medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Role of type I hypersensitivity reaction in the development of overall and complicated acute appendicitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Running head: Type I hypersensitivity reaction and acute appendicitis

Authors: Javier Arredondo Montero (MD, PhD) [(1)], Blanca Paola Pérez Riveros (MD) [(2)], María Rico Jiménez [(3)]

Affiliations:

(1) Pediatric Surgery Department, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León, León, Spain.

(2) University of Navarra, School of Medicine, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain.

(3) Pediatric Surgery Department, Hospital Niño Jesús, Madrid, Spain.

Corresponding author:

Javier Arredondo Montero, MD, PhD

Adress: Department of Pediatric Surgery, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León. c/Altos de Nava s/n, 24008 León, Castilla y León, Spain

Email: jarredondom@alumni.unav.es / Javier.montero.arredondo@gmail.com

Phone: +34 987 23 74 00

ORCID ID:

Javier Arredondo Montero: 0000-0002-0943-6367

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

CRediT authorship contribution statement:

JAM: Conceptualization and study design; literature search and selection; data curation and extraction; formal analysis; investigation; methodology; project administration; resources; validation; visualization; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing.

BPR, MRJ: literature search and selection; data curation and extraction; writing – review and editing.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT/FUNDING:

This review received no specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. None of the authors have external funding to declare.

ETHICAL APPROVAL:

This study did not involve the participation of human or animal subjects, and therefore, IRB approval was not sought.

STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY OF THE DATA USED DURING THE SYSTEMATIC REVIEW:

The data used to carry out this systematic review are available upon request from the review authors.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Role of type I hypersensitivity reaction in the development of overall and complicated acute appendicitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Running head: Type I hypersensitivity reaction and acute appendicitis

ABSTRACT

Background: This study aimed to analyze the potential etiopathogenic role of a type I hypersensitivity reaction in the development of overall acute appendicitis (AA), non-complicated acute appendicitis (NCAA), and complicated acute appendicitis (CAA).

Methods: This review was prospectively registered in PROSPERO (CRD42024516547). We included both prospective and retrospective original clinical studies that examined the role of immunoallergic processes in the development of acute appendicitis (AA). A comprehensive search was conducted in PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and OVID, using the following search terms and keywords: (allergy OR allergic OR immunoallergy OR immunoallergic OR immunomediated) AND (appendicitis OR appendectomy) AND (IgE OR IgE-mediated OR hypersensitivity OR type I). Two independent reviewers meticulously selected the articles and extracted relevant data. The methodological quality of the studies was rigorously assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa index. A synthesis of the results, a standardization of the metrics, and seven random-effect meta-analyses were performed.

Results: This review included nineteen studies. A random-effects meta-analysis including six articles (6370 patients with NCAA and 2000 patients with CAA) showed that patients with any documented history of IgE-mediated allergy had a lower risk of developing CAA (OR 0.52, 95%CI [0.38-0.72], p<0.0001). The random-effect meta-analysis for serum Interleukin-9 (NCAA

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

vs. CAA) included two articles (177 patients with NCAA and 101 patients with CAA) and resulted in a significant mean difference [95% CI] of -0.38 [-0.67,-0.08] pg/mL (p=0.01). The random-effect meta-analysis for serum Interleukin-13 (NCAA vs. CAA) included two articles (177 patients with NCAA and 101 patients with CAA) and resulted in a significant mean difference [95% CI] of -11.32 [-13.90,-8.75] pg/mL (p=<0.001). The random-effect meta-analysis for total eosinophil count (NCAA vs. CAA) included three articles (455 NCAA and 303 CAA) and resulted in a significant mean difference [95% CI] of -0.06 [-0.10,-0.02] eosinophils x 10^9/L (p=0.002).

Conclusions: The present study demonstrates an association between a type I hypersensitivity reaction and the development of NCAA. Additionally, our meta-analytic model shows significantly higher levels of eosinophils peripheral blood in patients with NCAA than in patients with CAA. These findings suggest a potential immunoallergic mediation in the development of NCAA. Future prospective studies must validate these findings since these patients may benefit from specific therapeutic targets.

Funding: None

Registration: PROSPERO (CRD42024516547).

Keywords: *allergy;IgE;hypersensitivity type I reaction;eosinophils;aeroallergens;prick test;pediatric acute appendicitis; complicated appendicitis;systematic review;meta-analysis;th2;interleukin-9;interleukin-13.*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Role of type I hypersensitivity reaction in the development of overall and complicated acute appendicitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Introduction

Acute appendicitis (AA) is the most frequent urgent surgical pathology in the world, with a lifetime risk of 6 to 8% [1]. In recent years, significant advances have been made in therapeutic terms in this pathology, such as the safe introduction of minimally invasive techniques [2]. Nevertheless, this continues to be a pathology with a crucial diagnostic error rate, and there is an essential lack of knowledge regarding its etiopathogenesis. In recent years, multiple biomarkers have been evaluated as potential diagnostic tools in AA. A prominent example is serum interleukin-6 (IL-6), which has demonstrated excellent diagnostic capability for diagnosing AA and an excellent ability to discriminate between complicated appendicitis (CAA) and non-complicated appendicitis (NCAA) [3]. Other systemic inflammatory biomarkers, such as pentraxin-3 [4], have also been evaluated, with variable diagnostic yields. However, the immune and inflammatory response associated with AA is now considered complex and involves multiple distinct signaling pathways.

