A genome-wide cross-trait analysis identifies causal relationship and shared loci of food preference with obesity

Shi Yao[1#*], Hao Wu[2#], Peng Bao[3#], Long Qian[2#], Ji-Zhou Han[2], Yan Wang[1], Si-Fan Feng[1], Jing Guo[2], Xin Ke[4], Wei Shi[2], Shan-Shan Dong[2], Yan Guo[2], Tie-Lin Yang[2*], Li-Li Cui[1*]

[1]Guangdong Key Laboratory of Age-Related Cardiac and Cerebral Diseases, Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical University, Zhanjiang, Guangdong, China

[2]Key Laboratory of Biomedical Information Engineering of Ministry of Education, Biomedical Informatics & Genomics Center, School of Life Science and Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

[3]Jiahui International Hospital, Shanghai, China

[4]Department of Clinical Immunology, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China

[#]These authors contributed equally.

[*]Corresponding authors: Shi Yao, Ph.D.; Tie-Lin Yang, Ph.D.; Li-Li Cui, Ph.D.

Email: yaoshi@gdmu.edu.cn; yangtielin@xjtu.edu.cn; cuilili@gdmu.edu.cn

Running title: Food preference and obesity

Keywords: Food preference; Obesity; Mendelian randomization; Cross-trait genetics; GWAS; Pleiotropy

Abstract

Food preferences play a pivotal role in dietary choices and body weight regulation, yet the causal relationships and complex pathways linking food liking to obesity remain elusive. Here, we employed a two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis and pleiotropic analysis to investigate the causalities and shared loci between 187 food preferences (*N*=161,625) and five obesity-related phenotypes (*N*=100,716 to 322,154). MR analysis revealed a causal association between two food-liking phenotypes and increased body mass index (BMI), specifically, onion liking (β, 0.286; 95% CI, 0.185 to 0.387; $P = 2.80\times10^{-8}$), and highly palatable food liking (β, 0.266; 95% CI, 0.140 to 0.391; $P = 3.31\times10^{-5}$). Multivariable MR analysis indicated that the effect of onion liking on BMI remained after conditioning on actual onion intake, suggesting a degree of independence in dietary preferences' influence. Pleiotropic analysis under a composite null hypothesis detected 32 pleiotropic loci and six colocalized loci in these two trait pairs. Candidate pleiotropic genes associated with onion liking-BMI highlighted biological pathways primarily involved in the sensory perception of smell. These findings contribute to a better understanding of the intricate relationship between food preferences and obesity.

Introduction

Obesity has become a global epidemic, leading to a dramatic increase in the incidence of various complications [1,2] that adversely affect both quality of life and life expectancy[3]. The fundamental cause of obesity lies in the long-term imbalance between calories consumed and expended [4,5], with numerous factors involved in its development, including genetic, developmental, behavioral, and environmental influences. Many studies indicate that dietary preferences and liking food are crucial in dietary choices and body weight regulation [6].

Food preferences are particularly important as they strongly influence food acceptance. While human food preferences generally incline toward sweetness and away from bitterness, differences exist in the appreciation of basic taste qualities and specific foods [6,7]. The variations in food preferences partly explain variations in short-term food intake, yet the impact of specific food liking on obesity remains debatable. For instance, highly palatable foods, often characterized by their high content of simple carbohydrates and fats, may lead individuals with a strong preference for such foods to exhibit excessive calorie consumption and weight gain due to their hedonic properties and sensory appeal [8-12]. This hypothesis has been further supported by the positive genetic correlation between liking highly palatable foods and adverse anthropometric outcomes [13]. However, several studies have found that obese individuals tend to prefer fats or sweetness to a similar or even lesser extent than non-obese counterparts [14-16]. Current conclusions primarily stem from

conventional observational studies, which remain challenging due to inherent limitations in observational designs, leaving the causal link between food preferences and obesity unclear. Recent large-scale genetic studies of food liking [13] provide pivotal opportunities to explore the causal relationship between food-liking and obesity. By leveraging the random assortment of genetic alleles as instrumental variables, Mendelian randomization (MR) can overcome confounding and reverse causation biases, providing insights into causal inference [17].

Weight-loss interventions focusing on reducing energy intake and increasing energy expenditure often prove ineffective in the long term [2,18], probably attributed to intricate hormonal, metabolic, and neurochemical adaptations that counteract weight loss efforts and facilitate weight regain. Recently, research identified that sensory food perception can rapidly promote anticipated responses to changes in energy status, which play a crucial role in controlling metabolic homeostasis [19]. However, whether specific food preferences might impact metabolic balance without actual food consumption remains elusive. In addition, characterizing the functional genomics of cross-phenotype genetic effects can uncover fundamental aspects of pleiotropy and help identify therapeutic targets. To establish a more nuanced insight into the complex pathways linking food liking to obesity, it is crucial to identify the specific genomic variants that contribute to the shared genetic etiology of food liking and obesity.

In this study, utilizing large-scale genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics, we investigated the genetic correlation and causal relationships between 187 food preferences and five obesity phenotypes, including body mass index (BMI), body fat percentage (BFP), waist-hip ratio (WHR), hip circumference (HIP), and waist circumference (WC). Subsequently, we conducted a multivariable MR analysis to estimate the direct effect of identified food preferences on obesity, conditioned on corresponding reported consumption. Additionally, we employed genome-wide pairwise trait pleiotropic analysis to sequentially investigate pleiotropic associations across genome-wide single-nucleotide variations, gene levels, and biological pathways, aiming to disentangle the shared genetic etiology between casual food liking and obesity. An overview of the study design is shown in Figure 1. Our study aims to elucidate the mechanisms through which food liking influences obesity risk, contributing to the ongoing discourse on the multifactorial nature of obesity.

