Exposures and conditions prior to age 16 are associated with dementia status among adults in the United States Health and Retirement Study.

Scarlet Cockell, M.P.H[a], Herong Wang, M.P.H[a], Kelly S. Benke, Ph.D.[b], Erin B. Ware, Ph.D. M.P.H.[c,d], Kelly M. Bakulski, Ph.D.[a]

[a] Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, 1415 Washington Heights, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA cockell@umich.edu

[a] Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, 1415 Washington Heights, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA herongw@umich.edu

[a] Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, 1415 Washington Heights, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA bakulski@umich.edu

[b] Department of Mental Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, 615 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21205 kbenke1@jhu.edu

[c] Survey Research Center, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, 426 Thompson St, Ann Arbor, MI, 48104, USA ebakshis@umich.edu

[d] Population Studies Center, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, 426 Thompson St, Ann Arbor, MI, 48104, USA ebakshis@umich.edu

Abstract

Background Dementia susceptibility likely begins years before symptoms. Early life has not been comprehensively tested for dementia associations.

Method In the US Health and Retirement Study (normal baseline cognition; n=16,509; 2008-2018 waves), 31 exposures before age 16 were retrospectively assessed with ten-year incident cognitive status (dementia, impaired, normal). Using parallel logistic models, each exposure was tested with incident cognition, adjusting for sex, baseline age, follow-up, race/ethnicity, personal/parental education.

Result 14.5% had incident impairment and 5.3% had dementia. Depression was associated with 1.71 (95%CI:1.28,2.26) times higher odds of incident impairment, relative to normal cognition. Headaches/migraines were associated with 1.63 (95%CI:1.18,2.22) times higher odds of incident impairment. Learning problems were associated with 1.75 (95%CI:1.05,2.79) times higher odds of incident impairment. Childhood self-rated health of fair (1.86, 95%CI:1.27,2.64) and poor (3.39, 95%CI:1.91,5.82) were associated with higher incident dementia odds, relative to excellent.

Conclusion Early life factors may be important for impairment or dementia, extending the relevant risk window.

Introduction

Dementia, a progressive neurogenerative disease, impacts an estimated 55 million worldwide, and approximately 10 million new cases are reported yearly.[1–4] With the number of individuals 65 and older growing within the United States, dementia incidence and annual costs attributable to dementia are anticipated to increase.[1,4] Disease prevalence is highest among adults 65 and older, making age the greatest risk factor for Alzheimer's and other dementias.[1] As multidisciplinary dementia research continues, medications aimed at slowing disease progression have been developed, and identifying risk factors for prevention is a key public health approach.[5,6]

To date, 12 dementia risk factors have been identified in mid and late life which may prevent or postpone up to 40% of incident dementia cases: depression, smoking, physical inactivity, diabetes, social isolation, air pollution, hypertension, hearing impairment, obesity, excessive alcohol use, and brain injury.[6–10] Much focus has been given to the mid and late-life periods for exposures critical to the development of Alzheimer's disease and dementia. However, widening the scope of research into childhood and adolescence may unearth additional modifiable factors, allowing for novel prevention and intervention measures at the earliest opportunity.

Cognitive changes are present and detectable years before individuals meet the clinical criteria for dementia.[3,7–9] Therefore, beginning stages of neurodegeneration are present in the brain long before symptoms are apparent, however exactly when these changes begin is unclear.[5,8–10] To precede clinical, subclinical, or pathological changes investigations must consider earlier windows of susceptibility. Few strong childhood environmental risk factors have been identified for dementia, likely due to the long duration between exposure and onset, which presents challenges for measurement in the same Individuals.[8,11–13] Due to these difficulties, investigations into how social and environmental factors in early life influence disease development are incomplete.[4–7,12] Screening the early life period may be instrumental in identifying the earliest exposure window to influence dementia risk.

In a large and diverse sample of older adults from the United States Health and Retirement Study (HRS), this study aims to screen 31 early-life exposures measured at baseline with ten years of cognitive follow-up for their association with incident dementia or incident cognitive impairment non-dementia later in life. Using an exposure-wide association study (ExWAS) framework (PMID: 32105887, 38344436), this study investigates a diverse group of exposures in the early life stage and their association with dementia in late life to interrogate an earlier window of susceptibility.[14,15] We hypothesize that previously identified modifiable risk factors may impact cognition in early life and thus contribute to the development of dementia. The long pre-clinical phase of dementia provides many opportunities for intervention, and through this study, we hope to elucidate additional risk factors to identify prevention periods in early life.

Methods

Study sample

The United States HRS includes a nationally representative sample of individuals aged 50 years and older who have been interviewed biennially since 1992 and covers topics like income, wealth, health, health services use, work, retirement, and family dynamics. The HRS uses a panel cohort study design. An overview of this study has been given in detail previously.[16,17] The HRS is implemented by the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan and is sponsored by the National Institute on Aging (U01 AG009740). Individuals provided written informed consent at the time of study participation. These secondary data analyses were approved by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board (HUM00128220). HRS data are publicly available (https://hrs.isr.umich.edu). Our analysis used self-reported exposures and conditions that occurred before 16 years old collected from the 2008 to 2014 waves (baseline measure), and cognition data from the 2008 to 2018 waves (follow-up) for the same individuals (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

Early life exposure and condition measures

In 2008, a new HRS questionnaire inquired retrospectively about early life exposures or conditions before age 16. Each of these 31 exposures were included in this study. Exposure variables included infection medical history (infection with measles, mumps, chicken pox, allergies), neurologic factors (headache, ear problems, depression, emotional and psychological problems, learning disorder, concussion, epilepsy, drug smoking, or alcohol use), respiratory factors (parental smoking, asthma, respiratory condition), sensory deficits (speech, vision), other physical factors (heart circulatory and blood conditions, disability, stomach condition, self-reported health, digestive system, musculoskeletal and connective tissue conditions, any other conditions), and child home environment (lived in rural area, missed school, lived with grandparents, father unemployment, mother work, family financial situation, family financial help). Individuals who did not respond to the 2008 questionnaire were invited to respond at each subsequent visit through 2014. All Individuals who answered at least one of the 31 questions were included. Although these questions were intended to be asked once, some subjects (n=202) had two responses between 2008 and 2014. If the interviewee answered differently between responses, the Individual was excluded for that exposure. Due to small sample sizes, for the question “Did your mother work during childhood?” Individuals with the response “Never lived with mother/mother was not alive” (n=31) were excluded. Similarly, for the prompt “Rate your family financial situation,” Individual s with the response “It varied” (n=107) were excluded. We considered an Individual’s baseline measure to be the wave in which they completed the early life exposure questionnaire.

