Genomic Exploration of Essential Hypertension in African-Brazilian Quilombo Populations: A Comprehensive Approach with Pedigree Analysis and Family-Based Association Studies

Vinícius Magalhães Borges[1,2], Andrea R.V.R. Horimoto[3], Ellen Marie Wijsman[3]; Lilian Kimura[1]; Kelly Nunes[1], Alejandro Q. Nato, Jr.[2], and Regina Célia Mingroni-Netto[1]

[1]Centro de Estudos sobre o Genoma Humano e Células Tronco, Departamento de Genética e Biologia Evolutiva, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo 05508-090, Brazil

[2]Department of Biomedical Sciences, Joan C. Edwards School of Medicine, Marshall University, Huntington, WV 25755, USA

[3]Division of Medical Genetics, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98105 USA

Corresponding authors:

Vinícius Magalhães Borges
Address: 1700 Third Ave (BBSC 239), Department of Biomedical Sciences, Joan C. Edwards School of Medicine, Marshall University, Huntington, WV 25755, USA.
Tel: +1(304) 696-3506
Email: vini.borges@marshall.edu / vinyborges@gmail.com

Regina Célia Mingroni-Netto
Address: Centro de Estudos sobre o Genoma Humano e Células Tronco, Departamento de Genética e Biologia Evolutiva, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo 05508-090, Brazil.
Tel: +55(11) 3091-7478
Email: renetto@ib.usp.br

Genomic Exploration of Essential Hypertension in Brazilian Quilombo Populations: A Comprehensive Approach with Pedigree Analysis and Family-Based Association Studies

ABSTRACT

Background: Essential Hypertension (EH) is a global health issue. Despite extensive research, much of EH heritability remains unexplained. We investigated the genetic basis of EH in African-derived individuals from partially isolated quilombo populations in Vale do Ribeira (SP-Brazil). **Methods:** Samples from 431 individuals (167 affected, 261 unaffected, 3 unknown) were genotyped using a 650k SNP array. Estimated global ancestry proportions were 47% African, 36% European, and 16% Native American. We constructed six pedigrees using additional data from 673 individuals and created three non-overlapping SNP subpanels. We phased haplotypes and performed local ancestry analysis to account for admixture. Genome-wide linkage analysis (GWLA) and fine-mapping via family-based association studies (FBAS) were conducted, prioritizing EH-associated genes through systematic approach involving databases like PubMed, ClinVar, and GWAS Catalog. **Results:** Linkage analysis identified 22 regions of interest (ROIs) with LOD scores ranging 1.45-3.03, encompassing 2363 genes. Fine-mapping (FBAS) identified 60 EH-related candidate genes and 117 suggestive/significant variants. Among these, 14 genes, including *PHGDH*, *S100A10*, *MFN2*, and *RYR2*, were strongly related to hypertension harboring 29 suggestive/significant SNPs. **Conclusions:** Through a complementary approach — combining admixture-adjusted GWLA based on Markov chain Monte Carlo methods, FBAS on known and imputed data, and gene prioritizing — new loci, variants, and candidate genes were identified. These findings provide targets for future research, replication in other populations, facilitate personalized treatments, and improve public health towards African-derived underrepresented populations. Limitations include restricted SNP coverage, self-reported pedigree data, and lack of available EH genomic studies on admixed populations for independent validation, despite the performed genetic correlation analyses using summary statistics.

INTRODUCTION

Essential Hypertension (EH), OMIM: 145500, is a pervasive and sustained raise in arterial blood pressure (BP) and a major cause of premature death worldwide responsible for approximately 9.4 million deaths annually (K. T. Mills et al., 2020). Classified as the primary preventable risk factor for cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) (Fuchs & Whelton, 2020), EH is defined as systolic BP (SBP) ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic BP (DBP) ≥90 mmHg (Barroso et al., 2021; Unger et al., 2021; Whelton et al., 2018).

EH, which affects 1.3 billion people worldwide annually (World Health Organization, 2023), demands comprehensive investigation. Global prevalence is 33% (World Health Organization, 2023), 23.9% in Brazil (PNS, 2019). EH is a multifactorial chronic condition, intricately weaving together environmental factors, social determinants, and greatly genetic/epigenetic influences, with 30-60% of estimated heritability (Arnett & Claas, 2018; Niiranen et al., 2017; Patel et al., 2017). Its prevalence varies across different regions, affecting 35% of the population in the Americas, 28% in the Western Pacific, 37% in Europe, 32% in South-East Asia, 38% in the Eastern Mediterranean, and 36% in Africa (World Health Organization, 2023). The risk for BP traits varies among ethnic groups (Keaton et al., 2021) and genetic ancestry significantly influences hypertension risk (Aggarwal et al., 2021), particularly in African-derived populations (Jones et al., 2017a; Marden et al., 2016; K. T. Mills et al., 2020; B. Zhou et al., 2021; Zilbermint, Gaye, et al., 2019). In the U.S., data shows that the prevalence of EH among non-Hispanic Black individuals is approximately 48.8%, notably higher than the prevalence among non-Hispanic White individuals at 37.6% and Hispanic individuals at 27.9% (Sells et al., 2023). Mortality rates due to EH and related diseases are also disproportionately higher, with African-Americans experiencing 4 to 5 times greater mortality than White adults(Ferdinand & Armani, 2007).

BP regulation involves complex interactions between the cardiovascular, renal, neural, and endocrine systems (Beevers et al., 2001). The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) plays a central role, with angiotensin II and aldosterone driving vasoconstriction and sodium retention (Patel et al., 2017; Seidel & Scholl, 2017a). Baroreceptors and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) provide rapid responses to BP changes (Ghitani & Chesler, 2019; Nardone et al., 2018), while endothelial cells release nitric oxide (NO) for vasodilation (Hermann et al., 2006). The atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) and the kallikrein-kinin system (KKS) counteract vasoconstriction and promote natriuresis (Hamid et al., 2020; Špiranec Spes et al., 2020). Sodium concentration also affects vascular tone through calcium exchange (Grillo et al., 2019).

The complex regulation is particularly relevant in populations as the African-derived (Zilbermint, Hannah-Shmouni, et al., 2019). Genetic ancestry plays a crucial role in EH risk, with studies indicating that African-derived individuals generally develop higher BP starting in childhood. They excrete sodium more slowly and less completely than those of European descent, leading to volume-loading, which suppresses the RAAS and contributes to early-onset hypertension (Brier & Luft, 1994; R. Li et al., 2009). Consequently, African-derived individuals often present a biochemical profile characterized by low or high plasma aldosterone, suppressed plasma renin activity or direct renin concentration, and reduced levels of angiotensin I and II (Gafane et al., 2016; Rayner et al., 2003; Van Rooyen et al., 2016). This results in a higher lifetime incidence of hypertension in African-derived populations compared with other populations.

Several genes have been found to be associated with an increased risk of EH specifically in African-derived populations, such as *ARMC5* (involved in RAAS) (Zilbermint, Hannah-Shmouni, et al., 2019), *NOS3-GRK4* (involved in NO) (Kimura et al., 2012), *SCNN1B* and *SCNN1G* (involved in sodium channel) (F. Liu et al., 2015), *GRK4* (involved in sodium and water retention) (Jones et al., 2017b), *SCG2* (confers regulation by PHOX2 transcription factors) (Wen et al., 2007), *AGT* (involved in angiotensin) (Kumar et al., 2005) and *CYP11B2* (involved in alterations in aldosterone synthase production) (Jones et al., 2017b).

Among social-environmental factors, EH risk is also influenced by adverse determinants of health, including overweight, smoking, physical inactivity (Arnett & Claas, 2018; Carey et al., 2018), lower socioeconomic and educational status, concentrated poverty, and limited access to affordable, high-quality fresh food. Dietary patterns, alcohol consumption (Roerecke et al., 2017), particularly high-sodium (Mente et al., 2018; Mill et al., 2019; Samadian et al., 2016) and low-potassium intake, further elevate the risk (Howard et al., 2018). African-derived populations in lower social strata, such as those in the United States and South Africa, tend to experience higher rates of hypertension than expected based solely on anthropometric and socioeconomic factors (Cooper et al., 2015).

Yet, the genetic etiology of hypertension — encompassing genes, variants, susceptibility loci, and population disparities — remains elusive (Ehret & Caulfield, 2013; Seidel & Scholl, 2017b). Despite advancements from the common disease-common variant and common disease-rare variant hypotheses, methodologies such as Genome-Wide Linkage Analysis (GWLA) and Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) face limitations (Olczak et al., 2021). This gap leaves a segment of EH heritability unexplained by known genetic factors. Moreover, the existing underrepresentation (data as of May 2024) of African (0.21%), African American or Afro-Caribbean, and Native American (0.53%), Hispanic or Latin American (0.39%), Other/Mixed (0.67%) populations (M. C. Mills & Rahal, 2020) in worldwide genomic investigations imposes constraints on the generalizability of results to admixed populations (Buniello et al., 2019; Popejoy & Fullerton, 2016), such as the Brazilian one (68.1% European, 19.6% African, and 11.6% Native American) (de Souza et al., 2019).

This study focuses on the tri-hybrid admixed populations known as “quilombo remnants” in Vale do Ribeira region, São Paulo, Brazil. Quilombo remnants are communities established by runaway or abandoned African enslaved individuals, often exhibiting intricate mixtures with European and Native American ancestry. These populations represent a unique model for the study of diseases. They are marked by a high prevalence of EH (Borges & Kimura, 2023), well-defined clinical characterization, semi-isolation, background relatedness, high gene flow between populations, and founder effects (Lemes et al., 2014). They also exhibit relatively homogeneous environmental influences, including lifestyle, dietary habits, and natural habitat, thereby minimizing confounding factors found in larger urban populations. Studying EH in quilombo remnants helps to reduce biases associated with population heterogeneity. This approach improves the signal-to-noise ratio, thereby enhancing statistical power, while providing better representation of admixed populations in genomic studies. It also allows us to uncover chromosomal regions, genes, and variants that may contribute to EH.

In this study, we employed a multi-level computational approach that combined both pedigree-based and population-based methodologies on family analysis to account for the unique admixture of African, European, and Native American ancestries in the quilombo population, along with a two-step fine-mapping strategy based on family-based association studies (FBAS) and investigation of EH-related genes. The family analysis using GWLA has been successfully applied in previous studies (Nafikov et al., 2018) to address challenges such as population stratification and the complex genetic architecture of traits, enhancing the power to identify loci associated with rare variants that may go undetected in population-based studies. The pedigree- and population-based imputation methods we employed have also been rigorously developed and tested (Saad & Wijsman, 2014; Ullah et al., 2019). FBAS enables detailed investigation of EH-related genes while controlling for population structure and relatedness, reducing the problem of multiple testing — an essential factor in admixed populations like the quilombo (H. Chen et al., 2016; Gogarten et al., 2019). Overall, by applying this strategy, we were able to fine-map candidate regions and variants associated with hypertension in a way that leverages the strengths of both family- and population-based genetic studies, providing insights into EH heritability in underrepresented populations.

METHODS

Anonymized summary statistics data have been made publicly available at the GWAS Catalog (study GCST90454187) and can be accessed at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/studies/GCST90454187.

SAMPLES AND SNP GENOTYPING

We conducted 51 trips to Vale do Ribeira (São Paulo, Brazil) from 2000-2020 to obtain samples (peripheral blood for DNA extraction), clinical data (average blood pressure, height, weight, waist circumference and hip circumference), and collect information (sex, age, family relationships, medical history, demographic information, and daily physical activity levels) from 431 consenting individuals aged 17 or older (Fig. 1, box A). This study was approved by institutional review committees (USP/Institute of Biomedical Sciences 111/2001 and USP/Institute of Biosciences 012/2004 and 034/2005) and blood samples were drawn after subjects provided informed consent. To reduce bias, we excluded individuals who reported diabetes, severe kidney disease, and pregnancy. Additionally, no samples needed to be removed due to very low blood pressure (hypotensive readings), as no such cases were observed in the dataset.

Genomic DNA was extracted and quantified from each of the 431 blood samples and prepared for SNP genotyping through Axiom Genome-Wide Human Origins 1 Array SNPs (Fig. 1, box B) according to Affymetrix requirements (refer to Supp. Material SS1 for details). Raw data was processed, annotated, and subjected to quality control according to Affymetrix Human v.5a threshold (Applied Biosystems, 2020) using the commercial software Axiom Analysis Suite v.3.1.

From the combined collected data, we used the commercial software GenoPro v. 3.0.1.4 – tool for creating and managing family trees and genealogical data – to construct six extended pedigrees from 8 different populations (Abobral [ABDR], André Lopes [AN], Galvão [GA], Ivaporunduva [IV], Nhunguara [NH], Pedro Cubas [PC], São Pedro [SP], and Sapatu [TU]) (Fig. 2). The six pedigrees were named: **ABDR** (Abobral population); **ANNH** (André Lopes and Nhunguara populations); **GASP** (Galvão and São Pedro populations); **IV** (Ivaporunduva population); **PC** (Pedro Cubas population); and **TU** (Sapatu population). These pedigrees encompass 1104 individuals (Table 1): 431 genotyped (167 affected, 261 non-affected and 3 unknown phenotype) and 673 non-genotyped. The characteristics of the genotyped cohort are detailed in Table 2. Pedigree structures underwent validation through calculation of multi-step pairwise kinship coefficients (Φ) using several algorithms: KING-Robust v.2.2.8 (Manichaikul et al., 2010), which efficiently identifies relatedness in large datasets while accounting for population structure; MORGAN v.34 (L. Tong & Thompson, 2008; Wijsman et al., 2006), a robust suite designed for performing genetic linkage analysis in pedigrees; and PBAP v.1/v.2 (Nato et al., 2015), a pipeline for file processing and quality control of pedigree data with dense genetic markers.