Results

Genetic correlations between food liking and obesity

We assessed the genome-wide genetic correlations between 187 food preferences and five obesity phenotypes using summary statistics from publicly available data sources (Supplementary Table 1). Across the 935 food-liking and obesity pairs, we estimated 263 pairwise traits with nominal P values < 0.01 (Supplementary Table 2). As expected, we observed a positive correlation between highly palatable food liking and obesity indicators (BMI, WHR, and WC) and a negative correlation between

low-caloric food liking and obesity (WC and WHR). Subsequent two-sample MR analyses were conducted to investigate the causal relationships between these pairs of traits.

Causal effects between food liking and obesity

All single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) selected for the MR analyses are listed in Supplementary Table 3 for replication, with all *F*-statistics exceeding 30, indicating the robustness of the instrumental variables. To enhance the accuracy and robustness of the genetic instruments, we excluded SNPs associated with confounding factors and outlier pleiotropic SNPs (Supplementary Tables 4-5). A total of 183 pairs of food liking and obesity, with at least three instrument variables, were included for further analyses. We employed PhenoSpD [20] and determined that the independent number of food liking and obesity pairs was 85.1, with a significance threshold set at 5.88×10^{-4} (0.05 divided by 85.1) (Supplementary Table 6).

We identified a positive causal relationship between two food-liking traits and BMI: onion liking and highly palatable food liking (Figure 2, Supplementary Table 7). Specifically, onion liking was associated with increased BMI (inverse-variance weighted (IVW) β, 0.286; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.185 to 0.387; $P=2.80\times10^{-8}$), and a similar association was observed for highly palatable food liking (IVW β, 0.266; 95% CI, 0.140 to 0.391; $P=3.31\times10^{-5}$). Scatter plots illustrate the effects of the instruments on food liking and BMI, with colored lines representing the

slopes of different regression analyses (Figure 3). MR estimates for the effects of SNPs associated with food liking on BMI are displayed in forest plots. The estimates were consistent across MR robust adjusted profile score (RAPS), weighted median, weighted mode, and MR-Egger, supporting the positive effect of liking onions and highly palatable foods on BMI (Figure 2). Sensitivity analyses did not identify any pleiotropy in the causal estimates (Supplementary Tables 8-10). Analyses excluding each SNP revealed that none of the SNPs were driving the MR effects, and funnel plots indicated that potential biases were minimized with SNPs symmetrically distributed (Supplementary Figure 1). Additionally, we also identified several causal relationships at a nominally significant level, such as fatty food liking was associated with increased WC (IVW β, 0.135; 95% CI, 0.058 to 0.213; $P=6.31\times10^{-4}$), and strong vegetable liking was associated with decreased BMI (IVW β, -0.045; 95% CI, -0.072 to -0.018; $P=9.64\times10^{-4}$).

Using genetic liability for obesity phenotypes as the exposures, we conducted MR analyses to investigate the causal effect of obesity-related phenotypes on food liking. The SNPs included and excluded for obesity are detailed in Supplementary Tables 11-14. Reverse MR analyses revealed a positive association between genetically predicted BMI and onion liking (IVW β, 0.164; 95% CI, 0.085 to 0.243; $P=4.55\times10^{-5}$), while no significant association was found with highly palatable foods liking (IVW β, -0.010; 95% CI, -0.056 to 0.035; $P=0.66$) (Supplementary Table 15, Supplementary Figures 2-3). Sensitivity analyses did not identify any pleiotropy in the

causal estimates (Supplementary Table 16). Leave-one-SNP-out analysis did not detect any influential points, and funnel plots suggested our results were less likely to be influenced by potential biases, with SNPs symmetrically distributed (Supplementary Figures 2-3).

To assess whether specific food preferences might affect obesity in the absence of actual food consumption, we conducted a multivariable MR analysis to estimate the direct effect of identified food preferences on obesity while conditioned on corresponding reported consumption. Unfortunately, the absence of comparable food consumption GWAS for higher-order factors of hierarchical food liking makes multivariable MR analyses impossible. Therefore, we solely evaluated the effect of onion liking on BMI while conditioned on onion intake. The independent instruments are listed in Supplementary Tables 17-18. The effect estimated for onion liking on BMI was consistent with the univariable IVW estimate (univariable IVW β, 0.286; 95% CI, 0.185 to 0.387; $P=2.80\times10^{-8}$; multivariable IVW β, 0.170; 95% CI, 0.078 to 0.261; $P=3.41\times10^{-3}$) (Supplementary Table 19).

Shared loci between identified food liking and obesity

We utilized pleiotropic analysis under the composite null hypothesis (PLACO) [21] to identify potential pleiotropic variants associated with the two identified trait pairs. We identified 14 pleiotropic loci for onion liking-BMI and 18 pleiotropic loci for highly palatable foods liking-BMI, including four regions identified in both pairs of traits (*P*

$< 5\times10^{-8}$) (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 20). Overall, 20 top SNPs in 32 pleiotropic loci exhibited concordant association (Supplementary Table 21), suggesting they were simultaneously positively or negatively associated with specific food liking and BMI. Further colocalization analysis identified six potential pleiotropic loci with PP.H4 larger than 0.7 (Supplementary Table 22). Regional plots for each trait pair are depicted in Figure 5. Notably, the 4q24 locus was identified as a pleiotropic locus for both trait pairs, with the same potential shared causal variant rs13107325, an exonic variant mapped to *SLC39A8*. In contrast, four pleiotropic loci were identified with PP.H3 larger than 0.7, indicating distinct causal variants between food liking and BMI GWAS.

