Trajectories of disability and influence of contextual factors among adults aging with HIV:

insights from a community-based longitudinal study in Toronto, Canada

Tai-Te Su[1], Ahmed M. Bayoumi[2,3,4,5], Lisa Avery[6], Soo Chan Carusone[7], Ada Tang[8], Patricia

Solomon[8], Aileen M. Davis[1,5,9], and Kelly K. O'Brien[1,5,9]

1. Department of Physical Therapy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

2. MAP Centre for Urban Health Solutions, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's

Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

3. Division of General Internal Medicine, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

4. Department of Medicine, Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto,

Ontario, Canada

5. Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation (IHPME), University of Toronto,

Toronto, Ontario, Canada

6. University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

7. McMaster Collaborative for Health and Aging, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario,

Canada

8. School of Rehabilitation Science, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University,

Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

9. Rehabilitation Sciences Institute (RSI), University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Please direct all correspondence to Kelly K. O'Brien, PhD, PT, Department of Physical Therapy,

160-500 University Avenue, Toronto Ontario, M5G 1V7 Canada. Email:

kelly.obrien@utoronto.ca

28   Author Notes

Tai-Te Su https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7166-0247

Lisa Avery https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8431-5143

Soo Chan Carusone https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3977-0523

Ada Tang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6641-4017

Patricia Solomon https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5014-0795

Aileen M. Davis https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9903-9399

Kelly K. O'Brien https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1632-6537

Number of Tables: 5

Number of Figures: 1

Abstract

Background: Individuals aging with HIV may experience disability that is multidimensional and evolving over time. Our aims were to characterize the longitudinal trajectories of disability and to investigate how intrinsic and extrinsic contextual factors influence dimensions of disability over an 8-month period among adults aging with HIV.

Methods: We analyzed longitudinal observational data from a community-based study in Toronto, Canada, where adults aging with HIV completed self-reported questionnaires over 8 months (5 time points). We measured disability using the Short-Form HIV Disability Questionnaire (SF-HDQ), which included six dimensions: physical, cognitive, mental-emotional health challenges, uncertainty, difficulties with day-to-day activities, and challenges to social inclusion. Higher SF-HDQ scores (range: 0-100) indicate greater severity of disability. We assessed intrinsic (age, gender, education, living status, number of comorbidities, mastery) and extrinsic (stigma, social support) contextual factors using baseline self-reported questionnaires. Latent class growth analysis was performed to identify distinct disability trajectories within each of the six dimensions. Multinomial logistic regression models were used to assess the influence of contextual factors on the disability trajectories.

Results: Of 108 participants, 89% identified as men with a mean age of 50.6 years (standard deviation ±10.9). We identified three disability trajectories: low, medium, and high disability severity in the physical, mental-emotional, and day-to-day activities dimensions. Four trajectories: low, medium-low, medium-high, and high (or high-declining) disability severity were in the cognitive, uncertainty, and social inclusion dimensions. Factors such as higher self-mastery and social support were associated with lower disability trajectories, whereas greater number of comorbidities and stigma were associated with more severe disability trajectories over time.

63   **Conclusion**: Disability experiences among adults aging with HIV included three or four distinct

64   trajectories with considerable heterogeneity over time. Information on contextual factors may be

65   helpful for informing interventions and supports that mitigate disability among adults aging with

66   HIV.

68   *Keywords*: HIV, episodic disability, disability, longitudinal trajectories

Introduction

With advancements in medical treatments and healthcare services, people living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are living longer [1–3]. Population-based studies in Europe and North America have shown that the average life expectancy of people living with HIV who have access to care is nearing that of the general population [3,4]. While HIV is now considered a chronic illness, people aging with HIV may experience a wide range of challenges such as adverse treatment effects (e.g., from prolonged antiretroviral treatment), comorbidities (e.g., cardiovascular diseases, metabolic syndrome, neurocognitive disorders, cancer, or frailty), insecure employment or unemployment, and HIV-associated stigma and lack of social support [5–12]. Informed by the experiences of individuals living with HIV, the *Episodic Disability Framework* characterizes these health-related challenges as disability, and provides a conceptual foundation to illustrate its multidimensional and episodic nature [13]. The Framework includes six dimensions: physical, cognitive, mental or emotional symptoms, uncertainty or worry about the future, difficulties with day-to-day activities, and challenges to social inclusion [13,14]. The Framework also asserts that the severity and presence of disability are sometimes experienced as episodic in nature, which can be exacerbated or alleviated by a range of intrinsic contextual factors (i.e., personal attributes and living strategies) and extrinsic contextual factors (i.e., stigma and social support) [14].

To develop effective interventions and services, it is critical to understand the evolving nature of disability and its influencing factors experienced by people aging with HIV. Studies have attempted to delineate the patterns of change in disability among this population [15–21]. For instance, Crystal and Sambamoorthi found that individuals living with HIV experienced an average of 0.32 additional functional limitation tasks in performing basic daily activities each

month over about 2 years [15]. In addition, Brouillette and colleagues found stable trajectories of

neurocognitive function among people living with HIV, but noted heterogeneity over 3 years

[19]. Furthermore, a one-year prospective study conducted in an outpatient clinic setting revealed

a gradual decrease in positive affect (e.g., mood) among people living with HIV, whereas

negative affect remained unchanged [21]. While this evidence provides critical insights, each of

these studies focused on a single aspect of disability as outlined in the *Episodic Disability*

Framework. To our knowledge, no published study has examined the longitudinal profiles of

disability using a multidimensional approach. As the impact of aging with HIV spans various life

domains [22], it is important to adopt a holistic approach to understand the needs and challenges

experienced by people aging with this chronic illness.

Guided by the *Episodic Disability Framework*, the primary objective of this study was to

characterize longitudinal trajectories of disability among adults aging with HIV across six

different dimensions. The secondary objective was to assess the influence of intrinsic contextual

factors (age, gender, education, living status, number of comorbidities, mastery) and extrinsic

contextual factors (stigma, social support), on disability trajectories over time. Findings will

contribute to an enhanced understanding of disability among people aging with HIV, and will

provide empirical evidence to advance care, programs, and services aimed at reducing disability

and improving health outcomes among this population.

110   **Materials and methods**

Study design and participants

We conducted a longitudinal observational study using data from a community-based exercise

(CBE) intervention study involving adults aging with HIV in Toronto, Canada. The CBE

intervention study was a three-phased study spanning 22 months, originally designed to assess

the effectiveness of a CBE intervention on cardiopulmonary fitness, strength, flexibility, and

physical activity among adults aging with HIV [23]. Adults 18 years or older with HIV, who

were considered medically stable and safe to participate in exercise, were recruited from HIV

community-based organizations and the Toronto YMCA between July 29, 2016 and January 26,

2017. For the first 8 months, participants were monitored every two months without any

interventions. After this baseline monitoring phase, participants engaged in thrice-weekly

supervised exercise sessions followed by self-monitored exercise. In this study, we examined

disability trajectories during the 8-month baseline (pre-intervention) monitoring phase.

Ethics and consent

The study was registered (registration number: NCT02794415) and received approval from the

Research Ethics Board at the University of Toronto (Protocol #32910). All participants provided

written informed consent to participate in the study. Detailed information on study design and

trial protocol has been published [23]**.**

Data sources

We used bimonthly observational data collected during the 8-month baseline monitoring phase,

whereby participants completed a series of self-reported electronic administered questionnaires

at baseline, 2 months, 4 months, 6 months and 8 months (5 time points), to characterize

experiences of disability over time prior to exercise intervention.

