An immune System for the City: A New Paradigm for Surveillance and Control of Disease Vectors

Michael Z Levy[1,2], Laura D. Tamayo[2], Carlos E. Condori-Pino[2],Claudia Arevalo-Nieto[2], Ricardo Castillo-Neyra[1,2], and Valerie A. Paz-Soldan[2,3]

[1]Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

[2]Zoonotic Disease Research Laboratory, One Health Unit, School of Public Health, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Arequipa, Perú

[3]Department of Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease, Tulane University, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, Louisiana, United States of America

Keywords: Vector Control, Complex Systems, Chagas Disease

Correspondence: mzlevy@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

Abstract

Vector-borne pathogens continue to emerge, kill and harm humans with unrelenting regularity. Conventional strategies to controlling insect vectors grew out of the military; communication is hierarchical, responses unilateral, and regulation predetermined. We developed an alternative approach, modeled after the adaptive immune system, and compared the approaches through a cluster-randomized trial in the context of an ongoing urban Chagas disease vector control campaign in Arequipa, Peru. We report here early results from a pre-planned interim analysis. In the intervention (immune) arm 23 infested households were detected in 10 separate foci; in the control arm 5 infested households were detected in 1 focus. The immune approach was adaptive and required more effort (1085.2 person days vs 864.2 in the control; Rate ratio 3.66 [1.35 12.38], p-value =0.0062). Vector surveillance approaches modeled after the immune system may be more effective than conventional approaches, especially in cities and other complex civilian environments.

Introduction

The difficulties faced by a community when trying to control a dangerous insect are in many ways analogous to those faced by the body when attacked by a pathogen. Through evolution, mammals have developed a complex and interlocking defense against invaders—an immune system well-honed to detect and control infections. The adaptive immune system relies on communication among autonomous entities to recognize pathogens and recruit effector cells [1]; it includes a combination of systemic and local responses to remove pathogens; and, most amazingly, tightly regulates these responses and creates memory to improve them in the future [2].

Conventional strategies for vector control, by contrast, grew out of the military [3]. In these approaches communication is hierarchical, responses unilateral, and regulation predetermined. Some of the earliest successes of disease elimination through vector control were achieved under martial law. In the aftermath of the Spanish American war, US Major William Gorgas led an effort that eliminated Yellow Fever from Cuba, mainly by controlling *Aedes aegypti* populations [4]. Gorgas later led mosquito control during the construction of the Panama Canal. The strategies coalesced in efforts, supported by the Rockefeller Foundation, to eliminate *Aedes aegypti* from the Americas, activities conducted in Peru during the dictatorship of Augusto Leguia who relied on vector control authorities,

scientists and technology from the United States to carry out control campaigns that reaffirmed his authority [5]. Vector control campaigns were conducted regularly by the military during World War II, and following the war, the availability of DDT and other synthetic insecticides was leveraged in massive malaria elimination programs [6] The failures of the postwar elimination campaigns became evident even during their early, apparent, success: populations of insects resistant to insecticides and populations of people resistant to programs designed and carried out without their input [7] [6].

Despite their ubiquity, top-down control campaigns have rarely been challenged, formally, by alternative approaches. We conducted a cluster-randomized trial evaluating a new paradigm for the control of dangerous insects patterned after the adaptive immune system against a conventional top-down system in an ongoing Chagas disease vector control program in the city of Arequipa, Peru. Here we report the early results from a pre-planned interim analysis.

Materials and Methods

Study System

Chagas disease, caused by the parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi*, is one of the principal infectious causes of morbidity and mortality in the Americas [8] [9] and a significant impediment to the development of affected nations [10] [11]. Since 1991, *Triatoma infestans*, the principal vector of *T. cruzi* in South America, has been the target of an elimination program known as the Southern Cone Initiative. This initiative, formalized in the early 1990s during a period of return to democracy in many countries in the region, nevertheless retained conventional topdown vector control approaches that had proven successful under more authoritarian regimes. The initiative, by many measures [12] [13], has been a tremendous success, with Chile [14] Brazil [15] Uruguay [16], and Paraguay [17] declared free of Chagas disease. Peru did not officially join the Southern Cone Initiative, and control efforts in the country lagged for many years [18], especially in Southern Peru, where the vector infested Arequipa, a regional capital of over one million inhabitants [19].

Control of the vector initially seemed intractable; but an alliance between the Ministry of Health, universities and aid organizations led to the insecticide treatment of over 80,000 houses, preventing significant mortality and morbidity [20, 21] The campaign, like many convention campaigns [22] [23], consisted of three phases: the preliminary *planning phase* which served to define the geographic areas in need of control [24], the *attack phase* [25] during which brigades of vector control technicians applied pyrethroid insecticides to domestic and peri-domestic areas of all participating homes (twice at a target 6-month interval), and the post-spray *surveillance phase*, in which households are monitored for residual infestations, or reinfestation, through a combination of passive and active methods.