In recent years, increasing evidence has emerged for the potential role of type I hypersensitivity reactions in the development of AA. These reactions follow antigen presentation to naive lymphocytes (Th0) via antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and are mediated by multiple cytokines, including Interleukin 4 (IL-4), Interleukin-5 (IL-5), Interleukin-9 (IL-9), and Interleukin-13 (IL-13). These reactions mediate eosinophilic activation, release of eosinophil granule proteins, and mast cell activation with subsequent histamine and tryptase release. [5]. This, in turn, leads to smooth muscle contraction mediated by leukotrienes and histamine, vasodilatation (at the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

expense of prostaglandins and histamine), and release of proteases with potential tissue damage. All these mechanisms may be potentially involved in the development of AA (i.e., proteases may be related to the occurrence of appendiceal perforation) [6]. Likewise, the appendix is a structure rich in enterochromaffin cells (especially at the level of the lamina propria). These cells store and secrete serotonin, a neurotransmitter that modulates peripheral immune system responses [7,8]. Lastly, the cecal appendix contains a significant representation of lymphoid tissue. Because of these two aspects, the reaction to allergen exposure may be more accentuated in this organ than in the rest of the body [7,8]. This review aims to compile and meta-analyze the existing evidence on the role of type I hypersensitivity reactions in the development of AA.

Methods

Literature search and selection

We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidance [9]. We specifically designed and implemented a review protocol registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO ID CRD42024516547). Eligible studies were identified by searching the main existing medical bibliography databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, OVID). Search terms and keywords were (allergy OR allergic OR immunoallergy OR immunoallergic OR immunomediated) AND (appendicitis OR appendectomy) AND (IgE OR IgE-mediated OR hypersensitivity OR type I). The search was last executed on 20.05.2024.

Supplementary File 1 shows inclusion and exclusion criteria. JAM and BPR selected articles using the COVIDENCE ® tool. The search results were imported into the platform, and both authors screened the articles separately. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Quality assessment

The selected article´s methodological quality and risk of bias were evaluated with the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) [10]. Depending on the study design evaluated, case-control and cohort templates were employed. Selection, comparability, and exposure items were assessed for each included study.

Data extraction and synthesis

Three independent reviewers (JAM, BPR, MRJ) extracted the relevant data from the selected articles following a standardized procedure. Extracted data included the author, the country where the study was conducted, year of publication, study design, study population (sample size, age range, and sex distribution), AA group and control group (CG) definitions, reference standard used in AA group, number of events (allergy) in each group, mean and standard deviation (or median and interquartile range) for each analyzed biomarker, counts of the different cell subpopulations per unit of histological area/surface area and the statistical p-value for each between-group comparison. There were no disagreements between the reviewers after collating the extracted data. The metrics used in each study were reviewed, and a standardization of units was performed. Medians (Interquartile ranges) were converted to means (standard deviations) following a standardized procedure [11].

Meta-analysis

A first meta-analytical model was performed for the dichotomous variable “IgE-mediated allergy” and the risk of developing complicated acute appendicitis (CAA). The Mantel-Haenszel method was used. The results were expressed as an odds ratio (95% CI) and were plotted in a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

forest plot. Between-study heterogeneity was assessed using the Chi^2 and I^2 statistics. A funnel plot analysis was performed to evaluate a potential publication bias.

Six additional random-effects meta-analyses were performed: 1) Serum Interleukin-4 (IL-4) (NCAA vs. CAA), 2) Serum Interleukin-5 (IL-5) (NCAA vs. CAA), 3) Serum Interleukin-9 (IL-9) (NCAA vs. CAA) 4) Serum Interleukin-13 (IL-13) (NCAA vs. CAA), 5) Total eosinophil count (TEC) (AA vs. CG) and 6) TEC (NCAA vs. CAA). The results were expressed as a mean difference (95% CI) and were plotted in a forest plot. Between-study heterogeneity was assessed using the Chi^2 and I^2 statistics.

Results

The search returned 203 articles (Scopus n=15; Pubmed n=113; Web of Science n=14; OVID n=61). Thirty-five duplicates were removed. Among the remaining 168 articles, we excluded 148 (inclusion and exclusion criteria, n=148). We excluded one additional work when assessing eligibility due to a wrong study design (n=1). This review finally included 19 studies with data from approximately 121,742 participants [12-30]. Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the search and selection process.

The quality of twelve of the studies was considered good [12-18,20,21,23,27,30], and the quality of seven of them was considered fair [19,22,24,25,26,27,29] at the expense of the category "Ascertainment of exposure." No study was classified as "poor".

IgE-mediated allergy and the development of non-complicated acute appendicitis

Sociodemographic characteristics

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Data from the ten studies that evaluated the role of allergy as a potential protective factor in the development of CAA are shown in Table 1. All analyses were carried out between 2008 and 2024. Two were from Turkey [12,16], three from Sweden [18,23,25], two from Portugal [22,29], one from Korea [20], one from China [27] and one from the USA [30]. Five studies were retrospective [18,20,23,27,30], three were prospective [22,25,29], and two were categorized as prospective studies based on the information contained in the manuscript. However, the authors did not make this explicit [12,16]. Six studies involved pediatric populations [16,18,23,25,27,30].

