REalist Synthesis Of non-pharmacologicaL interVEntions for antipsychotic-induced weight
gain (RESOLVE) in people living with severe mental illness

Authors: Maura MacPhee (University of British Columbia), Jo Howe (Aston University)*, Hafsah Habib
(Aston University), Emilia Piwowarczyk (University of British Columbia), Geoff Wong (University of
Oxford), Amy Ahern (University of Cambridge), Gurkiran Birdi (Aston University), Suzanne Higgs
(University of Birmingham), Sheri Oduola (University of East Anglia), Alex Kenny (McPin Foundation),
Annabel Walsh (McPin Foundation), Rachel Upthegrove (University of Birmingham, Early Intervention
Service, Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust), Katherine Allen (Birmingham and
Solihull NHS Mental Health Foundation Trust), Max Carlish (Birmingham and Solihull NHS Mental Health
Foundation Trust), Justine Lovell (Birmingham and Solihull NHS Mental Health Foundation Trust), Ian
Maidment (Aston University)

* Corresponding author, name and e-mail address: Jo Howe, Aston University, Aston Triangle,
Birmingham, B4 7ET, j.howe1@aston.ac.uk

Key words: Realist Research, Obesity, Severe Mental Illness, Antipsychotics, antipsychotics, weight gain,
obesity, realist review, lived experience, cardiometabolic syndrome.

Running title: Realist Synthesis non-pharmacological interventions antipsychotic weight gain in SMI

Acknowledgements: This publication presents independent research commissioned by the National
Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR: HSDR grant number - 131871). The views and opinions
expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of
the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. RU is supported by the NIHR Oxford Health
Biomedical Research Centre. AA is funded by the Medical Research Council (grant MC_UU_00006/6) and
the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre. We thank Claire Duddy for conducting the searches
for this review.

Potential conflicts of interest: RU reports paid speaker fee at non promotional educational event:
Otsuka and Consultancy: Vitaris and Springer Healthcare unrelated to the current work. AA is a member
of the Scientific Advisory Board for Weight Watchers and was principal investigator on two investigator-
led NIHR-funded trials where the intervention was provided by Weight Watchers at no cost.

Abstract

Introduction: Antipsychotic medications are used to treat individuals with severe mental illness (SMI),

but are associated with rapid weight gain and several physical and mental risk factors. Early, proactive

weight management is necessary to pre-empt these risk factors. The aim was to understand and explain

how, why, for whom, and in what contexts non-pharmacological interventions can help to manage

antipsychotic-induced weight gain.

Methods: Realist review to identify contextual factors and underlying mechanisms associated with

effective, non-pharmacological weight management interventions for adults > 18 years old. Practitioners

and lived experience stakeholders were integral.

Results: 74 documents used to construct programme theory and 12 testable context-mechanism-

outcome configurations. People with SMI benefit from support when navigating interventions aimed at

managing the weight gain. From a practitioner perspective, a good therapeutic relationship is important

in helping people with SMI navigate early diagnosis and treatment options and facilitating exploring any

pre-existing issues. Interventions that are flexible and tailored to the needs of individuals, ideally

starting early in a person's recovery journey are likely to yield better results. Additional sources of

support include family, friends and peers with lived experience who can help individuals transition to

autonomous goal-setting. The review findings also emphasise the significant effect of stigma/dual

stigma on individuals with SMI and weight gain.

Conclusions: Successful interventions are collaborative, flexible and underpinned by early and

comprehensive assessment with use of appropriate behaviour change approaches. The therapeutic

relationship is key with a de-stigmatising approach required. A realist evaluation with primary data is

currently underway.

Practitioner Points

• Individuals with severe mental illness on antipsychotic medications are at high risk for rapid weight

gain and associated adverse mental and physical outcomes.

• Early comprehensive assessment by knowledgeable, respectful practitioners promotes therapeutic

relationship development and identification of individuals' specific risk factors and support, such as

pre-existing disordered eating behaviours and the presence of family/carer and peer support.

• Case management or care coordination needs to be strengthened to ensure individuals' access to

consistent primary and secondary services, as well as community-based services.

Introduction: Antipsychotic medications are typically used in the treatment of severe (also called

serious) mental illness (SMI) (e.g. psychotic disorders, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder

with psychotic experience). Newer, second-generation antipsychotic medications, such as olanzapine,

have fewer extrapyramidal side effects, but they are associated with rapid and potentially significant

weight gain.[1]

People with SMI die prematurely; the life expectancy, of people with psychosis is reduced by

20 years.[2] A significant factor in the reduced life expectancy is the complications of comorbid diabetes

and heart disease.[2] Anti-psychotics are associated with weight gain and cardiometabolic risk factors that

contribute to the risk of long term physical health conditions including obesity, and cardiovascular and

cardiometabolic diseases.[2]

Antipsychotic medication initiation for first-episode psychosis can lead to a 7% increase in body

weight within the first year of treatment.[3] Rapid weight gain in the first 6 weeks is a predictor for long-

term weight management challenges due to antipsychotic medications.[4] Antipsychotic medications

promote weight gain primarily by adversely affecting appetite regulation, but also by decreasing physical

activity levels (e.g. fatigue) and altering the gut microbiome.[4]

Weight gain can also create a dual stigma for individuals with SMI; the stigma of weight gain and

obesity in addition to the stigma of the mental illness.[5] This may exacerbate some of the underlying

psychotic symptoms, such as paranoia, and increasing the risk of suicidal behaviour and other

psychiatric disorders, such as anxiety and depression.[6]

Weight management programmes for the general population focus on reducing energy intake

via nutrition education, increasing activity levels and teaching behaviour change strategies. These non-

pharmacological interventions have demonstrated short-term and long-term effectiveness.[7-9] Several

countries' clinical practice guidelines for adults with obesity recommend individualised plans for holistic

care that address root causes of weight gain and provide support for sustainable behaviour changes.[10-12]

These clinical practice guidelines emphasise preventive strategies and in psychiatric populations, early

use of non-pharmacological approaches to manage obesity is recommended.[13]

In the population of individuals with SMI and weight gain from antipsychotic medications,

engagement with mainstream weight management programmes is limited due to factors such as low

energy, dual stigma and lack of social support.[14,15] Non-pharmacological interventions to treat

antipsychotic medication weight gain for individuals with SMI have been conducted, but they have had

mixed results with respect to clinical and cost effectiveness.[16] Many of these intervention studies were

randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of limited duration with specially assigned resources and different

outcomes indicators.[17,18] Given equivocal results from RCT findings, this realist review was conducted to

provide a more in-depth look at the contextual factors and underlying mechanisms associated with

effective non-pharmacological interventions for weight gain in individuals with SMI on antipsychotic

medications.

A realist approach was used to answer the following questions: what types of non-

pharmacological interventions are most effective for addressing weight gain from antipsychotic

medications in individuals with SMI? What intervention characteristics are associated with intended

outcomes? What underlying mechanisms are necessary to create the causal links between specific

intervention characteristics and intended outcomes?

Realist approaches are used to construct a testable (i.e. it may be confirmed, refuted or refined)

programme theory of what works under what circumstances for whom, as well as why specific

interventions or services are effective or not. [19] The overall aim of RESOLVE was to understand and

explain how, why, for whom, and in what contexts non-pharmacological interventions can help to

manage antipsychotic-induced weight gain.

Materials and Methods

The methodology of realist research was developed to address the question 'what works, for

whom, in what circumstances, and how?' in different interventions.[19] RESOLVE is a realist study with

two phases: a review based on secondary data (the focus of this paper), and an evaluation phase based

on primary data (in progress).[20] Our research team, with input from our stakeholders, decided to focus

on individuals with SMI who live in community settings (e.g., independent living, with families/carers, in

supportive housing) where the vast majority of this population live. For community-dwelling individuals

with SMI, healthcare services are typically obtained through primary care services (e.g., general

practitioners [GPs]) who do referrals to secondary services. Other access may be via community mental

health teams. This review encompasses an over-arching programme theory of effective non-

pharmacological interventions for individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications;

and context-mechanism-outcome configurations (CMOCs) that provide more granular causal

explanations of "how" to plan care and how to implement interventions in community settings for this

population.

The methods for the whole project are more fully described in the published protocol[20], but, for

the realist review, the authors used a five-step process:

1. Developing the initial programme theory

We used several processes to iteratively develop our initial programme theory:

•   Initial scoping searches identified existing eighteen published systematic reviews of non-

pharmacological interventions,[14,21-37] which were used to determine commonly identified factors

that were identified as affecting intervention outcomes

•   We formed stakeholder advisory groups: a practitioner group and a lived experience group

comprised of individuals with SMI and weight gain from antipsychotic medications and their

informal family carers. The McPin Foundation, UK, was a partner on our research grant,

organizing the lived experience group members for this project. The practitioner group was

organized by the lead researcher (JH) with assistance from research team members. Both

stakeholder groups provided insights into important concepts to explore in the literature and

met up regularly throughout the course of the project.

• We drew on the collective experience within the project team.

Using the processes outlined above, we iteratively constructed an initial programme theory of what a

successful recovery journey might look like for an individual with SMI and weight gain from

antipsychotic medications.

2. Searching for evidence

To avoid duplicating the extensive work of previous review teams, we gathered documents by

consulting the lists of included studies for the three most recently published reviews that focused on

weight management within SMI[14,30,36] (n=80 studies from the papers). We subsequently used CLUSTER

searching techniques[38] to identify companion or 'sibling' papers, related to the studies identified for

inclusion. We followed up reference lists of included studies and searched for other documents

associated with these studies using the study names, ID numbers (e.g. clinical trial registration numbers)

and by searching for author names. We sought to identify related qualitative studies, process

evaluations, protocols and other documents likely to contain further details on important contexts and

potential mechanisms at work in the interventions under study.

Our formal search strategy was developed by an academic information synthesis specialist, in

consultation the wider project team and combined free text and subject heading terms for SMI, terms

for non-pharmacological interventions and terms for weight-related outcomes of interest. It was

translated for use across eight bibliographic databases (MEDLINE, Embase, PsycINFO, CINAHL, Cochrane

Library, Scopus, Web of Science Core Citation Indexes (SCIE, SSCI, SHCI, ESCI, CPCI, BKCI) and Sociological

Abstracts) with the aim of achieving broad disciplinary coverage. Our protocol indicated that we would

also run searches in Google Scholar and search for grey literature, but this was deemed unnecessary

following screening, in light of the volume of relevant literature already retrieved.

The main searches were conducted in February 2022. Additional articles were also identified via

Google Scholar alerts from February 2022 through to August 2023. Searching was conducted in an

iterative fashion, depending on ongoing feedback from the stakeholder groups. For example, the issue

of food craving and binging was raised by individuals with lived experience, and documents were

searched and included in the review to address pre-existing disordered eating behaviours. Searching

continued until sufficient data were located to refine the initial programme theory and CMOCs.

Supplementary File 1 contains the full search strategy.

3. Selection, appraisal and data extraction

Documents were selected for inclusion in the review in multiple steps.