Measures

Disability

Disability was assessed at each time point using the electronic version of the Short-Form HIV

Disability Questionnaire (SF-HDQ) [24]. Derived from the original 69-item HDQ [25,26], the

SF-HDQ is a patient-reported outcome measure containing 35 items that assess disability across

six different dimensions: physical symptoms (10 items), cognitive symptoms (3 items), mental

and emotional symptoms (5 items), uncertainty about future health (5 items), difficulties carrying

out day-to-day activities (5 items), and challenges to social inclusion (7 items). Scores for each

dimension are derived from a Rasch-based logit scale ranging from 0 to 100 whereby higher

scores indicate greater severity of disability [24]. A single summary score for disability is not

provided by the SF-HDQ. At the end of the questionnaire, participants indicated whether they

perceive they completed the SF-HDQ on a "good day" or "bad day" living with HIV. The SF-

HDQ is an internally consistent instrument (Cronbach's α=0.78–0.85) and possesses sensibility

and utility for use in clinical and community-based settings among adults living with HIV

[27,28].

Intrinsic contextual factors

The selection of contextual factors was guided by the *Episodic Disability Framework* [13]. We

included self-mastery and personal attributes measured at baseline as intrinsic contextual factors

in this study. Levels of self-mastery were assessed using the Pearlin Mastery Scale [29]. The

scale contains a total of seven items, including two positively worded statements (e.g., *What*

happens to me in the future mostly depends on me) and five negatively worded statements (e.g.,

There is really no way I can solve some of the problems I have). Participants self-reported their

agreement with each statement on a 4-point Likert scale (strongly agree [1], agree [2], disagree

[3], and strongly disagree [4]). Positively worded questions were reverse coded. Final scores

were summed and ranged from 7 to 28, with higher scores representing greater self-mastery. The

Pearlin Mastery Scale has demonstrated reliability and construct validity for caregivers of older

adults and persons living with HIV [30,31].

Information on personal attributes, including age (years), gender (man vs. woman; only

these categories were represented in the study), education (some university education or higher

vs. otherwise), living status (living alone vs. living with others), and number of comorbidities

was gathered through an electronically administered demographic questionnaire at baseline.

Extrinsic contextual factors

Extrinsic contextual factors included self-perceived stigma and social support measured at

baseline. We measured stigma using the 40-item HIV Stigma Scale, a self-administered

questionnaire designed to assess HIV-related stigma across four subscales, including

personalized stigma, disclosure concerns, negative self-image, and concern with public attitudes

[32]. Participants self-reported their agreement with each item on a 4-point Likert scale (strongly

disagree [1], disagree [2], agree [3], and strongly agree [4]). Final scores ranged from 40 to 160,

with higher scores indicating greater HIV stigma. The HIV Stigma Scale demonstrates reliability

(test-retest reliability $\alpha=0.96$) and construct validity with people living with HIV [32,33].

We measured perceived social support using the 19-item Medical Outcomes Study Social

Support Survey (MOS-SSS), a self-administered questionnaire designed for community-dwelling

individuals with chronic conditions [34]. The questionnaire contains four domains:

emotional/information support, tangible support, affectionate support, and positive social

interaction. Participants reported the frequency of receiving each type of support on a 5-point

Likert scale, ranging from none of the time (0) to all of the time (5). Following the established

procedure, raw scores across the four domains were summed and transformed into a 100-point

scale where higher scores represent greater social support [34]. The MOS-SSS has demonstrated

internal-consistency reliability and construct validity among people living with HIV [35,36].

Analysis

We described participants' personal and clinical characteristics at baseline. Mean and standard

deviation were reported for continuous variables, while number and percentage were reported for

categorical variables.

We conducted latent class growth analysis (LCGA), also known as group-based

trajectory modeling, to identify trajectories across six disability dimensions as measured by the

SF-HDQ over the 8-month baseline monitoring period [37]. LCGA is a specialized application of

the semi-parametric finite mixture modeling where the population is assumed to consist of a

finite number of unobserved latent groups, with individuals in each group exhibiting similar

pattern or progression of outcomes over time [37,38]. Unlike basic growth curve analysis where

a single trajectory was estimated by pooling individuals across the entire population, LCGA

represents a flexible statistical procedure that is able to better characterize the heterogeneity in

health outcomes, including disability over time. In particular, this approach allows researchers to

identify specific subgroups with unique health-related challenges (e.g., dimensions of disability)

or profiles and has the potential to inform personalized intervention programs and services [39].

To account for variability in the timing of questionnaire completion caused by scheduling issues

[40], we adjusted the time variable to accurately reflect the actual number of days between

assessments. A quadratic link function for time was specified for the trajectory models. We

determined the optimal number of trajectories for each disability dimension based on the

parsimony principal and a combination of the following criteria: 1) lowest value of Bayesian

Information Criteria (BIC), 2) group size not less than 5% of the total sample, and 3) the average

posterior probability of group assignment ($\geq$0.7) [37]. Missing data were handled under the

assumption of missing at random and accommodated using maximum likelihood estimations

[41]. As a final step, the labeling of each disability trajectory was completed through joint

consideration of model parameters (e.g., intercept and slopes) and relative comparisons with

other trajectories identified within the same dimension.

After participants were assigned to their respective trajectory group in each of the six

disability dimensions, we conducted multinomial logistic regression models to assess the

influence of intrinsic contextual factors (age, gender, education, living status, number of

comorbidities, mastery) and extrinsic contextual factors (stigma, social support) on these

disability trajectories. A total of six regression models were tested, with each focusing on a

specific disability dimension. Considering the potential difficulty in interpreting relative

comparisons such as relative risk ratio (RRR) to a specific trajectory group, we calculated

marginal effects from the regression models to illustrate the associations between contextual

factors and the predicted probabilities of each disability trajectory. A two-tailed p-value < 0.05

was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed in the R software (Version

4.3.1).

219   **Results**

Participant characteristics at baseline

Out of the 120 recruited adults, 108 consented to participate and initiated the baseline monitoring

phase. Out of these 108 participants, 83 (77%) remained in the study at the end of the baseline

monitoring phase (month 8). The present study comprised a total of 436 person-month of

observations, with each participant completing an average of 4.0 (standard deviation [SD] ±1.4)

assessments out of 5.

Table 1 includes participant characteristics and contextual factors among the 108

participants at baseline. Overall, the average age was 50.6±10.9 years, and the majority were

identified as White (63%), men (89%), and living alone (68%). The average duration since HIV

diagnosis was 17.5±9.9 years, with nearly all participants (99%) taking antiretroviral

medications. Approximately half of the participants received some university degree or higher

(45%), and they had an average of 5 comorbidities in addition to living with HIV. The three most

prevalent comorbidities were mental health conditions (n=52), joint pain (n=44), and bone and

joint disorders (n=39). The average scores for self-mastery, total HIV-related stigma, and total

social support were 19.7±4.0 (out of 28), 93.6±24.6 (out of 160), and 53.3±24.8 (out of 100),

respectively. SF-HDQ disability severity domain scores ranged from 15.1±13.6 (difficulties with

day-to-day activities) to 38.4±22.5 (uncertainty) on a 100-point scale at baseline (Table 2). Most

participants (82%) reported having a 'good day' when they completed the SF-HDQ.