Eight summary categories were created to aid in the interpretation of the individual exposures. Original question wording, internal labels, and summary variable membership can be found in **supplementary table 1**. The summary exposure category *Inflammatory and infectious upper respiratory conditions* included three variables: “Allergic conditions”, “Allergic conditions disability” and “Allergic conditions other”. Likewise, the summary exposure category *Psychological conditions* included three variables: “Emotional or psychological conditions”, “Emotional or psychological conditions disability” and “Psychological or emotional

conditions other". For the summary exposure category *Neurological conditions* three variables were combined: "Epilepsy or seizures", "Neurological and sensory conditions disability" and "Neurological and sensory conditions other." The creation of the *Childhood substance use* summary exposure category combines two variables: "Drug or alcohol use" and "Regular cigarette smoking". The summary exposure category *Heart conditions* is a combination of three variables: "High blood pressure", "Heart conditions disability" and "Heart conditions other." The *Digestive system conditions* summary exposure unites two variables: "Digestive system conditions disability" and "Digestive system conditions other". The *Musculoskeletal and connective tissue conditions* summary category included two variables: "Musculoskeletal and connective tissue conditions disability" and "Musculoskeletal and connective tissue conditions other". Finally, the summary exposure category *Childhood health conditions* included nine variables: "Endocrine, metabolic, and nutritional conditions disability", "Endocrine, metabolic, and nutritional conditions other", "Reproductive system and prostate conditions disability", "Reproductive system and prostate conditions other", "Cancers, tumors, skin conditions disability", "Cancers, tumors, skin conditions other", "Diabetes", "Other health conditions", and "Other disability". All summary exposure variables were created using the same method. If an Individual was missing a response for any original variable, the summary variable was set as missing. Among complete responses, if the Individual responded as "Yes" to any original variable, the response was coded as "Yes," and if the Individual responded "No" to all original variables, the response was coded as "No".

Cognitive status measures

For cognitive status measures, we used the HRS Cross-Wave Imputation of Cognitive Functioning Measures for the years 2008 to 2018. Self-respondent cognitive status was assessed on a 27-point scale by summing an immediate and delayed 10-noun free recall test (0 to 20 points), a serial 7 subtraction test (0 to 5 points), and a backward count from 20 test (0 to 2 points).[17,18] Respondents were classified into normal cognition (score range: 12-27), cognitive impairment non-dementia (7-11), and dementia (0-6).[18] For respondents represented by a proxy (baseline N= 153, follow-up N= 812), an alternative 11-point scale was used.[18] The proxy's assessment of the respondent's memory ranged from excellent to poor (0 to 4 points), the number of instrumental activities of daily living with limitations (0 to 5 points), and the survey interviewer's assessment of whether the respondent had difficulty completing the interview because of a cognitive limitation (0 to 2 points).[18] Established cutoffs based on proxy scores classified the respondent into one of the three categories: normal cognition (0-2), cognitive impairment non-dementia (3-5), or dementia (6-11).[18] status).

Covariate measures

Self-response to demographic questions including sex (male, female), race/ethnicity category (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic other), own education (years), and parental highest degree of education (high school or higher, less than high school) were included. The parental education covariate was created by using the maximum of either the maternal or paternal educational attainment.[19] Enrollment self-response to birthdate was used to calculate age at baseline (years) and the age at the most recent cognition measure (years). Follow-up time was calculated as the time (years) between the

baseline exposure collection and the most recent cognition measure as a continuous variable.

Statistical analysis

Individuals were excluded from the analytic sample for missing exposure, covariate (sex, age, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, parental education), or outcome measures. Individuals with prevalent dementia or cognitive impairment non-dementia at baseline were also excluded. Individual inclusion and exclusion were visualized using a flow chart. Due to differences in missingness between exposures, the sample size was allowed to vary by exposure. The distributions in the included (for any exposure) and excluded samples were described using mean and standard deviation for continuous variables as well as count and frequency for categorical variables. We compared the sample distributions using Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test for continuous variables and Fisher's test for categorical variables. Due to the non-normal left-skewed distribution of continuous variables and the presence of our three-level outcome variable, the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test was used for the comparison of sample distributions for continuous variables. Fisher's test was used for comparison of sample distribution for categorical variables due to the small exposure sample size for some exposure variables. Within the analytic sample, we described the distributions of variables by cognitive status after follow-up, using mean and standard deviation for continuous variables as well as count and frequency for categorical variables.

To assess exposure-specific associations with cognitive status, we used an ExWAS design and performed parallel multivariable logistic regression.[14,15] Two sets of logistic regression models were used (dementia versus normal cognition; cognitive impairment non-dementia versus normal cognition). We first presented unadjusted crude associations between each exposure and cognitive status. Then our primary models were adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, age at baseline, education, parental education, and time from baseline to cognitive follow-up. We presented the regression results as an odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (CI), and p-value. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was applied to account for multiple comparisons, and FDR values were calculated.[20] To support our goal of screening a diverse selection of exposures for association with cognitive status we used two criteria (stringent, permissive). Our stringent criteria consider an FDR<0.05 statistically significant, while the permissive criteria consider a p-value<0.05 sufficient regardless of FDR. Permissive criteria were included for exploratory purposes to support our primary objective of screening a diverse set of early-life factors for association with incident cognitive status.

To assess the joint effect across exposures within a summary category, we used the metafor package for random-effect meta-analyses to estimate the pooled odds ratios from all exposures under the same category.[21] We assessed heterogeneity within the category using I^2. We visualized odds ratios for all individual exposures and pooled odds ratios for summary category exposures using forest plots.

Sensitivity analyses

Following primary analyses, we assessed an additional model using an alternative impaired cognition definition. Individuals with cognitive impairment non-dementia and dementia were

combined to create a binary outcome variable of normal cognition or any cognitive impairment. All 31 exposures were individually assessed for their relationship with any cognitive impairment adjusting for age, sex, race/ethnicity, personal education, parental education, and time from baseline to cognitive follow-up. Statistical significance was assessed using only our stringent criteria (FDR<0.05). All statistical analyses were conducted using R 3.5.3. Code to conduct analyses is available https://github.com/bakulskilab.

Results

Study sample descriptive statistics

There were 22,018 individuals eligible for this study and our included analytic sample size was 16,509 (**Figure 1**). We excluded those with cognitive impairment at baseline (n=4,320) and those without follow-up cognition visit information (n=1,189). Relative to the included sample, those excluded were older (mean 69 years), had fewer years of schooling (mean 11.1), were more likely to have had parents who completed fewer school years, and were more likely to be of a race/ethnicity other than Non-Hispanic White (Non-Hispanic Black: 25%, Hispanic: 18%, Non-Hispanic other 3.4%) (**Supplemental Table 2).**

In the included sample at the end of follow-up, 13,236 (80%) Individuals presented with normal cognition, 2,395 (14.5%) had cognitive impairment non-dementia, and 878 (5.3%) had dementia (**Table 1**). Ten years of follow-up were completed for 42% of those with normal cognition, 38% with cognitive Impairment non-dementia, and 36% of those with dementia. We saw significant differences in the proportion of those exposed to allergies, measles, chicken pox, childhood learning problems, concussion or severe head injury, parent/guardian smoking, respiratory disorders, drugs, alcohol, or smoking use, childhood health conditions, self-rated health as a child, musculoskeletal and connective tissue issues, rural area of residence, self-rated family financial situation, paternal unemployment during childhood, maternal work during childhood, and family needed financial help in childhood (p-values<0.05) by cognitive status at follow-up. (**Table 1**).

Exposure associations with incident cognitive impairment non-dementia

Crude model results are available (**Supplemental Table 3**). After covariate adjustment, two exposures were associated with cognitive impairment non-dementia status relative to normal cognition using our stringent criteria (FDR<0.05) (**Table 2**). Having depression before the age of 16 years old was associated with 1.71 (95% CI: 1.28, 2.26) times increased odds of incident cognitive impairment non-dementia at follow-up. The presence of childhood learning problems before the age of 16 years old was associated with 2.12 (95% CI: 1.65, 2.71) times increased odds of incident cognitive impairment non-dementia during follow-up.