Characterization of tissue specificity and prioritization of pleiotropic genes

We employed multi-marker analysis of genomic annotation (MAGMA) [22] gene-property analyses to pinpoint tissue specificity, highlighting several brain tissues in both trait pairs (Figure 6A). Subsequently, we applied four methods to prioritize pleiotropic genes, including MAGMA and three methods integrated into FUMA [23] (positional, eQTL, and 3D chromatin interaction mapping), utilizing brain eQTL and Hi-C datasets (Figure 6B). A total of 49 genes for onion liking-BMI and 156 genes for highly palatable foods liking-BMI pairs were identified by at least one gene annotation method (Supplementary Tables 23-24). Among these, four genes for onion liking-BMI (*NCKAP5L*, *MAP2K5*, *SKOR1*, *GGNBP2*) and ten genes for highly

palatable foods liking-BMI (*RBM6*, *MST1R*, *CAMKV*, *XKR6*, *MSRA*, *TSNARE1*, *SULT1A1*, *NFATC2IP*, *NUPR1*, *ATP2A1*) were highlighted across all four methods. Additionally, the aforementioned *SLC39A8* was also identified for both trait pairs using positional and eQTL mapping.

Gene-set enrichment analyses uncovered six significantly enriched pathway groups (enrichment factor > 2 and adjusted $P < 0.05$) (Figure 6C). For instance, our findings suggested that the involvement of pleiotropic genes associated with onion liking and BMI in sensory perception of smell ($P = 6.52\times10^{-7}$) might explain how onion liking affects obesity. Pleiotropic genes for highly palatable foods liking and BMI were enriched in five pathways, including endocytosis ($P = 1.88\times10^{-6}$) and organelle localization ($P = 2.83\times10^{-6}$).

Discussion

The continuing rise in the prevalence of obesity requires multifaceted, effective responses for its prevention and treatment. Genetic correlations have been suggested between preference for low-caloric and acquired food and lower obesity indices. In contrast, the preference for highly palatable foods has the opposite pattern [13], but the causal relationship has not been investigated. In this study, we attempted to disentangle relationships between food liking and obesity risk using genome-wide cross-trait analysis. We observed evidence indicating that liking highly palatable foods and onions would causally increase BMI and the effect of onion liking on BMI

independent of actual onion intake. Further comprehensive analyses highlighted potential pleiotropic genetic loci, shared causal variants, pleiotropic genes, and biological pathways, supported shared genetic etiology underlying liking for onions and highly palatable foods and BMI.

Dietary preferences are multifaceted and influenced by various factors such as genetic inheritance and cultural and social aspects [13,24], and the sensory properties of foods are perceived through a combination of taste, texture, and olfaction. Highly palatable foods capture our attention and can lead to at least transient increases in consumption because of their high hedonic value [25]. Evolutionarily, humans had to survive undernutrition periods. Thus, selection pressure most likely contributed to a genotype that favors highly palatable energy-dense foods [26]. However, the adoption of relatively sedentary lifestyles coupled with an abundance of energy-dense, highly palatable foods represents a nutrition transition that is now one of the most significant risk factors for obesity worldwide [4]. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies revealed that obese individuals often exhibit heightened activation in brain regions that encode food sensory and hedonic aspects when exposed to palatable food images or anticipated receipt of palatable foods [27,28]. These changes in the neural reward homeostasis might lead to the development of addictive-like behavior, leading to compulsive-like feeding behavior and subsequent overconsumption and weight gain [8]. Understanding how highly palatable food liking influences eating behavior and

contributes to obesity risk is crucial for developing effective interventions to address the obesity epidemic.

We identified the causal effect of liking highly palatable foods and onions on increased BMI, and a wide range of studies found that dietary preferences are one of the most important drivers of food consumption [29]. However, through multivariable MR, we found that the effect of onion liking on BMI remains significant even after conditioning on onion intake, indicating a certain degree of independence in the influence of dietary preferences. The onion liking falls into the category of savory food liking and contributes to both highly palatable food liking and acquired food liking [13]. If food preference indeed has an independent impact on obesity, it would not be surprising for intervention plans focused on changing diet and physical activity to lose effectiveness in the long term [2]. Nowadays, alternatives with the sensory properties of highly palatable foods, such as non-nutritive sweeteners, have been used in the food industry to reduce the global obesity problem. However, such replacement has been suggested to promote adipose tissue accumulation and result in weight gain [30]. One plausible reason, probably due to the decreased satiety and increased preference for palatable foods, could affect overall dietary patterns [31]. Considering the possible independent impact of food liking and food liking is not stable within individuals [6], obesogenic marketing to promote foods high in sugar and fat should be limited. Such advertisements may increase preference for energy-dense foods and beverages [32].

Pleiotropic variants between food liking and obesity were extensively distributed, with several loci highlighted between two identified trait pairs. Several previously identified loci associated with food liking were illustrated to be potential pleiotropic loci shared with BMI. For example, *OR2M3* (1q44) is a highly specific and narrowly tuned human odorant receptor for the sensitive detection of onion key food odorant [33], yet no studies to date have reported the associations of *OR2M3* with obesity phenotypes. Pleiotropic gene prioritization also highlighted several olfactory receptors and enriched the pathway of sensory perception of smell, indicating the critical role of olfactory behavior in food preference and obesity. In the meantime, we also identified several loci previously identified to be associated with obesity, which were illustrated to be potential pleiotropic loci shared with food liking. For instance, *SLC39A8* (4q24) was suggested to be shared between onion liking and BMI as well as highly palatable food liking and BMI. The potential shared causal nonsynonymous variant in *SLC39A8* (rs13107325) is one of the most pleiotropic variants in the human genome [34,35], associated with obesity, schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease, et al. Moreover, the *SLC39A8* gene was reported as a shared gene between obesity and the development of subcortical brain structures [36], consistent with our tissue specificity results and the neural control of essential behaviors. For example, the opioidergic circuits in regions such as the nucleus accumbens and the ventral pallidum encode hedonic liking [4].