Characteristics of participants who remained in the study at the end of the baseline monitoring

phase (n=83) is detailed in Supplementary Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of adults living with HIV and contextual factors at baseline (n = 108)

Participant characteristics	Mean ± SD / n (%)
Age (years)	50.6 ± 10.9
Gender (n)	
Men	96 (89)
Women	12 (11)
Race/Ethnicity	
White	68 (63)
Black or African	9 (8)
Hispanic or Latino	5 (5)
Number of years since HIV diagnosis	17.5 ± 9.9
Self-reported undetectable HIV viral load (<50 copies/mL)	90 (83)
Current use of antiretroviral medications	107 (99)
Education (some university+)	49 (45)
Living alone (n)	73 (68)
Number of comorbidities in addition to living with HIV (count)	4.9 ± 4.1
Three most common self-reported comorbidities	
Mental health condition (e.g., depression, anxiety)	52 (48)
Joint pain (arthritis)	44 (41)
Bone and joint disorder (e.g., osteonecrosis, osteopenia)	39 (36)
Pearlin Mastery Scale score (range: 7–28)	19.7 ± 4.0
HIV Stigma Scale total score (range: 40–160) †	93.6 ± 24.6
Personalized stigma subscale score (range: 18–72)	40.7 ± 12.0
Disclosure concerns subscale score (range: 10–40)	25.3 ± 7.2
Negative self-image subscale score (range: 13–52)	27.6 ± 8.6
Public attitudes subscale score (range: 20–80)	47.0 ± 13.0
MOS Social Support Survey total score (range: 0–100) ‡	53.3 ± 24.8
Emotional and information support raw score (range: 8–40)	26.1 ± 7.8
Tangible support raw score (range: 4–20)	11.7 ± 5.7
Affectionate support raw score (range: 3–15)	9.1 ± 4.1
Positive social interaction raw score (range: 4–20)	12.7 ± 4.6

Notes: SD = Standard deviation. †Sixteen items on the HIV Stigma Scale belong to more than one subscale, which
reflects the intercorrelations between different subscales. ‡ Raw scores of the MOS Social Support Survey were
summed across the four subdomains and transformed into a 100-point scale. Greater Pearlin Mastery Scale scores,
HIV Stigma Scale scores, and MOS Social Support Survey scores reflect higher levels of self-mastery, HIV-related
stigma, and perceived social support, respectively.

Table 2. Short-Form HIV Disability Questionnaire (SF-HDQ) scores at baseline (n = 108)

SF-HDQ domains	Mean ± SD / n (%)
Physical symptoms severity scores	30.2 ± 13.8
Cognitive symptoms severity scores	23.5 ± 20.2
Mental-emotional symptoms severity scores	35.6 ± 20.8
Uncertainty severity scores	38.4 ± 22.5
Difficulties with day-to-day activities severity scores	15.1 ± 13.6
Challenges to social inclusion severity scores	33.1 ± 14.8
Good day-bad day item	n (%)
Good day (%)	89 (82)
Bad day (%)	19 (18)

Notes: SD = Standard deviation. Severity scores were on a Rasch-based logit scale ranging from 0 to 100, where
higher scores indicate greater disability severity. Participants self-reported whether they had a good day or bad day
living with HIV when they completed the SF-HDQ.

Disability trajectories across six dimensions over 8 months

Fig 1 portrays the trajectories of disability across six dimensions, highlighting the substantial

variability within and between participants' experiences over time. Results from the LCGA

suggested that a model with three trajectory groups provided the best fit for the dimensions of

physical symptoms, mental-emotional symptoms, and difficulties with day-to-day activities,

whereas a model with four trajectory groups exhibited the best bit for the dimensions of

cognitive symptoms, uncertainty, and challenges to social inclusion (Table 3). The three-group

trajectories were labeled as low, medium and high disability severity, and the four-group

trajectories were labeled as low, medium-low, medium-high, and high (or high-declining)

disability severity over time.

Fig 1. Trajectories of disability across six dimensions among adults living with HIV over 8 months
262
Notes: Each bolded line corresponds to a unique trajectory group identified within each of the six disability
dimensions. Each color represents a distinct disability trajectory group. The 95% confidence intervals are depicted
as shaded areas.

For physical symptoms, 37% of participants, as indicated by the model probability of

group assignment, exhibited a consistently low level of disability (mean SF-HDQ

score:12.1±10.0), while 34% and 29% showed medium (26.0±13.0) and high (32.0±19.8) levels

of disability over time. For mental-emotional symptoms, 38% of participants exhibited a low

(14.0±12.4) level of disability, while 32% and 30% experienced medium (30.0±16.7) and high

(39.4±27.6) levels of disability in this dimension. For difficulties with day-to-day activities, 36%

showed a low (2.6±5.5) level of disability, whereas 46% and 18% experienced medium

(14.3±10.4) and high (25.8±17.0) levels of disability, respectively. For cognitive symptoms, 30%

of participants demonstrated a low disability trajectory (3.9±6.9), and the rest displayed medium-

low (14.0±11.4; 33%), medium-high (28.4±16.7; 31%), and high-declining (32.6±34.3; 6.5%)

trajectories. For the uncertainty dimension, 15% of participants exhibited a low (5.7±9.5)

disability trajectory, while the majority showed medium-low (25.5±15.3; 51%), medium-high

(40.2±21.8; 26%), and high (53.5±34.9; 8.3%) trajectories. For challenges to social inclusion,

participants demonstrated low (8.1±9.0; 24%), medium-low (22.1±11.6; 21%), medium-high

(30.3±17.4; 42%), and high (43.5±18.6; 13%) trajectories over time. Detailed information on

each disability trajectory, including intercepts and slopes, is provided in Supplementary Tables 2

and 3. The average posterior probability of group assignment ranged from 0.86 to 0.98 across all

trajectory groups, surpassing the standard of ≥0.7 (Supplementary Table 4). Throughout the 8-

month monitoring phase, 60.2% of participants consistently reported having 'good days' when

they completed the SF-HDQ, while 1.9% consistently reported 'bad days'. Around 38% reported

a combination of good and bad days during this period.

Table 3. Model fit statistics for disability trajectories across six dimensions among adults living with HIV over 8 months

Disability dimensions	Number of Trajectories	BIC	Posterior classification of trajectory memberships n (%)				
			Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4	Group 5
Physical symptoms	1	3543.0	108 (100)				
	2	3378.9	62 (57.4)	46 (42.6)			
	3	**3357.5**	**37 (34.3)**	**40 (37.0)**	**31 (28.7)**		
	4	3363.5	33 (30.6)	31 (28.7)	4 (3.7)	40 (37.0)	
Cognitive symptoms	1	3766.3	108 (100)				
	2	3589.1	41 (38.0)	67 (62.0)			
	3	3540.3	8 (7.4)	38 (35.2)	62 (57.4)		
	4	**3528.4**	**7 (6.5)**	**36 (33.3)**	**33 (30.6)**	**32 (29.6)**	
	5	3544.5	7 (6.5)	30 (27.8)	32 (29.6)	7 (6.5)	32 (29.6)
Mental-emotional symptoms	1	3858.1	108 (100)				
	2	3682.4	63 (58.3)	45 (41.7)			
	3	**3663.3**	**41 (38.0)**	**35 (32.4)**	**32 (29.6)**		
	4	3646.4	38 (35.2)	34 (31.5)	31 (28.7)	5 (4.6)	
Uncertainty	1	3868.9	108 (100)				
	2	3738.4	40 (37.0)	68 (63.0)			
	3	3644.0	63 (58.3)	17 (15.7)	28 (25.9)		
	4	**3618.2**	**16 (14.8)**	**9 (8.3)**	**28 (25.9)**	**55 (50.9)**	
	5	3609.3	54 (50.0)	16 (14.8)	2 (1.9)	11 (10.2)	25 (23.1)
Difficulties with day-to-day activities	1	3513.5	108 (100)				
	2	3362.1	32 (29.6)	76 (70.4)			
	3	**3305.6**	**50 (46.3)**	**39 (36.1)**	**19 (17.6)**		
	4	3307.5	39 (36.1)	13 (12.0)	49 (45.4)	7 (6.5)	
Challenges to social inclusion	1	3644.4	108 (100)				
	2	3353.2	73 (67.6)	35 (32.4)			
	3	3268.2	26 (24.1)	25 (23.2)	57 (52.8)		
	4	**3233.8**	**14 (13.0)**	**23 (21.3)**	**45 (41.7)**	**26 (24.1)**	
	5	3235.6	8 (7.4)	45 (41.7)	14 (13.0)	20 (18.5)	21 (19.4)

Notes: BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion. The final model selected for each disability dimension was marked in bold.