The definition of "case" was consistent in five of the selected studies, given as the histopathological confirmation of AA in the surgical specimen [12,16,22,25,29]. Two studies defined "case" as an intraoperative diagnosis of acute appendicitis, although the authors confirmed that they excluded patients with negative appendectomy findings after histopathologic analysis [18,27]. One study defined "case" as an appendectomy based on surgical codes from a national database [20]. One study defined "case" as an "acute appendicitis" episode based on clinical ICD-10 codes from a national database and included non-appendectomized patients in its analysis [23]. One study considered "definite appendicitis" as the confluence of a clinical diagnosis of appendicitis, intraoperative evidence of appendicitis, and histologic confirmation of appendicitis [30]. This was not the case for the definition of "control," which constituted either sex-matched healthy individuals or ASA 1 patients who were admitted to the Department of General Surgery for other diseases [12], patients attending the pediatric surgery unit for different pathologies, such as circumcision or hernia [16], matched-controls from a national database [20,30], incidental appendectomies [22,29], negative appendectomies [22] and children with abdominal pain due to other diagnoses [25].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Two studies specifically evaluated potential conditions that could have altered allergy tests, including concomitant pathology and recent use of H_2-receptor antagonists, some tricyclic antidepressants (such as desipramine and doxepin), and antihistaminics [12,16]. The rest of the studies did not specify specific exclusions regarding possible confounders of IgE-mediated allergies.

Two studies assessed the presence of allergies by Mixed Inhalant Prick Test (MPT) [12,16], Food Allergy Test [12,16], or a mixture of both [12,16]. One study relied on retrospective patient records to identify IgE-mediated allergies to aeroallergens, food allergens, and antibiotics [18]. Four studies considered allergy as a medical history allergy reflected in patient records [22,25,27,29]. Three studies relied on national databases for analyses [20,23,30]. Of these two studies, one study compared a national database of appendectomized and non-appendectomized patients and compared the prevalence of asthma in both groups [20]. Another used a national database and followed a previously established protocol to identify the allergy diagnosis based on ICD-10 codes and codes of allergy-specific medications previously dispensed or prescribed [23]. Lastly, another study compared the prevalence of active asthma between the AA group and the CG [30].

All nine studies reported the data as the number of allergy positives out of the total number of patients per group [12,16,18,20,22,23,25,27,29,30].

Random-effects meta-analysis for IgE-mediated allergy and the development of NCAA.

A random-effects meta-analysis including six articles (6370 patients with NCAA and 2000 patients with CAA) showed that patients with any documented history of IgE-mediated allergy had a lower risk of developing CAA (OR 0.52, 95%CI [0.38-0.72], $p<0.0001$). The I^2 value was

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

49%, and the Chi^2 was 9.72. The graphical depiction of this analysis is shown in Figure 2. The graphical depiction of the funnel plot associated with this analysis is shown in Figure 3. It was considered symmetric (no publication bias).

Allergy-related biomarkers and acute appendicitis

Sociodemographic characteristics

Table 2 shows data from the six studies that evaluated the role of different allergy-related biomarkers in acute appendicitis [19,22,24,25,28,29]. All studies were carried out between 2019 and 2024. Four were from Portugal [19,22,24,29], one from Sweden [25], and one from China [28]. All studies were prospective [19,22,24,25,28,29]. Two studies involved pediatric populations [25,28].

The definition of "case" was consistent in all the selected studies, given as the histopathological confirmation of AA in the surgical specimen [19,22,24,25,28,29]. This was not the case for the definition of "control," which was constituted by incidental appendectomies [22,24], normal histologic findings in the cecal appendix [19,22,24], and children with abdominal pain due to other diagnoses [25].

Appendicular lavage fluid (ALF) samples [19,24,29], immunohistochemical techniques on the appendix tissue [22], and serum interleukin and IgE measurements [24,25,28] were assessed.

Two studies expressed their results as mean (standard deviation) [19,28], and four studies expressed their results as median (interquartile range) [22,24,25,29]. Zhang et al. [28] expressed serum IL-6 values as median (interquartile range). When it was considered necessary for developing meta-analytical models, an inferential estimation of the mean and standard deviation

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

based on the median and interquartile range was performed. Both the original and inferred values are shown in Table 2.

Concerning units, serum interleukins were expressed in pg/mL and IgE in ng/mL. No unit conversion was required.

Appendicular lavage fluid biomarkers

Three papers belonging to the same group of authors evaluated different biomarkers in ALF [19,24,29]. The first work [19] showed statistically significant differences in IL4 and IL-5 levels between the three groups included in the study (Kruskal-Wallis test, three-group comparison). In the second study [24] obtained a marginal significance for IL-5 in similar conditions and with similar groups. In the third study, they evaluated the levels of histamine, serotonin, and tryptase in ALF, finding statistically significant differences between the three groups for the levels of tryptase (p=0.001) [29].

Immunohistochemical IgE-positive cells assessment

Carvalho et al. [24] demonstrated statistically significant differences in the number of IgE-positive cells when comparing NCAA and CG.

Serum Interleukins and serum IgE

Serum levels of IL-4 [25,28], IL-5 [24,28], IL-6 [28], IL-9 [25,28] and IL-13 [25,28] were assessed. Statistically significant differences between the NCAA and CAA groups were found for IL-6 [28], IL-9 [25,28], and IL-13 [25,28].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Gudjonsdottir et al. [25] found no statistically significant differences in serum IgE levels between the NCAA and CAA groups or between the AA and control groups. In contrast, Zhang et al. demonstrated statistically significant differences in serum IgE levels between the NCAA and CAA groups ($p<0.01$) [28].

Random-effects meta-analysis for serum interleukins (NCAA vs. CAA).