First, screening of titles and abstracts was performed in RAYYAN, a web-based platform which

supports reviews. A 10% sample of documents was screened in triplicate by three members of the

project team. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria used for abstract and full text screening

Second, a full text screen of remaining documents against the same inclusion and exclusion

criteria was conducted. A 'star rating' system which ranked and prioritized documents according to

relevance was adopted.[39] At this stage the decision was made to focus on the community setting due to

the volume of data retrieved, differences in settings between inpatient and community, and because

most people with SMI are in the community. Following a pause in the review due to staffing changes,

the 'five-star' and 'four-star' papers were rescreened to determine potential contribution to the

refinement of the initial programme theory. Final documents were selected and coded based on their

relevance, richness and rigour. Relevance is based on document content. Are there sections of the

document that contain data that are relevant to theory and CMOC development? Richness is defined as

whether the document contains content pertinent to Cs (Contexts), Ms (Mechanisms) and Os

(Outcomes), and whether the documents have clear C-M-O linkages. Rigour is based on the

trustworthiness of the data.[19] Figure 1 is the PRISMA diagram of the inclusion/screening process.

Supplementary files 2 contains the characteristics of all 74 documents included in the review.

4. Data Extraction and analysis

Key characteristics of documents were extracted and imported into Microsoft Excel. Included

documents were uploaded into NVivo and relevant extracts of data were extracted and coded. Coding

was both inductive and deductive. A coding framework outlining conceptual categories was established

by reviewers and documents were coded according to their identification of key contextual factors

associated with intended outcomes (i.e. effective weight management). In addition, documents were

coded for potential underlying mechanisms related to 'why' specific contextual factors were necessary

to produce intended outcomes.

5. Data synthesis, CMOC development and programme theory refinement

Coded data were used to develop CMOCs and to refine the initial programme theory. The realist

researchers met regularly to extract potential Contexts-Mechanisms-Outcomes from each conceptual

category. Initial CMOCs with corresponding quotes from review documents were shared monthly with

the entire research team. At these meetings, the CMOCs and the programme theory were refined and

validated by our team's subject matter experts and lived experience group members. A significant

contribution of subject matter experts and the lived experience project team members was

confirmation of resonance with their own lived experience and replacement of any blaming or shaming

language with neutral, non-stigmatizing language.

This review followed the RAMESES realist review quality and publication standards.[19] An

important feature of realist approaches is the inclusion of stakeholder groups to provide feedback and

advice throughout the review process.[40,41] Our stakeholder groups and the research team made a

decision to develop the theory and CMOCs from the perspective of individuals with SMI and medication-

induced weight gain (versus practitioners), since ultimately, effective weight management depends on

how interventions and support work for individuals in the real world. The RAMESES checklist for realist

reviews can be found in Supplementary File 3.

Results

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram

229   The initial search strategy which included the documents identified from three recent reviews,

230 cluster searches and academic databases identified 773 unique results. 279 documents were read in full-

231 text, with 132 documents being assigned a four or five-star rating. Following a re-appraisal of

232 documents, in light of the developing programme theory, 63 documents were excluded, leaving 69 four

233 and five-star papers included in the review. An additional 5 papers were identified via google alerts and

234 personal contacts giving rise to 74 papers in total. Our searching and screening processes are detailed

235 within the PRISMA diagram in Figure 1.

236   Of the papers reporting primary data, twelve were RCTs[42-53], with four additional studies

237 reporting secondary analyses from existing RCTs.[54-57] One RCT reported a follow-up after a two-year

238 period.[58] Five papers were pilot studies,[59-63] and four were feasibility studies.[49,64-66] Other study designs

239 included cross-sectional studies,[67,68] experimental action research,[69] non-randomized clinical trial,[70] and

240 observational [71] or quasi-experimental observational.[72] Twenty-six qualitative papers were included,

241 with 24 presenting intervention study results,[51,73-95] the two remaining qualitative papers reported

242 mixed methods (including qualitative) evaluation of the implementation of a RCT,[96] and a reflection on

243 the delivery of a RCT.[97]

244   The remaining papers included: three protocols,[98-100] four papers that contained methodological

245 descriptions of intervention development,[101-104] five literature reviews,[105-109] two commentaries[15,110] and

246 a report.[111]

247   Studies were conducted in different countries. Six papers were from Australia,[81,84,88,104,106,109] two

248 from Belgium,[112,113] three from Canada,[68,92,107] one from Denmark,[58] and one was based more broadly in

249 Scandinavia.[71] One study was conducted in Lebanon,[67] and another in the Netherlands.[103] Four papers

250 were from Sweden.[48,69,75,76] Thirteen papers were from the United Kingdom.

[15,70,80,82,83,87,96,98,99,101,102,114,115] The remaining forty-two papers were from the United States of America.[42-

47,49,50,52-57,59-63,65,66,72-74,77-79,85,86,89-91,93,95,97,100,105,110,111,116,117]

A Program Theory of Non-pharmacological Interventions for Individuals with SMI and Weight Gain from

Antipsychotic Medications

Given the mixed results from many of the intervention studies, particularly the RCTs,

programme theory construction and CMOC development were primarily based on documents with

participant and practitioner descriptions of effective non-pharmacological interventions for

antipsychotic-induced weight gain. Through ongoing stakeholder group discussions and subject matter

experts' input, we refined the following programme theory:

The recovery journey for individuals with SMI and medication-induced weight gain begins with

the development of trusting, therapeutic relationships with practitioners. The therapeutic relationship is

where holistic assessment of mental and physical needs and co-developed goals can be pursued by

individuals with their practitioners. Individuals need to be informed and aware of the deleterious side

effects of anti-psychotic medications, particularly the impact that rapid weight gain can have on their

health and wellbeing. A de-stigmatising approach is needed throughout individuals' recovery journey to

overcome the dual stigma of weight gain and SMI that adversely affects individuals' physical and mental

health, their self-efficacy and willingness to participate in weight management programmes. Effective

weight management programmes provide flexible offerings of nutrition planning, physical activities and

behaviour therapies via individual or group sessions. Phased approaches, include an initial intensive

phase to better prepare individuals for programme engagement, followed by a longer, second

maintenance phase where individuals learn how to monitor their own health data and to set small,

manageable behaviour change goals with support from skilled practitioners, trained facilitators and/or

peer mentors. Easy-to-use tracking and self-monitoring devices are a useful source of objective data to

raise individuals' awareness of behaviour change progress. During the third, transitional phase,

individuals take control of their own health behaviours, which includes weight management. Given the

number of physical and mental barriers associated with SMI, additional support from families/carers,

friends and peers contribute to individuals' sense of achievement and belonging. Learning from peers

with lived experience is especially important for raising hope for a positive future.

The Context-Mechanism-Outcome Configurations with Accompanying Citations and Extracted Quotes

Table 2 contains the 12 CMOCs (Context-Mechanism-Outcome-Configuration) that underpin the

programme theory. The table includes references for all the papers included in this review which

underpinned each CMOC. A table of CMOCs and illustrative evidential quotes can be found in

supplementary file 4. The CMOCs are organised for practitioner use, beginning with therapeutic

relationship development between individuals and practitioners, to characteristics of effective

interventions and support.

Table 2. Context-Mechanisms-Outcomes configurationsContext-Mechanism Outcome Configurations

The first five CMOCs pertain to the initiation of a therapeutic relationship between community-

based practitioners and individuals with SMI and medication-induced weight gain. The therapeutic

relationship is an important social context for individuals with SMI, where they learn about their illness,

prescribed antipsychotic medications, medication side effects and realistic management of their health

needs.

In CMOC 1, the contextual factors of importance to individuals are practitioners who are non-

judgmental and honest with them about the side effects of their antipsychotic medications. Because

weight gain can be rapid, information needs to be conveyed early on in repeated, concrete, simple

language that individuals can understand and relate to their own life circumstances.[116] The underlying

mechanisms associated with relationship formation are individuals' perceptions that their opinions are

respected (mechanism) by practitioners and trust (mechanism) in practitioners' advice to them.

Because the therapeutic relationship is a gateway to individuals' engagement in co-development

of a weight management plan, a significant problem in primary care services is the scope and

time/availability of practitioners. As detailed in CMOC 2, specialised knowledge and relational skills are

needed by practitioners working with individuals with SMI and weight gain in community settings.

Practitioners need to be aware (mechanism) of holistic screening and assessment for this specific

population—to ensure more proactive management of weight gain for individuals with SMI.[15]

A number of different, community-based care models have been piloted to provide more

holistic assessment and management of individuals' physical and mental health needs. As described in

CMOC 3, key contextual characteristics of holistic care are collaboration and coordination across

services. One mental health collaborative care model (CCM) included in our review documents was

based on Wagner's chronic care model.[105] The CCM emphasises connections between mental health

specialists and general practitioners, facilitated by a case/care manager, such as a mental health nurse.

Because continuity and trust relationships are important to individuals with SMI and weight gain, the

case manager is often the consistent contact between the team and individuals. Another key

characteristic of the CCM is measurement-based care: mental–physical health assessment tools are

systematically used to track weight management progress, and the case manager is often responsible

for monitoring, collecting, and sharing data with the team and individuals. Shared data are an important

means of ensuring individuals' understanding of how their individualised weight management plan is

making a difference to their quality of life.[105]

Shared responsibility (mechanism) ensures effective, collaborative care across services,

including engagement and collaboration with individuals. When the research team discussed this CMOC

with the lived experience group members, the group members highlighted how siloed care often arises

when no one takes responsibility for their role in complex care situations. The lived experience group

members felt that services/practitioners need to accept their shared responsibility in care coordination

and delivery. The lived experience group also stated that true person-centered care requires active

engagement with individuals–beginning with assessment and continuing on to individuals' independent

control of their care decisions.

The following CMOCs – 4 (negative body image) and 5 (disordered eating behaviours) –

acknowledge specific assessment components for individuals with SMI and weight gain. Functionality

appreciation techniques are designed to raise individuals' appreciation (mechanism) of what their

bodies are capable of doing. Focusing on body functionality (i.e. the body positivity movement) versus

appearance is one way to positively 'reframe' how individuals view themselves.[67,87] Another assessment

area is the presence of disordered eating behaviours, which are more common in people with psychosis

than the general population.[109] A systematic review of disordered eating behaviours in individuals with

schizophrenia spectrum disorder reported rates of 4.4% to 45% for binge eating, 16.1% to 64% for food

craving, 27% to 60.6% for food addiction, and 4% to 30% for night eating.[109] Therefore, early assessment

and management of pre-existing disordered eating behaviours can heighten individual and practitioner

awareness (mechanism) of intervention options for holistic weight management.[110]

Barriers to participation in weight management interventions include the dual stigma of SMI and

weight gain and the cognitive deficits and fatigue associated with SMI.[43,100] As stated in CMOC 6, brief

behaviour change interventions, such as motivational interviewing (MI), can be used to raise individuals'

self-efficacy beliefs (i.e. 'I can do it') and readiness to participate in weight management planning and

treatment. [43] Interventions often fail when individuals are not open to participation.[75] Motivational

interviewing is one 'guiding style' and a relational, strengths-based approach that foregrounds

individuals' existing strengths and future aspirations. Furthermore, MI is a technique that practitioners

can readily learn and apply.[100] Therefore, prior to the start of any intervention behaviour change

strategies, such as MI, should be used to raise individuals' sense of self-efficacy (mechanism) and

readiness to participate.[87]

CMOCs 7 to 9 cover key characteristics of effective weight management interventions for

individuals with SMI. In CMOC 7, during the early intervention phase, a primary focus is individual-

practitioner co-development of small, manageable behaviour change goals that can be integrated into

individuals' daily routines and social and home settings. Successful interventions are based on a few,

specific skills which are broken into smaller units and repeated as needed. Learning aids, such as visual

cues,[100] are also used to reduce memory and attention load and to improve adaptive functioning. Small,

progressive successes are more apt to build SUs' sense of achievement (mechanism),[64,75,95] and there is

some evidence that a 'small steps' approach is more effective in sustained long-term behaviour

change.[95]

CMOC 8 is a continuation of ongoing, collaborative goal-setting between individuals and trained

practitioners and/or peer mentors. During the maintenance phase, individuals learn how to monitor

their own progress and set their own behaviour change goals based on continued, individualised

assistance with identification of potential/actual life opportunities and challenges. The transition phase

is marked by individuals' capacity to take control of their own health behaviours as a result of their

feelings of empowerment (mechanism). Empowerment represents how individuals feel when they have

mastered the ability to enact valued health behaviours.[70]

As stated in CMOC 9, easy-to-use tracking and self-monitoring tools may give more control to

individuals as they learn to establish their own, small goals based on their increased self-awareness

(mechanism) of how their health behaviours are associated with positive or negative health outcomes.