Influences of contextual factors on disability dimension trajectories

Table 4 and Table 5 summarize the influences of intrinsic and extrinsic contextual factors on the

six dimensions of disability.

Overall, a decade increase in age was associated with a 12% increase in the probability of

being allocated to the medium disability severity trajectory for physical symptoms (*pr*[Medium]:

0.12 [0.00, 0.24]). Compared with women, men were 28% and 7% more likely to be allocated to

the high disability severity trajectory for physical symptoms (*pr*[High]: 0.28 [0.20, 0.37]) and

cognitive symptoms (*pr*[High]: 0.07 [0.01, 0.12]), respectively. In addition, compared with

women, men were more likely to be allocated to the medium-low trajectory for challenges to

social inclusion (*pr*[Medium-Low]: 0.18 [0.10, 0.27]) and the medium trajectory for mental-

emotional symptoms (*pr*[Medium]: 0.33 [0.22, 0.44]). Participants with some university degree

or higher were less likely to be allocated to the high severity trajectory for physical symptoms

(*pr*[High]: -0.21 [-0.37, -0.04]), cognitive symptoms (*pr*[High]: -0.10 [-0.19, -0.02]), and

uncertainty (*pr*[High]: -0.10 [-0.15, -0.05]) compared with those without such educational

attainment. Compared with those living with others, participants who lived alone were more

likely to be allocated to the high severity trajectory for cognitive symptoms (*pr*[High]: 0.10

[0.04, 0.15]) but less likely to be allocated to the high trajectory for uncertainty (*pr*[High]: -0.13

[-0.13, -0.12]). Regarding comorbidities, each additional comorbidity was associated with an

increased probability of allocation to high or medium-high trajectories for physical (*pr*[High]:

0.06 [0.02, 0.09]) and cognitive symptoms (*pr*[Medium-High]: 0.03 [0.00, 0.06]). Meanwhile, a

greater number of comorbidities also was associated with a decreased probability of allocation to

the low or medium-low trajectories for uncertainty (*pr*[Low]: -0.03 [-0.05, -0.01]), difficulties

with day-to-day activities (*pr*[Low]: -0.05 [-0.08, -0.01]), and challenges to social inclusion

(*pr*[Medium-Low]: -0.03 [-0.06, -0.00]). Participants with a higher level of self-mastery were

less likely to be allocated to the high or medium-high trajectories for physical symptoms

(*pr*[High]: -0.31 [-0.58, -0.04]), cognitive symptoms (*pr*[High]: -0.31 [-0.56, -0.06]), mental-

emotional symptoms (*pr*[High]: -0.29 [-0.58, -0.01]), and uncertainty over time (*pr*[Medium-

High]: -0.40 [-0.62, -0.17]).

For stigma, a higher score on the HIV Stigma Scale (indicating greater stigma) was

associated with an increased probability of being allocated on the medium or high trajectories for

physical symptoms (*pr*[Medium]: 0.07 [0.02, 0.12]) and challenges to social inclusion (*pr*[High]:

0.04 [0.01, 0.07]). Additionally, higher HIV Stigma scores were associated with a decreased

probability of being allocated to the low trajectory for uncertainty (*pr*[Low]: -0.06 [-0.09, -0.03])

and high-declining trajectory for cognitive symptoms (*pr*[High-Declining]: -0.04 [-0.07, -0.01]).

For social support, participants with greater self-perceived social support were more likely to be

allocated to the low disability trajectory for mental-emotional symptoms (*pr*[Low]: 0.04 [0.00,

0.08]), uncertainty (*pr*[Low]: 0.04 [0.01, 0.06]), and challenges to social inclusion (*pr*[Low]:

0.05 [0.01, 0.08]) over time.

Table 4. Influence of contextual factors on the predicted probabilities of disability trajectories (disability dimensions with three trajectories)

	Low (L) trajectory		Medium (M) trajectory		High (H) trajectory	
			Physical symptoms			
Contextual factors	Pr(L)	p	Pr(M)	p	Pr(H)	p
Age	-0.01 [-0.12, 0.10]	.81	0.12 [0.00, 0.24]	.04	-0.11 [-0.23, 0.02]	.09
Gender: Men	-0.27 [-0.61, 0.07]	.12	-0.01 [-0.37, 0.34]	.93	0.28 [0.20, 0.37]	<.001
University or higher	0.10 [-0.11, 0.31]	.35	0.11 [-0.10, 0.31]	.32	-0.21 [-0.37, -0.04]	.02
Living alone	-0.11 [-0.32, 0.10]	.32	-0.03 [-0.26, 0.19]	.77	0.14 [-0.02, 0.30]	.08
Comorbidities (count)	-0.05 [-0.08, -0.02]	.002	-0.01 [-0.04, 0.03]	.69	0.06 [0.02, 0.09]	<.001
Self-mastery	0.23 [-0.06, 0.53]	.12	0.07 [-0.24, 0.38]	.65	-0.31 [-0.58, -0.04]	.03
HIV stigma	-0.03 [-0.08, 0.02]	.27	0.07 [0.02, 0.12]	.01	-0.04 [-0.09, 0.01]	.11
Social support	0.02 [-0.03, 0.06]	.46	0.02 [-0.02, 0.06]	.37	-0.04 [-0.08, 0.00]	.07
			Mental-emotional symptoms			
Contextual factors	Pr(L)	p	Pr(M)	p	Pr(H)	p
Age	0.07 [-0.03, 0.17]	.17	-0.04 [-0.15, 0.08]	.54	-0.03 [-0.14, 0.07]	.53
Gender: Men	-0.45 [-0.68, -0.22]	<.001	0.33 [0.22, 0.44]	<.001	0.12 [-0.10, 0.35]	.28
University or higher	0.08 [-0.13, 0.29]	.45	-0.01 [-0.24, 0.21]	.92	-0.07 [-0.27, 0.13]	.50
Living alone	-0.08 [-0.29, 0.13]	.46	-0.05 [-0.26, 0.18]	.67	0.13 [-0.05, 0.31]	.16
Comorbidities (count)	-0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]	.63	0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]	.45	-0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]	.76
Self-mastery	0.34 [0.05, 0.63]	.02	-0.05 [-0.36, 0.26]	.75	-0.29 [-0.58, -0.01]	.04
HIV stigma	-0.01 [-0.06, 0.04]	.71	0.01 [-0.04, 0.07]	.67	-0.00 [-0.05, 0.05]	.92
Social support	0.04 [0.00, 0.08]	.03	0.01 [-0.04, 0.05]	.80	-0.05 [-0.08, -0.02]	.004
			Difficulties with day-to-day activities			
Contextual factors	Pr(L)	p	Pr(M)	p	Pr(H)	p
Age	-0.00 [-0.10, 0.10]	.99	-0.05 [-0.17, 0.07]	.41	0.05 [-0.04, 0.14]	.27
Gender: Men	0.04 [-0.32, 0.40]	.83	-0.09 [-0.51, 0.32]	.66	0.05 [-0.18, 0.28]	.65
University or higher	0.17 [-0.05, 0.39]	.13	-0.05 [-0.29, 0.19]	.68	-0.12 [-0.28, 0.05]	.16
Living alone	0.11 [-0.09, 0.32]	.28	-0.07 [-0.32, 0.17]	.54	-0.04 [-0.21, 0.13]	.65
Comorbidities (count)	-0.05 [-0.08, -0.01]	.01	0.03 [-0.01, 0.06]	.10	0.02 [-0.00, 0.04]	.06
Self-mastery	0.19 [-0.10, 0.49]	.20	0.02 [-0.32, 0.35]	.92	-0.21 [-0.44, 0.02]	.07
HIV stigma	0.00 [-0.05, 0.05]	.92	-0.03 [-0.08, 0.03]	.36	0.02 [-0.01, 0.06]	.22
Social support	0.01 [-0.03, 0.06]	.53	0.00 [-0.05, 0.05]	.99	-0.01 [-0.05, 0.02]	.43