Four random-effects meta-analyses were performed: 1) Serum Interleukin-4 (IL-4) (NCAA vs. CAA), 2) Serum Interleukin-5 (IL-5) (NCAA vs. CAA), 3) Serum Interleukin-9 (IL-9) (NCAA vs. CAA) 4) Serum Interleukin-13 (IL-13) (NCAA vs. CAA). The random-effect meta-analysis for serum Interleukin-9 (NCAA vs. CAA) included two articles (177 patients with NCAA and 101 patients with CAA) and resulted in a significant mean difference [95% CI] of -0.38 [-0.67,-0.08] pg/mL ($p=0.01$). The I^2 value was 23%, and the Chi^2 was 1.30. The random-effect meta-analysis for serum Interleukin-13 (NCAA vs. CAA) included two articles (177 patients with NCAA and 101 patients with CAA) and resulted in a significant mean difference [95% CI] of -11.32 [-13.90,-8.75] pg/mL ($p=<0.001$). The I^2 value was 0%, and the Chi^2 was 0.91. Results are shown in Figure 4.

Eosinophils, Mast cells, and acute appendicitis

Sociodemographic characteristics

Table 3 shows data from the nine studies that evaluated the role of eosinophils and mast cells in acute appendicitis. All studies were carried out between 2008 and 2023. Three were from India [13,14,21], three from Turkey [12,15,16], two from Portugal [24,26], and one from China [17]. Four studies were prospective [13,21,24,26]. Two studies were retrospective [14,17], and two

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

were categorized as prospective studies based on the information contained in the manuscript. However, the authors did not make this explicit. [12,16]. One study did not provide information concerning study design [15]. Three studies involved a pediatric population [15-17].

The definition of "case" was consistent in all the selected studies, given as the histopathological confirmation of AA in the surgical specimen [12-17,21,24,26]. This was not the case for the definition of "control," which was constituted either by histologically normal appendectomy specimens [13-15,21,24,26], healthy volunteers [13], patients with acute bronchial asthma [13], patients attending the pediatric surgery unit for other pathologies, such as circumcision or hernia [16] or sex-matched healthy individuals or ASA 1 patients who were admitted to the Department of General Surgery for different diseases [12]. Minderjahn et al. did not report a control group [17].

Two studies expressed their results as mean (standard deviation) [12,14,16,17], one study as median (range) [13], one study as mean [15], one study as mean (95% confidence interval) [21], and two studies as median (interquartile range) [24,26]. When it was considered necessary for developing meta-analytical models, an inferential estimation of the mean and standard deviation based on the median and interquartile range was performed. Both the original and inferred values are shown in Table 3.

Concerning units, TEC in peripheral blood, results were expressed in cells/x10^9/L [17,24,26] or in cells/mm^3 [12]. Although most authors expressed TEC units correctly, in the case of Cevizci et al. [16], TEC units were not listed in the paper. We tried to contact the authors to clarify this point but failed. To develop the meta-analytic model, we converted it to an order of magnitude with the highest biological plausibility and compatibility with the other reported studies. Since

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

peripheral blood eosinophils follow a relatively narrow distribution range in humans, we believe this was the correct approach. Still, it must be considered a limitation due to its inferential nature. Similarly, in the case of Harlak et al. [12], the units reported were in cells/mm^3, so we performed the conversion to International Units as shown in Table 3.

Eosinophil cationic protein, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin, and eosinophil peroxidase were expressed as ng/mL (or as µg/L, which has a conversion ratio of 1:1) both in serum and in ALF [13,26]. The presence of eosinophils, mast cells, and Cajal cells at the histological level was performed by counting per unit area or field with variable methodologies [12,13,14,15,21,24].

Histologic assessment of Cajal cells and acute appendicitis

Karakuş et al. reported statistically significant differences in the density mean values of Cajal cells when comparing the control group and the AA group ($p<0.05$) [15].

Histologic assessment of mast cells and acute appendicitis

Two studies [14,21] evaluated by immunohistochemical techniques (CD117) the presence of mast cells in quantitative terms. Mohan et al. reported statistically significant differences in the number of degranulated mast cells in submucosa per whole cross-sectional area ($p=0.01$). Karakuş et al. reported statistically significant differences in the density mean values of Mast cells when comparing the control group and the AA group ($p<0.05$) [15].

Histologic assessment of eosinophils and acute appendicitis

Six studies evaluated the degree of eosinophilic infiltration in the cecal appendix at the histological level [12,13,14,15,21,24]. Santhosh et al. reported statistically significant differences

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

in the mean eosinophil count in the appendix (number of eosinophils per high power field) when comparing AA and the control group [13]. Mohan et al. [21] also reported statistically significant differences between groups in the eosinophil count in the muscle layer of the appendix per unit area. Interestingly, these differences became more noticeable when a whole cross-sectional area was evaluated. Carvalho et al. [24] also reported statistically significant differences in the eosinophilic infiltration rate of the appendiceal wall between the three groups being assessed in the study ($p<0.001$). Karakuş et al. reported statistically significant differences in the density mean values of eosinophils when comparing the control group and the AA group ($p<0.05$) [15].

Peripheral blood eosinophils and acute appendicitis.

Two studies evaluated serum eosinophil cationic protein (SECP) [13,26]. Both found statistically significant differences between AA and controls.

Five studies evaluated TEC in peripheral blood [12,16,17,24,26]. Minderjahn et al. reported statistically significant differences between the NCAA and CAA groups ($p<0.0001$) [17]. Carvalho et al. reported statistically significant differences between the three groups (control group, NCAA, and CAA) in one of their works [24] and marginal significance for another of their analyses in similar conditions [26].

Random-effects meta-analysis for total eosinophil count (peripheral blood).