We filtered and trimmed the dataset (refer to Supp. Material SS1 for details) using KING-Robust v.2.2.8 (Manichaikul et al., 2010) and PLINK v.1.9/v.2.0 (Chang et al., 2015), a widely used tool for GWAS and population data analysis that efficiently handles large-scale data and performs quality control and kinship analysis. We excluded samples with a genotyping rate of less than 95%, as well as SNPs with a genotyping rate below 95%. Monomorphic SNPs and SNPs resulting in heterozygous haploid calls across all remaining individuals were removed. SNPs not adhering to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p-value < $1x10^{-3}$) were also removed. SNP identification followed the dbSNP standard format (rsID), and their genetic locations (cM) were obtained through the Rutgers Combined Linkage-Physical Map v.3 (Matise et al., 2007). EH was considered a binary outcome, categorizing individuals as hypertensive (SBP ≥ 140 and/or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg) or normotensive (SBP < 140 and DBP < 90 mmHg). Individuals diagnosed and/or under medication for EH were classified as hypertensive.

We analyzed age differences between affected and unaffected individuals across pedigrees using Welch two sample t-tests, one-way and two-way ANOVA, and multiple regression analysis. These analyses were conducted using in-house R scripts, leveraging the R stats package v.3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2024) for statistical computations.

LOCAL ANCESTRY ESTIMATION

We estimated local ancestry fractions (Fig. 1, box F), which allowed us to determine individual- and pedigree-specific ancestries. The reference dataset comprised 189 samples from the 1000 Genomes Project (Fairley et al., 2020) and Stanford HGDP SNP Genotyping (Huang et al., 2011) data: 63 European (CEU - Northern Europeans from Utah), 63 African (YRI - Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria), and 63 Native American (Colombia, Maya, and Pima populations) samples. Overlapping markers (145,467 SNPs) present in both reference (189 samples) and inference (431 samples) datasets were extracted and both datasets were merged and pruned for missingness (<95% genotyping rate) using PLINK. Haplotypes were inferred using SHAPEIT2 v2.17 (O'Connell et al., 2014), a tool for phasing genotype data and efficiently reconstructs haplotypes from large-scale datasets. RFMix v.1.5.4 (Maples et al., 2013), a tool for local ancestry inference, specializes in assigning ancestry to specific genomic segments in admixed populations was used. We used in-house scripts to estimate global ancestry fractions for each sample and pedigree ancestries by averaging the local ancestry calls across the entire genome (refer to Supp. Material SS2 for details).

PEDIGREE ANALYSIS

In our multipoint pedigree linkage analyses, we employed MORGAN (Monte Carlo Genetic Analysis) suite, leveraging its versatility and robust capabilities rooted in the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach, allowing for simultaneously handling numerous markers and individuals within pedigrees through a sampling methodology (Wijsman et al., 2006).

We implemented a redundant and semi-independent design to yield results that are both independent and comparable. From the complete inference marker set, we selected three distinct non-overlapping subsets (or subpanels) of markers (Fig. 1, box E) employing specific selection criteria and parameters through PBAP (refer to Supp. Material SS3 for details). The first subpanel, labeled the gold standard, included top-quality markers meeting specific criteria. The other two subpanels, while informative, might have slightly lower quality and were applied for validation of the finding. All three subpanels were crucial for discovery analyses. Parametric linkage analysis was performed using an autosomal-dominant model with a risk allele frequency of 0.01, an incomplete penetrance of 0.70 (for genotypes with 1 or 2 copies of the risk allele), and a phenocopy rate of 0.05. The penetrance was estimated by assessing affected and unaffected individuals within pedigrees. All subsequent steps were performed concurrently for each pedigree (refer to Supp. Material SS3.1 for details).

We estimated allelic frequencies for each SNP marker (Fig. 1, box G) using the specialized script ADMIXFRQ v.1 (Nafikov et al., 2018). This involved the generation of unique pedigree-specific files organized by ancestry based on local ancestry calls and subpanel information.

To compute LOD scores, we employed the approach in MORGAN outlined as:

$$P_{\gamma}(Y_T|Y_M) = \sum_{S_M} P_{\gamma}(Y_T|_M)\, P(S_M|Y_M)$$

where Y_M denotes the genetic marker loci, Y_T the trait characteristics and SM denotes the meiosis indicators for all markers (L. Tong & Thompson, 2008). The LOD score calculation was a two-step process. In the initial step (Fig. 1, box H), we sampled inheritance vectors (IVs) for the gold standard subpanel using alternate SNP markers (2,500-3,000 SNPs) in a genome-wide “scan" analysis. Preliminary candidate regions were identified as those with a peak LOD score > 1.

In the second step, we conducted a "dense" analysis (Fig. 1, box J) restricted to the entire chromosomes containing each preliminary candidate region using all three subpanels and sampling of IVs using all available SNP markers. LOD scores were calculated again for this second step, defining Regions of Interest (ROIs) as those with a maximum LOD score > 1.50 in at least one subpanel, with mandatory positive results for subpanel 1. ROI boundaries were determined based on LOD score > 1 marker position (refer to Supp. Material SS3.3 for details). Both steps (“scan” and “dense” analysis) were conducted separately for each pedigree.

To ensure the convergence of the sampling process, we performed diagnostic analysis of the MCMC runs (Fig. 1, box I), evaluating run length, autocorrelation of LOD scores, and run stability using three different graphical tools (refer to Supp. Material SS3.4 for details). The default setup for MCMC runs was 100,000 MC iterations, 40,000 burn-in iterations, 25 saved realizations, 1,000 identity-by-descent graphs and output scores saved at every 25 scored MC iterations.

Results of this analysis were used to determine the appropriate running conditions. Once the correct setup for each pedigree was established, we repeated the genome-wide scan and dense analysis, which included sampling of IVs and calculation of LOD scores, to determine the final ROIs (Fig. 1, box K).

FINE-MAPPING STRATEGIES

Following pedigree analysis adjusted for admixture, we identified and fine-mapped 22 ROIs (Fig. 1, box L-S). We further explored these results by analyzing the imputed SNP dataset using family-based association studies, individually evaluating each of the 22 ROIs while combining all pedigrees to increase statistical power. Additionally, we identified EH-related genes through *in-silico* investigation.

Family-Based Association Studies

Initially, we addressed population structure through principal components analysis (PCA) (Fig. 1, box L), first employing MORGAN Checkped (Thompson, 2011; L. Tong & Thompson, 2008; Wijsman et al., 2006) and PBAP Relationship Check (Nato et al., 2015) algorithms for sample relatedness verification. Pairwise kinship coefficients (Φ) were calculated using KING-robust (Manichaikul et al., 2010). The subsequent steps involved the iterative use of PC-AiR and PC-Relate functions conducted using the GENESIS v3.19 R package (Gogarten et al., 2019). GENESIS provides tools for GWAS and offers advanced methods for handling relatedness and population structure in complex datasets. In the initial iteration, KING-robust estimates informed both kinship and ancestry divergence calculations, with resulting principal components (PCs) used to derive ancestry-adjusted kinship estimates (1st GRM) via PC-Relate. To further refine PCs for ancestry, a secondary PC-AiR run utilized the 1st GRM for kinship and KING-robust estimates for ancestry divergence, yielding new PCs. These new PCs informed a secondary PC-Relate run, culminating in a 2nd GRM. Subsequently, we evaluated variation using the top 10 PCs, selected in accordance with the Kaiser criterion on the Kaiser-Guttman rule, which suggests retaining PCs with eigenvalues greater than 1, as these components explain more variance than a single original variable (Kaiser, 1960).

We employed a comprehensive two-way independent imputation strategy (Fig. 1, box N) for variants within each ROI identified through the family analysis (refer to Supp. Material SS4.2 for details). We utilized linkage disequilibrium (LD) information to perform a population-based approach. This involved chromosome-wise dataset separation, phasing through SHAPEIT2+duoHMM method (Delaneau et al., 2014), imputation using the Minimac4 v.4.0 (Fuchsberger et al., 2015) software and filtering ($r^2 > 0.3$) through BCFtools v1.15 (Danecek et al., 2021). Minimac4 efficiently imputes genotypes from large datasets based on reference panels, while BCFtools provides utilities for processing and filtering variant call format (VCF) and binary call format (BCF) files. Concurrently a pedigree-based strategy incorporating IVs information was applied. This involved chromosome-wise dataset separation and imputation conducted using GIGI2 v.1 (Cheung et al., 2013), a software tool designed for genetic imputation based on pedigree data.

To account for the complex correlation structure of the data, we included the 2nd GRM as a random effect to fit the mixed models through fitNullModel function, conducted using the GENESIS R package (Gogarten et al., 2019). Comprehensive testing included 10 ancestry principal components and all available covariates as fixed effects (refer to Supp. Material SS4.1 for details). The final statistical model incorporated the top 8 PCs, along with sex, BMI, and age as significant variables. The next step was to fit the generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) (Fig. 1, box M). Specifically for single-variant tests we employed a logistic mixed model expressed as:

$$\text{logit}(\pi) = X\alpha + G_j\beta_j + g$$

where $\pi = P(y = 1 \mid X, G_j, g)$ represents the $Nx1$ column vector of probabilities of being affected for the N individuals conditional to covariates, allelic dosages; and random effects; X is the vector of covariates; and α is the vector of fixed covariate effects. We assume that $g \sim N(0, \sigma_\alpha^2 \Phi)$ is a vector $g = (g_{1,\ldots,} g_N)$ of random effects for the N subjects, where σ_α^2 is the additive genetic variance and Φ is the GRM; G_j is a vector with the allelic dosages (0, 1, or 2 copies of the reference allele) or expected dose (in the case of imputed genotypes) at the locus j; and β_j is its corresponding effect size. The null hypothesis of $\beta_j = 0$ was assessed using a multivariate score test (Horimoto et al., 2023).

Finally, for each ROI, two independent FBAS tests were conducted (Fig. 1, box O) using imputed variant datasets that are either (1) pedigree-based or (2) population-based. The dataset comprised all 431 samples from the combined 6 pedigrees. The single-variant association tests were conducted using the GENESIS R package (Gogarten et al., 2019), implementing the adjusted GLMM to perform Score tests.

We performed multiple testing correction (Fig. 1, box P) using the effective number of independent markers (M_e) estimated using the Genetic Type I Error Calculator software v.0.1 (M. X. Li et al., 2012), a software tool designed to assess the likelihood of false positives in GWAS. We also evaluated adequacy of the analysis modeling through evaluation of the genomic inflation factor (λ) by dividing the median of the chi-square statistics by the median of the chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom (Devlin & Roeder, 1999). Analysis was carried out for each imputed variant dataset.

Suggestive and significant association variants underwent curation, assessment, and compilation (Fig. 1, box Q) into a comprehensive database using a custom R script. Data were extracted in March 2023 from NIH dbSNP (Sherry et al., 1999), CADD (Rentzsch et al., 2021), NCBI PubMed (National Center for Biotechnology Information, 1988), Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (McLaren et al., 2016), ClinVar (Landrum et al., 2018), Mutation Taster (Schwarz et al., 2014), SIFT (Vaser et al., 2016), PolyPhen (Adzhubei et al., 2010), and VarSome (Kopanos et al., 2019). Annotation included chromosome and physical positions (GRCh37/hg19), dbSNP rsID, associated genes, genomic alterations, variant consequences, exonic functions, and pathogenicity classifications. This procedure was executed independently for each ROI and for both imputed variant datasets.

Furthermore, we analyzed linkage disequilibrium (LD) (Fig. 1, box R) patterns for variants within a 500,000 base pair window surrounding each statistically suggestive or significant associated variant. Utilizing the Ensembl REST API in conjunction with the ensemblQueryR tool v2.0 (Fairbrother-Browne et al., 2023), an R package designed for efficient querying of the Ensembl database, we accessed and retrieved genomic data extracted during May 2024. We established thresholds of $r^2 > 0.7$ and D' > 0.9. This analysis incorporated data from the 1000 Genomes Project (Fairley et al., 2020) for multiple populations, including European (CEU: Utah Residents with Northern and Western European Ancestry), African (YRI: Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria), and American populations: CLM (Colombian in Medellin, Colombia), MXL (Mexican Ancestry in Los Angeles, California), PEL (Peruvian in Lima, Peru), and PUR (Puerto Rican in Puerto Rico). Additionally, we utilized the Ensembl REST API VEP to annotate and select LD patterns based on variant consequences.

Investigation of EH-related Genes

To elucidate the hypertension-related implications of genes identified within each ROI (Fig. 1, box S), we first identified all genes mapped within ROIs using biomaRt R v.2.6.0.1 (Durinck et al., 2009). Then we obtained and annotated genes associated with "essential hypertension" or "high blood pressure" according to NCBI PubMed (National Center for Biotechnology Information, 1988), MedGen (National Library of Medicine, n.d.), MalaCards (Rappaport et al., 2013), ClinVar (Landrum et al., 2018), Ensembl BioMart (Kinsella et al., 2011), and GWAS Catalog (Sollis et al., 2023). The data were extracted in March 2023. Finally, we matched both lists, systematically annotating based on physical position (base pair), cytogenetic band, summary, molecular function, related phenotype, gene ontology, genetic location (cM; GRCh37/hg19), expression patterns, and publication data. Additionally, to prioritize these genes (refer to Supp. Material SS5 for details), we utilized VarElect v.5.21 (Stelzer et al., 2016), a tool that assesses the potential pathogenicity of genetic variants for prioritization.