329   *Notes*: Marginal mean probabilities were calculated from the multinomial logistic regression models. Pr() = probability of being allocated to a certain trajectory
330   group. The 95% confidence intervals were presented in square brackets. To facilitate interpretation, we reported the results for contextual factors, including age,
331   self-mastery, HIV stigma scores, and social support, scaled by a factor of 10 to interpret the predicted probabilities in terms of a decade increase or a 10-point
332   increase in these factors.

333 **Table 5.** Influence of contextual factors on the predicted probabilities of disability trajectories (disability dimensions with four trajectories)

	Low (L) trajectory		Medium-low (M-L) trajectory		Medium-high (M-H) trajectory		High-declining (H-D) / High (H) trajectory	
	Cognitive symptoms							
Contextual factors	Pr(L)	p	Pr(M-L)	p	Pr(M-H)	p	Pr(H-D)	p
Age	0.10 [-0.00, 0.20]	.06	-0.03 [-0.15, 0.09]	.62	-0.06 [-0.18, 0.05]	.28	-0.00 [-0.06. 0.06]	.91
Gender: Men	0.11 [-0.22, 0.45]	.51	-0.06 [-0.49, 0.36]	.78	-0.12 [-0.50, 0.26]	.54	0.07 [0.01, 0.12]	.01
University or higher	0.14 [-0.07, 0.35]	.19	0.08 [-0.15, 0.31]	.47	-0.12 [-0.32, 0.08]	.22	-0.10 [-0.19, -0.02]	.02
Living alone	-0.11 [-0.33, 0.11]	.32	0.06 [-0.17, 0.29]	.63	-0.04 [-0.24, 0.16]	.69	0.10 [0.04, 0.15]	.002
Comorbidities (count)	-0.03 [-0.06, 0.00]	.09	0.01 [-0.03, 0.04]	.68	0.03 [0.00, 0.06]	.03	-0.01 [-0.03, 0.01]	.22
Self-mastery	0.35 [0.05, 0.64]	.02	0.05 [-0.28, 0.37]	.79	-0.09 [-0.38, 0.21]	.57	-0.31 [-0.56, -0.06]	.02
HIV stigma	0.03 [-0.02, 0.08]	.25	-0.01 [-0.06, 0.05]	.83	0.02 [-0.03, 0.07]	.52	-0.04 [-0.07, -0.01]	.02
Social support	0.03 [-0.02, 0.07]	.24	-0.02 [-0.06, 0.03]	.52	-0.01 [-0.05, 0.03]	.77	-0.01 [-0.02, 0.01]	.49
	Uncertainty							
Contextual factors	Pr(L)	p	Pr(M-L)	p	Pr(M-H)	p	Pr(H)	p
Age	0.03 [-0.04, 0.10]	.38	-0.10 [-0.20, 0.00]	.05	0.07 [-0.01, 0.15]	.07	-0.00 [-0.00, 0.00]	.44
Gender: Men	0.13 [-0.06, 0.33]	.18	-0.02 [-0.36, 0.33]	.92	-0.06 [-0.36, 0.23]	.68	-0.05 [-0.18, 0.07]	.39
University or higher	0.08 [-0.08, 0.24]	.32	0.04 [-0.18, 0.25]	.74	-0.02 [-0.19, 0.15]	.81	-0.10 [-0.15, -0.05]	<.001
Living alone	0.07 [-0.09, 0.22]	.40	0.20 [0.00, 0.39]	.04	-0.14 [-0.27, -0.00]	.05	-0.13 [-0.13, -0.12]	<.001
Comorbidities (count)	-0.03 [-0.05, -0.01]	.003	0.04 [0.01, 0.06]	.01	-0.00 [-0.02, 0.02]	.69	-0.00 [-0.00, 0.00]	.75
Self-mastery	0.07 [-0.16, 0.30]	.57	0.33 [0.04, 0.63]	.03	-0.40 [-0.62, -0.17]	<.001	-0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]	.57
HIV stigma	-0.06 [-0.09, -0.03]	<.001	0.03 [-0.02, 0.07]	.26	0.03 [-0.00, 0.06]	.08	0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]	.57
Social support	0.04 [0.01, 0.06]	.01	-0.02 [-0.06, 0.02]	.32	-0.02 [-0.05, 0.02]	.36	-0.00 [-0.00, 0.00]	.58
	Challenges to social inclusion							
Contextual factors	Pr(L)	p	Pr(M-L)	p	Pr(M-H)	p	Pr(H)	p
Age	0.02 [-0.07, 0.10]	.39	0.05 [-0.02, 0.13]	.17	-0.05 [-0.18, 0.08]	.48	-0.02 [-0.12, 0.07]	.63
Gender: Men	-0.34 [-0.76, 0.08]	.11	0.18 [0.10, 0.27]	<.001	0.22 [-0.21, 0.65]	.32	-0.06 [-0.31, 0.18]	.62
University or higher	0.03 [-0.16, 0.22]	.73	0.00 [-0.17, 0.18]	.98	-0.07 [-0.30, 0.17]	.57	0.03 [-0.11, 0.17]	.66
Living alone	0.12 [-0.06, 0.29]	.20	-0.10 [-0.28, 0.09]	.30	-0.05 [-0.28, 0.18]	.67	0.03 [-0.11, 0.18]	.67
Comorbidities (count)	-0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]	.29	-0.03 [-0.06, -0.00]	.05	0.03 [-0.00, 0.06]	.09	0.02 [-0.00, 0.03]	.07
Self-mastery	-0.02 [-0.31, 0.27]	.91	0.19 [-0.07, 0.45]	.15	-0.16 [-0.47, 0.16]	.33	-0.01 [-0.19, 0.17]	.87
HIV stigma	-0.05 [-0.09, -0.01]	.02	-0.00 [-0.04, 0.04]	.92	0.01 [-0.04, 0.06]	.58	0.04 [0.01, 0.07]	.01
Social support	0.05 [0.01, 0.08]	.01	-0.00 [-0.04, 0.03]	.90	-0.03 [-0.07, 0.02]	.24	-0.02 [-0.04, 0.00]	.11

334 *Notes*: Marginal mean probabilities were calculated from the multinomial logistic regression models. Pr() = probability of being allocated to a certain trajectory
335 group. The 95% confidence intervals were presented in square brackets. To facilitate interpretation, we reported the results for contextual factors, including age,

336   self-mastery, HIV stigma scores, and social support, scaled by a factor of 10 to interpret the predicted probabilities in terms of a decade increase or a 10-point
337   increase in these factors.