Additionally, with our permissive criteria (p-value<0.05), five exposures were associated with cognitive impairment non-dementia status. Having measles was associated with 0.87 (95% CI: 0.76, 0.99) times lower odds of incident cognitive impairment non-dementia at follow-up. Similarly, having mumps was associated with 0.89 (95% CI: 0.80, 0.99) times lower odds of cognitive impairment non-dementia at follow-up. Conversely, asthma before the age of 16 years old was associated with 1.14 (95% CI: 1.03, 1.62) times higher odds of cognitive

impairment non-dementia. The use of drugs, alcohol, or smoking before the age of 16 was associated with 1.14 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.28) times higher odds of cognitive impairment non-dementia in later life. Finally, living in a rural area before the age of 16 was associated with 1.11 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.23) times higher odds of incident cognitive impairment non-dementia at follow-up.

When summarizing associations within an exposure category, one category met our stringent criteria. Having exposure to any of the infectious agents was associated with 0.90 (95% CI: 0.85, 0.96) times lower odds of incident cognitive impairment non-dementia at follow-up.

Exposure associations with incident dementia

Results for the crude model can be found in **Supplemental Table 3.** After adjustment for covariates, two exposure variables were associated with dementia status relative to normal cognition following our stringent criteria (FDR<0.05, **Table 2**). Having headaches or migraines before the age of 16 years old was associated with 1.63 (95% CI:1.18, 2.12) times increased odds of incident dementia over follow-up. Self-reported fair overall health before age 16 was associated with a 1.85 (95% CI:1.27, 2.64) times increased odds of incident dementia, compared to those with self-rated excellent health at follow-up. Likewise, self-reported poor overall health before age 16 was observed to have a 3.39 (95% CI:1.91, 5.81) times increased odds of incident dementia, compared to those with self-rated excellent health at follow-up.

Subsequently, using our permissive criteria (p-value<0.05) six exposures were associated with dementia. For exposures before age 16, living with depression 1.77 (95% CI:1.03, 2.89), facing learning problems 1.75 (95% CI:1.05, 2.79), undergoing a concussion or severe head injury 1.36 (95% CI:1.03,1.77), having a respiratory condition of asthma 1.62 (95% CI:1.1,2.33), experiencing any respiratory disorder 1.36 (95% CI:1.06, 1.74), or experiencing stomach problems 1.56 (95% CI:1.04, 2.7) were all associated with increased odds of incident dementia over follow-up.

When looking at overall associations within exposure categories, two categories met stringent criteria. Neurological conditions were associated with 1.4 (95% CI:1.17, 1.68) times increased odds of incident dementia relative to normal cognition over follow-up. Also, sensory conditions were associated with 1.51 (95% CI:1.15, 1.99) times increased odds of incident dementia relative to normal cognition at follow-up.

Sensitivity analyses: Associations with any cognitive impairment

To increase statistical power, we combined outcomes of dementia with cognitive impairment non-dementia and tested associations between exposures and the new any cognitive impairment variable. Our findings for individual exposures were generally consistent with the primary analyses (**Supplemental Table 3).** Following our stringent criteria (FDR<0.05), adjusting for key covariates, four exposures (depression, learning problems, asthma, and poor self-reported health) were associated with any cognitive impairment relative to normal cognition.

Furthermore, two exposure categories (FDR<0.05) were associated with incident any cognitive impairment. Exposure to any neurologic conditions before age 16 was associated with 1.33 (95% CI: 1.10, 1.62) times increased odds of incident any cognitive impairment over follow-up. Conversely, having exposure to any infectious condition before age 16 was associated with 0.90 (95% CI: 0.85, 0.96) times lower odds of incident any cognitive impairment over follow-up.

Discussion

To broadly screen the relationships between exposures and conditions in the early life period and incident dementia or cognitive impairment non-dementia status in later life, we conducted an exposure-wide association study in a large and diverse sample of older adults. In the 2008-2018 waves of the HRS we analyzed the associations between retrospective assessments of exposures before 16 with incident cognitive status over 10 years of follow-up. We found depression and childhood learning problems had the strongest increased odds for incident cognitive impairment non-dementia. Headaches or migraines, neurologic disorders, self-rated health of fair or poor, and sensory conditions had the strongest associations with incident dementia. Our hypothesis-generating findings illustrate that exposures and environments in early life may play an important role in determining cognition status later and prioritizing conditions for examination in future studies.

Neurologic conditions

In adulthood, neurological conditions such as learning disorders, migraines, and depression are risk factors for Alzheimer's and other dementias.[22–29] A critical review of epidemiology studies on a subset of early life factors (genotype, learning disability, education, socioeconomic status, and body size) with Alzheimer's disease found that learning disorders in early life are associated with later risk of Alzheimer's and dementia.[23] Similarly, our study suggests that learning problems in early life impact the incidence of both dementia and cognitive impairment non-dementia. Specific factors such as idea density, self-rated below-average performance in school, dyslexia, speech difficulties, and trouble reading have been associated with Alzheimer's or dementia later in life.[22] Atypical development or alterations in the language centers of the brain may lead to selective vulnerability in the language cortex, resulting in neurodegeneration in this same region later in life.[22,23] Two cohort studies of 198 and 8,523 individuals further posit the anatomical location of neurological changes in childhood influences the susceptibility for cognitive impairment in the same location later in life, rather than producing an effect in another area of the brain.[22,25] Therefore, disparities in access to quality education and educational services may also contribute to disparities in dementia incidence.[1,2] Future research can build on these findings to determine how genetic and environmental factors interact to influence development, and how intermediate stages of cognitive decline such as mild cognitive impairment fit in the causal pathway.[23] When taken together, educational difficulties in childhood may be antecedent risk factors for dementia or Alzheimer's in late life.

Concerning migraines, a retrospective cohort study of 33,468 adults observed individuals with migraines had a 1.33-fold increased risk of Alzheimer's and dementia, compared to those without migraines.[28] In addition, a meta-analysis of six studies encompassing 291,549 adult individuals reports a pooled risk ratio of 1.28 (95% CI: 0.64,2.54) increase in risk

associated with a history of migraine and having dementia.[29] While the biological mechanism linking migraines or chronic headaches to dementia development is unknown, the overlap between pain and memory centers in the brain may provide a potential explanation.[29] Pain centers in the brain serve an important role in memory, and those with chronic headaches or migraines have been observed to have reduced gray-matter volume in the structures involved in memory.[29] Similarly, our study found that the presence of migraines was associated with increased odds of having dementia. However, our study is the first to investigate how migraines and headaches in early life may impact cognitive status in adulthood using ten years of later-life cognitive follow-up data.

Depression is strongly associated with the development of dementia in adults.[26] Our results show that depression before age 16 may also play a role in cognitive status. Specifically, depression was associated with increased odds of having cognitive impairment non-dementia, and any cognitive impairment via sensitivity analysis. Biological mechanisms such as reduced cerebral blood flow, chronic inflammation, and reduced serotonin availability may contribute to this association.[26,27] A case-control study from 2020 examined 62,317 adult individuals from the German Disease Analyzer database and observed that anti-depressant treatment reduced the risk of incident dementia.[26] Increasing the availability of serotonin in the brain through anti-depressant treatment may reduce the burden of amyloid plaques within the brain and allow for improved cognition.[26] More studies investigating psychological factors in adolescence may contribute to identifying dementia at-risk humans as early as possible.