As noted in one qualitative study of individuals with SMI and weight gain, when individuals began

monitoring their caloric intake, they were surprised by what they learnt about their food choices. Raised

awareness helped them develop healthier consumption habits.[97]

CMOCs 10 to 12 describe the key types of support described in the review literature. With

psychosis, early and intensive social support are often needed to help individuals develop a greater

sense of self-efficacy and readiness to change. Ongoing reinforcement is important to sustain positive

behaviour change. A primary source of emotional support is the family,[64,73,75] although not all family

members may be accessible or helpful. In an initial assessment, practitioners need to determine the

individual's sources of support, particularly the role of family and peers.[64] In one intervention for obesity

management, the US Fit Together programme, education and activities were designed for individuals

and their family members or friends. The individual participants stated that diet and physical activity

were easier to carry out with a family member who was also committed to improved health goals.[64] The

outcomes were more positive and sustainable for individuals when their family members also succeeded

in losing weight and making behaviour changes.[64]

Individuals with SMI often have difficulty in establishing and maintaining social relationships.[73]

Because family members (where available) are a key source of support for individuals (e.g. emotional,

social, and material),[64] practitioners should also determine – working with family and significant others –

what types of resources and social reinforcement (mechanism) family/friend support need to provide

optimal support, such as signposting to services or social prescribing contacts.[80] Some review papers

recommended that families and significant others should receive information and skills training on

coping with SMI, as well as alerting them to possible medication side-effects.[77,78]

Individuals learn from and adopt others' behaviours through social interactions, where

observation, experience and positive reinforcement help to shape individuals' decisions and actions.

Many group interventions, such as group-based weight management sessions, are built on the powerful

influence of peer social support and a sense of belonging (mechanism).[55] As noted in CMOC 11,

facilitated sessions with similar group participants creates a non-stigmatising, psychologically safe space

where individuals can openly share their particular needs and challenges with each other.[79,96] In group-

based interventions with high levels of peer interaction, participants reported a greater sense of co-

operation while learning together,[88] increased knowledge of social roles,[62] and a greater sense of social

accountability.[81,93]

The final CMOC 12 represents a trend of using trained peer mentors with lived experience (i.e.,

of SMI and weight gain from antipsychotic medications) to facilitate group sessions, and to be available

as needed for one-on-one support. Peer mentors may be another cost-effective strategy for promoting

positive behaviour change in this population.[59] The capacity of peer mentors to truly empathise with

participants' recovery journeys was emphasised in the review documents. In the US PeerFit program,

trained peer mentors were able to explore participants' past and present 'readiness to change'

behaviours, based on their capacity to relate to programme participants' life stories.[59] In this

intervention, a collaborative coaching and learning model was used, where a trained facilitator offered

one-on-one counseling, while the peer mentor role modelled healthy behaviours and provided

assistance and support with individuals' goal-setting. Peer mentors who were interviewed at the

completion of the study stated that they preferred reciprocal, non-hierarchical relationships with

participants; the coaching role made them uncomfortable.[59] Another intervention using trained

facilitators and peer mentors reported how both types of support complemented each other.[116] In a

related paper, interviews were conducted with programme participants who clearly differentiated

between the characteristics of practitioners in facilitator roles (referred to as non-peer facilitators) and

trained peer mentors (referred to as peer support).[90] Practitioners were described as task-oriented and

goal-focused, while peer mentors were more positive and relational—thus building a sense of hope

(mechanism) and the possibility of positive change. Given their own lived experiences, peer mentors

were better able to contextualise how to make small and manageable lifestyle changes. Peer mentors

were also described as providing unconditional and unwavering support. In this intervention,

supervisors of peer mentors valued peer mentors' ability to 'normalise slips', such as a food binge or

weight gain, and to provide credible examples of their own, very human struggles with non-healthy

choices.[90]

Discussion

Key findings

Antipsychotic related weight gain, as highlighted in the introduction, poses a serious risk to the

physical health of those with SMI and it should be a top priority for healthcare related policy. Indeed,

callers to a mental health charity helpline reported weight gain resulting from antipsychotic medication

as the most distressing side effect.[120]

This realist review is comprised of a programme theory of weight management for individuals

with SMI and weight gain from antipsychotic medications, underpinned by 12 CMOCs with applicability

to practitioners caring for this population in community settings. CMOCs 1-8 focus on individual-

practitioner therapeutic relationships. Initial relationships are based on practitioners' nonjudgemental

attitudes, honest, objective information-sharing, and individuals' trust in practitioners' professional

advice (CMOC 1). Early, comprehensive assessments, with an understanding of the challenges of

managing anti-psychotic weight gain, need to include the exploration of pre-existing issues, such as

negative body image and disordered eating behaviours (CMOCs 2,4,5). Given the complexity of

individuals' physical and mental health needs, coordinated and integrated care approaches are effective

means of care delivery for this population (CMOC 3). CMOCs 6-7 highlight the need for flexible

programme options and individualized "small step" approaches, ideally starting from an early point in

recovery, for easier integration of behaviour change goals into individuals' daily routines. CMOC 8

acknowledges how practitioners and peer mentors can assist individuals with transition to autonomous

goal-setting, while CMOCs 9- 12 offer details on non-practitioner support, including the use of self-

management tools (CMOC 9), family and friend support (CMOC 10), peer group participation (CMOC 11)

and trained peer mentor support (CMOC 12) A unique aspect of this review is a theory with testable

CMOCs that suggest the intertwined nature of the SMI recovery journey and effective weight

management.

Comparable Literature to Support the Programme Theory and CMOCs

This section compares our findings to the wider literature, including the non-SMI literature.

However, compared to the non-SMI population there are likely to be factors that make managing weight

even more challenging in people with SMI including fears about medication non-adherence, mental

health stigma, the negative symptoms of schizophrenia, the lack of trust between clinicians and service

users and the roles and responsibilities of primary versus secondary care.[121-123]

The importance of supportive non-judgmental therapeutic relationships between service users

and the healthcare professionals who are responsible for their care is vital; previous research from the

present research team also found that an honest and trusting therapeutic alliance is likely to result in a

greater engagement with any interventions suggested.[39] Due to the rapid onset of weight gain

associated with some antipsychotic medication, service users need to be supported at an early stage in

their recovery journey to deal with potential consequences associated with their medication

regime.[121,124]

457   Guidelines in this area do exist.[2,125] For example, annual physical health monitoring for

individuals with SMI is recommended by the National Institute of Clinical Excellence,[126-128] though

completion rates are suboptimal and stakeholder discussions report lack of follow-up.[2] However,

previous research has identified the lack of a rigorously developed guidelines focused specifically on the

management of antipsychotic weight gain.[129,130] This can make it challenging for healthcare professionals

to offer appropriate evidence-based support to individuals with SMI. Additionally, there is a lack of

consensus as to who should be responsible for the physical healthcare of individuals with SMI.[131,132]

464   Our findings highlight the importance of stigma in managing weight gain for people with SMI

who can experience many types of stigma, including societal biases towards individuals who are

overweight/obese.[133] Across the healthcare spectrum practitioners often believe that obesity is due to

lifestyle choices and the responsibility for managing the condition resides with individuals.[134-136]

Stigmatising beliefs held by healthcare professionals can negatively influence both the identification of

weight gain and obesity as well as onward referrals for managing the conditions.[137-139] Weight stigma

can adversely influence the quality of healthcare delivery and within psychiatry, stigmatising staff beliefs

have been identified as a barrier to receiving physical health care.[136,140,141]

472   In the non-SMI literature, in a UK survey, practitioners cited individuals' lack of interest (72%)

and lack of motivation (61%) as major reasons for not discussing weight with individuals.[142] Yet 65% of

individuals living with obesity wanted their practitioners to discuss weight and related co-morbidities.[142]

Again, in the non-SMI literature, a multi-country survey of individuals with weight gain issues reported

healthcare avoidance due to inattentive listening and less respect from practitioners.[143] Furthermore,

weight stigma has a significant, adverse impact on individuals' social identity, resulting in avoidance of

potentially stigmatizing public settings (e.g. gym) and stigma "escape" by engaging in unhealthy

behaviours, such as stress-induced eating.[139] As already noted, there are likely to be additional

challenges faced by people with SMI because of the double burden of mental health stigma.

Our findings also highlight the role of supportive practitioner-SU relationships in identifying pre-

existing risk factors that can potentiate health risks from antipsychotic medications. For example,

existing disordered eating behaviours and negative body image are important components of early

assessment and individual-practitioner goal-setting.[144] The prevalence of pre-existing disordered eating

within the SMI population is not well known, however, our lived experience advisory group supported

our limited findings and given the additional stigma associated with this practice, stressed the

importance of relational practitioner approaches in engaging individuals in honest and difficult

conversations[145,146] so that early identification occurs and appropriate treatment options can be

provided. However, the appropriate assessment and treatment of eating disorders in the context of

obesity is challenging even in the non-SMI population;[144] more research is needed to determine best

practice.

An individual's sense of social identity is influenced by their social roles (e.g. partner, brother,

son, employee). In socially stigmatizing settings, individuals' social identity becomes devalued and

replaced by a stigmatized view of oneself: Individuals with self-stigma believe they have less self-worth

and self-efficacy—resulting in withdrawal and in many instances, self-imposed isolation.[138,147] Supportive

therapeutic relationships with practitioners are considered one, important way to reduce self-stigma.[148]

As identified in our review and discussed in other literature, behaviour change therapies that use

relational approaches can build and maintain positive self-confidence and self-efficacy[149] and positive

relationships with families and friends.[147,150]

Given the pervasiveness of weight stigma in society and particularly in psychiatry where

individuals may suffer from 'dual-stigma' from SMI and weight gain, practitioners are urged to shift in

care management from weight-centric to holistic health approaches that recognise that weight loss may

not be achievable or sustainable for many individuals.[151] Practitioners, therefore, need to attenuate

stigmatising beliefs and behaviours about weight, and instead promote modifiable health behaviours

(versus weight loss) that aim to build more respectful, trusting individual-practitioner relationships.[151,152]

Our review emphasised the importance of supportive approaches that invite honest,

transparent conversations to engage individuals and to collaboratively establish realistic whole health

goals between practitioners and individuals.[153] One behaviour change strategy, MI, can be used by

practitioners to clarify goals and to establish consonance between goals and current behaviours. This

approach is based on person-centred principles that respect individuals' autonomy and supports their

self-efficacy.[154,155] Acknowledgement of personal goals is more likely to trigger the underlying

mechanisms in our review, such as individual's perceptions of respect from practitioners, trust in their

practitioners, a greater sense of shared responsibility, and enhanced self-awareness, appreciation for

self as a whole person, self-efficacy, achievement and control over their own health needs.[156]

This review also highlights how social support from others, such as families/carers, peers in

group-based interventions and peer mentors have contributed to individuals' participation and

successes in weight management/behaviour change programmes. In the weight loss literature (non-

SMI), social influence (e.g., role modeling) and social norms can have a particularly significant impact on

eating, for example.[157] In a US survey study with individuals with SMI, a greater sense of group belonging

mitigated against self-stigma or negative beliefs about oneself.[147] Our review highlights how the

presence of good social support and social networks may be critical to the proposed underlying

mechanisms of intervention effectiveness including social reinforcement from others, a sense of social

belonging, credibility in behavior change offerings and hope in a healthier future.