Discussion

We characterized disability trajectories across six different dimensions and investigated the

influence of contextual factors among adults aging with HIV over an 8-month period. We

identified three distinct disability trajectories (low, medium, high) for physical symptoms,

mental-emotional symptoms, and difficulties with day-to-day activities, and four trajectories

(low, medium-low, medium-high, high) for cognitive symptoms, uncertainty, and challenges to

social inclusion. Modifiable contextual factors such as self-mastery and social support were

associated with lower disability trajectories, whereas comorbidities and HIV stigma were

associated with more severe disability trajectories over time. The present study underscores the

complex nature of disability and its diverse influencing factors among adults aging with HIV

over time.

Experiences of disability in the context of aging with HIV

A growing body of evidence has documented the intertwined relationship between HIV and

disability [42–45]. For example, a systematic review of 61 studies demonstrated that the

experience of disability, broadly defined as any functional impairments, activity limitations, and

participation restrictions, was prevalent among individuals living with HIV [44]. Our study

contributes to the existing literature by adopting the SF-HDQ, an established patient-reported

outcome measure, to assess the multidimensional nature of disability in the context of living with

HIV over time. Our findings reveal that, even among a group of community-dwelling adults

aging with HIV who are medically stable and able to conduct exercise, some individuals may

still experience higher levels of disability than others over the course of living with HIV. In line

with notions from prior research, the distinct disability dimension trajectories identified in this

study highlight the necessity of adopting a tailored, individualized approach rather than viewing

people aging with HIV as a single homogenous group [15,19,22,46]. Recognizing these diverse

experiences can help identify individuals with greater needs and guide healthcare professionals

in refining treatments and services to better address the varying levels of disability among this

population.

Stability and variability of disability trajectories

Overall, the mean trajectories of disability were observed to remain stable over the 8-month

timeframe. However, it is important to underline the fluctuations and variations in disability

trajectories across the individual participants assigned to each trajectory group. For instance,

upon closer examination of the physical symptoms dimension, it became evident that there were

notable fluctuations in the severity of disability for each study participant (Fig 1). Moreover,

even within the same trajectory group, we noted between-person differences in the experience of

disability over time. While we employed domain-specific scores to measure disability, our

findings are consistent with Solomon et al.'s work, where they also discovered similar

fluctuations in the levels of disability among individuals aging with HIV using item-specific

questions and a qualitative longitudinal study design [46]. Although more than half of our study

participants consistently reported having good days during the baseline monitoring period, our

findings suggest that adults aging with HIV can still face varying levels of disability over time.

As outlined in the *Episodic Disability Framework*, these observed patterns exemplify the

characteristics of episodic disability, where individuals may experience fluctuating periods of

illness and wellness, a phenomenon documented not only in HIV, but also other chronic health

conditions such as Long COVID, arthritis, and relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis [47–49].

Altogether, this study portrays the episodic nature and complexity of disability among

individuals aging with HIV, stressing the importance of continuous monitoring and developing

interventions that address the specific health challenges encountered by this population.

Clinical distinction of disability trajectories

Results from this study identified three to four distinct trajectories in each disability dimension.

These trajectories, with SF-HDQ scores ranging from 3 to 55 on the 100-point scale, were

considered statistically distinct using a data-driven approach. However, further verification is

needed to determine the extent to which these differences are clinically distinct, beyond

associated measurement error, and of clinical importance. Considering the precision of the SF-

HDQ instrument and ongoing investigation into the interpretability of its scores [27], it is

plausible that the actual number of clinically distinct trajectory groups may be fewer than

identified in this analysis. Hence, the interpretability of the SF-HDQ should be taken into

consideration by researchers and clinicians when evaluating the results and developing

subsequent intervention strategies for individuals aging with HIV.

Influence of contextual factors on disability trajectories

This study adds to the extant literature by revealing how intrinsic and extrinsic contextual factors

influence disability trajectories. Our findings highlight that beyond individual characteristics

such as age, gender, or education, having a greater number of comorbidities and higher levels of

stigma at baseline were associated with more severe disability trajectories for the physical,

cognitive, uncertainty, difficulty with day-to-day activities, and challenges to social inclusion

disability dimensions. On the contrary, greater baseline self-mastery and perceived social support

were associated with lower trajectories (indicating better health) in these disability dimensions

over time.

The observed deleterious impact of comorbidities and stigma, along with the protective

role of self-mastery and social support, aligns with existing research [50–54] and helps to

identify people living with HIV who may be more susceptible to greater disability, providing

insights for shaping future care and services. Specifically, our findings emphasize the importance

of adopting an integrated approach to support people aging with HIV, with the focus extending

beyond standard HIV treatment to address other comorbidities such as mental health conditions

and musculoskeletal disorders. In the meantime, opportunities may also be provided to enhance

mastery, coping skills, and sense of control among people managing chronic health conditions

such as HIV [52,55,56]. Moreover, our study highlights the critical role of building social

support systems in the community, while emphasizing the urgent need for broader structural

changes to combat HIV-related stigma and discrimination [54,57,58]. By drawing attention

across various entities, from clinical care to public health, our study highlights the collective

efforts needed to support the health and well-being of people living with HIV.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths of our approach included our use of the *Episodic Disability Framework*, which offers a

robust conceptual foundation for capturing the multidimensional and episodic nature of disability

and contextual factors in the context of HIV [13]. This study is among the first known to employ

a validated HIV-specific questionnaire that uses a Rasch-based interval scale to assess disability

longitudinally over time [24]. However, several limitations exist. First, our sample size is

smaller, and our follow-up period is shorter compared with previous studies that used public

survey data to examine disability trajectories among general older populations [59–61]. The

numbers and patterns of disability trajectories might have changed with a larger cohort and

longer follow-up duration (e.g., years). Second, participants were community dwelling adults

recruited from HIV community-based organizations in Toronto, who were predominantly men,

White, and medically stable. Caution should be exercised when generalizing our results to

broader populations, especially those from rural areas and diverse sociodemographic

backgrounds. Third, the current study assessed the role of contextual factors only at baseline,

whereas these factors may also change over time and influence disability trajectories.

Furthermore, although our study serves as the first step in adopting a multidimensional approach

to examine disability trajectories across various life domains, the intersectionality of these

dimensions exceeds our current scope [62]. An important avenue for future research is to

leverage additional analytical procedures, such as group-based multi-trajectory modeling, to

characterize the interconnections or latent clusters of individuals exhibiting similar trajectories

across all six disability dimensions. In conjunction with these techniques, the application of other

numeric metrics such as intra-individual mean (iMean), intra-individual standard deviation

(iSD), and entropy may provide further insights into the dynamics and episodic nature of

disability over time [63].

In conclusion, our findings suggest that experiences of disability among adults aging with

HIV included three or four distinct trajectories over an 8-month monitoring phase. A

combination of modifiable contextual factors such as comorbidities, self-mastery, stigma, and

social support may influence disability trajectories over time and should be incorporated into

interventions, services, policies aimed at preventing or mitigating disability and improving health

outcomes among adults aging with HIV.