Respiratory conditions

A meta-analysis of 15 studies with adult and child Individuals found cognitive impairments were associated with asthma.[30] In particular, brain regions responsible for functions like executive functioning, language, learning, and memory had the strongest associations.[12,30] During childhood, the brain is especially vulnerable to changes outside of homeostasis; In cases of asthma attacks, oxygen availability can fall below normal levels, which may lead to developmental delays, neurotransmitter imbalance, and neuronal cell death.[30–33] These multifunctional brain regions have been implicated in the present study and current literature to have associations with previously identified risk factors such as learning disorders and migraines.[22,30] However, researchers could not determine if asthma impacts the trajectory of normal cognitive aging outside of what is expected.[30] Highlighting the need for studies investigating the impact of asthma on cognitive impairment across the life course specifically, in regions of the brain controlling executive function, academic achievement, learning, and memory which have been linked to dementia risk.[1–8,8,9,11–13,16,19,23–29,34,35]

Infectious agents

We observed infectious diseases such as measles, and mumps were associated with reduced odds of cognitive impairment after follow-up. Similar to our findings, a cohort study of 2,994 individuals 65 and older from the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing found childhood infection with measles, mumps, or chickenpox, was associated with improved cognitive functioning later in life, and infection with all three was associated with increased cognitive functioning (β=0.18, 95% CI: 0.11, 0.26).[35] The observed results in both of these studies are

likely impacted by survival bias or unaccounted-for vaccination history, which may influence results. Potentially, residual antibodies produced during childhood infection may offer cognitive protection later in life.[35–37] Antibodies produced after vaccination and mild stimulation of the immune system are hypothesized to have a protective effect on cognition in later life.[35,36,38] Other studies have observed certain vaccinations were associated with reduced dementia risk and better cognitive function later in life.[37,38] Overall, leveraging current vaccination systems may help curb the burden of dementia.

Other childhood health conditions

This study and previous research in children and adults demonstrated a relationship between stomach health and dementia risk.[38–41] A study of 200 Israeli Arab children, observed an association between *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. Pylori*) infection and lower overall cognition ability score.[40] Intestinal infections like *H. Pylori* can result in intestinal malabsorption contributing to the development of iron deficiency anemia.[32,40] Symptoms of iron deficiency anemia in children include decreased availability of oxygen within the body, a decline in school performance, and reduced learning capacity.[32,40] These symptoms mirror other risk factors identified for dementia risk and future studies examining the role of stomach health as a moderating factor for dementia risk are needed.[27,30,31,33,37–40] Furthermore, in adults, simultaneous infection of *H. pylori* and gum disease pathogens were observed to act synergistically to increase the risk of incident all-cause dementia in a study of adults 65 and older from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).[39] Two mechanisms may explain this association. First, dysregulation of gut microbiota increases cytokine production and increases inflammation, which may activate microglia and deposit beta-amyloid within the brain.[38] Second, the vagus nerve, a communication bridge between the brain, heart, and digestive system, is sensitive to changes in gut homeostasis.[38] In animal studies, disruption of the vagus nerve was observed to elicit neurotransmitter imbalances in the brain similar to dementia (GABA, DOPA, glutamine).[33,38] The relationship between dementia and the digestive system is complex, and future research on the role of stomach health on the causal pathway is needed.

Limitations and future directions

Epidemiologic dementia research faces many methodological challenges.[42] Because our exposures occurred before the study baseline and the recruitment age for the HRS begins at age 50, there is possible survival bias and selection bias that was not explicitly addressed in this study. Specifically, infectious exposures are subject to these biases as they are associated with mortality. Reliability of exposure assessment is subject to recall bias and is of particular concern when assessing those experiencing cognitive impairment or dementia. To minimize recall bias, we excluded individuals with cognitive impairment non-dementia or dementia at baseline and those missing all follow-up cognition information. In addition, because minoritized groups experience dementia at younger ages, excluding Individuals with cognitive impairment at baseline reduced the diversity of the included study sample. Dementia incidence is projected to disproportionally impact Black and Hispanic populations and studies comprehensively assessing exposures in these groups are of high public health importance.[1,2]

Our primary goal was to screen a wide variety of childhood exposures therefore we used an ExWAS data analysis framework, which models exposures independently and allows us to maximize the sample size for each exposure. Future studies that extend these discovery analyses by incorporating exposure mixtures or clustering analysis would be beneficial.[43] It is important to note that many exposure mixtures analyses require complete (non-missing) observations. In our study, only a small subset (n=4,205) of our total (n=16,509) participants had responses to all 31 exposure variables, so these approaches may be challenging. Additionally, the HRS grouped these exposures into exposure summary categories based on the conceptual understanding of the study team. Future studies using data learning methods may give insight into exposure covariance structure, variance, and ensure the creation of the summary exposure categories are data driven.[44]

Consistent with the ExWAS framework we used a common model across exposures with standard covariates. Using these findings, analyses can follow-up with more extensive and customized analyses for individual exposures. For example, with some exposures, including health behavior variables such as BMI and smoking, or prevalent comorbidities such as adult-onset diabetes as confounder variables may be advantageous. For other exposures, these acquired behaviors or conditions in adulthood may either interact with exposures in early life, or be on the causal pathway to incident dementia, and may need to be modeled as effect modifiers or mediators. In addition, follow-up studies could include adjustment for polygenic scores for the specific exposure of interest, such as educational attainment or asthma, which may help control for the heritable component of the factor. Finally, replication testing is paramount to determine how childhood exposures fit in the causal pathway for disease development.

Summary and implications

In the large and diverse HRS, this study screened 31 exposures before age 16 for association with incident dementia or cognitive impairment. Adjusting for age, sex, race, follow-up time, and education, 14 of the early life factors were associated with incident cognitive status. These findings broadly suggest that supporting growth and development in early life is critical for health throughout the life course. Alzheimer's disease and other dementias pose a significant and growing public health burden globally. These findings suggest the early life period may be a relevant window of susceptibility and warrant more research. With further investigation prevention methods may be identified and implemented in future generations.

Acknowledgments/conflicts/funding sources

We appreciate the Individuals and staff of the Health and Retirement Study. The Health and Retirement Study was supported by the National Institute on Aging (NIA U01AG009740). This analysis was supported by the National Institute on Aging (R01 AG067592, R01 AG070897, R01 AG055406, P30 AG072931). The authors gratefully acknowledge the use of the services and facilities of the Population Studies Center at the University of Michigan, funded by NICHD Center Grant P2CHD041028.

Acronyms

CI: Confidence interval

ExWAS: Exposure-wide association framework

FDR: False discovery rate

HRS: Health and Retirement Study

NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

OR: Odds ratio

SD: Standard deviation

References

1. 2023 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. 2023;19(4):1598-1695. doi:10.1002/alz.13016

2. Gillis C, Montenigro P, Nejati M, Maserejian N. Estimating prevalence of early Alzheimer's disease in the United States, accounting for racial and ethnic diversity. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. 2023;19(5):1841-1848. doi:10.1002/alz.12822

3. Matthews KA, Xu W, Gaglioti AH, et al. Racial and ethnic estimates of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias in the United States (2015–2060) in adults aged ≥65 years. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. 2019;15(1):17-24. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.06.3063

4. Greenblat C. Dementia. Accessed January 19, 2024. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dementia

5. Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *The Lancet*. 2020;396(10248):413-446. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30367-6

6. Jack CR, Therneau TM, Weigand SD, et al. Prevalence of Biologically vs Clinically Defined Alzheimer Spectrum Entities Using the National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer's Association Research Framework. *JAMA Neurol*. 2019;76(10):1174. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.1971

7. Xu W, Tan L, Wang HF, et al. Meta-analysis of modifiable risk factors for Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. Published online August 20, 2015:jnnp-2015-310548. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2015-310548

8. Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, et al. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. 2011;7(3):280-292. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.003

9. Hyman BT, Phelps CH, Beach TG, et al. National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer's Association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. 2012;8(1):1-13. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2011.10.007

