Genetic surveillance of *Plasmodium falciparum* populations following treatment policy revisions in the Greater Mekong Subregion

Varanya Wasakul[1], Tess D Verschuuren[1], Nguyen Thuy-Nhien[2], Ethan Booth[1], Huynh Hong Quang[3], Ngo Duc Thang[4], Keobouphaphone Chindavongsa[5], Siv Sovannaroth[6], Virasak Banouvong[5], Viengphone Sengsavath[5], Mayfong Mayxay[7,8,9], Nguyen Thi Kim Tuyen[2], Vo Ngoc Lam Phuong[2], Pham Duc Trung[2], Sónia Gonçalves[10], Soun Chen[6], Sonexay Phalivong[7], Saiamphone Xayvanghang[7], Supaporn Mahaphontrakoon[1], Richard D Pearson[10], Paul N Newton[7,9], Richard J Maude[1,9,11], Elizabeth A Ashley[7,9], Cristina V Ariani[10], Victoria J Simpson[10], Nicholas P Day[1,9], Arjen M Dondorp[1,9], Olivo Miotto[1,9*]

[1]Mahidol Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

[2]Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

[3]Institute of Malariology, Parasitology and Entomology, Quy Nhon, Vietnam

[4]National Institute of Malariology, Parasitology and Entomology, Hanoi, Vietnam

[5]Centre for Malariology, Parasitology, and Entomology, Vientiane, Lao PDR

[6]National Center for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

[7]Lao-Oxford-Mahosot Hospital-Wellcome Trust Research Unit, Microbiology Laboratory, Mahosot Hospital, Vientiane, Lao PDR

[8]Institute of Research and Education Development, University of Health Sciences, Ministry of Health, Vientiane, Lao PDR

[9]Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

[10]Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK

[11]The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK

* Corresponding author, e-mail: olivo@tropmedres.ac

ABSTRACT

Genetic surveillance of *Plasmodium falciparum* (*Pf*) can track antimalarial-resistant strains, to inform decision-making by National Malaria Control Programmes (NMCPs). The GenRe-Mekong project prospectively collected 5,982 samples in the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) between 2017 and 2022, genotyping drug resistance markers, and barcodes that recapitulate genetic variation. Genotypes were analysed with the grcMalaria R package, designed to translate genetic epidemiology data into actionable visual information. Since 2020, *Pf* incidences decreased rapidly, accompanied by a decline of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PPQ) resistant lineages, previously dominant in the eastern GMS. The frequency of *plasmepsin2/3* amplifications, conferring piperaquine resistance, dropped from 62% in 2017-2019 to 2% in 2022, coinciding with a switch in frontline therapy in Cambodia, Thailand, and Vietnam. While regional artemisinin resistance levels remained high, no evidence of emerging mefloquine resistance was found. Routine genetic surveillance proved valuable in monitoring rapid parasite population changes in response to public health interventions, providing actionable information for NMCPs.

Key words: malaria, *Plasmodium falciparum*, genetic surveillance, drug resistance, genetic epidemiology, Greater Mekong Subregion.

INTRODUCTION

Plasmodium falciparum (*Pf*), a causative agent of malaria, is responsible for more than 500,000 deaths per year, mainly in young African children.[1] Since the turn of the century, mortality has reduced, partly thanks to the availability of efficacious artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs), currently the first-line treatment in most endemic countries. However, parasites resistant to artemisinin and/or one of its ACT partner drugs have been reported in several regions, and have been circulating in the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) for over 15 years.[2-8] One of the tools used to counteract the spread of drug resistance is genetic surveillance,[9,10] which can be used to map molecular markers of resistance and conduct population-level analyses. The resulting information provides public health authorities with actionable knowledge that supports malaria control and elimination use cases, such as selecting antimalarial drug policies, monitoring drug resistance, and responding to outbreaks.[11]

One of the most critical priorities for National Malaria Control Programmes (NMCPs) in the GMS is to monitor and respond to population-level changes in antimalarial drug resistance. The spread of multidrug-resistant KEL1/PLA1 strains in the eastern GMS since 2008, resulted to widespread failures of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PPQ), which was the frontline treatment in Cambodia, Thailand and Vietnam.[12,13] As recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), NMCPs in these countries switched to alternative first-line ACTs once DHA-PPQ efficacy fell below the recommended threshold of 90%:[14,15] Cambodia adopted a phased re-introduction of artesunate-mefloquine (AS-MQ) in 2017,[16-19] while five provinces of Vietnam and two provinces of north-eastern Thailand adopted artesunate-pyronaridine (AS-PYR) in 2020.[18-23] The Lao People's Democratic Republic (also known as Lao PDR or Laos) continued the use of artemether-lumefantrine (AL), since its efficacy remained satisfactory.[19,22,24]

The GenRe-Mekong project was established to conduct malaria genetic surveillance in partnership with NMCPs and local researchers in the GMS.[9] Parasitized dried blood spots (DBS) are collected from patients presenting at one of the dozens of partner public health facilities which form the sampling

framework. DBS are then processed using the SpotMalaria amplicon sequencing platform to extract

extensive genetic profiles known as Genetic Report Cards (GRC), which in turn are analysed at

population level to produce reports that are returned to NMCPs. SpotMalaria targets specific

genotypes associated with antimalarial resistance (Supplementary Table 1),[9] and profiles each sample

with a set of polymorphisms- known as a genetic barcode- that is informative of genetic structure and

diversity in *Pf* populations.[9,25-27] To accelerate the integration of the genetic surveillance data into

public health decision-making processes, NMCPs are supported with technical, genetic, and domain

knowledge, to assist with data interpretation. An essential informatic tool used in these analyses is

the grcMalaria library, developed by the GenRe-Mekong project and presented in this article. This R

package turns genomic data into meaningful graphical visualizations that support NMCP activities,

such as geographical maps of malaria resistance prevalence, diversity and relatedness. The tool is easy

to use, requiring very few lines of coding, and returns results rapidly as image and spreadsheet files,

making geospatial analyses accessible to a wide range of users with different backgrounds.

We presented early results from the GenRe-Mekong project in a previous publication.[9] Here, we

provide an update, describing changes in antimalarial drug resistance epidemiology in the GMS, based

on an analysis of 5,982 *Pf* dried blood spot samples collected by GenRe-Mekong from symptomatic

patients in Cambodia, Laos, and Vietnam between 2017 and 2022, a period of time during which

several NMCPs changed their malaria frontline treatment policies.

METHODS

The procedures, operations and technical aspects of the GenRe-Mekong project were detailed in a

previous publication;[9] here, we briefly summarize the salient points.

