respectively). Only 29.6% (*n*=55/186) of the GPs who reported any prescribing of medication during the wait time (i.e., rarely, sometimes, often or always, *n*=186/192, 96.7%) had received training specifically in youth mental health. There was also more frequent use of digital mental health interventions (+10.4%) and psycho-education handouts (+11.4%) by GPs during the wait time.

Table 4. A comparison of the interventions often or always recommended by GPs in routine care for adolescent depression and/or anxiety with those often or always recommended to help adolescents manage their mental health during the wait time (N=192).

	Often or always recommended by GPs in routine care for adolescent depression and/or	Often or always recommended by GPs to help adolescents manage during the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Type of intervention	anxiety *n* (%)	wait time *n* (%)
Ongoing appointments with GP	168 (87.6)	171 (89.1)
Sleep hygiene	163 (84.9)	163 (84.9)
Lifestyle modification (e.g., diet and/or exercise, physical activity)	158 (82.3)	162 (84.3)
Digital psychoeducation (e.g. mental health websites)	122 (63.5)	130 (67.7)
Digital mental health interventions (e.g. smartphone apps, web-based programs)	105 (54.7)	125 (65.10)
Telephone helplines (e.g., Kids Helpline)	106 (55.2)	100 (52.1)
School counsellor/psychologist	83 (43.2)	93 (48.4)
Psycho-education handouts (e.g. brochures)	63 (32.9)	85 (44.3)
Medication prescribing (e.g. anti-depressants)	16 (8.3)	28 (14.6)

When asked to describe the optimal intervention for adolescents waiting for mental health treatment, GPs suggested ongoing GP appointments (30.7%), digital interventions (28.1%), lifestyle modifications (14.6%), multi-disciplinary support from other mental health or allied health professionals (13.5%) and check-ins (13.0%).

DISCUSSION

This survey explored GPs' experiences of wait times for mental health treatment and services for adolescent patients with depression and/or anxiety in Australia, GPs' estimates of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

wait times and the perceived impacts of these on their adolescent patients as well as their perspectives on acceptable wait times. Almost all GPs frequently referred adolescents with depression and/or anxiety to psychologists while also recommending lifestyle interventions. These practices are consistent with Australia's clinical guidelines for depression and anxiety in adolescents [8,9,40] and the default referral pathways of GPs in prior research [33,41]. However, almost all GPs reported that they had little formal knowledge of the wait times for the services that they referred adolescents to. The mean estimated wait time for psychologists was two months and this did not differ between geographical areas, although was lower than the 3-month average reported by Australian adolescents [14]. The mean estimated wait time for psychiatrists exceeded four months and was higher in rural areas. However, there were high levels of variance in wait time estimates between the GPs and across all service, which may not be solely attributed to regionality. There was also variability in the proposed acceptable wait times. Unsurprisingly, on average, GPs reported that acceptable wait times for acute services (e.g. in-patient units) should be less than one week whereas up to two weeks would be acceptable for psychologists and up to one month for psychiatrists. Overall, the majority of GPs felt that the wait times for almost all mental health treatments and services in Australia were currently unacceptable and should be no more than one month for any service. These findings signify major discrepancies between GPs' preferences for treatment availability in Australia and what they see as the experience of their adolescent patients with depression and/or anxiety who they have referred to specialist care.

GPs agreed that long wait times exacerbated the poor mental health of adolescents, and that they had an instrumental role in supporting these patients during the wait time. These findings are consistent with the viewpoints of Australian adolescents [14]. However, many of the interventions recommended by GPs during the wait time were the same interventions recommended in routine care. This suggests that GPs were not introducing strategies or