RESULTS

Significant differences in mean ages were observed between affected and unaffected individuals (Fig 3) across all pedigrees (ABDR: 35.9 vs. 49.7 years, $p = 2.112343 \times 10^{-3}$; ANNH: 36.4 vs. 57 years, $p = 1.291263 \times 10^{-7}$; GASP: 37 vs. 54.3 years, $p = 4.771149 \times 10^{-7}$; PC: 38.4 vs. 60.6 years, $p = 1.026268 \times 10^{-4}$; IV: 33.2 vs. 64.3 years, $p = 4.963544 \times 10^{-7}$; TU: 38.2 vs. 53.8 years, $p = 8.866232 \times 10^{-4}$), as seen in Supp. Table ST1. Overall, the combined data showed a mean age of 36.73 ± 15.4 years for unaffected individuals versus 55.11 ± 17.3 years for affected individuals ($p < 6.855379 \times 10^{-25}$). One-way ANOVA was performed with 5 degrees of freedom for pedigrees and 161 for residuals, the sum of squares for pedigrees was 2783 and for residuals was 46617, resulting in mean squares of 556.6 and 289.5, respectively. Comparing the average age of affected individuals across pedigrees for both sexes, this analysis yielded an F value of 1.922 with a *p*-value of 0.0934, indicating non-significant differences in average age across pedigrees. Two-way ANOVA highlighted a significant effect of affected status on average age ($p < 0.001$). However, neither sex nor the interaction between sex and affected status showed significant effects on average age, with *p*-values of 0.601 and 0.671 respectively. This suggests that while affected status significantly influences average age and sex, the interaction between sex and affected status does not have a significant impact. The multiple regression model showed that affected status significantly influenced average age ($p < 0.001$). The coefficients for sex ($p = 0.19748$), pedigree ($p = 0.55107$), BMI ($p = 0.32849$), as well as for SBP ($p = 0.2782$) and DBP ($p = 0.44095$) are not statistically significant. The overall model's performance is high (strong explanatory power) as indicated by the R-squared value of 0.7611, suggesting that about 76.11% of the variability in average age can be explained by the predictors in the model.

Additionally, the F-statistic is significant ($p < 9.109 \times 10^{-5}$), indicating that the model as a whole is significant in predicting average age.

We calculated ancestry proportions for individual admixture segments (local ancestry) using data from 145,467 SNPs considering all the 431 samples (Fig. 4A) and individually per pedigree (Fig. 4B). The three top principal components (PCs), PC1, PC2 and PC3, explain 12.42%, 6.17%, and 1.68% of the variance, respectively (Fig. 5A), allowing to visualize the genetic distance between the inference and the reference datasets (Fig. 5B). All six quilombo remnants pedigrees studied have a high degree of admixture. Among all 431 individuals the estimates are 47.4%, 36.3%, and 16.1%, respectively, for African, European, and Native American ancestries (Table 3). The pedigrees from ABDR and PC had the highest (51.5%) estimates of African ancestral contribution. In comparison, TU had the highest (50.8%) estimate of European ancestral contribution, and ABDR had the highest estimate (16.9%) of Native American ancestral contribution. For comparison, the ancestry proportions for the general Brazilian population were estimated as 19.6%, 68.1%, and 11.6% for African, European, and Native American ancestral contributions (de Souza et al., 2019), respectively, with vivid contrasts among each Brazilian region (Supp. Table ST2-3).

The MCMC runs diagnosis were conducted, within each pedigree, on the smallest chromosome exhibiting a positive LOD score (Supp. Fig. SF2-7). Through pedigree analysis, we identified 30 ROIs (Supp. Table ST4) of which 8 were discarded due to a lack of corroboration between the three subpanels of SNPs. The remaining 22 ROIs contain 2363 genes (Supp. Table ST8) (Example in Fig. 6A).

We utilized family-based association studies (Fig. 6B-C) to analyze a total of 1,612,754 SNPs, derived from both pedigree- and population-based imputation strategies, across the 22 ROIs. The summary statistics for the extended SNP set are publicly accessible in the GWAS Catalog (GCST90454187). From this analysis, we identified 117 variants (Supp. Table ST5) with suggestive or significant associations with EH.

Furthermore, our investigation of EH-related genes, utilizing publicly available databases and supported by the literature, narrowed down the initial set of 2363 genes to 60 promising candidate genes (Table 4) located within the 22 ROIs.

Among the 22 remaining ROIs, 20 of them were single-supported by the investigation of EH-related genes as a fine-mapping strategy, and 17 of them were additionally supported by family-based association studies as a fine mapping strategy (double-supported). Highlighting the common ground between the double supported results (by both the fine-mapping strategies), 14 genes (highlighted in Table 4) were identified within the mapped regions with compelling evidence of association with the phenotype. These genes include *PHGDH* and *S100A10* (ROI1); *MFN2* (ROI2); *RYR2*, *EDARADD*, and *MTR* (ROI3); *SERTAD2* (ROI4); *LPP* (ROI5); *KCNT1* (ROI11); *TENM4* (ROI13); *P2RX1*, *ZZEF1*, and *RPA1* (ROI18); and *ALPK2* (ROI20). All these genes were broadly described in the supplementary section (SS7: Double-supported EH genes). These genes harbor 29 SNPs (highlighted in Supp. Table ST5) implicated by our family-based association studies.

DISCUSSION

Despite significant financial investment and the substantial number of samples analyzed in GWLA and GWAS, much of the heritability of complex phenotypes such as EH remains elusive. Moreover, due to the underrepresentation of African and Native American populations in global genetic studies, much of what is currently known about complex phenotypes may not be fully applicable to admixed populations, such as the descendants of quilombo populations and, more broadly, the Afro-descendant Brazilians. By studying EH in semi-isolated quilombo remnants, we reduce biases from population and clinical-biological heterogeneity, improve the signal-to-noise ratio (and consequently the statistical power), and increase the representation of admixed populations (like the Brazilian population) in genomic studies.

In our complementary approach, we combined two main strategies, i.e., linkage analysis and family-based association studies. Together, these strategies minimize the need for multiple testing, reduce population stratification, and leverage chromosomal location information provided by meiotic events. This combined approach resulted in 22 filtered ROIs with 60 single-supported genes (harboring 117 suggestive/significant associated variants) by the EH-genes investigation, and 14 double-supported genes by both the fine-mapping (investigation of EH-genes and FBAS) harboring 29 suggestive/significant associated variants.

The 14 double-supported genes imply EH through their roles in vascular, cardiac, and metabolic biological pathways. For instance, *LPP* harbors BP associated SNPs (Surendran et al., 2016) and plays a role in maintaining vascular smooth muscle cell contractility (Hooper et al., 2012), which helps prevent hypertension-induced arterial remodeling (Nahar, 2017). Similarly, *P2RX1*, identified in both African ancestry populations and our Brazilian Afro-descendant cohort, plays a key role in vasoconstriction in small arteries (O'Leary et al., 2016) and renal autoregulation, both of which are critical for maintaining blood pressure homeostasis (Gordienko et al., 2015). Apparently, this gene controls glomerular hemodynamics in ANG II hypertension (Franco et al., 2017). *RYR2* harbors several BP and EH-associated SNPs (Burton et al., 2007; Ehret et al., 2008; Kostis et al., 2013) and is key to calcium handling in cardiac muscle (O'Leary et al., 2016), directly affecting heart function and blood pressure regulation, while *MFN2* is involved in mitochondrial function (O'Leary et al., 2016), with mutations linked to vascular smooth muscle proliferation, which may contribute to hypertension (Jin et al., 2018; Sung et al., 2018; Z. Wang et al., 2011). Collectively, these genes are involved in mechanisms affecting vascular tone, muscle contraction, and energy metabolism, all central to blood pressure regulation.

Several genes related to oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction, and nitric oxide (NO) regulation, were associated to EH in this study and supported by the literature. *RPA1* influences the repression of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) (Miyamoto et al., 2000), thus reducing NO levels and leading to endothelial dysfunction (Gamil et al., 2017), a key player in hypertension. *EDARADD* has been linked to pulse pressure regulation (J. Chen et al., 2021; O'Leary et al., 2016), while *S100A10* is involved in cellular processes such as inflammation (Huan et al., 2015; Sattar et al., 2021; Zeller et al., 2017), which can contribute to vascular dysfunction and elevated blood pressure. *MTR*, responsible for methionine biosynthesis (O'Leary et al., 2016), has been associated with diastolic blood pressure (Moskau et al., 2007), indicating its possible role in homocysteine metabolism and cardiovascular risk in EH patients (X. F. Wang et al., 2016), and has been suggested as a genetic marker to assess the action of antihypertensive drugs (Zhang et al., 2004). We have identified a blood pressure -related SNP in *MTR* (rs1805087) in linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 \geq 0.97$) with the suggestive SNP rs10925261 (p-value = 2.5×10^{-3}) identified in this study. This set of genes collectively suggests that endothelial health, inflammation, and metabolic regulation converge on pathways influencing blood pressure.

Lastly, genes such *as ZZEF1* (Hoffmann et al., 2017; X. Tong et al., 2016), *PHGDH* (Richard et al., 2017; Syme et al., 2019), and *TENM4* (Z. Li et al., 2021; Park et al., 2017) were associated with blood pressure regulation via several genetic polymorphisms. *PHGDH* has been linked to blood pressure through epigenetic regulation, with methylation changes influencing systolic and diastolic pressure (Syme et al., 2019). *KCNT1* may influence vascular tone indirectly although it is mainly involved in potassium channel regulation (Kim et al., 2014; P. Li et al., 2019). Although *SERTAD2* (Sung et al., 2018) and *ALPK2* (Chauvet et al., 2011) present BP regulation-associated polymorphisms, they have less direct evidence connecting them to EH but their involvement in cellular signaling and protein interactions suggest possible contributions to blood pressure regulation.

Note that the SNPs linked to the EH phenotype identified in this study were genotyped using genomic arrays and are therefore common variants. Many of these variants are situated in non-coding or intergenic regions and may or may not have recognized functional or regulatory effects. Although these SNPs are not expected to affect the phenotype directly, several of these variants are in LD with variants of more significant impact. Notably, among these, some may be rare and remain ungenotyped. Specifically, we identified unique tag SNPs: 77 non-coding SNPs (3' UTR, 5' UTR, or TF binding), 196 regulatory, and 15 missense SNPs (Supp. Table ST7).

Recent GWAS studies have highlighted significant findings in African-derived populations, revealing genetic variants associated with blood pressure traits that differ from those identified in European populations. For example, a large meta-analysis involving 80,950 individuals of African ancestry identified 10 variants for SBP and 9 for DBP, including a novel variant, rs562545 in the *MOBP* gene, associated with DBP (Udosen et al., 2023). The AWI-Gen study, which focused on sub-Saharan African populations, identified two genome-wide significant signals for blood pressure traits: one near *P2RY1* for SBP and another near *LINC01256* for pulse pressure (Singh et al., 2023). These findings, absent in European ancestry cohorts, demonstrate the critical need for African-derived specific studies. Similarly, large-scale GWAS efforts, such as those utilizing the UK Biobank, discovered that only a fraction of loci identified in European populations replicate in African-derived populations, demonstrating that ancestry-specific genetic variants play a crucial

role in hypertension susceptibility (Singh et al., 2023; Udosen et al., 2023). African-derived populations often exhibit unique genetic associations due to differences in genetic architecture. For example, variants in genes such as *CACNA1D* and *KCNK3* that have been found to significantly contribute to blood pressure regulation in African populations are less prominent in other populations.

Admixture mapping has provided valuable insights into loci with potential effects on BP and EH, identifying genomic regions particularly relevant in populations with African ancestry. For instance, *NPR3*, associated with blood pressure regulation in both African and European populations, was uncovered through admixture mapping in African Americans (Franceschini et al., 2013). Additional regions such as 1q21.2–21.3, 4p15.1, 19q12, and 20p13 have demonstrated significant associations with DBP (p-values of $\leq 2.07 \times 10^{-4}$), while regions 1q21.2–21.3 and 19q12 were also associated (p-values of $\leq 5.32 \times 10^{-4}$) with mean arterial pressure (Z. Liu et al., 2020).

Several regions identified in the literature have been corroborated by findings from our study. The 3q27.3 region (ROI 5), containing the *AGT* gene, is strongly linked to EH, especially in African ancestry populations (Mani, 2024). Likewise, the 17q23.2 region (ROI 19) has been implicated in blood pressure traits, particularly through its role in regulating the RAAS (Kraja et al., 2011). Furthermore, 6p24.1 (ROI 9) has shown previous associations with blood pressure regulation (Mani, 2024). Linkage studies have also implicated 1q43 (ROI 3) in essential hypertension (Ehret et al., 2009), while 8p23.1 (ROI 10) has been recognized for its relevance in blood pressure regulation, particularly in populations of African descent (Kraja et al., 2011).

These findings underscore the value of including diverse populations in genetic studies to uncover novel loci and better understand the genetic basis of complex traits like hypertension. In this study, our approach identified 22 ROIs, with 14 relevant genes, from which we highlight *PHGDH*, *S100A10*, and *RYR2* implicated in hypertension susceptibility. The overlap between findings in African ancestry populations and those in Brazilian Afro-descendants, such as *P2RX1* highlighted in our stud*y*, further supports the role of GWAS and admixture mapping in identifying population-specific loci relevant to hypertension risk.

