SYNCHRONOUS GROUP-BASED TELE-EXERCISE VERSUS COMMUNITY-BASED INTERVENTIONS: EFFECTS ON PHYSICAL FUNCTIONING AND ADHERENCE IN OLDER ADULTS AT RISK OF FALLS - A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

ABSTRACT

Objective This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of synchronous group-based tele-exercise (TE) in improving physical functioning and exercise adherence among older adults at risk of falls, comparing it to a community-based group (CB).

Methods

91 community-dwelling older adults at risk of falls were recruited from 10 community centres in Hong Kong. Participants were randomly assigned to either the TE or CB group based on their community centre. Both groups received modified Otago exercise training for three months, with the difference in the mode of delivery (tele-exercise at home or face-to-face at a community centre). The primary outcomes assessed Fall Efficacy Scale (FES-I), 6-meter walk test, Timed Up and Go test (TUG), Berg Balance Scale (BBS), Functional Reach Test (FRT), Appendicular Skeletal Muscle Mass Index (ASMI) and percentage of body fat. Secondary outcomes included exercise adherence, dropout rate, and Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES). The primary analysis was conducted using a modified intention-to-treat approach, utilizing all available data.

Results

Both groups showed significant improvements in FES-I, 6-meter walk test, TUG, and BBS over time ($p<0.05$). High adherence rates (TE: 85%; CB: 90%), low dropout rates (TE: 4.4%; CB: 0%), and high PACES scores (TE: 108.6±9.3; CB: 108.2±7.9) were observed in both groups. TE and CB were comparable in most outcomes at baseline and at three months ($p>0.05$). No serious adverse events were reported.

Conclusions

The findings revealed synchronous group-based tele-exercise training demonstrated comparable effectiveness to face-to-face community training in terms of physical functioning, adherence, and enjoyment.

Trial registration number ChiCTR2200063370

Keywords: synchronous tele-exercise, falls, older adults, exercise adherence,

SYNCHRONOUS GROUP-BASED TELE-EXERCISE VERSUS COMMUNITY-BASED INTERVENTIONS: EFFECTS ON PHYSICAL FUNCTIONING AND ADHERENCE IN OLDER ADULTS AT RISK OF FALLS - A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

SUMMARY BOX

What is already known on this topic - summarise the state of scientific knowledge on this subject before you did your study and why this study needed to be done

- Community-based exercise programme has been proven effective in reducing the risk of falls and preventing fall-related injuries.
- Tele-exercise presents a viable solution to address the challenges faced by community- dwelling older adults at risk of falls such as limited exercise space in the community and travel constraints.

Currently, there is a plethora of published studies on the effectiveness of tele-exercise. However, these studies are primarily small-scale, non-randomized, pilot feasibility studies, resulting in a generally low-to-moderate methodological quality of evidence.

What this study adds - summarise what we now know as a result of this study that we did not know before

- This is the first rigorously designed RCT with sufficient statistical power to investigate the effectiveness of the tele-exercise group compared with the community-based group.
- Synchronous group-based tele-exercise training demonstrated comparable effectiveness to face-to-face community training in terms of physical functioning, adherence, and enjoyment.
- Tele-exercise training proved to be safe, feasible and enjoyable for older adults at risk of falls, including those with diverse age ranges (65-91), educational backgrounds, and technological proficiency.

How this study might affect research, practice or policy - summarise the implications of this study

- Incorporating well-designed tele-exercise programmes with professional support and technical assistance is crucial to effectively engage older adults, promote their physical functions, improve exercise adherence and reduce the risk of falls.
- These findings will inform future research on tele-exercise interventions, guide the implementation of tele-exercise programmes in practice, and influence policy decisions regarding the application of tele-exercise for older adults at risk of falls.

INTRODUCTION

Falls among older people are a significant concern, with a global prevalence of 26.5%.[1] Falls can lead to substantial costs, ranging from 2,044 to 25,955 USD per fall victim, 1,059 to 10,913 USD per fall event, and 5,654 to 42,840 USD per fall-related hospitalization.[2] Hospitalizations due to falls are five times more common than those resulting from other injuries.[3] Falls also have adverse consequences such as hip fractures, rehospitalization, extended hospital stays, and increased mortality rates.[4 5] Therefore, implementing preventive strategies is vital in mitigating age-related impairments and promoting independence in older adults.

Traditional exercise interventions have shown promise in reducing fall risk and preventing fall-related injuries.[6] However, older adults face significant challenges due to limited exercise space in the community and travel constraints,[7] especially for those who are susceptible to falls. To address these challenges, tele-exercise has emerged as a viable alternative. Tele-exercise encompasses various forms, including video, audio, or text communication technologies, delivered synchronously or asynchronously.[8 9] Chan, *et al*[10] reported promising results in reducing falls among community-dwelling older adults through telehealth combined with exercise interventions and highlighted the safety, feasibility, and acceptability of telehealth interventions. The presence of real-time guidance and supervision of video conferencing-based online exercise programmes was found to be highly beneficial for older adults.[7] Granet, *et al*[11] demonstrated that a higher ratio of live training resulted in greater improvements in muscle function and a lower drop-out rate compared to a higher ratio of recorded sessions, emphasizing the relevance of synchronous delivery of tele-exercise.