Sample collection.

Samples were collected from symptomatic patients of all ages who had been confirmed positive for

Pf by positive rapid diagnostic test or blood smear microscopy. Two or three 20 µL DBS were collected

on filter paper by finger-prick, labelled with a unique anonymous identifier, and stored in plastic bags

with silica gel before being shipped to the laboratories. In Laos, samples were collected in 5 provinces

by the Center for Malariology, Parasitology, and Entomology (CMPE) and the Lao-Oxford-Mahosot

Hospital-Wellcome Trust Research Unit (LOMWRU); in Vietnam, sampling was carried out from 11

provinces by the Institute of Malariology Parasitology and Entomology Quy Nhon (IMPE-QN), the

National Institute of Malariology, Parasitology and Entomology (NIMPE) and the Oxford University

Clinical Research Unit (OUCRU); and in Cambodia, samples were collected in 5 provinces by the

National Center for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control (CNM) (Supplementary Table 2). In

each country, the samples networks were planned by the NMCP, who selected and enrolled sites in

collaboration with the relevant provincial health authorities. Staff training was delivered jointly by

NMCP officers, provincial health officers and GenRe-Mekong staff. Ethical approvals were obtained

both from ethics committees in the country of collection, and from the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics

Committee (OxTREC), as previously detailed.[9]

Sample genotyping

DNA was extracted from DBS samples and processed using the SpotMalaria v2 amplicon sequencing

protocol as previously detailed.[9] Processing took place in GenRe-Mekong partner laboratories at

OUCRU, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam; or at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI), Hinxton, UK.

Supplementary amplification genotyping by qPCR was carried out by OUCRU. The data from

sequencing and qPCR were subsequently used to call genotypes for a standardized set of genotypes,

gene amplifications and haplotypes associated with resistance to several antimalarials; to construct

genetic barcodes consisting of 101 SNP selected for their ability to differentiate populations and their

power to recapitulate genetic distance; and to predict the drug resistance status of each sample.

Details of the genotyping pipeline and procedures are given in the Supplementary Methods; a list of

the drug resistance-related variants genotyped is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Data analysis and visualisation

To analyse and visualise data from the GRC, we used the grcMalaria package (version 2.0.0) running on R (version 4.2.3).[28] The GRC format requires structured geographical data, which is achieved by linking study locations to administrative divisions from GADM (Database of Global Administrative Areas)[29], a global catalogue of administrative divisions and their coordinates. The grcMalaria package processes standardised genetic surveillance data in the form of a GRC data file, a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet formatted according to the GenRe-Mekong GRC Data Dictionary (https://github.com/GenRe-Mekong/Documents/tree/main/GRC-DataDictionary). The grcMalaria package is not limited to analysing GMS surveillance data presented in this study but are capable of generating maps across different geographical regions using data in the GRC format, such as the global dataset from the MalariaGEN Pf7.[30]

Dedicated functions generate genetic epidemiology maps (including sample distribution, mutation prevalence, and drug resistance maps) and their associated data, which are delivered as image and spreadsheet files. Geographical structuring supports the aggregation of data at different levels of geographical granularity. In all analyses presented here, we aggregated samples at provincial level (First-level Administrative Division). To analyse *Pf* population changes over time, we partitioned our sample set into three periods (2017-2019, 2020-2021, 2022) characterized by different epidemiological scenarios. Sample distributions were mapped using the grcMalaria `mapSampleCounts` function. Malaria prevalence was calculated as the proportion of confirmed *Pf* cases reported to WHO over total number of tested cases.[31-33] To visualize the frequency of parasites resistant to a given antimalarial, we estimated resistance prevalence using the grcMalaria function `mapDrugResistancePrevalence`, which calculates the proportion of samples predicted as resistant, disregarding samples whose drug-resistant status could not be predicted (e.g. mixed infections and low-quality samples). Pie charts, representing allele proportions of drug-resistant markers by administrative division, were generated using the grcMalaria function `mapAlleleProportions`. Allele proportions at a given position were calculated by dividing the number of samples carrying each allele

by the total number of samples in the administrative division, disregarding samples carrying multiple

alleles and those missing a genotype at that position.

Seven loci of the chloroquine resistance transporter (*crt*) gene are associated with piperaquine

resistance (PPQ-R).[34] Four of these loci, including T93S, H97Y, I218F, G353V, were genotyped in the

SpotMalaria GRC using amplicon sequencing. Of the 5,982 samples presented in the manuscript, 3,066

had available genotypes at these positions. To obtain the remaining PPQ-R associated *crt* mutations,

we genotyped the relevant positions using whole-genome sequencing data, available for a large

subset of samples (n=1581), thus identifying additional genotypes at *crt* F145I and M343L. Due to the

technical complexity of *crt* genotyping, a proportion of samples yielded incomplete or missing

genotype data. Samples lacking genotype data at any of these loci were excluded from the frequency

calculation. In our sample set, no genetic variations were detected at position 350 of the *crt* gene, and

therefore we reported no analysis results for the WHO-listed mutation C350R.

Graphs were produced either by Microsoft Excel or by the R ggplot2 (version 3.5.1) library; [35] error

bars and shaded areas representing 95% confidence intervals were calculated using Wilson Interval

with corrections (BinomCI; DescTools R package version 0.99.54).[36]

Statistics

A Cochran-Armitage trend test was conducted to evaluate trends in the proportion of resistant

parasites across different periods (CochranArmitageTest; DescTools R package version 0.99.54).

Bonferroni post-hoc analyses between group pairs were performed to adjust for the increased risk of

Type I errors. Segmented regression analysis was applied to evaluate the impact of two policy changes

(frontline ACT switch and COVID-19 movement restrictions) on malaria prevalence and frequencies of

pm23 amplifications over time. Breakpoints corresponding to each intervention were identified using

the segmented package in R, allowing estimation of shifts in trend before and after each intervention

while accounting for time-dependent effects. Where segmented regression was not applicable, due

to the absence of policy changes, linear regression was applied to assess general trends over time.

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to assess relationship between non-normally

distributed malaria prevalence and PPQ-R frequencies (corr.test; stats R package). Logistic regression

with *kelch13* alleles as the dependent variable was used to evaluate association with *mdr1* haplotypes

(glm; stats R package).

