Psilocybin mushrooms and public health in Brazil: a low-risk adverse event profile calls for evidence-based regulatory discussions

Marcel Nogueira [a], Solimary García-Hernández [a, b],
Gleicy Sotéro Roberto [a, c, d], Leonardo Zanella Marques [a]

[a] Scientific Department, Instituto Micélio Sagrado, São Paulo, Brazil.

[b] Department of Ecology, Biosciences Institute, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

[c] Department of Clinical and Toxicological Analyses, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

[d] Interdisciplinary Cooperation for Ayahuasca Research and Outreach (ICARO), School of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

* Corresponding author at: Scientific Department, Instituto Micélio Sagrado, Avenida Paulista, 1471, Conj. 511 – CP 3273, ZIP code 01311-927, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil. *E-mail address:* marcel@institutomiceliosagrado.org

Abstract

Background: Due to inconsistencies in current drug policies and regulatory frameworks, there is a lack of knowledge regarding the adverse event profile of psilocybin mushrooms in Brazil. Our study investigated whether these fungi have potential for abuse and pose a risk to public health. **Methods:** We conducted a retrospective cross-sectional study following the STROBE Initiative guidelines, using data from the Sistema de Agravos de Notificação (SINAN) to obtain a representative sample of adverse events reported between 2007 and 2022. Participants were divided into three groups: drug abuse, psilocybin mushrooms, and unknown mushrooms. The clinical outcomes evaluated were non-hospitalization, hospitalization, and death. To analyze associations between categorical variables, we applied the Chi-square test (χ^2). **Results:** During the study period, 112,451 individuals sought medical attention due to adverse events associated with drug abuse. Men (n = 79.514; 70.7%), whites (n = 37.565; 33.4%), and the 26-35 age group (n = 29.163; 25.9%) were the most well-represented ($p < 0.001$). Alcohol (n = 71.824; 49.2%) ($p < 0.001$) was the primary toxic agent. Hospitalization and mortality rates in the drug abuse group were 19.5% (n = 21,923) and 1.8% (n = 2035), respectively. Psilocybin mushroom group included 13 adverse events, which represents 0.02% of all hospitalizations (n = 6; 46.2%). Unknown mushroom group accounted for 51 adverse events, comprising 0.04% of hospitalizations (n = 12; 23.5%). There were no fatalities in either the psilocybin or unknown mushroom groups. Most hospitalizations involved alcohol (45.0%) and deaths represented mainly by cocaine (33.3%). **Conclusion:** While our findings suggest that psilocybin mushrooms present a low-risk profile of adverse events, underreporting is a possibility. Given the increasing public interest in psilocybin mushrooms, this study underscores the importance of evidence-based regulatory discussions to prevent arbitrary arrests and ensure safe access to psilocybin for both clinical and ceremonial purposes.

Keywords

Psilocybin; Psilocybe cubensis; Psychedelics; Drug policy; Drug abuse; Adverse events

Introduction

Psilocybin mushrooms and other psychedelics have a long history of use, dating back to prehistoric times in some civilizations (Carod-Artal, 2015; Guerra-Doce, 2015). Even after more than 50 years of prohibition in several countries, the use of psychedelics remained clandestine, often associated with spiritual retreats located in regions with less restrictive laws (Rucker & Young, 2021). This clandestine use contributed to the resurgence of scientific research on the clinical use of these drugs in psychiatry, especially psilocybin — the main serotonergic alkaloid agonist produced by psilocybin mushrooms (Irizarry et al., 2022), which has seen its consumption increase in the last decade (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2020). This renewed interest is largely due to the substance's fast-acting antidepressant properties, which play a crucial role in regulating mood, anxiety, perception, among other psychological processes (Irizarry et al., 2022).

An observational study focusing on the benefits of psilocybin mushrooms gathered data from 1368 people who self-administered these fungi for mental health disorders and specific life concerns. The study revealed positive changes in aspects of well-being, psychiatric symptoms, social-emotional skills, and healthy behaviors (Kopra et al., 2023). Moreover, other studies on synthetic psilocybin demonstrate improved quality of life and prolonged reductions in symptoms of anxiety and depression after a single dose (Goodwin et al., 2022, 2023; Griffiths et al., 2016; Irizarry et al., 2022).

Although it is not yet clear whether the benefits of psilocybin are generalizable to larger populations (Irizarry et al., 2022), public pressure and lobbying have influenced regulatory changes in some countries. In Australia, these efforts resulted in its legalization in 2023 (Haridy, 2023). Conversely, in the United States (USA), drug policy (Controlled Substances Act) classifies psilocybin as dangerous, without therapeutic application, and with a high potential for abuse. Experts point out that this classification was carried out in the absence of any pharmacological, neuroscientific, or psychiatric evidence (Levin et al., 2022). Despite this, the regulation of psilocybin still varies in some parts of the country,

such as the state of Oregon, which adopted a progressive policy by allowing the therapeutic use of this substance (Holoyda B, 2023).

Additionally, the hegemonic influence of the USA on international drug policy determines the classification system of regulatory agencies (Levin et al., 2022). In Brazil, for example, the Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (ANVISA) maintains psilocybin and psilocin (its active metabolite) as prohibited substances but does not mention any species of psilocybin mushrooms on the list of proscribed plants and fungi (BRASIL, 1998). This gap allows companies specializing in psilocybin mushrooms, such as *Psilocybe cubensis*, to operate in a “gray area” since the early 1990s, with publicly available information about their operations. Because of regulatory uncertainties surrounding psilocybin mushrooms and growing public interest, Brazilian media has reported arbitrary arrests of businesspeople in recent years. It is believed that such arbitrariness occurs both because of insufficient information about the nature and applicability of the fungus, and due to a lack of constitutionally adequate understanding on the subject by public security bodies and the Brazilian criminal justice system (BBC News Brasil, 2023; UOL, 2023).

It is worth mentioning that psilocybin mushrooms are naturally occurring fungi, primarily from the genus *Psilocybe*, which comprises approximately 50% of psychoactive species found in subtropical and humid regions, including the Brazilian biome (Plazas & Faraone, 2023). As they are not prohibited or under special control by ANVISA (BRASIL, 1998), there is no official information about adverse events (Appendix A). Given the current drug policy, which overlooks the therapeutic properties of psilocybin (Levin et al., 2022), we investigated whether psilocybin mushrooms pose a risk to Brazilian public health, have potential for abuse, and warrant evidence-based regulatory discussions.

Therefore, our objectives were:

1. To analyze the demographic profile of patients seeking emergency medical attention in Brazil due to adverse events from drug abuse, with a particular focus on identifying occurrences involving psilocybin and unknown mushrooms.

2. To estimate the prevalence of non-hospitalization, hospitalization and death associated with drug abuse, including psilocybin and unknown mushrooms.

3. To estimate overall prevalence rates between toxic agents for each of these outcomes.

Methods

Study design

Retrospective cross-sectional study conducted in accordance with the STROBE Initiative (von Elm et al., 2008). We use anonymized and publicly available information. No additional ethical approvals were required.

Setting

This study examined emergency department visits in Brazil for adverse events related to drug abuse, including hospital admissions and outpatient clinic visits, from 2007 to 2022.

Participants

We only included participants whose adverse event was reported as “drug abuse.” Participants involved in circumstances such as suicide attempt, accidental ingestion, habitual use, food ingestion, self-medication, therapeutic use, administration error, environmental intoxication, homicide, abortion attempt, medical prescription and not informed were excluded.

Outcomes

This study assessed participant outcomes, specifically focusing on the prevalence of non-hospitalization, hospitalization, and mortality following adverse events associated with drug abuse.

Data Sources/Measurement

Data were obtained from the Sistema de Informação de Agravos de Notificação (SINAN), a database maintained by the Departamento de Informação e

Informática do Sistema Único de Saúde (DATASUS), the Brazilian Unified Health System's information technology department. The SINAN system plays a crucial role in monitoring Brazilian public health by systematically collecting information on notifiable diseases and adverse events nationwide, including data from emergency medical services. Healthcare professionals from both public and private sectors contribute data to SINAN, which is then transmitted through a computerized network. This integrated system enables timely surveillance, analysis, and response to health issues, supporting effective public health interventions and providing a comprehensive overview of the country's health status.

Our focus is on records of “exogenous intoxication” in Brazil from 2007 to 2022. This period was chosen because the information was only available for these years at the time of data collection, and there were no data available for the preceding years. Exogenous intoxication refers to adverse events caused by exposure to chemical or toxic agents. These agents can enter the body through ingestion, inhalation, skin absorption, or contact with mucous membranes, leading to a range of symptoms from mild to severe and potentially fatal conditions. Examples include medications, drugs, chemicals, plants, pesticides, and others (Lisboa et al., 2023).

The data collection was conducted in July 2023 and evaluated year by year using the TabWin software. Subsequently, data was transferred to Microsoft Excel for filtering, focusing solely on cases of drug abuse. Due to a significant number of erroneous records and inconsistencies in the nomenclature of reported substances, MN standardized the data as follows:

1. Substance name conversion: ethyl alcohol, alcoholic beverages, street drug names, and plant-based drugs were converted to their scientific nomenclature.

2. Error correction: duplicates, typos, and other inaccuracies in substance names (misspellings, incorrect abbreviations) were corrected.

3. Unknown substance classification: terms like "drugs," "illegal drug," "unknown medicine," "plant," and "toxic plant" were all categorized as "unknown substance."