Two random effects models were performed: 1) TEC (AA vs. CG) and 6) TEC (NCAA vs CAA). The random-effect meta-analysis for total eosinophil count (AA vs. controls) included four articles (183 AA and 256 controls) and resulted in a significant mean difference [95% CI] of 0.02 [0.00, 0.03] eosinophils x 10^9/L ($p=0.03$). The I^2 value was 0%, and the Chi^2 was 2.01. The

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

random-effect meta-analysis for total eosinophil count (NCAA vs. CAA) included three articles (455 NCAA and 303 CAA) and resulted in a significant mean difference [95% CI] of -0.06 [-0.10,-0.02] eosinophils x 10^9/L (p=0.002). The I^2 value was 66%, and the Chi^2 was 5.86. Results are shown in Figure 5.

Discussion

In the present work, we systematically reviewed the role of type I hypersensitivity reactions in developing AA and NCAA. By performing seven meta-analytical models, we have shown that: 1) the presence of type I hypersensitivity reactions (IgE-mediated allergy) is a protective factor for the development of CAA; 2) there are significantly higher levels of serum IL-9 and IL-13 in patients with CAA than in patients with NCAA; 3) the total eosinophil count in peripheral blood is significantly higher in patients with AA than in controls and is significantly higher in NCAA than in CAA.

The reviewed literature is consistent regarding the existing relationship between IgE-mediated allergy and the development of NCAA. Case-control and cohort studies show a higher prevalence of IgE-mediated allergy in patients with AA, specifically in patients with NCAA. Additionally, national cohort studies show a higher rate of appendectomies in patients with asthma and a higher rate of asthma in patients with AA, which also supports this theory.

Concerning the cytokine profile, IL-9 and IL-13 belong to the cytokine signaling chain of type I hypersensitivity reactions. Again, its elevation in AA cases compared to controls supports the presence of a type I hypersensitivity reaction in AA. Although following this concept it would be expected to see higher levels in the NCAA group, their significantly higher levels in the CAA group are attributable, in our opinion, to the more evolved process and more remarkable systemic

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

inflammation. It should also be considered that the synthesis, production, and release of these cytokines are not immediate and require several hours from the onset of the process. Previous findings with IL-6 support this theory.

Eosinophils are an earlier marker that, in our opinion, correlates better with a potential type I hypersensitivity reaction occurring at the level of the cecal appendix. In many cases, peripheral eosinophilia may be masked by the marked neutrophilia characteristic of AA, so this may be a subtle finding. Using specific eosinophilic peripheral blood markers, such as serum eosinophil cationic protein, eosinophil peroxidase, or eosinophil-derived neurotoxin, seems a promising line of research for the future. On the other hand, the reviewed literature consistently shows higher tissue-level eosinophil levels in patients with AA than in controls, which supports the etiopathogenic hypothesis put forward in the present manuscript. We have observed that the reporting of eosinophils at the histological level presents a significant methodological heterogeneity in the literature. This field could benefit from artificial intelligence (AI) models in quantitative terms (such as convolutional neural networks).

Based on our findings, we postulate that AA could encompass two distinct nosological entities: on the one hand, a subtype of NCAA, which could have an immunoallergic etiopathogenesis mediated by a type I hypersensitivity reaction, and on the other hand, an NCAA of another etiology (such as bacterial infection, endoluminal obstruction, foreign body, parasites...) that invariably will progress to CAA (i.e by a decrease in parietal tissue perfusion pressure secondary to endoluminal obstruction leading to gangrene or by action of local metalloproteases with subsequent appendicular perforation). At present, we believe that there is not enough evidence to discriminate which NCAA could have a strictly immunoallergic behavior and be self-limiting and which NCAA (even having an immunoallergic etiology) will progress to CAA, except for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

those with an obvious underlying cause, such as an endoluminal appendicolith. K P Aravindan, the first author to postulate this possible etiopathogenesis for AA, stated that one explanation is that the infection was a later consequence of a type I hypersensitivity reaction [31]. If this were the case, an early rupture of the type I hypersensitivity reaction could constitute an effective and early treatment for AA in the future.

If these findings were confirmed, they would be vital since they could imply treating cases of NCAA associated with a hypersensitivity type I reaction with drugs such as antihistamines or corticosteroids: this would represent a colossal paradigm shift in our understanding and treatment of the disease. However, before considering this scenario, we must develop prospective multicenter studies to confirm and validate our findings, focusing on improving the discriminatory capacity of the subgroup of patients with an underlying type I hypersensitivity reaction. Likewise, the high recurrence rates of AA in conservatively managed patients are compatible with this hypothesis, given that the type I hypersensitivity reaction can be reproduced upon new antigenic exposure [32].

The present study has important strengths, such as a solid methodology supported by the PRISMA guidelines and the performance of multiple meta-analytical models. Likewise, the low heterogeneity obtained in some of these models should be considered an additional strength. However, it also has significant limitations, such as the low sample size of some of the studies, the heterogeneity in the definition of the control groups, or the retrospective nature of some of the studies included. Likewise, the methodological heterogeneity in the reported histological evaluations constitutes a significant limitation.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

In conclusion, type I hypersensitivity reactions appear to play a role in developing NCAA. Future studies are needed to confirm these findings and allow stratification of these patients since they could benefit from specific therapeutic targets.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flowchart of the search and selection process.

Figure 2. Forest plot of the random-effects meta-analysis evaluating the relationship between IgE-mediated allergy and the development of CAA (Mantel-Haenszel method).

Figure 3. Funnel plot concerning the random-effects meta-analysis evaluating the relationship between IgE-mediated allergy and the development of CAA.

Figure 4. Forest plot of the random-effects meta-analysis for serum interleukins (NCAA vs. CAA) (Inverse variance method). A) serum IL-4; B) serum IL-5; C) serum IL-9; D) serum IL-13.