Our review identified the need for coordinated, collaborative holistic care management to

support individuals with SMI in community settings with medication-related weight gain. Currently, a

lack of integration across primary care, mental health services, physical health services, which are largely

separate, and social care results in fragmented care for people living with SMI, resulting in unmet needs

for people living with SMI and weight gain.[123] Given the potential for complex physical and mental

health risks, integrated care may be a preferred delivery model for individuals with complex mental-

physical health needs.[123,158]

In the UK, although the prescribing of antipsychotic medication is typically undertaken by

psychiatrists residing in secondary care, care of physical health conditions typically resides with GPs in

primary care.[159,160] Those deemed to possess stable mental health may be referred back to the GP for

complete management of their physical and mental health.[159,161] Caring for people with SMI presents

unique challenges for primary care practitioners particularly around managing anti-psychotic

medication.[161] With primary care ill-equipped to manage the complexity of SMI and the associated

physical health conditions people with SMI may not receive appropriate preventative support from their

practitioners particularly with the current pressures on primary care. Likewise, within secondary care

psychiatric services, mental health practitioners can often feel ill-equipped to deal with physical health

conditions such as weight gain resulting from antipsychotic medications,[162] meaning that wherever

individuals with SMI and weight gain are cared for within the system, they are likely to receive sub

optimal preventative care for physical health conditions.

An important healthcare trend noted in our review and the literature is person-centered care.

Integrated care teams need to include both practitioners and individuals with SMI and family

members.[163] Physical health monitoring in SMI is likely to need primary care working in tandem with

secondary care. [124,164] There is a need to develop more effective ways for managing the physical health

of people with SMI and integrated care and innovative practitioner collaborations may be one way to

address any competency gaps for complex physical-mental health needs. For example, one pilot from

Scotland is using clinical pharmacists to assist with physical health monitoring and polypharmacy

reviews for SUs with SMI.[165] Other physical healthcare gaps noted in the literature (which may be

remedied with coordinated/integrated care) include regular monitoring for cardiometabolic risk factors

associated with antipsychotic medications and weight gain.[13,126,166] In a systematic review of

recommended metabolic risk screening for individuals with SMI, less than 50% of people were being

appropriately monitored for one risk factor, and only 11% of this population was meeting recommended

monitoring requirements.[167]

Implications for Practice and Research

Our findings emphasise the importance of providing support for people with SMI and

antipsychotic related weight gain. Managers of services should consider how support structures could

be incorporated within existing services. Peer support groups provide one such avenue whereby people

with SMI could gain positive experiences of managing their conditions through learning from the

experience of others in non-judgmental environments.

Individuals with SMI and taking antipsychotic medication need access to practitioners who are

knowledgeable about the challenges of antipsychotic related weight gain so that appropriate treatment

options may be provided. However, given the siloed nature of healthcare, particularly in the UK, this

may be difficult to realise; future research should focus on the organisation of services so that more

coordinated and holistic care can be delivered to people with SMI. Our findings also highlight the

importance of conducting early comprehensive assessments so that preventative approaches could be

adopted. Such assessment should consider the impact of negative body image and disordered eating

behaviours on individual's mental and physical health.

In general, the review found a lack on research on the patient perspective on anti-psychotic

weight gain particularly in certain populations such as ethnic minority communities and in learning

disabilities. Over-prescribing is also more common in certain ethnic minority groups; as are the

metabolic side-effects of anti-psychotics, such as diabetes and total cholesterol.[1,168,169] People with

learning disabilities are 16 times more likely, than the general population, to be prescribed an anti-

psychotic.[170] People with intellectual disabilities have a higher prevalence of obesity and metabolic

syndrome.[171,172] More research is required in these underserved populations.

A major concern of our lived experience group was a lack of literature on the influence of

diverse cultures, religious faiths and ethnicities on intervention success. For example, the literature we

reviewed did not specifically discuss if/how nutritional interventions were tailored to meet non-Western

food preferences. Future research should include a focus on the positive or negative influence of culture

on intervention outcomes and how interventions should be adapted to best serve different

communities.

Strengths and Limitations

A key strength of our realist review was the input of stakeholder groups, particularly the lived

experience group, which was widely consulted, and a research team with subject matter expertise to

develop and validate the programme theory and CMOCs. Other strengths of our review included the use

of Google Alerts to identify more recently published papers (2022–2024) and iterative search techniques

to locate other, relevant documents. References from review papers and RCT studies were used to

locate kinship and sibling papers. Another key strength of this programme of research is the current

collection of primary data to further refine the review's programme theory and CMOCs.[20]

A key limitation of this study is the lack of grey literature. As outlined in our protocol,[20] we had

intended to include grey literature in this review, however due to the volume of evidence obtained

through formal literature searching, this was not possible.

Many intervention studies were RCTs. In most instances, outcomes were reported at the

conclusion of the studies with lack of follow-up to determine sustainability of interventions. The long-

term effectiveness of the interventions reported in this review, therefore, is not known.

Conclusion

Our realist review identified twelve CMOCs and an accompanying theory of individuals' recovery

and weight management—intertwined goals for individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-

psychotic medications. Successful interventions are characterized by proactive interventions with

holistic comprehensive assessment and appropriate behaviour change therapies to promote individual

engagement in community-based weight management programmes. The interventions are underpinned

by trusting and honest therapeutic relationships with practitioners with a de-stigmatising approach and

open sharing of weight gain health risks.

Necessary support includes family/carers and friends, practitioners, train from ed facilitators,

peer group participants and peer mentors. Weight management programmes need to be flexible, but

promising models of community-based services for this population feature collaboration and

cooperation across secondary and primary services with a primary contact for support. The programme

theory with its 12 CMOCs provide testable explanations for what works, for whom, when, how and why.

This knowledge can be used to inform practice and research in the future.

References

1.   Pillinger T, McCutcheon RA, Vano L, et al. Comparative effects of 18 antipsychotics on metabolic
function in patients with schizophrenia, predictors of metabolic dysregulation, and association with
psychopathology: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(1):64-
77. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30416-X
2.   Cooper SJ, Reynolds GP, Barnes TRE, et al. BAP guidelines on the management of weight gain,
metabolic disturbances and cardiovascular risk associated with psychosis and antipsychotic drug
treatment. *Journal of psychopharmacology (Oxford, England)*. 2016;30(8):717-48.
doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269881116645254
3.   Kahn RS, Fleischhacker WW, Boter H, et al. Effectiveness of antipsychotic drugs in first-episode
schizophrenia and schizophreniform disorder: an open randomised clinical trial. *The Lancet*.
2008;371(9618):1085-1097. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60486-9
4.   Holt RIG, Hind D, Gossage-Worrall R, et al. Structured lifestyle education for people with
schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder and first-episode psychosis (STEPWISE): randomised controlled
trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2019;214(2):63-73. doi:10.1192/bjp.2018.167
5.   Mizock L. The double stigma of obesity and serious mental illnesses: Promoting health and
recovery. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*. Dec 2012

2020-07-22 2012;35(6):466-469. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/h0094581
6.   Pearl RL, Hernandez M, Bach C, Groshon L, Wadden TA. Prevalence of diagnosed psychiatric
disorders among adults who have experienced and internalized weight stigma. *Obesity Science &*
Practice. 2023/12/01 2023;9(6):681-687. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/osp4.700
7.   Ahern AL, Wheeler GM, Aveyard P, et al. Extended and standard duration weight-loss
programme referrals for adults in primary care (WRAP): a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*.
2017;389(10085):2214-2225. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30647-5
8.   Ahern AL, Breeze P, Fusco F, et al. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of referral to a
commercial open group behavioural weight management programme in adults with overweight and
obesity: 5-year follow-up of the WRAP randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Public Health*.
2022;7(10):e866-e875. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(22)00226-2
9.   LeBlanc ES, Patnode CD, Webber EM, Redmond N, Rushkin M, O'Connor EA. Behavioral and
Pharmacotherapy Weight Loss Interventions to Prevent Obesity-Related Morbidity and Mortality in
Adults: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force.
JAMA. 2018;320(11):1172-1191. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.7777
10.   National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Obesity Prevention. Clinical guideline [cg43]*.
2015. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg43
11.   Wharton S, Lau DCW, Vallis M, et al. Obesity in adults: a clinical practice guideline. *Canadian*
Medical Association Journal. 2020;192(31):E875. doi:10.1503/cmaj.191707
12.   Cornier M-A. A review of current guidelines for the treatment of obesity. *American Journal of*
Managed Care. 2022;28
13.   Galletly C, Castle D, Dark F, et al. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists
clinical practice guidelines for the management of schizophrenia and related disorders. *Australian &*
New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2016/05/01 2016;50(5):410-472. doi:10.1177/0004867416641195
14.   Lee C, Piernas C, Stewart C, et al. Identifying effective characteristics of behavioral weight
management interventions for people with serious mental illness: A systematic review with a qualitative
comparative analysis. https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.13355. *Obesity Reviews*. 2022/01/01
2022;23(1):e13355. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.13355
15.   Lee K, Akinola A, Abraham S. Antipsychotic-induced weight gain: exploring the role of
psychiatrists in managing patients' physical health – challenges, current options and direction for future
care. *BJPsych Bulletin*. 2023:1-6. doi:10.1192/bjb.2023.29
16.   Nyboe LL, Sanne Moller, Anne Vils Stubbs, Brendon. Non-pharmacological interventions for
preventing weight gain in patients with first episode schizophrenia or bipolar disorder: A systematic
review. *Psychiatry research*. 2019;281:112556. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112556
17.   Holt RIG. The Management of Obesity in People with Severe Mental Illness: An Unresolved
Conundrum. *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics*. 2019;88(6):327-332.
doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000503835
18.   Stevens HS, J. Bussey, L. Innerd, A. McGeechan, G. Fishburn, S. Giles, E. Weight management
interventions for adults living with overweight or obesity and severe mental illness: A systematic review
and meta-analysis. *Br J Nutr*. Nov 3 2022:1-60. doi:10.1017/S0007114522003403
19.   Wong G, Greenhalgh T, Westhorp G, Buckingham J, Pawson R. RAMESES publication standards:
realist syntheses. *BMC Medicine*. 2013/01/29 2013;11(1):21. doi:10.1186/1741-7015-11-21
20.   Maidment ID, Wong G, Duddy C, et al. REalist Synthesis Of non-pharmacologicaL interVEntions
for antipsychotic-induced weight gain (RESOLVE) in people living with severe mental illness (SMI).
Systematic Reviews. 2022/03/09 2022;11(1):42. doi:10.1186/s13643-022-01912-9
21.   Álvarez-Jiménez MH, Sarah E. González-Blanch, César Gleeson, John F. McGorry, Patrick D. Non-
pharmacological management of antipsychotic-induced weight gain: Systematic review and meta-