It is crucial to recognize the limitations of our study when interpreting the results. Our study utilized the largest GWAS summary statistics for onion intake to perform multivariable MR. However, the genetic factors can only explain a small proportion of the variance in food composition, and we employed a relaxed *P*-value threshold to select genetic instruments due to the limited number of variants, which may introduce weak instrument bias. Nevertheless, our rigorous analysis included testing instrument strength, revealing that all instruments had *F* statistics exceeding 10, a conventional cutoff for robust instruments [37]. Moreover, the absence of comparable food consumption GWAS for higher-order factors of hierarchical food liking makes multivariable MR analyses impossible for highly palatable food liking. Second, our study was confined to individuals of European ancestry to avoid potential confounding due to ancestral heterogeneity, potentially limiting the generalizability of our findings to other ancestral populations. Third, SNPs linked to food liking were primarily identified in the UK Biobank study, focusing on individuals aged 40 to 69, whereas the obesity GWAS samples encompassed a broader age range. Younger individuals' dietary preferences might be influenced by other variants with varying associations with obesity, though such GWAS data is currently unavailable.

In conclusion, we conducted a cross-trait analysis to explore the relationship between 187 food-liking and obesity. We provide compelling evidence suggesting that onion liking and highly palatable food liking are linked to increased BMI, with onion liking exerting an independent effect on BMI after conditioning on actual onion intake.

Furthermore, our comprehensive analyses highlighted a shared genetic etiology underlying food liking and BMI. These findings not only provide a better understanding of the association between food preferences and obesity but also contribute to the formulation of policies.

Methods

GWAS data sets

Our study utilized publicly available summary-level data with ethical approval from the original studies. Detailed datasets concerning food liking and obesity-related traits are available in the original publications and summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Food-liking phenotypes. Genetic information regarding food-liking traits was extracted from a GWAS [13] conducted on 161,625 participants from the UB Biobank. This dataset included 144 detailed food and beverage preference traits, rated on a 9-point scale ranging from 1 (extremely dislike) to 9 (extremely like). Genetic correlations combined with structural equation modeling further derived 43 higher-order factor traits across three main dimensions: highly palatable, acquired, and low caloric, resulting in 187 food-liking traits.

Obesity-related phenotypes. To mitigate weak instrument bias in MR analyses caused by participant overlap between exposure and outcome [37], we utilized GWAS datasets of five obesity-related phenotypes with maximized sample sizes while ensuring

sample independence within the UK Biobank. Specifically, BMI statistics were obtained from a GWAS meta-analysis encompassing 322,154 individuals of European ancestry [38], body fat percentage from 100,716 individuals [39], and body fat distribution traits such as waist-hip ratio, waist circumference, and hip circumference adjusted for BMI from up to 210,088 individuals [40].

Genetic correlation analyses

To enhance our understanding of the relationship between food liking and obesity, we conducted linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression (LDSC) [41] to assess heritability and genome-wide genetic correlations across 935 pairs of traits before conducting MR analyses. We applied a relaxed threshold of $P < 0.01$ to encompass all traits with suggestive evidence. Given the significant number of food-liking traits and their intercorrelations, we employed PhenoSpD [20] to determine the independent counts of food-liking components correlated with obesity, thus addressing the issue of multiple testing. The genetic correlation matrix of food liking served as input for PhenoSpD.

Mendelian randomization analyses

Instruments selection. We utilized the clump function in PLINK software [42] to select independent single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from the exposure GWAS summary datasets as instrumental variables. Variants with a minor allele frequency < 0.01 were filtered out, and stringent criteria for clumping were applied with the

following parameters: $r^2 < 0.001$, a window of 10,000 kb, a significance threshold of $P < 5\times10^{-8}$, and the European population of the 1000 Genomes Project was used as the reference. In cases where SNPs associated with the exposure were unavailable in the outcome datasets, proxy SNPs ($r^2 > 0.8$) were substituted. SNPs associated with confounders (drinking, smoking behavior, education, and socioeconomic status [43,44]) identified in the PhenoScanner database [45] were excluded to avoid potential confounding. Palindromic SNPs with intermediate allele frequencies (>0.42) were also eliminated to prevent potential strand-flipping issues. Outlier pleiotropic SNPs detected by RadialMR [46] were removed to improve the accuracy and robustness of the remaining genetic instruments. *F*-statistics were calculated to estimate the strength of each genetic instrument, with only SNPs possessing *F*-statistics > 10 included in the MR analysis [47,48]. Exposures with fewer than three instrument variables were excluded from further analyses.

Two-sample MR analyses. The inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method [49] was employed as the primary causal inference, aggregating Wald ratio estimates of each SNP into a single causal estimate. We reported MR estimates as log (OR) values representing the change in obesity per standard deviation increase in genetically predicted food liking. Causal analyses were simultaneously conducted using four additional methods that rely on different assumptions than IVW to address potential bias from pleiotropic instrumental variables, including robust adjusted profile score (RAPS) [50], weighted median [51], weighted mode [52], and MR-Egger [53]. All the MR

analyses were performed using the TwoSampleMR (version 0.4.26) R package. To enhance the reliability of the MR analyses, only estimates showing consistent direction across different methods were considered, alongside the IVW estimates retaining significance after Bonferroni correction, suggesting a potential causal association. Bonferroni correction was applied to account for multiple testing, with a significance threshold set at 5.88×10^{-4} (0.05 divided by 85.1, representing the independent number of food liking and obesity pairs filtered through genetic correlation analysis and instrumental variable selection). In addition, we extended the above MR analysis to a bidirectional causal inference to evaluate the causal effect of obesity on food-liking phenotypes.