Figure 5. Forest plot of the random-effects meta-analysis for total eosinophil count in peripheral blood (Inverse variance method). A) AA vs. CG; B) NCAA vs. CAA.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References

[1]. Lotfollahzadeh S, Lopez RA, Deppen JG. Appendicitis. 2024 Feb 12. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan–. PMID: 29630245.

[2]. Chang PC, Lin SC, Duh YC, Huang H, Fu YW, Hsu YJ, Wei CH. Should single-incision laparoscopic appendectomy be the new standard for pediatric appendicitis? Pediatr Neonatol. 2020 Aug;61(4):426-431. doi: 10.1016/j.pedneo.2020.03.013. Epub 2020 Apr 6. PMID: 32317218.

[3]. Arredondo Montero J, Antona G, Rivero Marcotegui A, Bardají Pascual C, Bronte Anaut M, Ros Briones R, Fernández-Celis A, López-Andrés N, Martín-Calvo N. Discriminatory capacity of serum interleukin-6 between complicated and uncomplicated acute appendicitis in children: a prospective validation study. World J Pediatr. 2022 Dec;18(12):810-817. doi: 10.1007/s12519-022-00598-2. Epub 2022 Sep 16. PMID: 36114365; PMCID: PMC9617836.

[4]. Octavius GS, Muljono MP, Budiputri CL. Serum pentraxin-3 in diagnosing acute appendicitis in patients with acute abdominal pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy. Surgery. 2023 May;173(5):1122-1128. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2023.01.007. Epub 2023 Feb 23. PMID: 36828742.

[5]. Abbas M, Moussa M, Akel H. Type I Hypersensitivity Reaction. 2023 Jul 17. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan–. PMID: 32809396.

[6]. Kuga T, Taniguchi S, Inoue T, Zempo N, Esato K. Immunocytochemical analysis of cellular infiltrates in human appendicitis. Surg Today. 2000;30(12):1083-8. doi: 10.1007/s005950070005. PMID: 11193739.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

[7]. Wu H, Denna TH, Storkersen JN, Gerriets VA. Beyond a neurotransmitter: The role of serotonin in inflammation and immunity. Pharmacol Res. 2019 Feb;140:100-114. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2018.06.015. Epub 2018 Jun 25. PMID: 29953943.

[8]. Arredondo Montero J, Bueso Asfura OE, Pérez Riveros BP, López Burgos E, Rico Jiménez M. Diagnostic performance of urinary 5-Hydroxyindoleacetic Acid in acute appendicitis: a systematic review and diagnostic test accuracy meta-analysis. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2023 Nov 20;38(1):269. doi: 10.1007/s00384-023-04556-w. PMID: 37982905.

[9]. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021 Mar 29;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71. PMID: 33782057; PMCID: PMC8005924.

[10]. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010 Sep;25(9):603-5. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z. Epub 2010 Jul 22. PMID: 20652370.

[11]. Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2014 Dec 19;14:135. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-135. PMID: 25524443; PMCID: PMC4383202.

[12]. Harlak A, Gulec M, Mentes O, Kilbas Z, Onguru O, Acikel C, Caliskaner Z, Erel F. Atopy is a risk factor for acute appendicitis? A prospective clinical study. J Gastrointest Surg. 2008 Jul;12(7):1251-6. doi: 10.1007/s11605-008-0511-1. Epub 2008 Mar 19. PMID: 18350341.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

[13]. Santosh G, Aravindan KP. Evidence for eosinophil degranulation in acute appendicitis. Indian J Pathol Microbiol. 2008 Apr-Jun;51(2):172-4. doi: 10.4103/0377-4929.41642. PMID: 18603674.

[14]. Kolur A, Patil A, Agarwal V, Yendigiri S, Sajjanar BB. The Significance of Mast Cells and Eosinophils Counts in Surgically Resected Appendix. J Interdiscipl Histopathol 2014.

[15]. Karakuş E, Azili MN, Karabulut B, Bayram Kabaçam G, Karakuş R. Is there a role of interstitial cells of Cajal and mast cells and eosinophils in appendicitis in children? Turk J Med Sci. 2015;45(4):800-3. doi: 10.3906/sag-1408-21. PMID: 26422849.

[16]. Cevizci MN, Sogut A, Cayir A, Demir M, Ozman SA, Erdoğan F. The Place of Atopy in the Aetiology of Acute Appendicitis in Children. West Indian Med J. 2016 Feb 15;65(2):287-290. doi: 10.7727/wimj.2015.005. PMID: 28358448.

[17]. Minderjahn MI, Schädlich D, Radtke J, Rothe K, Reismann M. Phlegmonous appendicitis in children is characterized by eosinophilia in white blood cell counts. World J Pediatr. 2018 Oct;14(5):504-509. doi: 10.1007/s12519-018-0173-3. Epub 2018 Jul 24. Erratum in: World J Pediatr. 2019 Dec;15(6):627-629. doi: 10.1007/s12519-018-0185-z. PMID: 30043224.

[18]. Salö M, Gudjonsdottir J, Omling E, Hagander L, Stenström P. Association of IgE-Mediated Allergy With Risk of Complicated Appendicitis in a Pediatric Population. JAMA Pediatr. 2018 Oct 1;172(10):943-948. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.1634. PMID: 30083704; PMCID: PMC6233766.

[19]. Carvalho N, Barros A, Coelho HO, Moita CF, Neves-Costa A, Pedroso D, Borges FC, Moita LF, Costa PM. A Th2 Cytokine Profile in Appendicular Lavage Fluid Suggests Allergy as a Possible Etiology for Acute Appendicitis. Mediators Inflamm. 2019 Oct 28;2019:8146257. doi: 10.1155/2019/8146257. PMID: 31772507; PMCID: PMC6854935.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

[20]. Kim SY, Oh DJ, Park B, Park IS, Choi HG. Increased risk of appendectomy in patients with asthma: A nested case-control study using a national sample cohort. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019 Sep;98(38):e17203. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000017203. PMID: 31567971; PMCID: PMC6756725.

