Trends of use of drugs with suggested shortages and their alternatives across 52 real world data sources and 18 countries in Europe and North America

Authors and affiliations

Marta Pineda-Moncusí	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
Alexandros Rekkas	Institute of Applied Biosciences, Centre for Research and Technology Hellas, Greece
Álvaro Martínez Pérez	Joint Research Unit on ICT Applied to the Reengineering of Socio-Healthcare Processes. The Health Research Institute Hospital La Fe
Angela Leis	Research Programme on Biomedical Informatics, Hospital del Mar Research Institute, Barcelona, Spain
Carlos Lopez Gomez	Big Data, AI, Biostatistics, and Bioinformatics Platform. The Health Research Institute Hospital La Fe
Eric Fey	iCAN Digital Precision Cancer Medicine Flagship, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Finland
Erwin Bruninx	Medical Affairs Department Pfizer Belgium
Filip Maljković	Heliant, Belgrade, Serbia
Francisco Sánchez-Sáez	1. Health Services Research and Pharmacoepidemiology Unit, FISABIO, Spain 2. Spanish Network for Research on Chronicity, Primary Care, and Health Promotion (RICAPPS), Spain
Jordi Rodeiro	Research, Innovation and Teaching Unit, Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu, Sant Boi de Llobregat, Spain
Loretta Zsuzsa Kiss	Institute for Clinical Data Management, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
Michael Franz	Berlin Institute of Health at Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Center of Health Data Science
Miguel-Angel Mayer	Control and Management Department, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain
Neva Eleangovan	Observational and Pragmatic Research Institute, Singapore, Singapore
Pau Pericàs Pulido	Health Data Research Platform (PRISIB), Health Research Institute of the Balearic Islands (IdISBa)
Pantelis Natsiavas	Institute of Applied Biosciences, Centre for Research and Technology Hellas
Selçuk Şen	Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medical Pharmacology, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Türkiye
Steven Cooper	Optimum Patient Care, United Kingdom
Sulev Reisberg	1. Institute of Computer Science, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia 2. STACC, Tartu, Estonia
Katrin Manlik	Bayer AG, Pharmaceuticals, Integrated Evidence Generation, Berlin, Germany
Beatriz del Pino	Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu, Universitat de Barcelona, Sant Boi de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain
Albert Prats Uribe	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
Ali Yağız Üresin	Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medical Pharmacology, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Türkiye
Ana Danilović Bastić	University Clinical Hospital Center Zvezdara, Belgrade, Serbia
Ana Maria Rodrigues	1 EpiDoC Unit, NOVA Medical School, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal; 2 Comprehensive Health Research Centre (CHRC), NOVA Medical School, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal; 3 Unidade de Reumatologia, Hospital dos Lusíadas, Lisbon, Portugal

Ãngela Afonso	Instituto de Medicina Molecular, Edifício Egas Moniz, Avenida Professor Egas Moniz, 1649-028 Lisboa, Portugal
Anna Palomar-Cros	Fundació Institut Universitari per a la recerca a l'Atenció Primària de Salut Jordi Gol i Gurina (IDIAPJGol), Barcelona, Spain
Annelies Verbiest	1. Department of Oncology, Multidisciplinary Oncological Center Antwerp, Antwerp University Hospital, Drie Eikenstraat 655, 2650 Edegem, Belgium 2. Data Innovation Lab, ntwerp University Hospital, Drie Eikenstraat 655, 2650 Edegem, Belgium
Antonella Delmestri	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
Barış Erdoğan	Clinerion, Basel, Switzerland
Carina Dinkel-Keuthage	Bayer AG, Pharmaceuticals, Integrated Evidence Generation, Berlin, Germany
Carmen Olga Torre	1. Product Development, Real World Data Sciences, Roche Pharmaceuticals 2. School of Science & Engineering, University of Groningen, The Netherlands
Caroline de Beukelaar	Manager The Greenhouse. az groeninge Kortrijk, Belgium
Caroline Eteve-Pitsaer	Cegedim Health Data
Cátia F. Gonçalves	Reuma.pt, Sociedade Portuguesa de Reumatologia, Lisbon, Portugal
Costantino de Palma	Cegedim Health Data
Cristina Gavina	1 Cardiology Department, Hospital Pedro Hispano-Unidade Local de Saúde Matosinhos, Matosinhos, Portugal; 2 Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine of University of Porto, Porto, Portugal; 3 UnIC, Faculty of Medicine of University of Porto, Porto, Portugal
Daniel Dedman	Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, 10 South Colonnade, London E14 4PU, United Kingdom
David Brendan Price	Observational and Pragmatic Research Institute, Singapore, Singapore Centre of Academic Primary Care, Division of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom
Denisa Gabriela Balan	Clinical and Epidemiological Research Unit, University Hospital of Parma, Parma, Italy
Dirk Enders	InGef - Institute for Applied Health Research Berlin GmbH, Berlin, Germany
Edward Burn	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
Elisa Henke	Institute for Medical Informatics and Biometry, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany
Elyne Scheurwegs	Data Innovation Lab, Antwerp University Hospital, Drie Eikenstraat 655, 2650 Edegem, Belgium
Emma Callewaert	Data science team. The Greenhouse. az groeninge Kortrijk, Belgium
Encarnación Pérez Martínez	Joint Research Unit on ICT Applied to the Reengineering of Socio-Healthcare Processes. The Health Research Institute Hospital La Fe
Eng Hooi Tan	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
Fabian Prasser	Berlin Institute of Health at Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Center of Health Data Science

Francois Antonini	Datascientist Department, Service d'Informatique Médicale, Université Aix-Marseille, CHU Timone, Marseille, France.Intensive Care department - Marseille, Université Aix-Marseille, CHU Nord, Marseille, France.
Frank Staelens	1.Department of Quality and Process Management. OLV hospital Aalst, Belgium. 2.Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent Belgium.
Fredrik Nyberg	School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
Geoffray Agard	Datascientist Department, Service d'Informatique Médicale, Université Aix-Marseille, CHU Timone, Marseille, France./ Health Service Research and Quality of Life Center (CEReSS), Aix-Marseille Université, 27 Boulevard Jean-Moulin, 13005, Marseille, France. .Intensive Care department - Marseille, Université Aix-Marseille, CHU Nord, Marseille, France.
Gianluigi Galli	Scientific Direction - Clinical Trial Center, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico
Gianmario Candore	Bayer AG, Pharmaceuticals, Integrated Evidence Generation, Berlin, Germany
Gianny Mestdach	Data Science Team. Department of Quality and Process Management. OLV hospital Aalst, Belgium.
Hadas Shachaf	Pharmacy Department, Bnai Zion Medical Center Haifa Israel
Harri Rantala	Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland
Huiqi Li	School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
Ines Reinecke	Two affiliations: 1. Institute for Medical Informatics and Biometry, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany 2. Data Integration Center, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany
Irene López-Sánchez	Two affiliations: 1. Fundació Institut Universitari per a la recerca a l'Atenció Primària de Salut Jordi Gol i Gurina (IDIAPJGol), Barcelona, Spain; 2.Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Barcelona, Spain
Jaime E Poquet-Jornet	Hospital de Denia, Alicante (Spain)
Javier de la Cruz Bertolo	Hospital 12 de Octubre Research Institute (i+12), Madrid, Spain
Jelle Evers	Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (IKNL)
João Firmino-Machado	Centro Académico Clínico Egas Moniz Health Alliance; Departamento de Ciências Médicas - Universidade de Aveiro
Jonas Wastesson	Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
Juan Luis Cruz Bermúdez	Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain
Juan Manuel Ramírez-Anguita	Research Programme on Biomedical Informatics, Hospital del Mar Research Institute, Barcelona, Spain
Kimmo Porkka	iCAN Digital Precision Cancer Medicine Flagship, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center, Helsinki, Finland
Kristina Johnell	Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Laurent Boyer	Health Service Research and Quality of Life Center (CEReSS), Aix-Marseille Université, 27 Boulevard Jean-Moulin, 13005, Marseille, France.; 5Department of Medical Information, AP-HM, Marseille, France.
Lieselot Cool	Data science team. The Greenhouse. az groeninge Kortrijk, Belgium
Luca Moscetti	Division of Oncology, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria di Modena, Via del Pozzo 71, 41121 Modena, Italy
Manon Merkelbach	VieCuri Medical Center, Venlo, The Netherlands
Mariana Canelas-Pais	1 Department of Community Medicine, Information and Decision in Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Portugal; 2 MTG Research and Development Lab, Porto, Portugal
Massimo Dominici	Division of Oncology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria di Modena, Modena, Italy
Máté Szilcz	Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
Matteo Puntoni	Clinical and Epidemiological Research Unit, University Hospital of Parma, Parma, Italy
Mees Mosseveld	Department of Medical Informatics Erasmus MC University Medical Center
Mina Tadrous	University of Toronto
Miquel Oltra-Sastre	Hospital de Denia, Alicante (Spain)
Mona Bové	Data Science Team. Department of Quality and Process Management. OLV hospital Aalst, Belgium.
Nadav Rappoport	Software and Information Systems Engineering, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, ISRAEL
Noelia García Barrio	Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain
Otto Ettala	Turku University and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland
Paolo Baili	SC Data Science, Epidemiology and Data Science Department, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy
Paula Rubio Mayo	Hospital 12 de Octubre Research Institute (i+12), Madrid, Spain
Peter Prinsen	Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (IKNL)
Raeleesha Norris	InGef - Institute for Applied Health Research Berlin GmbH, Berlin, Germany
Ravinder Claire	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
Reut Sherman Yackob	Galilee Medical Center, ISRAEL
Roberto Lillini	SC Data Science, Epidemiology and Data Science Department, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy
Salvador Garcia-Torrens	Hospital de Denia, Alicante (Spain)
Sampo Kukkurainen	Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland
Silvia Lazzarelli	Clinical and Epidemiological Research Unit, University Hospital of Parma, Parma, Italy
Talita Duarte-Salles	Two affiliations: 1. Fundació Institut Universitari per a la recerca a l'Atenció Primària de Salut Jordi Gol i Gurina (IDIAPJGol), Barcelona, Spain; 2. Department of Medical Informatics, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
Tiago Taveira-Gomes	1 Department of Community Medicine, Information and Decision in Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Portugal; 2 Faculty of Health Sciences, University Fernando Pessoa (FCS-UFP), Porto, Portugal; 3 SIGIL Scientific Enterprises, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Tim Jansen	VieCuri Medical Center, Venlo, The Netherlands
Ulrich Keilholz	Department of Haemato-oncology, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany

Wai Yi Man	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
Xintong Li	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
Zsolt Bagyura	Institute for Clinical Data Management, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
Daniel Prieto-Alhambra	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K; and Department of Medical Informatics, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
Peter R. Rijnbeek	Department of Medical Informatics Erasmus MC University Medical Center
Theresa Burkard	Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences (NDORMS), University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.

Corresponding author

Prof Daniel Prieto-Alhambra, Pharmaco- and Device Epidemiology Group, Health Data Sciences, Botnar Research Centre, NDORMS, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; daniel.prietoalhambra@ndorms.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Importance: Drug shortages leave affected patients in a vulnerable position.

Objective: To describe incidence and prevalence of use for medicines with suggested shortages in at least one European country, as announced by the European Medicines Agency, and to characterise the users of these drugs including the indication of use, duration of use, and dosage.

Design: We performed a descriptive cohort study from 2010 and up to 2024 in a network of databases which have mapped their data to the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) Common Data Model (CDM).

Setting: Settings included primary care, secondary care, claims and various disease registries.

Participants: We included all patients with at least 365 days of history on the database.

Exposures: All medicines with a suggested shortage in at least one European country for more than 365 days (n=18). We also assessed their key alternatives (n=39).

Main outcomes and measures: We estimated annual incidence rates and period prevalence. A drop in incidence or prevalence of >33% after the shortage was announced was considered confirmation of a shortage.

Results: Among 52 databases from Europe and the United States, we observed shortages according to decreased incidence of use for 8 drugs and shortages according to prevalence of use for 9 drugs. The drugs varenicline and amoxicillin alone or plus clavulanate were in shortage in the most number of countries.

Conclusion and relevance: We compiled and analysed data of annual incidence and prevalence of use plus information on patient characteristics, indication, and dose for 57 medicines among 52 databases in Europe and the United States between 2010 and 2024. We detected shortages and observed a change in the users' characteristics for several drugs.
We have described timely real-world scenarios of drug shortages and those unobserved in various health care settings and countries which helps to better understand how drug shortages play out in real life.

Keywords

Drug shortages, observational study, electronic health records, federated data model

Introduction

Drug shortages represent an important global health issue, posing clinical and economic threats to healthcare systems and public health worldwide.[1] Drug shortages have been identified as a key public health risk since the beginning of the 20th century (e.g., the shortage of insulin in the early 1920s).[2] A recent example is the fact that several countries in the Northern Hemisphere faced shortages of "basic medicines" such as antibiotics and painkillers for the treatment of respiratory tract infections, including paediatric formulations, during 2022 and 2023 winters.[3, 4] The World Health Organization (WHO) highlighted the importance of drug shortages as a complex global challenge[5] and urged the Member States to develop strategies to mitigate their impact.[6]

The causes of drug shortages can differ between countries and regions due to differences regarding health system structure, procurement processes, logistics etc. Therefore, different health authorities, agencies, and governments have developed various strategies, policies, action plans, and guidelines to address drug shortages and mitigate their potential impact. In 2013, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) launched the first catalogue of medicine shortages assessed by the Agency.[7] Since that date, the EMA has regularly updated this catalogue in line with the current status of medicines under surveillance due to shortages in more than one European country, except for a suspension between March 2020 and December 2021 that was temporarily suspended due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The list includes information such as the reason for the shortage, and recommendations regarding alternatives for patients, healthcare professionals and other stakeholders.

In addition to anxiety, drug shortages can lead to significant harm, including treatment failure, delays in care, suboptimal treatment outcomes, and therapy errors, particularly when alternative medications are unavailable or unfamiliar. Furthermore, the treatment with alternative and potentially suboptimal medications may increase the risk of adverse reactions and/or potential drug interactions.[8] Despite increased awareness about the importance of drug shortages and announcements of new recommendations/mitigation strategies, broad research directly focused on drug shortages is very limited. The topic is mainly evaluated by reviews and consensus statements. To this end, Real World Data (RWD) can be a powerful research accelerator to improve the understanding of drug shortages in the clinical practice, providing timely and accurate information on the prevalence and incidence of medication use over time, as well as an overview of the characteristics of the users.

The presented study uses a wide network of databases across Europe available in OMOP-CDM (the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership Common Data Model) format. The

European Health Data & Evidence Network (EHDEN, https://www.ehden.eu/) funded and supported the harmonisation of more than 187 European data bases covering over 200 million people in OMOP-CDM . [9, 10] After an open call for participation, we analysed 52 real world data sources from 18 countries: Belgium, Bulgaria, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Spain, Sweden, Türkiye, UK, and the USA.

It should be noted that the medicines evaluated in the present study were already reported in the existing catalogue of EMA on drug shortages. In this context, this study did not aim to verify whether the drugs reported by the health authority were actually in shortage, but rather to evaluate the reported drug shortages from a different perspective using RWD. Identification of drug shortages according to the incidence/prevalence of usage of respective medicines, the significant changes in the use of respective medicines throughout the study period, reflection of reported drug shortages on RWD (mostly prescription data, not dispensing), changes in indication of use to review the contribution of high or low demand to the shortage, the change in a specific time period such as COVID-19 pandemic, stratification of the study population according to gender and different age groups, and differences between primary and secondary care settings can be listed as key points that were assessed in the present study. To the best of our knowledge, the present work is the first and the largest study using RWD to evaluate the drug shortages in the context of both incidence/prevalence at population-level and drug utilization characteristics at patient-level on real-world data from several European and some other countries.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a large-scale observational, retrospective cohort study consisting of two consecutive parts:

1. A population-level cohort study to assess the incidence and prevalence of use for 18 medicines with reported drug shortages in Europe and 39 of their alternatives.
2. A patient-level cohort of new and prevalent users to characterise drug utilisation of those medicines with reported drug shortages in Europe including their indications, duration of use, and dosage.

Data Sources

We used routinely collected, longitudinal observational healthcare data from data sources standardized to the OMOP CDM. The study was open to all data partners in the EHDEN network, including EHDEN consortium members.