analysis of randomised controlled trials. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2008;193(2):101-107.
doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.107.042853
22.   Ashdown-Franks GW, Julie Vancampfort, Davy Firth, Joseph Schuch, Felipe Hubbard, Kathryn
Craig, Tom Gaughran, Fiona Stubbs, Brendon. Is it possible for people with severe mental illness to sit
less and move more? A systematic review of interventions to increase physical activity or reduce
sedentary behaviour. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2018/12/01/ 2018;202:3-16.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2018.06.058
23.   Bonfioli EB, Loretta Goss, Claudia Muraro, Francesca Burti, Lorenzo. Health promotion lifestyle
interventions for weight management in psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
randomised controlled trials. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2012/07/12 2012;12(1):78. doi:10.1186/1471-244X-12-78
24.   Brown CG, Lydia C. Lewis, Kelsie J. Arbesman, Marian. Effectiveness of Interventions for Weight
Loss for People With Serious Mental Illness: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The American
Journal of Occupational Therapy*. 2018;72(5):7205190030p1-7205190030p9.
doi:10.5014/ajot.2018.033415
25.   Bruins JJ, Frederike Bruggeman, Richard Slooff, Cees Corpeleijn, Eva Pijnenborg, Marieke. The
Effects of Lifestyle Interventions on (Long-Term) Weight Management, Cardiometabolic Risk and
Depressive Symptoms in People with Psychotic Disorders: A Meta-Analysis. *PLOS ONE*.
2014;9(12):e112276. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112276
26.   Caemmerer JC, Christoph U. Maayan, Lawrence. Acute and maintenance effects of non-
pharmacologic interventions for antipsychotic associated weight gain and metabolic abnormalities: A
meta-analytic comparison of randomized controlled trials. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2012/09/01/
2012;140(1):159-168. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2012.03.017
27.   Fernández-Abascal BS-P, Paula Cobo-Corrales, Carlos Crespo-Facorro, Benedicto Suárez-Pinilla,
Marta. In- and outpatient lifestyle interventions on diet and exercise and their effect on physical and
psychological health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials in patients
with schizophrenia spectrum disorders and first episode of psychosis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral
Reviews*. 2021/06/01/ 2021;125:535-568. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.01.005
28.   Gurusamy JG, Sailaxmi Damodharan, Dinakaran Ganesan, Venkatasubramanian Palaniappan,
Marimuthu. Exercise, diet and educational interventions for metabolic syndrome in persons with
schizophrenia: A systematic review. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2018/08/01/ 2018;36:73-85.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2018.06.018
29.   Hjorth PD, A. S. Kilian, R. Skrubbeltrang, C. A systematic review of controlled interventions to
reduce overweight and obesity in people with schizophrenia. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12245. *Acta
Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2014/10/01 2014;130(4):279-289. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12245
30.   Mucheru DH, Mary-Claire McEvoy, Mark Thakkinstian, Ammarin MacDonald-Wicks, Lesley.
Comparative efficacy of lifestyle intervention strategies targeting weight outcomes in people with
psychosis: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *JBI Evidence Synthesis*. 2019;17(9)
31.   Naslund JAW, Karen L. McHugo, Gregory J. Aschbrenner, Kelly A. Marsch, Lisa A. Bartels,
Stephen J. Lifestyle interventions for weight loss among overweight and obese adults with serious
mental illness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *General Hospital Psychiatry*. 2017/07/01/
2017;47:83-102. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2017.04.003
32.   Olker SJP, James Scott Swarbrick, Margaret A. Spagnolo, Amy B. Weight management
interventions in adults with a serious mental illness: A meta-analytic review. *American Journal of
Psychiatric Rehabilitation*. 2016/10/01 2016;19(4):370-393. doi:10.1080/15487768.2016.1231643
33.   Pearsall RS, Daniel J. Pelosi, Anthony Geddes, John. Exercise therapy in adults with serious
mental illness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014/04/21 2014;14(1):117.
doi:10.1186/1471-244X-14-117

34.   Shannon AM, Declan Brown, Ellie O'Donoghue, Brian. A systematic review of the effectiveness
of group-based exercise interventions for individuals with first episode psychosis. *Psychiatry Research*.
2020/11/01/ 2020;293:113402. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113402
35.   Singh VKK, Sneha Malo, Palash Kumar Virupaksha, H. G. Muralidhar, Daliboina
Venkatasubramanian, Ganesan Muralidharan, Kesavan. Impact of lifestyle modification on some
components of metabolic syndrome in persons with severe mental disorders: A meta-analysis.
Schizophrenia Research. 2018/12/01/ 2018;202:17-25. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2018.06.066
36.   Speyer HJ, A. S. Westergaard, C. Nørgaard, H. C. B. Jørgensen, K. B. Pisinger, C. Krogh, J. Hjorthøj,
C. Nordentoft, M. Gluud, C. Correll, C. U. Lifestyle Interventions for Weight Management in People with
Serious Mental Illness: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis, Trial Sequential Analysis, and Meta-
Regression Analysis Exploring the Mediators and Moderators of Treatment Effects. *Psychother
Psychosom*. 2019;88(6):350-362. doi:10.1159/000502293
37.   Teasdale SBW, P. B. Rosenbaum, S. Samaras, K. Stubbs, B. Solving a weighty problem: systematic
review and meta-analysis of nutrition interventions in severe mental illness. *Br J Psychiatry*. Feb
2017;210(2):110-118. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.115.177139
38.   Booth A, Harris J, Croot E, Springett J, Campbell F, Wilkins E. Towards a methodology for cluster
searching to provide conceptual and contextual "richness" for systematic reviews of complex
interventions: case study (CLUSTER). *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2013/09/28 2013;13(1):118.
doi:10.1186/1471-2288-13-118
39.   Howe J, MacPhee M, Duddy C, et al. A realist review of medication optimisation of community
dwelling service users with serious mental illness. *BMJ Quality & Safety*. 2023;
40.   Power J, Dada S, Booth A, De Brún A, Gilmore B. Advisory groups in realist reviews:
Systematically mapping current research and recommendations for practice. *Cochrane Evidence
Synthesis and Methods*. 2024/06/01 2024;2(6):e12073. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/cesm.12073
41.   Maidment I, Booth A, Mullan J, McKeown J, Bailey S, Wong G. Developing a framework for a
novel multi-disciplinary, multi-agency intervention(s), to improve medication management in
community-dwelling older people on complex medication regimens (MEMORABLE)—a realist synthesis.
Systematic Reviews. 2017/07/03 2017;6(1):125. doi:10.1186/s13643-017-0528-1
42.   Beebe LH, Smith K, Burk R, et al. Effect of a motivational group intervention upon exercise self
efficacy and outcome expectations for exercise in Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders (SSDs). *J Am
Psychiatr Nurses Assoc*. Apr 2010;16(2):105-13. doi:10.1177/1078390310364428
43.   Bennett LL, Cohen AN, Young AS. Factors Associated With Weight Intervention Participation
Among People With Serious Mental Illness. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. Nov 2018;206(11):896-899.
doi:10.1097/nmd.0000000000000892
44.   Brown C, Goetz J, Hamera E, Gajewski B. Treatment response to the RENEW weight loss
intervention in schizophrenia: Impact of intervention setting. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2014/11/01/
2014;159(2):421-425. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2014.09.018
45.   Cabassa LJ, Stefancic A, Lewis-Fernández R, et al. Main Outcomes of a Peer-Led Healthy Lifestyle
Intervention for People With Serious Mental Illness in Supportive Housing. *Psychiatr Serv*. May 1
2021;72(5):555-562. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.202000304
46.   Daumit GL, Dickerson FB, Wang N-Y, et al. A Behavioral Weight-Loss Intervention in Persons with
Serious Mental Illness. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2013/04/25 2013;368(17):1594-1602.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1214530
47.   Erickson ZD, Kwan CL, Gelberg HA, et al. A Randomized, Controlled Multisite Study of Behavioral
Interventions for Veterans with Mental Illness and Antipsychotic Medication-Associated Obesity. *J Gen
Intern Med*. Apr 2017;32(Suppl 1):32-39. doi:10.1007/s11606-016-3960-3

48.   Forsberg KA, Björkman T, Sandman PO, Sandlund M. Physical health—a cluster randomized
controlled lifestyle intervention among persons with a psychiatric disability and their staff. *Nordic*
Journal of Psychiatry. 2008/01/01 2008;62(6):486-495. doi:10.1080/08039480801985179
49.   Green CA, Janoff SL, Yarborough BJ, Yarborough MT. A 12-week weight reduction intervention
for overweight individuals taking antipsychotic medications. *Community Ment Health J*. 2014
2014;50(8):974-80.
50.   Sylvia LG, Janos JA, Pegg SL, et al. Feasibility and Acceptability of a Lifestyle Intervention For
Individuals With Bipolar Disorder. *J Psychiatr Pract*. Nov 2019;25(6):451-460.
doi:10.1097/pra.0000000000000426
51.   Verhaeghe N, Clays E, Vereecken C, et al. Health promotion in individuals with mental disorders:
a cluster preference randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2013/07/15 2013;13(1):657.
doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-657
52.   Young AS, Cohen AN, Goldberg R, et al. Improving Weight in People with Serious Mental Illness:
The Effectiveness of Computerized Services with Peer Coaches. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*.
2017/04/01 2017;32(1):48-55. doi:10.1007/s11606-016-3963-0
53.   Bartels SJ, Pratt SI, Aschbrenner KA, et al. Pragmatic Replication Trial of Health Promotion
Coaching for Obesity in Serious Mental Illness and Maintenance of Outcomes. *American Journal of*
Psychiatry. 2015/04/01 2015;172(4):344-352. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14030357
54.   Tuda D, Stefancic A, Hawes M, Wang X, Guo S, Cabassa LJ. Correlates of Attendance in a Peer-
Led Healthy Lifestyle Intervention for People with Serious Mental Illness Living in Supportive Housing.
Community Ment Health J. Aug 20 2021;doi:10.1007/s10597-021-00881-y
55.   Muralidharan A, Brown CH, Zhang Y, et al. Quality of life outcomes of web-based and in-person
weight management for adults with serious mental illness. *J Behav Med*. Oct 2020;43(5):865-872.
doi:10.1007/s10865-019-00117-1
56.   Muralidharan A, Niv N, Brown CH, et al. Impact of Online Weight Management With Peer
Coaching on Physical Activity Levels of Adults With Serious Mental Illness. *Psychiatric Services*.
2018/10/01 2018;69(10):1062-1068. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201700391
57.   Phalen PL, Muralidharan A, Travaglini L, et al. Predictors of attendance in health and wellness
treatment groups for people with serious mental illness. *Psychiatr Rehabil J*. Jun 2020;43(2):149-155.
doi:10.1037/prj0000376
58.   Jakobsen AS, Speyer H, Nørgaard HCB, et al. Effect of lifestyle coaching versus care coordination
versus treatment as usual in people with severe mental illness and overweight: Two-years follow-up of
the randomized CHANGE trial. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(10):e0185881. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0185881
59.   Aschbrenner KA, Naslund JA, Barre LK, Mueser KT, Kinney A, Bartels SJ. Peer health coaching for
overweight and obese individuals with serious mental illness: intervention development and initial
feasibility study. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*. 2015;5(3):277-284. doi:10.1007/s13142-015-0313-4
60.   O'Hara K, Stefancic A, Cabassa LJ. Developing a peer-based healthy lifestyle program for people
with serious mental illness in supportive housing. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*. 2017;7(4):793-803.
doi:10.1007/s13142-016-0457-x
61.   Sylvia LG, Pegg SL, Dufour SC, et al. Pilot study of a lifestyle intervention for bipolar disorder:
Nutrition exercise wellness treatment (NEW Tx). *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2019/05/01/
2019;250:278-283. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.03.033
62.   Van Citters AD, Pratt SI, Jue K, et al. A pilot evaluation of the In SHAPE individualized health
promotion intervention for adults with mental illness. *Community Mental Health Journal*.
2010;46(6):540-552. doi:10.1007/s10597-009-9272-x
63.   Brown C, Read H, Stanton M, Zeeb M, Jonikas JA, Cook JA. A pilot study of the Nutrition and
Exercise for Wellness and Recovery (NEW-R): A weight loss program for individuals with serious mental
illnesses. *Psychiatr Rehabil J*. Dec 2015;38(4):371-3. doi:10.1037/prj0000115