4. Mushroom standardization: "mushroom tea (psilocybin)" and "*Psilocybe cubensis*" were categorized as "psilocybin mushrooms," while "toxic mushroom" or simply "mushroom" were categorized as "unknown mushroom."

5. Medication Standardization: medication names were standardized using the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system, and combination drugs were stratified by their individual active ingredients.

   Following these steps, the sample was divided into three groups: drug abuse, psilocybin mushrooms, and unknown mushrooms.

Bias

To ensure the accuracy and consistency of the data analyzed in this study, an initial statistical verification was conducted by the authors. Additionally, to strengthen the reliability of the results, the statistical analysis was reviewed by an independent expert. The review confirmed the accuracy of the data and the appropriateness of the methods used, demonstrating the robustness of the study.

Sample size

We present a representative sample of emergency department visits due to drug abuse from a historical series. Since there is no official data on psilocybin mushrooms consumption in Brazil, and considering the hypothesis that adverse events from these fungi are rare (Winstock A et al., 2017), we searched for psilocybin-related entries within the existing drug abuse information (DATASUS) to analyze the preliminary estimates of the prevalence in our population.

To ensure that the preliminary estimates of the prevalence accurately reflected a low-risk adverse event profile, we calculated the minimum sample size required

for a margin of error of 0.01% and a confidence level of 95%. Following the methodological guidelines proposed by (Chow et al., 2017; Kish L, 1965; Lohr, 2010), we applied the proportion formula:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \times p \times (1-p)}{E^2}$$

Where:

“n" = required sample size.

“Z” = Z-score for the desired confidence level.

“p” = estimated proportion of psilocybin-related entries within existing drug abuse information.

E = margin of error.

Variables

We used frequency and percentage to describe the demographic characteristics. These variables include the following distributions: sex, age group (<18, 18-25, 26-35, 36-45, 46-55, 56-65, 65+), race, toxic agent, number of toxic agents administered per participant, diagnostic criteria, type of exposure, final classification, and clinical evolution.

The age groups were chosen to represent distinct life stages and potential differences in susceptibility to adverse events. Presenting the data as frequencies and percentages allows for a straightforward comparison of these demographic characteristics across all groups.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed through RStudio version 4.3 and Microsoft Excel 365. To assess associations between variables, we employed the chi-square test as part of inferential statistics, with a statistical significance level of ≤ 5%. In this retrospective cross-sectional study, we utilized a 99% confidence interval (CI) to compare the prevalence rates of outcomes between psilocybin and unknown

mushroom groups due to the small sample sizes. This decision was made to account for the rarity of the outcome and provide a more conservative estimate of variability, given the limitations of the study design.

Results

Participants

In the years 2007 to 2022, 924,736 individuals sought medical attention for the adverse event “exogenous intoxication”. Among the circumstances involved, suicide attempts (n = 367,061), accidental intoxication (n = 171,247) and drug abuse (n = 112,451) stood out. All drug abuse cases were included in our study (Figure 1). We then separated participants into three groups: drug abuse (n = 112,451), psilocybin mushrooms (n = 13), and unknown mushrooms (n = 51).

Descriptive data

Demographic characteristics of drug abuse group

When analyzing the historical series of 112,451 adverse events due to drug abuse, we noticed that all categorical variables revealed a p-value < 0.001 (Table 1). Men (n = 79,514; 70.7%), the age group 26-35 (n = 29,163; 25.9%), and white individuals (n = 37,565; 33.4%) were the most representative. We classified a total of 18 toxic agents (Table 2). Overall, most of these agents correspond to alcohol (n = 71,824; 49.2%) (p < 0.001). Among medications (n = 14,794; 10.1%), the most notable classes were antiepileptics (p < 0.001), which stood out in all outcomes analyzed in this study (Appendix B). In 69.2% (n = 77,768) of cases, a single toxic agent was administered. The most common adverse event confirmation criterion was clinical (n = 65,079; 57.9%), with single dose acute exposure (n = 42,788; 38.1%) and the final classification “confirmed intoxication” (n = 88,595; 78.8%) being the most frequent. Missing data constituted a portion of the categorical variables, such as race (n = 28,769; 25.6%), age group (n = 3694; 3.3%), sex (n = 16; 0%), and type of exposure (n = 24,634; 21.9%).

Demographic characteristics of psilocybin mushroom group

We identified only 13 adverse events. It is important to highlight that these records exclusively included men. Only the variables age group 18-25 years (n = 8;

61.5%) ($p = 0.006$) and final classification confirmed intoxication (n = 12; 92.3%) ($p = 0.002$) were statistically significant (Table 1).

Demographic characteristics of unknown mushroom group

During the period evaluated, 51 adverse events were reported. Except for co-administration of a toxic agent per case, all other variables presented a statistically significant p-value ($p < 0.001$). Notably, the majority were men (n = 44; 86.3%), white (n = 29; 56.9%), and individuals aged 18-25 (n = 23; 45.1%). Administration of only unknown mushrooms (n = 34; 66.7%), type of single dose acute exposure (n = 35; 68.6%), and final classification of confirmed intoxication (n = 35; 68.6%) were also evident (Table 1).

Outcome data

Drug abuse group

a. Non-hospitalization

For this outcome (n = 88,493), all variables were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (Table 3). Male individuals (n = 61,744; 69.8%), aged 26-35 (n = 23,162; 26.2%), and white individuals (n = 29,862; 33.8%) stand out. Alcohol (n = 57,577; 50.7%) was the most administered toxic agent (Table 2). There was complete remission in the clinical evolution of 68,351 cases (77.2%) ($p < 0.001$), followed by partial remission (n = 2952; 3.34%) and lost to follow-up (n = 3823; 4.32%). The outcomes of 13,367 individuals (15.1%) were not recorded.

b. Hospitalization

Excluding the type of exposure, the other variables revealed statistically significant associations ($p < 0.001$) (Table 3). The sample of hospitalized patients (n = 21,923) was mainly composed of men (n = 16,113; 73.5%), mixed race individuals (n = 7420; 33.9%), and those aged 26-35 (n = 5471; 25.0%). Once more, alcohol (n = 13,418; 45.0%) was the main agent observed (Table 2). The record of complete remission corresponded to 14,723 cases (67.2%). Information on the clinical evolution of 4004 hospitalization cases (18.3%) was not recorded.

c. Death

There were 2035 deaths recorded between 2007 and 2022. Table 3 shows that all variables demonstrated statistically significant associations ($p < 0.001$). Men (n = 1657; 81.4%), mixed race individuals (n = 808; 39.7%), and the age group 18-25 (n = 580; 28.5%) were the prominent categories. In contrast to the outcomes of non-hospitalization and hospitalization for drug abuse, cocaine (n = 831; 29.6%) was the major toxic agent (Table 2). Death post-hospitalization due to exogenous intoxication were reported in 781 cases (38.4%), whereas 719 individuals (35.4%) died from exogenous intoxication while receiving outpatient care prior to hospitalization.

Psilocybin mushroom group

a. Non-hospitalization

None of the variables showed statistical significance. Seven male individuals (100%) were not hospitalized, the majority of whom were white and aged 18-25 years (n = 5; 71.4%). Co-administration of toxic agents occurred in 4 adverse events (57.1%), which included the use of psilocybin mushrooms with alcohol, *Amanita muscaria* (a psychoactive mushroom), cocaine, and lastly, Ecstasy and inhalant drug (a mixture of ether, chloroform, and ethyl chloride). The clinical evolution was favorable in 6 cases (85.7%) (Table 4).

b. Hospitalizations

Hospitalization occurred in 6 cases, all involving men (100%), with a predominance of individuals of mixed race and the age group 18-25 (n = 3; 50%). Administration of psilocybin mushrooms alone corresponded to 4 records (66.7%). Five individuals (83.3%) recovered completely, and only 1 case did not present a clinical outcome. Nonetheless, like the group non-hospitalization for psilocybin mushrooms, none of the variables revealed statistically significant associations (Table 4).

c. Death

There were no deaths reported in the psilocybin mushroom group.

Unknown mushroom group

a. Non-hospitalization

Apart from co-administration of toxic agents, all categorical variables were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$). Of the 39 non-hospitalizations, 32 (82.1%) involved male individuals aged 18-25 years (n = 18; 46.2%). Ingestion of only unknown mushrooms represented 66.7% of adverse events (n = 26). Overall, the clinical evolution was favorable in 82.1% (n = 32). There was partial remission in 1 case, lost to follow-up in another, and 5 records were not informed (Table 5).

b. Hospitalization

Twelve men (100%) were hospitalized for ingesting unknown mushrooms. Only white individuals (n = 8; 66.7%) and those in the age group 18-25 (n = 5; 41.7%) showed a robust statistical association with hospitalization. Additionally, type of exposure single dose acute (n = 8; 66.7%), confirmed intoxication (n = 9; 75.0%), and complete remission (n = 10; 83.3%) also exhibited significant associations (Table 5).

c. Death

There were no deaths recorded in the unknown mushroom group.