In this large network cohort study, 82 EHDEN data partners, accounting for 90 databases, expressed interest to join; 67 databases attempted the feasibility stage, of which 63 databases passed, whilst one did not pass feasibility because they did not have any drug of interest, and three could not execute the code due to compatibility problems between the study package and the database management system. Finally, two of the databases that passed the feasibility withdrew at that stage, and one was also available from another data partner and therefore excluded. Of the remaining 60 databases, 54 successfully ran the incidence prevalence step, but two of them were not included in this article as they did not

obtain data sharing approval on time. Additionally, from the 52 included databases, 42 ran the large-scale patient-level drug utilisation step (**Figure 1**)

In the end, 44 EHDEN data partners participated in the study contributing results from 52 data sources from 18 European countries (including Belgium, Bulgaria, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Spain, Sweden, Türkiye, UK) and 3 data sources from the United States (not part of the EHDEN network but provided by the EFPIA partners). (**Figure 1**)

The contributing data sources originated from different health care settings and comprised various types of real-world data including electronic medical records (primary and secondary care), administrative claims, and disease registries. A brief description of all included databases is provided in the **Annex I,** and all database acronyms are available in **Table 1**.

Study setup

The study was set up as a federated network study, where each data partner accessed and analysed their own respective databases locally. Before study initiation, test runs were conducted on all data sources as a feasibility step: to participate partners were required to have at least one drug of interest recorded in more than 100 individuals within the study period. Only after passing the feasibility step successfully were data partners permitted in the second step to execute the analytical package for the Incidence-Prevalence and in the third step the analytical package for the patient-level Drug Utilisation Study (DUS) against their participating data sources. (**Figure 1**)

Study period

The study period covered the years from 2010 (or the following earliest available data) to the latest data collection date for each data source (**Table 1)**.

Study population

1. For the population-level drug utilisation study, the study cohort comprised all individuals present in each database during the study period with at least 365 days of data availability before the day they become eligible for study inclusion. This requirement of at least 365 days of data history was not applied for children < 1 year old. Additional eligibility criteria were applied for the calculation of incidence rates, where the observation time of users of the medicine of interest was excluded during use and 30 days afterwards as a wash out period.
2. For the patient level drug utilisation study, the study populations comprised all incident and prevalent users of medicines with suggested shortages and their alternatives in the period between 2010 and the end of the study period. Patients could be eligible more than once during the study period.

Variables

The list of drugs under study was sourced from the EMA shortages catalogue during the study period.[7] We included all medicines listed as having had an ongoing shortage for at least 365 days (cut-off end date was September 25th, 2023): agalsidase beta, alteplase, amoxicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanate, arsenic trioxide, belatacept, c1 esterase inhibitor, ceftolozane-tazobactam, ganirelix, imiglucerase, sarilumab, tenecteplase, tigecycline, tocilizumab, varenicline and verteporfin.

The list of key alternative drugs which could be prescribed to replace drugs in shortage was drawn either directly from suggestions made by the EMA or by using the ATC group the medicine was in or based on recommendations either from treatment guidelines or from domain experts and included a total of 39 drugs. Cetrorelix, which had been included as alternative for ganirelix, was listed as in shortage in the EMA shortages catalogue. However, its shortage was shorter than 365 days.

The final list of drugs included 13 antibiotics, 7 cancer treatments, 3 eye health treatments, 2 smoking cessation treatments, 22 rare disease medications, 3 thrombolytic agents, 2 assisted reproduction treatments and 5 transplant medications. An exhaustive list of all the drugs included is available in the **Supplementary Table 1.**

Statistical analysis

All databases had to pass an initial feasibility check before being included in the study to ensure the minimum requirements to participate (described in Study setup section). We assessed patient baseline characteristics in a large-scale characterization study focusing on demographic characteristics (age, sex) and conditions recorded prior to exposure.

Period prevalence of use, with 95% binomial confidence intervals, was calculated by calendar year, defined as the total number of individuals receiving the drug of interest during the given calendar year, divided by the population at risk of being exposed during the same period. Annual incidence rates with 95% Poisson confidence intervals of use for the medicines of interest were calculated as the number of new users after 30 days of no use divided by the time at risk of getting exposed during that period. Incidence was evaluated in terms of annual incidence rates, that is, the number of new users (30 days of no drug use) divided by the time at risk of getting exposed during that period. We also performed stratified analyses based on the following covariates:

- **Period (a.k.a. calendar time)**: We considered stratified analyses based on the calendar year for medicines being listed as (suggested) shortages and their alternatives. When stratifications by calendar year did not allow the observation of changes once shortage was declared, monthly or quarterly periods were considered.
- **Healthcare setting**: We considered stratified analyses based on healthcare settings and data types, including, primary care, secondary care, both combined (primary and secondary care), claims and registries.
- **Demographic characteristics:** We considered stratified analyses based on age bands, that is, younger than 18 versus between 18 and 64 versus older than 65 years old, and sex, that is male versus female patients.

Incidence and prevalence analyses were executed across all participating databases using the *IncidencePrevalence* R-package, part of the DARWIN EU® open-source software library.

In post-hoc analyses, we assessed the difference in average incidence and prevalence between the 3 years after and the 3 years before the announced shortage. A use of < 67% after the announced shortage (i.e. a drop of >33% in use) was considered as indication that the medicine was in shortage in the particular database ("suggested shortage"), health care setting or country. [11, 12]

The patient-level drug utilisation study performed a characterization of prevalent and new users including the following characteristics:

- Age (median and proportion by age groups: <18, 18-64, 65+) and Sex (proportion male and female patients)
- Indication: We assessed the diagnosis within pre-specified time windows (at index date, and 30 days or any time prior to index date). The number and the percentage of persons with a record of a potential indication within each time window are provided. If a person had records for more than one potential indication, they were included in both indication groups.
- Treatment duration: This was calculated as the duration of drug exposure for the new dispensation/prescription of a medicine of interest. Treatment duration is summarized providing the minimum, p25, median, p75, and maximum duration. For databases where duration could not be calculated due to missing information, treatment duration is not provided.
- Dosage: Daily dosage of treatment was per medicine of interest and administration route. Dose is summarized providing the minimum, p25, median, p75, and maximum dose. For databases, where dose could not be calculated due to missing information, dose is not provided.

The drug utilisation study was executed using the *DrugUtilizationCharacteristics* R-package, part of DARWIN EU® open-source software

Results interpretation

A full-day webinar was conducted, bringing together medical experts, epidemiologists, and data scientists from all EHDEN data partners who contributed to the study. The incidence and prevalence results were visualized in an R shiny application and presented in a plenary session using one drug group as an example, followed by breakout sessions for each of the 11 drug groups to collaboratively analyse and interpret the results, and to document and summarize the tentative conclusions and limitations. The results were analysed per database and stratified by healthcare setting, age group, sex and country, considering available information from the literature and local health care practice on time windows and potential reasons for drug shortages during the study period.

A second full-day webinar was held three months later in a similar setting to analyse and interpret the results of the second part of the research with the patient-level drug utilisation study.

Results

We included the results of 52 data sources from 18 European countries and the US. Size of data sources ranged from 4,918 individuals to more than 176 million, but the total number of persons included in the denominators for incidence and prevalence was 617.06 million. (**Table 1**)

Drugs with suggested shortage

We observed the incident use of 15 drugs with suggested shortages, and the prevalent use of 16 drugs with suggested shortages. (**Figure 2, Figure 3**)

The US presented the largest number of drugs in shortages, with 7 drugs out of 13 with a drop in prevalent use larger than ≥33% (post-announcement versus pre-announcement), followed by Belgium with all 5 drugs observed, and Spain with 4 out of 8. The countries with more drugs presenting ≥33% drop in the incident use were UK (3 out of 5), Spain (3 out of 9) and US (3 out of 13). (**Figure 2, Supplementary Table 2**)

Focusing on the drugs with suggested shortages, varenicline was the drug with the largest number of databases (**Figure 3**) and countries (**Supplementary figure 1**) with a ≥33% drop in incidence of use and/or prevalence of use: 17 databases in incidence, 17 in prevalence (with some different databases in respect to the incidence) from up to 10 countries. This was followed by amoxicillin alone and combined with clavulanate, where 3 and 4 databases for incidence of use (respectively), 10 and 13 databases for prevalence of use (respectively for alone and combined), presented a ≥33% drop; affecting up to 9 for amoxicillin alone and 8 when combined with clavulanate.