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

supports specifically to address the needs of adolescents while they waited for treatment. While it may be possible that some GPs accounted for long wait times in their routine care by initiating follow up appointments and encouraging self-management, this is unlikely given that almost all GPs had little knowledge of wait times or wait time-specific strategies. However, one notable change emerged. Many GPs reported that long wait times increased their prescribing of mental health medication to their adolescent patients with depression/anxiety, with the frequency of medication use in the wait time almost double that in routine care and many who had prescribed medication had done so without referring to a psychiatrist or training in adolescent mental health. While the latter is likely to be due to the poor availability of psychiatrists, these findings indicate that many GPs who routinely treated adolescents with depression/anxiety may lack the expertise to appropriately prescribe anti-depressant medication to this age group, particularly during the wait time when other care is not yet in place [8]. Future research should confirm this treatment pattern using objective data, given that antidepressant prescribing in Australia has already been found to be inconsistent with clinical treatment guidelines [42] [10]. Furthermore, although lifestyle interventions for depression are included in clinical guidelines [8], future research would benefit from clarifying whether GPs carefully assessed the suitability of these for their adolescent patients. Physical activity has been found to be the least preferred brief intervention among adolescent help-seekers [43] and the increased prevalence of disordered eating among depressed adolescents [7] may also lead to some behaviour change advice being contraindicated. Brief screening tools for high risk behaviours and symptoms may help GPs to ensure that any health behaviour change advice, particularly for disordered eating focuses on functional nutrition and movement rather than weight loss.

Most of the GPs had increased their involvement in patient care due to long wait times, which had a negative impact on their professional wellbeing. This is consistent with

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

prior research, where many GPs have described themselves as "ethically stressed and frustrated" when unable to adequately manage the mental health needs of their patients [44]. Future work may benefit from exploring how professional support for GPs can be strengthened when their adolescent patients are experiencing significant mental health treatment delays. Specialised training in youth mental health and wait time management strategies may be one potential way to increase GPs confidence in managing the wait time, given that only half of the participating GPs had training in adolescent mental health. Greater knowledge of service availability among GPs may also help them to direct referrals more appropriately and reduce wait times for their patients. Fostering greater dialogue and collaboration between the professionals making and accepting referrals may help to improve timely access to treatment by helping to (i) identify the professionals who are available within an acceptable period and (ii) ensure that the adolescents do indeed qualify for the referred service [21]. Information-sharing between local psychologists and GPs on who can accept new referrals should be prioritised, given they are the most commonly used and many have been at capacity since the pandemic [45]. Future research should also target psychologists and other treatment providers with long wait times to examine how often they see adolescents after a long wait who could have been referred to a more appropriate provider or one with less wait, and whether there are specific case presentations or help-seeking profiles that are more likely to be on unhelpful referral pathways. As mentioned, screening tools may also support GPs in ensuring that their adolescent patients are indeed waiting for the right treatment provider. Digital referral systems have also been found to enable greater real-time tracking of service capacity at a localised level when compared to paper-based referrals [46,47]. Digital systems for mental health have already been shown to be acceptable to GPs [48], increase patients' uptake of low intensity interventions for depression [49], and enable smarter service planning and co-ordination of care when integrated and utilised [50,51]. The Australian Government has recently

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

implemented a new digital Initial Assessment and Referral Decision Support Tool (IAR-DST) in general practices to provide a standardised, objective approach to the assessment and treatment of mental health conditions [52]. Future research should examine whether this type of approach supports more timely access to mental health treatment and services for adolescents in Australia. Lastly, family carers may be an underutilised support for GPs and adolescents during the wait time, given that many parents are often present at appointments and immediately available [53]. While parents have reported their own preferences for care during the wait time [54], future work should endeavour to bring GPs, adolescents, and their carers together to design a cooperative approach to wait time care that not only meets the psychological needs of adolescents but also strengthens family support and the capacity of GPs to provide high quality care.

Limitations

This study is the first to examine the impact of wait times on GPs' treatment and referral patterns for adolescent mental health in Australia. This study may not adequately represent the diversity of GPs across Australia or capture the full spectrum of challenges or treatment practices used by GPs due to the small sample size. Future studies should aim to incorporate objective or patient-reported data to validate some of the patterns that emerged in our findings. Although our findings are also generally consistent with cross-national data, different results may be found in other countries with different healthcare systems. Future research should explore other patient characteristics or profiles that may be at greater risk of negative effects during the wait time, such as developmental needs, socio-economic disadvantage and parental separation [55]. Additionally, qualitative methods, such as semi-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

structured interviews, may provide a richer scope of understanding of the impact of wait times on GPs and their rationale for treatment selection[41].