Main results

Prevalence

a. Drug abuse group: non-hospitalization, hospitalization and death

In relation to this group, there was a prevalence of 78.7% of incidents that did not result in hospitalization. The prevalence rates of hospitalization and death due to drug abuse were 19.5% and 1.8%, respectively (Table 6).

b. Preliminary estimates of the prevalence and sample size

Based on the observed preliminary estimates of the prevalence (0.01156%), the proportion formula indicates a minimum sample size of 44,470 individuals who have ever used psilocybin mushrooms to achieve a 95% confidence level with a margin of error of 0.01%. This sample size ensures that the observed prevalence reflects the low-risk profile of adverse events.

c. Psilocybin mushroom and unknown mushroom groups: non-hospitalization and hospitalization

The prevalence of non-hospitalization was higher in the unknown mushroom group (76.5%) compared to the psilocybin mushroom group (53.8%) (Table 6). Conversely, hospitalization rates were higher among those consuming psilocybin mushrooms (46.2%; 99% CI: 10.6% - 81.6%) compared to the unknown mushroom group (23.5%; 99% CI: 8.3% - 38.7%). Despite this trend towards higher hospitalization rates in the psilocybin mushroom group, the difference was not statistically significant.

d. Drug abuse group: outcomes rates by toxic agent

To illustrate the impact of toxic agents, Figure 2 estimates hospitalization, non-hospitalization and death rates due to exogenous poisoning and from other causes. We can see that psilocybin mushrooms represented 0.02% of all hospitalizations, while the rate for unknown mushrooms is slightly higher, 0.04%. Most hospitalizations cases involved alcohol (45.0%), cocaine (18.9%), medications (12.2%), crack cocaine (8.3%) and cannabis (6.2%). Deaths due to exogenous intoxication were represented mainly by cocaine (33.3%) and, from another cause, by alcohol (51.9%).

Other analyzes

Prevalence of toxic agent-associated outcomes in different exposure groups

An analysis of hospitalization and mortality rates across various toxic agent exposure groups revealed significant differences (Table 7). Inhalants drugs had the highest mortality rate (11.8%), followed by unknown substances (4.7%) and other psychoactive drugs (4.4%). For hospitalization, *Amanita muscaria* was highest (50%, note small sample size). Alcohol (18.7% hospitalization, 1.2% mortality), cocaine (19.3% hospitalization, 2.8% mortality), ketamine (38.4% hospitalization, 0% mortality), medications (24.7% hospitalization, 1.0% mortality), crack cocaine (28.4% hospitalization, 1.9% mortality), ecstasy (24.8% hospitalization, 1.7% mortality), cannabis (22.1% hospitalization, 1.6% mortality), and other substances (25.0% hospitalization, 2.8% mortality) showed notable

trends. While causality cannot be established, these findings highlight variations in outcomes between exposure groups.

Discussion

This is the first study to present the adverse event profile associated with drug abuse in Brazil. We reveal that psilocybin mushrooms represent a low-risk adverse event compared to other toxic agents frequently abused by the Brazilian population. Our findings raise questions about the scientific incoherence of current drug policy (BRASIL, 1998; Levin et al., 2022) and point out that psilocybin mushrooms do not pose a risk to Brazilian public health and do not have abuse potential.

Supporting our findings, data from the Global Drug Survey (Winstock A et al., 2017) highlight their security aspects. Among the over 12,000 individuals who reported using psilocybin mushrooms in 2016, only nineteen (0.2%) needed medical attention (Kopra et al., 2022; Winstock A et al., 2017). Of these, eight (42%) were hospitalized but promptly recovered within twenty-four hours. From 2007 to 2022, the prevalence of hospitalization involving psilocybin mushrooms in Brazil was 46.2% (Table 6), a rate comparable to annual data from the Global Drug Survey. While this rate seems higher compared to hospitalizations observed for other toxic agents (Table 7), we should exercise caution in interpreting these data given the extremely small sample size of adverse events recorded in the historical series.

Although we have not identified the symptoms of adverse events leading to hospitalization in the psilocybin mushroom group, psilocybin is generally considered tolerable and safe, even in a variety of psychiatric and substance dependence conditions (Kaminski & Reinert, 2023). The most common acute side effects are transient, resolving within forty-eight hours, and include nausea, headaches, increased blood pressure, and heart rate (Kaminski & Reinert, 2023; Yerubandi et al., 2024). Furthermore, variations in psilocybin potency across species, strains, growth, and storage conditions make it difficult to estimate dosage (Gable, 2004). This significantly increases the risk of challenging

experiences and adverse reactions like anxiety, paranoia, and panic attacks, which may require medical attention. (Kopra et al., 2022, 2023).

Regarding psilocybin and unknown mushrooms cases, our analysis identified a statistically significant skew towards males within the eighteen to twenty-five age group who experienced adverse events. On the other hand, a systematic review demonstrated that gender may not be a factor in psychedelic-related incidents (Aday et al., 2021). This aligns with Kopra et al., 2022, who highlight factors like inadequate “set” and “setting,” younger age, and mixing substances as key contributors to adverse psychedelic experiences. Other exploratory study suggested a link between younger age and the intensity of challenging psychedelic experiences (Ko et al., 2023), which may necessitate urgent medical care (Kopra et al., 2022). Consistently, our findings correspond with the results of this previous research. The underrepresentation of older age groups in our data may be due to the influence of biological or psychological factors associated with aging. These factors could include the development of stronger coping mechanisms to manage negative or challenging psychedelic experiences, as suggested by Ko et al., 2023. For instance, older adults may have developed a greater sense of emotional stability, which can mitigate the intensity of challenging psychedelic experiences and reduce the likelihood of seeking medical attention. However, the rarity of these adverse events (Winstock A et al., 2017) also suggests further investigation into the prevalence of psilocybin mushrooms consumption in Brazil.

Despite the absence of comprehensive data, we employed a sample size calculation. The result revealed that 44,470 individuals would be needed to achieve a desired level of accuracy. This minimum sample size is crucial to ensure that the preliminary estimates of the prevalence fall within the specified margin of error, providing reliable results for a low-risk adverse event profile in the population. Considering the unrestricted sales since the early 1990s and widespread availability of psilocybin mushrooms in our country's biome, it is plausible that a substantial number of individuals have used them historically without needing medical attention. This plausibility is further supported by the favorable pharmacological safety profile of psilocybin mushrooms, which may

explain the rarity of such adverse events (Johnson et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2023; Kaminski & Reinert, 2023). In any case, this interpretation should be approached cautiously and highlights the importance of obtaining more robust data on consumption patterns in the future to validate these assumptions.

Given the lack of self-reported data in Brazil, exploring other drug safety profile findings can provide valuable insights. Like the Global Drug Survey results (Winstock A et al., 2017), Table 2 and Figure 2 indicate that psilocybin mushrooms are physiologically safe and represent a low-risk adverse event profile compared to other toxic agents such as alcohol, cocaine, crack cocaine, medications and cannabis. Additionally, a study by the National Survey on Drug Use and Health reinforced these findings by showing that psilocybin use is not associated with criteria for abuse and dependence, such as tolerance, physical/emotional problems, and significant personal issues (Jones et al., 2023).

Our analysis did not identify any fatalities associated with psilocybin mushrooms or unknown mushrooms, in contrast to the 1.8% mortality rate observed in the drug abuse group (Table 6). Notably, records within this group predominantly indicate younger men (Table 3) and cocaine use (Table 2, Figure 2). Among different exposure groups of toxic agents, inhalant drugs exhibited the highest mortality rate at 11.8%. Nonetheless, scientific literature reports rare instances of fatalities associated with psilocybin mushroom use in distinct situations. For instance, one case involved the ingestion of *Psilocybe semilanceata* (a wild mushroom) by a twenty-two-year-old man, who experienced nausea and vomiting, followed by loss of consciousness, coma, and death (Gerault A & Picart D, 1996). Another case concerns a twenty-four-year-old woman, a heart transplant recipient, who ingested an unknown quantity of psilocybin mushrooms and was admitted to the hospital after a cardiac arrest that resulted in death. The plasma toxicology test revealed the presence of psilocin (the active metabolite of psilocybin) and THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) (Lim et al., 2012), which highlights the co-administration of substances. Between 2000 and 2023, in Australia, ten deaths associated with psilocybin were reported, mainly among young men around twenty years old. The most common circumstance of death was a traumatic accident (n = 4), followed by an undetermined cause (n = 4) and

multiple drug intoxication (n = 2) (Darke et al., 2024). These cases could have been avoided in a regulatory scenario. Regulation is crucial to educate the population and prevent serious adverse events, including those from ingesting wild mushrooms, mixing with other psychoactive drugs, or using them in dangerous settings.

Even so, it’s important to note that deaths caused by psilocybin mushroom poisoning are extremely rare. This rarity might be due to the lack of evidence for psilocybin causing brain damage, organ failure, or addiction (Johnson et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2023; Kaminski & Reinert, 2023). Additionally, the amount of psilocybin needed for a fatal overdose is estimated to be around six grams, which is equivalent to roughly ten kilograms of fresh mushrooms. Because of this high amount, accidental overdose by eating psilocybin mushrooms is highly unlikely as vomiting would likely occur before a dangerous amount is absorbed (Johnson et al., 2018).

During the study analysis, we identified fifty-one adverse events due to unknown mushrooms. Brazil's diverse biome (Plazas & Faraone, 2023) and the common practice of foraging for fresh mushrooms complicate the confirmation of the type of fungi involved — whether they were toxic, contained psilocybin, or had other psychoactive properties. Notably, a 1.97-fold increase in prevalence ratio was observed among those hospitalized in the psilocybin mushroom group compared to the unknown mushroom group. However, the overlap of the confidence intervals suggests the difference in hospitalization prevalence between the two groups is not statistically significant, which could be attributable to chance and may not represent a true discrepancy. A possible explanation for the adverse events in the unknown mushrooms group is misidentification, with individuals potentially mistaking toxic or non-psychoactive mushrooms for psilocybin mushrooms. This context highlights the importance of regulation, as it would prevent serious adverse events by facilitating access to psilocybin mushrooms grown in a controlled environment.