Drugs that were more frequently in shortage and its alternatives

The following sections are focused on the drugs that were more frequently in shortage as detected in the databases of the study. The complete results for incidence and prevalence, including all the drugs and their alternatives, are available in a shiny app: https://dpa-pde-oxford.shinyapps.io/MegaStudy_IncidencePrevalence/

Smoking cessation treatments

Shortage of varenicline was announced on the 8th of July 2021 by the EMA. We observed a shortage in incidence of use in 12 databases (**Figure 4**), whilst in prevalence of use was 13 databases (**Figure 5**). The shortage was more prevalent in primary care (56% [5 out of 9] databases assessed presented a shortage), followed by the other types of data containing primary and secondary combined, claims and registries (present in 50% [5 out of 10]), and hospitals (present in 20% [3 out of 15]).

Despite not reaching the ≥33% threshold, most primary care databases show a decrease in the incidence of varenicline, whilst incident use of its alternative, nicotine supplements, increased after July 2021 in IPCI, OPCRD, THIN FRANCE, presented a peak in 2022 in THIN BELGIUM and THIN UK, or show a stabilisation in the incident use after a decrease trend in CPRD AURUM and GOLD. (**Supplementary Figure 2A**) When assessing prevalence of varenicline and nicotine in primary care, similar patterns of use were observed in all databases. (**Supplementary Figure 2B**)

Common infection antibiotics

Shortage of amoxicillin alone and in combination with clavulanate was announced by the EMA on the 27th of January 2023. We observed a shortage in prevalence of use of amoxicillin in 22 databases out of 46, whilst in amoxicillin with clavulanate was 16 databases out of 34. (**Figure 6**) The shortage of both, amoxicillin alone and in combination with clavulanate, was more prevalent in primary care (55% [6 out of 11] presented a shortage). We observed shortages of amoxicillin alone and in combination with clavulanate in 46% (11 out of 24) and 43% (6 out of 14) of hospitals, respectively. In the other databases, 45% (5 out of 11) presented a shortage in amoxicillin, and 44% (4 out of 9) when combined with clavulanate.

Prevalence of amoxicillin alone and in combination with clavulanate generally decreased in the observed primary care databases, and most of them showed a marked drop in 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nine of 11 primary care data bases showed an upsurge in 2022 that partially recovered levels before the pandemic onset, however, prevalence of use in 2023 decreased again. (**Supplementary Figure 3A**).

Ten hospitals out of 24 did not show any prevalent use of amoxicillin-clavulanate but had a prevalent use of amoxicillin alone. (**Supplementary Figure 3B**) Prevalent use of amoxicillin-

clavulanate increased between 2020-2022, after the COVID-19 pandemic onset, in 8 out of 14 hospitals, whilst for amoxicillin alone this occurred in 12 out of 24 hospitals.

Prevalence of use for amoxicillin alone or combined with clavulanate in 9 of the 11 other databases also showed a decrease, with the exception of HULAFE and for amoxicillin-clavulanate in MAITT. (**Supplementary Figure 3C**).

When the alternative antibiotics used for common infections were observed, prevalence of azithromycin increased in 6 out of 11 primary care databases, but also presented a drop in 2020-2021, whilst clarithromycin decreased in all 11 databases. Similar decreasing trends could be observed for phenoxymethylpenicillin. Additionally, prevalence of use for benzylpenicillin was very low in primary care, below 0.16%. In secondary care, prevalence of use for azithromycin increased in 18 out of 24 databases, clarithromycin presented a generally stable trend in 50% of hospitals (in 12 out of 22), use of phenoxymethylpenicillin was uncommon (only observed in 9 databases of 24), and benzylpenicillin presented a prevalence of use of <0.2% except in HUS that increased from 2010 to 2023 (maximum observed prevalence of 1.3%) and in BZMC that increased from 2020 to 2023 (maximum observed prevalence of 0.7%). In the other types of databases, azithromycin decreased in 8 of 11, with a small upsurge in 2022 in 6 databases; whilst clarithromycin and phenoxymethylpenicillin prevalence generally decreased over time. **Supplementary figure 4** contains the prevalence of alternative antibiotics for common infections.

Characterisation of users of drugs in shortage

We received results of the large-scale patient-level drug utilisation study of 42 databases. In this section, we focus on discussing the drugs on shortage that presented larger differences in the characterisation. Full results are available in a shiny app: https://dpa-pde-oxford.shinyapps.io/MegaStudy_webinar_DUS/

Smoking cessation treatments

Demographics of incident and prevalent users of varenicline show that the median age was similar before and after the shortage period. However, a change in the proportion of sex was observed in UK primary care databases (CPRD Aurum and OPCRD), a Spanish hospital (HULAFE) and in one of the US claims data (P+) for incident (**Supplementary Figure 5A**) and prevalent users (**Supplementary Figure 5B**).

A primary care database from the Netherlands (IPCI) shows a drop in cumulative dose after the shortage date in both, incident and prevalent users (**Supplementary Figure 5C and D**, respectively). Moreover, TAUH (Finland, secondary care) and THIN Belgium (Belgium, claims data) show a reduction in duration after the shortage date (**Supplementary Figure 5E**), whilst SCIFI-PEARL (Sweden, EHR P+S) saw a reduction in initial quantity after the shortage date (**Supplementary Figure 5F**).

Thrombolytic agents:

After the announcement of alteplase shortage, median age of incident prescription of alteplase were observed increased in AP-HM, CPRD Aurum, InGef RDB and OLVA MS, whilst slightly decreased in ATENEA, IMASIS and UM DRESDEN (**Supplementary Figure 6A**). However, when stratified by age groups, HUS, which give the impression that was stable, show an increased prevalence of the 19-64 age group and a decrease in the 65+ age group (**Supplementary Figure 6B**).

In the year of the shortage (2022), an increase in incident male users can be seen in 6 of 10 observed databases, but 7 databases presented a decrease of male users in 2023

compared with the proportion in the previous year (**Supplementary Figure 6C**). Trends of prevalent patients are similar (**Supplementary Figure 6D**). We observed a reversal in the trend of alteplase usage between sexes in the IMASIS, HUS and UM DRESDEN databases after alteplase shortage announcement. (**Supplementary Figure 6C and D**).

In the AP-HM and UM DRESDEN databases (which contain secondary data), an increase in the incidence of alteplase administered to patients with pulmonary embolism (PE) in the last 30 days was observed during the drug shortage period. Conversely, in the HUS database, this pattern showed a decrease (**Supplementary Figure 6E**). Comparing with PE diagnoses from any time prior, a similar trend to that observed in recently diagnosed patients can be seen in the HUS and UM DRESDEN databases. However, in the AP-HM database, a decrease in the incidence of alteplase administered to patients with PE diagnosed at any prior time was observed. (**Supplementary Figure 6F**).

In France, Finland, and Germany, an increase in the prevalence of alteplase use is observed despite its shortage (**Supplementary Figure 6G**). In the AP-HM and UM DRESDEN databases (which contain secondary data), an increase in the incidence of alteplase administered to patients with PE in the last 30 days was observed during the drug shortage period. Conversely, in the HUS, IMASIS and OLVA MS databases, this pattern showed a decrease (**Supplementary Figure 6H**). Comparing with PE diagnoses from any time prior, a similar trend to that observed in recently diagnosed patients can be seen in the HUS, UM DRESDEN, IMASIS and OLVA MS databases. However, in the AP-HM database, a decrease in the incidence of alteplase administered to patients with PE diagnosed at any prior time was observed (**Supplementary Figure 6I**).

Conversely, we did not observe a significant change in myocardial infarction (MI) and ischemic stroke indications for alteplase (*data shown in the shiny app*).

Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs):

Characteristics of sarilumab users are reported in **Supplementary Figure 7**. After the announcement of sarilumab shortage, median age of incident prescription was observed to have increased in HULAFE and InGef RDB, whilst SCIFI-PEARL show an increase in age in the same year of the shortage that is later maintained (**Supplementary Figure 7A**). In the year of the shortage, an increase in incident male users can be seen in most of the databases, but it is most prominent in HULAFE and CPRD-Aurum. Trends of prevalent patients are similar (**Supplementary Figure 7C**).As for the indications, a significant decrease of rheumatoid arthritis (the most frequent diagnosis) can be observed in incident patients in the year of the shortage in CRPD-Aurum, HUS and SCIFI-Pearl databases. (**Supplementary Figure 7D**). Prevalent users presented similar trends. Additionally, a decrease in cumulative dose of incident users can be observed in IPCI (**Supplementary Figure 7G**).