References

1. Wandeler G, Johnson LF, Egger M. Trends in life expectancy of HIV-positive adults on ART across the globe: comparisons with general population. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2016;11: 492–500. doi:10.1097/COH.0000000000000298

2. Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration. Survival of HIV-positive patients starting antiretroviral therapy between 1996 and 2013: a collaborative analysis of cohort studies. Lancet HIV. 2017;4: e349–e356. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(17)30066-8

3. Trickey A, Sabin CA, Burkholder G, Crane H, Monforte A d'Arminio, Egger M, et al. Life expectancy after 2015 of adults with HIV on long-term antiretroviral therapy in Europe and North America: a collaborative analysis of cohort studies. Lancet HIV. 2023;10: e295–e307. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(23)00028-0

4. Trickey A, Zhang L, Sabin CA, Sterne JAC. Life expectancy of people with HIV on long-term antiretroviral therapy in Europe and North America: a cohort study. The Lancet Healthy Longevity. 2022;3: S2. doi:10.1016/S2666-7568(22)00063-0

5. Maciel RA, Klück HM, Durand M, Sprinz E. Comorbidity is more common and occurs earlier in persons living with HIV than in HIV-uninfected matched controls, aged 50 years and older: a cross-sectional study. Int J Infect Dis. 2018;70: 30–35. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2018.02.009

6. Pourcher V, Gourmelen J, Bureau I, Bouee S. Comorbidities in people living with HIV: An epidemiologic and economic analysis using a claims database in France. PLoS One. 2020;15: e0243529. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0243529

7. Roomaney RA, van Wyk B, Pillay-van Wyk V. Aging with HIV: increased risk of HIV comorbidities in older adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19: 2359. doi:10.3390/ijerph19042359

8. Chawla A, Wang C, Patton C, Murray M, Punekar Y, de Ruiter A, et al. A review of long-term toxicity of antiretroviral treatment regimens and implications for an aging population. Infect Dis Ther. 2018;7: 183–195. doi:10.1007/s40121-018-0201-6

9. Smith R, Rossetto K, Peterson BL. A meta-analysis of disclosure of one's HIV-positive status, stigma and social support. AIDS Care. 2008;20: 1266–1275. doi:10.1080/09540120801926977

10. Emlet CA. "You're awfully old to have this disease": experiences of stigma and ageism in adults 50 years and older living with HIV/AIDS. Gerontologist. 2006;46: 781–790. doi:10.1093/geront/46.6.781

11. Bandeen-Roche K, Seplaki CL, Huang J, Buta B, Kalyani RR, Varadhan R, et al. Frailty in older adults: a nationally representative profile in the United States. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2015;70: 1427–1434. doi:10.1093/gerona/glv133

12. Morales DR, Moreno-Martos D, Matin N, McGettigan P. Health conditions in adults with HIV compared with the general population: a population-based cross-sectional analysis. eClinicalMedicine. 2022;47. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101392

13. O'Brien KK, Bayoumi AM, Strike C, Young NL, Davis AM. Exploring disability from the
perspective of adults living with HIV/AIDS: development of a conceptual framework. Health Qual
Life Outcomes. 2008;6: 76. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-6-76

14. O'Brien KK, Davis AM, Strike C, Young NL, Bayoumi AM. Putting episodic disability into
context: a qualitative study exploring factors that influence disability experienced by adults living
with HIV/AIDS. JIAS. 2009;12: 30. doi:10.1186/1758-2652-12-30

15. Crystal S, Sambamoorthi U. Functional impairment trajectories among persons with HIV disease: a
hierarchical linear models approach. Health Serv Res. 1996;31: 469–488.

16. Richert L, Brault M, Mercié P, Dauchy F-A, Bruyand M, Greib C, et al. Decline in locomotor
functions over time in HIV-infected patients. AIDS. 2014;28: 1441.
doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000000246

17. Cai S, Miller SC, Wilson IB. Trajectory of physical functioning among persons living with HIV in
nursing homes. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2019;20: 497–502. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2019.01.126

18. Gott C, Gates T, Dermody N, Brew BJ, Cysique LA. Cognitive change trajectories in virally
suppressed HIV-infected individuals indicate high prevalence of disease activity. PLOS ONE.
2017;12: e0171887. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171887

19. Brouillette M-J, Yuen T, Fellows LK, Cysique LA, Heaton RK, Mayo NE. Identifying
neurocognitive decline at 36 months among HIV-positive participants in the CHARTER cohort
using group-based trajectory analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11: e0155766.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155766

20. Parisi CE, Varma DS, Wang Y, Vaddiparti K, Ibañez GE, Cruz L, et al. Changes in mental health
among people with HIV during the COVID-19 pandemic: qualitative and quantitative perspectives.
AIDS Behav. 2022;26: 1980–1991. doi:10.1007/s10461-021-03547-8

21. Rzeszutek M, Gruszczyńska E. Positive and negative affect change among people living with HIV:
a one-year prospective study. IntJ Behav Med. 2019;26: 28–37. doi:10.1007/s12529-018-9741-0

22. Bristowe K, Clift P, James R, Josh J, Platt M, Whetham J, et al. Towards person-centred care for
people living with HIV: what core outcomes matter, and how might we assess them? A cross-
national multi-centre qualitative study with key stakeholders. HIV Med. 2019;20: 542–554.
doi:10.1111/hiv.12758

23. O'Brien KK, Bayoumi AM, Solomon P, Tang A, Murzin K, Carusone SC, et al. Evaluating a
community-based exercise intervention with adults living with HIV: protocol for an interrupted time
series study. BMJ Open. 2016;6: e013618. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013618

24. O'Brien KK, Dzingina M, Harding R, Gao W, Namisango E, Avery L, et al. Developing a short-
form version of the HIV Disability Questionnaire (SF-HDQ) for use in clinical practice: a Rasch
analysis. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2021;19: 6. doi:10.1186/s12955-020-01643-2

25. O'Brien KK, Solomon P, Bergin C, O'Dea S, Stratford P, Iku N, et al. Reliability and validity of a
new HIV-specific questionnaire with adults living with HIV in Canada and Ireland: the HIV
Disability Questionnaire (HDQ). Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2015;13: 124. doi:10.1186/s12955-
015-0310-9

26. O'Brien KK, Solomon P, Bayoumi AM. Measuring disability experienced by adults living with
HIV: assessing construct validity of the HIV Disability Questionnaire using confirmatory factor
analysis. BMJ Open. 2014;4: e005456. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005456

27. O'Brien KK, Solomon P, Carusone SC, Erlandson KM, Bergin C, Bayoumi AM, et al. Assessing
the sensibility and utility of a short-form version of the HIV Disability Questionnaire in clinical
practice settings in Canada, Ireland and the USA: a mixed methods study. BMJ Open. 2022;12:
e062008. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-062008

28. O'Brien KK, Ibáñez-Carrasco F, Solomon P, Chan Carusone S, Stewart A, Bayoumi AM, et al.
Short-form HIV disability questionnaire sensibility, utility, and implementation considerations in
community-based settings: a mixed methods study. J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care. 2023;22:
23259582231210800. doi:10.1177/23259582231210801

29. Pearlin LI, Schooler C. The structure of coping. J Health Soc Behav. 1978;19: 2–21.
doi:10.2307/2136319

30. Lim ZX, Chua WL, Lim WS, Lim AQ, Chua KC, Chan E-Y. Psychometrics of the Pearlin Mastery
Scale among Family Caregivers of Older Adults Who Require Assistance in Activities of Daily
Living. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19: 4639. doi:10.3390/ijerph19084639

31. Turner HA, Pearlin LI, Mullan JT. Sources and determinants of social support for caregivers of
persons with AIDS. J Health Soc Behav. 1998;39: 137–151. doi:10.2307/2676396

32. Berger BE, Ferrans CE, Lashley FR. Measuring stigma in people with HIV: psychometric
assessment of the HIV stigma scale. Res Nurs Health. 2001;24: 518–529. doi:10.1002/nur.10011

33. Bunn JY, Solomon SE, Miller C, Forehand R. Measurement of stigma in people with HIV: a
reexamination of the HIV Stigma Scale. AIDS Educ Prev. 2007;19: 198–208.
doi:10.1521/aeap.2007.19.3.198