Analysis of highly related parasites

Clusters of highly related parasites were identified based on pairwise genetic barcode similarity s,

obtained from the pairwise genetic distance d where $s=(1-d)$. Pairwise genetic distances between

two samples were computed by comparing the alleles carried by the two samples at each of the

barcoding SNPs, as previously described.[37] To minimize the influence of missingness on genetic

distance estimations, we only included high-quality samples (<25% barcode genotype missingness),

and high-quality barcoding SNPs (<20% samples with missing genotype), and applied a simple

imputation method at positions where the genotype was missing. At each missing genotype, the

imputation method used the pairwise genetic distance matrix to identified the 100 samples closest to

the sample being imputed, and assigned the most common allele observed in this group as the

imputed allele. Cluster detection was performed by the grcMalaria `findClusters` function, which

constructs a graph connecting sample pairs with s greater than a specified minimal threshold s_{min}, and

then applies the Louvain multilevel community detection algorithm.[38] We specified a genetic similarity

threshold of 95% (s_{min}=0.95) to cluster parasites with essentially identical genetic barcodes, while

allowing for low levels of genotyping error; clusters with fewer than 10 members were disregarded.

The proportion of the most prevalent clusters were visualised as pie charts, generated using the

grcMalaria `mapClusterSharing` function.

Data availability

This paper uses a subset of GenRe-Mekong data, which includes all samples collected by the project

during routine surveillance in the eastern GMS. The GenRe-Mekong project has made all genetic data

openly available at https://github.com/GenRe-Mekong/Data, including: the full GenRe-Mekong

dataset, containing 13,647 samples from eight countries; a subset that includes 5,982 *Pf* samples from

the present analysis; and a global dataset containing 16,203 high quality samples, derived from whole-

genome sequencing data from the MalariaGEN Pf7 data resource.[30] The datasets are provided in the

GRC format, along with a data dictionary for converting third-party data into GRC format for

compatibility with the grcMalaria library. Links to source code for the grcMalaria R package can be

found at https://github.com/malariagen/grcMalaria, and a comprehensive guide detailing its

functions is available at https://genremekong.org/tools/grcmalaria-r-package-user-guide. For quick

exploration of published data, an interactive web tool built on the grcMalaria R package is available at

https://genremekong.org/tools/grc-mapper. Sequence data for this study has been deposited in the

European Nucleotide Archive at EMBL-EBI under accession number PRJEB85801.

Role of the funding source

The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation,

or writing of the report.

RESULTS

Between January 2017 and December 2022, GenRe-Mekong collected and processed a total of 5,982

Pf samples from 21 provinces in three countries (Figure 1b, Supplementary Figure 1). In Vietnam, the

project processed 3,340 samples in 2017-2022 (32.7% of WHO-reported *Pf* infections); in Laos, it

processed 2,400 samples over the same period (16.2%); while in Cambodia, collections took place

between July 2020 and December 2022, producing 242 samples (15.5% of the WHO-reported

infections in the country) (Supplementary Figure 2).[31-33] A rapid decline in national *Pf* prevalence

occurred sequentially across the three neighbouring countries: first in Cambodia starting in 2018, then

in Laos from 2019, and finally in Vietnam from 2020 (Figure 1a). This decline in prevalence reflected a

decrease in the number of confirmed cases rather than a decline in the number of tests

(Supplementary Figure 3). The longitudinal prevalence trend, as reported by WHO,[31-33] has a strong

correspondence with the number of samples collected by the project in Laos and Vietnam, indicating

that routine surveillance data can serve as an indicator of *Pf* prevalence in these countries (Figure 1a).

As the number of cases declined over time, *Pf* populations became more spatially patchy. By 2022,

the majority of samples were concentrated in one province in each country: Attapeu in Laos, Gia Lai

in Vietnam, and Pursat in Cambodia (Supplementary Table 2); these provinces had consistently

exhibited the highest incidence within their respective countries in previous years. Lack of coverage

in certain provinces towards the end of our sampling period reflects underlying epidemiological trends

rather than a lack of collection effort: although sampling sites across the whole region were engaged,

the marked reduction in *Pf* cases led to some provinces not collecting any samples in the final period.

Given this evolution of the *Pf* epidemiological landscape, we opted to conduct longitudinal analyses

by partitioning our sample set into three time periods (2017–2019, 2020–2021, and 2022), which

reflect different epidemiological scenarios. Specifically, the period from 2020–2021 was a unique

phase characterized by policy changes and major external events (e.g., pandemic-related movement

restrictions), which differentiates it from both the earlier years and the year 2022. By separating these

three periods, we sought to capture and highlight temporal trends more effectively, and assess how

change factors have influenced *Pf* epidemiology.

DHA-PPQ resistance decline after changes in first-line treatments

We tracked the spread of DHA-PPQ resistant strains, KEL1/PLA1, by mapping the frequency of two key

markers: *pm23* amplifications conferring resistance to piperaquine (PPQ-R) and mutations in *kelch13*

gene associated with resistance to artemisinin (ART-R) as listed by the WHO (Supplementary Table

1).[34] In the 2017-2019 period, 62% (1,957/3,132) of all collected samples were predicted to be

resistant to DHA-PPQ, as they carried both markers (Figure 2a). Prior to that period, DHA-PPQ was

used as frontline treatment for uncomplicated malaria in Cambodia, Thailand and Vietnam, which led

to cross-border spread of the KEL1/PLA1 strain, resistant to both ACT components, across large areas

of the eastern GMS.[13] This strain also spread into the southern Lao provinces of Attapeu and

Champasak, where it introduced high levels of DHA-PPQ resistance, despite DHA-PPQ never being

selected as a national treatment.[9,37] Starting in 2017, Cambodia gradually switched its frontline

treatment from DHA-PPQ to AS-MQ,[17-19,22] while Thailand and Vietnam switched from DHA-PPQ to

other ACTs by 2020.[18,19,22,23] Following these changes, regional levels of DHA-PPQ predicted resistance

fell steeply, from 63% (1957/3132) to 30% (204/690) in 2020-2021, and 1% (2/278) in 2022 (Z = -23.9,

p<0.001) (Figure 2a). This was underpinned by a decline in predicted PPQ-R, dropping to 31%

(228/737) in 2020-2021, and 2% (5/316) in 2022 (Z = -24.5, p <0.001) (Figure 3a, Supplementary Figure

4).