The attack phase in Arequipa lasted from 2003 to 2018 on a district by district bases. Districts entered the surveillance phase in a rolling manner, six months following completion of insecticide application. Post-spray surveillance activities initially were mostly limited to passive surveillance, with occasional active search for infested households when personnel were available [26] [27] Following the completion of the attack phase of the campaign, a series of data-driven surveillance schemes were piloted in multiple districts of the city [21] [28] [29]. These studies included search for the insect in over 8,000 households based on data collected during the attack phase and new data that accumulated during the surveillance phase. Only three infested households were detected through these efforts [Unpublished data].

Intervention design

The immune approach: We adapted aspects of the immune system from the scale of cells to that of landscapes, incorporating these into the ongoing Chagas disease vector surveillance activities. Our analogy is described fully in Figure 1. The immune approach is the intervention arm. The *conventional approach* is an augmented version of standard practice, including traditional communication techniques and passive surveillance, along with state-of-the-art, though top-down, active surveillance methods.



Figure 1: Analogies for Vector control a) the conventional, military analogy, b) The Immune System Analogy

The conventional approach is implemented in the trial as the control arm. We outline the key ways in which the two approaches diverge, ideologically as well as methodologically, below.

Reporting

The immune system is extraordinarily egalitarian. Any of a large population of cells may present an antigen and trigger the system to act, thereby catching an invading pathogen early, before it spreads. Such early detection is equally important for the control of emerging and re-emerging insects. Cell phones revolutionize community-based systems by facilitating two-way communication between the community and health personnel, providing both a means by which residents can report the presence of disease agents to control personnel, and a means by which control personnel can send messages to residents to remind them to remain vigilant [30].

Under the conventional system, infested households are considered, not as antigen presenting cells that will seek the attention of the surveillance system, but as the 'enemy', which will avoid it. The framing emerged from, and propagates, a profound lack of trust in residents. Public health officials worry homeowners might be hiding infestations, or falsely reporting infestations in order to obtain free insecticide treatment for other nuisance insects. The lack of trust manifests in the regulations of the conventional surveillance system for both reporting and search (the latter is discussed below). For reporting, if a homeowner finds a triatomine they are required to capture it [31] and bring it to a primary level health facility for identification. The requirement produces a barrier to those homeowners who are hesitant or unable to trap insects. It also presents, as well as a logistical obstacle as the health facility must have staff on hand to identify the insect, or to get the insect to the right person, in a timely manner, as well as efficient data management systems to convey the identification up the chain of command to the central vector control office. Additional delays may occur in the central office where the authority and resources to program treatment of the infested house sits.

In the immune arm we dropped the requirement to bring an insect to the health post for identification. Instead, we accepted reports by phone, social media, text and Whatsapp through an integrated system we publicized as 'AlertaChirimacha' [30], named after the local name for the triatomine, Chirimacha. We requested, but did not require, photographs of the insects.

Search

T-cells guide their own movements, aided by information imparted to them by cytokines, in much the same way that animals search their environment for food [32]. Much like the brain [33], the immune system is decentralized. There is no control center; no physiological structure directs the T-cells. Conventional vector surveillance paradigms, by contrast, are top-down, with a central authority directing the activities of vector control personnel. The quality of such directions depends heavily on the data available to those in charge. In our control arm, we endeavored to create as close to a best case scenario for the conventional approach, leveraging the immense amount of data available. We started from a spatial-temporal model that integrates information collected during the attack phase of the vector control campaign and the subsequent years of surveillance; data that is not normally used by vector control teams to direct their surveillance efforts from year to year [28]. The model provides estimates of the relative (to all the other houses) probability of infestation within the search area.

In control areas, we used a K-means algorithm [34] to partition the search area in such a way that equalized the expected probability that at least one household in each partition was infested. We set the number of partitions at 10% of the total number of households in the search area, meaning that 10% of households would be inspected, following the pre-existing quotas from the Ministry of Health. We selected the highest-risk household in each partition as the initial assignment for the inspectors. These were presented on an App as blue points (Puntos Azules) through an app of the same name [Figure 2]. Once a technician inspected a blue point, if it was not infested, the whole partition turned yellow. If the household could not be inspected, that household turned gray and the next highest risk household in the partition turned blue (and so on until a house was inspected). Punto Azules was meant to satisfy the dual aim of sending technicians to visit the high-risk households, and having them cover the full spatial extent of their assigned search area [29], while responding to the additional complexity of assigning households when many homeowners are not home or do not allow search of their premises. It also fit easily into the Ministry of Health's quota system in which each technician is assigned a number of households to inspect.