34. Sherbourne CD, Stewart AL. The MOS social support survey. Soc Sci Med. 1991;32: 705–714.
doi:10.1016/0277-9536(91)90150-b

35. Tam C, Wesseling T, Wang L, Salters K, Moore DM, Dawydiuk N, et al. It's all about connection:
determinants of social support and the influence on HIV treatment interruptions among people
living with HIV in British Columbia, Canada. BMC Public Health. 2023;23: 2524.
doi:10.1186/s12889-023-17416-7

36. Yu Y, Yang JP, Shiu C-S, Simoni JM, Xiao S, Chen W, et al. Psychometric testing of the Chinese
version of the Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey among people living with
HIV/AIDS in China. Appl Nurs Res. 2015;28: 328–333. doi:10.1016/j.apnr.2015.03.006

37. Nagin DS. Group-based modeling of development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press;
2005. Available: https://www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674016866

38. Jung T, Wickrama K a. S. An introduction to latent class growth analysis and growth mixture
modeling. Soc Personal Psychol Compass. 2008;2: 302–317. doi:10.1111/j.1751-
9004.2007.00054.x

39. Nguefack HLN, Pagé MG, Katz J, Choinière M, Vanasse A, Dorais M, et al. Trajectory modelling
techniques useful to epidemiological research: a comparative narrative review of approaches. Clin
Epidemiol. 2020;12: 1205–1222. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S265287

40. O'Brien KK, Davis AM, Carusone SC, Avery L, Tang A, Solomon P, et al. Examining the impact
of a community-based exercise intervention on cardiorespiratory fitness, cardiovascular health,
strength, flexibility and physical activity among adults living with HIV: A three-phased intervention
study. PLoS One. 2021;16: e0257639. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0257639

41. Nagin DS, Odgers CL. Group-based trajectory modeling in clinical research. Annu Rev Clin
Psychol. 2010;6: 109–138. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131413

42. Rajasuriar R, Wong PL. Disproportionate disability in people with HIV. Lancet HIV. 2023;10:
e145–e146. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(23)00027-9

43. Kuper H, Heydt P, Davey C. A focus on disability is necessary to achieve HIV epidemic control.
Lancet HIV. 2022;9: e293–e298. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(21)00345-3

44. Banks LM, Zuurmond M, Ferrand R, Kuper H. The relationship between HIV and prevalence of
disabilities in sub-Saharan Africa: systematic review (FA). Trop Med Int Health. 2015;20: 411–429.
doi:10.1111/tmi.12449

45. Rusch M, Nixon S, Schilder A, Braitstein P, Chan K, Hogg RS. Impairments, activity limitations
and participation restrictions: prevalence and associations among persons living with HIV/AIDS in
British Columbia. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2004;2: 46. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-2-46

46. Solomon P, O'Brien KK, Nixon S, Letts L, Baxter L, Gervais N. Trajectories of episodic disability
in people aging with HIV: a longitudinal qualitative study. J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care. 2018;17:
2325958218759210. doi:10.1177/2325958218759210

47. O'Brien KK, Brown DA, McDuff K, Clair-Sullivan NS, Solomon P, Carusone SC, et al.
Conceptualising the episodic nature of disability among adults living with Long COVID: a
qualitative study. BMJ Global Health. 2023;8: e011276. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2022-011276

48. Vick A. The embodied experience of episodic disability among women with multiple sclerosis.
Disability & Society. 2013;28: 176–189. doi:10.1080/09687599.2012.699279

49. Gignac MAM, Cao X, Tang K, Beaton DE. Examination of arthritis-related work place activity
limitations and intermittent disability over four-and-a-half years and its relationship to job
modifications and outcomes. Arthritis Care Res. 2011;63: 953–962. doi:10.1002/acr.20456

50. Kietrys D, Myezwa H, Galantino ML, Parrott JS, Davis T, Levin T, et al. Functional limitations and
disability in persons living with hiv in South Africa and United States: similarities and differences. J
Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care. 2019;18: 2325958219850558. doi:10.1177/2325958219850558

51. Hill M, Huff A, Chumbler N. "I'm Gonna Get Busy Living": examining the trajectories of affect,
behavioral health, and psychological resilience among persons living with HIV in a southeastern
U.S. health district. Global Qualitative Nursing Research. 2019;6: 2333393619834937.
doi:10.1177/2333393619834937

52.   Gibson K, Rueda S, Rourke SB, Bekele T, Gardner S, Fenta H, et al. Mastery and coping moderate
the negative effect of acute and chronic stressors on mental health-related quality of life in HIV.
AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2011;25: 371–381. doi:10.1089/apc.2010.0165

53.   Bekele T, Rourke SB, Tucker R, Greene S, Sobota M, Koornstra J, et al. Direct and indirect effects
of perceived social support on health-related quality of life in persons living with HIV/AIDS. AIDS
Care. 2013;25: 337–346. doi:10.1080/09540121.2012.701716

54.   Turan B, Budhwani H, Fazeli PL, Browning WR, Raper JL, Mugavero MJ, et al. How does stigma
affect people living with HIV? The mediating roles of internalized and anticipated HIV stigma in
the effects of perceived community stigma on health and psychosocial outcomes. AIDS Behav.
2017;21: 283–291. doi:10.1007/s10461-016-1451-5

55.   Rueda S, Gibson K, Rourke SB, Bekele T, Gardner S, Cairney J, et al. Mastery moderates the
negative effect of stigma on depressive symptoms in people living with HIV. AIDS Behav.
2012;16: 690–699. doi:10.1007/s10461-010-9878-6

56.   Emlet CA, Shiu C, Kim H-J, Fredriksen-Goldsen K. Bouncing back: resilience and mastery among
HIV-positive older gay and bisexual men. Gerontologist. 2017;57: S40–S49.
doi:10.1093/geront/gnw171

57.   Rubtsova AA, Kempf M-C, Taylor TN, Konkle-Parker D, Wingood GM, Holstad MM. Healthy
aging in older women living with HIV infection: a systematic review of psychosocial factors. Curr
HIV/AIDS Rep. 2017;14: 17–30. doi:10.1007/s11904-017-0347-y

58.   Andersson GZ, Reinius M, Eriksson LE, Svedhem V, Esfahani FM, Deuba K, et al. Stigma
reduction interventions in people living with HIV to improve health-related quality of life. Lancet
HIV. 2020;7: e129–e140. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(19)30343-1

59.   Yu H-W, Chen D-R, Chiang T-L, Tu Y-K, Chen Y-M. Disability trajectories and associated
disablement process factors among older adults in Taiwan. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics.
2015;60: 272–280. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2014.12.005

60.   Chiu C-J, Wray LA. Physical disability trajectories in older Americans with and without diabetes:
the role of age, gender, race or ethnicity, and education. Gerontologist. 2011;51: 51–63.
doi:10.1093/geront/gnq069

61.   Keeney T, Fox AB, Jette DU, Jette A. Functional trajectories of persons with cardiovascular disease
in late life. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019;67: 37–42. doi:10.1111/jgs.15584

62.   O'Brien KK, Hanna S, Solomon P, Worthington C, Ibáñez-Carrasco F, Chan Carusone S, et al.
Characterizing the disability experience among adults living with HIV: a structural equation model
using the HIV disability questionnaire (HDQ) within the HIV, health and rehabilitation survey.
BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19: 594. doi:10.1186/s12879-019-4203-0

63.   Koffer RE, Ram N, Almeida DM. More than counting: an intraindividual variability approach to
categorical repeated measures. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2018;73: 87–99.
doi:10.1093/geronb/gbx086

Figure