In our study, we only considered adverse events associated with drug abuse. Nevertheless, it is important to recognize the increasing trend of self-treatment

with psilocybin mushrooms (Kopra et al., 2023). This trend is fueled by public interest in the mounting scientific evidence for psilocybin's benefits (Goodwin et al., 2022, 2023; Griffiths et al., 2016; Irizarry et al., 2022), such as long-term improvements in anxiety and depression symptoms, reduced alcohol consumption, and others (Nayak et al., 2023). The slow development of effective mental health medications (Nutt et al., 2024), inconsistent regulations (BRASIL, 1998; Levin et al., 2022), and a lack of psilocybin knowledge among healthcare professionals (Meyer et al., 2022), all contribute to people seeking self-treatment. Consequently, a minority seek guidance about psychedelics from healthcare professionals. A survey that examines information-seeking behavior of people using psychedelics shows that the most common source of participants' information was their own experimentation (Kruger et al., 2023). Understanding these factors is essential for contextualizing a potential explanation for the adverse events profile of psilocybin mushrooms in our analysis.

While potential risks exist, especially in the context of self-treatment, a systematic review with meta-analysis suggests that psychedelics are generally well-tolerated with a low likelihood of serious adverse events when administered in a controlled environment with appropriate screening criteria (Romeo et al., 2024). This highlights the importance of preparation and harm reduction. Therefore, we agree with the idea that psilocybin mushrooms administration in a regulated scenario should be preceded by proper guidance (Kruger et al., 2023) and conducted in suitable settings. Strategies to achieve this goal may include ongoing education for healthcare professionals (Meyer et al., 2022), sharing information with both patients and people who use psilocybin mushrooms for spiritual purposes (Kruger et al., 2023), and exploring the motivations behind psychedelic use (Basedow & Kuitunen-Paul, 2022). Even with these measures in place, it's important to acknowledge that psilocybin, like any psychoactive substance, is not entirely risk-free.

Potential harms from psilocybin include dangerous behavior in unprepared and unsupervised individuals, as well as exacerbation of mental illness in those with or predisposed to psychotic disorders (Johnson et al., 2018). Contraindications to psilocybin ingestion include pregnancy, breastfeeding, personal or family

history of schizophrenia, personality disorders, self-harm, suicidal ideation, epilepsy, and cardiovascular diseases (Johnson et al., 2018). These contraindications underscore the necessity for thorough screening and risk assessment before considering psilocybin administration. Additionally, the potential for interaction with other substances or medications should not be overlooked. Psilocybin can interact with a range of substances, including antidepressants, lithium, and other medications, potentially enhancing or diminishing effects and leading to unpredictable and possibly harmful outcomes (Harris, 2023; Thomann et al., 2024). All potential risks are heightened in unregulated environments where users may not be aware of these interactions.

Despite this, our survey confirms that psilocybin uses, and associated harms are lower compared to typically abused drugs (Johnson et al., 2018; Winstock A et al., 2017). In a regulated scenario, clear communication regarding precautions and potential risks becomes crucial to avoid adverse events. Continuous educational programs can enable both patients and individuals seeking spiritual experiences with psilocybin to make informed choices, potentially fostering safer and more positive outcomes.

Since the previous section highlighted the potential risks associated with psilocybin use, a well-regulated environment becomes crucial. While synthetic psilocybin has shown promise in treating various medical conditions, particularly depression, research has primarily focused on this form (Irizarry et al., 2022). However, preclinical evidence (Hernandez-Leon et al., 2024) and observational studies (Kopra et al., 2023; Nayak et al., 2023) also suggest benefits of *Psilocybe cubensis*, prompting new clinical research underway (Escamilla et al., 2023). Moreover, evidence indicates that these fungi may have potent and long-lasting therapeutic effects compared to synthetic psilocybin (Shahar et al., 2024). This highlights the urgent need for regulatory oversight, as increasing self-medication (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2020; Kopra et al., 2023), may lead to missing crucial information on its efficacy and safety.

Another aspect to consider is the legal and social implications of psilocybin mushroom use. Motivated by the growing public interest in psychedelics

(Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2020; Kopra et al., 2023), we hope this study contributes to an evidence base that will inform future regulatory policies. Currently, in our country, there is no regulation on the sale, possession, or cultivation of psilocybin mushrooms, resulting in unrestricted trade, arbitrary arrests, and an increasing number of individuals resorting to self-treatment with these fungi (BBC News Brasil, 2023; UOL, 2023), which are also freely available in nature (Plazas & Faraone, 2023).

Considering this situation, our study, along with advances in the reclassification of psilocybin in Australia (Haridy, 2023) and Oregon (USA) (Holoyda B, 2023), encourages regulatory discussions in Brazil. Regulation may prevent arbitrary arrests and adverse events by allowing for the reception and education of patients seeking therapeutic benefits. Under the clinical logic of regulatory agencies, psilocybin mushroom regulation could structure long-term data collection, enabling the implementation of a pharmacovigilance system. This system would complement the findings of controlled studies with synthetic psilocybin, contributing to a better understanding of its efficacy and safety.

Conversely, as psychedelic research gains global importance, it is essential to respect indigenous communities by recognizing their intellectual property and avoiding cultural appropriation in a regulatory environment (Celidwen et al., 2023; Omágua-Kambeba et al., 2023) We must consider that the ceremonial use of psilocybin precedes its clinical use, and that this substance has been used for centuries in religious or shamanic contexts (Carod-Artal, 2015; Guerra-Doce, 2015; Nayak et al., 2024; Rucker & Young, 2021). Hence, inviting indigenous communities to participate in regulatory deliberations is vital to ensure their perspectives and rights are respected. However, there are still no established ethical principles regarding the spiritual practices involving psilocybin mushrooms in Brazil, highlighting the need for further research in this area. In view of this, we propose that the anthropological issues related to psilocybin mushrooms, including existing spiritual practices (Rucker & Young, 2021), be initially examined under the Brazilian constitutional guarantee of freedom of worship. This approach was similarly applied in the regulatory process for ayahuasca in

our country (Labate & Feeney, 2012), which also demonstrated a low-risk adverse event profile, similar to these fungi (Figure 2) (Table 7).

Finally, in light of the findings and key points discussed in this study, a call is made for ANVISA and the National Drug Policy Council (CONAD) to engage in evidence-based regulatory discussions. It is imperative to address the legal implications surrounding psilocybin mushrooms in Brazil. The ambiguous legal status of these fungi creates uncertainty and barriers to safe access, hindering both research efforts and the implementation of harm reduction strategies. Clarifying and updating existing laws and regulations to align with evolving scientific evidence and societal perspectives is crucial for establishing a coherent regulatory framework that prevents arbitrary arrests and ensures availability of psilocybin mushrooms for both therapeutic and ceremonial purposes. Examples of regulatory models, such as those implemented in Australia and Oregon, can serve as references for creating effective regulations in Brazil.

Other considerations for evidence-based regulatory discussions could suggest:

1. Education and training

- Implement continuing education programs for healthcare providers on psilocybin mushroom use. Ensure that training encompasses both clinical and ceremonial settings.

- Facilitate open communication between healthcare providers and patients.

- Engage with spiritual communities to provide information and clinical support regarding ceremonial use of psilocybin mushrooms.

2. Harm reduction strategies in self-treatment contexts

- Implement public campaigns providing comprehensive information materials to educate the population about psilocybin mushrooms, its potential benefits, risks, and responsible use, promoting informed decision-making.

- Emphasize the importance of preparation, risk assessment, as well as safe and supportive settings for psilocybin mushroom use, to minimize adverse events.

- Educate the public about the potential dangers of mixing psilocybin mushrooms with other substances, including medications and psychoactive drugs.

- Develop and disseminate information on the risks associated with wild mushroom ingestion, including identification, potential contaminants, and adverse effects.

3. Indigenous community inclusion

- Involve indigenous people in regulatory discussions, considering potential ceremonial use of psilocybin mushrooms by local indigenous communities, as well as in research aimed at establishing ethical principles regarding spiritual practices involving these fungi.

- Respect indigenous knowledge, practices, and intellectual property rights related to psilocybin mushrooms and other entheogens, ensuring that regulation frameworks are developed with sensitivity to indigenous cultural practices and beliefs.

- Avoid cultural appropriation by collaborating with indigenous communities as equal partners in research and decision-making.

4. Pharmacovigilance system

- Implement a robust pharmacovigilance system to monitor the safety and efficacy of psilocybin mushroom use in clinical, ceremonial, and self-treatment settings, potentially linking it to stores that sell these fungi.

- Collect and analyze data on adverse events, long-term effects, and overall outcomes associated with psilocybin mushroom use, to complement controlled clinical trials and provide a broader understanding of psilocybin's safety and efficacy profile.

5. Clinical research in Brazil

- Encourage and support well-designed clinical research on psilocybin mushrooms in Brazil to generate local evidence and inform regulatory decisions.

