The African American Dementia and Aging Project (AADAPt): An Oregon-based Longitudinal Study

Allison Lindauer, PhD, APRN
Oregon Health & Science University
Oregon Alzheimer's Disease Research Center

Raina Croff, PhD
Oregon Health & Science University
Oregon Alzheimer's Disease Research Center

Kevin Duff, PhD
Oregon Health & Science University
Oregon Alzheimer's Disease Research Center

Nora Mattek, MPH
Oregon Health & Science University
Oregon Alzheimer's Disease Research Center

Patrice Fuller, BS
Oregon Health & Science University
Oregon Alzheimer's Disease Research Center

Aimee Pierce, MD
Oregon Health & Science University
Oregon Alzheimer's Disease Research Center

Kalisha Bonds Johnson, PhD, RN, PMHNP-BC
Emory University
Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing

Jeffrey Kaye, MD
Oregon Health & Science University
Oregon Alzheimer's Disease Research Center

The protocol is approved by the OHSU Institutional Review Board (IRB #00001480) and all participants provided written informed consent prior to engaging in study procedures. Data is available from our local repository (#6845), and is submitted to the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center Repository, which adds regional diversity to the national sample (https://naccdata.org/requesting-data/nacc-data).

All authors have seen and approved the manuscript.

Funding: National Institute on Aging, P30AG66518

The authors are grateful for the long-term, consistent and engagement of the AADAPt participants.

Competing Interests:
AP has received research funding from the following pharmaceutical companies: Alector, Biohaven, Cognition Therapeutics, Eisai, Eli Lilly, Vivoryon Therapeutics

Dr. Kaye has received research support awarded to his institution, Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) from the National Institutes of Health, National Science Foundation, the Digital Medicine Society, and AbbVie. He has been directly compensated for serving on Data Safety Monitoring Committees for Eli Lilly and Ionis Pharmaceuticals, and as an external Advisory Committee member for the Rush and Stanford University Alzheimer's Disease Research Centers. He receives reimbursement through Medicare or commercial insurance plans for providing clinical assessment and care for patients. He serves uncompensated on the editorial advisory board and as Associate Editor of the journal, Alzheimer's & Dementia. OHSU and Dr. Kaye have a financial interest in Life Analytics, Inc., a company that is developing remote monitoring software technology. The nature of this financial interest have been reviewed by the Research Integrity Office at OHSU (coir@ohsu.edu).

Abstract

Objectives. The vast majority of studies on aging, cognition, and dementia focus on non-Hispanic white subjects. This paper adds to the extant literature by providing insight into the African American aging experience. Here we describe the study design and baseline characteristics of the African American Dementia and Aging Project (AADAPt) study, which is exploring aging and cognition in African American older adults in Oregon.

Methods. African American older adults (n=177) participated in AADAPt, a longitudinal study that collected data on cognitive, physical, and social functioning in annual visits since 2000.

Results. AADAPt participants had risk factors for developing dementia in future, such as hypertension and hyperlipidemia, but also reported protective factors such as high social engagement.

Conclusions. The AADAPt project offers new insights into aging in older African Americans that includes data on cognition, social engagement, and physical health, which are crucial for understanding the experience of under-represented groups and making future studies more inclusive.

Clinical Implications. These findings reflect a window of time for a geographically-focused cohort, and the lessons learned from this study likely have broader implications for shaping the health of these older African American adults.

Keywords: African American, Dementia, Observational Study

Introduction

Although one in nine older Americans has dementia due to Alzheimer's disease and related dementias (ADRD) (Alzheimer's Association, 2024), African Americans bear a heavier burden due to the significantly higher prevalence of ADRD in this population (Mehta & Yeo, 2017; Plassman et al., 2007). However, our understanding of cognitive and functional declines in the African American population remains limited. The African American Dementia and Aging Project (AADAPt), housed within the Oregon Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (OADRC), aims to explore and define the physical, cognitive, and social factors that contribute to health and cognitive decline in this historically underrepresented group. Our long-term goal is to use such findings to develop predictive models of aging and cognitive and functional decline in this sample, as well as inform the methods and processes that are necessary for engaging these individuals in observational and interventional research. This paper describes the study design and baseline data, setting the stage for future analyses.

It has been posited that the risk of ADRD in African Americans is higher due to physical and medical conditions such as hypertension (Mayeda et al., 2014; Schneider et al., 2015), and to historical and ongoing systemic inequities such as educational quality. For example, cross-sectional studies indicate that lower educational attainment in African Americans is associated with dementia, (Callahan et al., 1996; Hall et al., 2000), but several longitudinal studies found the association between education, race, and cognitive decline to be more nuanced (Carvalho et al., 2015; Sisco et al., 2015). A few studies have examined how other factors, such as social engagement (Barnes et al., 2004; Hamlin et al., 2022) and physical function (Studenski et al., 2011; Wolinsky et al., 2014) affect the African American aging process and cognitive well-being over time. The overarching aims of AADAPt are to: 1) identify predictors of successful aging in this Oregon-based

African American community, and 2) elucidate factors that contribute to cognitive decline in the group.

To our knowledge, this is the only longitudinal study of African Americans and aging in Oregon. The Oregon perspective offers a unique opportunity to understand how time, place, and education affect aging and cognitive function over time. The African American community is relatively new to this part of the United States. Oregon's first constitution banned non-white citizens from the state but this was reversed by the time transcontinental train systems extended to the Pacific Northwest in the early 1900s (Brooks, 2006; Oregon State Constitution, 1857). The number of African Americans in Oregon was small until World War II (WWII), when the high demand for shipyard workers brought thousands to the region (Demarco, 1990; McLagen, 1980). Many lived in a company town which did not segregate neighborhoods or schools (Maben, 2000).

While Oregon skirted the oppressive Jim Crow laws of the mid-20th century, the African American community still faced considerable discrimination and racism in the Pacific Northwest (McLagen, 1980). The Ku Klux Klan had an early influential presence in Oregon, with up to 25,000 members in 1922 (Chalmers, 1965; Richard, 1983). Later, at the conclusion of WWII, many African American citizens faced challenges finding housing due to strict "redlining" laws, in which options were limited to circumscribed areas in Portland, Oregon's largest city (Holmes, 1948). In response to the racist mortgage lending practices, the vast majority of Portland's African American community settled in the cluster of conjoined neighborhoods, known as the Albina District (Bates 2019; Gibson 2007).

Over the years, the African American community's response to discrimination in the Pacific Northwest has been solidarity. Social networks were built and strengthened through memberships in clubs, churches, workers' unions, and other social venues,

reinforcing the strength of the community while simultaneously defining it on its own terms rather than on those of the dominant culture (Bates, 2019; Millner, 1995). Neighbors grew up with intergenerational knowledge of one another, cultivating long-term trusted bonds (Croff et al., 2021). Close proximity of resources, including social support, is critical for aging in place (Levasseur et al., 2015), and living within the Albina District's concentrated Black community meant that older adults and their families could draw from a deep and wide communal pool of close-proximity familial and neighborly support, consistent with Black family dementia caregiving ecosystems and values of minimal formal care usage (Bonds Johnson et al., 2022; Brewster et al., 2020). A strong sense of social support and opportunities for neighborhood-based social engagement help mitigate family burden and cognitive decline, and counter depression and anxiety (Brewster et al., 2020; Clay et al., 2008).

More recently, gentrification-related community displacement is fracturing close-proximity social supports, decreasing social networks, and increasing stress (Croff et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2018; Versey, 2018). These changes can be detrimental for older Black families who remain in gentrifying neighborhoods and potentially for those who relocate. Thus, it remains to be seen if gentrification in Portland's historically African American neighborhoods and the related dispersal of residents will influence the cognitive health and rates of ADRD of AADAPt participants. Considering this context, the AADAPt study may provide valuable insight on the potential effects of temporal demographic and social trends on aging and dementia.

Methods

Design and setting

This longitudinal, observational study assesses the physical, cognitive, and social health of older African American participants annually. Recruitment is continuous, with

the first participants enrolled in 2000. Here we describe the sample and findings up to 2019. The protocol is approved by the OHSU Institutional Review Board (IRB #00001480) and all participants provided written informed consent prior to engaging in study procedures. Data is available from our local repository (#6845), and is submitted to the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center Repository, which adds regional diversity to the national sample (https://naccdata.org/requesting-data/nacc-data).

Participants

Participants (n=177) were recruited from the Portland, Oregon metropolitan area. To facilitate recruitment, the AADAPt research team collaborates with local community organizations, such as PreSERVE Coalition (https://www.preserve-coalition.org), a group of community members and academicians that promote brain health in the African American community. Churches, sororities, and health care systems also promote recruitment opportunities by providing space for information sessions, study presentations, and networking opportunities.

To be included in the study, participants need to self-identify as Black/African American, age 55 years or more. They cannot have a dementia diagnosis at study entry, and they need to able to participate in cognitive and functional testing. They must have a study partner to provide collateral historical data. Participants are encouraged to continue with the study for as long as they are able.

To encourage participation and reduce barriers to enrollment, transportation to research visits is provided free of charge. Participants are remunerated $30 per visit for their time and effort. Participants are thanked and updated on study findings at an annual brunch hosted by the research team in the community's neighborhood.

Procedures and measures

Enrolled participants attend clinic-based visits annually. The health history of participants, including family history of dementia, is documented. They are offered the option of assenting to brain donation after death for genetic and other analyses.

During the annual visits, a broad range of physical, cognitive, and social data is collected on each participant (see Table 1). The results presented here are from data collected at the baseline visit on 177 participants. These AADAPt participants had the option of receiving a brain MRI for volumetric analyses (Mueller et al., 1998), and blood samples for apolipoprotein E evaluation (Farrer et al., 1997).

Statistical analyses

Baseline descriptive findings of health-related risk factors (e.g., hypertension, diabetes), cognition, and social functioning are presented for the overall cohort. Additionally, comparisons were made between those classified as cognitively and functioning intact at baseline (i.e., Global Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR)=0, (Morris, 1993)) and those with at least questionable cognition and/or daily functioning (i.e., CDR>0) with a series of three MANCOVAs that controlled for group differences on age and education: 1) Daily functioning (i.e., basic and instrumental activities of daily living (Fillenbaum, 1985; Lawton & Brody, 1969)), 2) cognition (i.e., demographically-corrected z-scores on WRAT-R Reading, MMSE, Digit Span Forward and Backward, Category Fluency, CERAD Word List Acquisition and Delay Recall, Logical Memory I and II (Shirk et al., 2011; Weintraub et al., 2018; Weintraub et al., 2009)), and 3) physical functioning (i.e., CIRS (Parmelee et al., 1995), Tinetti Gait and Balance(Tinetti, 1986)). For these MANCOVAs, follow-up ANCOVAs examined which measures within the domain were significantly different between the two groups. Finally, two ANCOVAs, which also controlled for age and education, compared the two groups on 1) depression

(i.e., GDS/CESD(Radloff, 1977; Sheikh & Yesavage, 1986)) and 2) social activity (i.e., a composite measure of social activities (Morgan, 1985)). Since data on this cohort was collected over a long period of time, this was considered as another possible covariate. However, there were not group differences (i.e., Global CDR=0 vs. >0) on time of data collection (p=0.08). Comparisons were considered statistically significantly different if p<0.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS 29.0 software.

Results

Demographics. The sample included 177 participants who met the inclusion criteria and completed a baseline visit (Table 2). Most were cognitively intact at enrollment (76%, CDR=0), and, based on responses from a collateral source, the participants were quite intact for basic and instrumental activities of daily living. Nearly half of the participants lived alone at the time of the baseline evaluation (45%), 37% lived with a spouse or partner, and 17% lived with a family member (e.g., children, grandchildren, sibling). Most participants were born in the southern United States (70%) and moved to the Pacific Northwest in their youth. Over a third of the cohort (37%) was born in Texas or Louisiana.

Cognition. The majority of the sample (76%) had a CDR global rating of 0.0, with 24% having a rating of 0.5 (and <1% had a CDR of 1.0, but had a MMSE score that allowed inclusion). For the entire sample, 7% rated their memory as “excellent,” 59% as “good,” 29% as “fair,” and 5% as “poor” or “very poor.” Global cognition, as measured by the MMSE (Folstein et al., 1975), was consistent with subjective data, mean=27.6 (SD 2.4), which falls above the conventional cutoff for dementia. Premorbid intellect was average (i.e., WRAT Reading), as was simple attention (i.e., Digit Span Forward), semantic fluency (i.e., Category Fluency), and verbal learning and memory (i.e., CERAD

List Acquisition and Recall, Logical Memory I and II). Complex attention (i.e., Digit Span Backward) was low average.

Physical Health. Participants were optimistic about their physical health, with 71% rating their overall physical health as “good” or “excellent”, 26% viewed their health as “fair,” and 3% endorsed “poor” or “very poor”. Despite this optimistic view of their health, a sizable proportion reported current or past stroke or transient ischemic attack (26%), diabetes (33%), hyperlipidemia (54%) and hypertension (83%), and 50% reported ever smoking. Over half (58%) reported a family history of dementia. Even with these multiple vascular risk factors and dementia family history, baseline medical burden was comparable to another cohort of community dwelling older adults (Kaye et al., 2011) (CIRS: mean=21.4, SD=3.9 [55th percentile of Kaye et al., 2011]). Their balance and gait were also comparable to community dwelling older adults (Tinetti Gait: median=0 [50th percentile of Kaye et al., 2011], Tinetti Balance median=1.5, [~50th percentile of Kaye et al., 2011]).

Mental Health. In this cohort, 53% reported past or current depression. However, on screening measures of depressive symptoms (Table 1), minimal such symptoms were endorsed. Similarly, in a subset of this cohort, their collaterals endorsed minimal neuropsychiatric symptoms in them on the Neuropsychiatric Inventory total score = 0.23 (0.83) (Cummings et al., 1994).

Social Activity. Participants reported active social engagement. For example, the modal number of current close friends that participants reported was five. Most reported engaging in the following activities daily or weekly: attending church (76%), going out to eat (57%), and having visitors (54%). They followed the news, with 57% reading the newspaper or 92% listening to news on the radio/television on a daily basis. Over one-third of the cohort reported daily use of a computer. Social activity was also related to

other outcomes. For example, using a composite of social activities greater social engagement was associated with better global cognition (MMSE: r=0.24, p=0.005), lower depression symptom scores (GDS/CESD: r=-0.21, p=0.01), and lower medical comorbidity (CIRS: r=-0.21, p=0.008).

Physiologic assessments. In a subset of 88 participants who completed an MRI of the brain, the average hippocampal volume was 1.3 cc (SD=0.2), and total brain volume= 908 cc (SD=103), WMH 5.0 cc (SD=6.3) (Mueller et al., 1998). Apolipoprotein E was assessed in 121 of the AADAPt participants. Of these, 67.5% of the sample had no $\epsilon 4$ alleles, 30% of the sample had one $\epsilon 4$ allele, and 2.6% of the sample had two $\epsilon 4$ alleles (Farrer et al., 1997; Logue et al., 2023).

Comparison between CDR Groups. When the individuals with intact CDR scores (CDR=0) were compared to those with questionable or more severe dementia (CDR>0), the intact participants were younger (p<0.001) and had more years of education (p<0.001). The groups did not differ by gender (p=0.48) (Table 2). As such, age and education were used as covariates in these group comparisons. In an initial MANCOVA on daily functioning, the two groups differed on collateral ratings of their abilities to perform activities of daily living, with the CDR=0 group performing significantly better than the CDR>0 group (F[2,145]=7.1, p=0.001). In follow-up ANCOVAs, this difference was present on both scales of basic (p<0.001) and instrumental (p=0.006) activities.

In a MANCOVA examining cognition, there were overall differences between these two groups (F[9,120]=4.0, p<0.001), with the CDR=0 group performing better than the CDR>0 group. In follow-up ANCOVAs, there were no group differences on premorbid intellect or simple and complex attention. Conversely, those rated as intact had significantly better global cognition (p<0.001), semantic fluency (p=0.047), list learning (p=0.004) and recall (p<0.001), and story learning (p=0.01) and recall (p<0.001) than

those with impaired CDR scores. Such scores differences suggest that the impaired group presented with an amnestic cognitive profile that could indicate preclinical Alzheimer's disease.

In a MANCOVA on physical functioning, there were significant group differences ($F[3,144]=4.1$, $p=0.008$). In follow-up ANCOVAs, those with CDR=0 had fewer medical comorbidities (CIRS: $p=0.04$), better balance (Tinnetti balance: $p=0.01$), and better gait (Tinnetti gait: $p=0.003$) compared to those with CDR>0. In an ANCOVA examining responses on self-reported depression screenings, those with CDR=0 endorsed significantly fewer such symptoms compared to those with CDR>0 ($F[1,170]=28.5$, $p=0.003$). Finally, in an ANCOVA using a composite of social activities, individuals rated as CDR>0 engaged in significantly fewer social activities than those rated as CDR=0 ($F[1,150]=39.3$, $p=0.03$).

Discussion

Since the prevalence of ADRD is significantly higher in African Americans (Mehta & Yeo, 2017; Plassman et al., 2007) and the understanding of cognitive changes in this population remains limited, we present the baseline results of AADAPt, which explores and defines physical, cognitive, and social factors that contribute to health and cognitive decline in this Pacific Northwest urban community. These findings add to the existing literature on the complex interplay of variables that influence cognitive health and impairment in this historically underrepresented group in medical research. These baseline results suggest that many participants had optimistic perceptions of their health, yet objective and important risk factors that could predict future cognitive impairment and functional decline were present.

Nearly three-quarters of AADAPt participants self-rated their physical health as good or excellent. Despite this subjective report, the cohort also had high rates of

hypertension (83%), hyperlipidemia (54%), and diabetes (33%), and over a quarter of the sample had a history of a stroke or TIA, which is much higher than the national prevalence of stroke in this group (Tsao et al., 2023). This constellation of risk factors suggests this group is vulnerable to developing vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease (Arfanakis et al., 2020).

Similarly, two-thirds of the cohort rated their memory as good to excellent, and nearly as many reported no worsening in their memory over the past year. Objective measures of cognition were consistent with this report, as global cognition, simple attention, semantic fluency, verbal learning and memory all fell into the average range. This suggests, even with higher risk of cognitive decline, the current enrollees remain resilient to this risk.

More than half of AADAPt participants endorsed past or current depression, however responses on a screening measure of depressive symptoms were indicative of minimal symptoms of depression. Participants reported active social engagement (e.g., regularly attending church, going out to eat, having visitors) and greater social engagement. They individuals also regularly read the newspaper, listen to news, and use a computer. These activities were correlated with higher cognition, lower depression scores and less overall medical burden, and may explain why these individuals report few difficulties with low mood symptoms.

Three-quarters of the AADAPt cohort was cognitively healthy at baseline (CDR 0) and one-quarter had MCI (mild cognitive impairment) or questionable dementia (CDR>0). Cognitively healthy participants had fewer medical comorbidities, better cognition, fewer symptoms of depression, and were engaged in more social activities than those with questionable dementia. Longitudinal changes in these two groups will be important to examine if participants convert to MCI or progress to ADRD.

Studies indicate that subjective memory complaint can predict future cognitive decline (Lee & Foster, 2023) and is associated with depression. Our findings of high stroke prevalence and depression in the AADAPt cohort suggest this group is particularly vulnerable to future cognitive impairment. However, despite these risk factors, the participants had high levels of perceived cognitive and physical health. Strong community cohesion, coupled with this optimism may have protective features that need to be explored.

This study offers insight into cognitive, physical and social well-being in this cohort of African Americans in Oregon. It is unique in that it is a longitudinal study that explores a range of risk factors for dementia with participants who, at baseline, are cognitively intact. The AADAPt participants are well-characterized with physical, cognitive and social measures collected annually. Our findings suggest that there is an important interplay between environment and perception, but how these relationships play out is unknown.

Limitations

AADAPt participants were recruited from the metropolitan Portland area, which limits the geographic generalizability of these findings. Participants were also self-selected, which could create some bias in this sample. Our sample has limited representation from those with lower educational levels.

Conclusions

African Americans have a greater prevalence of MCI and ADRD than the general population. Additional data is needed to better understand the risk factors associated with cognitive decline as well as the modifiable preventive factors that may be promoted before cognitive and functional deficits develop. This ongoing study will inform cognitive and functional changes in older, community-dwelling African Americans. As such, the

AADAPt study provides a deeper understanding of factors that may influence cognitive health for older African Americans living in the Pacific Northwest.

Clinical Implications

- The participants in this study had risk factors for dementia, but also positive appraisals of health.
- Cognitively healthy participants had fewer medical comorbidities, better cognition, fewer symptoms of depression and were engaged in more social activities than those with questionable dementia. This provides information to promote health aging for African Americans.
- The AADAPt study may provide valuable insight on the potential effects of temporal demographic and social trends that may influence aging in the African American community.

References

Alzheimer's Association. (2024). Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures. *Alzheimer's Dement, 20*(5).

Arfanakis, K., Evia, A. M., Leurgans, S. E., Cardoso, L. F. C., Kulkarni, A., Alqam, N., Lopes, L. F., Vieira, D., Bennett, D. A., & Schneider, J. A. (2020). Neuropathologic Correlates of White Matter Hyperintensities in a Community-Based Cohort of Older Adults. *J Alzheimers Dis, 73*(1), 333-345. https://doi.org/10.3233/jad-190687

Barnes, L. L., Mendes de Leon, C. F., Bienias, J. L., & Evans, D. A. (2004). A longitudinal study of black-white differences in social resources. *The journals of gerontology.Series B, Psychological sciences and social sciences, 59*(3), S146-153.

Bates, L. (2019). Growth without displacement: A test for equity planning in Portland. In H. K. Krumhols N (Ed.), *Advancing equity planning now* (pp. 21-43). Cornell University Press.

Bonds Johnson, K., Brewster, G. S., Cicero, E., Hepburn, K., Clevenger, C. K., Daniel, G., Pak, V., Paul, S., & Epps, F. (2022, May 26). Promoting Caregiver Mastery in Black American Dementia Caregivers. *Gerontologist, 62*(5), 685-693. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnab147

Brewster, G. S., Bonds, K., McLennon, S., Moss, K. O., Epps, F., & Lopez, R. P. (2020, Nov). Missing the Mark: The Complexity of African American Dementia Family Caregiving. *J Fam Nurs, 26*(4), 294-301. https://doi.org/10.1177/1074840720945329

Brooks, C. A. (2006). Politics of forgetting: How Oregon forgot to ratify the Fouteenth Ammendment. *Oregon Humanities, Fall-Winter: 46-54*.

Callahan, C. M., Hall, K. S., Hui, S. L., Musick, B. S., Unverzagt, F. W., & Hendrie, H. C. (1996). Relationship of age, education, and occupation with dementia among a community-based sample of African Americans. *Archives of Neurology, 53*(2), 134-140.

Carvalho, J. O., Tommet, D., Crane, P. K., Thomas, M. L., Claxton, A., Habeck, C., Manly, J. J., & Romero, H. R. (2015). Deconstructing racial differences: the effects of quality of education and cerebrovascular risk factors. *The journals of gerontology.Series B, Psychological sciences and social sciences, 70*(4), 545-556. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbu086 [doi]

Chalmers, D. M. (1965). Oregon: Puritanism replotted. In *Hooded Americanism: The first century of the Ku Klux Klan 1865-1965* (pp. 85-91). Doubleday & Company, Inc. https://doi.org/10.2307/1908871

Chan, A. S., Salmon, D. P., Butters, N., & Johnson, S. A. (1995, May). Semantic network abnormality predicts rate of cognitive decline in patients with probable

Alzheimer's disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*, *1*(3), 297-303. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9375224

Clay, O. J., Roth, D. L., Wadley, V. G., & Haley, W. E. (2008, Aug). Changes in social support and their impact on psychosocial outcome over a 5-year period for African American and White dementia caregivers. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*, *23*(8), 857-862. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.1996

Croff, R., Hedmann, M., & Barnes, L. L. (2021, Nov 15). Whitest City in America: A Smaller Black Community's Experience of Gentrification, Displacement, and Aging in Place. *Gerontologist*, *61*(8), 1254-1265. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnab041

Cummings, J. L., Mega, M., Gray, K., Rosenberg-Thompson, S., Carusi, D. A., & Gornbein, J. (1994, Dec). The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: comprehensive assessment of psychopathology in dementia. *Neurology*, *44*(12), 2308-2314. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7991117

Demarco, G. (1990). *A short history of Portland*. Lexikos.

Fahn, S., Elton, R.L. Members of the UPDRS Development Committee. Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale. (1987). MacMillan.

Farrer, L. A., Cupples, L. A., Haines, J. L., Hyman, B., Kukull, W. A., Mayeux, R., Myers, R. H., Pericak-Vance, M. A., Risch, N., & van Duijn, C. M. (1997, Oct 22-29). Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis. APOE and Alzheimer Disease Meta Analysis Consortium. *Jama*, *278*(16), 1349-1356.

Fillenbaum, G. G. (1985). Screening the elderly. A brief instrumental activities of daily living measure. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *33*(10), 698-706.

Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., & McHugh, P. R. (1975). “Mini-mental state”: A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of psychiatric research*, *12*(3), 189-198. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6

Hall, K. S., Gao, S., Unverzagt, F. W., & Hendrie, H. C. (2000). Low education and childhood rural residence: risk for Alzheimer's disease in African Americans. *Neurology*, *54*(1), 95-99.

Hamlin, A. M., Kraal, A. Z., Sol, K., Morris, E. P., Martino, A. G., Zaheed, A. B., & Zahodne, L. B. (2022, Oct). Social engagement and its links to cognition differ across non-Hispanic Black and White older adults. *Neuropsychology*, *36*(7), 640-650. https://doi.org/10.1037/neu0000844

Holmes, L. G. (1948). Code of Ethics, National Association of Real Estate Boards, Part III. In L. G. Holmes (Ed.), *The real estate handbook*. Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Jastak, S., & Wilkinson, G. S. (1984). *Wide Range Achievement Test-Revised*. Jastak Assessment Systems.

Kaye, J. A., Maxwell, S. A., Mattek, N., Hayes, T. L., Dodge, H., Pavel, M., Jimison, H. B., Wild, K., Boise, L., & Zitzelberger, T. A. (2011, Jul). Intelligent Systems For Assessing Aging Changes: home-based, unobtrusive, and continuous assessment of aging. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci, 66 Suppl 1*, i180-190. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbq095

Lawton, M. P., & Brody, E. M. (1969, Autumn). Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist, 9*(3), 179-186.

Lee, C. D., & Foster, E. R. (2023, Jul 1). Subjective Memory Complaints Predict Decline in Memory, Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, and Social Participation in Older Adults: A Fixed-Effects Model. *Am J Occup Ther, 77*(4). https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2023.050151

Levasseur, M., Genereux, M., Bruneau, J. F., Vanasse, A., Chabot, E., Beaulac, C., & Bedard, M. M. (2015, May 23). Importance of proximity to resources, social support, transportation and neighborhood security for mobility and social participation in older adults: results from a scoping study. *BMC Public Health, 15*, 503. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1824-0

Logue, M. W., Dasgupta, S., & Farrer, L. A. (2023, Aug 9). Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease in the African American Population. *J Clin Med, 12*(16). https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12165189

Maben, M. (2000). *Vanport*. Oregon Historical Society Press.

Mayeda, E. R., Karter, A. J., Huang, E. S., Moffet, H. H., Haan, M. N., & Whitmer, R. A. (2014). Racial/ethnic differences in dementia risk among older type 2 diabetic patients: the diabetes and aging study. *Diabetes care, 37*(4), 1009-1015. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-0215 [doi]

McLagen, E. (1980). *A peculiar paradise*. The Georgian Press Company.

Mehta, K. M., & Yeo, G. W. (2017, Jan). Systematic review of dementia prevalence and incidence in United States race/ethnic populations. *Alzheimers Dement, 13*(1), 72-83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.06.2360

Millner, D. (1995). *On the road to equality: The Urban League of Portland: A 50 year retrospective, 1945-1995*. The Urban League of Portland.

Morgan, K., Dallosso, H. M., & Ebrahim, S. B. J. . (1985). A brief self-report scale for assessing personal engagement in the elderly. In A. Butler (Ed.), *Ageing: recent advances and creative responses.* . Croom Helm.

Morris, J. C. (1993). The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): Current version and scoring rules. *Neurology, 43*, 2412-2414. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.43.11.2412-a

Mueller, E. A., Moore, M. M., Kerr, D. C., Sexton, G., Camicioli, R. M., Howieson, D. B., Quinn, J. F., & Kaye, J. A. (1998, Dec). Brain volume preserved in healthy elderly through the eleventh decade. *Neurology, 51*(6), 1555-1562. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.51.6.1555

Oregon State Constitution. (1857). Articles XV and XVIII. *Oregon Historical Society Research Library, Belknap 295*.

Parmelee, P. A., Thuras, P. D., Katz, I. R., & Lawton, M. P. (1995, Feb). Validation of the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale in a geriatric residential population. *J Am Geriatr Soc, 43*(2), 130-137. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7836636

Plassman, B. L., Langa, K. M., Fisher, G. G., Heeringa, S. G., Weir, D. R., Ofstedal, M. B., Burke, J. R., Hurd, M. D., Potter, G. G., Rodgers, W. L., Steffens, D. C., Willis, R. J., & Wallace, R. B. (2007). Prevalence of dementia in the United States: The aging, demographics, and memory study. *Neuroepidemiology, 29*(1-2), 125-132. https://doi.org/10.1159/000109998

Radloff, L. S. (1977). The CES-D Scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 1*(3), 385-401. https://doi.org/10.1177/014662167700100306.

Richard, K. (1983). Unwelcome settlers: Black and Mulatto Oregon Pioneers. *Oregon Historical Quarterly, 84*(1), 29-55.

Schneider, B. C., Gross, A. L., Bangen, K. J., Skinner, J. C., Benitez, A., Glymour, M. M., Sachs, B. C., Shih, R. A., Sisco, S., Manly, J. J., & Luchsinger, J. A. (2015). Association of vascular risk factors with cognition in a multiethnic sample. *The journals of gerontology.Series B, Psychological sciences and social sciences, 70*(4), 532-544. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbu040 [doi]

Sheikh, J. I., & Yesavage, J. A. (1986). Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS): Recent evidence and development of a shorter version. *Clinical Gerontologist: The Journal of Aging and Mental Health, 5*(1-2), 165-173. https://doi.org/10.1300/J018v05n01_09

Shirk, S. D., Mitchell, M. B., Shaughnessy, L. W., Sherman, J. C., Locascio, J. J., Weintraub, S., & Atri, A. (2011, Nov 11). A web-based normative calculator for the uniform data set (UDS) neuropsychological test battery. *Alzheimers Res Ther, 3*(6), 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/alzrt94

Sisco, S., Gross, A. L., Shih, R. A., Sachs, B. C., Glymour, M. M., Bangen, K. J., Benitez, A., Skinner, J., Schneider, B. C., & Manly, J. J. (2015). The role of early-life educational quality and literacy in explaining racial disparities in cognition in late life. *The journals of gerontology.Series B, Psychological sciences and social sciences, 70*(4), 557-567. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbt133 [doi]

Smith, R. J., Lehning, A. J., & Kim, K. (2018, Jan 18). Aging in Place in Gentrifying Neighborhoods: Implications for Physical and Mental Health. *Gerontologist, 58*(1), 26-35. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnx105

Studenski, S., Perera, S., Patel, K., Rosano, C., Faulkner, K., Inzitari, M., Brach, J., Chandler, J., Cawthon, P., Connor, E. B., Nevitt, M., Visser, M., Kritchevsky, S., Badinelli, S., Harris, T., Newman, A. B., Cauley, J., Ferrucci, L., & Guralnik, J. (2011). Gait speed and survival in older adults. *Jama, 305*(1), 50-58. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.1923 [doi]

Tinetti, M. E. (1986). Performance-Oriented Assessment of Mobility Problems in Elderly Patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 34*(2), 119-126. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1986.tb05480.x

Tsao, C. W., Aday, A. W., Almarzooq, Z. I., Anderson, C. A. M., Arora, P., Avery, C. L., Baker-Smith, C. M., Beaton, A. Z., Boehme, A. K., Buxton, A. E., Commodore-Mensah, Y., Elkind, M. S. V., Evenson, K. R., Eze-Nliam, C., Fugar, S., Generoso, G., Heard, D. G., Hiremath, S., Ho, J. E., Kalani, R., Kazi, D. S., Ko, D., Levine, D. A., Liu, J., Ma, J., Magnani, J. W., Michos, E. D., Mussolino, M. E., Navaneethan, S. D., Parikh, N. I., Poudel, R., Rezk-Hanna, M., Roth, G. A., Shah, N. S., St-Onge, M. P., Thacker, E. L., Virani, S. S., Voeks, J. H., Wang, N. Y., Wong, N. D., Wong, S. S., Yaffe, K., & Martin, S. S. (2023, Feb 21). Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2023 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation, 147*(8), e93-e621. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000001123

Versey, H. S. (2018, Oct). A tale of two Harlems: Gentrification, social capital, and implications for aging in place. *Soc Sci Med, 214*, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.07.024

Wechsler, D. (1981). *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised* (The Psychological Corporation, Ed.). Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich, Inc.

Wechsler, D. (1987). Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised Manual. (Monograph).

Weintraub, S., Besser, L., Dodge, H. H., Teylan, M., Ferris, S., Goldstein, F. C., Giordani, B., Kramer, J., Loewenstein, D., Marson, D., Mungas, D., Salmon, D., Welsh-Bohmer, K., Zhou, X. H., Shirk, S. D., Atri, A., Kukull, W. A., Phelps, C., & Morris, J. C. (2018, Jan-Mar). Version 3 of the Alzheimer Disease Centers' Neuropsychological Test Battery in the Uniform Data Set (UDS). *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord, 32*(1), 10-17. https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0000000000000223

Weintraub, S., Salmon, D., Mercaldo, N., Ferris, S., Graff-Radford, N. R., Chui, H., Cummings, J., DeCarli, C., Foster, N. L., Galasko, D., Peskind, E., Dietrich, W., Beekly, D. L., Kukull, W. A., & Morris, J. C. (2009, Apr-Jun). The Alzheimer's Disease Centers' Uniform Data Set (UDS): the neuropsychologic test battery. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord, 23*(2), 91-101. https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0b013e318191c7dd

Welsh, K. A., Butters, N., Mohs, R. C., Beekly, D., Edland, S., Fillenbaum, G., & Heyman, A. (1994). The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part V. A normative study of the neuropsychological battery. *Neurology*, *44*(4), 609-614.

Wolinsky, F. D., Ayyagari, P., Malmstrom, T. K., Miller, J. P., Andresen, E. M., Schootman, M., & Miller, D. K. (2014). Lower extremity function trajectories in the African American Health Cohort. *The journals of gerontology.Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*, *69*(8), 1004-1010. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glt197 [doi]

Table 1

AADAPt Baseline Assessment Batteries

Cognitive Assessment Battery	Clinical Assessment Battery
MMSE (Folstein et al., 1975)	CESD Depression Scale (Radloff, 1977)
Digit Span Forward (Wechsler, 1981)	Geriatric Depression Scale (Sheikh & Yesavage, 1986)
Digit Span Backward (Wechsler, 1981)	Neuropsychiatric Inventory (Cummings et al., 1994)
Logical Memory (Wechsler, 1987)	Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) (Morris, 1993)
Consortium to Establish a Registry on Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) Word List (Welsh et al., 1994)	Activities of Daily Living (Fillenbaum, 1985)
Category Fluency (Chan et al., 1995)	Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (Lawton & Brody, 1969)
Reading subtest of the Wide Range Achievement Test – Revised (Jastak & Wilkinson, 1984)	Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS) (Parmelee et al., 1995)
	Medical history review
	Medication review
	Social Activity Questionnaire (Morgan, 1985)
	Neurological Exam (Fahn, 1987)
	Tinetti Gait & Balance (Tinetti, 1986)

Table 2.
Baseline demographic and clinical measures for the total sample by cognitive status

	Total	CDR=0	CDR>0
N	172*	130	42
Age (years)	72.6 (7.4)	71.3 (6.7)	76.9 (7.8)
Sex (% female)	73.3%	74.6%	69.0%
Race, African American	100%	--	--
Education (years)	13.7 (3.1)	14.2 (2.9)	12.1 (3.4)
Basic ADLs	0.3 (0.7)	0.1 (0.5)	0.6 (1.0)
Instrumental ADLs	0.3 (1.2)	0.1 (0.6)	0.9 (2.0)
MMSE (raw)	27.7 (2.4)	28.4 (1.5)	25.8 (3.3)
WRAT Reading (z)	0.1 (1.4)	0.2 (1.4)	-0.3 (1.5)
Digit Span Forward (z)	-0.3 (0.5)	-0.3 (0.5)	-0.3 (0.5)
Digit Span Backward (z)	-0.7 (0.5)	-0.7 (0.6)	-0.8 (0.5)
Category Fluency (z)	0.0 (0.9)	0.1 (0.9)	-0.4 (0.9)
CERAD List Acquisition (z)	0.1 (1.1)	0.3 (1.0)	-0.5 (1.2)
CERAD List Recall (z)	0.0 (1.2)	0.2 (1.2)	-0.7 (1.2)
Logical Memory I (z)	-0.1 (1.1)	0.0 (1.0)	-0.6 (1.1)
Logical Memory II (z)	0.1 (1.1)	0.3 (1.0)	-0.5 (1.3)
CIRS	21.4 (3.9)	20.9 (3.6)	22.9 (4.4)
Tinnetti Balance	2.0 (3.0)	1.4 (2.1)	3.7 (4.7)
Tinnetti Gait	0.6 (1.3)	0.4 (0.9)	1.4 (1.9)
CESD/GDS	1.4 (1.8)	1.1 (1.6)	2.3 (2.2)
Social composite	10.0 (3.0)	10.3 (2.8)	8.6 (3.2)

*5 participants did not have a CDR