Sensitivity Analysis. Several sensitivity analyses were performed to address potential pleiotropy issues. The intercept from MR-Egger regression was used to assess the presence of unbalanced horizontal pleiotropy, with an intercept deviation from zero indicating horizontal pleiotropy [53]. MR-PRESSO [54] was employed to detect pleiotropy by comparing the observed distance of all variants to the regression line with the expected distance under the null hypothesis of no horizontal pleiotropy. Cochran's Q statistic test [55], produced by different genetic variants in the fixed-effect variance weighted analysis, was used to assess heterogeneity, and a P value of < 0.05 suggested pleiotropy. A leave-one-out analysis that removes one variant from the analyses and re-estimates the causality was conducted to determine specific variants driving causal associations. MR Steiger tests were performed to estimate the potential

reverse causal impact of obesity on food liking [56].

Multivariable MR analyses. Food-liking traits play crucial roles in dietary choices and consumption. To investigate whether specific food preferences influence metabolic balance independently of actual food consumption, we conducted a multivariable MR [57,58] to estimate the direct impact of food-liking traits on obesity. For food likings significantly affecting obesity and with corresponding consumption datasets, their genetic instruments were combined and clumped again to ensure SNP independence. It is worth noting that we used a relaxed *P*-value threshold of 1×10^{-5} for food intake to include enough instrument variables. SNP effects and standard errors were extracted from food-liking and consumption GWAS summary statistics and harmonized with obesity GWAS information with parameter settings consistent with univariate MR analyses.

Identification of pleiotropic variants

The pleiotropic analysis under the composite null hypothesis (PLACO) method [21] was implemented to uncover potential pleiotropic effects among each of the food-liking and obesity pairs that show causal associations. PLACO provides variant-level statistical testing of pleiotropy against a composite null hypothesis, and variants with a significance threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ were considered significant pleiotropic variants. Variants with $Z^2 > 80$ for one trait were removed to avoid the influence of extremely large effect sizes. We then employed functional mapping and annotation of

genetic associations (FUMA) [23] to determine potential pleiotropic loci. Subsequently, Bayesian colocalization analysis was conducted to identify potential shared causal variants within each pleiotropic locus [59]. A genomic locus with a posterior probability of H4 (PP.H4) > 0.7 was defined as a colocalized locus with a potential shared causal variant, and the SNP with the largest PP.H4 within this locus was identified as a candidate causal variant.

Functional annotation and GSEA

Gene annotation. To explore the shared mechanisms of pleiotropic loci, we performed a gene-based association analysis utilizing the multi-marker analysis of genomic annotation (MAGMA) [22]. Specifically, MAGMA converts SNP-level associations into gene-level associations using a multiple regression method incorporating LD between variants and detecting multi-marker effects, with significance declared at Bonferroni-corrected $P < 0.05$. MAGMA gene-property analyses were performed across 54 tissues in GTEx v8 to identify tissue specificity regarding food liking and obesity. Genes were also annotated using FUMA [23], employing positional mapping, eQTL mapping, and 3D chromatin interaction mapping. Datasets for the tissues identified and available in FUMA were employed for eQTL gene annotation and 3D chromatin interaction annotation. The 1,000 Genomes European samples were employed as the LD reference panel.

Gene set enrichment analysis. We conducted a gene set enrichment analysis using

Metascape [60] for Gene Ontology (GO) terms and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways among genes implicated in pleiotropic loci. Metascape conducted a pathway enrichment analysis to determine the function of mapped genes based on MSigDB [61]. Pathways containing at least three candidate genes, with an enrichment factor > 2 and adjusted *P* using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure < 0.05, were defined as significantly enriched pathways and grouped into clusters based on the membership similarities.

Acknowledgements

This study is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82101601, 82071190, 82371438), the Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical University High level Talent Research Launch Project (GCC2022001), the Innovative Strong School Project of Guangdong Medical University (4SG21230G), and the Open Fund of Guangdong Key Laboratory of Age-Related Cardiac and Cerebral Diseases (2023).

Author contributions

S.Y. conceived this study, participated in the data collection, and wrote the paper. S.Y., and H.W. performed the data analyses. S.Y., H.W., L.Q., and J.-Z.H. prepared the tables and figures. P.B., Y.W., S.-F.F., Y.-J.C., J.G., X.K., W.S., S.-S.D. and Y.G. critically revised the content. T.-L.Y. and L.-L.C. supervised the study. All authors contributed to editing the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Lister, N.B., *et al.* Child and adolescent obesity. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* **9**, 24 (2023).
2. Bluher, M. Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **15**, 288-298 (2019).
3. de Gonzalez, A.B., *et al.* Body-Mass Index and Mortality among 1.46 Million White Adults. *New Engl J Med* **363**, 2211-2219 (2010).
4. van der Klaauw, A.A. & Farooqi, I.S. The hunger genes: pathways to obesity. *Cell* **161**, 119-132 (2015).
5. Ravussin, Y., Leibel, R.L. & Ferrante, A.W., Jr. A missing link in body weight homeostasis: the catabolic signal of the overfed state. *Cell Metab* **20**, 565-572 (2014).
6. Liem, D.G. & Russell, C.G. The Influence of Taste Liking on the Consumption of Nutrient Rich and Nutrient Poor Foods. *Front Nutr* **6**, 174 (2019).
7. Breen, F.M., Plomin, R. & Wardle, J. Heritability of food preferences in young children. *Physiol Behav* **88**, 443-447 (2006).
8. Lanfer, A., *et al.* Taste preferences in association with dietary habits and weight status in European children: results from the IDEFICS study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **36**, 27-34 (2012).
9. Dressler, H. & Smith, C. Food choice, eating behavior, and food liking differs between lean/normal and overweight/obese, low-income women. *Appetite* **65**, 145-152 (2013).
10. Lampure, A., *et al.* Associations between liking for fat, sweet or salt and obesity risk in French adults: a prospective cohort study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **13**, 74 (2016).
11. Deglaire, A., *et al.* Associations between weight status and liking scores for sweet, salt and fat according to the gender in adults (The Nutrinet-Sante study). *Eur J Clin Nutr* **69**, 40-46 (2015).
12. Salbe, A.D., DelParigi, A., Pratley, R.E., Drewnowski, A. & Tataranni, P.A. Taste preferences and body weight changes in an obesity-prone population. *Am J Clin Nutr* **79**, 372-378 (2004).
13. May-Wilson, S., *et al.* Large-scale GWAS of food liking reveals genetic determinants and genetic correlations with distinct neurophysiological traits. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2743 (2022).
14. Drewnowski, A., Kurth, C.L. & Rahaim, J.E. Taste preferences in human obesity: environmental and familial factors. *Am J Clin Nutr* **54**, 635-641 (1991).
15. Cox, D.N., *et al.* Sensory and hedonic judgments of common foods by lean consumers and consumers with obesity. *Obes Res* **6**, 438-447 (1998).
16. Garneau, N.L., Nuessle, T.M., Mendelsberg, B.J., Shepard, S. & Tucker, R.M. Sweet liker status in children and adults: Consequences for beverage intake in adults. *Food Qual Prefer* **65**, 175-180 (2018).