In the immune arm, we provided information from the same spatial-temporal models [35] through an alternative app, called Vectorpoint [36]. This app encouraged inspectors to both visit higher risk households and cover the full area under their supervision, but allowed them to use their own judgment when searching their areas for insects [35] [29]. The app presented households colored by the quintile of their relative risk of infestation. Ideally the spatio-temporal model would be rerun in real-time upon obtaining additional information from the field, and the quintiles updated, but doing so was not feasible given the time required to fit the model and the lack of internet access in some of the search zones. We therefore relied on a simplification: upon the inspection of a household, if no insects were detected, the risk color was lowered by one level for all households of equal or lesser risk quintile on the same block. The visual decrease of risk coloration was meant to encourage inspectors to move on to areas that had not been inspected, as well as to target the highest risk households on each block. If the household was infested, the model was refit and the larger immune response was activated (described in greater detail below)

T-cells elicit information from the somatic cells the surveil, they do not enter them. Under the conventional system vector control personnel are instructed to enter and fully inspect the premise of the households they are assigned, and we retained that requirement in the control arm of our study. The requirement stems, in part, from the suspicion that householders may be hiding infestations that could be uncovered through a thorough inspection. In the immune arm we did not require vector control personnel to enter households. Instead they were instructed to visit houses, speak to residents about Chagas disease, and triatomines, and provide materials indicating how these could be reported via AlertaChirimacha. Inspectors offered to inspect households if a resident feared they might have an infestation, or if they were unable to check for insects themselves (often due to advanced age).



Figure 2: Left: the control App (PuntosAzules) is a top-down approach which assigns households to vector technicians for inspection based on a spatiotemporal risk model and algorithm-derived partitions of the search area. Right: The immune App (VectorPoint) displays risk information to technicians from the same model, but allows them to choose their own households for inspection, based at times from local information that is not included in the model, much in the way that T-cells explore the body for a pathogen.

Response

The size and spatial distribution of immune responses is not predetermined; it emerges in proportion to the size and spatial distribution of the infection. The control arm of our trial continued the practice of inspecting the immediate neighbors of each household found to be infested with triatomines. In the immune arm, the system was activated, temporarily, following detection of an infested household. Vector technicians were recruited from nearby areas to the affected site, which was roughly 200 meters around the infested house. Along with the original technician they distributed flyers to all households and placed posters in local shops. In addition, we created targeted Facebook posts for 10 days targeted to the area both via paid posts on Facebook (the radius of which is defined by the number of users in the area, but was typically around 1 km) and verbally by including the name(s) of the neighborhood(s) affected. This intervention served to inform residents that an infestation was found in the area and to encourage them to inspect their homes for triatomines and report any suspected bug, or its traces, via WhatsApp, text or phone call.

If we found additional infested houses near the original focus, the radius was expanded around the new infestation, and additional inspectors recruited as necessary. A follow-up post was released on Facebook at days 10 and 30 after the initial post. Subsequent posts informed neighbors that more houses have been found positive and asked them to stay alert to the presence of triatomines and report them if found. Once all households in the affected area had been visited by technicians, those that had been recruited to the site were allowed to return to their own catchments. We also posted a 'downregulation' message on Facebook once all infested houses were treated and 30 days had passed with no additional infestations. This message explained that the affected houses had been treated with insecticide and the insects were controlled.

Deviations from the protocol due to 'Immune suppression' during Covid-19

The original protocol included significant roles for community health workers. In the conventional arm, these individuals were meant to visit their neighbors regularly to ask if they had chirimachas, and in the case of an affirmative, report the household to the corresponding health center. In the immune arm, the role of community health workers was patterned after that of CD4+ helper T-cells. They were meant to visit their neighbors, but in the case of a neighbor reporting an infestation, would communicate, via Whatsapp, directly to the vector control technicians who would come to the site and activate the response, as described above, if necessary. Most importantly the community health worker was meant to 'clonally expand' by training others in their neighborhood to serve as community health workers, temporarily, while the immune system was activated. These secondary community health workers would then become 'quiescent', but form a part of the memory of the system by potentially being available for re-activation if another infestation were to appear in the future. During the pandemic the community health worker system broke down and these planned activities were dropped.

Trial Design

We divided the area of the city under vector surveillance into 60 surveillance catchments, following existing geo-political boundaries (locality, district) of the city wherever possible. We pair-matched these catchments (30 in the intervention and 30 in the control arm) using non-bipartite matching (R package "nbpMatching") [37]. We balanced the assignment of members of these pairs to the arms of the trial based on three criteria that we have previously found to be indicators of the risk of infestation [27]: the total number of households, the number of infested houses detected in prior surveillance activities, and the years since insecticide application during the attack phase. The average sizes of catchments in the immune and control arms were 2275.7 and 2266.7 households, respectively. In the immune arm an average of 1267 houses had been treated during the attack phase in each catchment, and an average of 98.5 were found to be infested at the time of treatment. In the control arm an average of 1143.8 households were treated in each catchment, and the average number of infested households was 94.6 (Supplemental Table 1)

Results

The immune approach uncovered 23 infested households, ten from the initial detection and an additional 13 during the activation of the system in the affected areas. The ten initially detected came from nine separate clusters. Five were initially reported through the Alertachirimacha system (via Facebook or Whatsapp); Three were uncovered through active search by vector control technicians, and two were reported to health posts. The conventional approach uncovered a total of five households in a single cluster; one household brought an insect to a health post and the remaining four were immediate neighbors to the index house (Fig. 3; full dataset by catchment is in Supplemental Table 2).