Conclusion

This study highlights the limited knowledge of service wait times for mental health treatment among GPs in Australia despite their frequent referrals. referrals. Broader efforts to reduce service wait times must continue, particularly for psychologists and psychiatrists. Our findings further confirm the need for specialised professional development and training in adolescent mental health for GPs in Australia [21]. GPs would also benefit from greater knowledge and training of targeted strategies for supporting adolescents' psychological wellbeing during the wait time. Other recommendations include improving the communication and collaboration between primary care and referred services, more effectiveness studies on mobile apps for the self-management of mental health during the wait time and greater utilisation of family carers during the wait time. Further research in this area will help to enhance the positive and protective help-seeking relationships between GPs and adolescents.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. MacDonald K, Fainman-Adelman N, Anderson KK, et al. Pathways to mental health services for young people: a systematic review. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2018;53(10):1005-38. doi: 10.1007/s00127-018-1578-y

2. Lawrence SM, Saab MM, Savage E, et al. Adolescents' perspectives and experiences of accessing general practitioner services: A systematic review. *J Child Health Care* 2024;0(0):13674935241239837. doi: 10.1177/13674935241239837

3. Crouch L, Reardon T, Farrington A, et al. "Just keep pushing": Parents' experiences of accessing child and adolescent mental health services for child anxiety problems. *Child Care Health Dev* 2019;45(4):491-99. doi: 10.1111/cch.12672

4. Russell MJ, Urichuk L, Parker N, et al. Youth mental health care use during the COVID-19 pandemic in Alberta, Canada: an interrupted time series, population-based study. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health* 2024;18(1):101. doi: 10.1186/s13034-024-00785-1

5. Daneshmand R, Acharya S, Zelek B, et al. Changes in Children and Youth's Mental Health Presentations during COVID-19: A Study of Primary Care Practices in Northern Ontario, Canada. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2023;20(16):6588.

6. Villas-Boas SB, Kaplan S, White JS, et al. Adolescent Total and Mental Health-Related Emergency Department Visits During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open* 2023;6(10):e2336463. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.36463

7. John JR, Khan JR, Lin PI, et al. A nationwide study of COVID-19 impact on mental health-related presentations among children and adolescents to primary care practices in Australia. *Psychiatry Res* 2023;326:115332. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2023.115332

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

8. Malhi GS, Bell E, Bassett D, et al. The 2020 Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2021;55(1):7-117. doi: 10.1177/0004867420979353

9. Andrews G, Bell C, Boyce P, et al. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of panic disorder, social anxiety disorder and generalised anxiety disorder. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2018;52(12):1109-72. doi: 10.1177/0004867418799453

10. Punton G, Dodd AL, McNeill A. 'You're on the waiting list': An interpretive phenomenological analysis of young adults' experiences of waiting lists within mental health services in the UK. *PLoS One* 2022;17(3):e0265542. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0265542

11. Kowalewski K, McLennan JD, McGrath PJ. A preliminary investigation of wait times for child and adolescent mental health services in Canada. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2011;20(2):112-9.

12. Edbrooke-Childs J, Deighton J. Problem severity and waiting times for young people accessing mental health services. *BJPsych Open* 2020;6(6):e118. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2020.103

13. McNicholas F, Kelleher I, Hedderman E, et al. Referral patterns for specialist child and adolescent mental health services in the Republic of Ireland during the COVID-19 pandemic compared with 2019 and 2018. *BJPsych Open* 2021;7(3):e91. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.48

14. Subotic-Kerry M, Borchard T, Parker B, et al. While they wait: A cross-sectional survey on wait times for mental health treatment for anxiety and depression for Australian adolescents. *medRxiv* 2023:23294348. doi: 10.1101/2023.08.21.23294348

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

15. Smith J, Kyle RG, Daniel B, et al. Patterns of referral and waiting times for specialist Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services. *Child Adolesc Ment Health* 2018;23(1):41-49. doi: 10.1111/camh.12207

16. Paton K, Gillam L, Warren H, et al. Clinicians' perceptions of the Australian Paediatric Mental Health Service System: Problems and solutions. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2021;55(5):494-505. doi: 10.1177/0004867420984242

17. Petrie K, Baldwin P, Crawford J, et al. The voice of mental health practice in Australia: a mixed-method cross-sectional study of gaps and areas of need. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2021;45(4):318-24. doi: 10.1111/1753-6405.13078

18. Bleustein C, Rothschild DB, Valen A, et al. Wait times, patient satisfaction scores, and the perception of care. *Am J Manag Care* 2014;20(5):393-400.