Regional *Pf* prevalence shows a highly significant positive correlation with levels of predicted PPQ-R

estimated from the proportions of *pm23* amplifications (R = 0.64, p <0.001), where periods of lower

prevalence were generally characterized by lower PPQ-R proportions, suggesting that switching away

from the use of DHA-PPQ as the frontline ACT may have had an impact on prevalence (Supplementary

Figure 5). However, we cannot exclude other factors that may have contributed to the decline in the

number of cases: in particular, the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in travel and trade restrictions that

may have affected the transmission and spread of malaria parasites. To tease apart the impact of

these two factors, we applied segmented regression to analyze shifts in observable trends at each

intervention point; this analysis could only be conducted in Vietnam, where our data supported trend

analyses before and after the two interventions. Following the change of frontline ACT, a significant

reduction in prevalence was observed (β = -0.1069, p = 0.017), reversing the upward trend to a

negative slope. Although the pandemic-related lockdown policy also shifted the trajectory from

positive to negative, its immediate impact on prevalence was more modest and statistically non-

significant (β = -0.0526, p = 0.111). These results suggest that, although both interventions impacted

the decline in prevalence in Vietnam with robust explanatory power ($R^2 \approx 0.70$), the switch of frontline

ACT had a more pronounced and statistically significant impact on reduction (Supplementary Figure

6c). Data from Vietnam also revealed a strong correlation between predicted PPQ-R prevalence–

measured by *pm23* amplification–and changes in first-line treatment policy. Following the

replacement of DHA-PPQ with AS-PYR in five endemic Vietnamese provinces, we observed a reduction

in *Pf* prevalence and parasites with *pm23* amplifications within three months, ultimately leading to

the complete disappearance of PPQ-R parasites within 18 months (Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 6c).

The effect of frontline ACT changes could not be assessed in Cambodia, since their policy change took

place near the beginning of our study; Laos did not change its treatment policy during this period

(Supplementary Figure 6a, b). In both of these countries, lockdown restrictions during the pandemic

showed no significant effect on prevalence, nor on PPQ-R decline (all p >0.05).

In addition to *pm23* amplifications, seven *crt* mutations have been associated with reduced

susceptibility to piperaquine, either *in vivo* or *in vitro*.[34] To confirm the negative trend of PPQ-R

frequency in the region, we analysed the frequencies of these *crt* mutations, to investigate whether

they are present in parasites lacking the *pm23* amplification marker, and therefore predicted to be

sensitive to piperaquine. One associated mutation (C350R) was completely absent from our sample

set, while the remaining six mutations circulated at very low frequencies throughout the study period

(Supplementary Figure 7 and 8). No increase in the regional prevalence of these *crt* mutation was

observed as *pm23* amplifications decreased in frequency. Rather, the frequencies of the two most

common mutations (I218F and T93S) declined in parallel with those of the *pm23*. Moreover, *crt*

mutations were significantly more likely to be detected in the presence of *pm23* amplifications

(χ^2=245.2, p <0.001), suggesting these resistance markers typically co-occur rather than circulate

independently (Supplementary Figure 9). The only exception was the H97Y mutation in Cambodia,

which occurred more frequently in samples without *pm23* amplification; however, the declining trend

and low prevalence of H97Y (<10% frequency in 2022) are consistent with the waning of PPQ-R

(Supplementary Figure 10). The concordant evidence from multiple validated molecular markers-

pm23 amplifications and *crt* mutations- provides support for a regional decline in PPQ-R prevalence

during this study period.

Resistance to other antimalarials

ART-R levels remained high throughout the period analysed (Figure 3), except in some provinces at

the periphery of the endemic region (Savannakhet in Laos, Quang Tri and Ninh Thuan in Vietnam),

where most parasites remained susceptible to both artemisinin and piperaquine (Figure 2b,

Supplementary Figure 4), suggesting that local parasite populations were isolated from the spread of

DHA-PPQ resistant strains in the eastern GMS. Predicted mefloquine resistance (determined by

detection of amplifications of the *mdr1* gene[39]) remained low in the region across the study periods

(Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 11), even after Cambodia adopted AS-MQ as frontline therapy in

2017;[17] in 2022, we detected no samples with an *mdr1* amplification. Markers of resistance to the

historical drugs chloroquine, sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine remained high across the region

throughout the study period (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 12).

Distribution of *kelch13* allele variants across fragmented populations

It has been shown that ART-R strains in the eastern GMS originated from multiple founder populations

carrying different *kelch13* alleles.[40,41] Parasite strains carrying *kelch13* haplotypes inherited from those

early resistant populations persisted over time,[37] even though their geographical distribution and

prevalence often changed (Figure 5). In 2017-2019, the majority of ART-R parasites in the eastern GMS

carried the *kelch13* C580Y mutation (98%, 2,482/2,539), and 79% of these mutants (1,957/2,482) were

classified as KEL1/PLA1 (possessing both *kelch13* C580Y and *pm23* amplification) (Supplementary

Figure 13). However, since 2020 the dominance of KEL1/PLA1 waned, and other *kelch13* variants,

previously circulating at low frequency, expanded in the region. In the western provinces of Cambodia,

kelch13 Y493H increased in frequency, and eventually dominated the population in Pursat province in

2022 (Figure 5, Supplementary Table 3). In Laos, *kelch13* R539T mutants expanded in 2020-2021 in

Attapeu and Champasak provinces, causing an outbreak,[37] and subsequently subsided in 2022 to be

replaced by parasites possessing the *kelch13* C580Y mutation without *pm23* amplification in Attapeu.

In Vietnam, C580Y remained the dominant *kelch13* variant. We observed that the presence of these

ART-R *kelch13* alleles was strongly associated with *mdr1* haplotype NFD, characterized by the Y184F

mutation (β = 3.44, p<0.001), whereas wild-type *kelch13* parasites mostly carried the *mdr1* haplotypes

NYD (wild-type) and YYD (β = -5.14/-6.81, p<0.001) (Supplementary Figure 14).

Clustering of parasite populations

Expecting the changes in *kelch13* allele distributions to be a result of the change in prevalence of

circulating populations, we sought to examine population structure by clustering *Pf* barcodes by

similarity and examining the geographical distributions of the clusters. Clustering identical or near-

identical parasites (sharing at least 95% barcode similarity) identified 27 clusters with ≥20 members

(Table 1). In the period 2017-2019, four of the five largest clusters (KLV01, KLV02, KLV03 and KLV05),

accounting for 30% of samples (1,398/4,632), were found to carry both the *kelch13* C580Y mutation

and a *pm23* gene amplification, and thus likely to have emerged from the KEL1/PLA1 strain. Two of

these large clusters (KLV01 and KLV05) had considerable geographic spread across the eastern GMS

(Figure 6). Following the implementation of new frontline treatment policies, however, notable

changes occurred in the parasite populations. From 2020, clusters remained confined within single

countries, often restricted to single provinces, and populations of non-KEL1/PLA1 clusters began to

expand reaching high frequencies, as was the case for KLV04 and KLV07 in southern Laos and KLV12

in western Cambodia (Figure 6, Table 1). In Vietnam, the KEL1/PLA1-derived clusters KLV01 and KLV03

continued to circulate but lost their *pm23* amplification (Supplementary Table 4). By the third quarter

of 2021, none of the circulating clusters possessed the *pm23* amplification, and the Central Highlands

of Vietnam were dominated by the piperaquine-susceptible KLV03 population (Figure 6). Taken

together, these results suggest that the observed reduction in DHA-PPQ resistance in 2020-2021 can

be explained by the disappearance of KEL1/PLA1 clusters, or their loss of *pm23* amplification,

occurring after changes in first-line treatment policies.