The amount of effort differed between the two arms, mainly due to the additional effort required for activation of the immune following detection of infested households. In the immune arm 837.7 person days were expended by technicians visiting households guided by the vectorpoint app. An additional 199.5 person days were expended in the adaptive response initiated around the 10 index infestations, and insecticide application to the 23 infested households and immediate neighbors took 48 person days, for a total of 1085.2 person days (Supplemental Table 2).

In the control arm technicians spent 854.2 person days inspecting households assigned to them via the control app. No infested households were detected through the active search. Two additional days were necessary to inspect the neighbors of the index house, and 8 person days were expended in indoor residual insecticide application to infested households and their immediate neighbors for a total of 864.2 total person days of effort (Supplemental Table 2).



Figure 3: Infestations detected, by modality of detection, under the immune and conventional surveillance arms. *Immune modalities*: AlertaChirimacha includes reports via Whatsapp, Facebook, text. Autonomous search includes inspections of households and visits conducted with the Vectorpoint application, and reports to health posts with or without an insect. *Conventional Modalities* include Facebook posts to the whole city, Search of households assigned through the control app. Reports to the Health posts are only allowed with an insect

The rate of detection of infestation was significantly higher in the immune arm (23/1085.2 person days) than the control (5/864.2 person days); (Rate ratio 3.66 [1.35 12.38], p-value =0.0062, Fisher's exact test).

Discussion

Top down, military-inspired vector control campaigns stand on shaky ground when conducted outside the context of a military or a similar environment. Will a population comply with such programs in the absence of the real or perceived threat of force? Will vector control technicians and other members of the labor force behave like soldiers? Here we challenged the paradigm, formally, against an alternative approach inspired by the adaptive immune system, and the new intervention quickly outperformed the old. Even when fortified with a dozen years of prior data, computational power and spatial models rarely available to vector control agencies, and the same experienced staff as the intervention arm, the conventional protocols only succeeded in uncovering a single focus of vector infestation, comprising 5 infested houses. Over the same period the immune arm uncovered 23 infested households spread across 9 sectors of the city.

The track record of hierarchical vector control campaigns conducted in more free societies is mixed [6]. The US response to the 2006 Zika epidemic in Puerto Rico is a case in point of a failure of a (literally) top-down vector control campaign. The CDC in Atlanta was on the brink of sending aircraft to conduct aerial spraying of portions of the island with an organophosphate insecticide, Naled [38]. Backlash in Puerto Rico to the plan–conceived without much input from those it was designed to protect– was immediate [39]. The city of San Juan took the CDC to federal court and successfully stopped the intervention [40] [41].

Many have noted the shortcomings of top-down vector control policies [42] [43], and a plethora of apps have been developed through which community members can document the presence of disease

vectors [44] [45]. Many of these apps are primarily for the purpose of 'citizen science' [46] [47] and are not incorporated into vector control campaigns. Some that are incorporated into control campaigns are unidirectional, providing information to control authorities, or health communication to affected populations. Our approach provides a framework for bilateral incorporation of reporting apps, and, most critically, an adaptive response. Anyone in the immune arm of the trial could initiate an adaptive response, which encompassed multiple modalities (social media, door-to-door communication), upregulated quickly, and then downregulated to avoid alert fatigue [30].

Urban vector control requires surveillance and control at various scales, as insects spread among houses, blocks, neighborhoods and cities. The dream of conventional control has long been scalability–the ability to establish a set of protocols that, in the words of Anna Tsing, 'can expand–and expand, and expand–without rethinking basic elements' [48]. Such sentiments are common in the expansion of demonstration projects into large programs [49], and, in the early planning of the southern cone initiative to eliminate *Triatoma infestans*, in the focus on normalization of strategies across member states [50]. Our implementation of the conventional approach was intentionally scalable: the same app (bluepoints) and algorithms can be used in small areas and citywide, and the passive surveillance relied on existing scalable structure of health posts and centers.