19. De Silva T, Prakash A, Yarlagadda S, et al. General practitioners' experiences and perceptions of mild moderate depression management and factors influencing effective service delivery in rural Australian communities: a qualitative study. *Int J Ment Health Syst* 2017;11:54. doi: 10.1186/s13033-017-0159-x

20. Irving G, Neves AL, Dambha-Miller H, et al. International variations in primary care physician consultation time: a systematic review of 67 countries. *BMJ Open* 2017;7(10):e017902. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017902

21. Smith O, Bergmann J, Schall U. Youth mental health competencies in regional general practice. *Australas Psychiatry* 2021;29(2):129-33. doi: 10.1177/1039856220970058

22. Kehoe M, Winton-Brown T, Lee S, et al. General Practitioners' management of young people with mental health conditions in Australia. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2020;14(1):124-29. doi: 10.1111/eip.12892

23. Osborne D, De Boer K, Meyer D, et al. Raising Suicide in Medical Appointments-Barriers and Facilitators Experienced by Young Adults and GPs: A Mixed-Methods

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Systematic Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2023;20(1) doi: 10.3390/ijerph20010822

24. Public Health Scotland. Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services waiting times in Scotland Quarter Ending 30 June 2021 2021 [Available from: https://publichealthscotland.scot/media/8975/2021-09-07-camhs-waiting-times-report.pdf accessed 23rd December 2024.
25. NHS England. Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) Waiting Times Guidance and FAQ's 2015 [Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/iapt-wait-times-guid.pdf accessed 23rd December 2024.
26. Yang F, Wangen KR, Victor M, et al. Referral assessment and patient waiting time decisions in specialized mental healthcare: an exploratory study of early routine collection of PROM (LOVePROM). *BMC Health Serv Res* 2022;22(1):1553. doi: 10.1186/s12913-022-08877-4
27. Canadian Institute for Health Information. Wait Times for Community Mental Health Counselling 2024 [Available from: https://www.cihi.ca/en/indicators/wait-times-for-community-mental-health-counselling accessed 23rd December 2024.
28. Mental Health Commission of New South Wales. Waited longer than acceptable for an appointment with a health professional 2024 [Available from: https://www.nswmentalhealthcommission.com.au/measuring-change-indicator/waited-longer-acceptable-appointment-health-professional accessed 7th June 2024.
29. Valentine AZ, Hall SS, Sayal K, et al. Waiting-list interventions for children and young people using child and adolescent mental health services: a systematic review. *BMJ Ment Health* 2024;27(1) doi: 10.1136/bmjment-2023-300844

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

30. Eichstedt JA, Turcotte K, Golden G, et al. Waitlist management in child and adolescent mental health care: A scoping review. *Child Youth Serv Rev* 2024;160:107529. doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2024.107529

31. Keyes B, McCombe G, Broughan J, et al. Enhancing GP care of mental health disorders post-COVID-19: a scoping review of interventions and outcomes. *Ir J Psychol Med* 2023;40(3):470-86. doi: 10.1017/ipm.2022.17

32. Budd M, Iqbal A, Harding C, et al. Mental health promotion and prevention in primary care: What should we be doing vs. what are we actually doing? *Mental Health and Prevention* 2021;21 doi: 10.1016/j.mhp.2020.200195

33. Koet LB, de Schepper EI, Bohnen AM, et al. Anxiety problems in children and adolescents: a population-based cohort study on incidence and management in Dutch primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2022;72(719):e405-e12. doi: 10.3399/bjgp.2021.0557

34. Koet LBM, Gerger H, Jansen W, et al. Evaluation of practice nurses' management of paediatric psychosocial problems in general practice. *J Public Health* 2024;46(2):e261-e68. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdae008

35. Ball WP, Black C, Gordon S, et al. Inequalities in children's mental health care: analysis of routinely collected data on prescribing and referrals to secondary care. *BMC Psychiatry* 2023;23(1):22. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04438-5

36. Cao TXD, Fraga LFC, Fergusson E, et al. Prescribing Trends of Antidepressants and Psychotropic Coprescription for Youths in UK Primary Care, 2000-2018. *J Affect Disord* 2021;287:19-25. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.03.022

37. Jack RH, Hollis C, Coupland C, et al. Incidence and prevalence of primary care antidepressant prescribing in children and young people in England, 1998-2017: A population-based cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2020;17(7):e1003215. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003215

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

38. Cobanoglu C, Cavusoglu, M., & Turktarhan, G. . A beginner's guide and best practices for using crowdsourcing platforms for survey research: The Case of Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk). *JGBI* 2021;6(1):92-97.

39. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Remoteness Areas (2021 to 2026), 2021.

40. Orygen. Clinical Practice Guideline: Treating depression in young people Guidance, resources and tools for assessment and management: Orygen - The National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health. 2017.

41. Stallman A, Sheeran N, Boschen M. A Qualitative Exploration of General Practitioners' Treatment Decision-Making for Depressive Symptoms. *Med Decis Making* 2023;43(4):498-507. doi: 10.1177/0272989x231166009

42. Wallis KA, Donald M, Moncrieff J. Antidepressant prescribing in general practice: A call to action. *Aust J Gen Pract* 2021;50(12):954-56. doi: 10.31128/ajgp-02-21-5828

43. Schley C, Pace N, Mann R, et al. The headspace Brief Interventions Clinic: Increasing timely access to effective treatments for young people with early signs of mental health problems. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2019;13(5):1073-82. doi: 10.1111/eip.12729

44. Kappelin C, Sandlund C, Westman J, et al. Dancing with the patient: a qualitative study of general practitioners' experiences of managing patients with multimorbidity and common mental health problems. *BMC Prim Care* 2023;24(1):104. doi: 10.1186/s12875-023-02056-y

45. Australian Psychological Society. 1 in 3 psychologists are unable to see new clients, but Australians need help more than ever 2022. Available from: https://psychology.org.au/for-members/news-and-updates/news/2022/australians-need-psychological-help-more-than-ever.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

46. Kern C, Fu DJ, Kortuem K, et al. Implementation of a cloud-based referral platform in ophthalmology: making telemedicine services a reality in eye care. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2020;104(3):312-17. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2019-314161

47. Azamar-Alonso A, Costa AP, Huebner LA, et al. Electronic referral systems in health care: a scoping review. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res* 2019;11:325-33. doi: 10.2147/ceor.S195597

48. Parker BL, Achilles MR, Subotic-Kerry M, et al. Youth StepCare: a pilot study of an online screening and recommendations service for depression and anxiety among youth patients in general practice. *BMC Fam Pract* 2020;21(1):2. doi: 10.1186/s12875-019-1071-z

49. Whitton AE, Hardy R, Cope K, et al. Mental Health Screening in General Practices as a Means for Enhancing Uptake of Digital Mental Health Interventions: Observational Cohort Study. *J Med Internet Res* 2021;23(9):e28369. doi: 10.2196/28369

50. Capon W, Hickie IB, McKenna S, et al. Characterising variability in youth mental health service populations: A detailed and scalable approach using digital technology. *Australas Psychiatry* 2023;31(3):295-301. doi: 10.1177/10398562231167681

51. Iorfino F, Piper SE, Prodan A, et al. Using Digital Technologies to Facilitate Care Coordination Between Youth Mental Health Services: A Guide for Implementation. *Front Health Serv* 2021;1:745456. doi: 10.3389/frhs.2021.745456

52. Department of Health and Aged Care. Initial Assessment and Referral Decision Support Tool – IAR Decision Support Tool. Australian Government, 2019.

53. Cronin M, McLoughlin K, Foley T, et al. Supporting family carers in general practice: a scoping review of clinical guidelines and recommendations. *BMC Primary Care* 2023;24(1):234. doi: 10.1186/s12875-023-02188-1

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24312088; this version posted December 23, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

54. Cunningham CE, Chen Y, Deal K, et al. The Interim Service Preferences of Parents Waiting for Children's Mental Health Treatment: A Discrete Choice Conjoint Experiment. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2013;41(6):865-77. doi: 10.1007/s10802-013-9728-x

55. Boulton KA, Hodge A, Levu K, et al. Access and barriers to supports for children and caregivers attending public child developmental assessment services: Findings from the Sydney child neurodevelopment research registry. *Autism Res* 2024;17(3):555-67. doi: 10.1002/aur.3064