The existing studies on synchronous tele-exercise for community dwelling older adults and older adults at risk of falls have limitations. They predominantly focused on older adults who already had an internet connection and the necessary devices for online exercise programmes as inclusion criteria.[11-13] Granet, *et al*[11] noted that the study samples were highly educated, with over 67% of participants having attained a university level of education, which may limit the generalizability of the results to the broader population. In addition, the lack of proper sample size estimation in some studies also highlights the need for improved study quality.[11 13]

In a recent systematic review conducted by Gamble, *et al*[8], the methodological quality of the evidence from 26 randomized controlled trials about the effectiveness of telerehabilitation such as tele-exercise for community dwelling older adults was critiqued. Among the included trials, five [14]specifically targeted older adults with an increased risk of falls.[13-17] It is noteworthy that four of these studies[13 14 16 17] exhibited a high risk of bias based on the revised Cochrane Risk-of-Bias 2 tool,[18] emphasizing the necessity for more rigorous and controlled investigations in this particular field. Interestingly, only two of these studies incorporated a videoconferencing setup[13 14], which is an essential component of synchronous tele-exercise.

Therefore, the aim of the current study was to address these methodological limitations by investigating the effectiveness of synchronous tele-exercise (TE) on physical functioning and exercise adherence among community-dwelling older adults at risk of falls in comparison with a community-based group (CB).

METHODS

Study design

This was a 3-month single-blind, randomized controlled trial (RCT) comparing synchronous group-based tele-exercise training (TE) with face-to-face community-based exercise training (CB) for community-dwelling older people at risk of falls. More detailed information, including details of the outcome measures and intrinsic differences between the two groups, can be obtained from our study protocol.[19] Reporting follows the CONSORT 2010 statement.[20]

Research ethics

Approval for the study was granted by the Ethics Committee of the College of Professional and Continuing Education (RC/ETH/H/0029). The study was registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR2200063370) on 5 September 2022. In accordance with the WMA declaration of Helsinki, informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Sample size calculation

Sample size calculations were conducted using G*Power software, assuming an effect size of 0.367 based on a previous study by Benavent-Caballer, *et al*[21] that focused on the Time Up and Go Test. With a confidence level of 95%, a power of 80%, and an estimated attrition rate of 20% (including mortality) [22], a minimum sample size of 92 participants was determined to be necessary.

Participants and eligibility criteria

The participants were recruited from 10 elderly community centres located in various locations across Hong Kong. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in table 1.

Randomization and blinding

Ten community centres will be coded and then randomly assigned to either the tele-exercise group (TE) or community-based group (CB) with a 1:1 allocation in a concealed, blinded fashion to minimize cross-over contamination between the groups. Randomization procedure and allocation sequence was supervised by an independent assistant. The project assistant enrolled participants in the community centres. Trained outcome assessors, who were blinded to group assignments, performed the assessments. Participants were aware of their unique training modes but had no contact with participants from other groups due to different locations. Instructors and researchers were not blinded and were instructed not to discuss group assignments with the participants and outcome assessors.

Intervention programmes

Both interventions have been described in detail in the published protocol.[19] All participants engaged in exercise training sessions three times a week for a duration of three months, either through tele-exercise (TE) or face-to-face at the community centres (CB). The participants in both groups were divided into classes consisting of 8 to 11 individuals. The exercise programme followed a structured format, which included a warm-up, Otago exercise programme (OEP),[23] "workouts on-demand," and a cool-down.

Intervention- tele-exercise group

For the TE group, the exercise programme was delivered via Zoom (Zoom Video Communications Inc.) in the participants' homes, allowing for real-time online communication with the participants. Participants without suitable devices were provided with all the necessary devices.

Safety mesures and technological support for the tele-exercise group

Prior to the intervention, home visits were conducted to ensure a safe home environment, assist with setting up online communication applications, and optimize the positioning of the tablet, chair, and participant for effective visual interaction. The first lesson took place at the community centre to familiarize participants with exercise safety rules and the OEP, followed by online training sessions. Participants were reminded to turn on their tablets and Wi-Fi before each class so that we could control their tablet remotely to promptly address technical issues. Participants performed all OEP exercises, starting at the beginner level with support for standing and walking. Camera and microphone activation were required to enhance interaction, with regular progress monitoring by the research team. Participants were reminded to wear appropriate exercise gear and maintain hydration.

Intervention- community-based group

For the CB group, the exercise classes were arranged at the community centres based on the residence of the recruited participants. The training programme was the same as that of TE group, except that it was conducted face-to-face at the community centre.

Outcome measures

Baseline assessments and post-intervention assessments at the three-month were conducted by trained and blinded assessors at the community centres. Participants received HK$100 gift vouchers at 3 months for completing the training and assessments. In addition to the assessments, demographic information and background variables such as sex, age, and history of falls were collected. Cognitive function at baseline was evaluated using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE).[24] The Chinese version of the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE-C) was utilized to assess the physical activity level of older adults.[25]

Primary outcomes

Fall efficacy was evaluated using the Chinese version of the Fall Efficacy Scale-International (FES-I).[26] Participants were asked to proactively record any instances of falling and any adverse events in their diaries. The primary outcome measures also included SARC-CalF, grip strength assessments for both the right and left hands, a 6-meter walk test, a timed up and go test (TUG), the Berg Balance Scale score (BBS), the functional reach test (FRT), appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMI) percentage of body fat and Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly-Chinese (PASE-C). The definition of possible sarcopenia, sarcopenia and severe sarcopenia were based on Chen, *et al*[27]

Secondary outcomes

The secondary outcomes evaluate older people's involvement and experience of the exercise programmes including exercise adherence, dropout rate and Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES).[28] The exercise adherence rate was defined as the percentage of attended classes out of the total 36 classes.

Data analysis

Baseline characteristics including age, adherence rate, and dropout rate, were analyzed using an independent t-test. An intention-to-treat analysis, following the guidelines for randomized controlled trials,[29] was employed, including all originally randomized participants in the study. The normal distribution of all measurement variables was confirmed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test. For the outcome measures, a two-way repeated measures ANOVA was conducted, taking into account the group (TE and CB) and the interaction between group and time. The differences between categorical variables such as education level and % participants with possible sarcopenia were analyzed by Chi-square test. A significance level of 0.05 was set for all tests. The statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS, version 26.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY).