Discussion

Our study contains information on the use of 57 drugs across 52 databases that were mapped to the OMOP-CDM.[9] To our knowledge, this is the largest network cohort study conducted to date, and the most comprehensive analysis of drug shortages internationally. The massive use of Real-World Data opens a way of addressing this serious problem with a more objective and evidence-based approach. [11-13]

We studied drug shortages across drugs listed in the EMA shortages catalogue for more than a year.[7] We defined a drop of ≥33% in incidence or prevalence of use after the EMA announced a shortage in their catalogue as a confirmation of the announced shortage

across different countries. Prior efforts in detecting shortages using trends of use in the US have suggested that a ≥33% drop is an appropriate definition to detect drug shortages. [11, 12, 14]

In our analyses, varenicline showed a decline compatible with a shortage in both incidence and prevalence in most of the databases of the study in which its use was observed. Additionally, we observed a drop in cumulative dose after the shortage date in both, incident and prevalent users in the database from the Netherlands (IPCI), a reduction in duration after the shortage date in TAUH (Finland, secondary care) and THIN Belgium (Belgium, claims data) databases, and a reduction in initial quantity after the shortage date in SCIFI-PEARL (Sweden, EHR P+S) were most probably the reflection of drop in incidence/prevalence of varenicline usage and can only be considered as surrogate findings. Varenicline shortage in June 2021 was caused by a disruption in its production: batches of Champix (varenicline commercialised by Pfizer) were found to contain levels of N-nitroso-varenicline exceeding acceptable EU limits.[15] This led to the recall of several batches, and a subsequent pause in production 3 months later, which resulted in a shortage of the medication affecting the UK, the US and all EU member states except Bulgaria.[15, 16] Despite a varenicline shortage, we did not observe an increase in the use of nicotine replacement products, which are the most readily available alternative. This might be because nicotine is not the only pharmacological intervention for smoking cessation. In addition to nicotine replacement therapy, other alternatives include bupropion, cytisine, and nortriptyline.[17, 18] Additionally, e-cigarettes are becoming more popular [19], and some countries have included them in their guidelines [20]. Nicotine replacement therapies are also easily accessible over the counter. Therefore, the electronic health records and prescription data are probably not the best source to assess the usage of nicotine replacement therapies.

The following drugs that had many databases with an observable decline in prevalent use ≥33% was amoxicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanate. We also observed that the shortages were more prevalent at primary care settings than the others. Amoxicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanate are antibiotics widely used for common infections.[21] Shortage of amoxicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanate were caused by issues in manufacturing plus an increase in demand. The combination amoxicillin-clavulanate was used especially at the start of the COVID-19 pandemic to treat secondary bacterial infections such as pneumonia, at a time when no evidence of risk-benefit of COVID-19 treatments were yet available.[22] Subsequent decreases in the use of amoxicillin (alone or with clavulanate), as well as of alternative antibiotics, during the COVID-19 pandemic could be attributed to the impact of public health restrictions on non-COVID infections and to a reduced interaction with the health services.[23] An upsurge in respiratory infections during winter 2022 that especially affected the UK[14] also contributed to the increase in demand that led to the announcement of the shortage in January 2023.[24] The reasons announced by EMA for this shortage were both increased demand of antibiotics and manufacturing delays and production capacity issues, including big pharmaceutical companies leaving the antibiotic market to companies producing generic drugs and failing in the development of new antibiotics due to commercial reasons.[25] Awareness about the risk of serious life-threatening conditions related to shortages of antibiotics should be raised and new more effective measures for drug production and distribution should be taken to address this problem.[26]

In addition of the ≥33% drop in use, shortages can also be studied by observing the changes in the patients' characteristics:

In our study, median age of alteplase incident use increased in four databases, whilst slightly decreased in three. Additionally, when stratified by age groups, HUS showed an increased prevalence of the 19-64 age group and a decrease in the 65+ age group. These results may

indicate that the presence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases due to age can differ between countries, and in some may occur at earlier ages. Despite increasing age is a significant risk factor for ischemic stroke and MI,[27, 28] we did not observe an incremented use of thrombolytic drugs for the treatment of these two indications. However, we observed an increased incidence of alteplase administered to patients with PE (especially during and after COVID-19 pandemic) in AP-HM and UM DRESDEN databases, which can be explained by the increased risk of PE due to COVID-19 disease.[29] Acute ischemic stroke, PE, acute MI and occluded catheters are the main indications of thrombolytics. EMA highlighted the increase in demand as the reason of shortages of alteplase and tenecteplase (announced in September 2022).[30, 31] Therefore, globally increased prevalence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases.[32] can be counted to be the main contributor for the shortage. For several reasons, treatment with percutaneous coronary intervention is preferred over thrombolytics in the treatment of acute MI and is increasingly more available, affecting the general trend in thrombolytic agents use, which could help interpreting the lack in increased use of alteplase among MI patients.

For sarilumab, we did not detect a ≥33% drop in use except in the incidence estimates of HUS database. However, we observed that the median age of incident sarilumab prescription increased in HULAFE and InGef RDB. Similarly, SCIFI-PEARL show an increase in age in the same year of the shortage that was later maintained. Moreover, a significant decrease of rheumatoid arthritis indication (only approved indication of the drug) can be observed in incident patients in the year of the shortage in CRPD-Aurum, HUS and SCIFI-Pearl databases. The shortage of sarilumab, is a great example of an unexpected reason for a drug shortage. Sarilumab is an IL-6 receptor blocker that is used as a second or third-line treatment option in the treatment of active rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in adult patients who have responded inadequately, or who are intolerant to one or more disease modifying anti rheumatic drugs (DMARDs). Increased global demand for IL-6 receptor blockers (especially due to off-label usage in the treatment of severe patients during the COVID-19 pandemic), caused an unexpected demand which outweighs the production capacity of the company holding the marketing authorization of sarilumab,[33] and the other approved IL-6 blocker, tocilizumab.[34] Our findings were consistent with the announced reason of the shortage. Age by itself is an independent risk factor for COVID-19 mortality and severity[35] and IL-6 receptor blockers were administered during the treatment of COVID-19 only in patients with severe infection. Keeping this in mind; the results of the present study about increased median age in patients using sarilumab and decreased in the indication of rheumatoid arthritis may point out that off-label usage of sarilumab during the pandemic contributed to this unexpected shortage and patients who needed to use IL-6 blockers for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis could not access the medication and/or switched to other medications.

As a whole, the causes of drug shortages are very complex and multifactorial, therefore, it may be necessary to resort to different solutions depending on the reason behind the shortage. The prevention of drug shortages which are mostly unpredictable is very challenging. Increasing awareness and informing all the stakeholders by health authorities and regulators, providing risk management plans by manufacturers, incentivizing manufacturers in case of shortage of less profitable treatments, fostering the supply of generic medicines, warning of expected challenges in supply as soon as possible, predicting cost implications, preventing speculative stockpiling, allowing the hospital pharmacists to repackage the drugs to use more efficiently, and the usage of substitute alternative medicines that are expected to have similar effectiveness and safety profile can be considered as basic recommendations and solutions to mitigate the impact of shortages.[36] Practically, there have been also other ideas that have been discussed and sometimes

adopted focusing on various aspects of logistics, e.g., the increased production and use of generic drugs, joint massive orders in scale on behalf of EU instead of each country per se etc. However, these ideas are always subject to various political and administrative caveats and there is no widely accepted solution.

Strengths and limitations of this study

Our study covers a large number of databases from different health care settings and countries, which provides a global picture of the state of drug use and shortages in Europe. Moreover, as a result of the implementation of the OMOP CDM and standard analytics, all databases run the same analytical code, improving the robustness and reliability of the results.[9] The code is available in GitHub, which provides full transparency. However, we must acknowledge some limitations of the study:

Values below 10 were censored (with the exception of THIN databases, that was censored for <30) and replaced as NA in the results, and therefore we cannot compute the calculations of ≥33% drop in use on this groups.