The immune system always works across scales. For the most part, the same system that protects a mouse also protects a moose–but it is not scalable, it cannot be effortlessly ported from one place to another, nor expanded over ever-larger geographies. Our protocols in the immune arm allowed technicians to make decisions based on information that would never fit in a statistical model. Such freedom is critical: as the insects are driven towards elimination the predictable infestations are detected and eliminated, leaving behind the idiosyncratic. The immune arm also relied heavily on personal communication and relationships–whether through Alertachirimacha or door-to-door communication–which cannot be easily scaled. The need for such tailoring of vector surveillance and control is increasingly acknowledged in Chagas disease control [51], and more broadly, as calls for normalization have been replaced by calls for greater attention to local ecologies, geographies and politics [52].

Descriptions of the immune system itself are rife with analogy and metaphor. Some of the more simplistic and persistent analogies are, ironically, military: children are often taught that T-cells and pathogens are 'at war' with one another [53]. These metaphors fell out of favor in the 1970s, and were replaced by concepts of the immune system as a duality of 'self' and 'nonself' with correspondent analogies to the body as a nation with a border, one side of which-the self-is in need of protection from the other- the foreign [54]. The self/nonself analogy has in turn fallen out of favor, both due to its implicit xenophobia, and with increased recognition of the importance of microbiota–a slew of organisms which are not easily, nor consistently, assigned as self or foreign [55]. Understanding of the immune system, and metaphors used to convey that understanding, will continue to evolve, and re-conceptualizations may lead to new ideas about how to control vectors and other disease agents.

For Chagas disease vector surveillance community reporting is often more sensitive than active search by trained personnel [56] [57]. In the immune arm, vector control personnel did not insist on entering homes, but rather focused on health communication and dissemination of materials to facilitate reports. The conventional approach relies on quotas—a certain number of homes must be searched. For the immune approach to be formally adopted, the quota system, which sits within a framework of pay for performance [58] [59] [60], would need to be greatly modified or de-implemented. Quotas have a terrible history in Peru—sterilization programs were enacted on a quota system, leading to widespread abuses of women in the 1990s as health personnel conducted sterilizations by force, or without proper consent, as they struggled to reach quotas [61] [62] [60] [63]. The quotas for entering and inspecting homes are less nefarious, but they still lead to inefficient and irrational behaviors.

It is also important to note the failings of the immune system analogy. Current visions of the immune system tend to assume a selflessness of the cells that participate in immune functions, which is not a useful model for the motivation and behavior of technicians tasked with vector control activities. Inspections are arduous, often requiring lifting heavy stones, bricks and other materials commonly incorporated into animal enclosures in peridomestic spaces, as well as shifting furniture away from walls inside domestic areas. The rarity of the insect adds an immeasurable tedium to the work.

While monitoring the time of entering and exiting a house is straightforward through the apps used by inspectors, no amount of top-down quality control can ensure that inspectors perform the manual labor inside the premises, and the quota system is thereby highly prone to fraud. The immune approach is not, of course, immune to fraud– but as it provides more flexibility, and less tedium, it may reduce the incentive for fraud, and perhaps reduce burnout among technicians.

Our study suffered from numerous limitations. Our protocol originally relied more heavily on community-based approaches. Community Health Workers (CHWs) were to be the CD4+ T-helper cells, monitoring their neighborhoods and 'clonally expanding' by training additional community health workers upon detecting a vector. Perhaps, had Covid and Covid lockdowns not prevented involvement of community health workers, these volunteers could have played a pivotal role in the new system. We have reason for skepticism, though. In our preliminary work, prior to the pandemic, we recruited community health workers from lists provided by the Ministry of Health. These individuals had been given a placard of 64 conditions for which to monitor amongst their neighbors (Chagas disease vectors were number 37 on this list). The vast majority of the individuals we contacted were 'inactive', meaning they were not really engaged in any of these health programs. Still, some activities, such as posting signs in bodegas and placing pamphlets under doors when the system is activated in a neighborhood following detection of an infestation, might be incorporated into the activities of CHWs, thereby diminishing travel and time of paid staff.

We shifted our planned communication and clonal expansion from CHWs to social media. In doing so we introduced additional contamination into our study design, as we were not able to target social media posts on a consistent spatial scale around an infestation, and, of course, could not prevent the posts from propagating into areas assigned to the control arm. Indeed the Ministry of Health reposted our posts regularly. While we were not able to prevent this contamination we may have lessened it by targeting through our posts by text to the neighborhoods affected.

The formalism of a randomized trial also broke down during the pandemic. The interim analysis we present here was planned for the end of the third year of the trial, and we have conducted it as pre-specified at the end of the third year. But we have far less than three years of data on hand, and present the results at the originally planned time because they show clearly that the intervention arm is far superior to the control. If the data were not so clear, and if we were not limited by the inflexible duration of our funding, we might have instead waited until the end of the third year of data collection rather than the third calendar year. We also were unable to formally register the trial at the time it began–we were working on doing so precisely when the pandemic struck, and the design remained a moving target throughout. The study thereby suffers from the lack of these traditional safeguards against post hoc analyses, and the analysis may implicitly include additional degrees of freedom on the exact timing of endpoints.