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to validate the statistical findings. These included utilizing multiple imputation to address missing data, which confirmed consistent results with the original analysis.

RESULTS

Flow of participants

During the screening period from October 2021 to June 2022, a total of 212 older adults were identified for preliminary screening. Out of these, 120 individuals were excluded based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study. Eventually, 91 participants successfully met the inclusion criteria. The TE group comprised 45 participants, while the CB group consisted of 46 participants (Figure 1).

Participant characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the participants did not show significant differences between the CB and TE groups, as summarized in Table 2.

Technological accessibility and technological support for the TE participants

In terms of smartphone ownership, the TE group exhibited a higher percentage at 84.4%, while the CB group reported 71.7% ($p<0.05$). Similarly, in terms of home Wi-Fi ownership, the TE group also had a higher percentage at 71.1%, compared to the CB group at 58.7%, but this difference did not reach a significant level. Additionally, only 17.8% of TE participants had previous experience with tele-exercise (Table 2).

Baseline home visits were conducted for all participants before the intervention, with an average of 3.7 visits per participant. Additional visits addressed technological issues and provided support, totaling 260 visits (including baseline visits) for the 45 participants in the TE group, averaging 5.8 visits per participant (range: 0-12 visits).

Primary outcomes- falls incidence, fall risks and sarcopenia status

There was no significant difference between the groups in the falls incidence. Prior to the intervention, the average number of falls per participant was 1.57 ± 0.83 over the past 12 months in the CB and 1.78 ± 0.77 in the TE. The majority of falls were classified as minor incidents (CB: 97.2% vs TE: 97.8%).[30] During the intervention, the CB group reported no falls, whereas one participant in the TE group reported experiencing a fall outside of the lessons, which resulted in a minor injury (Table 3). The FES-I scores improved significantly in both groups, indicating a reduction in fear of falling. ($p<0.05$) (Table 4).

There were no significant differences between the two groups or between the two time points in the proportion of participants with possible sarcopenia, sarcopenia, and severe sarcopenia. However, there was a trend towards a decrease in participants with possible sarcopenia and severe sarcopenia in the CB group over time (Table 3).

Primary outcomes- physical function and activity level

Although no significant difference was observed between the CB and TE interventions, most outcomes showed improvement after the 3-month intervention (Table 4). The 6-meter walk test, the TUG scores and the BBS scores improved significantly in both groups over the 3-month period ($p<0.05$).

SARC-CalF scores and the FRT scores demonstrated significant improvement in the CB group compared with its baseline ($p<0.05$). Handgrip strength, measured in all participants, did not show significant changes between or within groups. However, within the female subgroup, CB participants showed a significant increase in handgrip strength compared with its baseline ($p<0.001$). Activity levels, assessed by the Physical

Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE-C), significantly improved in both groups ($p<0.001$).

Primary outcomes- body composition changes among female participants

Due to the different standards for body composition between genders and the significant proportion of female participants in both groups, the body composition changes among female participants (CB n=41; TE n=39) were analyzed and presented. The results showed minimal differences between the CB and the TE (Table 5). Calf circumference, BMI and appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMI) remained relatively stable in both groups over the 3-month period. However, weight and % body fat exhibited a significant decrease in the TE group ($p<0.05$).

Secondary outcomes- adherence rate, dropout rate and PACES

Adherence rates were high in both groups, with the CB group averaging 90.0% adherence and the TE group averaging 85.0%. The dropout rate was 0% in the CB group, while the TE group had a dropout rate of 4.4%. The scores on the PACES were 108.6 in the CB group and 108.2 in the TE group, out of a total of 126 (Table 2).

Adverse events reporting

One participant in the TE group reported general tiredness and pain during screening phase, but her doctor found no issues after thorough examinations and tests. Living alone and rarely engaging in social activities, she insisted on participating in our programme to maintain her physical functioning.

During the tele-exercise class, she reported tiredness and knee and lower back pain at the beginning. The instructor advised her to rest when needed and practice at an easier level. With the frequent reminders to lower the intensity, her problems subsided. She completed the programme with an adherence rate of 72.2%.

DISCUSSION

Key findings

To our knowledge, this study is the first rigorously designed RCT with sufficient statistical power to investigate the effectiveness of the synchronous group-based tele-exercise compared with the community-based group in older adults at risk of falls. In contrast to previous trials[14 15], our study includes a comprehensive range of outcomes such as fall efficacy, fall incidence, sarcopenia status, physical function, activity level, body composition, exercise adherence, dropout, enjoyment, adverse events, technological accessibility and their education backgrounds. The study findings indicate that both the CB and TE interventions were effective in decreasing fear of falling, and enhancing physical function including mobility, balance and gait speed, among the participants. These results regarding the effectiveness of the modified OEP

are consistent with the findings of systematic review conducted by Martins, *et al*[31] and Wu, *et al*[32] The positive outcomes can be attributed to the fact that our intervention adhered to the recommended effective programme requirements identified by Wu, *et al*[32], with an average duration of 45 minutes, three times per week for 12 weeks.

The study employed synchronous tele-exercise, utilizing video-linked appointments supervised by healthcare professionals, and emphasized three main strategies: self-efficacy, self-confidence, and empowerment, to enhance the exercise experience of the participants.[19 33 34] Immediate feedback after each class facilitated timely personalized guidance, addressing participants' concerns. All classes were led by the same knowledgeable instructor who had over 10 years of experience in teaching older adults and people with chronic diseases. Our training programme effectively mitigated the challenges associated with remote exercise sessions, as evidenced by the lack of significant differences between the two groups in physical function.