To validate our FBAS findings, we performed a genetic correlation analysis using summary statistics from our study and the publicly available GWAS Catalog dataset GCST90436066 (W. Zhou et al., 2018), selected due to its extensive SNP coverage. The analysis was conducted using the GenomicSEM R package (Grotzinger et al., 2019), with LD scores calculated using LDSC scripts (Bulik-Sullivan et al., 2015) from the HapMap3 b36 YRI (Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria) population as the reference (Altshuler et al., 2010). Given the high African ancestry in our dataset, the YRI population was selected as the reference for LD scores. Attempts to use LD scores from other HapMap3 populations resulted in negative heritability estimates, preventing accurate genetic correlation computation.

From our analysis, the estimated genetic correlation between our study and GCST90436066 was 0.427, with a genetic covariance of 0.0105 ($p = 0.743$). Heritability estimates for GCST90436066 showed a total observed scale heritability (h^2) of 0.0023 ($Z = 3.15$, $p = 7 \times 10^{-4}$), while for our study, h^2 was 0.2623 ($Z = 0.271$), reflecting a significant difference between the datasets. These results are likely influenced by the fact that GCST90436066 represents a European cohort (there is no available African or admixed EH summary statistics in public repositories such as the GWAS Catalog), in contrast to the admixed nature of our dataset, which contains a high proportion of African ancestry. Despite these limitations, the moderate genetic correlation observed provides some level of validation, indicating some level of shared genetic architecture between the two cohorts for this trait.

To prioritize our results, we developed a comprehensive score based on the weights assigned to each strategy (Supp. Table ST6), including linkage analysis, EH genes investigation, and association studies. The scores allowed to classify the ROIs into three tiers based on their priority level (Table 5): high (top 20% of the ROIs), intermediate (30% of the ROIs), and low (50% of the ROIs).

As presented, our study has some limitations. One such limitation is that the investigation of EH-related genes, as part of the fine-mapping strategy, was focused on genes previously supported by literature as being related to blood pressure regulation. Consequently, it is possible that genes that have never been implicated in EH may contain rare or novel variants relevant to the origin of hypertension in quilombo remnant populations.

On the other hand, the strength of this study comes from the improvement and application of a unique multi-level computational approach that combined mapping strategies to deal with large family data, which provided reliable results. By using genome-wide linkage analyses based on MCMC methods and adjusted for admixture, association studies as the primary fine-mapping strategy, and limiting analyses to candidate genomic regions, this study took advantage of meiotic information provided by pedigrees while simultaneously reducing the need for multiple tests and avoiding population stratification. Therefore, the ROIs identified in this study are credible and provide valuable insights into the genetic basis of essential hypertension in the quilombo remnant populations.

Conducting analyses by merging all six pedigrees into only one would be a formidable challenge, and probably not feasible. However, the prospect of replicating these analyses using alternative computational packages is exciting and not an impossible task. Our study has demonstrated that blood pressure and hypertension in the quilombo remnant populations are likely influenced by multiple genes, in a polygenic or oligogenic mechanism of inheritance. We have identified several loci across different chromosomes that contain genes and variants involved in the development of hypertension. Additionally, we have identified genomic regions of interest not previously associated with EH and will therefore be important targets for future research.

Furthermore, our study has the potential to shed light on important genomic regions, genes, and variants that are specific to African-derived populations. We have provided insights into the genetic factors that contribute to hypertension in a group that has been often underrepresented in genetic studies and databases.

To overcome the limitations, future steps of this investigation will involve the use of Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) and Whole Exome Sequencing (WES) from this dataset. WGS and WES will enable the investigation of coding and non-coding variants within all ROIs, with a focus on rare variants that may have a higher impact on gene functioning. To optimize this process, the prioritization of ROIs as performed in Table 5 is essential, since high and intermediate ROIs will be addressed first when filtering variants detected after WES and WGS, and the low-priority ROIs afterwards.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the support of Dr. Diogo Meyer (IB/USP - Brazil), Dr. Julia M. Pavan Soler (IME/USP - Brazil), Dr. Suely Ruiz Giolo (UFPR - Brazil), Dr. Paulo Otto (IB/USP - Brazil) and Dr. Alexandre da Costa Pereira (INCOR - Brazil) for valuable suggestions. The authors also thank Dr. Christian Kubisch (University Medical Center Hamburg / Eppendorf - Germany) for many ideas which stimulated the development of this project.

FUNDING SOURCES

We acknowledge funding from CEPID-FAPESP (Research Center on the Human Genome and Stem Cells - São Paulo Research Foundation, grants 1998/14254-2 and 2013/08028-1, and FAPESP/INCT-CNPq (São Paulo Research Foundation/National Institutes of Science and Technology-National Council for Scientific and Technological Development) 2014/50931-3 led by Dr. Mayana Zatz). This research also received support from grant FAPESP (São Paulo Research Foundation) 2012/18010-0, led by Dr. Diogo Meyer (IB/USP-Brazil). Additionally, we are grateful to CAPES (Brazilian Federal Agency for Support and Evaluation) for the sandwich Ph.D. fellowship #88887.371219/2019-00 and CNPq (National Council for Scientific and Technological Development) for the Ph.D. fellowship #142193/2017-8.

We also acknowledge funding from the Marshall University Joan C. Edwards School of Medicine, Marshall University Data Science Core, WV-INBRE (West Virginia- IDeA Networks of Biomedical Research Excellence) grant (NIH P20GM103434), Bench-to-Bedside Pilot grant (Dr. Nato) under the West Virginia Clinical and Translational Science Institute (WV-CTSI) grant (NIH 5U54GM104942), and Dr. Nato startup fund.

REFERENCES

Adzhubei, I. A., Schmidt, S., Peshkin, L., Ramensky, V. E., Gerasimova, A., Bork, P., Kondrashov, A. S., & Sunyaev, S. R. (2010). A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nature Methods, 7(4), 248–249. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0410-248

Aggarwal, R., Chiu, N., Wadhera, R. K., Moran, A. E., Raber, I., Shen, C., Yeh, R. W., & Kazi, D. S. (2021). Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Hypertension Prevalence, Awareness, Treatment, and Control in the United States, 2013 to 2018. Hypertension, 78(6), 1719–1726. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.121.17570

Altshuler, D. M., Gibbs, R. A., Peltonen, L., Schaffner, S. F., Yu, F., Dermitzakis, E., Bonnen, P. E., De Bakker, P. I. W., Deloukas, P., Gabriel, S. B., Gwilliam, R., Hunt, S., Inouye, M., Jia, X., Aarno Palotie, Parkin, M., Whittaker, P., Chang, K., Hawes, A., … McEwen, J. E. (2010). Integrating common and rare genetic variation in diverse human populations. Nature, 467(7311), 52–58. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09298

Applied Biosystems. (2020). Axiom Analysis Suite 5.1 User Guide. 703307, 33. https://www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/life-science/microarray-analysis/microarray-analysis-instruments-software-services/microarray-analysis-software/axiom-analysis-suite.html

Arnett, D. K., & Claas, S. A. (2018). Omics of blood pressure and hypertension. Circulation Research, 122(10), 1409–1419. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.311342

Auton, A., Abecasis, G. R., Altshuler, D. M., Durbin, R. M., Bentley, D. R., Chakravarti, A., Clark, A. G., Donnelly, P., Eichler, E. E., Flicek, P., Gabriel, S. B., Gibbs, R. A., Green, E. D., Hurles, M. E., Knoppers, B. M., Korbel, J. O., Lander, E. S., Lee, C., Lehrach, H., … Schloss, J. A. (2015). A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature, 526(7571), 68–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393

Barroso, W. K. S., Rodrigues, C. I. S., Bortolotto, L. A., Mota-Gomes, M. A., Brandão, A. A., Feitosa, A. D. de M., Machado, C. A., Poli-de-Figueiredo, C. E., Amodeo, C., Mion, D., Barbosa, E. C. D., Nobre, F., Guimarães, I. C. B., Vilela-Martin, J. F., Yugar-Toledo, J. C., Magalhães, M. E. C., Neves, M. F. T., Jardim, P. C. B. V., Miranda, R. D., … Nadruz, W. (2021). Diretrizes Brasileiras de Hipertensão Arterial – 2020. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia, 116(3), 516–658. https://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20201238%0Ahttps://abccardiol.org/article/diretrizes-brasileiras-de-hipertensao-arterial-2020/

Beevers, G., Lip, G. Y. H., & O'Brien, E. (2001). The pathophysiology of hypertension. British Medical Journal, 322(7291), 912–916. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.322.7291.912

Borges, V. M., & Kimura, L. (2023). Panorama of arterial hypertension in quilombos in Brazil: a narrative review. Physis: Revista de Saúde Coletiva, 33(e33050). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-7331202333050.en

Bortolini, M. C., Weimer, T. A., Salzano, F. M., Callegari-Jacques, S. M., Schneider, H., Layrisse, Z., & Bonatto, S. L. (1995). Evolutionary relationships between black South American and African populations. Human Biology; an International Record of Research, 67(4), 547–559.

Brier, M. E., & Luft, F. C. (1994). Sodium kinetics in white and black normotensive subjects: possible relevance to salt-sensitive hypertension. The American Journal of the Medical Sciences, 307 Suppl 1(2 SUPPL.). https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8141163/

Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H. K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F. R., Loh, P. R., Duncan, L., Perry, J. R. B., Patterson, N., Robinson, E. B., Daly, M. J., Price, A. L., & Neale, B. M. (2015). An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. Nature Genetics, 47(11), 1236–1241. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3406

Buniello, A., Macarthur, J. A. L., Cerezo, M., Harris, L. W., Hayhurst, J., Malangone, C., McMahon, A., Morales, J., Mountjoy, E., Sollis, E., Suveges, D., Vrousgou, O., Whetzel, P. L., Amode, R., Guillen, J. A., Riat, H. S., Trevanion, S. J., Hall, P., Junkins, H., … Parkinson, H. (2019). The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic Acids Research, 47(D1), D1005–D1012. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1120

Burton, P. R., Clayton, D. G., Cardon, L. R., Craddock, N., Deloukas, P., Duncanson, A., Kwiatkowski, D., & Green, E. K. (2007). The Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium. Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. Nature, 447(7145), 661–678. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05911.Genome-wide

Carey, R. M., Muntner, P., Bosworth, H. B., & Whelton, P. K. (2018). Prevention and Control of Hypertension: JACC Health Promotion Series. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 72(11), 1278–1293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.07.008

Chang, C. C., Chow, C. C., Tellier, L. C. A. M., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S. M., & Lee, J. J. (2015). Second-generation PLINK: Rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience, 4(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8

Chauvet, C., Crespo, K., Ménard, A., Wu, Y., Xiao, C., Blain, M., Roy, J., & Deng, A. Y. (2011). α-Kinase 2 is a novel candidate gene for inherited hypertension in Dahl rats. Journal of Hypertension, 29(7), 1320–1326. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e32834705e4

Chen, H., Wang, C., Conomos, M. P., Stilp, A. M., Li, Z., Sofer, T., Szpiro, A. A., Chen, W., Brehm, J. M., Celedón, J. C., Redline, S., Papanicolaou, G. J., Thornton, T. A., Laurie, C. C., Rice, K., & Lin, X. (2016). Control for Population Structure and Relatedness for Binary Traits in Genetic Association Studies via Logistic Mixed Models. American Journal of Human Genetics, 98(4), 653–666. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJHG.2016.02.012

Chen, J., Wang, W., Li, Z., Xu, C., Tian, X., & Zhang, D. (2021). Heritability and genome-wide association study of blood pressure in Chinese adult twins. Molecular Genetics and Genomic Medicine, 9(11), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.1828

Cheung, C. Y. K., Thompson, E. A., & Wijsman, E. M. (2013). GIGI: An approach to effective imputation of dense genotypes on large pedigrees. American Journal of Human Genetics, 92(4), 504–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.02.011

Cooper, R. S., Forrester, T. E., Plange-Rhule, J., Bovet, P., Lambert, E. V., Dugas, L. R., Cargill, K. E., Durazo-Arvizu, R. A., Shoham, D. A., Tong, L., Cao, G., & Luke, A. (2015). Elevated hypertension risk for African-origin populations in biracial societies: modeling the Epidemiologic Transition Study. Journal of Hypertension, 33(3), 473–481. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000000429

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., & Davies, R. M. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience, 10(2), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/GIGASCIENCE/GIAB008

de Souza, A. M., Resende, S. S., de Sousa, T. N., & de Brito, C. F. A. (2019a). A systematic scoping review of the genetic ancestry of the brazilian population. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 42(3), 495–508. https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4685-gmb-2018-0076

Delaneau, O., Marchini, J., McVeanh, G. A., Donnelly, P., Lunter, G., Myers, S., Gupta-Hinch, A., Iqbal, Z., Mathieson, I., Rimmer, A., Xifara, D. K., Kerasidou, A., Churchhouse, C., Altshuler, D. M., Gabriel, S. B., Lander, E. S., Gupta, N., Daly, M. J., DePristo, M. A., … Peltonen, L. (2014). Integrating sequence and array data to create an improved 1000 Genomes Project haplotype reference panel. Nature Communications 2014 5:1, 5(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4934

Devlin, B., & Roeder, K. (1999). Genomic control for association studies. Biometrics, 55(4), 997–1004. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0006-341X.1999.00997.x