Limitations

This retrospective cross-sectional study provides a snapshot of historical data, but it cannot establish causal relationships. The results do not necessarily indicate that a specific toxic agent caused hospitalization and/or death at the individual level. Furthermore, we did not analyze the associations between variables and groups of toxic agents in relation to the observed outcomes. Our objective was to evaluate the profile of adverse events associated with drug abuse, with emphasis on psilocybin mushrooms and unknown mushrooms. In observational studies, confidence intervals (CIs) are commonly used to assess data variability. However, in instances where the outcome is exceptionally rare and the sample size is small or very limited, calculating the CI may not contribute significantly to the analysis. This is because the CI can be excessively wide, which complicates precise interpretations by encompassing both positive and negative variability. In such cases, a very wide CI relative to a small interval may obscure rather than clarify insights.

Another significant problem is the lack of identification of psilocybin mushroom species, which can introduce variability and uncertainty into results, making hospitalizations difficult to interpret. Nevertheless, the widespread availability of *Psilocybe cubensis* in both specialized companies and the Brazilian biome suggests that this species is likely involved in most cases.

We also did not examine all circumstances of adverse events involving psilocybin mushrooms for clarity. From the general records, we observed four occurrences linked to other circumstances: three suicide attempts with repeated co-administration of medication and drugs of abuse (resulting in hospitalizations) and one accidental ingestion. We emphasize that even in these cases, everyone recovered completely.

With respect to annual incidents with psilocybin mushrooms, we observed four reports in 2022, four in 2021, three in 2019, one in 2015, and one in 2013. In contrast, the US recorded 4055 incidents involving psilocybin from 2013 to 2022, predominantly among teenagers and young adults aged 13-25 (Farah et al., 2024). This suggests potential underreporting of adverse events in Brazil, highlighting the need for ongoing regulatory discussions to ensure continuous monitoring.

Unfortunately, our ability to analyze some demographic characteristics is limited by the data collection system. SINAN notifications do not provide accurate information about education, pregnancy and exposure location. Therefore, we did not include it as part of the demographic characteristics of the participants. Another detail is that SINAN does not have records on income, risk behaviors, specific contexts of use, pre-existing pathological conditions, length of hospitalization, administration route, dose and frequency use of toxic agents. In the SINAN data, we observed a wide variation in the names of reported toxic agents, including multiple pieces of information in a single database cell, the use of street names for drugs, commercial names for medications, as well as typographical errors and other inaccurate nomenclatures.

Thus, we suggest the application of machine learning methods for greater accuracy and optimization of analysis time. This approach can facilitate ongoing surveillance worldwide. The general panorama of adverse events presented in our study must be interpreted based on the limitations presented. We hope that future studies will carry out more in-depth research.

Conclusion

This study analyzed the profile of adverse events associated with drug abuse in Brazil from 2007 to 2022, with a focus on psilocybin mushrooms and unknown mushrooms based on SINAN data. Our findings indicate that, compared to other toxic agents, psilocybin mushrooms and unknown mushrooms account for a relatively small proportion of drug abuse incidents, with no fatalities documented during the study period. While our results suggest that adverse events related to psilocybin mushrooms are uncommon and have a low-risk profile, the possibility of underreporting cannot be ruled out. Further research using comprehensive monitoring methods is warranted. Given the increasing public interest in psilocybin mushrooms, we emphasize the importance of evidence-based regulatory discussions to prevent arbitrary arrests and ensure safe access to psilocybin for both clinical and ceremonial purposes.

Authority contribution statement

Marcel Nogueira: Writing - Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Software, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Solimary García-Hernández:** Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization, Validation, Software, Formal analysis. **Gleicy Sotéro Roberto:** Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization, Validation, Software, Formal analysis. **Leonardo Marques Zanella:** Visualization, Software.

Acknowledgment

Special thanks go to Daniel Cardoso Rodrigues for believing in our project. We would like to emphasize that he was not involved in the conduct of the research, data analysis, or writing of the manuscript.

References

Aday, J. S., Davis, A. K., Mitzkovitz, C. M., Bloesch, E. K., & Davoli, C. C. (2021). Predicting Reactions to Psychedelic Drugs: A Systematic Review of States and Traits Related to Acute Drug Effects. *ACS Pharmacology & Translational Science*, *4*(2), 424–435. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsptsci.1c00014

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2020). *National Drug Strategy Household Survey 2019.* https://doi.org/10.25816/e42p-a447

Basedow, L. A., & Kuitunen-Paul, S. (2022). Motives for the use of serotonergic psychedelics: A systematic review. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *41*(6), 1391–1403. https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13480

BBC News Brasil. (2023, May 7). Como ‘vazio legal’ impulsiona consumo e venda de cogumelos psicodélicos no Brasil. Retrieved from https://www.bbc.com/portuguese/articles/c4nzr26p352o. Accessed July 28, 2023.

BRASIL. (1998). *Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância Sanitária. Portaria nº 344.*

Brian Holoyda. (2023). The Perilous Policy of Oregon’s Psilocybin Services. *The Journal of American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*, *51*(2), 160–166. https://doi.org/10.29158/JAAPL.230023-23

Carod-Artal, F. J. (2015). Alucinógenos en las culturas precolombinas mesoamericanas. *Neurología*, *30*(1), 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nrl.2011.07.003

Celidwen, Y., Redvers, N., Githaiga, C., Calambás, J., Añaños, K., Chindoy, M. E., Vitale, R., Rojas, J. N., Mondragón, D., Rosalío, Y. V., & Sacbajá, A. (2023). Ethical principles of traditional Indigenous medicine to guide western psychedelic research and practice. *The Lancet Regional Health - Americas*, *18*, 100410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lana.2022.100410

Chow, Shein-Chung, Shao, Jun, Wang, Hansheng, Lokhnygina, & Yuliya. (2017). *Sample Size Calculations in Clinical Research.* (3ªed.). New York Chapman & Hall/CRC.

Darke, S., Duflou, J., Peacock, A., Farrell, M., Hall, W., & Lappin, J. (2024). A retrospective study of the characteristics and toxicology of cases of lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD)- and psilocybin-related death in Australia. *Addiction*. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.16518

DATASUS. *Departamento de Informática do Sistema Único de Saúde.* Retrieved from https://datasus.saude.gov.br/transferencia-de-arquivos/#>. Accessed July 10, 2023.

Escamilla, R., González-Trujano, M. E., González Mariscal, J. M., Torres-Valencia, J. M., Guzmán-González, H., Vega, J. L., & Loizaga-Velder, A. (2023). A Proposal to Study the Safety and Efficacy of *Psilocybe cubensis* in Preclinical and Clinical Studies as a Therapeutic Alternative for Major Depressive Disorder. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, *55*(5), 570–580. https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2023.2246459

Farah, R., Kerns, A. F., Murray, A. C., & Holstege, C. P. (2024). Psilocybin Exposures Reported to U.S. Poison Centers: National Trends Over a Decade. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *74*(5), 1053–1056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2024.01.027

Gable, R. S. (2004). Comparison of acute lethal toxicity of commonly abused psychoactive substances. *Addiction*, *99*(6), 686–696. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00744.x

Gerault A, & Picart D. (1996). Fatal poisoning after a group of people voluntarily consumed hallucinogenic mushrooms. *Bulletin Trimestriel de La Société Mycologique de France*, *112*, 1–14.

Goodwin, G. M., Aaronson, S. T., Alvarez, O., Arden, P. C., Baker, A., Bennett, J. C., Bird, C., Blom, R. E., Brennan, C., Brusch, D., Burke, L., Campbell-Coker, K., Carhart-Harris, R., Cattell, J., Daniel, A., DeBattista, C., Dunlop, B. W., Eisen, K., Feifel, D., … Malievskaia, E. (2022). Single-Dose Psilocybin for a Treatment-Resistant Episode of Major Depression. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *387*(18), 1637–1648. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2206443

Goodwin, G. M., Aaronson, S. T., Alvarez, O., Atli, M., Bennett, J. C., Croal, M., DeBattista, C., Dunlop, B. W., Feifel, D., Hellerstein, D. J., Husain, M. I., Kelly, J. R., Lennard-Jones, M. R., Licht, R. W., Marwood, L., Mistry, S., Páleníček, T., Redjep, O., Repantis, D., … Malievskaia, E. (2023). Single-dose psilocybin for a treatment-resistant episode of major depression: Impact on patient-reported depression severity, anxiety, function, and quality of life. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *327*, 120–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2023.01.108

Griffiths, R. R., Johnson, M. W., Carducci, M. A., Umbricht, A., Richards, W. A., Richards, B. D., Cosimano, M. P., & Klinedinst, M. A. (2016). Psilocybin produces substantial and sustained decreases in depression and anxiety in patients with life-threatening cancer: A randomized double-blind trial. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, *30*(12), 1181–1197. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881116675513

Guerra-Doce, E. (2015). Psychoactive Substances in Prehistoric Times: Examining the Archaeological Evidence. *Time and Mind*, *8*(1), 91–112. https://doi.org/10.1080/1751696X.2014.993244

Haridy, R. (2023). Australia to prescribe MDMA and psilocybin for PTSD and depression in world first. *Nature*, *619*(7969), 227–228. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-02093-8

Harris, E. (2023). FDA Proposes First Guidance for Researchers Studying Psychedelics. *JAMA*, *330*(4), 307. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2023.12058

Hernandez-Leon, A., Escamilla-Orozco, R. I., Tabal-Robles, A. R., Martínez-Vargas, D., Romero-Bautista, L., Escamilla-Soto, G., González-Romero, O. S., Torres-Valencia, M., & González-Trujano, M. E. (2024). Antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like activities and acute toxicity evaluation of the Psilocybe cubensis mushroom in experimental models

in mice. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, *320*, 117415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2023.117415