17. Lawlor, D.A., Harbord, R.M., Sterne, J.A., Timpson, N. & Davey Smith, G. Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat Med* **27**, 1133-1163 (2008).
18. Nordmo, M., Danielsen, Y.S. & Nordmo, M. The challenge of keeping it off, a descriptive systematic review of high-quality, follow-up studies of obesity treatments. *Obes Rev* **21**, e12949 (2020).
19. Henschke, S., *et al.* Food perception promotes phosphorylation of MFFS131 and mitochondrial fragmentation in liver. *Science* **384**, 438-446 (2024).
20. Zheng, J., *et al.* PhenoSpD: an integrated toolkit for phenotypic correlation estimation and multiple testing correction using GWAS summary statistics. *Gigascience* **7**(2018).
21. Ray, D. & Chatterjee, N. A powerful method for pleiotropic analysis under composite null hypothesis identifies novel shared loci between Type 2 Diabetes and Prostate Cancer. *Plos Genet* **16**(2020).
22. de Leeuw, C.A., Mooij, J.M., Heskes, T. & Posthuma, D. MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis of GWAS data. *PLoS Comput Biol* **11**, e1004219 (2015).
23. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nature Communications* **8**(2017).
24. Spinelli, S. & Monteleone, E. Food Preferences and Obesity. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul)* **36**, 209-219 (2021).
25. Leigh, S.J., Lee, F. & Morris, M.J. Hyperpalatability and the Generation of Obesity: Roles of Environment, Stress Exposure and Individual Difference. *Curr Obes Rep* **7**, 6-18 (2018).
26. Yanovski, J.A. Obesity: Trends in underweight and obesity - scale of the problem. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **14**, 5-6 (2018).
27. Stice, E., Yokum, S., Bohon, C., Marti, N. & Smolen, A. Reward circuitry responsivity to food predicts future increases in body mass: moderating effects of DRD2 and DRD4. *Neuroimage* **50**, 1618-1625 (2010).
28. Stice, E., Spoor, S., Bohon, C., Veldhuizen, M.G. & Small, D.M. Relation of reward from food intake and anticipated food intake to obesity: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Abnorm Psychol* **117**, 924-935 (2008).
29. Boesveldt, S., *et al.* The changing role of the senses in food choice and food intake across the lifespan. *Food Quality and Preference* **68**, 80-89 (2018).
30. Fowler, S.P., *et al.* Fueling the obesity epidemic? Artificially sweetened beverage use and long-term weight gain. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **16**, 1894-1900 (2008).
31. Pearlman, M., Obert, J. & Casey, L. The Association Between Artificial Sweeteners and Obesity. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* **19**, 64 (2017).
32. Sadeghirad, B., Duhaney, T., Motaghipisheh, S., Campbell, N.R. & Johnston, B.C. Influence of unhealthy food and beverage marketing on children's dietary intake and preference: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Obes Rev* **17**, 945-959 (2016).

33. Noe, F., *et al.* OR2M3: A Highly Specific and Narrowly Tuned Human Odorant Receptor for the Sensitive Detection of Onion Key Food Odorant 3-Mercapto-2-methylpentan-1-ol. *Chem Senses* **42**, 195-210 (2017).
34. Pickrell, J.K., *et al.* Detection and interpretation of shared genetic influences on 42 human traits. *Nature Genetics* **48**, 709-+ (2016).
35. Sunuwar, L., *et al.* Pleiotropic ZIP8 A391T implicates abnormal manganese homeostasis in complex human disease. *JCI Insight* **5**(2020).
36. Pan, X.C., *et al.* Exploring the genetic correlation between obesity-related traits and regional brain volumes: Evidence from UK Biobank cohort. *Neuroimage-Clin* **33**(2022).
37. Burgess, S., Davies, N.M. & Thompson, S.G. Bias due to participant overlap in two-sample Mendelian randomization. *Genet Epidemiol* **40**, 597-608 (2016).
38. Locke, A.E., *et al.* Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. *Nature* **518**, 197-+ (2015).
39. Lu, Y.C., *et al.* New loci for body fat percentage reveal link between adiposity and cardiometabolic disease risk. *Nature Communications* **7**(2016).
40. Shungin, D., *et al.* New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. *Nature* **518**, 187-U378 (2015).
41. Bulik-Sullivan, B.K., *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **47**, 291-295 (2015).
42. Purcell, S., *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**, 559-575 (2007).
43. Lampuré, A., *et al.* Sociodemographic, Psychological, and Lifestyle Characteristics Are Associated with a Liking for Salty and Sweet Tastes in French Adults. *J Nutr* **145**, 587-594 (2015).
44. Lampuré, A., *et al.* Liking for fat is associated with sociodemographic, psychological, lifestyle and health characteristics. *Brit J Nutr* **112**, 1353-1363 (2014).
45. Kamat, M.A., *et al.* PhenoScanner V2: an expanded tool for searching human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 4851-4853 (2019).
46. Bowden, J., *et al.* Improving the visualization, interpretation and analysis of two-sample summary data Mendelian randomization via the Radial plot and Radial regression. *Int J Epidemiol* **47**, 2100 (2018).
47. Papadimitriou, N., *et al.* Physical activity and risks of breast and colorectal cancer: a Mendelian randomisation analysis. *Nat Commun* **11**, 597 (2020).
48. Burgess, S., Thompson, S.G. & Collaboration, C.C.G. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol* **40**, 755-764 (2011).
49. Burgess, S., Butterworth, A. & Thompson, S.G. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. *Genet Epidemiol* **37**, 658-665 (2013).