10. Montine TJ, Phelps CH, Beach TG, et al. National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer's Association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease: a practical approach. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2012;123(1):1-11. doi:10.1007/s00401-011-0910-3

11. Fischer M, Lövdén M, Nilsson T, Seblova D. Very Early-Life Risk Factors for Developing Dementia: Evidence From Full Population Registers. Garcia MA, ed. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*. 2023;78(12):2131-2140. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbad142

12. Wang XJ, Xu W, Li JQ, Cao XP, Tan L, Yu JT. Early-Life Risk Factors for Dementia and Cognitive Impairment in Later Life: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Zhu LQ, ed. *JAD*. 2019;67(1):221-229. doi:10.3233/JAD-180856

13. Oveisgharan S, Wilson RS, Yu L, Schneider JA, Bennett DA. Association of Early-Life Cognitive Enrichment With Alzheimer Disease Pathological Changes and Cognitive Decline. *JAMA Neurol*. 2020;77(10):1217. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1941

14. Zheng Y, Chen Z, Pearson T, Zhao J, Hu H, Prosperi M. Design and methodology challenges of environment-wide association studies: A systematic review. *Environmental Research*. 2020;183:109275. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.109275

15. Chung MK, House JS, Akhtari FS, et al. Decoding the exposome: data science methodologies and implications in exposome-wide association studies (ExWASs). *Exposome*. 2024;4(1):osae001. doi:10.1093/exposome/osae001

16. Juster FT, Suzman R. An Overview of the Health and Retirement Study. *The Journal of Human Resources*. 1995;30:S7. doi:10.2307/146277

17. Sonnega A, Faul JD, Ofstedal MB, Langa KM, Phillips JW, Weir DR. Cohort Profile: the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2014;43(2):576-585. doi:10.1093/ije/dyu067

18. Langa KM, Larson EB, Crimmins EM, et al. A Comparison of the Prevalence of Dementia in the United States in 2000 and 2012. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017;177(1):51. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.6807

19. Gutierrez S, Meza E, Glymour MM, Torres JM. My Parent, Myself, or My Child: Whose Education Matters Most for Trajectories of Cognitive Aging in Middle Age? *American Journal of Epidemiology*. Published online April 28, 2023:kwad108. doi:10.1093/aje/kwad108

20. Yoav B. Controlling the false discovery rate in behavior genetic reserach.

21. Viechtbauer W. Conducting Meta-Analyses in *R* with the **metafor** Package. *J Stat Soft*. 2010;36(3). doi:10.18637/jss.v036.i03

22. Seifan A, Assuras S, Huey ED, Mez J, Tsapanou A, Caccappolo E. Childhood Learning Disabilities and Atypical Dementia: A Retrospective Chart Review. Chao L, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(6):e0129919. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129919

23. Seifan A, Schelke M, Obeng-Aduasare Y, Isaacson R. Early Life Epidemiology of Alzheimer's Disease - A Critical Review. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2015;45(4):237-254. doi:10.1159/000439568

24. Cooper SA. High prevalence of dementia among people with learning disabilities not attributable to Down's syndrome. *Psychol Med*. 1997;27(3):609-616. doi:10.1017/S0033291796004655

25. Whalley LJ, Starr JM, Athawes R, Hunter D, Pattie A, Deary IJ. CME Childhood mental ability and dementia.

26. Bartels C, Belz M, Vogelgsang J, et al. To Be Continued? Long-Term Treatment Effects ofAntidepressant Drug Classes and Individual Antidepressants on the Risk of Developing Dementia: A German Case-Control Study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2020;81(5). doi:10.4088/JCP.19m13205

27. Hakim A. Perspectives on the complex links between depression and dementia. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2022;14:821866. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2022.821866

28. Chuang S. migraine and risk of dementia: a nationwide retrospective cohort study. Published online 2022.

29. Wang J, Xu W, Sun S, Yu S, Fan L. Headache disorder and the risk of dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *J Headache Pain*. 2018;19(1):95. doi:10.1186/s10194-018-0925-4

30. Irani F, Barbone JM, Beausoleil J, Gerald L. Is asthma associated with cognitive impairments? A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2017;39(10):965-978. doi:10.1080/13803395.2017.1288802

31. Watson RS, Beers SR, Asaro LA, et al. Association of Acute Respiratory Failure in Early Childhood With Long-term Neurocognitive Outcomes. *JAMA*. 2022;327(9):836. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.1480

32. Lacerte M, Hays Shapshak A, Mesfin FB. Hypoxic Brain Injury. 2023 Jan 27. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan–. PMID: 30725995.

33. Wang R, Reddy PH. Role of Glutamate and NMDA Receptors in Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2017;57(4):1041-1048. doi: 10.3233/JAD-160763. PMID: 27662322; PMCID: PMC5791143.

34. Patel CJ, Bhattacharya J, Butte AJ. An Environment-Wide Association Study (EWAS) on Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Zhang B, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2010;5(5):e10746. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010746

35. Rotstein A, Levine SZ. Childhood infectious diseases and old age cognitive functioning: a nationally representative sample of community-dwelling older adults. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2021;33(1):75-82. doi:10.1017/S1041610220001404

36. Kodesh A. Childhood viral infections may be beneficial to cognition in old age. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2021;33(1):19-20. doi:10.1017/S1041610220001477

37. Wu X, Yang H, He S, Xia T, Chen D, Zhou Y, Liu J, Liu M, Sun Z. Adult Vaccination as a Protective Factor for Dementia: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review of Population-Based Observational Studies. Front Immunol. 2022 May 3;13:872542. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.872542. PMID: 35592323; PMCID: PMC9110786.

38. Ecarnot F, Boccardi V, Calcagno A, et al. Dementia, infections and vaccines: 30 years of controversy. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2023;35(6):1145-1160. doi:10.1007/s40520-023-02409-8

39. Beydoun MA, Beydoun HA, Weiss J, Hossain S, El-Hajj ZW, Zonderman AB. Helicobacter pylori, periodontal pathogens, and their interactive association with incident all-cause and Alzheimer's disease dementia in a large national survey. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(10):6038-6053. doi:10.1038/s41380-020-0736-2

40. Muhsen K, Ornoy A, Akawi A, Alpert G, Cohen D. An association between Helicobacter pylori infection and cognitive function in children at early school age: a community-based study. *BMC Pediatr*. 2011;11(1):43. doi:10.1186/1471-2431-11-43

41. Fülöp T, Itzhaki RF, Balin BJ, Miklossy J, Barron AE. Role of Microbes in the Development of Alzheimer's Disease: State of the Art – An International Symposium Presented at the 2017 IAGG Congress in San Francisco. *Front Genet*. 2018;9:362. doi:10.3389/fgene.2018.00362

42. Weuve J, Proust-Lima C, Power MC, et al. Guidelines for reporting methodological challenges and evaluating potential bias in dementia research. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. 2015;11(9):1098-1109. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2015.06.1885

43. Yu L, Liu W, Wang X, et al. A review of practical statistical methods used in epidemiological studies to estimate the health effects of multi-pollutant mixture. *Environmental Pollution*. 2022;306:119356. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119356

44. Tibshirani, Robert. "Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological), vol. 58, no. 1, 1996, pp. 267–88. JSTOR, http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346178. Accessed 15 Aug. 2024.

Figures

Table 1.