DISCUSSION

In this study we analysed the genetic epidemiology of *Pf* in the eastern GMS through longitudinal surveillance between 2017 and 2022, updating our previously published results.[9] Our data shows that the revisions of first-line treatment policies in the region were followed by important changes in the epidemiological landscape, which included: a marked decline in *Pf* infections, the near disappearance of *pm23* amplifications and PPQ-R *crt* mutations from circulating strains, the fragmentation of the artemisinin-resistant populations, and the absence of *mdr1* amplifications that would otherwise threaten AS-MQ treatment efficacy.

The prevalence of DHA-PPQ resistant parasites collapsed from 62% in 2017-2019 to 1% in 2022, the timing coinciding with the adoption of new first-line treatment strategies in three countries in the eastern GMS. In the previous decade, the prolonged use of DHA-PPQ as frontline treatment resulted in massive selective pressure on the parasites, and a rapid spread of KEL1/PLA1 lineages across the eastern GMS.[12,13,42,43] The parasite population responded just as strikingly and rapidly to the release of pressure from changes in treatment guidelines as it did to the intense DHA-PPQ pressure from previous public health policies. It is likely that, in the absence of piperaquine pressure, *pm23* amplifications and *crt* mutations associated with piperaquine resistance are costly in terms of parasite fitness, and lead to a survival disadvantage in the absence of piperaquine pressure. A recent *in vitro* study supported this notion by demonstrating that cultured C580Y parasites spontaneously de-amplify *pm23* when piperaquine pressure is removed.[44] Thus, the change in policy appears to have produced two different effects on KEL1/PLA1 parasites: either caused them to succumb in competition with fitter ART-R strains, which previously had been circulating at low frequency alongside KEL1/PLA1;[37] or cause them to de-amplify *pm23* while retaining their ART-R phenotype, improving their fitness under the new circumstances.

The timeline of events also strongly suggests that the introduction of efficacious replacements for DHA-PPQ, particularly in Vietnam, had a statistically significant impact, contributing to a rapid collapse

in the overall number of cases.[17,22-24] Similarly, *Pf* prevalence in Cambodia started to decline rapidly in

2018 (WHO data, Figure 1) following a change in treatment policy starting in 2017. Although our

dataset does not cover Cambodian samples from 2017-2019, evidence from other studies shows that

the KEL1/PLA1 population underwent sustained growth until 2018, constituting 75-100% of parasites

in multiple parts of Cambodia in 2016-2018.[13] Thus, the decline in Cambodia's prevalence during 2018-

2019, before any COVID-19-related movement restrictions, was not only rapid and geographically

widespread but also strain-specific, as the decline of KEL1/PLA1 give way to other ART-R strains. In the

southernmost provinces of Laos, the presence of KEL1/PLA1 parasites was most likely due to

importation from neighbouring regions of Cambodia or Thailand, where they circulated at high

frequency.[13,43] Although these ART-R parasite had been successful in Laos despite DHA-PPQ not being

the treatment of choice, their numbers declined as their frequencies waned in Cambodia and Thailand.

It is also likely that movement restrictions due to the pandemic would have made a major contribution

in reducing parasite importation, further accelerating the demise of KEL1/PLA1 in Laos and facilitating

the emergence of other ART-R strains.[37]

Reassuringly, we detected very few samples with predicted resistance to mefloquine, and none in

Cambodia where this drug is now used as part of the first-line ACT. On multiple occasions since the

1990s, the use of mefloquine has intermittently led to reduced treatment efficacy in the GMS, due to

the emergence of parasites possessing *mdr1* amplifications.[45] However, between 2019 and 2021 we

found only 9 isolates with *mdr1* amplifications, all in the province of Attapeu in Laos; seven of these

belonged to the *kelch13* R539T strain responsible for a *Pf* outbreak in 2021.[37] It is possible that this

amplification was under selection in that population because it confers somewhat reduced sensitivity

to lumefantrine, the partner drug in the frontline ACT of choice in Laos.[46,47] Our data showed a strong

association between the *mdr1* NFD haplotype (N86, Y184F, D1246) and the ART-R *kelch13* variants (in

particular C580Y, R539T, Y493H and C469F). Since this haplotype has been implicated in reducing

susceptibility to antimalarials including piperaquine[48] and mefloquine,[49] it is possible that it is under

selection due to its effect on partner drug response.

Although we can predict resistance for a broad range of antimalarials, a limitation to the current study

is the lack of validated genetic markers of resistance to a number of ACT partner drugs, including

pyronaridine, used in Thailand and Vietnam, and lumefantrine, used in Laos. Pyronaridine is a

relatively new drug, used only as a partner drug, for which no resistance has been detected to date; a

recent meta-analysis of 5,711 patients found that failure rates remain below 5%.[50] Lumefantrine, on

the other hand, is used in oral co-formulations with artemether as first-line treatment in most African

countries. While some studies have described phenotypic effects of *mdr1* variants, evidence is not

strong, and *mdr1*-induced reductions of *in vitro* susceptibility have not been reconciled with *in vivo*

clinical outcomes.[51,52] Further research, such as a highly statistically powered genome-wide

association study (GWAS), is needed to identify markers that can track resistance to these drugs, an

urgent matter in view of the recent emergence of artemisinin-resistant parasites in eastern Africa.[53]

Since GenRe-Mekong supports public health agencies, our protocols were designed to facilitate

integration with routine healthcare in low-resource settings, which demands some trade-off. As a

result, the present analysis is limited to symptomatic patients presenting at public health facilities,

and excludes asymptomatic infections. We also acknowledge there are gaps in our coverage, due to

differences in implementation schedules between countries, and a focus on the districts selected by

NMCPs as having the greatest relevance. In spite of these limitations, we have shown that continued

systematic routine surveillance with dense territory coverage is informative about epidemiological

changes and allows comparisons of prevalence between regions and over time. Monitoring genetic

markers allows efficient and rapid tracking of resistance, at a lower cost than therapeutic efficacy

studies.[54] As the GMS moves closer to elimination, and the number of infected *Pf* patients dwindle,

parasite populations will continue to change rapidly, and longitudinal surveillance will be an important

tool for supporting agile interventions. At the same time, surveillance planning will become

increasingly challenging, given the difficulty of predicting the smaller outbreaks that can be expected

in the elimination phase. In this epidemiological scenario, genetic surveillance will focus on use cases

relevant to sustained elimination and prevention of reintroduction, such as detection of imported

foreign malaria infections and outbreak analyses.[11,55] Furthermore, as *Pf* incidence diminishes, NMCPs

will have to control other *Plasmodium* species, notably *P. vivax*, for which very few surveillance tools

are currently available. GenRe-Mekong is committed to supporting NMCPs in the GMS with genetic

surveillance tools for *P. vivax*, currently under development.