[21]. Mohan BP, Aravindan KP. Enterochromaffin Cells and Mast Cells in Acute Appendicitis. J Lab Physicians. 2020 Aug;12(2):141-146. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1716476. Epub 2020 Sep 1. PMID: 32905235; PMCID: PMC7466542.

[22]. Carvalho N, Barros A, Coelho H, Cóias A, Botelho P, Cismasiu B, Moita L, Costa P. Increased IgE Deposition in Appendicular Tissue Specimens Is Compatible with a Type I Hypersensitivity Reaction in Acute Appendicitis. Mediators Inflamm. 2021 Oct 18;2021:4194859. doi: 10.1155/2021/4194859. PMID: 34707461; PMCID: PMC8545569.

[23]. Omling E, Salö M, Stenström P, Merlo J, Gudjonsdottir J, Rudolfson N, Hagander L. Nationwide paediatric cohort study of a protective association between allergy and complicated appendicitis. Br J Surg. 2021 Dec 1;108(12):1491-1497. doi: 10.1093/bjs/znab326. PMID: 34689186; PMCID: PMC10364888.

[24]. Carvalho N, Carolino E, Coelho H, Cóias A, Trindade M, Vaz J, Cismasiu B, Moita C, Moita L, Costa PM. IL-5 Serum and Appendicular Lavage Fluid Concentrations Correlate with Eosinophilic Infiltration in the Appendicular Wall Supporting a Role for a Hypersensitivity Type I Reaction in Acute Appendicitis. Int J Mol Sci. 2022 Dec 1;23(23):15086. doi: 10.3390/ijms232315086. PMID: 36499410; PMCID: PMC9738821.

[25]. Gudjonsdottir J, Roth B, Lovén G, Ohlsson B, Hagander L, Salö M. An Evaluation of Serum IgE and Th2-Associated Interleukins in Children With Uncomplicated and Complicated Appendicitis.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Front Pediatr. 2022 May 2;10:884138. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.884138. PMID: 35586830; PMCID: PMC9108389.

[26]. Carvalho N, Carolino E, Coelho H, Barreira AL, Moreira L, André M, Henriques S, Cardoso C, Moita L, Costa PM. Eosinophil Granule Proteins Involvement in Acute Appendicitis-An Allergic Disease? Int J Mol Sci. 2023 May 22;24(10):9091. doi: 10.3390/ijms24109091. PMID: 37240441; PMCID: PMC10219475.

[27]. Zhang, Z., Wang, L., Xie, C. et al. Relationship between IgE-mediated allergy and complicated appendicitis in children. Pediatr Surg Int 39, 223 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00383-023-05497-9

[28]. Zhang T, Cheng Y, Zhou Y, Zhang Z, Qi S, Pan Z. Diagnostic performance of type I hypersensitivity-specific markers combined with CRP and IL-6 in complicated acute appendicitis in pediatric patients. Int Immunopharmacol. 2023 Nov;124(Pt B):110977. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110977. Epub 2023 Sep 27. PMID: 37774482.

[29]. Carvalho N, Carolino E, Ferreira M, Coelho H, Santos CR, Barreira AL, Henriques S, Cardoso C, Moita L, Costa PM. Tryptase in Acute Appendicitis: Unveiling Allergic Connections through Compelling Evidence. Int J Mol Sci. 2024 Jan 29;25(3):1645. doi: 10.3390/ijms25031645. PMID: 38338923; PMCID: PMC10855922.

[30]. Hasassri ME, Jackson ER, Ghawi H, Ryoo E, Wi CI, Bartlett MG, Volcheck GW, Moir CR, Ryu E, Juhn YJ. Asthma and Risk of Appendicitis in Children: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. Acad Pediatr. 2017 Mar;17(2):205-211. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2016.09.006. Epub 2016 Dec 8. PMID: 27964827; PMCID: PMC5337436.

[31]. Aravindan KP. Eosinophils in acute appendicitis: possible significance. Indian J Pathol Microbiol. 1997 Oct;40(4):491-8. PMID: 9444860.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.11.24308763; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

[32]. St. Peter, Shawn D. and Noel-MacDonnell, Janelle R. and Hall, Nigel J. and Eaton, Simon and Suominen, Janne S. and Wester, Tomas and Svensson, Jan F. and Almström, Markus and Muenks, E. Pete and Beaudin, Marianne and Piché, Nelson and Brindle, Mary and MacRobie, Ali and Keijzer, Richard and Lilja, Helene Engstrand and RN, Ann-Marie Kassa and Jancelewicz, Tim and Butter, Andreana and Davidson, Jacob and Skarsgard, Erik and Te Lu, Yap and Nah, Shireen and Willan, Andrew R. and Pierro, Agostino, Appendectomy Versus Antibiotics for Acute Uncomplicated Appendicitis in Children: An International, Multicenter, Non-Inferiority, Randomized Trial. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4835829 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4835829


Identification of studies via databases and registers
Identification
Records identified from
databases (n = 203)
Scopus (n = 15)
PubMed (n = 113)
Web of Science (n = 14)
OVID (n = 61)
Records removed before screening:
Duplicate records removed (n =35)
Records marked as ineligible by automation tools (n = 0)
Records removed for other reasons (n = 0)
Screening
Records screened
(n = 168)
Records excluded
(n = 148)
Reports sought for retrieval
(n = 20)
Reports not retrieved
(n = 0)
Reports assessed for eligibility
(n = 20)
Reports excluded = 1
Wrong study design (n=1)
Included
Studies included in review
(n = 19)