Table 1. Bivariate Characteristics of Included Study Individual s in Health and Retirement Study (HRS) Sample 2008-2018.						
			Cognitive Status at Follow-up:			
Characteristic	**N**	**Overall**	**Normal**	**Cognitively Impaired Non-Dementia**	**Dementia**	**P-value[2]**
		N =16,509	N = 13,236	N = 2,395	N = 878	
Time from baseline to end of follow up (years)	16,509					<0.001
2		1,125 (6.8%)	873 (6.6%)	199 (8.3%)	53 (6.0%)	
4		1,445 (8.8%)	1,075 (8.1%)	275 (11%)	95 (11%)	
6		2,168 (13%)	1,604 (12%)	382 (16%)	182 (21%)	
8		4,978 (30%)	4,104 (31%)	638 (27%)	236 (27%)	
10		6,793 (41%)	5,580 (42%)	901 (38%)	312 (36%)	
Age at baseline	16,509	63 (11)	61 (10)	68 (11)	74 (10)	<0.001
Proxy status at baseline	16,509					0.4
No proxy		16,356 (99%)	13,117 (99%)	2,373 (99%)	866 (99%)	
Proxy		153 (0.9%)	119 (0.9%)	22 (0.9%)	12 (1.4%)	
Proxy status at follow-up	16,509					<0.001
No proxy		15,697 (95%)	13,006 (98%)	2,282 (95%)	409 (47%)	
Proxy		812 (4.9%)	230 (1.7%)	113 (4.7%)	469 (53%)	
Personal educational attainment (years)	16,509	13.29 (2.75)	13.55 (2.61)	12.23 (2.99)	12.29 (3.15)	<0.001
Sex	16,509					0.001
Male		6,737 (41%)	5,372 (41%)	1,042 (44%)	323 (37%)	
Female		9,772 (59%)	7,864 (59%)	1,353 (56%)	555 (63%)	
Race/ethnicity	16,509					<0.001
Non-Hispanic White		11,617 (70%)	9,413 (71%)	1,546 (65%)	658 (75%)	
Non-Hispanic Black		2,526 (15%)	1,923 (15%)	480 (20%)	123 (14%)	
Hispanic		1,826 (11%)	1,456 (11%)	285 (12%)	85 (9.7%)	
Non-Hispanic Other		540 (3.3%)	444 (3.4%)	84 (3.5%)	12 (1.4%)	
Parental highest degree of education	16,509					<0.001
>= High School		14,009 (85%)	11,502 (87%)	1,837 (77%)	670 (76%)	
< High School		2,500 (15%)	1,734 (13%)	558 (23%)	208 (24%)	
Exposures before age 16						
Infectious						
Allergies; hay fever; sinusitis; tonsillitis	16,328					<0.001
No		14,241 (87%)	11,334 (87%)	2,136 (90%)	771 (90%)	
Yes		2,087 (13%)	1,763 (13%)	234 (9.9%)	90 (10%)	
Missing		181	139	25	17	
Measles	12,530					<0.001
No		2,808 (22%)	2,284 (23%)	402 (20%)	122 (17%)	
Yes		9,722 (78%)	7,532 (77%)	1,590 (80%)	600 (83%)	
Missing		3,979	3,420	403	156	
Mumps	12,437					0.4
No		4,906 (39%)	3,860 (40%)	779 (40%)	267 (37%)	
Yes		7,531 (61%)	5,887 (60%)	1,191 (60%)	453 (63%)	
Missing		4,072	3,489	425	158	
Chicken pox	12,494					<0.001
No		2,735 (22%)	2,052 (21%)	504 (26%)	179 (25%)	
Yes		9,759 (78%)	7,761 (79%)	1,464 (74%)	534 (75%)	
Missing		4,015	3,423	427	165	
Neurological						
Ear problems	12,950					>0.9
No		11,751 (91%)	9,202 (91%)	1,875 (91%)	674 (91%)	
Yes		1,199 (9.3%)	944 (9.3%)	187 (9.1%)	68 (9.2%)	
Missing		3,559	3,090	333	136	
Headaches or migraines	12,956					0.055
No		12,132 (94%)	9,496 (94%)	1,951 (95%)	685 (92%)	
Yes		824 (6.4%)	654 (6.4%)	112 (5.4%)	58 (7.8%)	

Missing		3,553	3,086	332	135	
Depression	12,951					0.7
No		12,540 (97%)	9,822 (97%)	1,996 (97%)	722 (97%)	
Yes		411 (3.2%)	323 (3.2%)	68 (3.3%)	20 (2.7%)	
Missing		3,558	3,091	331	136	
Emotional and psychological conditions	16,322					>0.9
No		15,950 (98%)	12,790 (98%)	2,318 (98%)	842 (98%)	
Yes		372 (2.3%)	301 (2.3%)	52 (2.2%)	19 (2.2%)	
Missing		187	145	25	17	
Neurological and sensory conditions	13,025					0.2
No		12,635 (97%)	9,893 (97%)	2,018 (98%)	724 (97%)	
Yes		390 (3.0%)	320 (3.1%)	49 (2.4%)	21 (2.8%)	
Missing		3,484	3,023	328	133	
Childhood learning problems	16,348					<0.001
No		15,880 (97%)	12,767 (97%)	2,270 (96%)	843 (97%)	
Yes		468 (2.9%)	344 (2.6%)	102 (4.3%)	22 (2.5%)	
Missing		161	125	23	13	
Concussion or severe head injury	16,325					0.017
No		14,778 (91%)	11,807 (90%)	2,180 (92%)	791 (92%)	
Yes		1,547 (9.5%)	1,284 (9.8%)	190 (8.0%)	73 (8.4%)	
Missing		184	145	25	14	
Respiratory						
Parents/guardians smoke	12,914					<0.001
No, none of them		4,410 (34%)	3,385 (33%)	736 (36%)	289 (39%)	
Yes, one of them		5,752 (45%)	4,411 (44%)	986 (48%)	355 (48%)	
Yes, both		2,752 (21%)	2,325 (23%)	329 (16%)	98 (13%)	
Missing		3,595	3,115	344	136	
Asthma	12,954					>0.9
No		12,277 (95%)	9,618 (95%)	1,955 (95%)	704 (95%)	
Yes		677 (5.2%)	531 (5.2%)	107 (5.2%)	39 (5.2%)	
Missing		3,555	3,087	333	135	
Respiratory disorder	12,939					<0.001
No		11,167 (86%)	8,672 (86%)	1,845 (89%)	650 (87%)	
Yes		1,772 (14%)	1,462 (14%)	217 (11%)	93 (13%)	
Missing		3,570	3,102	333	135	
Substance use/Behavioral						
Drugs, alcohol, or smoking use	13,621					0.036
No		10,485 (77%)	8,215 (77%)	1,647 (77%)	623 (81%)	
Yes		3,136 (23%)	2,486 (23%)	501 (23%)	149 (19%)	
Missing		2,888	2,535	247	106	
Sensory						
Difficulty seeing	12,958					0.12
No		12,230 (94%)	9,576 (94%)	1,961 (95%)	693 (93%)	
Yes		728 (5.6%)	576 (5.7%)	101 (4.9%)	51 (6.9%)	
Missing		3,551	3,084	333	134	
Speech impairment	12,962					0.3
No		12,544 (97%)	9,813 (97%)	2,009 (97%)	722 (97%)	
Yes		418 (3.2%)	341 (3.4%)	55 (2.7%)	22 (3.0%)	
Missing		3,547	3,082	331	134	
Other Physical Health						
Heart, circulatory and blood conditions	13,009					0.11
No		12,462 (96%)	9,754 (96%)	1,993 (96%)	715 (97%)	
Yes		547 (4.2%)	449 (4.4%)	73 (3.5%)	25 (3.4%)	
Missing		3,500	3,033	329	138	
Childhood health conditions	16,327					0.003
No		13,404 (82%)	10,686 (82%)	2,002 (85%)	716 (83%)	
Yes		2,923 (18%)	2,410 (18%)	367 (15%)	146 (17%)	
Missing		182	140	26	16	
Childhood disability	16,344					0.072
No		15,728 (96%)	12,632 (96%)	2,263 (95%)	833 (97%)	
Yes		616 (3.8%)	477 (3.6%)	109 (4.6%)	30 (3.5%)	
Missing		165	127	23	15	
Stomach problems	12,952					0.5
No		12,372 (96%)	9,688 (95%)	1,979 (96%)	705 (95%)	
Yes		580 (4.5%)	459 (4.5%)	84 (4.1%)	37 (5.0%)	
Missing		3,557	3,089	332	136	