We have presented here promising results on a new surveillance system, modeled after the mammalian immune system, to control a single insect in a city. But the immune system does not deal with one pathogen at a time, and cities certainly do not deal with infectious agents in isolation. The real power of the analogy may be revealed as it is expanded to address multiple competing threats. To do so may require de-implementation of the old conventional systems to free up resources for a more adaptive and flexible response. It remains unclear whether such a major change in approach will be palatable to control agencies, but the potential is great.

References

[1] Alberts B. 2002 *Molecular biology of the cell; Chapter 24, The Adaptive Immune System.* Garland science.

[2] Ratajczak W, Niedźwiedzka-Rystwej P, Tokarz-Deptuła B, Deptuła W. 2018 Immunological memory cells. *Central European Journal of Immunology* **43**, 194–203.

[3] Willoughby UE. 2017 p. 142–166. In *Chapter 6. "Mosquito or Man?" imperialism and the rise of tropical medicine, 1878–1912*, p. 142–166. Louisiana State University Press.

[4] Barrett ADT, Higgs S. 2007 Yellow Fever: A Disease that Has Yet to be Conquered. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **52**, 209–229.

[5] Cueto M. 2020 Ch 2: Sanidad desde arriba:LA FIEBRE AMARILLA, LA COSTA NORTE YLA FUNDACIÓN ROCKEFELLER. In *El regreso de las epidemias: salud y sociedad en el Perú del siglo XX*,. Instituto de Estudios Peruanos.

[6] Shah S. 2010 *The fever: How malaria has ruled humankind for 500,000 years*. Sarah Crichton Books.

[7] Carson R. 1962 *Silent Spring*. Houghton Mifflin Company.

[8] Bonney KM. 2014 Chagas disease in the 21st century: a public health success or an emerging threat?. *Parasite* **21**.

[9] Cucunubá ZM, Okuwoga O, Basáñez MG, Nouvellet P. 2016 Increased mortality attributed to Chagas disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parasites & vectors* **9**, 1–13.

[10] Franco-Paredes C, Von A, Hidron A, Rodríguez-Morales AJ, Tellez I, Barragán M, Jones D, Náquira CG, Mendez J. 2007 Chagas disease: an impediment in achieving the Millennium Development Goals in Latin America. *BMC International Health and Human Rights* **7**, 1–6.

[11] Hotez PJ, Molyneux DH, Fenwick A, Kumaresan J, Sachs SE, Sachs JD, Savioli L. 2007 Control of neglected tropical diseases. *New England journal of medicine* **357**, 1018–1027.

[12] Schofield C, Dias J. 1999 The Southern Cone Initiative against Chagas Disease. In *Advances in Parasitology* pp. 1–27. Elsevier. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(08)60147-510.1016/S0065-308X(08)60147-5)

[13] Dias JCP. 2007 Southern Cone Initiative for the elimination of domestic populations of Triatoma infestans and the interruption of transfusion Chagas disease: historical aspects, present situation, and perspectives. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* **102**, 11–18.

[14] Lorca Herrera M, Schenone H, Contreras MdC, García A, Rojas A, Valdés J. 1996 Evaluación de los programas de erradicación de vectores de la enfermedad de Chagas en Chile mediante estudio serológico de niños menores de 10 años. *Bol. chil. parasitol* pp. 80–5.

[15] Silveira A, Vinhaes MC. 1999 Elimination of vector-borne transmission of Chagas disease. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* **94**, 405–411.

[16] . 1998 Uruguay declared free of Chagas disease transmission. *TDR News* p. 6.

[17] Mitchell C, https://www.facebook.com/pahowho. 2018 PAHO/WHO | Paraguay interrupts vector transmission of Chagas in the home. .

[18] Levine R, Group WWW et al.. 2004 Case 12, controlling Chagas disease in the southern cone of South America. *Center for Global Development. Millions saved: Proven successes in global health. Washington (District of Columbia): Center for Global Development* pp. 99–104.

[19] Levy MZ, Bowman NM, Kawai V, Waller LA, Cornejo Del Carpio JG, Cordova Benzaquen E, Gilman RH, Bern C. 2006 Periurban Trypanosoma cruzi–infected Triatoma infestans, Arequipa, Peru. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **12**, 1345–1352. (http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1209.05166210.3201/eid1209.051662)

[20] Levy MZ, Small DS, Vilhena DA, Bowman NM, Kawai V, Cornejo del Carpio JG, Cordova-Benzaquen E, Gilman RH, Bern C, Plotkin JB. 2011 Retracing micro-epidemics of Chagas disease using epicenter regression. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **7**, e1002146.

[21] Gutfraind A, Peterson JK, Billig Rose E, Arevalo-Nieto C, Sheen J, Condori-Luna GF, Tankasala N, Castillo-Neyra R, Condori-Pino C, Anand P et al.. 2018 Integrating evidence, models and maps to enhance Chagas disease vector surveillance. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* **12**, e0006883.