Study or Subgroup	CAA Events	CAA Total	NCAA Events	NCAA Total	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
Carvalho et al. (2021)	4	27	6	36	4.6%	0.87 [0.22, 3.45]
Carvalho et al. (2024)	1	21	2	20	1.5%	0.45 [0.04, 5.39]
Gudjonsdottir et al. (2022)	5	58	15	80	7.0%	0.41 [0.14, 1.20]
Omlig et al. (2021)	173	1121	1178	5246	38.6%	0.63 [0.53, 0.75]
Salö et al. (2018)	20	256	82	349	19.8%	0.28 [0.16, 0.46]
Zhang et al. (2023)	57	517	105	639	28.5%	0.63 [0.45, 0.89]
Total (95% CI)		**2000**		**6370**	**100.0%**	**0.52 [0.38, 0.72]**
Total events	260		1388			

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.06; Chi² = 9.72, df = 5 (P = 0.08); I² = 49%

Test for overall effect: Z = 4.07 (P < 0.0001)




SE(log[OR])
0
0.5
1
1.5
2
OR
0.01
0.1
1
10
100

Study or Subgroup	NCAA Mean	NCAA SD	NCAA Total	CAA Mean	CAA SD	CAA Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Gudjonsdottir et al. (2022)	0.37	0.3	80	0.44	0.38	58	6.0%	-0.07 [-0.19, 0.05]
Zhang et al. (2023)	0.14	0.09	97	0.16	0.08	43	94.0%	-0.02 [-0.05, 0.01]
Total (95% CI)			**177**			**101**	**100.0%**	**-0.02 [-0.05, 0.01]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.65, df = 1 (P = 0.42); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.56 (P = 0.12)



A) Random effects model for serum IL-4 (NCAA vs CAA)

Study or Subgroup	NCAA Mean	NCAA SD	NCAA Total	CAA Mean	CAA SD	CAA Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Carvalho et al. (2022)	13.03	6.6	38	14.2	6.28	27	12.9%	-1.17 [-4.33, 1.99]
Zhang et al. (2023)	6.27	3.26	97	6.58	3.44	43	87.1%	-0.31 [-1.53, 0.91]
Total (95% CI)			**135**			**70**	**100.0%**	**-0.42 [-1.56, 0.71]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.25, df = 1 (P = 0.62); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.73 (P = 0.47)



B) Random effects model for serum IL-5 (NCAA vs CAA)

Study or Subgroup	NCAA Mean	NCAA SD	NCAA Total	CAA Mean	CAA SD	CAA Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Gudjonsdottir et al. (2022)	1.44	0.98	80	2.03	1.6	58	33.5%	-0.59 [-1.05, -0.13]
Zhang et al. (2023)	0.93	0.47	97	1.2	0.93	43	66.5%	-0.27 [-0.56, 0.02]
Total (95% CI)			**177**			**101**	**100.0%**	**-0.38 [-0.67, -0.08]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 1.30, df = 1 (P = 0.25); I² = 23%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.50 (P = 0.01)



C) Random effects model for serum IL-9 (NCAA vs CAA)

Study or Subgroup	NCAA Mean	NCAA SD	NCAA Total	CAA Mean	CAA SD	CAA Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Gudjonsdottir et al. (2022)	16.37	10.64	80	32	34.67	58	7.8%	-15.63 [-24.85, -6.41]
Zhang et al. (2023)	7.54	3.95	97	18.5	8.57	43	92.2%	-10.96 [-13.64, -8.28]
Total (95% CI)			**177**			**101**	**100.0%**	**-11.32 [-13.90, -8.75]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.91, df = 1 (P = 0.34); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 8.63 (P < 0.00001)



D) Random effects model for serum IL-13 (NCAA vs CAA)

Study or Subgroup	AA Mean	AA SD	AA Total	CG Mean	CG SD	CG Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Carvalho et al. (2022)	0.086	0.09	38	0.118	0.173	18	3.6%	-0.03 [-0.12, 0.05]
Carvalho et al. (2023)	0.147	0.174	22	0.086	0.164	14	2.1%	0.06 [-0.05, 0.17]
Cevizci et al. (2016)	0.131	0.06	12	0.118	0.04	124	21.6%	0.01 [-0.02, 0.05]
Harlak et al. (2007)	0.1	0.07	111	0.08	0.07	100	72.7%	0.02 [0.00, 0.04]
Total (95% CI)			**183**			**256**	**100.0%**	**0.02 [0.00, 0.03]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 2.01, df = 3 (P = 0.57); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.12 (P = 0.03)



A) Random effects meta-analysis for TEC (AA vs CG)

Study or Subgroup	CAA Mean	CAA SD	CAA Total	NCAA Mean	NCAA SD	NCAA Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Carvalho et al. (2022)	0.04	0.039	27	0.068	0.09	38	38.5%	-0.03 [-0.06, 0.00]
Carvalho et al. (2023)	0.03	0.055	24	0.147	0.174	22	16.4%	-0.12 [-0.19, -0.04]
Minderjahn et al. (2018)	0.046	0.104	252	0.11	0.19	395	45.1%	-0.06 [-0.09, -0.04]
Total (95% CI)			**303**			**455**	**100.0%**	**-0.06 [-0.10, -0.02]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 5.86, df = 2 (P = 0.05); I² = 66%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.13 (P = 0.002)



B) Random effects meta-analysis for TEC (NCAA vs CAA)