Rate health as child	12,908					<0.001
Excellent		6,720 (52%)	5,413 (54%)	1,002 (49%)	305 (41%)	
Very Good		3,602 (28%)	2,785 (28%)	584 (28%)	233 (31%)	
Good		1,813 (14%)	1,336 (13%)	337 (16%)	140 (19%)	
Fair		609 (4.7%)	459 (4.5%)	104 (5.1%)	46 (6.2%)	
Poor		164 (1.3%)	113 (1.1%)	30 (1.5%)	21 (2.8%)	
Missing		3,601	3,130	338	133	
Digestive system (stomach, liver, gallbladder, kidney, bladder)	16,322					0.2
No		15,761 (97%)	12,627 (96%)	2,303 (97%)	831 (96%)	
Yes		561 (3.4%)	464 (3.5%)	66 (2.8%)	31 (3.6%)	
Missing		187	145	26	16	
Musculoskeletal system and connective tissue	16,321					0.014
No		15,730 (96%)	12,590 (96%)	2,299 (97%)	841 (98%)	
Yes		591 (3.6%)	501 (3.8%)	70 (3.0%)	20 (2.3%)	
Missing		188	145	26	17	
Child Home Environment						
Lived in rural area as a child	16,132					<0.001
No		9,322 (58%)	7,641 (59%)	1,225 (53%)	456 (55%)	
Yes		6,810 (42%)	5,357 (41%)	1,084 (47%)	369 (45%)	
Missing		377	238	86	53	
Missed school as a child	12,930					0.15
No		11,473 (89%)	9,005 (89%)	1,825 (89%)	643 (87%)	
Yes		1,457 (11%)	1,122 (11%)	235 (11%)	100 (13%)	
Missing		3,579	3,109	335	135	
Rate family financial situation	5,046					<0.001
About Avg		3,111 (62%)	2,822 (62%)	266 (56%)	23 (42%)	
Poor		1,410 (28%)	1,220 (27%)	166 (35%)	24 (44%)	
Pretty well off financially		525 (10%)	474 (10%)	43 (9.1%)	8 (15%)	
Missing		11,463	8,720	1,920	823	
Live with grandparents during childhood	5,083					0.3
No		3,721 (73%)	3,336 (73%)	340 (71%)	45 (80%)	
Yes		1,362 (27%)	1,212 (27%)	139 (29%)	11 (20%)	
Missing		11,426	8,688	1,916	822	
Father unemployed during childhood	5,024					0.002
No		3,808 (76%)	3,438 (77%)	327 (69%)	43 (78%)	
Never lived with father/ father was not alive			291 (6.5%)	50 (11%)	2 (3.6%)	
Yes		873 (17%)	764 (17%)	99 (21%)	10 (18%)	
Missing		11,485	8,743	1,919	823	
Mother work during childhood	5,467					0.044
Some of the time		2,151 (39%)	1,917 (40%)	201 (36%)	33 (40%)	
Not at all		1,842 (34%)	1,618 (34%)	189 (34%)	35 (43%)	
All of the time		1,474 (27%)	1,290 (27%)	170 (30%)	14 (17%)	
Missing		11,042	8,411	1,835	796	
Family get financial help in childhood	4,986					0.022
No		4,008 (80%)	3,607 (81%)	355 (76%)	46 (84%)	
Yes		978 (20%)	855 (19%)	114 (24%)	9 (16%)	
Missing		11,523	8,774	1,926	823	

CIND: Cognitive Impairment Non-Dementia; HRS: Health and Retirement Study; BP: Blood Pressure; SD: Standard Deviation;

Notes: **[1]** Mean (SD) were calculated for continuous variables, Count(%) were calculated for categorical variables **[2]** Fisher's exact test was used to calculate p-values; Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data with simulated p-value (based on 2000 replicates). All P-values were interpreted as differences among groups. **[3]** Exposure sample sizes were allowed to vary by responses and missingness from the HRS survey. **[4]** Exposures were retrospectively analyzed from a self-reported questionnaire completed once within the 2008-2014 waves of the HRS. **[5]** Two additional years of HRS cognitive data on the same Individual s were included (2016 & 2018 HRS waves cognitive measures only). With the addition of the 2016 and 2018 cognition measures, our cognitive data spans from 2008 to 2018.

Table 2.

Table 2. Adjusted Logistic Regression of Incident Cognition and Exposures or Conditions before age 16 in the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) 2008-2018.