50. Zhao, Q.Y., Wang, J.S., Hemani, G., Bowden, J. & Small, D.S. Statistical Inference in Two-Sample Summary-Data Mendelian Randomization Using Robust Adjusted Profile Score. *Ann Stat* **48**, 1742-1769 (2020).
51. Bowden, J., Smith, G.D., Haycock, P.C. & Burgess, S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genet Epidemiol* **40**, 304-314 (2016).
52. Hartwig, F.P., Smith, G.D. & Bowden, J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **46**, 1985-1998 (2017).
53. Bowden, J., Smith, G.D. & Burgess, S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **44**, 512-525 (2015).
54. Verbanck, M., Chen, C.Y., Neale, B. & Do, R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet* **50**, 693-698 (2018).
55. Bowden, J., *et al.* A framework for the investigation of pleiotropy in two-sample summary data Mendelian randomization. *Stat Med* **36**, 1783-1802 (2017).
56. Hemani, G., Tilling, K. & Smith, G.D. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. *Plos Genet* **13**(2017).
57. Sanderson, E., Davey Smith, G., Windmeijer, F. & Bowden, J. An examination of multivariable Mendelian randomization in the single-sample and two-sample summary data settings. *Int J Epidemiol* **48**, 713-727 (2019).
58. Burgess, S. & Thompson, S.G. Multivariable Mendelian randomization: the use of pleiotropic genetic variants to estimate causal effects. *Am J Epidemiol* **181**, 251-260 (2015).
59. Giambartolomei, C., *et al.* Bayesian Test for Colocalisation between Pairs of Genetic Association Studies Using Summary Statistics. *Plos Genet* **10**(2014).
60. Zhou, Y., *et al.* Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1523 (2019).
61. Subramanian, A., *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 15545-15550 (2005).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Study workflow. We conducted genetic correlation, Mendelian randomization, comprehensive pleiotropic analysis between 187 food liking traits and five obesity-related phenotypes. GWAS, genome-wide association study; BMI, body mass index; BFP, body fat percentage; WHR, waist-hip ratio; HIP, hip circumference; WC, waist circumference; IVs, instrumental variables; PLACO, pleiotropic analysis under composite null hypothesis; COLOC, colocalization.

Figure 2. Causal effects of food liking on obesity. The forest plot of the significant causalities. The effect represents the log (OR) of obesity-related phenotype per standard deviation increase in food preference, and the error bars represent 95% CIs. Five methods were used to estimate the causal effects including inverse-variance weighted (IVW), MR robust adjusted profile score (RAPS), weighted median, weighted mode, and MR-Egger. IVW *P*-value $< 5.88 \times 10^{-4}$ after Bonferroni corrections was considered significant. IVs, instrumental variables; BMI, body mass index.

Figure 3. Mendelian randomization (MR) plots for food liking on body mass index (BMI). (A) Scatterplot of single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) effects on onion liking and BMI, and the slope of each line corresponding to estimated MR effect per method. (B) Forest plot of individual and combined SNP MR-estimated

effects sizes of onion liking on BMI. (C) Scatterplot of SNP effects on highly palatable foods liking and BMI. (D) Forest plot of individual and combined SNP MR-estimated effects sizes of highly palatable foods liking on BMI.

Figure 4. The pleiotropic associations between food liking and body mass index (BMI). (A) Manhattan plots of pleiotropic loci shared between onion liking and BMI. (B) Manhattan plots of pleiotropic loci shared between highly palatable foods liking and BMI. The horizontal gray line indicates the genome-wide significance threshold of 5×10^{-8}.

Figure 5. Shared genetic etiology of significantly colocalized loci identified by colocalization analysis. (A) Three significantly colocalized loci for onion liking and BMI. (B) Three significantly colocalized loci for highly palatable foods liking and BMI. The top panels present regional plots visualizing PLACO results for the genomic regions, with lead variants from the pleiotropic analyses labeled. The bottom panels display LocusCompare plots illustrating colocalization between GWAS for food liking and GWAS for BMI. The candidate shared causal variant identified by pairwise colocalization analysis is also labeled. Other SNPs are colored based on their LD r^2 with the labeled variants.

Figure 6. Tissue specificity and gene set enrichment for pleiotropic genes. (A) GTEx tissue-specific enrichment results of the pleiotropic associations. 54 GTEx tissues were classified as nine categories, of which the brain tissues were colored in light blue. The solid line represents the *P*-value threshold after Bonferroni correction ($P = 0.05/54 = 9.26\times10^{-4}$) and the dotted line represents the nominally significant threshold of *P*-value < 0.05. (B) Four gene prioritization strategies were used for significantly associated loci. Candidate genes were mapped if the pleiotropic loci reside within a protein-coding gene, or eQTL markers of the gene in the brain tissue, or interact with the gene based on brain Hi-C data, or identified utilizing the multi-marker analysis of genomic annotation (MAGMA). (C) Pathway enrichment analysis based on Gene Ontology (GO) terms and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways among genes implicated in pleiotropic loci.