The data collection, harmonization and analysis introduce some delay between the decrease of prescription and its impact in the data. This may have influenced the interpretation of the most recent shortages. Additionally, the ETL designs of the databases and mapping issues should not be ignored. For instance, the commercialised varenicline product is a ready package containing all required tablets for a defined period of use. Depending on the ETL design, the mapping in the database may be reflected as quantity equal as 1 package, or as 30 tablets.

Incidence and prevalence of use decreased for several drugs in 2020, after the COVID-19 pandemic onset, due to a global reduction in healthcare interactions, including diagnosis and prescriptions. However, some drugs showed an increment after 2021 that could be a rebound effect of health systems trying to catch up with the missing diagnostics and delayed treatments, or in case of short-term drugs, in particular antibiotics, due to an upsurge of respiratory infections. This can have an impact when trying to detect a suggested shortage by calculating the difference in incidence and prevalence 3 years before and after the shortage was announced.

The first and latest data points observed in each database may not contain the full year and therefore those values may be biased. Moreover, our results mostly rely on prescription rather than dispensation data. Doctors may have been prescribing unavailable drugs, which may result in a lag in the reduction of use (until the doctor switched to prescribing the available alternative) and/or as an overestimated incidence or prevalence of use when the shortage was active. Additionally, and as previously mentioned, some of the alternative medicines like nicotine are available over the counter, leading to an underestimation in incidence and prevalence in our data.

Different strategies adopted to mitigate the effects of the declared drug shortages may impact the data we are interpreting. As an example, in response to a shortage, a center may switch to an alternative drug while another is more restrictive in the selection of patients receiving the drug. The effect of the different mitigating strategies should be further studied as it can introduce and exacerbate inequalities in the access to proper care.

Conclusions

Detection of shortages using estimates of incidence and prevalence of use can be challenging. We compiled and analysed data of annual incidence and prevalence of use for

57 medicines among 52 databases in Europe and the United States, including information on patient characteristics, indication, and dose, between 2010 and 2024. We detected shortages in at least one database regarding the incident and prevalent use of 8 and 9 drugs, respectively, out of the 15 drugs identified as having suggested shortages. Additionally, we observed a change in the users' characteristics for several drugs. Federated network analysis provides an opportunity to obtain large amounts of information representing multiple countries and care settings, which can help in assessing and combatting against drug shortages. In this line, we have described timely real-world scenarios of drug shortages and those unobserved in various health care settings and countries which helps to better understand how drug shortages play out in real life.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the immense effort and commitment of the EHDEN data partners, without whom this study would not have been possible. We also thank Montse Camprubi for her support coordinating the study.

Authors' roles

Conceptualisation: TB, MPM, DPA, PR. Formal analysis: TB, MPM. Execution of the code: all authors who contributed with data. Funding acquisition: PR, DPA. Data interpretation all authors. Writing original draft: MPM, TB, SS, PN, AR, KM, FSS, NR, AR, EF, JR, PP, MAM, LM, MF, AL, CL, AM, SR, LK, RC, SC, DP, EB, BP. Writing review and editing: all authors. Approving final version of manuscript: all authors.

Funding

This project has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 806968. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA.

Conflicts of interest

AMR declares consulting and/or speaking from Abbvie, Amgen; Research grant from Novartis, Pfizer, Amgen. APU has received consultancy fees from Synapse Partners unrelated to the work. CDK is a full-time employee of Bayer AG. CG declares consulting and/or speaking from AstraZeneca, Bayer, BIAL, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, Lilly, MSD, Novartis and Novo Nordisk. CT holds shares and is an employee at Roche Pharmaceuticals. DBP has advisory board membership with AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Viatris, Teva Pharmaceuticals; consultancy agreements with AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Viatris, Teva Pharmaceuticals; grants and unrestricted funding for investigator-initiated studies (conducted through Observational and Pragmatic Research Institute Pte Ltd) from AstraZeneca, Chiesi, Viatris, Novartis, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi Genzyme, and UK National Health Service; payment for lectures/speaking engagements from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Cipla, Inside Practice, GlaxoSmithKline, Medscape, Viatris, Novartis, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals and Sanofi Genzyme, Teva Pharmaceuticals; payment for travel/accommodation/meeting expenses from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Medscape, Teva Pharmaceuticals.; owns 74% of the social enterprise Optimum Patient Care Ltd (Australia and UK) and 92.61% of Observational and Pragmatic Research Institute Pte Ltd (Singapore); is peer reviewer for grant committees of the UK Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation programme, and Health Technology Assessment; and was an expert witness for GlaxoSmithKline. EB owns Pfizer

Shares. EHT received consultancy fees from Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, outside the submitted work. FN owns some AstraZeneca shares. GC is a full-time employee of Bayer AG. KM is a full time employee of Bayer AG. MT has received consulting fees from Health Canada and CDA. PR works for a research group that receives/received unconditional research grants from Chiese, GSK, UCB, Amgen, Johnson and Johnson, European Medicines Agency, none of which relate the content of this manuscript. TB declares consultant for IBSA. DPA's department has received grants from Amgen, Chiesi-Taylor, Gilead, Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, and UCB Biopharma. DPA has conducted consultancy work, with fees paid to his department from AstraZeneca. Additionally, Janssen has funded or supported training programmes organised by the department. DPA also sits on the Board of the EHDEN Foundation.

The remaining authors had nothing to be disclosed.

Ethical approval

All data partners received IRB approval or waiver in accordance with their institutional governance guidelines, which were collected by study coordinator. This included:

Use of Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) data for this study was approved via the Research Data Governance (RDG) Process of the UK Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (protocol 23_003389). Ethical approval for Fundación para la Investigación del Hospital Universitario La Fe de la Comunidad Valenciana (HULAFE) was obtained from the Comité de ética de la investigación con medicamentos (number 2024-561-1). Ethical approval for IMIM-Hospital del Mar Barcelona (IMASIS) was obtained by the Parc de Salut Mar Research Ethics Committee CEIm-Parc de Salut Mar (number 2023/11266). Ethical approval number for Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa (HUS) in this study was HUS/325/2023. Ethical approval number for Istanbul University (ITF) was 2302692. Ethical approval number for Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (NCR) was K23.338. Ethical approval number for University Medicine Dresden (UM DRESDEN) was SR-EK-181052024. Ethical approval number for Optimum Patient Care Limited (OPCRD) was ADEPT0624. Ethical approval number for Clinical-hospital center Zvezdara (CHCZ) was FWA00024180. Ethical approval number for Fundació Institut d´Investigació Sanitària Illes Balears (PRISIB) was CI-866-24. Ethical approval number for EGAS MONIZ HEALTH ALLIANCE (EMHA ULSRA and EMHA ULSGE) was 4/2023 Ref 19-CE-ICVS/CAC-EMHA. Ethical approval number for FISABIO-HSRU (VID-CONSIGN) was 2023/382. Ethical approval number for University Hospital Antwerp (UZA) was 5957-EDGE 3372-BUN. Ethical approval number for AZ Groeninge (AZGR) was AZGD2024008. Ethical approval number for Viecuri was 2022_088. Ethical approval number for Charité - Universitätsmedizin (CHA CAN) was EA1/04124. Ethical approval number for THIN databases was 2024-06-R. Ethical approval number for Galilee Medical Center (GMC) and Bnai Zion Medical Center (BZMC) was BNZ0077-22. Ethical approval number for Semmelweis University Clinical Database (SUCD) was BM/14889-1/2024. Ethical approval for The Integrated Primary Care Information Project (IPCI) was obtained by the Integrated Primary Care Information review board (registration number 9/2023).

The remaining databases needed no approval for use of pseudo anonymised secondary data.

Data sharing

All data sources were previously mapped to the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) Common Data Model (CDMv5). Thus, only aggregated data was shared by each of the data partners. Aggregated data is fully available in the two shiny apps of the study: https://dpa-pde-oxford.shinyapps.io/MegaStudy_IncidencePrevalence/ for incidence and prevalence results, and https://dpa-pde-oxford.shinyapps.io/MegaStudy_webinar_DUS/ for characterisation of users results. Access to patient level data are specific to each database and must be requested individually to each data source. Code of the study is fully available in GitHub: https://github.com/oxford-pharmacoepi/MegaStudy .