Donnelly, K. P. (1983). The probability that related individuals share some section of genome identical by descent. Theoretical Population Biology, 23(1), 34–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-5809(83)90004-7

Durinck, S., Spellman, P. T., Birney, E., & Huber, W. (2009). Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nature Protocols, 4(8), 1184–1191. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.97

Ehret, G. B., & Caulfield, M. J. (2013). Genes for blood pressure: An opportunity to understand hypertension. European Heart Journal, 34(13), 951–961. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs455

Ehret, G. B., Morrison, A. C., Connor, A. a O., Grove, M. L., Baird, L., Schwander, K., Weder, A., Cooper, R. S., Rao, D. C., Hunt, S. C., & Chakravarti, A. (2008). Replication of the Wellcome Trust genome-wide association study of essential hypertension. European Journal of Human Genetics, 16(12), 1507–1511. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2008.102.Replication

Ehret, G. B., O'Connor, A. A., Weder, A., Cooper, R. S., & Chakravarti, A. (2009). Follow-up of a major linkage peak on chromosome 1 reveals suggestive QTLs associated with essential hypertension: GenNet study. European Journal of Human Genetics, 17(12), 1650–1657. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2009.94

Fairbrother-Browne, A., García-Ruiz, S., Reynolds, R. H., Ryten, M., & Hodgkinson, A. (2023). ensemblQueryR: fast, flexible and high-throughput querying of Ensembl LD API endpoints in R. GigaByte, 2023, 1. https://doi.org/10.46471/GIGABYTE.91

Fairley, S., Lowy-Gallego, E., Perry, E., & Flicek, P. (2020). The International Genome Sample Resource (IGSR) collection of open human genomic variation resources. Nucleic Acids Research, 48(D1), D941–D947. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKZ836

Ferdinand, K. C., & Armani, A. M. (2007). The management of hypertension in African Americans. In Critical Pathways in Cardiology (Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp. 67–71). https://doi.org/10.1097/HPC.0b013e318053da59

Franceschini, N., Fox, E., Zhang, Z., Edwards, T. L., Nalls, M. A., Sung, Y. J., Tayo, B. O., Sun, Y. V., Gottesman, O., Adeyemo, A., Johnson, A. D., Young, J. H., Rice, K., Duan, Q., Chen, F., Li, Y., Tang, H., Fornage, M., Keene, K. L., … Zhu, X. (2013). Genome-wide association analysis of blood-pressure traits in african-ancestry individuals reveals common associated genes in African and Non-African populations. American Journal of Human Genetics, 93(3), 545–554. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.07.010

Franco, M., Bautista-Pérez, R., Cano-Martínez, A., Pacheco, U., Santamaría, J., Del Valle Mondragón, L., Pérez-Méndez, O., & Navar, L. G. (2017). Physiopathological implications of P2x1 and P2x7 receptors in regulation of glomerular hemodynamics in angiotensin II-induced hypertension. American Journal of Physiology - Renal Physiology, 313(1), F9–F19. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00663.2016

Frisancho, A. (1990). Anthropometric Standards for the Assessment of Growth and Nutritional Status. In Anthropometric Standards for the Assessment of Growth and Nutritional Status. University of Michigan Press.

Fuchs, F. D., & Whelton, P. K. (2020). High Blood Pressure and Cardiovascular Disease. Hypertension, 75(2), 285–292. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.14240

Fuchsberger, C., Abecasis, G. R., & Hinds, D. A. (2015). minimac2: faster genotype imputation. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 31(5), 782–784. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTU704

Gafane, L. F., Schutte, R., Van Rooyen, J. M., & Schutte, A. E. (2016). Plasma renin and cardiovascular responses to the cold pressor test differ in black and white populations: The SABPA study. Journal of Human Hypertension, 30(5), 346–351. https://doi.org/10.1038/JHH.2015.88

Gamil, S., Erdmann, J., Abdalrahman, I. B., & Mohamed, A. O. (2017). Association of NOS3 gene polymorphisms with essential hypertension in Sudanese patients: A case control study. BMC Medical Genetics, 18(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12881-017-0491-7

Ghitani, N., & Chesler, A. T. (2019). The anatomy of the baroreceptor reflex. Cell Reports, 29(8), 2121–2122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.031

Gogarten, S. M., Sofer, T., Chen, H., Yu, C., Brody, J. A., Thornton, T. A., Rice, K. M., & Conomos, M. P. (2019). Genetic association testing using the GENESIS R/Bioconductor package. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 35(24), 5346–5348. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTZ567

Gontijo, C. C., Guerra Amorim, C. E., Godinho, N. M. O., Toledo, R. C. P., Nunes, A., Silva, W., Da Fonseca Moura, M. M., De Oliveira, J. C. C., Pagotto, R. C., De Nazaré Klautau-Guimarães, M., & De Oliveira, S. F. (2014). Brazilian quilombos: A repository of Amerindian alleles. American Journal of Human Biology, 26(2), 142–150. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.22501

Gontijo, C. C., Mendes, F. M., Santos, C. A., Klautau-Guimarães, M. de N., Lareu, M. V., Carracedo, Á., Phillips, C., & Oliveira, S. F. (2018). Ancestry analysis in rural Brazilian populations of African descent. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 36, 160–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2018.06.018

Gordienko, D., Povstyan, O., Sukhanova, K., Raphaël, M., Harhun, M., Dyskina, Y., Lehen'Kyi, V., Jama, A., Lu, Z. L., Skryma, R., & Prevarskaya, N. (2015). Impaired P2X signalling pathways in renal microvascular myocytes in genetic hypertension. Cardiovascular Research, 105(2), 131–142. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvu249

Grillo, A., Salvi, L., Coruzzi, P., Salvi, P., & Parati, G. (2019). Sodium intake and hypertension. Nutrients, 11(9), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11091970

Grotzinger, A. D., Rhemtulla, M., de Vlaming, R., Ritchie, S. J., Mallard, T. T., Hill, W. D., Ip, H. F., Marioni, R. E., McIntosh, A. M., Deary, I. J., Koellinger, P. D., Harden, K. P., Nivard, M. G., & Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2019). Genomic structural equation modelling provides insights into the multivariate genetic architecture of complex traits. Nature Human Behaviour, 3(5), 513–525. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0566-x

Hamid, S., Rhaleb, I. A., Kassem, K. M., & Rhaleb, N. E. (2020). Role of kinins in hypertension and heart failure. Pharmaceuticals, 13(11), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph13110347

Hermann, M., Flammer, A., & Lüscher, T. F. (2006). Nitric oxide in hypertension. Journal of Clinical Hypertension (Greenwich, Conn.), 8(12 Suppl 4), 17–29. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-6175.2006.06032.x

Hoffmann, T. J., Ehret, G. B., Nandakumar, P., Ranatunga, D., Schaefer, C., Kwok, P. Y., Iribarren, C., Chakravarti, A., & Risch, N. (2017). Genome-wide association analyses using electronic health records

identify new loci influencing blood pressure variation. Nature Genetics, 49(1), 54–64. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3715

Hooper, C. L., Dash, P. R., & Boateng, S. Y. (2012). Lipoma preferred partner is a mechanosensitive protein regulated by nitric oxide in the heart. FEBS Open Bio, 2, 135–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fob.2012.05.005

Horimoto, A. R. V. R., Boyken, L. A., Blue, E. E., Grinde, K. E., Nafikov, R. A., Sohi, H. K., Nato, A. Q., Bis, J. C., Brusco, L. I., Morelli, L., Ramirez, A., Dalmasso, M. C., Temple, S., Satizabal, C., Browning, S. R., Seshadri, S., Wijsman, E. M., & Thornton, T. A. (2023). Admixture mapping implicates 13q33.3 as ancestry-of-origin locus for Alzheimer disease in Hispanic and Latino populations. Human Genetics and Genomics Advances, 4(3), 19. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.XHGG.2023.100207

Horimoto, A., Wang, B., Q., N. Jr. A., Sohi, H. K., Nguyen, H., Navas, P., Dorschner, M. O., Tsuang, D. \, Thornton, Timothy A. Blue, E., & Wijsman, E. M. (2021). Tips and tricks for genome-wide linkage analysis in large pedigrees in the next generation sequencing era.

Howard, G., Cushman, M., Moy, C. S., Oparil, S., Muntner, P., Lackland, D. T., Manly, J. J., Flaherty, M. L., Judd, S. E., Wadley, V. G., Long, D. L., & Howard, V. J. (2018). Association of Clinical and Social Factors With Excess Hypertension Risk in Black Compared With White US Adults. JAMA, 320(13), 1338–1348. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMA.2018.13467

Huan, T., Esko, T., Peters, M. J., Pilling, L. C., Schramm, K., Schurmann, C., Chen, B. H., Liu, C., Joehanes, R., Johnson, A. D., Yao, C., Ying, S. xia, Courchesne, P., Milani, L., Raghavachari, N., Wang, R., Liu, P., Reinmaa, E., Dehghan, A., … Boerwinkle, E. (2015). A Meta-analysis of Gene Expression Signatures of Blood Pressure and Hypertension. PLoS Genetics, 11(3), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005035

Huang, L., Jakobsson, M., Pemberton, T. J., Ibrahim, M., Nyambo, T., Omar, S., Pritchard, J. K., Tishkoff, S. A., & Rosenberg, N. A. (2011). Haplotype variation and genotype imputation in African populations. Genetic Epidemiology, 35(8), 766. https://doi.org/10.1002/GEPI.20626

Jin, F., Li, X., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Sun, D., Jin, Y., Wang, S., Wen, S., & Wei, Y. (2018). Association of mitofusin 2 methylation and essential hypertension: a case-control study in a Chinese population. Hypertension Research, 41(8), 605–613. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41440-018-0057-x

Jones, E. S., Spence, J. D., McIntyre, A. D., Nondi, J., Gogo, K., Akintunde, A., Hackam, D. G., & Rayner, B. L. (2017a). High frequency of variants of candidate genes in black Africans with low renin-resistant hypertension. American Journal of Hypertension, 30(5), 478–483. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajh/hpw167

Jones, E. S., Spence, J. D., McIntyre, A. D., Nondi, J., Gogo, K., Akintunde, A., Hackam, D. G., & Rayner, B. L. (2017b). High Frequency of Variants of Candidate Genes in Black Africans with Low Renin-Resistant Hypertension. American Journal of Hypertension, 30(5), 478–483. https://doi.org/10.1093/AJH/HPW167

Kaiser, H. F. (1960). The Application of Electronic Computers to Factor Analysis. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 20(1), 141–151. https://doi.org/10.1177/001316446002000116

Keaton, J. M., Hellwege, J. N., Giri, A., Torstenson, E. S., Kovesdy, C. P., Sun, Y. V., Wilson, P. W. F., O'donnell, C. J., Edwards, T. L., Hung, A. M., & Velez Edwards, D. R. (2021). Associations of biogeographic ancestry with hypertension traits. Journal of Hypertension, 39(4), 633–642. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000002701

Kehdy, F. S. G., Gouveia, M. H., Machado, M., Magalhães, W. C. S., Horimoto, A. R., Horta, B. L., Moreira, R. G., Leal, T. P., Scliar, M. O., Soares-Souza, G. B., Rodrigues-Soares, F., Araújo, G. S., Zamudio, R., Sant Anna, H. P., Santos, H. C., Duarte, N. E., Fiaccone, R. L., Figueiredo, C. A., Silva, T. M., … Tarazona-Santos, E. (2015). Origin and dynamics of admixture in Brazilians and its effect on the pattern of deleterious mutations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 112(28), 8696–8701. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504447112

Kim, G. E., Kronengold, J., Barcia, G., Quraishi, I. H., Martin, H. C., Blair, E., Taylor, J. C., Dulac, O., Colleaux, L., Nabbout, R., & Kaczmarek, L. K. (2014). Human Slack Potassium Channel Mutations Increase Positive Cooperativity between Individual Channels. Cell Reports, 9(5), 1661–1672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.11.015

Kimura, L., Angeli, C. B., Auricchio, M. T. B. M., Fernandes, G. R., Pereira, A. C., Vicente, J. P., Pereira, T. V., & Mingroni-Netto, R. C. (2012). Multilocus family-based association analysis of seven candidate polymorphisms with essential hypertension in an African-derived semi-isolated brazilian population. International Journal of Hypertension, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/859219

Kimura, L., Ribeiro-Rodrigues, E. M., De Mello Auricchio, M. T. B., Vicente, J. P., Batista Santos, S. E., & Mingroni-Netto, R. C. (2013). Genomic ancestry of rural African-derived populations from Southeastern Brazil. American Journal of Human Biology, 25(1), 35–41. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.22335

Kinsella, R. J., Kähäri, A., Haider, S., Zamora, J., Proctor, G., Spudich, G., Almeida-King, J., Staines, D., Derwent, P., Kerhornou, A., Kersey, P., & Flicek, P. (2011). Ensembl BioMarts: A hub for data retrieval across taxonomic space. Database, 2011, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bar030

Kopanos, C., Tsiolkas, V., Kouris, A., Chapple, C. E., Albarca Aguilera, M., Meyer, R., & Massouras, A. (2019). VarSome: the human genomic variant search engine. Bioinformatics, 35(11), 1978–1980. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty897

Kostis, W. J., Cabrera, J., Hooper, W. C., Whelton, P. K., Espeland, M. A., Cosgrove, N. M., Cheng, J., Deng, Y., De Staerck, C., Pyle, M., Maruthur, N., Reyes, I., Anderson, C. A. M., Liu, J., & Kostis, J. B. (2013). Relationships between selected gene polymorphisms and blood pressure sensitivity to weight loss in elderly persons with hypertension. Hypertension, 61(4), 857–863. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.00712