			Dementia vs Cognitively normal				Cognitively impaired non-dementia vs Cognitively normal			
Exposures before age 16	**Exposed**	**Responsed**	**OR**	**(95% CI)**	**P-value**	**FDR**	**OR**	**(95% CI)**	**P-value**	**FDR**
Infectious										
Measles	9,722	12,530	0.793	(0.629, 1.004)	0.051	0.138	0.867	(0.759, 0.992)	0.037	0.119
Mumps	7,531	12,437	0.928	(0.778, 1.108)	0.407	0.523	0.888	(0.797, 0.99)	0.031	0.119
Chicken pox	9,759	12,494	0.849	(0.693, 1.044)	0.117	0.243	0.931	(0.822, 1.056)	0.262	0.510
Allergies, hay fever, sinusitis, tonsillitis	2,087	16,328	1.07	(0.832, 1.361)	0.591	0.719	0.947	(0.811, 1.101)	0.483	0.714
Overall odds ratio			0.901	(0.811, 1.001)	0.052	0.138	0.904	(0.848, 0.963)	0.002	0.030
Neurological										
Headaches or migraines	824	12,956	1.629	(1.18, 2.215)	0.002	0.022	1.018	(0.814, 1.263)	0.875	0.929
Ear problems	1,199	12,950	0.987	(0.734, 1.309)	0.930	0.930	1.127	(0.942, 1.343)	0.186	0.441
Depression	411	12,951	1.769	(1.03, 2.89)	0.030	0.104	1.709	(1.276, 2.261)	0.000	0.000
Emotional and psychological conditions	372	16,322	1.439	(0.823, 2.38)	0.177	0.284	1.299	(0.936, 1.769)	0.107	0.301
Neurological and sensory conditions	390	13,025	1.473	(0.878, 2.355)	0.122	0.243	1	(0.717, 1.366)	0.998	0.998
Childhood learning problems	468	16,348	1.748	(1.046, 2.788)	0.025	0.101	2.123	(1.652, 2.71)	0.000	0.000
Childhood concussion/severe head injury	1,547	16,325	1.359	(1.028, 1.773)	0.027	0.101	1.104	(0.929, 1.306)	0.255	0.510
Overall odds ratio			1.404	(1.174, 1.681)	0.000	0.000	1.285	(1.041, 1.587)	0.020	0.119
Respiratory										
Parents or guardians smoke[1]		12,914								
Yes, one of them	5,752		0.956	(0.797, 1.148)	0.630	0.746	1.022	(0.911, 1.145)	0.715	0.894
Yes, both	2,752		1.024	(0.786, 1.325)	0.861	0.916	0.983	(0.843, 1.144)	0.821	0.919
Asthma	677	12,954	1.62	(1.1, 2.328)	0.012	0.090	1.294	(1.025, 1.62)	0.027	0.119
Respiratory disorder	1,772	12,939	1.363	(1.057, 1.743)	0.015	0.096	0.983	(0.835, 1.152)	0.833	0.919
Overall odds ratio			1.177	(0.936, 1.481)	0.164	0.273	1.029	(0.955, 1.11)	0.449	0.697
Behavioral/substance use										
Drugs, alcohol, or smoking use	3,136	13,621	1.102	(0.893, 1.353)	0.361	0.478	1.138	(1.008, 1.284)	0.036	0.119
Sensory										
Speech impairment	418	12,962	1.661	(0.991, 2.661)	0.043	0.129	1.109	(0.809, 1.494)	0.508	0.714
Difficulty seeing	728	12,958	1.452	(1.033, 2.005)	0.027	0.101	0.924	(0.73, 1.158)	0.499	0.714
Overall odds ratio			1.514	(1.151, 1.993)	0.003	0.027	0.987	(0.821, 1.186)	0.888	0.929
Other Physical Health										
Heart, circulatory and blood conditions	547	13,009	0.886	(0.559, 1.347)	0.590	0.719	0.866	(0.659, 1.122)	0.288	0.518
Childhood health conditions	2,923	16,327	0.89	(0.725, 1.085)	0.255	0.373	0.868	(0.763, 0.986)	0.030	0.119
Childhood disability	616	16,344	0.949	(0.617, 1.411)	0.805	0.916	1.314	(1.043, 1.643)	0.018	0.119
Stomach problems	580	12,952	1.557	(1.04, 2.271)	0.026	0.101	1.115	(0.86, 1.431)	0.400	0.653
Rate health as child [2]		12,908								
Very good	3,602		1.181	(0.972, 1.434)	0.093	0.220	0.988	(0.876, 1.113)	0.837	0.919
Good	1,813		1.329	(1.048, 1.678)	0.018	0.101	1.119	(0.964, 1.298)	0.137	0.363
Fair	609		1.845	(1.267, 2.64)	0.001	0.015	1.115	(0.872, 1.415)	0.377	0.653
Poor	164		3.392	(1.906, 5.815)	0.000	0.000	1.379	(0.874, 2.114)	0.153	0.382
Digestive system (stomach, liver, gallbladder, kidney, bladder)	561	16,322	0.973	(0.637, 1.438)	0.896	0.916	0.857	(0.645, 1.121)	0.272	0.510
Musculoskeletal system and connective tissue	591	16,321	0.706	(0.424, 1.115)	0.157	0.273	0.854	(0.647, 1.112)	0.254	0.510

Overall odds ratio			1.21	(0.945, 1.55)	0.131	0.246	1.015	(0.92, 1.119)	0.771	0.919
Child Home Environment										
Lived rural area during school	6,810	16,132	1.088	(0.929, 1.273)	0.297	0.418	1.112	(1.009, 1.225)	0.032	0.119
Childhood Missed school	1,457	12,930	1.224	(0.956, 1.555)	0.102	0.229	1.043	(0.888, 1.222)	0.604	0.799
Live with grandparents during childhood	1,362	5,083	0.588	(0.285, 1.116)	0.124	0.243	0.969	(0.778, 1.202)	0.777	0.919
Father unemployed during childhood [3]		5,024								
Never lived with father/ father not alive	343		0.409	(0.066, 1.371)	0.224	0.348	1.219	(0.86, 1.698)	0.253	0.510
Very good	873		0.934	(0.434, 1.825)	0.851	0.916	1.264	(0.982, 1.614)	0.064	0.192
Mother work during childhood [4]	3,316	5,467								
Not at all	1,842		0.677	(0.392, 1.162)	0.158	0.273	0.908	(0.722, 1.14)	0.406	0.653
All of the time	1,474		0.531	(0.27, 0.992)	0.055	0.138	1.057	(0.842, 1.324)	0.632	0.813
Family get financial help in childhood	978	4,986	0.947	(0.426, 1.886)	0.884	0.916	1.323	(1.044, 1.667)	0.019	0.119
Rate family financial situation[5]	1,935	5,046								
Poor	1,410		1.437	(0.764, 2.693)	0.257	0.373	0.991	(0.791, 1.237)	0.933	0.954
Pretty well off financially	525		2.462	(1.013, 5.408)	0.033	0.106	1.112	(0.776, 1.56)	0.550	0.750
Overall odds ratio			0.971	(0.76, 1.241)	0.816	0.916	1.086	(1.023, 1.153)	0.007	0.079

HRS: Health and Retirement Study; CIND: Cognitive impairment Non-Dementia; OR: Odds Ratio; BP: Blood Pressure; SES: Socioeconomic status; [1]Reference group in parents or guardians smoke is "No, None of them"; [2]Reference group in Rate health as child is "Excellent"; [3]Reference group in Father unemployed during childhood is "No"; [4]Reference group in Mother work during childhood is "Some of the time"; [5]Reference group in Rate family financial situation is "About average". Unless otherwise stated reference group used for binary (yes/no) variables is "no".

[1] Cognitive status was defined as the cognition status of the Individual at their most recent follow-up cognitive assessment visit (CIND, Dementia, or normal) [2] Exposures were retrospectively analyzed from a self-reported questionnaire completed once in the 2008-2014 waves of the HRS. [3] Two additional years of HRS cognitive data on the same Individual s were included (2016 & 2018 HRS waves cognitive measures only). With the addition of the 2016 and 2018 cognition measures, our cognitive data spans from 2008 to 2018. [4] "Responsed" is the total number of people with a valid measurement record for that exposure. "Exposed" is the count of people who responded yes to that exposure. [5] Sex, Race Ethnicity, Age at baseline, Highest parental education, Highest personal education, and time from exposure to cognition measure, were adjusted for in each model [6] The P-value was calculated using logistic regression and interpreted as differences between groups [7] Random-effect meta-analyses was used to estimate the pooled odds ratios from all exposures under the same category.

Figure 1



Figure 1. Flow chart illustrating study Individual selection from the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) waves 2008 to 2018. Exposure data (waves 2008-2014) and cognition data (waves 2008-2018) were obtained from the HRS for all Individual s. Those with incomplete data for sex, race/ethnicity, personal education, and parental education were excluded (n= 8,985). Individuals with data for at least one exposure variable and complete baseline cognition were eligible for the study. We further excluded individuals who presented with cognitively impaired non-dementia or dementia symptomatology at baseline (n= 4,320). Finally, we excluded individuals without at least one follow-up cognition visit (n=1,189).

Figure 2



Figure 2. Forest plot displaying odds ratios for each early life exposure or condition (n=31) analyzed from HRS waves 2008-2014, comparing those with cognitive impairment non-dementia relative to normal cognition using cognition data from the 2008-2018 waves of the HRS on the same Individual s.

Figure 3



Figure 3. Forest plot displaying odds ratios for each early life exposure or condition (n=31) analyzed from HRS waves 2008-2014, comparing those with dementia relative to normal cognition using cognition data from the 2008-2018 waves of the HRS on the same Individual s. Exposure categories are