One of the key challenges of genetic epidemiology is that of translating genetic data into actionable

knowledge relevant to NMCP activities, since mutations and haplotypes have little value without an

understandable context in which they can be assessed.[11] We developed the grcMalaria software

library with the aim of increasing the accessibility of genetic epidemiology analysis in daily practice,

simplifying and reducing time needed for analyses tasks. This freely available tool focuses on mapping,

using administrative divisions that are meaningful to NMCPs, and supporting flexible selection of

samples and division of the dataset into time slices, producing parallel maps for comparison. The

library incorporated clustering algorithms that group samples by similarity and map the distribution

of these clusters, allowing users to distinguish local strains from those spreading across borders.

The results presented in this study emphasize the importance of regional strategies for selection of

treatment policies to mitigate the spread of antimalarial drug resistance, and stresses the value of

selecting different first-line treatment regimens in neighboring countries. For future malaria

elimination efforts, genetic surveillance will support public health authorities, informing strategic

planning in the final phases of elimination.

REFERENCES

World Health Organization. World Malaria Report 2022. (2022). <https://www.who.int/teams/global-malaria-programme/reports/world-malaria-report-2022>.

Denis, M. B. *et al.* Efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine for the treatment of uncomplicated *falciparum* malaria in northwest Cambodia. *Trop Med Int Health* **11**, 1800-1807 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2006.01739.x

Denis, M. B. *et al.* Surveillance of the efficacy of artesunate and mefloquine combination for the treatment of uncomplicated falciparum malaria in Cambodia. *Trop Med Int Health* **11**, 1360-1366 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2006.01690.x

van der Pluijm, R. W. *et al.* Determinants of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine treatment failure in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Cambodia, Thailand, and Vietnam: a prospective clinical, pharmacological, and genetic study. *Lancet Infect Dis* **19**, 952-961 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(19)30391-3

Mairet-Khedim, M. *et al.* Clinical and In Vitro Resistance of *Plasmodium falciparum* to Artesunate-Amodiaquine in Cambodia. *Clin Infect Dis* **73**, 406-413 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa628

Plucinski, M. M. *et al.* Efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine, artesunate-amodiaquine, and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Angola, 2015. *Malar J* **16**, 62 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-017-1712-4

Tumwebaze, P. K. *et al.* Decreased susceptibility of *Plasmodium falciparum* to both dihydroartemisinin and lumefantrine in northern Uganda. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6353 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33873-x

Dondorp, A. M. *et al.* Artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *N Engl J Med* **361**, 455-467 (2009).

Jacob, C. G. *et al.* Genetic surveillance in the Greater Mekong subregion and South Asia to support malaria control and elimination. *Elife* **10** (2021). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62997

Mayor, A. *et al.* Prospective surveillance study to detect antimalarial drug resistance, gene deletions of diagnostic relevance and genetic diversity of *Plasmodium falciparum* in Mozambique: protocol. *BMJ Open* **12**, e063456 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-063456

Miotto, O. Genetic Epidemiology Use Cases for Malaria Control Programmes: A Methodology. (2023). <https://www.malariagen.net/resource/genetic-epidemiology-use-cases-malaria-control-programmes-methodology/>.

Amato, R. *et al.* Genetic markers associated with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine failure in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Cambodia: a genotype-phenotype association study. *Lancet Infect Dis* **17**, 164-173 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(16)30409-1

Hamilton, W. L. *et al.* Evolution and expansion of multidrug-resistant malaria in southeast Asia: a genomic epidemiology study. *Lancet Infect Dis* **19**, 943-951 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(19)30392-5

14 World Health Organization. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria. (2015). <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/162441>.

15 Amaratunga, C. *et al.* Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Cambodia: a multisite prospective cohort study. *The Lancet. Infectious diseases* **16**, 357-365 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00487-9

16 World Health Organization. The Mekong Malaria Elimination programme. Accelerating malaria elimination in the Greater Mekong. (2022). <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-UCN-GMP-MME-2022.01>.

17 Cambodia: National Center for Parasitology, E. a. M. C. C. National Center for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control: National Malaria Programme Review 2019. (2019).

18 Peto, T. J. *et al.* Triple therapy with artemether-lumefantrine plus amodiaquine versus artemether-lumefantrine alone for artemisinin-resistant, uncomplicated falciparum malaria: an open-label, randomised, multicentre trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* **22**, 867-878 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00692-7

19 Imwong, M. *et al.* Evolution of Multidrug Resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*: a Longitudinal Study of Genetic Resistance Markers in the Greater Mekong Subregion. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **65**, e0112121 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01121-21

20 Vietnam Ministry of Health. National Guideline of Malaria Diagnosis and Treatment. (Hanoi, 2020).

21 Cao, V. A. T. *et al.* Exploring the feasibility of introducing triple artemisinin-based combination therapy in the malaria treatment policy in Vietnam. *Malaria Journal* **22**, 326 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04763-4

22 World Health Organizaion. Mekong malaria elimination programme: Accelerating malaria elimination in the Greater Mekong, bulletin 10. (2022).

23 Sudathip, P. *et al.* Progress and challenges of integrated drug efficacy surveillance for uncomplicated malaria in Thailand. *Malar J* **20**, 261 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03791-2

24 Simmalavong, N. *et al.* Expanding malaria diagnosis and treatment in Lao PDR: lessons learned from a public-private mix initiative. *Malar J* **16**, 460 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-017-2104-5

25 Daniels, R. F. *et al.* Modeling malaria genomics reveals transmission decline and rebound in Senegal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 7067-7072 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1505691112

26 Wong, W. *et al.* R (H): a genetic metric for measuring intrahost *Plasmodium falciparum* relatedness and distinguishing cotransmission from superinfection. *PNAS Nexus* **1**, pgac187 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgac187

27 Kattenberg, J. H. *et al.* Novel highly-multiplexed AmpliSeq targeted assay for Plasmodium vivax genetic surveillance use cases at multiple geographical scales. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **12**, 953187 (2022). https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.953187

28 R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, V., Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

29   Areas, G. A. *GADM database of Global Administrative Areas, version 2.0. [online] URL:*
www.gadm.org., 2012).

30   MalariaGen *et al.* Pf7: an open dataset of *Plasmodium falciparum* genome variation in 20,000
worldwide   samples.   *Wellcome   Open   Res*   **8**,   22   (2023).
https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.18681.1

31   World Health Organizaion. Mekong malaria elimination programme: epidemiology summary,
volume 4, October-December 2018. (2018).