64.   Aschbrenner KA, Mueser KT, Naslund JA, et al. Feasibility Study of Increasing Social Support to
Enhance a Healthy Lifestyle Intervention for Individuals with Serious Mental Illness. *J Soc Social Work*
Res. Summer 2016;7(2):289-313. doi:10.1086/686486
65.   Beebe LH, Harris RF. Using pedometers to document physical activity in patients with
schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a feasibility study. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv*. Feb
2012;50(2):44-9. doi:10.3928/02793695-20120112-02
66.   Beebe LH, Smith K. Feasibility of the Walk, Address, Learn and Cue (WALC) Intervention for
schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. Feb 2010;24(1):54-62.
doi:10.1016/j.apnu.2009.03.001
67.   Mahfoud D, Fekih-Romdhane F, Abou Zeid J, et al. Functionality appreciation is inversely
associated with positive psychotic symptoms in overweight/obese patients with schizophrenia. *BMC*
Psychiatry. 2023/05/01 2023;23(1):306. doi:10.1186/s12888-023-04795-9
68.   Archie SM, Goldberg JO, Akhtar-Danesh N, Landeen J, McColl L, McNiven J. Psychotic disorders,
eating habits, and physical activity: who is ready for lifestyle changes? *Psychiatric services (Washington,*
DC). 2007;58(2):233-9.
69.   Sameby B, Söderberg H, Hedström M, Waern M. Health improving measures for patients
suffering from psychosis: development of treatment methods and an intervention program. *Nordic*
Journal of Nursing Research & Clinical Studies / Vård i Norden. 2008;28(4):29-33.
doi:10.1177/010740830802800407
70.   Smith J, Griffiths LA, Band M, et al. Early Intervention in Psychosis: Effectiveness and
Implementation of a Combined Exercise and Health Behavior Intervention Within Routine Care.
Frontiers in endocrinology. 2020;11:577691. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2020.577691
71.   Porsdal V, Beal C, Kleivenes OK, et al. The Scandinavian Solutions for Wellness study - a two-arm
observational study on the effectiveness of lifestyle intervention on subjective well-being and weight
among persons with psychiatric disorders. *BMC psychiatry*. 2010;10:42.
doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-10-42
72.   Bartels SJ, Aschbrenner KA, Pratt SI, et al. Implementation of a Lifestyle Intervention for People
With Serious Mental Illness in State-Funded Mental Health Centers. *Psychiatr Serv*. Jun 1
2018;69(6):664-670. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201700368
73.   Aschbrenner K, Carpenter-Song E, Mueser K, Kinney A, Pratt S, Bartels S. A Qualitative Study of
Social Facilitators and Barriers to Health Behavior Change Among Persons with Serious Mental Illness.
Community Mental Health Journal. 2013/04/01 2013;49(2):207-212. doi:10.1007/s10597-012-9552-8
74.   Barre LK, Ferron J, Davis K, Whitley R. Healthy eating in persons with serious mental illnesses:
Understanding and barriers. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*. 2011;34(4):304-310.
doi:https://doi.apa.org/doi/10.2975/34.4.2011.304.310
75.   Bergqvist A, Karlsson M, Foldemo A, Wardig R, Hultsjo S. Preventing the development of
metabolic syndrome in people with psychotic disorders-difficult, but possible: Experiences of staff
working in psychosis outpatient care in Sweden. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*. 2013;34(5):350-358.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01612840.2013.771234
76.   Forsberg KA, Lindqvist O, Bjorkman TN, Sandlund M, Sandman PO. Meanings of participating in a
lifestyle programme for persons with psychiatric disabilities. *Scand J Caring Sci*. Jun 2011;25(2):357-64.
doi:10.1111/j.1471-6712.2010.00834.x
77.   Kreyenbuhl J, Lucksted A, Despeaux K, Sykes VM. Understanding Women Veterans' Experiences
With and Management of Weight Gain From Medications for Serious Mental Illness: A Qualitative Study.
Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal. 2019;42(3):238-245. doi:10.1037/prj0000348
78.   McKibbin CL, Kitchen KA, Wykes TL, Lee AA. Barriers and facilitators of a healthy lifestyle among
persons with serious and persistent mental illness: perspectives of community mental health providers.
Community Ment Health J. Jul 2014;50(5):566-76. doi:10.1007/s10597-013-9650-2

79.   Nover CH. Mental Health in Primary Care: Perceptions of Augmented Care for Individuals With
Serious Mental Illness. *Social Work in Health Care*. 2013/08/01 2013;52(7):656-668.
doi:10.1080/00981389.2013.797537
80.   Onwumere J, Howes S, Shiers D, Gaughran F. Physical health problems in people with psychosis:
The issue for informal carers. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. Jun 2018;64(4):381-388.
doi:10.1177/0020764018763684
81.   Park T, Foster K, Usher K. Participants' Voices From Within a Healthy Lifestyle Group. *Issues in
Mental Health Nursing*. 2017/02/01 2017;38(2):107-112. doi:10.1080/01612840.2016.1248255
82.   Pearsall R, Hughes S, Geddes J, Pelosi A. Understanding the problems developing a healthy living
programme in patients with serious mental illness: a qualitative study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014/02/14
2014;14(1):38. doi:10.1186/1471-244X-14-38
83.   Pedley R, Lovell K, Bee P, et al. Collaborative, individualised lifestyle interventions are acceptable
to people with first episode psychosis; a qualitative study. *BMC Psychiatry*. Apr 25 2018;18(1):111.
doi:10.1186/s12888-018-1692-0
84.   Usher K, Park T, Foster K. The experience of weight gain as a result of taking second-generation
antipsychotic medications: the mental health consumer perspective.
https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.12019. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*. 2013/11/01
2013;20(9):801-806. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.12019
85.   Vandyk AD, Baker C. Qualitative descriptive study exploring schizophrenia and the everyday
effect of medication-induced weight gain. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*.
2012;21(4):349-357. doi:10.1111/j.1447-0349.2011.00790.x
86.   Vazin R, McGinty EE, Dickerson F, et al. Perceptions of strategies for successful weight loss in
persons with serious mental illness participating in a behavioral weight loss intervention: A qualitative
study. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*. 2016;39(2):137-146. doi:10.1037/prj0000182
87.   Waite F, Langman A, Mulhall S, et al. The psychological journey of weight gain in psychosis.
Psychol Psychother. Feb 8 2022;doi:10.1111/papt.12386
88.   Watkins A, Denney-Wilson E, Curtis J, et al. Keeping the body in mind: A qualitative analysis of
the experiences of people experiencing first-episode psychosis participating in a lifestyle intervention
programme. *International journal of mental health nursing*. 2020;29(2):278-289.
doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/inm.12683
89.   Yarborough BJH, Stumbo SP, Cavese JA, Yarborough MT, Green CA. Patient perspectives on how
living with a mental illness affects making and maintaining healthy lifestyle changes. *Patient Educ Couns*.
Feb 2019;102(2):346-351. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2018.08.036
90.   Bochicchio L, Stefancic A, Gurdak K, Swarbrick M, Cabassa LJ. "We're All in this Together": Peer-
specialist Contributions to a Healthy Lifestyle Intervention for People with Serious Mental Illness.
Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research. 2019/05/01
2019;46(3):298-310. doi:10.1007/s10488-018-0914-6
91.   Sayer J, Paniagua D, Ballentine S, et al. Perspectives on diet and physical activity among urban
African Americans with serious mental illness. *Social Work in Health Care*. 2019/05/28 2019;58(5):509-
525. doi:10.1080/00981389.2019.1587662
92.   Xiao S, Baker C, Oyewumi LK. Psychosocial processes influencing weight management among
persons newly prescribed atypical antipsychotic medications. *Journal of Psychiatric & Mental Health
Nursing (John Wiley & Sons, Inc)*. 2012;19(3):241-247. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2850.2011.01773.x
93.   Yarborough B, Stumbo S, Yarborough M, Young T, Green C. Improving lifestyle interventions for
people with serious mental illnesses: Qualitataive results from the STRIDE study. *Psychiatric
Rehabilitation Journal*. 2016;39(1):33-41. doi:https://doi.apa.org/doi/10.1037/prj0000151

94.   Roberts SHB, Jois Elisabeth. An ethnographic study of the incentives and barriers to lifestyle
interventions for people with severe mental illness. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2013
2013;69(11):2514-24.
95.   Shiner B, Whitley R, Van Citters AD, Pratt SI, Bartels SJ. Learning what matters for patients:
qualitative evaluation of a health promotion program for those with serious mental illness. *Health*
Promotion International. 2008;23(3):275-282. doi:10.1093/heapro/dan018
96.   Gossage-Worrall R, Hind D, Barnard-Kelly KD, et al. STructured lifestyle education for people
WIth SchizophrEnia (STEPWISE): mixed methods process evaluation of a group-based lifestyle education
programme to support weight loss in people with schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019/11/13
2019;19(1):358. doi:10.1186/s12888-019-2282-5
97.   Stefancic A, Bochicchio L, Tuda D, Harris Y, DeSomma K, Cabassa LJ. Strategies and Lessons
Learned for Supporting and Supervising Peer Specialists. *Psychiatr Serv*. May 1 2021;72(5):606-609.
doi:10.1176/appi.ps.202000515
98.   Williams J, Stubbs B, Gaughran F, Craig T. 'Walk This Way' - a pilot of a health coaching
intervention to reduce sedentary behaviour and increase low intensity exercise in people with serious
mental illness: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials*. Dec 12 2016;17(1):594.
doi:10.1186/s13063-016-1660-2
99.   Gossage-Worrall R, Holt RIG, Barnard KE, et al. STEPWISE – STructured lifestyle Education for
People WIth SchizophrEnia: a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials*. 2016/09/29
2016;17(1):475. doi:10.1186/s13063-016-1572-1
100.   Casagrande SS, Jerome GJ, Dalcin AT, et al. Randomized trial of achieving healthy lifestyles in
psychiatric rehabilitation: the ACHIEVE trial. *BMC Psychiatry*. Dec 13 2010;10:108. doi:10.1186/1471-
244x-10-108
101.   Bradshaw T, Wearden A, Marshall M, et al. Developing a healthy living intervention for people
with early psychosis using the Medical Research Council's guidelines on complex interventions: phase 1
of the HELPER - InterACT programme. *Int J Nurs Stud*. Apr 2012;49(4):398-406.
doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2011.10.008
102.   Carey ME, Barnett J, Doherty Y, et al. Reducing weight gain in people with schizophrenia,
schizoaffective disorder, and first episode psychosis: describing the process of developing the
STructured lifestyle Education for People With SchizophrEnia (STEPWISE) intervention. *Pilot and*
Feasibility Studies. 2018/12/17 2018;4(1):186. doi:10.1186/s40814-018-0378-1
103.   Looijmans AJ, F. , Bruggeman R, Schoevers R, Corpeleijn E. Design of the Lifestyle Interventions
for severe mentally ill Outpatients in the Netherlands (LION) trial; a cluster randomised controlled study
of a multidimensional web tool intervention to improve cardiometabolic health in patients with severe
mental illness. *BMC Psychiatry*. Mar 21 2017;17(1):107. doi:10.1186/s12888-017-1265-7
104.   Park T, Usher K, Foster K. Description of a healthy lifestyle intervention for people with serious
mental illness taking second-generation antipsychotics. *Int J Ment Health Nurs*. Dec 2011;20(6):428-37.
doi:10.1111/j.1447-0349.2011.00747.x
105.   Goodrich DE, Kilbourne AM, Nord KM, Bauer MS. Mental health collaborative care and its role in
primary care settings. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. Aug 2013;15(8):383. doi:10.1007/s11920-013-0383-2
106.   McCloughen A, Foster K. Weight gain associated with taking psychotropic medication: an
integrative review. *International journal of mental health nursing*. 2011;20(3):202-22.
doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1447-0349.2010.00721.x
107.   Chalfoun CK, Antony D. Stip, Emmanuel Abdel-Baki, Amal. Running for your life: A review of
physical activity and cardiovascular disease risk reduction in individuals with schizophrenia. *Journal of*
sports sciences. 2016;34(16):1500-15. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1119875