The study demonstrated high adherence and enjoyment among participants in both the CB and TE interventions, with low dropout rates and high PACES scores. CB achieved 90% adherence and TE reached 85%, surpassing the approximately 70% reported in a systematic review of community-based exercise programmes.[35] As recommended, an 80% adherence rate is considered feasible.[36] Consistent with previous studies, community-dwelling older adults expressed high satisfaction with online exercise training.[37-39] The success of the tele-exercise programme can be attributed to factors such as professional support, individualized technology orientation sessions, and standby technical assistance.[39 40] Our programme also provided prompt technical assistance, averaging 5.8 home visits per participant throughout the 36 lessons, accounting for approximately 16.1% of the sessions. These measures ensured prompt problem-solving, exercise safety, and the absence of serious adverse event, indicating a high margin of exercise safety with the synchronous online delivery of modified OEP.

Upper body strength improvements

While the OEP primarily focuses on strength and balance exercises for the lower extremities, it has been shown to prevent a decline in handgrip strength when compared to no intervention control[41] and conventional care.[42] However, recent meta-analysis reviews did not find clear benefits of the OEP on upper body strength in older adults.[32] These analyses did not analyze the changes based on gender, as there are gender differences in the norm of handgrip strength. Nevertheless, our study observed significant improvements in upper body strength exclusively among female participants within the CB group. The primary purpose of engaging the upper limb muscles was to provide support and maintain balance during exercises. While this aspect may appear minor, it holds significant importance for older adults who are at risk of falls. Previous research has demonstrated that even small volumes of resistance exercise, performed briefly and infrequently, can lead to substantial increases in muscular strength.[43] The improvement in handgrip strength in the CB may explain the decreasing trend of possible sarcopenia and severe sarcopenia among the CB participants over time.

Impact on body weight and fat

Overall, the impact of the interventions on body composition parameters was modest, with slight variations between CB and TE groups. However, subgroup analysis revealed that female participants in the TE group experienced a significant decrease in weight and body fat percentage after three months, compared to baseline. This aligns with Duckham, *et al*[44], who demonstrated fat mass reduction with an Otago-based exercise programme. The significant decrease in body fat percentage in our study may be due to increased physical activity levels, as indicated by higher PASE-C scores. Regular participation in Otago-based exercise can serve as an effective energy expenditure method for older adults at risk of falls, particularly for those who do not have established exercise habits and maintain very low activity levels. Another study found improvements in lower limb muscle mass with resistance exercise delivered via video conferencing.[45] Unlike our programme, Hong, *et al*[45] also included nutrition education, which is important for preventing and managing sarcopenia.[46] This difference in approach may explain the significant increase in muscle mass observed in their study but not in ours.

Bridging technological and education gaps in tele-exercise

Most tele-exercise studies primarily recruited individuals with existing internet access at home, favoring those who were technologically competent.[11-13 47] A strength of our study was that technological competence and device ownership were not inclusion criteria. We provided participants with the necessary devices, welcoming individuals with various technological backgrounds. Our sample consisted of less educated individuals, with over 50% completed primary education or below, and only one TE participant completing university. Our findings indicated that older adults, regardless of education and technological proficiency, could enjoy tele-exercise when accompanied by well-designed programmes, technical support, and timely feedback. Furthermore, the utilization of commonly available telecommunications software in the trial, instead of custom-built systems[13], enhanced the generalizability of the findings.[48]

Technological exposure and potential selection bias

Our findings revealed a significantly higher rate of smartphone ownership in the TE group (84.4%) compared to the CB (71.7%) ($p<0.05$), indicating greater technological exposure among TE participants. Additionally, the TE group had a higher proportion of participants with Wi-Fi at home (72.1% vs. CB: 58.7%, N.S.). The TE group also exhibited slightly higher levels of education, suggesting potential selection bias towards technologically experienced and higher-educated individuals. It is important to note that three participants declined participation before the intervention phase due to family objections and concerns about unsafe home environments. This highlights the presence of skepticism towards the use of tele-exercise among older adults, indicating that some individuals and their families may have reservations about engaging in exercise programmes remotely.

Limitations

One noteworthy concern with tele-exercise is the potential impact on the proper execution of exercises, especially for older adults with specific health conditions or mobility issues. Due to intrinsic differences between the delivery modes of CB and TE, the instructor could only provide verbal corrections for participants' movements, without the ability to physically assist them.[19] It is worth noting that conducting tele-exercise sessions in the limited space of most Hong Kong residences presents challenges. Census and Statistics Department[49] indicate that the median floor areas for domestic households and individuals in Hong Kong are 40 and 16 m^2, respectively. This spatial constraint could impact the ability to capture a full view of participants while they were standing during tele-exercise sessions. To overcome this issue, we made efforts during home visits to find the optimal placement and angle for tablets with built-in cameras to maximize visibility during the exercise sessions. To ensure understanding of the exercise programme and promote exercise safety, all participants were required to attend the first class at the community centre. This allowed for in-person instructions and reminders before transitioning to tele-exercise sessions.[19] It is important to note that the success of this program may not be transferable to other exercises that involve more dynamic movements such as standing, sitting, and lying down, within a single lesson. From a technological standpoint, it would be beneficial for the technology company to explore the possibility of incorporating tablets with wide-angle front cameras or establishing a seamless connection between a tablet and an external camera without any delays. These enhancements would help improve visibility during tele-exercise sessions.

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first rigorously designed RCT with sufficient statistical power and a comprehensive set of outcomes to explore the effectiveness of the tele-exercise group compared with the community-based group. Both CB and TE interventions yielded positive outcomes, high participant satisfaction, and no serious adverse events. These results highlighted the effectiveness of these approaches for falls prevention and physical improvement in older adults at risk of falls, including those with varying education levels and technological competence, even among those who were new to tele-exercise. The study provides valuable insights and potential strategies for safely and effectively delivering synchronous tele-exercise training among community-dwelling older adults at risk of falls. These findings have significant implications for enhancing older adults' health and well-being, especially when in-person interventions are challenging or inaccessible.