Kraja, A. T., Hunt, S. C., Rao, D. C., Dávila-Román, V. G., Arnett, D. K., & Province, M. A. (2011). Genetics of hypertension and cardiovascular disease and their interconnected pathways: Lessons from large studies. Current Hypertension Reports, 13(1), 46–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-010-0174-7

Kumar, A., Li, Y., Patil, S., & Jain, S. (2005). A haplotype of the angiotensinogen gene is associated with hypertension in african americans. Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology & Physiology, 32(5–6), 495–502. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1440-1681.2005.04217.X

Landrum, M. J., Lee, J. M., Benson, M., Brown, G. R., Chao, C., Chitipiralla, S., Gu, B., Hart, J., Hoffman, D., Jang, W., Karapetyan, K., Katz, K., Liu, C., Maddipatla, Z., Malheiro, A., McDaniel, K., Ovetsky, M., Riley, G., Zhou, G., … Maglott, D. R. (2018). ClinVar: Improving access to variant interpretations and supporting evidence. Nucleic Acids Research, 46(D1), D1062–D1067. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1153

Lemes, R. B., Nunes, K., Meyer, D., Mingroni-Netto, R. C., & Otto, P. A. (2014). Estimation of inbreeding and substructure levels in African-derived Brazilian quilombo populations. Human Biology, 86(4), 276–288. https://doi.org/10.13110/humanbiology.86.4.0276

Li, M. X., Yeung, J. M. Y., Cherny, S. S., & Sham, P. C. (2012). Evaluating the effective numbers of independent tests and significant p-value thresholds in commercial genotyping arrays and public imputation reference datasets. Human Genetics, 131(5), 747–756. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-011-1118-2

Li, P., Halabi, C. M., Stewart, R., Butler, A., Brown, B., Xia, X., Santi, C., England, S., Ferreira, J., Mecham, R. P., & Salkoff, L. (2019). Sodium-activated potassium channels moderate excitability in vascular smooth muscle. Journal of Physiology, 597(20), 5093–5108. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP278279

Li, R., Richey, P. A., DiSessa, T. G., Alpert, B. S., & Jones, D. P. (2009). Blood aldosterone-to-renin ratio, ambulatory blood pressure, and left ventricular mass in children. The Journal of Pediatrics, 155(2), 170–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPEDS.2009.02.029

Li, Z., Wang, W., Tian, X., Duan, H., Xu, C., & Zhang, D. (2021). Bivariate genome-wide association study (GWAS) of body mass index and blood pressure phenotypes in northern Chinese twins. PLoS ONE, 16(2 February), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246436

Liu, F., Yang, X., Mo, X., Huang, J., Chen, J., Kelly, T. N., Hixson, J. E., Rao, D. C., Gu, C. C., Shimmin, L. C., Chen, J., Rice, T. K., Li, J., Schwander, K., He, J., Liu, D. P., & Gu, D. (2015). Associations of epithelial sodium channel genes with blood pressure: the GenSalt study. Journal of Human Hypertension, 29(4), 224. https://doi.org/10.1038/JHH.2014.78

Liu, Z., Shriner, D., Hansen, N. F., Rotimi, C. N., Mullikin, J. C., Barnabas, B. B., Black, S., Bouffard, G. G., Brooks, S. Y., Coleman, H., Dekhtyar, L., Guan, X., Han, J., Ho, S. L., Legaspi, R., Maduro, Q. L., Masiello, C. A., McDowell, J. C., Montemayor, C., … Young, A. C. (2020). Admixture mapping identifies genetic regions associated with blood pressure phenotypes in African Americans. PLoS ONE, 15(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232048

Maciel, L. G. L., Rodrigues, E. M., dos Santos, N. P. C., dos Santos, Â. R., Guerreiro, J. F., & Santos, S. (2011). Afro-derived Amazonian populations: Inferring continental ancestry and population substructure. Human Biology, 83(5), 627–636. https://doi.org/10.3378/027.083.0504

Mani, A. (2024). Update in genetic and epigenetic causes of hypertension. In Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences (Vol. 81, Issue 1). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-024-05220-4

Manichaikul, A., Mychaleckyj, J. C., Rich, S. S., Daly, K., Sale, M., & Chen, W. M. (2010). Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. Bioinformatics, 26(22), 2867–2873. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq559

Maples, B. K., Gravel, S., Kenny, E. E., & Bustamante, C. D. (2013). RFMix: A discriminative modeling approach for rapid and robust local-ancestry inference. American Journal of Human Genetics, 93(2), 278–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.06.020

Marden, J. R., Walter, S., Kaufman, J. S., & Glymour, M. M. (2016). African Ancestry, Social Factors, and Hypertension among Non-Hispanic Blacks in the Health and Retirement Study. Biodemography and Social Biology, 62(1), 19–35. https://doi.org/10.1080/19485565.2015.1108836

Martin, A. R., Gignoux, C. R., Walters, R. K., Wojcik, G. L., Neale, B. M., Gravel, S., Daly, M. J., Bustamante, C. D., & Kenny, E. E. (2017). Human Demographic History Impacts Genetic Risk Prediction across Diverse Populations. American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(4), 635–649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.03.004

Matise, T. C., Chen, F., Chen, W., De La Vega, F. M., Hansen, M., He, C., Hyland, F. C. L., Kennedy, G. C., Kong, X., Murray, S. S., Ziegle, J. S., Stewart, W. C. L., & Buyske, S. (2007). A second-generation combined linkage-physical map of the human genome. Genome Research, 17(12), 1783–1786. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.7156307

McLaren, W., Gil, L., Hunt, S. E., Riat, H. S., Ritchie, G. R. S., Thormann, A., Flicek, P., & Cunningham, F. (2016). The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. Genome Biology, 17(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0974-4

Mente, A., O'Donnell, M., Rangarajan, S., McQueen, M., Dagenais, G., Wielgosz, A., Lear, S., Ah, S. T. L., Wei, L., Diaz, R., Avezum, A., Lopez-Jaramillo, P., Lanas, F., Mony, P., Szuba, A., Iqbal, R., Yusuf, R., Mohammadifard, N., Khatib, R., … Yusuf, S. (2018). Urinary sodium excretion, blood pressure, cardiovascular disease, and mortality: a community-level prospective epidemiological cohort study. The Lancet, 392(10146), 496–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31376-X

Mill, J. G., Malta, D. C., Machado, Í. E., Pate, A., Pereira, C. A., Jaime, P. C., Szwarcwald, C. L., & Rosenfeld, L. G. (2019). Estimation of salt intake in the Brazilian population: Results from the 2013 national health survey. Revista Brasileira de Epidemiologia, 22(Suppl 2). https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-549720190009.supl.2

Mills, K. T., Stefanescu, A., & He, J. (2020). The global epidemiology of hypertension. Nature Reviews Nephrology, 16(4), 223–237. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-019-0244-2

Mills, M. C., & Rahal, C. (2020). The GWAS Diversity Monitor tracks diversity by disease in real time. Nature Genetics, 52(3), 242–243. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-020-0580-Y

Miyamoto, Y., Saito, Y., Nakayama, M., Shimasaki, Y., Yoshimura, T., Yoshimura, M., Harada, M., Kajiyama, N., Kishimoto, I., Kuwahara, K., Hino, J., Ogawa, E., Hamanaka, I., Kamitani, S., Takahashi, N., Kawakami, R., Kangawa, K., Yasue, H., & Nakao, K. (2000). Replication protein A1 reduces transcription of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene containing a -786T→C mutation associated with coronary spastic angina. Human Molecular Genetics, 9(18), 2629–2637. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/9.18.2629

Moskau, S., Farmand, S., Semmler, A., Wüllner, U., Pohl, C., Klockgether, T., & Linnebank, M. (2007). The methionine synthase polymorphism c.2756A>G (D919G) influences diastolic blood pressure. Journal of Human Hypertension, 21(5), 418–420. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jhh.1002165

Nafikov, R. A., Nato, A. Q., J., Sohi, H., Wang, B., Brown, L., Horimoto, A. R., Vardarajan, B. N., Barral, S. M., Tosto, G., Mayeux, R. P., Thornton, T. A., Blue, E., & Wijsman, E. M. (2018). Analysis of Pedigree Data in Populations with Multiple Ancestries: Strategies for Dealing with Admixture in Caribbean Hispanic Families from the ADSP. Genet Epidemiol., 42(6), 500–515. https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.22133

Nahar, T. (2017). ROLE OF THE LIM-DOMAIN PROTEINS LPP AND ZYXIN IN HYPERTENSION-INDUCED CARDIOVASCULAR REMODELING [University of Heidelberger]. https://doi.org/10.11588/heidok.00023649

Nardone, M., Incognito, A. V., & Millar, P. J. (2018). Evidence for pressure-independent sympathetic modulation of central pulse wave velocity. Journal of the American Heart Association, 7(3), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.117.007971

National Center for Biotechnology Information. (1988). National Center for Biotechnology Information. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

National Library of Medicine. (n.d.). MedGen.

Nato, A. Q., Chapman, N. H., Sohi, H. K., Nguyen, H. D., Brkanac, Z., & Wijsman, E. M. (2015). PBAP: A pipeline for file processing and quality control of pedigree data with dense genetic markers. Bioinformatics, 31(23), 3790–3798. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv444

Niiranen, T. J., McCabe, E. L., Larson, M. G., Henglin, M., Lakdawala, N. K., Vasan, R. S., & Cheng, S. (2017). Risk for hypertension crosses generations in the community: Amulti-generational cohort study. European Heart Journal, 38(29), 2300–2308. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx134

O'Connell, J., Gurdasani, D., Delaneau, O., Pirastu, N., Ulivi, S., Cocca, M., Traglia, M., Huang, J., Huffman, J. E., Rudan, I., McQuillan, R., Fraser, R. M., Campbell, H., Polasek, O., Asiki, G., Ekoru, K., Hayward, C., Wright, A. F., Vitart, V., … Marchini, J. (2014). A general approach for haplotype phasing across the full spectrum of relatedness. PLoS Genetics, 10(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1004234

O'Leary, N. A., Wright, M. W., Brister, J. R., Ciufo, S., Haddad, D., McVeigh, R., Rajput, B., Robbertse, B., Smith-White, B., Ako-Adjei, D., Astashyn, A., Badretdin, A., Bao, Y., Blinkova, O., Brover, V., Chetvernin, V., Choi, J., Cox, E., Ermolaeva, O., … Pruitt, K. D. (2016). Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Research, 44(D1), D733–D745. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKV1189

Olczak, K. J., Taylor-Bateman, V., Nicholls, H. L., Traylor, M., Cabrera, C. P., & Munroe, P. B. (2021). Hypertension genetics past, present and future applications. Journal of Internal Medicine, 290(6), 1130–1152. https://doi.org/10.1111/JOIM.13352

Park, Y. M., Kwock, C. K., Kim, K., Kim, J., & Yang, Y. J. (2017). Interaction between single nucleotide polymorphism and urinary sodium, potassium, and sodium-potassium ratio on the risk of hypertension in Korean adults. Nutrients, 9(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9030235

Patel, R. S., Masi, S., & Taddei, S. (2017). Understanding the role of genetics in hypertension. European Heart Journal, 38(29), 2309–2312. https://doi.org/10.1093/EURHEARTJ/EHX273

Pena, S. D. J., di Pietro, G., Fuchshuber-Moraes, M., Genro, J. P., Hutz, M. H., Kehdy, F. de S. G., Kohlrausch, F., Magno, L. A. V., Montenegro, R. C., Moraes, M. O., de Moraes, M. E. A., de Moraes, M. R., Ojopi, É. B., Perini, J. A., Racciopi, C., Ribeiro-dos-Santos, Â. K. C., Rios-Santos, F., Romano-Silva, M. A., Sortica, V. A., & Suarez-Kurtz, G. (2011). The genomic ancestry of individuals from different geographical regions of Brazil is more uniform than expected. PLoS ONE, 6(2). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017063

Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde. (2019). Percepção do estado de saúde, estilos de vida, doenças crônicas e saúde bucal. In Ibge. http://www.pns.icict.fiocruz.br/arquivos/Portaria.pdf

Popejoy, A. B., & Fullerton, S. M. (2016). Genomics is failing on diversity. Nature, 538(7624), 161–164. https://doi.org/10.1038/538161a

Rappaport, N., Nativ, N., Stelzer, G., Twik, M., Guan-Golan, Y., Stein, T. I., Bahir, I., Belinky, F., Morrey, C. P., Safran, M., & Lancet, D. (2013). MalaCards: An integrated compendium for diseases and their annotation. Database, 2013, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bat018

Rayner, B. L., Owen, E. P., King, J. A., Soule, S. G., Vreede, H., Opie, L. H., Marais, D., & Davidson, J. S. (2003). A new mutation, R563Q, of the beta subunit of the epithelial sodium channel associated with low-renin, low-aldosterone hypertension. Journal of Hypertension, 21(5), 921–926. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004872-200305000-00016

Rentzsch, P., Schubach, M., Shendure, J., & Kircher, M. (2021). CADD-Splice—improving genome-wide variant effect prediction using deep learning-derived splice scores. Genome Medicine, 13(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-021-00835-9

Richard, M. A., Huan, T., Ligthart, S., Gondalia, R., Jhun, M. A., Brody, J. A., Irvin, M. R., Marioni, R., Shen, J., Tsai, P. C., Montasser, M. E., Jia, Y., Syme, C., Salfati, E. L., Boerwinkle, E., Guan, W., Mosley, T. H., Bressler, J., Morrison, A. C., … Fornage, M. (2017). DNA Methylation Analysis Identifies Loci for Blood Pressure Regulation. American Journal of Human Genetics, 101(6), 888–902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.09.028

Roerecke, M., Kaczorowski, J., Tobe, S. W., Gmel, G., Hasan, O. S. M., & Rehm, J. (2017). The effect of a reduction in alcohol consumption on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Public Health, 2(2), e108–e120. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30003-8

Saad, M., & Wijsman, E. M. (2014). Combining family- and population-based imputation data for association analysis of rare and common variants in large pedigrees. Genetic Epidemiology, 38(7), 579–590. https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.21844

Saloum de Neves Manta, F., Pereira, R., Vianna, R., Rodolfo Beuttenmüller de Araújo, A., Leite Góes Gitaí, D., Aparecida da Silva, D., de Vargas Wolfgramm, E., da Mota Pontes, I., Ivan Aguiar, J., Ozório Moraes, M., Fagundes de Carvalho, E., & Gusmão, L. (2013). Revisiting the Genetic Ancestry of Brazilians Using Autosomal AIM-Indels. PLoS ONE, 8(9), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075145

Samadian, F., Dalili, N., & Jamalian, A. (2016). Lifestyle modifications to prevent and control hypertension. Iranian Journal of Kidney Diseases, 10(5), 237–263.