Irizarry, R., Winczura, A., Dimassi, O., Dhillon, N., Minhas, A., & Larice, J. (2022). Psilocybin as a Treatment for Psychiatric Illness: A Meta-Analysis. *Cureus*. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.31796

Johnson, M. W., Griffiths, R. R., Hendricks, P. S., & Henningfield, J. E. (2018). The abuse potential of medical psilocybin according to the 8 factors of the Controlled Substances Act. *Neuropharmacology*, *142*, 143–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018.05.012

Jones, G., Herrmann, F., & Wang, E. (2023). Associations between individual hallucinogens and hallucinogen misuse among U.S. Adults who recently initiated hallucinogen use. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, *18*, 100513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2023.100513

Kaminski, D., & Reinert, J. P. (2023). The Tolerability and Safety of Psilocybin in Psychiatric and Substance-Dependence Conditions: A Systematic Review. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. https://doi.org/10.1177/10600280231205645

Kish L. (1965). *Survey Sampling*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Ko, K., Carter, B., Cleare, A., & Rucker, J. (2023). Predicting the Intensity of Psychedelic-Induced Mystical and Challenging Experience in a Healthy Population: An Exploratory Post-Hoc Analysis. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, *Volume 19*, 2105–2113. https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S426193

Kopra, E. I., Ferris, J. A., Winstock, A. R., Kuypers, K. P., Young, A. H., & Rucker, J. J. (2023). Investigation of self-treatment with lysergic acid diethylamide and psilocybin mushrooms: Findings from the Global Drug Survey 2020. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, *37*(7), 733–748. https://doi.org/10.1177/02698811231158245

Kopra, E. I., Ferris, J. A., Winstock, A. R., Young, A. H., & Rucker, J. J. (2022). Adverse experiences resulting in emergency medical treatment seeking following the use of magic mushrooms. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, *36*(8), 965–973. https://doi.org/10.1177/02698811221084063

Kruger, D. J., Enghoff, O., Herberholz, M., Barron, J., & Boehnke, K. F. (2023). “How Do I Learn More About this?”: Utilization and Trust of Psychedelic Information Sources Among People Naturalistically Using Psychedelics. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, *55*(5), 631–639. https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2023.2201263

Labate, B. C., & Feeney, K. (2012). Ayahuasca and the process of regulation in Brazil and internationally: Implications and challenges. *International*

Journal of Drug Policy, *23*(2), 154–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2011.06.006

Levin, A., Nagib, P. B., Deiparine, S., Gao, T., Mitchell, J., & Davis, A. K. (2022). Inconsistencies between national drug policy and professional beliefs about psychoactive drugs among psychiatrists in the United States. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *108*, 103816. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2022.103816

Lim, T. H., Wasywich, C. A., & Ruygrok, P. N. (2012). A fatal case of 'magic mushroom' ingestion in a heart transplant recipient. *Internal Medicine Journal*, *42*(11), 1268–1269. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1445-5994.2012.02955.x

Lisboa, G. M., Lima, A. L. V., Rabelo, G. M. L., Souza, A. M. V. B., Rabelo, T. M. L., & Santos, J. C. S. dos. (2023). Intoxicação exógena: Análise epidemiológica dos casos notificados em Alagoas, Brasil. *Research, Society and Development*, *12*(9), e4812943157. https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v12i9.43157

Lohr, S. L. (2010). *Sampling: Design and Analysis* (2.ed.). Boston: Brooks/Cole.

Meyer, T. D., Meir, P., Lex, C., & Soares, J. C. (2022). Magic Mushrooms – an exploratory look at how mental health professionals feel and think about Psilocybin. *Psychiatry Research*, *316*, 114727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114727

Nayak, S. M., Jackson, H., Sepeda, N. D., Mathai, D. S., So, S., Yaffe, A., Zaki, H., Brasher, T. J., Lowe, M. X., Jolly, D. R. P., Barrett, F. S., Griffiths, R. R., Strickland, J. C., Johnson, M. W., Jackson, H., & Garcia-Romeu, A. (2023). Naturalistic psilocybin use is associated with persisting improvements in mental health and wellbeing: results from a prospective, longitudinal survey. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1199642

Nayak, S. M., White, S. H., Hilbert, S. N., Lowe, M. X., Jackson, H., Griffiths, R. R., Garcia-Romeu, A., & Yaden, D. B. (2024). Psychedelic Experiences Increase Mind Perception but do not Change Atheist-Believer Status: A Prospective Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2024.2346130

Nutt, D., Crome, I., & Young, A. H. (2024). Is it now time to prepare psychiatry for a psychedelic future? *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2024.76

Omágua-Kambeba, A., Labate, B. C., & Ribeiro, S. (2023). Psychedelic science and Indigenous shamanism: an urgent dialogue. *Nature Mental Health*, *1*(11), 815–816. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44220-023-00150-9

Plazas, E., & Faraone, N. (2023). Indole Alkaloids from Psychoactive Mushrooms: Chemical and Pharmacological Potential as Psychotherapeutic Agents. *Biomedicines*, *11*(2), 461. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11020461

Romeo, B., Kervadec, E., Fauvel, B., Strika-Bruneau, L., Amirouche, A., Verroust, V., Piolino, P., & Benyamina, A. (2024). Safety and risk assessment of psychedelic psychotherapy: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Psychiatry Research*, *335*, 115880. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2024.115880

Rucker, J. J., & Young, A. H. (2021). Psilocybin: From Serendipity to Credibility? *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.659044

Shahar, O., Botvinnik, A., Shwartz, A., Lerer, E., Golding, P., Buko, A., Hamid, E., Kahn, D., Guralnick, M., Blakolmer, K., Wolf, G., Lotan, A., Lerer, L., Lerer, B., & Lifschytz, T. (2024). Effect of chemically synthesized psilocybin and psychedelic mushroom extract on molecular and metabolic profiles in mouse brain. *Molecular Psychiatry*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-024-02477-w

Thomann, J., Kolaczynska, K. E., Stoeckmann, O. V., Rudin, D., Vizeli, P., Hoener, M. C., Pryce, C. R., Vollenweider, F. X., Liechti, M. E., & Duthaler, U. (2024). In vitro and in vivo metabolism of psilocybin's active metabolite psilocin. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, *15*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2024.1391689

UOL. (2023, July 28). *A guerra dos cogumelos no Brasil*. Retrieved from https://tab.uol.com.br/noticias/redacao/2023/07/28/a-guerra-dos-cogumelos-no-brasil.htm. Accessed July 28, 2023.

von Elm, E., Altman, D. G., Egger, M., Pocock, S. J., Gøtzsche, P. C., & Vandenbroucke, J. P. (2008). The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *61*(4), 344–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008

Winstock A, Barratt M, Ferris J, & Maier L. (2017). *Global Drug Survey*.

Yerubandi, A., Thomas, J. E., Bhuiya, N. M. M. A., Harrington, C., Villa Zapata, L., & Caballero, J. (2024). Acute Adverse Effects of Therapeutic Doses of Psilocybin. *JAMA Network Open*, *7*(4), e245960. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.5960


Identification
Files collected from the DATASUS website in 07/2023 (n = 16)
Selection
Files collected from the DATASUS website in 07/2023 (n = 16)
Records transferred to the Microsoft Excel database (n = 935,439)
Eligibility
Evaluation of exogenous intoxication circumstances (n = 924,736)
Suicide attempt (n = 367,061)
Accidental (n = 171,247)
Drug abuse (n = 112,451)
Not reported (n = 99,827)
Habitual use (n = 58,065)
Food ingestion (n = 45,293)
Self-medication (n = 26,270)
Therapeutic use (n = 16,600)
Administration error (n = 10,837)
Environmental (n = 9,693)
Homicide (n = 4,907)
Abortion attempt (n = 1,618)
Medical prescription (n = 867)
Records excluded from the study (n = 822,988)
Inclusion
Cases involving drug abuse (n = 112,451)

Figure 2. Hospitalization, non-hospitalization, and mortality rates for toxic agents in the drug abuse group (2007-2022).

Toxic agent	Alcohol	Cocaine	Medications	Crack Cocaine	Cannabis	Unknown substance	Inhalant drug	Other substance	Ecstasy	Other psychoactive drugs	LSD	Nicotine	Other plant-based drugs	Ketamine	Unknown mushrooms	Psilocybin mushrooms	Ayahuasca	Amanita muscaria
Hospitalization	45.0%	18.9%	12.2%	8.3%	6.2%	2.7%	2.1%	1.9%	0.9%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.04%	0.02%	0.01%	0.01%
Non-hospitalization	50.7%	20.0%	9.7%	5.4%	5.6%	2.7%	2.0%	1.4%	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.1%	0.04%	0.03%	0.01%	0.01%	0.0%
Death due to exogenous intoxication	22.4%	33.3%	4.9%	5.8%	5.1%	7.0%	16.5%	2.6%	0.8%	1.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Death from another cause	51.9%	17.7%	7.0%	5.9%	3.4%	6.4%	4.8%	0.9%	0.1%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of adverse events by group: drug abuse, psilocybin mushrooms and unknown mushrooms.