[22] CDC. 1993 Recommendations of the international task force for disease eradication. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **42**, 1–38.

[23] WHO. 2012 *Handbook for integrated vector management*. World Health Organization.

[24] Hong AE, Barbu CM, Small DS, Levy MZ. 2015 Mapping the spatial distribution of a disease-transmitting insect in the presence of surveillance error and missing data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A: Statistics in Society* **178**, 641–658.

[25] Oficina de Zoonosis y Vectores División de Políticas Públicas y Promoción Subsecretaría de Salud Pública -MINSAL. 2016 Avances en el Control Vectorial de la Enfermedad de Chagas en Chile. .

[26] Delgado S, Ernst KC, Pumahuanca MLH, Yool SR, Comrie AC, Sterling CR, Gilman RH, Náquira C, Levy MZ, the Chagas Disease Working Group in Arequipa, Peru. 2013 A country bug in the city: urban infestation by the Chagas disease vector Triatoma infestans in Arequipa, Peru. *International Journal of Health Geographics* **12**, 48. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-12-4810.1186/1476-072X-12-48)

[27] Barbu CM, Buttenheim AM, Pumahuanca MLH, Calderón JEQ, Salazar R, Carrión M, Rospigliossi AC, Chavez FSM, Alvarez KO, Cornejo Del Carpio J, Náquira C, Levy MZ. 2014 Residual Infestation and Recolonization during Urban *Triatoma infestans* Bug Control Campaign, Peru. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **20**, 2055–2063. (http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2012.13182010.3201/eid2012.131820)

[28] Rose EB, Roy JA, Castillo-Neyra R, Ross ME, Condori-Pino C, Peterson JK, Naquira-Velarde C, Levy MZ. 2020 A real-time search strategy for finding urban disease vector infestations. *Epidemiologic Methods* **9**, 20200001.

[29] Arevalo-Nieto C, Sheen J, Condori-Luna GF, Condori-Pino C, Shinnick J, Peterson JK, Castillo-Neyra R, Levy MZ. 2022 Incentivizing optimal risk map use for Triatoma infestans surveillance in urban environments. *PLOS Global Public Health* **2**, e0000145. Publisher: Public Library of Science (http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.000014510.1371/journal.pgph.0000145)

[30] Tamayo LD, Condori-Pino CE, Sanchez Z, Gonçalves R, Málaga Chávez FS, Castillo-Neyra R, Levy MZ, Paz-Soldan VA. 2023 An effective internet-based system for surveillance and elimination of triatomine insects: AlertaChirimacha. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **17**, e0011694.

[31] . 2022 Geresa Arequipa realiza vigilancia y control ante presencia de "chirimachas" que pueden transmitir la enfermedad de Chagas. .

[32] Harris TH, Banigan EJ, Christian DA, Konradt C, Wojno EDT, Norose K, Wilson EH, John B, Weninger W, Luster AD, Liu AJ, Hunter CA. 2012 Generalized Lévy walks and the role of chemokines in migration of effector CD8+ T cells. *Nature* **486**, 545–548. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature1109810.1038/nature11098)

[33] Daniel C. D. 1991 *Dennett, Daniel C. Consciousness explained*. UK: Little Brown and co.

[34] Ralambondrainy H. 1995 A conceptual version of the k-means algorithm. *Pattern Recognition Letters* **16**, 1147–1157.

[35] Gutfraind A, Peterson JK, Rose EB, Arevalo-Nieto C, Sheen J, Condori-Luna GF, Tankasala N, Castillo-Neyra R, Condori-Pino C, Anand P, Naquira-Velarde C, Levy MZ. 2018 Integrating evidence, models and maps to enhance Chagas disease vector surveillance. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **12**, e0006883. Publisher: Public Library of Science (http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.000688310.1371/journal.pntd.0006883)

[36] Lee DT, Schachter BJ. 1980 Two algorithms for constructing a Delaunay triangulation. *International Journal of Computer & Information Sciences* **9**, 219–242.

[37] Beck C, Lu B, Greevy R. 2016 nbpMatching: Functions for optimal non-bipartite matching. R package version 1.5. 1. .

[38] . 2016 U.S. CDC urges Puerto Rico to consider aerial spraying as part of integrated mosquito control to reduce zika related birth defects | Reuters. .

[39] COTO D. 2016 Puerto Rico rejects insecticide to fight Zika amid protests | AP News. .

[40] for the District of Puerto Rico USDC. 2016 *Municipality of San Juan v. the Center for Disease Control and Prevention*.

[41] Silverman E. 2016 CDC backs down on proposal to use controversial insecticide to thwart Zika | Stat News. .