32   World Health Organizaion. Mekong malaria elimination programme: epidemiology summary,
volume 12, October-December 2020. (World Health Organisation 2020).

33   World Health Organizaion. Mekong malaria elimination programme: epidemiology summary,
volume 20, October–December 2022. (World Health Organisation 2023).

34   Global Malaria Programme (GMP). Report on antimalarial drug efficacy, resistance and
response: 10 years of surveillance (2010-2019). (World Health Organisation, 2020).

35   H, W. Wickham H (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New
York. ISBN 978-3-319-24277-4, https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org. (2016).

36   A, S. DescTools: Tools for Descriptive Statistics. R package version 0.99.54,
https://andrisignorell.github.io/DescTools/. (2024).

37   Wasakul, V. *et al.* Malaria outbreak in Laos driven by a selective sweep for *Plasmodium*
falciparum kelch13 R539T mutants: a genetic epidemiology analysis. *The Lancet*
Infectious Diseases **23**, 568-577 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00697-1

38   Blondel, V. D., Guillaume, J.-L., Lambiotte, R. & Lefebvre, E. Fast unfolding of communities in
large networks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2008**, P10008 (2008).
https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/10/P10008

39   Price, R. N. *et al.* Mefloquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* and increased pfmdr1 gene
copy number. *Lancet* **364**, 438-447 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16767-6

40   Miotto, O. *et al.* Multiple populations of artemisinin-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* in
Cambodia. *Nature Genetics* **45**, 648-655 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2624

41   Miotto, O. *et al.* Genetic architecture of artemisinin-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nat*
Genet **47**, 226-234 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3189

42   Imwong, M. *et al.* Molecular epidemiology of resistance to antimalarial drugs in the Greater
Mekong subregion: an observational study. *Lancet Infect Dis* **20**, 1470-1480 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30228-0

43   Imwong, M. *et al.* The spread of artemisinin-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* in the Greater
Mekong subregion: a molecular epidemiology observational study. *Lancet Infect Dis* **17**, 491-
497 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30048-8

44   Mok, S. *et al.* Mapping the genomic landscape of multidrug resistance in *Plasmodium*
falciparum and its impact on parasite fitness. *Sci Adv* **9**, eadi2364 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adi2364

45   Lek, D. *et al.* Efficacy of three anti-malarial regimens for uncomplicated *Plasmodium*
falciparum malaria in Cambodia, 2009-2011: a randomized controlled trial and brief review.
Malar J **21**, 259 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-022-04279-3

46   Price, R. N. *et al.* Molecular and pharmacological determinants of the therapeutic response to
artemether-lumefantrine in multidrug-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Clin Infect*
Dis **42**, 1570-1577 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1086/503423

47   Sidhu, A. B. *et al.* Decreasing pfmdr1 copy number in *plasmodium falciparum* malaria
heightens susceptibility to mefloquine, lumefantrine, halofantrine, quinine, and artemisinin. *J*
Infect Dis **194**, 528-535 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1086/507115

48   Veiga, M. I. *et al.* Globally prevalent PfMDR1 mutations modulate *Plasmodium falciparum*
susceptibility to artemisinin-based combination therapies. *Nature Communications* **7**, 11553
(2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11553

49   Sisowath, C. *et al.* The role of pfmdr1 in *Plasmodium falciparum* tolerance to artemether-
lumefantrine in Africa. (2007).

50   Pryce, J., Taylor, M., Fox, T. & Hine, P. Pyronaridine-artesunate for treating uncomplicated
Plasmodium falciparum malaria. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **6**, CD006404 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006404.pub4

51   Lim, P. *et al.* Pfmdr1 copy number and arteminisin derivatives combination therapy failure in
falciparum malaria in Cambodia. *Malar J* **8**, 11 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-8-
11

52   Baraka, V. *et al.* Impact of treatment and re-treatment with artemether-lumefantrine and
artesunate-amodiaquine on selection of *Plasmodium falciparum* multidrug resistance gene-1
polymorphisms in the Democratic Republic of Congo and Uganda. *PLoS One* **13**, e0191922
(2018). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191922

53   Rosenthal, P. J. *et al.* The emergence of artemisinin partial resistance in Africa: how do we
respond? *Lancet Infect Dis* (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(24)00141-5

54   Nsanzabana, C. *et al.* Molecular assays for antimalarial drug resistance surveillance: A target
product profile. *PLOS ONE* **13** (2018). https://doi.org/ e0204347

55   Noviyanti, R. *et al.* Implementing parasite genotyping into national surveillance frameworks:
Feedback from control programmes and researchers in the Asia-Pacific region. *Malaria*
Journal **19**, 1-20 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03330-5

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (grant numbers OPP11188166,

OPP1204628, INV-001927) and by The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (grant

number QSE-M-UNOPS-MORU-20864-007-44). This research was funded in part by the Wellcome

Trust (grant number 204911). The authors wish to thank all the patients and guardians who generously

agreed to provide blood samples, and all public health and medical staff who participated in the

collection of those samples. Genome sequencing and genotyping was performed by the WSI and

OUCRU, and sequencing data processing was supported by the MalariaGEN Resource Centre. We

thank the staff of the WSI Sample Logistics, Sequencing, and Informatics facilities for their

contribution; Eleanor Drury for support in the sample processing pipeline.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

V.W., T.D.V. and O.M. conceived and designed the study. N.T-N., H.H.Q., N.D.T., K.C., S.S., S.M., V.B.,

V.S., M.M., N.T.K.T., V.N.L.P., S.C., S.P., and S.X. coordinated sample and data collection. P.D.T., N.T-

N., S.G., R.D.P., and C.V.A. processed samples, and generated genomic data. V.W., T.D.V., E.B., and

O.M. developed methods, analysed and interpreted data. V.J.S., P.N.N., E.A.A., R.J.M., N.P.D., A.M.D.,

and O.M. managed and coordinated the genetic surveillance project. V.W., T.D.V., E.B., and O.M.

wrote and revised the manuscript. V.W., T.D.V. and O.M. accessed and verified all the data. All authors

provided critical revision of the manuscript. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and

had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Temporal distribution of *P. falciparum* sample clusters. For each cluster, we show: the cluster label; the name by which the cluster was identified in previous studies, if any; in each year of collection, the proportion of samples that belong to the cluster; the proportion of samples predicted resistant to artemisinin (ART), piperaquine (PPQ), and mefloquine (MQ), chloroquine (CQ), sulfadoxine (SX) and pyrimethamine (PM); the majority *kelch13* variant carried by parasites in the cluster; and whether the cluster carries a KEL1/PLA1 haplotype. Only clusters with ≥20 members are shown; the full list of clusters can be found at Supplementary Table 5. Samples that were not assigned to a cluster with these parameters were labelled as 'not clustered'.

