TITLE

Prevalence of Low Visual Acuity in children from public schools in Northeast of Brazil

AUTHORS AND AFFILIATION

Lucas Neves de Oliveira[1], Matheus Gomes Reis Costa[1], Isadora Oliveira Santiago Pereira[1], Isabela Carolina Tokumoto[1], Joao Lucas de Magalhaes Leal[1], Matheus Carneiro Leal Freitas[1], Clarissa Silva Sampaio[1], Jose de Bessa Junior[1], Hermelino Lopes de Oliveira Neto[2]

[1]Division of Public Health, Department of Health, State University of Feira de Santana, Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil

[2]Division of Ophthalmology, Department of Health, State University of Feira de Santana, Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To describe the prevalence of Low Visual Acuity (LVA) in public school students in Feira de Santana (FSA), Bahia (BA). METHODS: This was an observational, cross-sectional, exploratory study. The sample consisted of schoolchildren from the 2nd to the 4th grade of five public schools in FSA/BA. Data collection was carried out in the schools themselves, with a sociodemographic and clinical questionnaire applied and Visual Acuity (VA) measured using the Snellen "E" optotype chart. LVA was defined as uncorrected VA < 20/25 in at least one eye. RESULTS: The sample consisted of 358 children, with a median age of 9 [8-10] years, of which 189 (52.9%) were female. 248 (69.3%) individuals had never been to an ophthalmologist. LVA was found in 105 (29.3%) schoolchildren, and of these, 7.6% (8/105) current used glasses. Factors associated with LVA were female gender and white ethnicity. LVA was evidenced in 60 (31.7%) schoolchildren with excessive screen use and in 35 (25.5%) without excessive use (OR 1.35; 95% CI 0.83 - 2.19, p = 0.222), and excessive screen use was associated with visual signs/symptoms such as tearing and eye itching. CONCLUSION: LVA was observed in approximately 30% of children in public schools in the interior of Bahia, and less than 10% of these current used glasses. Our study reinforces the importance of visual screening of schoolchildren through active search in our region and the creation of strategies to facilitate access to ophthalmological consultations and glasses.

INTRODUCTION

Vision, among the five senses, is the most dominant and the primary means of integrating the individual with the external environment, with a large part of knowledge being acquired visually[1]. Visual problems impair learning and social interactions, compromising intellectual, academic, professional development, as well as communication and socialization skills[2].

School-aged children are particularly affected by vision impairment. Initially, visual problems may not be perceived by the family, mainly due to the absence of signs or complaints. Over time, significant visual effort becomes evident in the teaching-learning process[3]. If persistent, these problems affect the child's academic performance and socialization[4].

According to the World Health Organization, there are approximately 1.4 million children with visual impairment worldwide, with 90% living in developing countries. Each year, 500,000 children become blind, and about 80% of childhood blindness causes are preventable or treatable[1]. It is estimated that the prevalence of childhood blindness in Brazil is 4/10,000 children[5]. Concerning reversible blindness, the leading cause of childhood blindness, uncorrected refractive errors are the primary causes of low vision in school-aged children[1].

In Brazil, there is limited data on the prevalence of visual impairment in schoolchildren, and we are unaware of data in Bahia. Additionally, many studies are outdated, and most were conducted in the South and Southeast regions. A study conducted in Sorocaba, São Paulo, showed a prevalence of Low Visual Acuity (LVA) of 13.1% in public school children[6]. In Londrina, Paraná, the prevalence of LVA was demonstrated in 17.1% of public school students[7]. In Patos de Minas, Minas Gerais, the prevalence of visual impairment in schoolchildren was 20.9%[8].

Early diagnosis of visual disorders has been suggested as a strategy to prevent future problems, including amblyopia, and alterations in neuropsychomotor and social development[9]. From a public health perspective, routine visual acuity assessment is essential for promoting eye health, contributing to reducing high levels of school dropout and poor academic performance [6,10].

Given the importance of early diagnosis, the scarcity of data in national literature, especially in the Northeast, and the absence of studies in Bahia, the aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of low visual acuity in public school children in Feira de Santana, Bahia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Design

This is an observational, cross-sectional, exploratory study. The sample consisted of elementary school students from the 2nd to the 4th grade, regularly enrolled in five municipal public schools located in Feira de Santana, Bahia. The schools are located in the city's outskirts and were randomly selected by the Municipal Health Department. All students in the included grades were invited to participate. The evaluation period was from August 2022 to May 2023.

Data Collection

Data were collected at the schools by medical students from the Visual Disorders Combat League (LCDV) of the State University of Feira de Santana (UEFS), adequately trained by ophthalmologists at an eye hospital. Initially, a sociodemographic and clinical questionnaire was administered to parents/guardians, including reports of recurring ophthalmic signs/symptoms and excessive screen use.

Visual Acuity (VA) was assessed using the Snellen "E" optotype chart, positioned 6 meters away and 1.5 meters high. The test was conducted without correction and, for children currently using glasses, it was repeated with optical correction [7,11-13].

Definitions and Classifications

VA was classified as normal vision (VA ≥ 20/25 or 0.8), mild visual impairment (VA < 20/25 or 0.8 and ≥ 20/63 or 0.3), moderate visual impairment (VA < 20/63 or 0.3 and ≥ 20/160 or 0.125), and severe/profound visual impairment (VA < 20/160 or 0.125 and ≥ 20/1000 or 0.02)[13,14]. LVA was defined as uncorrected VA < 20/25 in at least one eye [6-8,15].

Excessive screen use was defined by a single question answered by parents. Screens included: cell phones, tablets, computers, and televisions.

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative variables were described using measures of central tendency and dispersion. Qualitative variables were described in absolute values, percentages, and proportions. Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test, and Odds Ratio was used as a measure of association for categorical variables. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and a 95% confidence interval was presented as a measure of precision. Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS version 23.0, and graphs were created using GraphPad Prism version 10.2.2.

Ethical Aspects

All children signed the Free and Informed Assent Term, and their guardians signed the Free and Informed Consent Term. All students identified with LVA were appropriately referred for a complete ophthalmologic consultation.

The activities developed are part of the project "A new look: a project to combat visual disorders in basic education," approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the State University of Feira de Santana (UEFS), under CAAE: 56993722.5.0000.005. The project was executed by the Visual Disorders Combat League (LCDV) from UEFS in partnership with a specialized eye hospital located in Feira de Santana, Bahia.

RESULTS

Study Population

The sample consisted of 358 children, with 136 (38%) in the 2nd grade, 119 (33.2%) in the 3rd grade, and 103 (28.8%) in the 4th grade. The median age was 9 [8-10] years, and 189 (52.8%) were female. 311 (86.9%) self-identified as black/brown, and 241 (67.3%) had a family income ≤ 1 minimum wage. 248 (69.3%) students had never visited an ophthalmologist.

Thirty (8.4%) children reported previous or current use of glasses. Of these, 10 (33%) were current users, and the other 20 (67%) had stopped using them. The sample characteristics are detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Participants (N=358)	
Age (years) - median [interquartile range]	9 [8-10]
Gender - n (%)	
Male	169 (47,2)
Female	189 (52,8)
Grade - n (%)	
2nd	136 (38)
3rd	119 (33,2)
4th	103 (28.8)
Race (self-reported) - n (%)	
Black	106 (29,6)
Brown	205 (57,3)
White	39 (10,9)
Yellow	4 (1,1)
Indigenous	4 (1,1)
Family income - n (%)	
≥ 3 minimum wage	13 (3)
Between 1 and 3 minimum wage	104 (29,1)
≤ 1 minimum wage	241 (67,3)
Residence - n (%)	
Urban area	331 (92,5)
Rural area	27 (7,5)
Prematurity - n (%)	
No	323 (90,2)
32 to 36 weeks	30 (8,4)
28 to 31 weeks	4 (1,1)
≤ 28 weeks	1 (0,3)
Sistemic disease - n (%)	
Total	52 (14,5)
Respiratory diseases	28 (7,8)
Rhinitis/sinusitis	14 (3,9)
Asthma	13 (3,6)
Previous consultation with an ophthalmologist - n (%)	
No	248 (69,3)
Yes	110 (30,7)
Use of glasses - n (%)	
Previous or current	
No	328 (91,6)
Yes	30 (8,4)
Current	
No	348 (97,2)
Yes	10 (2,8)

Visual Acuity

LVA (uncorrected visual acuity < 20/25 in at least one eye) was found in 105 (29.3%) students. Of these, 7.6% (8/105) were current glasses users, and after correcting Visual Acuity (VA) with glasses, 6 still had visual impairment in at least one eye. The distribution of VA is detailed in Table 2.