TABLES AND FIGURE



Figure 1 Flow of Participants.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
• permanent residents of Hong Kong • aged 65 years or above living independently at home • diagnosed with possible sarcopenia and/or having had either a fall in the past year or fear of falling with a score of ≥20 points on FES-I • able to speak and listen to Cantonese • able to walk without mobility aids in their home	• diagnosed with dementia or cognitive impairment, Mini-Mental State (MMSE) <25 • severe vision impairments that do not permit the use of a tablet, reading of the exercise booklet, and completing the activity diaries • unable to pass the preparticipation Health Screening of the ACSM and the subsequent medical clearance • regular physical activities in the past 6 months based on the definition of WHO [50]

Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria (adopted from Chan, *et al*[19])

	Community-based (CB)	Tele-exercise (TE)
Baseline Characteristics		
n (excluding dropout)	46	45
Age (Range)	77.3 ± 6.7 (65-93)	74.8 ± 6.2 (65-91)
Proportion of female participants	89.1% (41)	86.6% (39)
Weight (kg)	56.6 ± 12.0	58.8 ± 11.6
Height (cm)	150.3 ± 6.3	153.6 ± 8.2
BMI (kg/m^2)	25.5 ± 0.7	24.2 ± 0.7
Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA)	24.9 ± 0.3	25.1 ± 0.3
Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE)	27.59 ± 1.89	27.84 ± 1.78
Education Level		
Junior primary or below	47.8%	22.2%
Senior primary	21.7%	35.6%
Junior Secondary	21.7%	17.8%
Senior Secondary	8.6%	22.2%
University or above	0%	2.2%
Technological accessibility		
Smartphone ownership	71.7%	84.4%*
Home Wi-Fi ownership	58.7%	71.1%
Previous experiences with tele-exercise	N/A	17.8%
Participant involvement and experience		
Dropout during intervention	0%	4.4% (2)
Adherence rate	90.0 ± 7.1 %	85.0 ± 11.8%
Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES)	108.6 ± 9.3%	108.2 ± 7.9%

**p<0.05 compared with CB*

Table 2. Demographic characteristics and satisfaction of the 3-month training programme

	Baseline (last 12 months before intervention))		3 month	
Fall incidence				
	CB	TE	CB	TE
Average no. of falls per participant	1.57 ± 0.83	1.78 ± 0.77	0	0.02 ± 0.15
Minor injuries, %	97.2%	97.8%	0%	100%
Serious injuries, hospitalisation %	0.03%	2.5%	0%	0
Sarcopenia analysis				
	CB	TE	CB	TE
% of participants with Possible Sarcopenia	41.3%	33.3%	26.1%	35.6%
% of participants with Sarcopenia	39.1%	28.9%	39.1%	28.9%
% of participants with Severe Sarcopenia	28.3%	15.6%	13.0%	17.8%

*Possible sarcopenia: SARC-CalF≥11, handgrip strength M:<28 kg, F<18 kg

Sarcopenia: SARC-CalF≥11, ASMI M<7.0 kg/m^2, F<5.7 kg/m^2, handgrip strength M:<28 kg; F<18 kg or 6-metre walk<1.0 m/s

Severe Sarcopenia: SARC-CalF≥11, ASMI M<7.0 kg/m^2, F<5.7 kg/m^2, handgrip strength M:<28 kg; F<18 kg and 6-metre walk<1.0 m/s

Table 3 Falls incidence and sarcopenia analysis

Outcomes	Baseline [a] (mean ± SE)	3- month [b] (mean ± SE)	Mean difference (95% CI)	p-value Within Group
FES-I				
CB	36.41 ± 1.06	33.37 ± 1.16	-3.04 (-4.36 to -1.73)	<0.001
TE	34.98 ± 1.08	32.49 ± 1.17	-2.49 (-3.81 to -1.16)	<0.001
Mean difference (95% CI)	-1.44 (-4.44 to 1.57)	-0.88 (-4.14 to 2.38)		
p-value Between Groups	0.345	0.594		
SARC-CalF				
CB	8.04 ± 0.70	7.04 ± 0.64	-1 (-1.79 to -0.21)	<0.05
TE	8.56 ± 0.70	8.04 ± 0.65	-0.52 (-1.31 to 0.28)	0.2
Mean difference (95% CI)	0.51 (-1.45 to 2.48)	0.10 (-0.82 to 2.81)		
p-value Between Groups	0.606	0.278		
6-meter Walk (m/s)				
CB	0.69 ± 0.03	0.75 ± 0.23	0.06 (0.04 to 0.10)	<0.05
TE	0.68 ± 0.23	0.76 ± 0.04	0.08 (0.04 to 0.11)	<0.05
Mean difference (95% CI)	-0.01 (-0.08 to 0.07)	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)		
p-value Between Groups	0.871	0.955		
TUG				
CB	15.59 ± 1.16	13.88 ± 1.30	-1.71 (-3.05 to -0.38)	<0.05
TE	12.89 ± 1.17	11.15 ± 1.31	-1.74 (-3.10 to -0.39)	<0.05
Mean difference (95% CI)	-2.70 (-5.97 to 0.58)	-2.73 (-6.38 to 0.93)		
p-value Between Groups	0.105	0.142		
BBS				
CB	46.20 ± 1.09	49.74 ± 1.11	3.54 (1.40 to 5.68)	<0.01
TE	47.22 ± 1.10	50.67 ± 1.13	3.45 (1.28 to 5.61)	<0.01
Mean difference (95% CI)	1.03 (-2.06 to 4.11)	0.93 (-2.21 to 4.07)		
p-value Between Groups	0.510	0.557		
FRT (cm)				
CB	21.67 ± 1.04	24.41 ± 1.04	2.74 (0.66 to 4.81)	<0.05
TE	26.59 ± 1.05	26.75 ± 1.05	0.16 (-1.94 to 2.25)	0.881
Mean difference (95% CI)	4.92 (1.98 to 7.87)	2.34 (-0.58 to 5.26)		
p-value Between Groups	0.001	0.115		
Handgrip (kg) (all participants)				
CB	16.98 ± 4.51	18.18 ± 4.73	1.2 (-0.20 to 2.60)	0.092
TE	19.25 ± 6.28	19.81 ± 6.05	0.56 (-0.86 to 1.98)	0.434
Mean difference (95% CI)	2.27 (-0.00 to 4.55)	1.63 (-0.63 to 3.89)		
p-value Between Groups	0.050	0.155		
Handgrip (kg) (Female only)				
CB	16.14 ± 0.72	18.46 ± 0.79	2.32 (1.04 to 3.60)	<0.001
TE	17.95 ± 0.75	18.73 ± 0.82	0.78 (-0.53 to 2.10)	0.235
Mean difference (95% CI)	1.80 (-0.68 to 3.86)	0.27 (-1.98 to 2.52)		
p-value Between Groups	0.087	0.809		