References

1. Chen E, Goold S, Harrison S, et al. Drug shortage management: A qualitative assessment of a collaborative approach. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(4):e0243870. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0243870
2. Shukar S, Zahoor F, Hayat K, et al. Drug Shortage: Causes, Impact, and Mitigation Strategies. *Front Pharmacol*. 2021;12:693426. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.693426
3. Mandell D, Bennett. Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases (Eighth Edition): Respiratory Tract Infection. https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/pharmacology-toxicology-and-pharmaceutical-science/respiratory-tract-infection
4. Vogler S. Tackling medicine shortages during and after the COVID-19 pandemic: Compilation of governmental policy measures and developments in 38 countries. *Health Policy*. 2024/05/01/ 2024;143:105030. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2024.105030
5. Gray A, Manasse HR, Jr. Shortages of medicines: a complex global challenge. *Bull World Health Organ*. Mar 1 2012;90(3):158-158A. doi:10.2471/BLT.11.101303
6. World Health O. Addressing the global shortage of medicines and vaccines. (WHO REFERENCE NUMBER: WHA69.25). https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/wha-69.25
7. EMA. European Medicines Agency (EMA) shortages catalogue. 17/03/2023. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/ema_group_types/ema_document-supply_shortage/field_ema_shortage_status/0?sort=field_ema_computed_date_field&order=desc
8. Aronson JK, Heneghan C, Ferner RE. Drug shortages. Part 1. Definitions and harms. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. Oct 2023;89(10):2950-2956. doi:10.1111/bcp.15842
9. Reich C, Ostropolets A, Ryan P, et al. OHDSI Standardized Vocabularies—a large-scale centralized reference ontology for international data harmonization. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2024;31(3):583-590. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocad247
10. Raventos B, Fernandez-Bertolin S, Aragon M, et al. Transforming the Information System for Research in Primary Care (SIDIAP) in Catalonia to the OMOP Common Data Model and Its Use for COVID-19 Research. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2023;15:969-986. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S419481
11. Gross AE, Johannes RS, Gupta V, Tabak YP, Srinivasan A, Bleasdale SC. The Effect of a Piperacillin/Tazobactam Shortage on Antimicrobial Prescribing and Clostridium difficile Risk in 88 US Medical Centers. *Clin Infect Dis*. Aug 15 2017;65(4):613-618. doi:10.1093/cid/cix379
12. Callaway Kim K, Rothenberger SD, Tadrous M, et al. Drug Shortages Prior to and During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open*. Apr 1 2024;7(4):e244246. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.4246
13. Mulcahy AW, Rao P, Kareddy V, Agniel D, Levin JS, Schwam D. *Assessing Relationships Between Drug Shortages in the United States and Other Countries*. RAND Corporation; 2021.
14. Wrenn K, Blomquist PB, Inzoungou-Massanga C, et al. Surge of lower respiratory tract group A streptococcal infections in England in winter 2022: epidemiology and clinical profile. *Lancet*. Nov 2023;402 Suppl 1:S93. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(23)02095-0

15. EMA. Champix-varenicline supply shortage. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/shortage/champix-varenicline-supply-shortage_en.pdf
16. Lang AE, Berlin I. Unavailability of varenicline: a global tragedy for the fight against the tobacco epidemic. *Lancet Respir Med*. Jun 2023;11(6):518-519. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(23)00184-4
17. West R, Raw M, McNeill A, et al. Health-care interventions to promote and assist tobacco cessation: a review of efficacy, effectiveness and affordability for use in national guideline development. *Addiction*. Sep 2015;110(9):1388-403. doi:10.1111/add.12998
18. World-Health-Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic 2019: offer help to quit tobacco use. 2024. www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241516204
19. Action on Smoking and Health (ASH). Use of e-cigarettes (vapes) among adults in Great Britain. https://ash.org.uk/uploads/Use-of-e-cigarettes-vapes-among-adults-in-Great-Britain-2021.pdf
20. Warner KE, Benowitz NL, McNeill A, Rigotti NA. Nicotine e-cigarettes as a tool for smoking cessation. *Nat Med*. Mar 2023;29(3):520-524. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-02201-7
21. Huttner A, Bielicki J, Clements MN, et al. Oral amoxicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid: properties, indications and usage. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. Jul 2020;26(7):871-879. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2019.11.028
22. DeVoe C, Segal MR, Wang L, et al. Increased rates of secondary bacterial infections, including Enterococcus bacteremia, in patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. Oct 2022;43(10):1416-1423. doi:10.1017/ice.2021.391
23. Blix HS, Hoye S. Use of antibiotics during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*. Mar 9 2021;141(4)Bruk av antibiotika under covid-19-pandemien. doi:10.4045/tidsskr.20.1003
24. EMA. Shortage of amoxicillin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid. https://www.ema.europa.eu/system/files/documents/shortage/amoxicillin-shortage-catalogue-update_en.pdf
25. Plackett B. Why big pharma has abandoned antibiotics. *Nature*. 2020;586(7830):S50-S52.
26. Cohen R, Pettoello-Mantovani M, Giardino I, Carrasco-Sanz A, Somekh E, Levy C. The Shortage of Amoxicillin: An Escalating Public Health Crisis in Pediatrics Faced by Several Western Countries. *J Pediatr*. Jun 2023;257:113321. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2023.01.001
27. Yousufuddin M, Young N. Aging and ischemic stroke. *Aging (Albany NY)*. May 1 2019;11(9):2542-2544. doi:10.18632/aging.101931
28. Tromp J, Paniagua SMA, Lau ES, et al. Age dependent associations of risk factors with heart failure: pooled population based cohort study. *BMJ*. Mar 23 2021;372:n461. doi:10.1136/bmj.n461
29. Katsoularis I, Fonseca-Rodriguez O, Farrington P, et al. Risks of deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, and bleeding after covid-19: nationwide self-controlled cases series and matched cohort study. *BMJ*. Apr 6 2022;377:e069590. doi:10.1136/bmj-2021-069590
30. EMA. Shortage of Actilyse (alteplase) 10, 20 and 50 mg powder and solvent for solution for injection and infusion and Actilyse Cathflo (alteplase) 2 mg powder and solvent for solution for injection and infusion. Updated 2024. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/shortage/shortage-actilyse-alteplase-actilyse-cathflo-alteplase_en.pdf
31. EMA. Shortage of Metalyse (tenecteplase) 8,000 units (40 mg) and 10,000 units (50 mg) powder and solvent for solution for injection. Updated 2024. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/shortage/metalyse-tenecteplase-supply-shortage_en.pdf

32. Nedkoff L, Briffa T, Zemedikun D, Herrington S, Wright FL. Global Trends in Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease. *Clin Ther*. Nov 2023;45(11):1087-1091. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2023.09.020
33. EMA. Shortage of Kevzara (sarilumab) Pre-filled syringe and pre-filled pen, solution for subcutaneous injection (150 mg, 200 mg). Updated 2023. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/shortage/kevzara-sarilumab-supply-shortage_en.pdf
34. EMA. Shortage of RoActemra (tocilizumab) Pre-filled syringe and pre-filled pen, solution for subcutaneous injection (162 mg) Concentrate for solution for infusion (20 mg/ml). https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/shortage/roactemra-tocilizumab-supply-shortage_en.pdf
35. Romero Starke K, Reissig D, Petereit-Haack G, Schmauder S, Nienhaus A, Seidler A. The isolated effect of age on the risk of COVID-19 severe outcomes: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *BMJ Glob Health*. Dec 2021;6(12)doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2021-006434
36. Aronson JK, Heneghan C, Ferner RE. Drug shortages. Part 2: Trends, causes and solutions. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. Oct 2023;89(10):2957-2963. doi:10.1111/bcp.15853

Figures



Figure 1. Attrition of the data bases included in the study. Abbreviations: DB, databases; DBMS, database management system; DP data partners.



Figure 2. Shortages of drugs by country in A) incident use or B) prevalent use. To be considered as drug in shortage, at least one database of the study in the country had to present a ≥33% drop in use (in incidence or in prevalence). When any of the databases in the country show a ≥33% drop in use after the shortage announcement, we considered it as no shortage observed in the data. Abbreviations: agal, agalsidase beta; altep, alteplase; amox, amoxicillin; am cl, amoxicillin-

clavulanate; arse, arsenic trioxide; bela, belatacept; c1 est, c1 esterase inhibitor; ceft, ceftolozane-tazobactam;; gani, ganirelix; imig, imiglucerase; sari, sarilumab; tenec, Tenecteplase; tige, tigecycline; varen, varenicline; verte, verteporfin.