Group	**Drug abuse**			**Psilocybin mushrooms**			**Unknown mushrooms**		
	N = 112,451			**N = 13**			**N = 51**		
Variable	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**
Sex			<0.001			N/A			<0.001
Male	79,514	70.7		13	100.0		44	86.3	
Female	32,921	29.3		0	0		7	13.7	
Not informed	16	0.01		0	0		0	0	
Age group			<0.001			0.006			<0.001
<18	9716	8.6		0.1	7.7		16	31.4	
18-25	28,151	25.0		8	61.5		23	45.1	
26-35	29,163	25.9		2	15.4		9	17.7	
36-45	21,096	18.8		1	7.7		2	3.9	
46-55	12,855	11.4		0	0		0	0	
56-65	5547	4.9		1	7.7		0	0	
65+	2229	2.0		0	0		1	2.0	
Not informed	3694	3.3		0	0		0	0	
Race			<0.001			0.367			<0.001
White	37,565	33.4		6	46.1		29	56.9	
Black	6112	5.4		0	0		2	3.9	
Asian	599	0.5		0	0		0	0	
Mixed-race	35,599	31.7		5	38.5		7	13.7	
Indigenous	194	0.2		0	0		0	0	
Not informed	28,769	25.6		2	15.4		13	25.5	

Number of toxic agents administered per participant			<0.001			0.116			<0.001
One	77,768	69.2		7*	53.9		34*	66.7	
Two	21,461	19.1		5**	38.5		11**	21.6	
Three	5941	5.3		1***	7.7		6***	11.8	
Four	1796	1.6		0	0		0	0	
Five or more	373	0.3		0	0		0	0	
Not informed	5112	4.6		0	0		0	0	
Diagnostic criteria			<0.001			0.116			<0.001
Clinical-laboratory	3250	2.9		1	7.7		3	5.9	
Clinical-epidemiological	38,350	34.1		5	38.5		11	21.6	
Clinical	65,079	57.9		7	53.9		32	62.8	
Not informed	5772	5.1		0	0		5	9.8	
Type of exposure			<0.001			0.269			<0.001
Single dose acute	42,788	38.1		5	38.5		35	68.6	
Repeated acute	18,498	16.5		5	38.5		7	13.7	
Chronic	16,799	14.9		1	7.7		2	3.9	
Acute on chronic	9732	8.7		0	0		0	0	
Not informed	24,634	21.9		2	15.4		1	2.0	
Final classification			<0.001			0.002			<0.001
Confirmed intoxication	88,595	78.8		12	92.3		35	68.6	
Exposure only	11,565	10.3		0	0		10	19.6	

Adverse reaction	1892	1.7	0	0	1	2.0
Other diagnosis	1859	1.7	0	0	1	2.0
Withdrawal syndrome	2345	2.1	0	0	0	0
Not informed	6195	5.1	1	7.7	4	7.8

* Only mushrooms
** Mushrooms and other toxic agent
*** Mushrooms and two toxic agents

Table 2. Characteristics and outcomes of toxic agents in the drug abuse group.

	Overall			Non-hospitalization			Hospitalization			Death		
Toxic agent	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**
Alcohol	71,824	49.2	<0.001	57,577	50.7	<0.001	13,418	45.0	<0.001	829	29.5	<0.001
Cocaine	29,171	20.0		22,706	20.0		5634	18.9		831	29.6	
Medications	14,794	10.1		10,988	9.7		3654	12.2		152	5.4	
Crack cocaine	8725	6.0		6084	5.4		2478	8.3		163	5.8	
Cannabis	8379	5.7		6398	5.6		1848	6.2		133	4.7	
Unknown substance	4091	2.8		3094	2.7		805	2.7		192	6.8	
Inhalant drug*	3260	2.2		2258	2.0		616	2.1		386	13.7	
Other substance**	2220	1.5		1602	1.4		556	1.9		62	2.2	
Ecstasy	1103	0.8		811	0.7		273	0.9		19	0.7	
Nicotine	781	0.5		672	0.6		105	0.4		4	0.1	
LSD	747	0.5		569	0.5		168	0.6		10	0.4	
Other psychoactive drugs***	683	0.5		449	0.4		204	0.7		30	1.1	
Other plant-based drugs****	185	0.1		156	0.1		28	0.1		1	0.04	
Ketamine	73	0.05		45	0.04		28	0.1		0	0.00	
Unknown mushrooms	51	0.03		39	0.03		12	0.04		0	0.00	
Psilocybin mushrooms	13	0.01		7	0.01		6	0.02		0	0.00	
Ayahuasca	12	0.01		8	0.01		4	0.01		0	0.00	
Amanita muscaria	4	0.00		2	0.00		2	0.01		0	0.00	

* Inhalant drug: a mixture of ether, chloroform, and ethyl chloride.

** Other substance: Foods, energy drinks, cosmetics, gases, herbicides, hydrocarbons, homeopathic medicine, insecticides, heavy metals, cleaning products, rodenticides.

*** Other psychoactive drugs: Amphetamines, date-rape drugs, synthetic cannabinoids, glue sniffing, GHB, heroin, ibogaine, LSA, mescaline, oxi, coca-paste, 25E-NBOH, unspecified hallucinogens.

****Other plant-based drugs: Abutua (*Chondrodendron platyphyllum*), rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*), aloin (*Aloe vera*), *Anemopaegma mirandum*, rue (*Ruta graveolens*), deadly nightshade (*Atropa belladonna*), boldo (*Peumus boldus*), cascara sagrada (*Rhamnus purshiana*), horse chestnut (*Aesculus hippocastanum*), Chai, dumbcane (*Dieffenbachia* spp.), *Craetegus*, oleander (*Nerium oleander*), St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*), ginkgo biloba, goji berry (*Lycium barbarum*), hops (*Humulus lupulus*), sponge (*Luffa operculata*), flaxseed (*Linum usitatissimum*), lemon balm (*Melissa officinalis*), mint (*Mentha* spp.), mulungu (*Erythrina mulungu*), nutmeg (*Myristica fragrans*), passionflower (*Passiflora* spp.), pepper (*Capsicum* spp.), psyllium (*Plantago ovata*), snuff tobacco, castor bean (*Ricinus communis*), rhubarb (*Rheum rhabarbarum*), senna (*Cassia angustifolia*), trumpet flower (*Brugmansia suaveolens*).

Table 3. Demographic characteristics by outcomes of the drug abuse group: non-hospitalization, hospitalization, and death.

Outcome	**Non-hospitalization (N = 88,493)**			**Hospitalization (N = 21,923)**			**Death (N = 2035)**		
Variable	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**
Sex			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
Male	61,744	69.8		16,113	73.5		1657	81.4	
Female	26,734	30.2		5809	26.5		378	18.6	
Not informed	15	0.0		1	0		0	0	
Age group			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
<18	7814	8.8		1789	8.2		113	5.6	
18-25	22,971	26.0		4600	21.0		580	28.5	
26-35	23,162	26.2		5471	25.0		530	26.0	
36-45	16,403	18.5		4356	19.9		337	16.6	
46-55	9653	10.9		2978	13.6		224	11.0	
56-65	4125	4.7		1288	5.9		134	6.6	
65+	1558	1.8		598	2.7		73	3.6	
Not informed	2807	3.2		843	3.9		44	2.2	
Race			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
White	29,862	33.8		7052	32.2		651	32.0	
Black	4704	5.3		1247	5.7		161	7.9	
Asian	469	0.5		118	0.5		12	0.6	
Mixed-race	27,371	30.9		7420	33.9		808	39.7	
Indigenous	161	0.2		27	0.1		6	0.3	

Not informed	25,926	29.3		6059	27.6		397	19.5	
Number of toxic agents administered per participant			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
One	61,977	70.0		14,490	66.1		1301	63.9	
Two	16,314	18.4		4690	21.4		457	22.5	
Three	4257	4.8		1516	6.9		168	8.3	
Four	1344	1.5		442	2.0		10	0.5	
Five or more	270	0.3		98	0.4		5	0.3	
Not informed	4331	4.9		687	3.1		94	4.6	
Diagnostic criteria			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
Clinical-laboratory	1769	2		1193	5.4		288	14.2	
Clinical-epidemiological	29,572	33.4		8011	36.5		767	37.7	
Clinical	52,019	58.8		12,131	55.3		929	45.7	
Not informed	5133	5.8		588	2.7		51	2.5	
Type of exposure			<0.001			0.548			<0.001
Single dose acute	35,283	39.9		7022	32.0		483	23.7	
Repeated acute	14,011	15.8		4119	18.8		368	18.1	
Chronic	11,117	12.6		5320	24.3		362	17.8	
Acute on chronic	6800	7.7		2586	11.8		346	17.0	
Not informed	21,282	24.0		2876	13.1		476	23.4	
Final classification			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
Confirmed intoxication	68,850	77.8		17,875	81.5		1870	91.9	
Exposure only	9908	11.2		1616	7.4		41	2.0	

Adverse reaction	1648	1.9		235	1.1		9	0.4
Other diagnosis	1241	1.4		561	2.6		57	2.8
Withdrawal syndrome	1428	1.6		889	4.1		28	1.4
Not informed	5418	6.1		747	3.4		30	1.5
Clinical evolution			<0.001			<0.001		<0.001
Complete remission	68,351	77.2		14,723	67.2		0	0
Partial remission	2952	3.3		2111	9.6		0	0
Lost to follow-up	3823	4.3		1085	5.0		0	0
Death post-hospitalization due to exogenous intoxication	0	0		0	0		781	38.4
Death post-hospitalization due to other cause	0	0		0	0		389	19.1
Death post-hospitalization due to not informed cause	0	0		0	0		6	0.3
Death during outpatient care due to exogenous intoxication	0	0		0	0		719	35.4
Death during outpatient care due to other cause	0	0		0	0		131	6.4
Death during outpatient care due to not informed cause	0	0		0	0		9	0.4
Not informed	13,367	15.1		4004	18.3		0	0

Table 4. Demographic characteristics by outcomes of psilocybin mushroom group.

Outcome	**Non-hospitalization (Psilocybin mushrooms)**			**Hospitalization (Psilocybin mushrooms)**		
	(N = 7)			**(N = 6)**		
Variable	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**
Sex						
Male	7	100.0	N/A	6	100.0	N/A
Female	0	0		0	0	
Age group			0.101			0.606
<18	1	14.3		0	0	
18-25	5	71.4		3	50.0	
26-35	0	0.0		2	33.3	
36-45	0	0.0		1	16.7	
56-65	1	14.3		0	0	
65+	0	0.0		0	0	
Race			0.256			0.606
White	5	71.4		1	16.7	
Black	0	0.0		0	0	
Mixed-race	2	28.6		3	50.0	
Not informed	0	0.0		2	33.3	
Co-administration of toxic agent per participant			1			1
Amanita muscaria	1	25.0		0	0	
Alcohol	1	25.0		1	50.0	
Cocaine	1	25.0		0	0	

Ecstasy and inhalant drug	1	25.0		0	0	
Cannabis	0	0.0		1	50.0	
Number of toxic agents administered per participant			0.564			0.414
One	3*	42.9		4*	66.7	
Two	3**	42.9		2**	33.3	
Three	1***	14.3		0***	0	
Diagnostic criteria			0.256			0.606
Clinical-laboratory	0	0		1	16.7	
Clinical-epidemiological	2	28.6		3	50.0	
Clinical	5	71.4		2	33.3	
Not informed	0	0		0	0	
Type of exposure			0.705			0.881
Single dose acute	4	57.1		1	16.7	
Repeated acute	3	42.9		2	33.3	
Chronic	0	0		1	16.7	
Not informed	0	0		2	33.3	
Final classification			N/A			0.102
Confirmed intoxication	7	100.0		5	83.3	
Exposure only	0	0		0	0	
Other diagnosis	0	0		0	0	
Adverse reaction	0	0		0	0	

Not informed	0	0		1	17.0	
Clinical evolution			0.058			0.102
Complete remission	6	85.7		5	83.3	
Partial remission	0	0		0	0	
Lost to follow-up	0	0		0	0	
Not informed	1	14.3		1	16.7	

* Only mushrooms
** Mushrooms and other toxic agent
*** Mushrooms and two toxic agents

Table 5. Demographic characteristics by outcomes of unknown mushroom group.

Outcome	**Non-hospitalization (Unknown mushrooms) (N = 39)**			**Hospitalization (Unknown mushrooms) (N = 12)**		
Variable	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**	**N**	**(%)**	**p-value**
Sex						
Male	32	82.1	<0.001	12	100.0	N/A
Female	7	18.0		0	0	
Age group			<0.001			0.778
<18	12	30.8		4	33.3	
18-25	18	46.2		5	41.7	
26-35	6	15.4		3	25.0	
36-45	2	5.1		0	0	
56-65	0	0		0	0	
65+	1	2.6		0	0	
Race			<0.001			0.038
White	21	53.8		8	66.7	
Black	2	5.1		0	0	
Mixed-race	6	15.8		1	8.3	
Not informed	10	25.6		3	25.0	
Co-administration of toxic agent per participant			0.250			1
Alcohol	2	17.0		0	0	

Alcohol and G04BE Drugs used in erectile dysfunction	0	0		1	20.0	
Alcohol and cocaine	1	8.0		1	20.0	
Cocaine	0	0		1	20.0	
Cocaine and *Brugmansia suaveolens*	1	8.0		0	0	
Cocaine and crack cocaine	0	0		1	20.0	
Crack cocaine	1	8.0		0	0	
Ecstasy	1	8.0		0	0	
Cannabis	5	42.0		1	20.0	
Cannabis and alcohol	1	8.0		0	0	
Number of toxic agents administered per participant			<0.001			0.173
One	26*	66.7		7*	58.3	
Two	9**	23.1		2**	16.7	
Three	4***	10.3		3***	25.0	
Diagnostic criteria			<0.001			0.197
Clinical-laboratory	2	5.1		1	8.3	
Clinical-epidemiological	8	20.5		3	25.0	
Clinical	26	66.7		6	50.0	
Not informed	3	7.7		2	16.7	
Type of exposure			<0.001			0.010
Single dose acute	27	69.2		8	66.7	

Repeated acute	5	12.8		2	16.7	
Chronic	1	2.6		1	8.3	
Not informed	6	15.4		1	8.3	
Final classification			<0.001			0.008
Confirmed intoxication	26	66.7		9	75.0	
Exposure only	8	20.5		2	16.7	
Other diagnosis	1	2.6		0	0	
Adverse reaction	0	0		1	8.3	
Not informed	4	10.3		0	0	
Clinical evolution			<0.001			0.020
Complete remission	32	82.1		10	83.3	
Partial remission	1	2.6		0	0	
Lost to follow-up	1	2.6		2	16.7	
Not informed	5	12.8		0	0	

* Only mushrooms
** Mushrooms and other toxic agent
*** Mushrooms and two toxic agents

Table 6. Group-based prevalence of outcomes.

Group	Outcome	N	Prevalence (%)
Drug abuse	Non-hospitalization	88,493	78.7
	Hospitalization	21,923	19.5
	Death	2035	1.8
Psilocybin mushrooms	Non-hospitalization	7	53.8
	Hospitalization	6	46.2
	Death	0	0
Unknown mushrooms	Non-hospitalization	39	76.5
	Hospitalization	12	23.5
	Death	0	0

Table 7. Prevalence of outcomes for different toxic agents in various exposure groups.

Toxic agent	Outcome	N	Prevalence (%)
Alcohol	Non-hospitalization	57,577	80.2
	Hospitalization	13,418	18.7
	Death	829	1.2
Cocaine	Non-hospitalization	22,706	77.8
	Hospitalization	5634	19.3
	Death	831	2.8
Medications	Non-hospitalization	10,988	74.3
	Hospitalization	3654	24.7
	Death	152	1.0
Crack cocaine	Non-hospitalization	6084	69.7
	Hospitalization	2478	28.4
	Death	163	1.9
Cannabis	Non-hospitalization	6398	76.4
	Hospitalization	1848	22.1
	Death	133	1.6
Unknown substance	Non-hospitalization	3094	75.6
	Hospitalization	805	19.7
	Death	192	4.7
Inhalant drug*	Non-hospitalization	2258	69.3
	Hospitalization	616	18.9
	Death	386	11.8

Other substance**	Non-hospitalization	1602	72.2
	Hospitalization	556	25.0
	Death	62	2.8
Ecstasy	Non-hospitalization	811	73.5
	Hospitalization	273	24.8
	Death	19	1.7
Nicotine	Non-hospitalization	672	86.0
	Hospitalization	105	13.4
	Death	4	0.5
LSD	Non-hospitalization	569	76.2
	Hospitalization	168	22.5
	Death	10	1.3
Other psychoactive drugs***	Non-hospitalization	449	65.7
	Hospitalization	204	29.9
	Death	30	4.4
Other plant-based drugs****	Non-hospitalization	156	84.3
	Hospitalization	28	15.1
	Death	1	0.5
Ketamine	Non-hospitalization	45	61.6
	Hospitalization	28	38.4
	Death	0	0

Ayahuasca	Non-hospitalization	8	66.7
	Hospitalization	4	33.3
	Death	0	0
Amanita muscaria	Non-hospitalization	2	50.0
	Hospitalization	2	50.0
	Death	0	0

*Inhalant drug: a mixture of ether, chloroform, and ethyl chloride.

**Other substance: Foods, energy drinks, cosmetics, gases, herbicides, hydrocarbons, homeopathic medicine, insecticides, heavy metals, cleaning products, rodenticides.

***Other psychoactive drugs: Amphetamines, date-rape drugs, synthetic cannabinoids, glue sniffing, GHB, heroin, ibogaine, LSA, mescaline, oxi, coca-paste, 25E-NBOH, unspecified hallucinogens.

****Other plant-based drugs: Abutua (*Chondrodendron platyphyllum*), rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*), aloin (*Aloe vera*), *Anemopaegma mirandum*, rue (*Ruta graveolens*), deadly nightshade (*Atropa belladonna*), boldo (*Peumus boldus*), cascara sagrada (*Rhamnus purshiana*), horse chestnut (*Aesculus hippocastanum*), Chai, dumbcane (*Dieffenbachia* spp.), *Craetegus*, oleander (*Nerium oleander*), St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*), ginkgo biloba, goji berry (*Lycium barbarum*), hops (*Humulus lupulus*), sponge (*Luffa operculata*), flaxseed (*Linum usitatissimum*), lemon balm (*Melissa officinalis*), mint (*Mentha* spp.), mulungu (*Erythrina mulungu*), nutmeg (*Myristica fragrans*), passionflower (*Passiflora* spp.), pepper (*Capsicum* spp.), psyllium (*Plantago ovata*), snuff tobacco, castor bean (*Ricinus communis*), rhubarb (*Rheum rhabarbarum*), senna (*Cassia angustifolia*), trumpet flower (*Brugmansia suaveolens*).