PASE-C				
CB	122.02 ± 9.39	157.63 ± 9.12	35.61 (31.48 to 39.74)	<0.001
TE	119.51 ± 9.50	152.65 ± 9.23	33.14 (28.97 to 37.32)	<0.001
Mean difference (95% CI)	-2.51 (-29.05 to 24.03)	-4.98 (-30.73 to 20.78)		
p-value Between Groups	0.851	0.702		

FES-I: Fall Efficacy Scale; TUG: Timed Up and Go Test; BBS: Berg Balance Scale score; FRT: Functional Reach Test; PASE-C: Chinese version of the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly;

Table 4. Comparison of fall risk, physical function and activity level at baseline and after the intervention

Outcomes	Baseline [a] (mean ± SE)	3- month [b] (mean ± SE)	Mean difference (95% CI)	p-value Within Group
Calf Circumference				
CB	33.98 ± 0.54	33.96 ± 0.54	-0.01 (-0.33 to 0.31)	0.940
TE	33.86 ± 0.56	33.92 ± 0.56	0.06 (-0.26 to 0.39)	0.698
Mean difference (95% CI)	-0.12 (-1.66 to 1.43)	-0.04 (-1.59 to 1.51)		
p-value Between Groups	0.881	0.959		
Weight				
CB	55.85 ± 1.8	55.48 ± 1.98	-0.37 (-2.52 to 1.77)	0.730
TE	57.53 ± 1.85	54.94 ± 2.03	-2.59 (-4.79 to -0.40)	<0.05
Mean difference (95% CI)	1.68 (-3.46 to 6.81)	-0.55 (-6.19 to 5.10)		
p-value Between Groups	0.518	0.848		
% Body fat				
CB	36.23 ± 1.04	36.69 ± 1.14	0.46 (-0.99 to 1.90)	0.532
TE	35.81 ± 1.07	33.78 ± 1.78	-2.03 (-3.51 to -0.55)	<0.05
Mean difference (95% CI)	-0.42 (-3.37 to 2.53)	-2.91 (-6.16 to 0.35)		
p-value Between Groups	0.778	0.079		
BMI				
CB	25.43 ± 0.75	24.94 ± 0.80	-0.49 (-1.59 to 0.61)	0.381
TE	24.99 ± 0.77	24.10 ± 0.82	-0.89 (-2.02 to 0.24)	0.122
Mean difference (95% CI)	-0.44 (-2.59 to 1.70)	-0.84 (-3.11 to 1.43)		
p-value Between Groups	0.683	0.463		
ASMI				
CB	5.74 ± 0.13	5.70 ± 0.21	-0.04 (-0.34 to 0.27)	0.815
TE	6.06 ± 0.14	6.25 ± 0.22	0.19 (-0.13 to 0.50)	0.237
Mean difference (95% CI)	0.32 (-0.05 to 0.70)	0.55 (-0.05 to 1.14)		
p-value Between Groups	0.092	0.070		

BMI: body mass index; ASMI: Appendicular skeletal muscle mass index ASMI

Table 5 Body composition changes among female participants (CB n=41; TE n=39)

Author affiliations

[1]College of Professional and Continuing Education, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China

[2]School of Management, Tung Wah College, Hong Kong SAR, China

[3]Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China

[4]Hong Kong Telemedicine Association, Hong Kong SAR, China

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the Centre for Ageing and Healthcare Management Research (CAHMR), College of Professional and Continuing Education, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University for coordination with the elderly services providers i.e. Sik Sik Yuen. Thank Sik Sik Yuen for recruiting older people and all the participants for joining this study. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Mr. Au Wing Ho for his exceptional exercise teaching provided to all the participants. Additionally, the authors extend their heartfelt thanks to the student helpers who offered technical support to the participants.

Author's contribution

KOWC, PPY, BYFF, VTSL, FSFN, WCPF and TKCN conceived the study. KOWC and JLCC wrote the main manuscript text. ISC collected the data. KOWC and ISC performed statistical analysis. All authors reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

The study was funded by the Health and Medical Research Fund (HMRF) under the Health Bureau of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China (05200028). The funder has no role on the study design, protocol preparation, data collection and management, or future data analysis and interpretation, writing of the report, and the decision to submit the report for publication. They have no authority over any of these activities.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Patient and public involvement statement:

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Consent for publication Obtained.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study conforms to the Declaration of Helsinki. The study involves human participants and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the College of Professional and Continuing Education, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (RC/ETH/H/0029). All participants provided informed consent to participate in the study prior to their involvement.