108.   Roberts SHB, Jois Elisabeth. Incentives and barriers to lifestyle interventions for people with
severe mental illness: a narrative synthesis of quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies.
Journal of advanced nursing. 2011 2011;67(4):690-708.
109.   Sankaranarayanan A, Johnson K, Mammen SJ, et al. Disordered Eating among People with
Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Review. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(11).
doi:10.3390/nu13113820
110.   Alliende LM, Mittal VA. Weight stigma interventions as future avenues for stigma resistance:
comment on Dubreucq et al. *Psychological Medicine*. 2023:1-3. doi:10.1017/S0033291723000946
111.   Cohen AN, Golden JF, Young AS. Peer wellness coaches for adults with mental illness. *Psychiatr*
Serv. Jan 1 2014;65(1):129-30. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.650101
112.   Verhaeghe NC, Els Vereecken, Carine De Maeseneer, Jan Maes, Lea Van Heeringen, Cornelis De
Bacquer, Dirk Annemans, Lieven. Health promotion in individuals with mental disorders: a cluster
preference randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2013/07/15 2013;13(1):657.
doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-657
113.   Verhaeghe N, De Maeseneer J, Maes L, Van Heeringen C, Annemans L. Health promotion in
mental health care: perceptions from patients and mental health nurses. *J Clin Nurs*. Jun 2013;22(11-
12):1569-78. doi:10.1111/jocn.12076
114.   Roberts SHB, Jois E. Incentives and barriers to lifestyle interventions for people with severe
mental illness: a narrative synthesis of quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies. *Journal of*
advanced nursing. 2011;67(4):690-708. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05546.x
115.   Roberts SHB, Jois E. An ethnographic study of the incentives and barriers to lifestyle
interventions for people with severe mental illness. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2013;69(11):2514-24.
doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jan.12136
116.   Bochicchio L, Stefancic A, McTavish C, Tuda D, Cabassa LJ. "Being There" vs "Being Direct:"
Perspectives of Persons with Serious Mental Illness on Receiving Support with Physical Health from Peer
and Non-Peer Providers. *Adm Policy Ment Health*. May 2021;48(3):539-550. doi:10.1007/s10488-020-
01098-z
117.   Green CA, Yarborough BJ, Leo MC, et al. Weight maintenance following the STRIDE lifestyle
intervention for individuals taking antipsychotic medications. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. Oct
2015;23(10):1995-2001. doi:10.1002/oby.21205
118.   Curtis J, Watkins A, Rosenbaum S, et al. Evaluating an individualized lifestyle and life skills
intervention to prevent antipsychotic-induced weight gain in first-episode psychosis. *Early intervention*
in psychiatry. 2016;10(3):267-76. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eip.12230
119.   Green CA, Yarborough BJH, Leo MC, et al. The STRIDE Weight Loss and Lifestyle Intervention for
Individuals Taking Antipsychotic Medications: A Randomized Trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*.
2015/01/01 2015;172(1):71-81. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14020173
120.   Fakhoury WK, Wright D, Wallace M. Prevalence and extent of distress of adverse effects of
antipsychotics among callers to a United Kingdom National Mental Health Helpline. *International clinical*
psychopharmacology. 2001;16(3):153-162.
121.   Barnes TRE, Drake R, Paton C, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for the pharmacological
treatment of schizophrenia: Updated recommendations from the British Association for
Psychopharmacology. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*. 2020/01/01 2019;34(1):3-78.
doi:10.1177/0269881119889296
122.   Maidment ID, Brown P, Calnan M. An exploratory study of the role of trust in medication
management within mental health services. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*. 2011/08/01
2011;33(4):614-620. doi:10.1007/s11096-011-9510-5

123.   Woodall AA, Abuzour AS, Wilson SA, et al. Management of antipsychotics in primary care:
Insights from healthcare professionals and policy makers in the United Kingdom. *PLOS ONE*.
2024;19(3):e0294974. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0294974
124.   Nash A, Kingstone T, Farooq S, Tunmore J, Chew-Graham CA. Switching antipsychotics to
support the physical health of people with severe mental illness: a qualitative study of healthcare
professionals' perspectives. *BMJ Open*. Feb 26 2021;11(2):e042497. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042497
125.   Gronholm PC, Chowdhary N, Barbui C, et al. Prevention and management of physical health
conditions in adults with severe mental disorders: WHO recommendations. *International Journal of*
Mental Health Systems. 2021;15:1-10.
126.   National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults:*
prevention and management. Clinical guideline [CG178]. 2014. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg178
127.   National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Rehabilitation for adults with complex*
psychosis [CG181]. 2020. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng181/chapter/Recommendations#person-
centred-care-planning-through-assessment-and-formulation
128.   National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Bipolar disorder: assessment and management*
[CG185]

. 2014. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg185/chapter/Recommendations#monitoring-physical-
health-in-secondary-care
129.   de Boer N, Cahn W. Antipsychotic-induced weight gain: Is the weight over? New guidelines
needed. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2022/09/01 2022;146(3):185-189.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.13485
130.   Chronister J, Fitzgerald S, Chou C-C. The meaning of social support for persons with serious
mental illness: A family member perspective. *Rehabilitation psychology*. 2021;66(1):87.
131.   Barnes T, Paton C, Hancock E, Cavanagh M, Taylor D, Lelliott P. on behalf of the UK Prescribing
Observatory for Mental Health. Screening for the metabolic syndrome in community psychiatric patients
prescribed antipsychotics: a quality improvement programme. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*.
2008;118(1):26-33.
132.   Blanner Kristiansen C, Juel A, Vinther Hansen M, Hansen AM, Kilian R, Hjorth P. Promoting
physical health in severe mental illness: patient and staff perspective. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*.
2015/12/01 2015;132(6):470-478. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12520
133.   Brown A, Flint SW, Batterham RL. Pervasiveness, impact and implications of weight stigma.
eClinicalMedicine. 2022;47doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101408
134.   Frühbeck G, Toplak H, Woodward E, Yumuk V, Maislos M, Oppert J-M. Obesity: the gateway to ill
health-an EASO position statement on a rising public health, clinical and scientific challenge in Europe.
Obesity facts. 2013;6(2):117-120.
135.   Malterud K, Ulriksen K. Obesity, stigma, and responsibility in health care: A synthesis of
qualitative studies. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*.
2011;6(4):8404.
136.   Moore CH, Oliver TL, Randolph J, Dowdell EB. Interventions for reducing weight bias in
healthcare providers: An interprofessional systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Obesity*.
2022/12/01 2022;12(6):e12545. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/cob.12545
137.   Blane DN, Macdonald S, O'Donnell CA. What works and why in the identification and referral of
adults with comorbid obesity in primary care: A realist review. *Obesity Reviews*. 2020/04/01
2020;21(4):e12979. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12979
138.   Dubreucq J, Plasse J, Franck N. Self-stigma in Serious Mental Illness: A Systematic Review of
Frequency, Correlates, and Consequences. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2021;47(5):1261-1287.
doi:10.1093/schbul/sbaa181

139.   Hunger JM, Major B. Weight stigma mediates the association between BMI and self-reported
health. *Health Psychology*. 2015;34(2):172.
140.   Lawn S. In it together: physical health and well-being for people with mental illness. *Australian &*
New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2012;46(1):14-17.
141.   Fitzgerald I, Crowley EK, Ní Dhubhlaing C, O'Dwyer S, Sahm LJ. Informing the development of
antipsychotic-induced weight gain management guidance: patient experiences and preferences –
qualitative descriptive study. *BJPsych Open*. 2024;10(5):e136. e136. doi:10.1192/bjo.2024.725
142.   Hughes CA, Ahern AL, Kasetty H, et al. Changing the narrative around obesity in the UK: a survey
of people with obesity and healthcare professionals from the ACTION-IO study. *BMJ Open*.
2021;11(6):e045616. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045616
143.   Puhl RM, Lessard LM, Himmelstein MS, Foster GD. The roles of experienced and internalized
weight stigma in healthcare experiences: Perspectives of adults engaged in weight management across
six countries. *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(6):e0251566. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0251566
144.   McMaster CM, Paxton SJ, Maguire S, et al. The need for future research into the assessment and
monitoring of eating disorder risk in the context of obesity treatment. *International Journal of Eating*
Disorders. 2023;56(5):914-924.
145.   Romano KA, Heron KE, Henson JM. Examining associations among weight stigma, weight bias
internalization, body dissatisfaction, and eating disorder symptoms: Does weight status matter? *Body*
Image. 2021;37:38-49.
146.   Stice E, Shaw HE. Role of body dissatisfaction in the onset and maintenance of eating pathology:
A synthesis of research findings. *Journal of psychosomatic research*. 2002;53(5):985-993.
147.   Treichler EB, Lucksted AA. The role of sense of belonging in self-stigma among people with
serious mental illnesses. *Psychiatric rehabilitation journal*. 2018;41(2):149.
148.   Browne J, Mueser KT, Meyer-Kalos P, Gottlieb JD, Estroff SE, Penn DL. The therapeutic alliance in
individual resiliency training for first episode psychosis: Relationship with treatment outcomes and
therapy participation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2019;87(8):734.
149.   Dubreucq J, Ycart B, Gabayet F, et al. Towards an improved access to psychiatric rehabilitation:
availability and effectiveness at 1-year follow-up of psychoeducation, cognitive remediation therapy,
cognitive behaviour therapy and social skills training in the FondaMental Advanced Centers of Expertise-
Schizophrenia (FACE-SZ) national cohort. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*.
2019/08/01 2019;269(5):599-610. doi:10.1007/s00406-019-01001-4
150.   Pharoah F, Mari JJ, Rathbone J, Wong W. Family intervention for schizophrenia. *Cochrane*
Database of Systematic Reviews. 2010;(12)doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000088.pub3
151.   Talumaa B, Brown A, Batterham RL, Kalea AZ. Effective strategies in ending weight stigma in
healthcare. *Obesity Reviews*. 2022/10/01 2022;23(10):e13494. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.13494
152.   de Jong M, Jansen N, van Middelkoop M. A systematic review of patient barriers and facilitators
for implementing lifestyle interventions targeting weight loss in primary care. *Obesity Reviews*.
2023/08/01 2023;24(8):e13571. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.13571
153.   Szczekala K, Kanadys K, Wiktor K, Wiktor H. Significance of motivational interviewing in public
health. *Polish Journal of Public Health*. 2018;128(3):128-131.
154.   Armstrong MJ, Mottershead TA, Ronksley PE, Sigal RJ, Campbell TS, Hemmelgarn BR.
Motivational interviewing to improve weight loss in overweight and/or obese patients: a systematic
review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Obesity Reviews*. 2011/09/01 2011;12(9):709-
723. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2011.00892.x
155.   Barnes RD, Ivezaj V. A systematic review of motivational interviewing for weight loss among
adults in primary care. *Obesity Reviews*. 2015/04/01 2015;16(4):304-318.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12264