Sattar, Z., Lora, A., Jundi, B., Railwah, C., & Geraghty, P. (2021). The S100 Protein Family as Players and Therapeutic Targets in Pulmonary Diseases. Pulmonary Medicine, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5488591

Schwarz, J. M., Cooper, D. N., Schuelke, M., & Seelow, D. (2014). Mutationtaster2: Mutation prediction for the deep-sequencing age. Nature Methods, 11(4), 361–362. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2890

Seidel, E., & Scholl, U. I. (2017b). Genetic mechanisms of human hypertension and their implications for blood pressure physiology. Physiological Genomics, 49(11), 630–652. https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00032.2017

Sells, M. L., Blum, E., Perry, G. S., Eke, P., & Presley-Cantrell, L. (2023). Excess Burden of Poverty and Hypertension, by Race and Ethnicity, on the Prevalence of Cardiovascular Disease. Preventing Chronic Disease, 20. https://doi.org/10.5888/PCD20.230065

Sherry, S. T., Ward, M., & Sirotkin, K. (1999). dbSNP - database for single nucleotide polymorphisms and other classes of minor genetic variation. Genome Research, 9(8), 677–679. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.9.8.677

Singh, S., Choudhury, A., Hazelhurst, S., Crowther, N. J., Boua, P. R., Sorgho, H., Agongo, G., Nonterah, E. A., Micklesfield, L. K., Norris, S. A., Kisiangani, I., Mohamed, S., Gómez-Olivé, F. X., Tollman, S. M., Choma, S., Brandenburg, J. T., & Ramsay, M. (2023). Genome-wide association study meta-analysis of blood pressure traits and hypertension in sub-Saharan African populations: an AWI-Gen study. Nature Communications, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44079-0

Sollis, E., Mosaku, A., Abid, A., Buniello, A., Cerezo, M., Gil, L., Groza, T., Güneş, O., Hall, P., Hayhurst, J., Ibrahim, A., Ji, Y., John, S., Lewis, E., MacArthur, J. A. L., McMahon, A., Osumi-Sutherland, D., Panoutsopoulou, K., Pendlington, Z., … Harris, L. W. (2023). The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog: knowledgebase and deposition resource. Nucleic Acids Research, 51(D1), D977–D985. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1010

Špiranec Spes, K., Chen, W., Krebes, L., Völker, K., Abeßer, M., Eder Negrin, P., Cellini, A., Nickel, A., Nikolaev, V. O., Hofmann, F., Schuh, K., Schweda, F., & Kuhn, M. (2020). Heart-microcirculation connection: Effects of ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide) on pericytes participate in the acute and chronic regulation of arterial blood pressure. Hypertension, 1637–1648. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15772

Stelzer, G., Plaschkes, I., Oz-Levi, D., Alkelai, A., Olender, T., Zimmerman, S., Twik, M., Belinky, F., Fishilevich, S., Nudel, R., Guan-Golan, Y., Warshawsky, D., Dahary, D., Kohn, A., Mazor, Y., Kaplan, S., Iny Stein, T., Baris, H. N., Rappaport, N., … Lancet, D. (2016). VarElect: The phenotype-based variation prioritizer of the GeneCards Suite. BMC Genomics, 17(Suppl 2). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-2722-2

Sung, Y. J., Winkler, T. W., de las Fuentes, L., Bentley, A. R., Brown, M. R., Kraja, A. T., Schwander, K., Ntalla, I., Guo, X., Franceschini, N., Lu, Y., Cheng, C. Y., Sim, X., Vojinovic, D., Marten, J., Musani, S. K., Li, C., Feitosa, M. F., Kilpeläinen, T. O., … Chasman, D. I. (2018). A Large-Scale Multi-ancestry Genome-wide Study Accounting for Smoking Behavior Identifies Multiple Significant Loci for Blood Pressure. American Journal of Human Genetics, 102(3), 375–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.01.015

Surendran, P., Drenos, F., Young, R., Warren, H., Cook, J. P., Manning, A. K., Grarup, N., Sim, X., Barnes, D. R., Witkowska, K., Staley, J. R., Tragante, V., Tukiainen, T., Yaghootkar, H., Masca, N., Freitag, D. F., Ferreira, T., Giannakopoulou, O., Tinker, A., … Munroe, P. B. (2016). Trans-ancestry meta-analyses identify rare and common variants associated with blood pressure and hypertension. Nature Genetics, 48(10), 1151–1161. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3654

Syme, C., Shin, J., Richer, L., Gaudet, D., Fornage, M., Paus, T., & Pausova, Z. (2019). Epigenetic Loci of Blood Pressure. Circulation. Genomic and Precision Medicine, 12(1), e002341. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGEN.118.002341

Thompson, E. (2011). The structure of genetic linkage data: From LIPED to 1M SNPs. Human Heredity, 71(2), 86–96. https://doi.org/10.1159/000313555

Tong, L., & Thompson, E. (2008). Multilocus lod scores in large pedigrees: combination of exact and approximate calculations. Human Heredity, 65(3), 142–153. https://doi.org/10.1159/000109731

Tong, X., Wei, C., & Lu, Q. (2016). Genome-wide joint analysis of singlenucleotide variant sets and gene expression for hypertension and related phenotypes. BMC Proceedings, 10(Supp 7), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12919-016-0017-x

Udosen, B., Soremekun, O., Kamiza, A., Machipisa, T., Cheickna, C., Omotuyi, O., Soliman, M., Wélé, M., Nashiru, O., Chikowore, T., & Fatumo, S. (2023). Meta-Analysis and Multivariate GWAS Analyses in 80,950 Individuals of African Ancestry Identify Novel Variants Associated with Blood Pressure Traits. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 24(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24032164

Ullah, E., Mall, R., Abbas, M. M., Kunji, K., Nato, A. Q., Bensmail, H., Wijsman, E. M., & Saad, M. (2019). Comparison and assessment of family- and population-based genotype imputation methods in large pedigrees. Genome Research, 29(1), 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.236315.118

Unger, T., Borghi, C., Charchar, F., Khan, N. A., Poulter, N. R., Prabhakaran, D., Ramirez, A., Schlaich, M., Stergiou, G. S., Tomaszewski, M., Wainford, R. D., Williams, B., & Schutte, A. E. (2021). Hypertension: New Guidelines from the International Society of Hypertension. American Family Physician, 103(12), 763–765. www.aafp.org/afpAmericanFamilyPhysician763PracticeGuidelines

Van Rooyen, J. M., Poglitsch, M., Huisman, H. W., Mels, C. M. C., Kruger, R., Malan, L., Botha, S., Lammertyn, L., Gafane, L., & Schutte, A. E. (2016). Quantification of systemic renin-angiotensin system peptides of hypertensive black and white African men established from the RAS-Fingerprint®. Journal of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System : JRAAS, 17(4), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1177/1470320316669880

Vaser, R., Adusumalli, S., Leng, S. N., Sikic, M., & Ng, P. C. (2016). SIFT missense predictions for genomes. Nature Protocols, 11(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.123

Wang, X. F., Liao, Y. H., Cai, Y., & Li, J. Y. (2016). Gender-specific association of MSA2756G with hypertension in patients attending a health facility in Ningxia Province, China. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 15(9), 1995–1999. https://doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v15i9.25

Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Liu, K., Wen, J., Wen, S., & Wu, Z. (2011). HSG/Mfn2 gene polymorphism and essential hypertension: A case-control association study in Chinese. Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis, 18(1), 24–31. https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.5611

Wen, G., Wessel, J., Zhou, W., Ehret, G. B., Rao, F., Stridsberg, M., Mahata, S. K., Gent, P. M., Das, M., Cooper, R. S., Chakravarti, A., Zhou, H., Schork, N. J., O'Connor, D. T., & Hamilton, B. A. (2007). An ancestral variant of Secretogranin II confers regulation by PHOX2 transcription factors and association with hypertension. Human Molecular Genetics, 16(14), 1752–1764. https://doi.org/10.1093/HMG/DDM123

Whelton, P. K., Carey, R. M., Aronow, W. S., Casey, D. E., Collins, K. J., Dennison Himmelfarb, C., DePalma, S. M., Gidding, S., Jamerson, K. A., Jones, D. W., MacLaughlin, E. J., Muntner, P., Ovbiagele, B., Smith, S. C., Spencer, C. C., Stafford, R. S., Taler, S. J., Thomas, R. J., Williams, K. A., … Wright, J. T. (2018). 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Pr. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 71(19), e127–e248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.006

Wijsman, E. M., Rothstein, J. H., & Thompson, E. A. (2006). Multipoint linkage analysis with many multiallelic or dense diallelic markers: Markov chain-Monte Carlo provides practical approaches for genome scans on general pedigrees. American Journal of Human Genetics, 79(5), 846–858. https://doi.org/10.1086/508472

World Health Organization. (2023). Global report on hypertension The race against a silent killer (World Health Organization, Ed.). https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240081062

Zeller, T., Schurmann, C., Schramm, K., Müller, C., Kwon, S., Wild, P. S., Teumer, A., Herrington, D., Schillert, A., Iacoviello, L., Kratzer, A., Jagodzinski, A., Karakas, M., Ding, J., Neumann, J. T., Kuulasmaa, K., Gieger, C., Kacprowski, T., Schnabel, R. B., … Homuth, G. (2017). Transcriptome-wide analysis identifies novel associations with blood pressure. Hypertension, 70(4), 743–750. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09458

Zhang, Y., Zhang, M., Niu, T., Xu, X., Zhu, G., Huo, Y., Chen, C., Wang, X., Xing, H., Peng, S., Huang, A., Hong, X., & Xu, X. (2004). D919G polymorphism of methionine synthase gene is associated with blood pressure response to benzepril in Chinese hypertensive patients. Journal of Human Genetics, 49(6), 296–301. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10038-004-0149-0

Zhou, B., Carrillo-Larco, R. M., Danaei, G., Riley, L. M., Paciorek, C. J., Stevens, G. A., Gregg, E. W., Bennett, J. E., Solomon, B., Singleton, R. K., Sophiea, M. K., Iurilli, M. L., Lhoste, V. P., Cowan, M. J., Savin, S., Woodward, M., Balanova, Y., Cifkova, R., Damasceno, A., … Ezzati, M. (2021). Worldwide trends in hypertension prevalence and progress in treatment and control from 1990 to 2019: a pooled analysis of 1201 population-representative studies with 104 million participants. The Lancet, 398(10304), 957–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01330-1

Zhou, W., Nielsen, J. B., Fritsche, L. G., Dey, R., Gabrielsen, M. E., Wolford, B. N., LeFaive, J., VandeHaar, P., Gagliano, S. A., Gifford, A., Bastarache, L. A., Wei, W. Q., Denny, J. C., Lin, M., Hveem, K., Kang, H. M., Abecasis, G. R., Willer, C. J., & Lee, S. (2018). Efficiently controlling for case-control imbalance and sample relatedness in large-scale genetic association studies. Nature Genetics, 50(9), 1335–1341. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0184-y

Zilbermint, M., Gaye, A., Berthon, A., Hannah-Shmouni, F., Faucz, F. R., Lodish, M. B., Davis, A. R., Gibbons, G. H., & Stratakis, C. A. (2019). ARMC 5 Variants and Risk of Hypertension in Blacks: MH- GRID Study. Journal of the American Heart Association, 8(14). https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.119.012508

Zilbermint, M., Hannah-Shmouni, F., & Stratakis, C. A. (2019). Genetics of Hypertension in African Americans and Others of African Descent. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 20(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/IJMS20051081

TABLES AND FIGURES



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the main (boxes A-K) and fine-mapping (boxes L-S) strategy workflow. The color gradient progressively darkens to illustrate the advancement of the process. A) Sample collection and data preparation; B) DNA extraction, quantification, SNP genotyping, and quality control; C) Pedigree assembly; D) Pedigree structure validation; E) Marker selection for subpanels; F) Ancestry estimation; G) Allelic frequency estimation; H) Genome-wide scan analysis; I) MCMC convergence diagnostics; J) Dense mapping analysis; K) Identification of regions of interest (ROIs); L) Principal component analysis (PCA); M) Generalized linear mixed model fitting; N) Pedigree and population-based imputation; O) Family-based association tests; P) Multiple testing correction; Q) Variant curation and assessment; S) Investigation of EH-related genes.