Figure 3. Shortages of drugs by all study database in A) incident use or B) prevalent use. Drug in shortage: the drug presented a ≥33% drop in use (in incidence or in prevalence) after the shortage announcement. Drug not in shortage: the drug did not have a ≥33% drop in use after the shortage announcement. Drug not assessed: drug not observed in the database and therefore not assessed.

A) Primary care databases



B) Secondary care databases





Figure 4. Incidence of use for varenicline per 100,000 person-years in the databases presenting a ≥30% drop in incident use after shortage was announced (area in grey): A) Primary care databases, B) Secondary care databases and C) Other types of databases containing primary and secondary combined, claims and registries. Claims databases contain records from primary and secondary care data. Secondary care databases shown are all hospitals.

A) Primary care databases



B) Secondary care databases



C) Other types of databases: containing primary and secondary care combined, claims, and registries



Figure 5. Prevalence of varenicline in the databases presenting a ≥30% drop in prevalent use after shortage was announced (area in grey): A) Primary care databases, B) Secondary care databases and C) Other types of databases. Claims databases contain records from primary and secondary care data. Secondary care databases shown are all hospitals.



Figure 6. Prevalence of amoxicillin alone or combined with clavulanate in the databases presenting a ≥30% drop in prevalent use after shortage was announced (area in grey): A) Primary care databases, B) Secondary care databases and C) Other types of databases. Claims databases contain records from primary and secondary care data. Secondary care databases shown are all hospitals.

Tables

Table 1. Description of databases included in the study. It contains the list of databases names and acronyms, data type, country, number of persons and period of the study covered in each of them.

Database name - acronym	Database name - full	Persons in the database	Earliest date included	Latest date included	Data type	Country
ULSRA	Unidade Local de Saúde da Região de Aveiro	514,014	2010-01-01	2023-12-31	secondary	Portugal
ULSEDV	Unidade Local de Saúde de Entre Douro e Vouga	562,687	2010-01-01	2023-12-31	secondary	Portugal
ULSGE	Unidade Local de Saúde de Gaia/Espinho	728,107	2010-01-01	2023-12-27	secondary	Portugal
AP-HM	L'Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Marseille	2,806,891	2014-01-01	2024-02-24	secondary	France
COMNET MODENA	Modena Cancer Center of the AOU Policlinico	89,211	2011-01-01	2023-11-22	secondary	Italy
IMASIS	Hospital del Mar Electronic Health Record Information System	1,084,151	2010-01-01	2024-02-10	secondary	Spain
POLIMI	Foundation IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico	1,447,865	2016-01-01	2024-02-24	secondary	Italy
AOUPR	University Hospital of Parma	573,205	2021-01-01	2022-12-31	secondary	Italy
ATENEA	Marina Salud S.A. (Hospital de Denia)	356,723	2014-01-01	2024-03-26	secondary	Spain
AZGR	AZ Groeninge	68,918	2018-01-01	2022-12-31	secondary	Belgium
BARDENA	BARDENA	1,972,272	2012-01-01	2023-12-31	EHR P+S	Spain
BDR	Bulgarian Diabetes Register	501,065	2018-01-01	2018-12-31	registry	Bulgaria

Database name - acronym	Database name - full	Persons in the database	Earliest date included	Latest date included	Data type	Country
BZMC	Bnai Zion Medical Center	1,075,585	2020-01-01	2024-05-05	secondary	Israel
CHA CAN	Charité Cancer	214,443	2010-01-01	2023-12-31	secondary	Germany
CHCZ	Clinical Hospital Center Zvezdara	618,992	2014-01-01	2024-07-08	secondary	Serbia
CPRD GOLD	Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) GOLD	17,267,137	2010-01-01	2023-06-23	primary	UK
CPRD Aurum	Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) Aurum	44,851,398	2010-01-01	2023-06-07	primary	UK
GMC	Galilee Medical Center	947,455	2013-01-01	2024-04-30	secondary	Israel
HULAFE	Health Department Valencia-La Fe	2,371,896	2016-01-01	2023-12-31	EHR P+S	Spain
HUS	Helsinki University Hospital	480,286	2010-01-01	2024-06-11	secondary	Finland
InGef RDB	InGef Research Database	9,111,064	2013-01-01	2023-03-31	claims P+S	Germany
INT	DataWareHouse of the INT – National Cancer Institute Milano	1,296,676	2010-01-01	2024-08-19	secondary	Italy
IPCI	The Integrated Primary Care Information	2,817,331	2010-01-01	2023-06-30	primary	Netherlands
ITF CDM	Istanbul University (IU) Istanbul Faculty of Medicine	899,515	2018-01-01	2021-12-07	secondary	Turkey
MAITT	10% random sample of complete EHR prescriptions and claims of Estonia 2012-2019	149,364	2012-01-01	2019-12-31	claims P+S	Estonia
UZA	University Hospital Antwerp	49,011	2018-01-01	2023-12-31	secondary	Belgium
MarketScan	Merative MarketScan®	176,890,290	2016-01-01	2022-09-30	claims P+S	USA
NCR	Netherlands Cancer Registry	2,514,888	2010-01-01	2023-01-31	registry	Netherlands

Database name - acronym	Database name - full	Persons in the database	Earliest date included	Latest date included	Data type	Country
OLVA MS	Onze-Lieve-Vrouwziekenhuis Aalst-Asse-Ninove	51,278	2015-07-01	2024-09-10	secondary	Belgium
H12O	Hospital 12 Octubre	2,891,589	2011-01-01	2021-12-31	secondary	Spain
OPCRD	Optimum Patient Care Research Database	25,953,068	2010-01-01	2024-03-22	primary	UK
Clinformatics	Optum Clinformatics®	77,234,542	2016-01-01	2022-12-31	claims P+S	USA
PGH	Papageorgiou General Hospital	1,410,224	2010-01-01	2023-10-09	secondary	Greece
P+	IQVIA Pharmetrics+	159,649,500	2015-01-01	2023-07-31	claims P+S	USA
PRISIB	PRISIB	2,498,289	2010-01-01	2023-12-31	EHR P+S	Spain
ReumaPT	Rheumatic Diseases Portuguese Registry	28,325	2010-01-01	2022-05-27	registry	Portugal
SCIFI-PEARL	Swedish Covid-19 Investigation for Future Insights – a Population Epidemiology Approach using Register Linkage	11,686,191	2018-01-01	2023-11-29	EHR P+S	Sweden
SIDIAP	The Information System for Research in Primary Care	8,553,325	2010-01-01	2023-06-30	primary	Spain
PSSJD	Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu	659,817	2017-01-01	2023-12-31	secondary	Spain
SUCD	Semmelweis University (SU) Clinical Database	2,075,672	2011-01-01	2022-10-10	secondary	Hungary
MEB KI	MEB KI	3,403,513	2010-01-01	2023-10-31	EHR P+S	Sweden
TAUH	Tampere University Hospital	2,878,001	2010-01-01	2023-12-22	secondary	Finland
THIN Belgium	THIN Belgium	2,023,580	2010-01-01	2023-12-31	primary	Belgium
THIN Spain	THIN Spain	1,896,031	2013-01-01	2023-12-25	primary	Spain
THIN France	THIN France	25,949,765	2010-01-01	2023-12-31	primary	France

Database name - acronym	Database name - full	Persons in the database	Earliest date included	Latest date included	Data type	Country
THIN Italy	THIN Italy	1,225,836	2010-01-01	2023-12-31	primary	Italy
THIN Romania	THIN Romania	1,135,795	2010-01-01	2023-12-01	primary	Romania
THIN UK	THIN UK	10,977,326	2010-01-01	2023-12-31	primary	UK
UM DRESDEN	UM DRESDEN	624,697	2018-01-01	2023-12-31	secondary	Germany
PCASF	University of Turku	22,232	2010-01-01	2022-11-07	secondary	Finland
VID CONSIGN	Valencia Health System Integrated Database (VID)	1,964,588	2018-01-01	2021-12-31	EHR P+S	Spain
Viecuri	VieCuri Medical Center Rheumatology Database	4,918	2018-01-01	2023-07-31	secondary	Netherlands