156.   Ells LJ, Ashton M, Li R, et al. Can We Deliver Person-Centred Obesity Care Across the Globe? *Curr*
Obes Rep. Dec 2022;11(4):350-355. doi:10.1007/s13679-022-00489-7
157.   Higgs S, Ruddock H. *Social influences on eating. In: Meiselman, H. (eds)*. Handbook of eating and
drinking. Springer, Cham; 2020:277-291.
158.   Rodgers M, Dalton J, Harden M, Street A, Parker G, Eastwood A. Integrated Care to Address the
Physical Health Needs of People with Severe Mental Illness: A Mapping Review of the Recent Evidence
on Barriers, Facilitators and Evaluations. *Int J Integr Care*. Jan 25 2018;18(1):9. doi:10.5334/ijic.2605
159.   Woodall A. Managing patients on atypical antipsychotics in primary care. *Prescriber*. 2021;32(11-
12):18-23.
160.   van Hasselt FM, Oud MJT, Loonen AJM. Practical recommendations for improvement of the
physical health care of patients with severe mental illness. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2015/05/01
2015;131(5):387-396. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12372
161.   Grünwald LM, Duddy C, Byng R, Crellin N, Moncrieff J. The role of trust and hope in
antipsychotic medication reviews between GPs and service users a realist review. *BMC Psychiatry*.
2021/08/04 2021;21(1):390. doi:10.1186/s12888-021-03355-3
162.   Lichwala-Zyla C, Price JH, Dake JA, Jordan T, Price JA. Psychiatrists' perceptions and practices in
treating patients' obesity. *Academic Psychiatry*. 2009;33:370-376.
163.   Dixon LB, Holoshitz Y, Nossel I. Treatment engagement of individuals experiencing mental
illness: review and update. *World Psychiatry*. 2016/02/01 2016;15(1):13-20.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20306
164.   Firth JS, N. Koyanagi, A. Siskind, D. Rosenbaum, S. Galletly, C. Allan, S. Caneo, C. Carney, R.
Carvalho, A. F. Chatterton, M. L. Correll, C. U. Curtis, J. Gaughran, F. Heald, A. Hoare, E. Jackson, S. E.
Kisely, S. Lovell, K. Maj, M. McGorry, P. D. Mihalopoulos, C. Myles, H. O'Donoghue, B. Pillinger, T. Sarris,
J. Schuch, F. B. Shiers, D. Smith, L. Solmi, M. Suetani, S. Taylor, J. Teasdale, S. B. Thornicroft, G. Torous, J.
Usherwood, T. Vancampfort, D. Veronese, N. Ward, P. B. Yung, A. R. Killackey, E. Stubbs, B. The Lancet
Psychiatry Commission: a blueprint for protecting physical health in people with mental illness. *Lancet*
Psychiatry. Aug 2019;6(8):675-712. doi:10.1016/s2215-0366(19)30132-4
165.   Johnson CF, Ingram F, Thomson F, Srireddy P, Jani BD, Greenlaw N. General practice pharmacist-
led antipsychotic physical health monitoring: a prospective intervention scoping study. *Family Practice*.
2024;41(1):41-49. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmad120
166.   Keepers GA, Fochtmann LJ, Anzia JM, et al. The American Psychiatric Association Practice
Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2020/09/01
2020;177(9):868-872. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.177901
167.   Melamed OC, Wong EN, LaChance LR, Kanji S, Taylor VH. Interventions to Improve Metabolic
Risk Screening Among Adult Patients Taking Antipsychotic Medication: A Systematic Review. *Psychiatric*
Services. 2019/12/01 2019;70(12):1138-1156. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201900108
168.   Bignall T, Jeraj S, Helsby E, Butt J. Racial disparities in mental health: Literature and evidence
review. Race Equality Foundation and Health and Well-being Alliance, 2019. 2021.
169.   Guy A, Davies J, Rizq R. Guidance for Psychological Therapists: Enabling conversations with
clients taking or withdrawing from prescribed psychiatric drugs (an all-party Parliamentary Report for
Prescribed Drug Dependence publication). 2019;
170.   NHS England. *Stopping Over-medication of People with a Learning Disability, Autism Or Both*
(STOPM). NHS England; 2017.
171.   Hsieh K, Rimmer JH, Heller T. Obesity and associated factors in adults with intellectual disability.
Journal of Intellectual Disability Research. 2014;58(9):851-863.
172.   Vancampfort D, Schuch F, Van Damme T, et al. Metabolic syndrome and its components in
people with intellectual disability: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*.
2020;64(10):804-815.

Table 1. Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria used for abstract and full text screening

Inclusion	Exclusion
People with SMI (schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder and all other non-organic psychoses) Taking antipsychotics (British National Formulary [BNF] 18, Chapter 4; section 3.6). Informal (family/friends) carers for people with SMI Adults aged > 18 years old Practitioners supporting people with SMI	People without SMI Medication other than antipsychotics Studies where the population includes <18s unless papers are identified as contributing unique data
Non-pharmacological interventions Including lifestyle interventions, focused on diet, exercise/physical activity Complex interventions with multiple components	Pharmacological interventions and non-pharmacological interventions delivered in combination with pharmacological interventions Surgical interventions
All settings Including hospital/in-patient, community/out-patient, supported housing	No exclusions; included documents were labelled according to setting at the point of inclusion
All document types / study designs	Conference abstracts were excluded where the same study was reported in more detail in a separate document
All countries	
English language	Non-English language

Table 2. Context-Mechanisms-Outcomes configurations

1. Building therapeutic relationships with professionals When individuals with SMI and anti-psychotic weight gain develop therapeutic relationships with non-judgemental, honest professionals who objectively explain the importance of interventions in lay terms (C: context), individuals are more likely to follow the advice and engage with the interventions (O: outcome), because they feel respected by professionals (M: mechanism) and trust that professionals apply expert knowledge to their needs (M).[50,68,75,79,81,89,95,100,113,116]
2. The scope of practitioners When practitioners working with individuals with SMI (severe mental Illness) and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications have specialized knowledge of weight management challenges associated with SMI medications (C), proactive assessment and weight management are more likely to be incorporated into individuals' treatment plans (O), due to practitioners' awareness of critical mental and physical health indicators (M).[15,48,51,52,75,77,79,80,82,84,85,89,101,103,107,116]
3. Coordinated/integrated care approaches When those involved in caring for individuals with SMI and anti-psychotic induced weight gain offer consistent contact and work together with individuals to assess and monitor their care needs (C), co-developed goals are more likely to be met (O), due to greater shared responsibility between individuals and key services (M).[88,105,116]
4. Important assessment considerations: addressing negative body image When individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications have negative body image due to weight stigma, skilled professionals can help 'reframe' their situation (e.g. using functionality-based techniques) (C), potentially decreasing weight stigma and increasing positive body image (O) due to individuals' greater appreciation of themselves as holistic human beings (M).[43,67,87]
5. Important assessment considerations: addressing existing disordered eating When SUs with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications have existing disordered eating behaviours, early assessment by skilled professionals (C), may result in a more effective obesity management plan (O), due to individual and practitioner awareness (M) of how disordered eating behaviours can negatively impact an individual's mental and physical health.[69,74,109,110]
6. Behaviour change strategies to increase readiness for participation When individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications receive early behaviour change support from skilled professionals (C), they are more apt to consider participation in weight management options (O), because they have increased perceptions of self-efficacy (M).[42,43,45,47,50,53,60,61,68,70,100,103,104,106,118]
7. Early-to-maintenance phases of weight management When individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications are offered flexible program options (e.g., individual, group sessions) using an individualised 'small steps' approach by skilled practitioners (C), individuals are more apt to begin co-developing relevant, manageable behaviour change goals (O), because these approaches are easier to integrate into daily routines, building on individuals' sense of achievement (M).[43-45,48,53,59,60,62-64,66,70-72,74,83,85,88-90,95,99-102,104,106,116,119]
8. Maintenance-to transition phases of weight management When individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications have ongoing, individualized support from empathic, trained practitioners and/or peer mentors to better manage their specific life challenges and opportunities (C), individuals are more likely to transition to autonomous goal-setting and self-monitoring (O), because they feel empowered by their increased perceptions of control over lifestyle management (M).[60,64,70,73,75,83,87,88,90,104,105,119]
9. Using tools for self-management

When individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications employ data from easy-to-use, convenient, lifestyle tracking tools and self-monitoring devices (e.g., fitbits) to monitor the impacts of their behaviours (C), individuals are more motivated to attain and maintain lifestyle changes (O), due to increased self-awareness of how certain behaviours (e.g., diet, exercise) can positively or negatively influence their health (M).[47,50,52,55,56,58-60,64-66,68,70,90,97,98,100,103,104,111]

10. Succeeding with family and friend support

When individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotics have family members/friends who emotionally support them with encouragement, praise and recognition; provide practical support (e.g., transportation); and/or actively participate with them in weight management activities (C), individuals are more apt to achieve and sustain their behaviour change goals (O), due to increased social reinforcement for their lifestyle change efforts (M).[43,55,64,70,73,74,77,78,80,83,86,91,92]

11. Facilitated, Peer Group Participation

When individuals with SMI and weight gain from antipsychotic medications participate in facilitated, community-based, small-group weight management programs with similar group participants in a psychologically safe, non-stigmatizing space (C), individuals are more likely to engage in activities and learn important social skills, such as co-operation and accountability (O), due to a sense of belonging among peers (M).[42,43,45,46,48,49,51,53,57,60,62,63,66,69,71,76,79,81,83,85,86,88,92,93,95,96,98-100,102,104,106,114-116,119]

12. Trained Peer Mentor

When individuals with SMI and weight gain from anti-psychotic medications receive positive, unconditional support from appropriately trained, empathic, caring peers who openly share their own experiences and practical life skills (C), individuals are more likely to engage in and adopt healthier lifestyle behaviours (O) because peer supports' shared experiences are more credible to them (M) and there is an increased sense of hope (M) for more positive lifestyle outcomes.[43,45,52,54-56,59,60,70,90,95,97,111,116]

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram