Prevalence of ABO and Rhesus blood groups in Blood donors: A retrospective study from a tertiary care blood bank in Pondicherry

Kingsley Simon*, Rahul Jain.S[1], Manjuneesha.S[2]

[1] MBBS, Pondicherry institute of medical Sciences, Pondicherry India

[2] MBBS, Pondicherry institute of medical Sciences, Pondicherry, India

Corresponding author* :

Dr,Kingsley Simon MD- Department of Transfusion medicine, Pondicherry institute of medical Sciences, Pondicherry, India.

Abstract : Introduction: The ABO blood group system was the first human blood group system discovered by Austrian scientist Karl Landsteiner in 1901. The ABO blood group system is divided into four blood types on the basis of presence or absence of A and B surface antigens A and B antibodies presence in the plasma. Positive and Negative of the blood group determines by presence or absence of the D antigen..

Objectives: This study was carried out to determine the distribution pattern of blood groups among blood donors at a tertiary care hospital of Puducherry

Materials and Methods: It is a retrospective study done a period of 5 years from May 2017 to May 2022 that includes the 9062 blood donors. Blood group done as per the standard operative procedure. Data on the ABO and Rh blood group type of all blood donors was collected and analysed.

Result: The most common ABO blood group type was Group O (38%) followed by group B (33.5%), group A (21.6%) and group AB (6.9%) and one Bombay blood group. The distribution of Rh(D) blood group type revealed 92.5% as Rh-positive and 7.5% as Rh-negative.

Conclusion: The most common ABO blood group type in our region (Puducherry) is Group O followed by B and A while, AB and Bombay groups are the least common type. Knowledge of the blood group distribution pattern is essential for the effective delivery of blood banking services.

INTRODUCTION:

Blood group system antigens are present on the red cell membrane. Antigens are inherited from our parents in Mandelian fashion and express on the RBC membrane early in life and remain same throughout the life. ABO antibodies are naturally occurring (IgM) antibodies present in the plasma. In 1900 Austrian scientist Karl Landsteiner originally described the ABO blood group system (1). In 1902 Von Decastello and Sturli discovered the AB blood group(2). ABO genes located on long arm of chromosome 9, these genes produces galactosyl transferase enzymes. With the help of enzymes blood group antigens are expressed on the surface of red cell membrane and many tissues such as heart, lung, kidney and endothelium. A and B antibodies develop after 3-6 months of age and it is not present at birth. (1).

ABO and Rh blood group generally detected by presence or absence of antigens present on the red cell membrane and absence of the corresponding antibodies in the plasma.

According to the blood group antigens and antibodies ABO system divided into four blood group types, such as A, B, O and AB.

More than 50 Rh antigens have been discovered in the Rh system. Five principle antigens are clinically significant which includes D, C,c,E, and e. Among the Rh antigens, D antigen is more immunogenic. It is extremely crucial in obstetrics and being the main cause of haemolytic disease of the newborns (HDN). Positive and negative group refers to presence or absence of a D antigens (2).

Rh antibodies are IgG type antibodies and developed after the sensitizing events, such as transfusion, transplantation and pregnancy.

The ABO and Rh blood groups are important for blood transfusion practice, but they are also helpful in clinical research, population genetic studies, population migration patterns study, and settling some medico legal disputes, especially those involving cases of disputed paternity. (3).

For the effective management of blood bank inventory, we need to know the prevalence of f ABO and Rh blood group system in our community. The prevalence of the ABO blood group system is significantly influenced by race, ethnicity, and geographic location (4).

Because it is crucial to understand how blood types are distributed in our population, this study has been conducted to observe the prevalence of the ABO and Rhesus blood types distributed among our blood donors.

MATERIALS AND METHOD:

It is a retrospective study that was conducted at PIMS Blood Center in Pondicherry from May 2017 to May 2022. According to the guideline established by the Drugs and Cosmetics Act 1940 and rules 1945, a total of 9062 donors were selected. This included donors who had volunteered to donate blood as well as those donating for their patients as a replacement.

Ethics and Informed Consent

Our study was approved by the ethics committee of PIMS INSTITUTE ETHICS COMMITTEE (IEC). REF NO: IEC: RC/18/107

Selection of Donors:

Donors selected according to GSR 166E of Drugs and Cosmetic acts 1940.

Blood grouping of the donors:

Forward and reverse grouping done by the conventional tube method according to blood bank's standard operative procedures. Forward grouping was done with monoclonal anti A, anti B, anti H antisera (Tulip diagnostics ltd) and reverse grouping was done with in-house prepared A, B, O pooled cells.

All the negative group donors proceeded to Du testing to confirm the Rh D antigen. If the Du testing is positive, donor considered to be positive blood group.

The forward grouping was done by one technologist and reverse grouping by another technologist. The blood type was verified only if reverse grouping showed the same result as forward grouping. All the reagents were utilised only after performed the quality control according to drug and cosmetic act rules 1945.

Donor blood group was collected from blood group donor register and blood donor details (Age, sex, voluntary and replacement) were collected from blood donor register.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

All the data was entered into Microsoft Office 2010's Excel sheet. For the analysis descriptive statistics like mean and percentages were calculated for the analysis.

RESULTS:

Total number of donors were 9062. Of which male donors were 8919(98.4%) and the female donors 143(1.6%). Voluntary donors were 6664 (73.5%) and replacement donors were 2398(26.5%).

Mean age of the donor was 27.6 years. The majority of donors were in the 18- to 25-years 4225 (46.6%) (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution of age, gender and type donors.

Age	Male Voluntary	Male Replacement	Female Voluntary	Female Replacement	Total
18-25	3325	820	75	5	4225
26-35	2290	988	17	13	3308
36-45	735	421	13	14	1183
>45	205	135	4	2	346
Total	6555	2364	109	34	9062

The most predominant blood group Among the 9062 blood donors was “O” (38%) followed by “B” (33.5%) then “ A”(21.6%) , “AB” (6.9%) and one Bombay blood group donor. In the Rh D factor, predominant donors were Rh positive were 8386 (92.5) and Rh negative were 676 (7.5) (Table 2).

Table 2: Frequency of blood grouping and Typing.

Blood group	A	B	O	AB	Bombay	Total
Rh	1799	2861	3118	608	0	8386

positive						
Rh Negative	126	183	343	23	01	676
Total	1925	3044	3461	631	01	9062

Among the total deferred female donors, 78 (83.8%) deferred due to low haemoglobin levels (Table 3).

Table 3: Donor deferral

Reasons	Males	Females	Total
Low haemoglobin	41	78	119
Others	347	15	362
	388	93	481

Comparison of blood grouping and typing of our study results with other studies shown in table4.

Table 4: Distribution (%) of ABO & Rh phenotypes at different regions India

Author & State	Number of donors	A (%)	B (%)	AB (%)	O (%)	Rh Positive (%)	Rh Negative (%)
Periyavan et al. Bangaluru	36964	23.9	**29.9**	6.4	39.8	94.2	5.8

Das et al. Vellore	150536	21.8	32.7	6.7	**38.8**	94.5	5.5
Malikarjuna et al Devanagere	19413	26.1	29.9	7.2	**36.8**	94.5	5.5
Grish et al Shimoga Nalnad	ND	24.3	29.4	7.1	**39.2**	94.9	5.1
Chandra et al Lucknow	140320	21.7	**39.8**	9.3	29.1	95.7	4.3
Sidhu et al Punjab	1150	21.9	**37.6**	9.3	31.2	97.3	2.7
Patel et al Western Ahamdabad	5316	21.9	**39.4**	7.9	30.8	95.1	4.9
Wadhwas et al Eastern Ahamdabad	ND	23.3	**35.5**	8.8	32.5	94.2	5.8
Metha et al Surat	ND	24.1	**34.9**	8.7	32.3	94.2	5.8
Gupta et al Indore	17080	24.2	**35.2**	9.1	31.5	95.4	4.6
Nag et al Durgapur	3850	23.9	33.6	7.7	**34.8**	94.7	5.3
Suresh et al Tirupati	49110	21.1	**40.8**	7.6	30.5	91.4	8.6
Present study	9062	21.2	33.6	7	**38.2**	92.5	7.5

Puducherry							

During the study period, 15160 blood components were distributed, with blood group "O" and blood group "B" being the most common.(Table 5).

Table 5: Blood components issued with blood group.

Blood group	A	B	O	AB	Bombay	Total
Rh positive	2965	4756	5315	1108	0	14144
Rh Negative	176	305	466	68	01	1016
Total	3141	5061	**5781**	1176	01	15160

DISCUSSION.

In our study male donors (98.4%) contributions was more than the female donors (1.6%) which is similar with other Indian studies (5, 6, 7). This is generally due to societal stigma, cultural customs and lack of drive and fear of giving blood, among the females in the community. In our study, among the female deferred donors, 83.8% deferred due to low haemoglobin. Thus, a healthy nutritious diet and iron supplements are necessary to improve

the overall health of females. Female donors need to be inspired and made aware of the benefits while also being freed from misconceptions and anxieties.

Most of the blood donors under the age group of 18-25 years. This is comparable with other studies (5,8). The age group above 45 years had the fewest donors since so many persons in this age range have chronic health problems, low haemoglobin, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus, which prevent them from giving blood or cause them to be deemed unfit during predonation counseling.

In our country the number of voluntary blood donors increased from 54.4% in 2006–2007 to 83.1% in 2011–2012 (9). Parul Garg *et al* from Uttarkhand , observed in their study, 99.7% were replacement donors (10). In our study voluntary donors were 73.5%. It is necessary to increase the number of voluntary donations so that all patients in need of blood can receive it immediately without having to wait for replacement donors. Moreover, voluntary donations reduce the risk of transfusion-transmitted diseases. (11). The voluntary contributions must be encouraged in order to obtain safe blood.

According to the current study, the blood type "O" (38%) is the most prevalent, followed by "B" (33.5%), "A" (21.6%), and "AB" (6.9%). Contrary to earlier research from North India, where it was shown that blood group B predominated, this was incongruous. (11,12,13) Studies by Periyavan *et al*. and Suresh *et al.* from South India showed a comparable frequency trend where the O blood group predominated. (14,15).

.

The most common blood types in the neighbouring nations like Pakistan and Nepal were B and A, respectively (16,17).

In a large, multicentric survey, blood group "O" donors made up the majority (37.1%), followed by blood groups B (32.3%), A (22.9%), and AB (7.7%), which was the least common. The results were consistent with our study and those anticipated by the Asiatic trend, which shows that O is the most common letter followed by B, A, and AB (14)

The prevalence of Bombay blood group in our study was found to be 0.011%. This is little higher than the Tamil Nadu (0.004%) and Karnataka (0.005%) studies and lesser than the study from Suresh *et al* (0.03%) (15). In a study from Talukder B *et al* (18). Bombay phenotypic prevalence was observed to be 0.011% overall, with a prevalence of 0.007% in donors and 0.014% in patients, respectively. About 1 in 10,000 Indians and 1 in 100,000 Europeans, respectively, have the Bombay blood group in the general population. (19) The Kutia Kondh tribe in Orissa, eastern India, has a high incidence of the Bombay phenotype (20). One in 278 people in the Bhuyan tribal population, according to another study (21) from northwest Orissa, have the Bombay phenotype. Bombay blood group detection, which is sometimes misconstrued for the "O" group, requires population surveys. All blood banks must keep a thorough register of these uncommon blood groups so that they can be used in case of emergencies.

In much of India, the prevalence of the Rh D blood group ranges from 94% to 98%, while that of the Rh D negative blood group ranges from 2% to 6%. (22). Rh-negative phenotypes affect 15% to 17% of White people, but are less prevalent in other ethnic groups (23). In the current study, 92.5% of the donors were Rh D positive, while 7.5% were Rh D negative.

We analysed the blood components distributed in accordance with blood group. It was discovered that "O" group blood components were primarily used. This is one of the reason O group is predominant in our study.

Understanding blood group systems makes it easier to manage the local blood bank and transfusion services in case of emergencies. The researchers could perhaps investigate the factors influencing the observed blood group system distribution patterns using the data obtained.

CONCLUSIONS:

This study shows that among blood donors, blood group "O" is the most prevalent and blood group "AB" is the least prevalent. Rh positive donors made up 92.5% of the Rhesus blood group system, while Rh negative donors made up 7.5%. Female blood donors were extremely low, and it needs to be raised by raising health awareness about blood donation among women. Health planners can prepare for the region's future health concerns by using the data from this study and several other studies of various geographical regions of India.Every individual’s blood group must be listed on their national identification card, driver's licence, and identity card of school or the workplace. This will be extremely helpful in situations where an urgent transfusion of blood that is yet to be cross-matched is necessary. Similar, well-designed studies must be carried out in other Indian states to ascertain the blood group frequencies there.

REFERENCES :

1. Dennis LY, Hjlem NM, Fidler C. Prenatal diagnosis of fetal Rh D status by molecular analysis of maternal plasma. N Engl J Med. 1998;339:1734–8.

2. Paul L. F. Giangrande. The history of blood transfusion, British Journal of Haematology, 2000;110: 758–767.
3. Khan MS, Subhan F, Tahir F, Kazi BM, Dil AS, Sultan S. Prevalence of blood groups and Rh factor in Bannu region NWFP (Pakistan). *Pak J Med Res.* 2004; 43 (1): 8–10.
4. Sidhu S and Sidhu L S: ABO blood group frequencies among the Sansis of Punjab. *Coll Anthropol.* 1980; 4:55–58.