Cluster	Previous names	Proportion of samples in each year						Resistance						Main *kelch13*	KEL1/PLA1
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	ART	PPQ	MQ	CQ	SX	PM		
KLV01		5%	8%	21%	16%	4%		100%	89%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV02		1%	12%	18%	4%			100%	98%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV03			1%	13%	10%	16%	33%	100%	54%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV04	LAA1[a]	0%	0%	0%	18%	15%	4%	100%	0%	13%	100%	100%	100%	R539T	
KLV05		4%	4%	3%	3%	0%		100%	78%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV06		5%	3%	1%	1%			6%	1%	0%	100%	0%	100%	WT	
KLV07	LAA2[a] ; KH2[b]	1%	1%	0%	3%	10%	9%	100%	1%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	
KLV08		5%	2%	0%				100%	84%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV09		1%	1%	3%	4%			100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV10		3%	2%	0%	0%			1%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	WT	
KLV11				0%		14%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	WT	
KLV12						1%	16%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	Y493H	
KLV13		2%	1%	1%				0%	2%	0%	100%	100%	100%	WT	
KLV14		0%	1%	2%	1%			100%	85%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV15			2%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	WT	
KLV16		1%	1%			0%		8%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	WT	
KLV17			1%	0%	3%			0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	WT	
KLV18		2%	1%	0%				100%	97%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV19		0%	1%	0%				0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	WT	
KLV20			1%		0%			0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	WT	
KLV21			1%	0%				0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	WT	
KLV22		1%	0%	1%				0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	WT	
KLV23					1%	4%	1%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	
KLV24		1%	1%					100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV25		1%	1%	0%				75%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	
KLV26		0%		1%	1%			100%	94%	0%	100%	100%	100%	C580Y	Yes
KLV27		1%	0%	0%				0%	7%	0%	100%	100%	100%	WT	
Not clustered		55%	43%	30%	26%	26%	36%								
Total samples		1291	1894	1447	608	413	329								

[a] Wasakul, et al. [37]

[b] Miotto, et al. [40]

Figure 1. *P. falciparum* (*Pf*) **samples genotyped by GenRe-Mekong during the study period.**
a Quarterly counts of *Pf* samples collected and genotyped by the GenRe-Mekong project, presented
as bar charts. No routine surveillance was carried out by GenRe-Mekong in Cambodia in 2018 and
2019. Malaria prevalence, calculated as the proportion of confirmed *Pf* cases over the total number
of tested cases, as reported by WHO,[31-33] is shown as a black line in each chart. The prevalence scales
differ between countries. **b** Spatial distributions by province of *Pf* samples collected between 2017
and 2022. Markers are coloured by country, and marker size is proportional to sample size.

Figure 2. Predicted resistance to a) dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PPQ) and b) artemisinin at provincial level. Left: 2017-2019, middle: 2020-2021, right: January – December 2022. Resistance was predicted based on a published set of phenotype rules (Supplementary Table 1).[9] Marker colours reflects resistance prevalence, ranging from 0 to 1, where 0 means no parasites were predicted to be resistant, and 1 means 100% of the parasites in the province carried the relevant resistance markers. A marker appears when at least 2 samples were processed from the province.

Figure 3. Predicted regional antimalarial resistance from 2017 to 2022. Panels show the trend in proportions of samples predicted to be resistant to **a** artemisinin, piperaquine and mefloquine, **b** sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine and **c** chloroquine. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

633
634

Figure 4. Predicted levels of piperaquine resistance in five endemic provinces of Vietnam. The bar chart shows the quarterly numbers of samples (left axis) with wild-type (WT, navy) and *plasmepsin2/3* gene amplification (red), against the proportion of piperaquine resistance (PPQ-R) samples in five endemic provinces in Vietnam (right axis). Purple shaded area shows 95% confidence interval of the PPQ-R proportion estimate. Q1: January-March; Q2: April-June; Q3: July-September; Q4: October-December. The bar below the graph shows first-line treatment policy for uncomplicated *P. falciparum* in Vietnam, showing the timeline of transition from dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PPQ) to pyronaridine-artesunate (AS-PYR). *AS-PYR was adopted in 5 endemic provinces (Binh Phuoc, Dak Nong, Gia Lai, Dak Lak, and Phu Yen) [20,21].

Figure 5. Prevalence of *kelch13* alleles between 2017 and 2022. The pie chart shows the proportions of *kelch13* alleles in each province where samples were collected. Pie chart size represents the number of samples from the province (*N*). Wild-type (WT) parasites are predicted to be sensitive to artemisinin. All but two of the *kelch13* alleles detected in this study have been associated with delayed parasite clearance, and thus predictive of artemisinin resistance. Since the two rare alleles G357S and G544R are not in World Health Organization's validated marker list, their association with artemisinin resistance is undetermined.

Figure 6. Proportion of the most prevalent *P. falciparum* clusters in each province across three periods. Left panel: 2017-2019, middle: 2020-2021, right: January-December 2022. Clusters were identified by applying community detection algorithm to a graph of parasites sharing at least 95% genetic barcode identity, identifying 64 clusters with at least 10 members. In 2017-2019, 54 clusters were present, while 14 clusters were present in 2020-2021 and only four clusters were found in 2022. Pie chart size represents the sample size for the province (*N*). To improve visualization, the top six clusters in each period were assigned colours, while the remaining smaller clusters are shown in gray. White segments represent the proportions of samples that were not assigned to a cluster. In the legend, clusters are arranged in descending order of cluster size for each period. The main *kelch13* variant observed in the cluster and a label denoting *plasmepsin2/3* amplification (p+) or *plasmepsin2/3* wild-type (p-), are shown in brackets following the cluster name.