[42] Wilson AL, Courtenay O, Kelly-Hope LA, Scott TW, Takken W, Torr SJ, Lindsay SW. 2020 The importance of vector control for the control and elimination of vector-borne diseases. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **14**, e0007831. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.000783110.1371/journal.pntd.0007831)

[43] Nsubuga P, White ME, Thacker SB, Anderson MA, Blount SB, Broome CV, Chiller TM, Espitia V, Imtiaz R, Sosin D, Stroup DF, Tauxe RV, Vijayaraghavan M, Trostle M. 2006 Public Health Surveillance: A Tool for Targeting and Monitoring Interventions. In Jamison DT, Breman JG, Measham AR, Alleyne G, Claeson M, Evans DB, Jha P, Mills A, Musgrove P, editors, *Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries* , . Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank 2nd edition.

[44] Pollett S, Althouse BM, Forshey B, Rutherford GW, Jarman RG. 2017 Internet-based biosurveillance methods for vector-borne diseases: Are they novel public health tools or just novelties?. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **11**, e0005871.

[45] Aiello AE, Renson A, Zivich PN. 2020 Social media- and Internet-based disease surveillance for public health. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* **41**, 101–118.

[46] Hamer SA, Curtis-Robles R, Hamer GL. 2018 Contributions of citizen scientists to arthropod vector data in the age of digital epidemiology. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* **28**, 98–104.

[47] Fernandez MP, Bron GM, Kache PA, Larson SR, Maus A, Gustafson, Jr D, Tsao JI, Bartholomay LC, Paskewitz SM, Diuk-Wasser MA. 2019 Usability and feasibility of a smartphone app to assess human behavioral factors associated with tick exposure (The Tick App): Quantitative and qualitative study. *JMIR MHealth UHealth* **7**, e14769.

[48] Tsing AL. 2015 *The mushroom at the end of the world: On the possibility of life in capitalist ruins*. Princeton University Press.

[49] Shah S. 2010 *The fever: How malaria has ruled humankind for 500,000 years*. Sarah Crichton Books.

[50] Silveira AC, de Arias AR, Segura E, Guillén G, Russomando G, Schenone H, Dias JCP, Padilla JV, Lorca M, Salvatella R. 2002 O CONTROLE DA DOENÇA DE CHAGAS NOS PAÍSES DO CONE SUL DA AMÉRICA. História de uma iniciativa internacional. 1991/2001.. pp. 145–250.

[51] World Health Organization. 2017 *Global vector control response 2017–2030*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

[52] Moncayo Silveira AC. 2010 Current Trends and Future Prospects for Control of Chagas Disease. In *American Trypanosomiasis* , pp. 55–82. Elsevier. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384876-5.00004-610.1016/B978-0-12-384876-5.00004-6)

[53] Turney J. 2016 Why we should guard against military notions of immunity | Aeon Essays. Authority: Aeon.

[54] Tauber AI. 2017 *Immunity: the evolution of an idea*. Oxford University Press.

[55] Kriegel MA. 2015 Self or non-self? The multifaceted role of the microbiota in immune-mediated diseases. *Clinical Immunology (Orlando, Fla.)* **159**, 119.

[56] Silva RA, Bonifácio PR, Wanderley DMV. 1999 Doença de Chagas no Estado de São Paulo: comparação entre pesquisa ativa de triatomíneos em domicílios e notificação de sua presença pela população em área sob vigilância entomológica. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* **32**, 653–659. Publisher: Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical - SBMT (http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0037-86821999000600007 10.1590/S0037-86821999000600007)

[57] Gürtler RE, Cecere MC, Canale DM, Castañera MB, Chuit R, Cohen JE. 1999 Monitoring house reinfestation by vectors of Chagas disease: a comparative trial of detection methods during a four-year follow-up. *Acta Tropica* **72**, 213–234. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-706X(98)00096-510.1016/S0001-706X(98)00096-5)

[58] . 2016 Programas Presupuestales, Oficina de Planeamiento, Presupuesto y Modernizacion. http://www.minsa.gob.pe/presupuestales/ [Accessed: (2023-09-08)].

[59] Navarro AM. 2021 Programa presupuestal ENFERMEDADES Metaxenicas y zoonosis. .

[60] Ewig C. 2010 *Second-wave neoliberalism: Gender, race, and health sector reform in Peru.* Penn State Press.

[61] . 1999 Nada Personal Reporte de Derechos Humanosobre la Aplicacion de la anticoncepion quirurgica en el Peru 1996-1998. .

[62] Maria J. 1998 Siguen obligado a captar pacientes para ligaduras. https://1996pnsrpf2000.files.wordpress.com/2012/10/el-comercio-4-de-marzo-de-1998.

[63] Idealterna Peru. 2011 Video "Nada Personal. Implementación de La Anticoncepción Quirúrgica En El Perú". tex.entrysubtype: video.