GWAS datasets

Food Liking

- 144 taste liking measurement
- 43 derived food-liking factors
- N = 161,625

Obesity-Related Phenotypes

- BMI (N = 322,154)
- BFP (N = 100,716)
- WHR, HIP, WC (N = 210,088)

Genetic correlation and causal relationship



Genetic correlation



Mendelian randomization

Identification of pleiotropic loci



PLACO

F-highly palatable foods liking - BMI



Exploration of shared biological mechanisms



COLOC



Gene prioritization



Enrichment analysis

Exposure	Outcome	IVs *N*	Method	β (95% CI)	*P*-value
Onion liking	BMI	4	IVW	0.286 (0.185 to 0.387)	2.80×10^{-8}
			MR RAPS	0.286 (0.169 to 0.404)	1.84×10^{-6}
			Weighted Median	0.272 (0.149 to 0.395)	1.40×10^{-5}
			Weighted Mode	0.269 (−0.010 to 0.548)	0.055
			MR Egger	0.464 (−1.250 to 2.178)	0.364
F−highly palatable foods liking	BMI	10	IVW	0.266 (0.140 to 0.391)	3.31×10^{-5}
			MR RAPS	0.267 (0.146 to 0.388)	1.46×10^{-5}
			Weighted Median	0.291 (0.130 to 0.452)	3.92×10^{-4}
			Weighted Mode	0.414 (0.060 to 0.768)	0.027
			MR Egger	0.697 (0.028 to 1.366)	0.043



Effect estimate (β) and 95% CIs


A
IVW
MR RAPS
Weighted Median
Weighted Mode
MR Egger
SNP effect on Body mass index
SNP effect on Onion liking
0.03
0.02
0.01
0.00
−0.01
0
0.02
0.04
0.06
0.08


B
SNP
β (95% CI)
rs8090698 0.374 (0.157 to 0.590)
rs6771804 0.274 (0.095 to 0.453)
rs2503185 0.271 (0.055 to 0.487)
rs838133 0.237 (0.034 to 0.441)
IVW (combine) 0.286 (0.185 to 0.387)
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
MR effect size for Onion liking on Body mass index, β (95% CI)


C
IVW
MR RAPS
Weighted Median
Weighted Mode
MR Egger
SNP effect on Body mass index
SNP effect on F-highly palatable foods liking
0.04
0.02
0.00
−0.02
0
0.02
0.04
0.06


D
SNP
β (95% CI)
rs6583611 0.484 (0.226 to 0.742)
rs4420638 0.459 (0.200 to 0.719)
rs7637879 0.404 (0.084 to 0.724)
rs12205846 0.345 (-0.039 to 0.728)
rs11683559 0.289 (-0.048 to 0.626)
rs6871988 0.115 (-0.257 to 0.487)
rs10128495 0.099 (-0.254 to 0.453)
rs6665549 0.074 (-0.271 to 0.420)
rs4649981 0.056 (-0.265 to 0.377)
rs2043539 -0.119 (-0.536 to 0.299)
IVW (combine) 0.266 (0.140 to 0.391)
−0.6
−0.4
−0.2
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
MR effect size for F-highly palatable foods liking on Body mass index, β (95% CI)


A
Onion liking - BMI
-log10(P-value)
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
21
Chromosome


B
F-highly palatable foods liking - BMI
-log10(P-value)
0
5
10
15
20
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
21
Chromosome


A
Onion liking - BMI
rs6804842
-log10(P-value)
Recombination rate (cM/Mb)
Position on chr3 (Mb)
Onion liking - BMI
rs13114738
Position on chr4 (Mb)
Onion liking - BMI
rs7226371
Position on chr18 (Mb)
BMI -log10(P-value)
Onion liking -log10(P-value)
rs13107325
B
F-highly palatable foods liking - BMI
rs742760
Position on chr20 (Mb)
rs12446550
Position on chr16 (Mb)
rs13107325
Position on chr4 (Mb)
rs3888190
F-highly palatable foods liking -log10(P-value)


A
Onion liking
F-highly palatable foods liking
Tissues
Cardiovascular
Digestive
Exo-/Endocrine
Hemic and immune
Integumentary
Musculoskeletal
Nervous
Respiratory
Urogenital
Artery Tibial
Artery Aorta
Artery Coronary
Heart Atrial Appendage
Heart Left Ventricle
Colon Sigmoid
Esophagus Gastroesophageal Junction
Esophagus Muscularis
Colon Transverse
Stomach
Small Intestine Terminal Ileum
Pancreas
Esophagus Mucosa
Liver
Pituitary
Testis
Ovary
Prostate
Thyroid
Adrenal Gland
Breast Mammary Tissue
Adipose Subcutaneous
Minor Salivary Gland
Kidney Cortex
Kidney Medulla
Adipose Visceral Omentum
Cells EBV-transformed lymphocytes
Whole Blood
Cells Cultured fibroblasts
Skin Sun Exposed Lower leg
Skin Not Sun Exposed Suprapubic
Spleen
Muscle Skeletal
Brain Cerebellar Hemisphere
Brain Cerebellum
Brain Frontal Cortex BA9
Brain Cortex
Brain Anterior cingulate cortex BA24
Brain Nucleus accumbens basal ganglia
Nerve Tibial
Brain Caudate basal ganglia
Brain Hypothalamus
Brain Putamen basal ganglia
Brain Amygdala
Brain Hippocampus
Brain Substantia nigra
Brain Spinal cord cervical c-1
Lung
Uterus
Cervix Endocervix
Fallopian Tube
Cervix Ectocervix
Vagina
Bladder
0
5
10
-log10 P-value
B
Positional genes
eQTL target genes
HiC interacting genes
MAGMA genes
C
sensory perception of smell
endocytosis
organelle localization
regulation of synapse organization
hyaluronoglucosaminidase activity
response to xenobiotic stimulus
0
2
4
6
8
-log10 P-value
Onion liking
F-highly palatable foods liking