Table 2. Visual Acuity (VA) distribution (N=358)

VA Classification	Uncorrected VA n (%)	Current glasses users n (%)
Normal vision (≥ 20/25) both eyes	253 (70,7)	2 (0,8)
Normal vision (≥ 20/25) one eye only	29 (8,1)	1 (3,4)
Mild visual impairment (< 20/25 e ≥ 20/63) better eye	56 (15,6)	3 (5,3)
Moderate visual impairment (< 20/63 e ≥ 20/160) better eye	14 (3,9)	2 (14,3)
Severe/profound visual impairment (< 20/160 e ≥ 20/1000) better eye	6 (1,7)	2 (33,3)
Total	358 (100)	10 (2,8)

In the univariate analysis, the variables associated to LVA were female gender (OR 2.12; 95% CI 1.32 - 3.41, p = 0.002) and white race (OR 2.57; 95% CI 1.31 - 5.05, p = 0.006). Age, family income, and prematurity were not associated (Table 3).

Table 3. Univariate analysis - variables associated to Low Visual Acuity (N = 358)

Variables	OR	CI 95%	P value
Female	**2,12**	**1,32 - 3,41**	**0,002**
Age > 11 years	2,47	0,85 - 7,24	0,098
White race	**2,57**	**1,31 - 5,05**	**0,006**
Family income > 1 minimum wage	1,25	0,77 - 2,02	0,362
Prematurity	1,12	0,53 - 2,37	0,774

Vision and Excessive Screen Use

In the analysis of these aspects, our sample was reduced to 330 students due to incomplete data in this aspect for 28 subjects.

Excessive screen use was found in 189 (57.3%) children. LVA was observed in 60 (31.7%) students with excessive screen use and in 36 (25.5%) without excessive screen use (OR 1.35; 95% CI 0.83 - 2.19, p = 0.222).

The frequency of visual signs/symptoms in individuals with and without excessive screen use is shown in Graph 1. In the univariate analysis, there was an association between screen use and tearing (OR 1.62; 95% CI 1.02 - 2.56, p = 0.040), ocular pruritus (OR 1.73; 95% CI 1.11 - 2.71, p = 0.015), headache (OR 2.62; 95% CI 1.65 - 4.14, p < 0.001), photosensitivity (OR 2.92; 95% CI 1.83 - 4.66, p < 0.001), and blurred vision (OR 1.92; 95% CI 1.14 - 3.22, p = 0.014). We could not demonstrate an association with ocular hyperemia (OR 1.52; 95% CI 0.96 - 2.40, p = 0.076) and ocular pain (OR 1.37; 95% CI 0.85 - 2.22, p = 0.199), despite the higher prevalence in the group with excessive screen use.

Graph 1: Visual sign/symptoms in schoolers with or without excessive screen use (N=330)

*: p<0,05, X2.



DISCUSSION

The prevalence of LVA in public school children in Feira de Santana, Bahia, was 29.3%. In national literature, the prevalence of LVA in schoolchildren ranged from 9% to 20.87%[6-8,11,13,15]. Our study found a higher prevalence of LVA than previous literature data. These differences may be explained by regional, methodological differences and sample characteristics.

The prevalence of current glasses use in this study was 2.8%. In previous studies in Brazil, the prevalence ranged from 2.4% to 4.5% [6,7,11,13,15]. Regarding current glasses use in children with LVA, our study revealed a prevalence of 7.6%, while previous national studies showed a prevalence ranging from 10.52% to 40%[6,8,11,13].

In addition to the higher prevalence of LVA in the studied population, a lower prevalence of current glasses use was demonstrated in children with low vision. It is noteworthy that approximately 70% of the students had never had an ophthalmological consultation. The Brazilian Society of Pediatric Ophthalmology recommends a complete routine ophthalmological consultation from six to twelve months of age and another consultation from three to five years of age, with the frequency of subsequent consultations being determined by the ophthalmologist, usually on an annual basis[16]. The findings reinforce the importance of conducting visual screening actions through active search in our region, as well as creating strategies to facilitate access to ophthalmological consultations and glasses.

The early detection and treatment of visual impairment in infants aim to ensure normal physical and cognitive development. Motor development and communication ability are impaired in infants with visual impairment because gestures and social behaviors are learned through visual feedback [1,5]. There is an additional risk of developing amblyopia, characterized by low vision due to abnormal

development of the visual cortex during childhood, which can affect one or both eyes[9,17]. Amblyopia should ideally be treated until ages 7-8. Some studies indicate benefit in treatment at older ages, but it is consensus that early correction provides the best prognosis[18].

From a public health perspective, population investigation by ophthalmologists is unfeasible and costly, making routine visual screening by adequately trained non-medical personnel essential[5,19].

A low-cost strategy capable of enabling large-scale visual screening is training teachers to apply the VA test using the Snellen chart. Other authors have recommended and validated this strategy[3,6,19,20]. Based on these premises, the Visual Disorders Combat League was created in 2021 by medical students from the State University of Feira de Santana. Grounded on the university triad and focusing on extension, one of league's objectives is to promote eye health for children lacking ophthalmological care in Feira de Santana/Bahia and the surrounding region.

Factors associated with LVA in this study were female gender and white race. This association with female gender has been evidenced in other research[6,21]. No national studies associating ethnicity and LVA were found, but research in the United States showed that children who self-identified as black had worse VA[22,23]. Foreign literature also reports an association between family income and LVA[23,24], a relationship not demonstrated in this study. Further national studies are needed to better elucidate these factors.

LVA was found more frequently in subjects with excessive screen use (31.7% vs 26.5%) compared to those without excessive use, although we did not find a statistically significant difference. However, we demonstrated an association between excessive screen use and some ophthalmological symptoms. There are still few studies on this topic in the literature, as the issue of screens is relatively recent, and the true impact on eye health is still unknown[25].

A recent meta-analysis revealed that excessive smartphone use can increase the chance of ocular symptoms such as blurred vision, as well as myopia, asthenopia, and ocular surface diseases[26]. Besides the neuropsychomotor and social benefit, restricting prolonged screen use seems to positively impact eye health, making parental involvement indispensable in monitoring and regulating excess[26-28].

This study has some limitations. In 2021, it was estimated that Feira de Santana had 16,364 children enrolled in the 2nd to 4th grade in municipal schools[29]. Due to logistical difficulties in screening and team size limitations, we had a relatively small (N=358) and non-probabilistic sample size. Additionally, the study's unicentric nature and the subjective method of defining screen time limit our external validity. Despite these limitations, this study is pioneering in our region and presents relevant data for public health.