5. Patel Piyush A, Patel Sangeeta P, Shah Jigesh V, Oza Haren V. Frequency and distribution of blood groups in blood donors in western Ahmedabad – a hospital based study. National J. Med. Res. 2012;2:207-210.
6. Giri P A, Yadav S, Parhar G S, Phalke DB. Frequency of ABO and Rhesus Blood Groups: A study from a rural tertiary care teaching hospital in India. Int J Biol Med Res. 2011;2:988–90.
7. Girish C J, Chandrashekhar T N, Ramesh Babu K, Kantikar S M. ABO and Rhesus blood group distribution among Malnad region blood donors. *Research and Reviews in Biomedicine and Biotechnology [RRBB].* 2011; 2 (3): 25-30.

8. Mallikarjuna S. Prevalence of ABO and Rhesus blood group among blood donors. *Indian J. Pub. Health, Research and Development*. 2012:3(2):106-09.

9. Marwaha, Neelam "Voluntary blood donation in India: Achievements, expectations and challenges". *Asian Journal of Transfusion Science*. 9 (Suppl 1): S1–S2.

10. Parul Garg, Saloni Upadhyay, Sanjay Singh Chufal, Yuman Hasan, and Ishwer Tayal, Prevalance of ABO and Rhesus Blood Groups in Blood Donors: A Study from a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital of Kumaon Region of Uttarakhand, J Clin Diagn Res. 2014 Dec; 8(12)

11. Singh B, Verma M, Kotru M, Verma K, Batra M. "Prevalence of HIV and VDRL seropositivity in blood donors of Delhi" *Indian J Med Res.* 2005;122(3):234-36.

12. Chandra T, Gupta A. Frequency of ABO and rhesus blood groups in blood donors. Asian J Transfus Sci. 2012;6:52-53.
13. Kaur H, Khanna A, Manjari M, Khanna M. Prevalence of ABO blood groups and rhesus (Rh) factor in the population residing in and around Amritsar, Punjab. Asian J Transfus Sci. 2013;7:159.
14. Wadhwa MK, Patel SM, Kothari DC, Pandey M, Patel DD. Distribution of ABO and Rhesus-D groups in Gujarat, India: a hospital based study. Indian J Ped Oncol. 1998;19:137–41
15. Periyavan A, Sangeetha S K, Marimuthu P, Manjunath BK, Seema DM. Distribution of ABO and Rhesus-D, groups in and around Bangalore. Asian J Transfus Sci. 2010;4: p41.

16. B. Suresh, K.V. Sreedhar Babu, P. Chandra Mouli, R. Arun, D.S. Jothibai. Distribution of ABO and rhesus (D) blood group antigens among blood donors at a tertiary care teaching hospital blood bank in South India. J Clin Sci Res. 2015;4:129-35.
17. Khattak ID, Khan TM, Khan P Shah SM, Khattak ST, Ali A. Frequency of ABO and Rhesus blood group in
district Swat, Pakistan. J Ayub Med Coll. 2008; 20:127- 29.
18. Pramanik T, Pramanik S, Distribution of ABO and Rh blood groups in Nepalese medical students: a report. East Mediterr Health J. 2000;6:156-58.
19. Talukder B, Datta SS, Mukherjee S, Mukherjee K. Prevalence of bombay group blood in southern bengal population. Indian J Hematol Blood Transfus 2014;30:149.
20. Oriol R, Canelier JJ, Mollicone R. Molecular genetics of H. Vox Sang 2000;78 Suppl 2:105-8..
21. Balgir RS. Health and morbidity pattern in the tea garden labour communities of north east India.In: Sarthak S, editor. The tea labourers of north east India. New Delhi: Mittal publications;2006.p.223-35.32.
22. Balgir RS. Identification of a rare blood group “Bombay (Oh) phenotype” in Bhuyan tribe of northwestern Orissa, India. Indian J Hum Genet 2007;13:109-13.
23. Agrawal A, Tiwari AK, Mehta N, Bhattacharya P, Wankhede R, Tulsiani S, et al. ABO and Rh (D) group distribution and gene frequency; the first multicentric study in India. Asian J Transfus Sci2014;8:121-5.
24. Daniels G. Human blood groups. Oxford:Blackwell; 2002.
25. Frances TF. Blood groups (ABO groups). In: Common Laboratory and Diagnostic Tests. 3rd Edition, Philadelphia: Lippincott, 2002; p.19–15.

26. Mollison PL, Engelfriet CP, Conteras M. The Rh blood Group system. In Blood Transfusion in Clinical Medicine, 9th Edition. Oxford: Black well Scientific Publication.1993; 2008–09.