Availability of data and materials

Data available upon reasonable request if permitted by the respective ethics vote.

ORCID iDs

Karly O.W. CHAN: 0000-0001-7098-519X

Peter P.M. YUEN: 0000-0002-0134-0141

Ben Y.F. FONG: 0000-0003-0909-0674

Vincent T.S. LAW: 0000-0001-8204-3925

Fowie S.F. NG: 0000-0002-6634-0345

Janet L.C. Lee: 0000-0001-8447-6855

I.S. CHEUNG: N/A

Tommy K.C. Ng: 0000-0002-7715-9533

Wilson C.P. FUNG: N/A

References

1. Salari N, Darvishi N, Ahmadipanah M, et al. Global prevalence of falls in the older adults: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res* 2022;17(1) doi: 10.1186/s13018-022-03222-1
2. Heinrich S, Rapp K, Rissmann U, et al. Cost of falls in old age: a systematic review. *Osteoporos Int* 2010;21:891-902.
3. Alexander BH, Rivara FP, Wolf ME. The cost and frequency of hospitalization for fall-related injuries in older adults. *Am J Public Health* 1992;82(7):1020-23. doi: 10.2105/ajph.82.7.1020
4. Wang AQ-L, Ng BH-P, Cheung LP-C, Chin RP-H. Factors Affecting Mortality and Hospital Admissions after Hip Surgery among Elderly Patients with Hip Fracture in Hong Kong – Review of a Three-Year Follow-Up. *Hong Kong J Occup Ther* 2017;30(1):6-13. doi: 10.1016/j.hkjot.2017.10.004
5. Wong TM, Leung FKL, Lau TW, et al. Effectiveness of a Day Rehabilitation Program in Improving Functional Outcome and Reducing Mortality and Readmission of Elderly Patients With Fragility Hip Fractures. *Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil* 2018;9:1-8. doi: 10.1177/2151459318759355
6. El-Khoury F, Cassou B, Charles M-A, Dargent-Molina P. The effect of fall prevention exercise programmes on fall induced injuries in community dwelling older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2013;347

7. Lee JLC, Lou VWQ, Kwan RYC. The Experience of Participating in Remotely Delivered Online Exercise Classes During the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Community-Dwelling Older Adults and Its Postpandemic Implications. *J Aging Phys Act* 2023;1(aop):1-9.
8. Gamble C, Van Haastregt J, Van Dam Van Isselt E, et al. Effectiveness of guided telerehabilitation on functional performance in community-dwelling older adults: A systematic review. *Clin Rehabil* 2024;38(4):457-77. doi: 10.1177/02692155231217411
9. Costa RRG, Dorneles JR, Veloso JH, et al. Synchronous and asynchronous tele-exercise during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: Comparisons of implementation and training load in individuals with spinal cord injury. *J Telemed Telecare* 2023;29(4):308-17. doi: 10.1177/1357633x20982732
10. Chan JKY, Klainin-Yobas P, Chi Y, et al. The effectiveness of e-interventions on fall, neuromuscular functions and quality of life in community-dwelling older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2021;113:103784. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103784
11. Granet J, Peyrusqué E, Ruiz F, et al. Online physical exercise intervention in older adults during lockdown: Can we improve the recipe? *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2023;35(3):551-60. doi: 10.1007/s40520-022-02329-z
12. Zengin Alpozgen A, Kardes K, Acikbas E, et al. The effectiveness of synchronous tele-exercise to maintain the physical fitness, quality of life, and mood of older people-a randomized and controlled study. *Eur Geriatr Med* 2022;13(5):1177-85.
13. Wu G, Keyes L, Callas P, et al. Comparison of telecommunication, community, and home-based Tai Chi exercise programs on compliance and effectiveness in elders at risk for falls. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2010;91(6):849-56.
14. Hong J, Kong H-J, Yoon H-J. Web-Based Telepresence Exercise Program for Community-Dwelling Elderly Women With a High Risk of Falling: Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* 2018;6(5):e132. doi: 10.2196/mhealth.9563
15. Bernocchi P, Giordano A, Pintavalle G, et al. Feasibility and clinical efficacy of a multidisciplinary home-telehealth program to prevent falls in older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2019;20(3):340-46.
16. Yi D, Yim J. Remote home-based exercise program to improve the mental state, balance, and physical function and prevent falls in adults aged 65 years and older during the COVID-19 pandemic in Seoul, Korea. *Med Sci Monit* 2021;27:e935496-1.
17. Light K, Bishop M, Wright T. Telephone calls make a difference in home balance training outcomes: a randomized trial. *J Geriatr Phys Ther* 2016;39(3):97-101.
18. Sterne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 2019;366
19. Chan KOW, Yuen PP, Fong BYF, et al. Effectiveness of telehealth in preventive care: a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial of tele-exercise programme involving older people with possible sarcopenia or at risk of fall. *BMC Geriatr* 2023;23(1):845. doi: 10.1186/s12877-023-04535-4
20. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *J Pharmacol Pharmacother* 2010;1(2):100-07.
21. Benavent-Caballer V, Rosado-Calatayud P, Segura-Ortí E, et al. The effectiveness of a video-supported group-based Otago exercise programme on physical performance in community-dwelling older adults: a preliminary study. *Physiotherapy* 2016;102(3):280-86. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2015.08.002
22. Nyman SR, Victor CR. Older people's participation in and engagement with falls prevention interventions in community settings: an augment to the cochrane systematic review. *Age Ageing* 2011;41(1):16-23. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afr103
23. Campbell A, Robertson MC. Otago exercise programme to prevent falls in older adults. *Wellington: ACC Thinksafe* 2003