CONCLUSION

Low visual acuity was observed in approximately 30% of public school children in the interior of Bahia, and less than 10% of these were current glasses users. About 70% of the children had never seen an ophthalmologist. Excessive screen use seems to be a significant issue and may harm eye health. Our study reinforces the importance of active visual screening of schoolchildren in our region, as well as creating strategies to facilitate access to ophthalmological consultations and glasses.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. World report on vision. Geneva: WHO; 2019.
2. Carvalho PHS, Bagarollo MF, Montilha RCI. Oral language assessment of children with visual impairments: an integrative literature review. Audiol Commun Res. 2023;28
3. Evans JR, Morjaria P, Powell C. Vision screening for correctable visual acuity deficits in school-age children and adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;15.
4. Mosca R, Kritzinger A, Van Der Linde J. Language and communication development in preschool children with visual impairment: A systematic review. S Afr J Commun Disord. 2015;62(1):119.
5. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Diretrizes de Atenção Básica à Saúde Ocular na Infância: Detecção e Intervenção Precoce para a Prevenção de Deficiências Visuais. Secretaria de Atenção a Saúde, Brasília, DF, 2013.
6. Gianini RJ et al. Prevalence of low visual acuity in public school's students from Brazil. Rev Saúde Pública. 2004;38(2):201-208.
7. Lopes GJA, Casella AMB, Chuí CA. Reduced visual acuity prevalence in first grade school children of elementary state public and private schools of Londrina - PR, Brazil, in 2000. Arq Bras Oftalmol. 2000;65:659-664.
8. Figueiredo SO et al. Early detection and resolution of visual impairment in students from the city of Patos de Minas. Rev Med Minas Gerais. 2015;25.
9. Rajavi Z et al. Prevalence of amblyopia and refractive errors among primary school children. J Ophthalmic Vis Res. 2015;10(4):408–416.
10. Melo GB, Dias Júnior C de S, Carvalho MR. Ophthalmologic screening in 510 students of public schools: Development of a comprehensive social project. Rev Bras Oftalmol. 2018;77(6):345–348.
11. Ibrahim FM et al. Visual Impairment and Myopia in Brazilian Children: A Population-Based Study. Optom Vis Sci. 2013;90(3).
12. Oliveira CAS et al. Refractive errors as causes of visual impairment in children from public schools of the Botucatu region - SP. Arq Bras Oftalmol. 2009;12(2):194-198.
13. Salomão SR et al. Prevalence and causes of visual impairment in low-middle income school children in São Paulo, Brazil. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008;49(10):4308–4313.
14. Haddad MAO et al. Visual impairment: measures, terminologies, and definitions. E-oftalmo. 2015;1(2).
15. Granzoto JA et al. Assessment of visual acuity in pupils of elementary schools. Arq Bras Oftalmol. 2003;66:167-171.
16. Rossetto JD et al. Brazilian guidelines on the frequency of ophthalmic assessment and recommmended examinations in healthy children younger than 5 years. Arq Bras Oftalmol. 2021;84(6):561-568.
17. Pascual M et al. Risk factors for amblyopia in the vision in preschoolers study. Ophthalmology. 2014;121(3):622-629.e1.
18. Hu B et al. The global prevalence of amblyopia in children: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Pediatr. 2022;10:819998.
19. Kara José N, Temporini ER. Avaliação dos critérios de triagem visual de escolares de primeira série do primeiro grau. Rev Saúde Pública. 1980;14:205-214.
20. Temporini ER et al. Validade da aferição da acuidade visual realizada pelo professor em escolares de 1 a 4 série de primeiro grau de uma escola pública do município de São Paulo, Brasil. Rev Saúde Pública. 1977;11:229-237.
21. Thylefors B et al. Proposta de um plano nacional de saúde ocular, com ênfase na atenção primária. Arq Bras Oftalmol. 1984;47:2-6.

22. Adomfeh J, Jastrzembski BG, Oke I. Association of Race, Ethnicity, and Socioeconomic Status with Visual Impairment in Adolescent Children in the US. JAMA Ophthalmology. 2022;140(10):1006–1010.
23. Stein JD et al. Sight-threatening ocular diseases remain underdiagnosed among children of less affluent families. Health Aff. 2016;35(8):1359–1366.
24. Zhang X et al. Vision health disparities in the United States by race/ethnicity, education, and economic status: Findings from two nationally representative surveys. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;154(6).
25. Mortazavi SMJ, Mortazavi SAR, Paknahad M. Association between Exposure to Smartphones and Ocular Health in Adolescents. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2016;23(6).
26. Wang J et al. Smartphone overuse and visual impairment in children and young adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(12)
27. Ertemel AV, Ari E. A marketing approach to a psychological problem: Problematic smartphone use on adolescents. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(7).
28. Toh SH et al. Mobile touch screen device use and associations with musculoskeletal symptoms and visual health in a nationally representative sample of Singaporean adolescents. Ergonomics. 2019;62(6):778–793.
29. IBGE. Censo Escolar 2021, Feira de Santana/BA. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. 2021. Available from: https://cidades.ibge.gov.br/brasil/ba/feiradesantana/pesquisa/13/0. Accessed 7 May 2024.