Table 1. Distribution of samples across pedigrees. Total samples included by pedigree. Samples are separated into genotyped (affected, unaffected and unknown phenotype) and non-genotyped. Abobral (ABDR), André Lopes and Nhunguara (ANNH), Galvão and São Pedro (GASP), Ivaporunduva (IV), Pedro Cubas (PC) and Sapatu (TU).

Pedigree	**Genotyped**				**Non-genotyped**	**Total**
	Affected	Unaffected	Unknown	Subtotal		
ABDR	38	29	1	68	105	173
ANNH	37	54	-	91	117	208
GASP	45	49	1	95	88	183
IV	12	34	1	47	110	157
PC	16	51	-	67	130	197
TU	19	44	-	63	123	186
Total	**167**	**261**	**3**	**431**	**673**	**1104**

Table 2. Study cohort characteristics. Comparison of cohort characteristics between affected and unaffected individuals across six pedigrees (ABDR, ANNH, GASP, IV, PC, TU). The table presents the absolute number and percentage of males, mean age, systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) in mmHg, height in meters (m), weight in kilograms (kg), waist girth (cm), and hip girth (cm) for both affected and unaffected groups. The total number of individuals in each group is also provided for each pedigree. Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation. Pedigrees ABDR, GASP, and IV each include additionally one individual with an unknown phenotype.

	ABDR		**ANNH**		**GASP**		**IV**		**PC**		**TU**	
	Affected	Unaffected	Affected	Unaffected	Affected	Unaffected	Affected	Unaffected	Affected	Unaffected	Affected	Unaffected
Males	19 (50%)	9 (31%)	8 (21.6%)	26 (48.1%)	24 (53.3%)	24 (49%)	4 (33.3%)	12 (35.3%)	9 (56.2%)	20 (39.2%)	8 (42.1%)	19 (43.2%)
Age	49.7 ±19.5	35.9 ± 15.9	57 ± 16.6	36.4 ± 16.7	54.3 ± 16.8	37 ± 13.5	64.3 ± 12.7	33.2 ± 14.1	60.6 ± 17	38.4 ± 14.6	53.8 ± 15.1	38.2 ± 17.2
Systolic BP	147.2 ± 25.9	121.6 ± 9.4	145.7 ± 23.2	112.1 ± 14.5	145.2 ± 21	120 ± 15.3	143.8 ± 18.6	115.9 ± 9.9	143.2 ± 15.4	128.7 ± 24.9	141.3 ± 21.8	112.5 ± 15.3
Diastolic BP	93.3 ± 13.4	75.1 ± 9.7	87.2 ± 11.5	75.9 ± 8.2	87.6 ± 14.4	75.1 ± 9.4	85.4 ± 11.6	73.5 ± 7.3	82.1 ± 11.4	80.9 ± 15.5	86.3 ± 6.1	73.3 ± 7.8
Height	1.6 ± 0.1	1.61 ± 0.1	1.54 ± 0.09	1.6 ± 0.12	1.58 ± 0.1	1.62 ± 0.09	1.57 ± 0.1	1.61 ± 0.08	1.6 ± 0.08	1.6 ± 0.09	1.6 ± 0.1	1.61 ± 0.08
Weight	63.3 ± 10.3	63.3 ± 10.7	58.5 ± 12.4	62.6 ± 12.8	64 ± 11.5	65.6 ± 12.3	65.7 ± 12.4	64.8 ± 11	64.3 ± 9.8	61.8 ± 12.9	69.8 ± 15.4	57.6 ± 9.5
Waist Girth	84.1 ± 9.5	83.5 ± 9.9	82.9 ± 10	80.2 ± 10	86.9 ± 9.9	83 ± 10.2	89.8 ± 10.5	82.8 ± 9.9	85.7 ± 5.5	82 ± 9.7	89.5 ± 8.1	76.3 ± 8.3
Hip Girth	94.3 ± 10.9	93.9 ± 11.8	93.4 ± 10	89.3 ± 10.9	94.7 ± 10.2	92.4 ± 12.7	97.7 ± 11.7	93.7 ± 14.3	90.6 ± 7.9	92.2 ± 10.5	97.5 ± 9.8	87.1 ± 8.6
Total	**38 (55.9%)**	**29 (42.6%)**	**37 (40.7%)**	**54 (59.3%)**	**45 (47.4%)**	**49 (51.6%)**	**12 (25.5%)**	**34 (72.3%)**	**16 (23.9%)**	**51 (76.1%)**	**19 (30.2%)**	**44 (69.8%)**



Figure 2. Geographical location of Quilombo populations. A) Brazilian territory in South America, with the State of São Paulo highlighted in gray and the Ribeira Valle in a darker shade of gray. B) Location of quilombo populations: AB (Abobral), AN (Andre Lopes), GA (Galvão), IV (Ivaporanduva), NH (Nhunguara), PC (Pedro Cubas), SP (São Pedro), and TU (Sapatu) (adapted from Kimura et al., (2013). The black dots denote the urban centers of Eldorado (EL) and Iporanga (IP).



Figure 3. Distribution of ages for both affected and unaffected individuals across different pedigrees. The box plots represent the interquartile range (IQR) with the median age indicated by the line within each box. The small black dots indicate the mean ages for each group, and the error bars represent the standard deviation around these means. Affected individuals are shown in green, and unaffected individuals are shown in blue. Pedigrees ABDR, GASP, and IV each include additionally one individual with an unknown phenotype.

Table 3. Ancestry proportions. Data are presented by pedigree, with the corresponding sample size and ancestry proportions (%) that reflect the contribution of African, European, and Native American ancestries, respectively. The total dataset is described as "Total."

Pedigrees	Sample size	Ancestry Proportions		
		African	European	Native American
ABDR	68	51.5%	31.4%	16.9%
GASP	95	46.8%	36.9%	16.2%
ANNH	91	50.8%	33.2%	15.8%
IV	47	47.3%	35.9%	16.7%
TU	63	33.4%	50.8%	15.7%
PC	67	51.5%	32.4%	16.0%
Total	**431**	**47.4%**	**36.3%**	**16.1%**



Figure 4. Graphic representation of global ancestry estimates: A) considering all 431 samples; B) considering each pedigree individually. The colors refer to the ancestral contribution: Green (Native American), dark blue (African) and light blue (European);



Figure 5. Graphic representation of the genetic distance between all individuals over Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The reference samples from European (EUR), African (AFR) and Native American (NAM) populations are represented by blue, red and yellow circles, respectively. A) PCA results for the first 4 PCs; B) 3D PCA results.

Table 4. Main genes resulting from the three strategies per region of interest (ROI): linkage analysis, EH-related gene investigation (EH) and association studies (AS).

Gene	Cytoband	ROI	EH	AS
ALPK2	18q21.31-q21.32	20	●	●
EDARADD	1q42.3	3	●	●
KCNT1	9q34.3	11	●	●
LPP	3q27.3-q28	5	●	●
MFN2	1p36.22	2	●	●
MTR	1q43	3	●	●
P2RX1	17p13.2	18	●	●
PHGDH	1p12	1	●	●
RPA1	17p13.3	18	●	●
RYR2	1q43	3	●	●
S100A10	1q21.3	1	●	●
SERTAD2	2p14	4	●	●
TENM4	11q14.1	13	●	●
ZZEF1	17p13.2	18	●	●
ABCC9	12p12.1	14	●	
ACE	17q23.3	19	●	
ADIPOQ	3q27.3	5	●	
APOE	19q13.32	21	●	
ARHGEF17	11q13.4	13	●	
BORCS7	10q24.32	12	●	
CASP3	4q35.1	7	●	
CDH13	16q23.3	17	●	
CNNM2	10q24.32	12	●	
CORIN	4p12	6	●	
CYGB	17q25.1	19	●	
CYP17A1	10q24.32	12	●	
CYP2C19	10q23.33	12	●	
CYP2C9	10q23.33	12	●	
EDN1	6p24.1	9	●	
HTR2A	13q14.2	16	●	
KDR	4p12	6	●	
KIT	4p12	6	●	
KLKB1	4q35.2	7	●	
KNG1	3q27.3	5	●	
LPL	8p21.3	10	●	
MC4R	18q21.32	20	●	
MEIS1	2p14	4	●	
NT5C2	10q24.32-q24.33	12	●	
PDE3A	12p12.2	14	●	
PDE4D	5q11.2-q12.1	8	●	
PHACTR1	6p24.1	9	●	
PLCE1	10q23.33	12	●	
PRKCA	17q24.2	19	●	
RBM47	4p14	6	●	
RBP4	10q23.33	12	●	
SGCZ	8p22	10	●	
SLC1A4	2p14	4	●	
SLC39A14	8p21.3	10	●	
SOCS3	17q25.3	19	●	
SST	3q27.3	5	●	
TBX2	17q23.2	19	●	
TGFB1	19q13.2	21	●	
TIMP2	17q25.3	19	●	
TLR3	4q35.1	7	●	
TMOD4	1q21.3	1	●	
TNFRSF1B	1p36.22	2	●	
UCP2	11q13.4	13	●	
VEGFC	4q34.3	7	●	
WBP1L	10q24.32	12	●	
ZDHHC2	8p22	10	●	



Figure 6. Illustrative representation of the results for ROI5. A) Dense linkage analysis for all 3 subpanels; B) Strategy performed with population-based imputed data; C) Strategy performed with pedigree imputed data. The black dots represent SNPs (identified by chr:physical position), the blue lines refer to the suggestive *p*-value (-log10(p)) thresholds and the red lines to the significant *p*-value (-log10(p)) thresholds.

Table 5. Ranked Score-Weighted ROIs. The ROIs are classified into three tiers according to their priority level: the top 20% are labeled as high priority (dark gray), the medium 30% as intermediate priority (medium gray), and the bottom 50% as low priority (light gray).

ROI	Cytoband	ROI size (Mb)	EH Genes	Linkage Analysis		Assoc. Studies Sugg./Sig.	Gene Relevance	TOTAL
				Peak LOD Score	Subpanels consensus			
5	3q27.3-q29	11.4 Mb	Yes | 4 genes (+1p)	3.036 (+6p)	3 (+3p)	Sig. (+3p)	Yes (+5p)	18
12	10q23.33-q25.1	11.2 Mb	Yes | 9 genes (+1p)	2.795 (+5p)	3 (+3p)	Sig. (+3p)	Yes (+5p)	17
13	11q13.4-q14.1	7.6 Mb	Yes | 3 genes (+1p)	2.414 (+4p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+5p)	14
19	17q23.2-25.3	19 Mb	Yes | 9 genes (+1p)	2.138 (+3p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+2p)	Yes (+5p)	14
3	1q43	1.3 Mb	Yes | 3 genes (+1p)	2.161 (+3p)	2 (+2p)	Sugg. (+2p)	Yes (+5p)	13
9	6p24.1-p22.3	8 Mb	Yes | 2 genes (+1p)	2.621 (+5p)	2 (+2p)	Sugg. (+2p)	Yes (+3p)	13
10	8p23.1-p21.3	9.8 Mb	Yes | 4 genes (+1p)	2.658 (+5p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+3p)	13
7	4q32.3-q35.2	20.6 Mb	Yes | 4 genes (+1p)	2.322 (+4p)	2 (+2p)	Sugg. (+2p)	Yes (+3p)	12
21	19q13.12-13.32	10 Mb	Yes | 2 genes (+1p)	2.503 (+4p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+3p)	12
14	12p12.3-p11.23	11.1 Mb	Yes | 2 genes (+1p)	2.662 (+5p)	2 (+2p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+2p)	11
8	5q12.1-q13.2	11.1 Mb	Yes | 1 gene (+1p)	2.117 (+3p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+2p)	Yes (+2p)	11
18	17p13.3-p13.2	4.3 Mb	Yes | 3 genes (+1p)	1.771 (+2p)	2 (+2p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+4p)	10
2	1p36.21-p36.22	3.2 Mb	Yes | 2 genes (+1p)	1.824 (+2p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+3p)	10
16	13q14.13-q21.33	25.6 Mb	Yes | 1 gene (+1p)	2.554 (+4p)	3 (+3p)	No (0p)	Yes (+2p)	10
1	1p12-q21.3	32.5 Mb	Yes | 3 genes (+1p)	1.503 (+1p)	2 (+2p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+4p)	9
4	2p14	3.4 Mb	Yes | 3 genes (+1p)	1.906 (+2p)	2 (+2p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+3p)	9
20	18q21.32	1.7 Mb	Yes | 2 genes (+1p)	1.608 (+1p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+3p)	9
6	4p15.1-q12	26.5 Mb	Yes | 4 genes (+1p)	1.938 (+2p)	3 (+3p)	No (0p)	Yes (+3p)	9
11	9q34.3	0.8 Mb	Yes | 1 gene (+1p)	1.418 (+1p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+2p)	8
17	16q23.3-q24.1	4.5 Mb	Yes | 1 gene (+1p)	2.175 (+3p)	2 (+2p)	No (0p)	Yes (+2p)	8
15	12q24.32-q24.33	4.6 Mb	No (0p)	2.087 (+3p)	2 (+2p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+1p)	7
22	19q13.41-13.42	3.2 Mb	No (0p)	1.860 (+2p)	3 (+3p)	Sugg. (+1p)	Yes (+1p)	7