24. Tombaugh TN, McIntyre NJ. The mini-mental state examination: a comprehensive review. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1992;40(9):922-35.
25. Ngai S, Cheung R, Lam P, et al. Validation and reliability of the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly in Chinese population. *J Rehabil Med* 2012;44(5):462-65. doi: 10.2340/16501977-0953
26. Kwan MMS, Tsang WWN, Close JCT, Lord SR. Development and validation of a Chinese version of the Falls Efficacy Scale International. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2013;56(1):169-74. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2012.10.007
27. Chen L-K, Woo J, Assantachai P, et al. Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2020;21(3):300-07. e2.
28. Chung P-K, Leung K-M. Psychometric properties of eight-item physical activity enjoyment scale in a Chinese population. *J Aging Phys Act* 2019;27(1):61-66.
29. White IR, Horton NJ, Carpenter J, Pocock SJ. Strategy for intention to treat analysis in randomised trials with missing outcome data. *BMJ* 2011;342
30. Garrard L, Boyle DK, Simon M, et al. Reliability and validity of the NDNQI® injury falls measure. *West J Nurs Res* 2016;38(1):111-28.
31. Martins AC, Santos C, Silva C, et al. Does modified Otago Exercise Program improves balance in older people? A systematic review. *Prev Med Rep* 2018;11:231-39. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2018.06.015
32. Wu S, Guo Y, Cao Z, et al. Effects of Otago exercise program on physical function in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2024;124:105470. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2024.105470
33. Hager A-GM, Mathieu N, Lenoble-Hoskovec C, et al. Effects of three home-based exercise programmes regarding falls, quality of life and exercise-adherence in older adults at risk of falling: protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Geriatr* 2019;19(1):1-11.
34. Picorelli AMA, Pereira LSM, Pereira DS, et al. Adherence to exercise programs for older people is influenced by program characteristics and personal factors: a systematic review. *J Physiother* 2014;60(3):151-56. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2014.06.012
35. Farrance C, Tsofliou F, Clark C. Adherence to community based group exercise interventions for older people: A mixed-methods systematic review. *Prev Med* 2016;87:155-66.
36. Martel D, Lauzé M, Agnoux A, et al. Comparing the effects of a home-based exercise program using a gerontechnology to a community-based group exercise program on functional capacities in older adults after a minor injury. *Exp Gerontol* 2018;108:41-47. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2018.03.016
37. Brustio PR, Klonova A, Rudi D, et al. Effects of online and face-to-face exercise training compared in healthy older adults: a feasibility study. *Sport Sci Health* 2024 doi: 10.1007/s11332-024-01166-z
38. Schwartz H, Har-Nir I, Wenhoda T, Halperin I. Staying physically active during the COVID-19 quarantine: exploring the feasibility of live, online, group training sessions among older adults. *Transl Behav Med* 2021;11(2):314-22. doi: 10.1093/tbm/ibaa141
39. Dawson R, Oliveira JS, Kwok WS, et al. Exercise Interventions Delivered Through Telehealth to Improve Physical Functioning for Older Adults with Frailty, Cognitive, or Mobility Disability: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Telemedicine and e-Health* 2023
40. Gell N, Hoffman E, Patel K. Technology Support Challenges and Recommendations for Adapting an Evidence-Based Exercise Program for Remote Delivery to Older Adults: Exploratory Mixed Methods Study. *JMIR Aging* 2021;4(4):e27645. doi: 10.2196/27645

41. García-Gollarte F, Mora-Concepción A, Pinazo-Hernandis S, et al. Effectiveness of a Supervised Group-Based Otago Exercise Program on Functional Performance in Frail Institutionalized Older Adults: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Geriatr Phys Ther* 2023;46(1)
42. Liew LK, Tan MP, Tan PJ, et al. The Modified Otago exercises prevent grip strength deterioration among older fallers in the Malaysian falls assessment and intervention trial (MyFAIT). *J Geriatr Phys Ther* 2019;42(3):123-29.
43. Fisher J, Steele J, McKinnon P, McKinnon S. Strength Gains as a Result of Brief, Infrequent Resistance Exercise in Older Adults. *J Sports Med* 2014;2014:1-7. doi: 10.1155/2014/731890
44. Duckham RL, Masud T, Taylor R, et al. Randomised controlled trial of the effectiveness of community group and home-based falls prevention exercise programmes on bone health in older people: the ProAct65+ bone study. *Age Ageing* 2015;44(4):573-79. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afv055
45. Hong J, Kim J, Kim SW, Kong H-J. Effects of home-based tele-exercise on sarcopenia among community-dwelling elderly adults: Body composition and functional fitness. *Exp Gerontol* 2017;87:33-39. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2016.11.002
46. Robinson SM, Reginster JY, Rizzoli R, et al. Does nutrition play a role in the prevention and management of sarcopenia? *Clin Nutr* 2018;37(4):1121-32. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2017.08.016
47. Beauchamp MR, Hulteen RM, Ruissen GR, et al. Online-Delivered Group and Personal Exercise Programs to Support Low Active Older Adults' Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Med Internet Res* 2021;23(7):e30709. doi: 10.2196/30709
48. Hinman RS, Campbell PK, Kimp AJ, et al. Telerehabilitation consultations with a physiotherapist for chronic knee pain versus in-person consultations in Australia: the PEAK non-inferiority randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet* 2024;403(10433):1267-78.
49. Census and Statistics Department HKSAR. 2021 Population Census - Main Results. In: Census and Statistics Department, ed. Hong Kong, 2022.
50. World Health Organization. Physical activity 2020 [Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity accessed 23 Nov 2022.