medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Neonatal Obesity-Adiposity is Largely Driven by Maternal Hyperglycemia in-utero: Analysis of Real-Life Data from a Diabetes Clinic in India

Sayali S. Deshpande-Joshi[1], Sonali S. Wagle[1], Madhura K. Deshmukh[1], Himangi Lubree[1], Hemant S. Damle[2], Suhas R. Otiv[3], Sanat B. Phatak[1], Rucha H. Wagh[1], Meenakumari K.[1], Smita N. Dhadge[1], Rajashree P. Kamat[1], Sayali G. Wadke[1], Deepa A. Raut[1], Dattatray S. Bhat[1], Souvik Bandyopadhyay[4], Chittaranjan S. Yajnik[1]

[1]Kamalnayan Bajaj Diabetology Research Centre, Diabetes Unit, King Edward Memorial Hospital Research Centre, Rasta Peth, Pune, 411011 India.
[2] S.K. Navale Medical College and General Hospital, Pune, Maharashtra, India.
[3] Obstetrics & Gynaecology department, King Edward Memorial Hospital Research Centre, Rasta Peth, Pune, 411011 India.
[4] Cytel, Inc, Bengaluru, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: Segregation of the effect of maternal size and glycemia on fetal growth is difficult to understand in overweight-obese women with diabetes.

Aim: To examine the effect of maternal size and degree of hyperglycemia on neonatal obesity-adiposity in the relatively thin Indian population.

Study design: Analysis of real-life data collected in one diabetes clinic.

Methods: We examined the association of maternal size (BMI) and degree of hyperglycemia (type of diabetes, type 1 being the thinnest and most hyperglycemic, type 2 and Gestational diabetes being overweight and obese but less hyperglycemic) with neonatal obesity-adiposity measurements (weight, ponderal index, abdominal circumference, and skinfold thickness) using multiple linear regression.

Results: We included data on 772 pregnancies with diabetes (61 with type 1, 79 with type 2, and 632 with gestational) and 349 with normal glucose tolerance (NGT). Mothers with type 1 diabetes had the lowest BMI and highest HbA1c, however, their neonates were the most obese, centrally obese, and adipose. Compared to neonates of NGT mothers, those of mothers with type 1 diabetes were 370 g heavier, those of mothers with type 2 diabetes 265 g, and those of mothers with GDM by 200 g. Prediction models adjusted for gestational age at birth, neonatal sex, maternal age, parity, and year of birth confirmed that neonates of mothers with type 1 diabetes were the most obese-adipose, followed serially by those of mothers with type 2 diabetes, GDM, and NGT. Other obesity adiposity measurements showed a similar gradient. Compared to maternal type of diabetes, pre-pregnancy BMI and gestational weight gain (GWG) made a much smaller contribution to neonatal obesity-adiposity.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Conclusion: Our findings provide a clear answer that maternal hyperglycemia rather than size is the primary driver of neonatal obesity-adiposity. Adequate control of maternal hyperglycemia will help control neonatal obesity-adiposity.

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

What is already known about this subject?

- Maternal obesity and diabetes increase neonatal obesity-adiposity which contributes to bad pregnancy outcomes
- Given the strong association between maternal obesity and diabetes in western populations, the dominant determinant of neonatal obesity-adiposity is difficult to assess

What is the key question?

Is maternal size or hyperglycemia the primary determinant of neonatal obesity-adiposity?

What are the new findings?

- Indian mothers with type 1 diabetes were the thinnest and the most hyperglycemic compared to mothers with type 2 diabetes and GDM who were more obese and less hyperglycemic, providing a contrasting exposure
- Neonates of mothers with type 1 diabetes were the most obese-adipose, those of mothers with type 2 diabetes and GDM were progressively less so.

How might this impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- Our results clearly show that maternal hyperglycemia is the major driver of neonatal obesity-adiposity
- Strict control of maternal hyperglycemia will help reduce neonatal obesity-adiposity and associated adverse outcomes

ABBREVIATIONS

EFSOCH Exeter Family Study of Childhood Health study

EQAS external quality control

FPG Fasting Plasma Glucose

GDM gestational diabetes mellitus

GUSTO Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes study

HAPO Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome Study

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

IADPSG International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups

IAEA-B12 International Atomic Energy Agency study

KEMHRC King Edward Memorial Hospital Research Centre

LGA large for gestational age

NGT normal glucose tolerance

OADs oral anti-diabetic drugs

PMNS Pune Maternal Nutrition Study

SGA small for gestational age

TEDDY The Environmental Determinants of Diabetes in the Young study

INTRODUCTION

In 2021, an estimated 16.7% of live births worldwide (> 20 million) were exposed to some form of glucose intolerance in pregnancy. Of these, 10-20% had pre-gestational diabetes (type 1, type 2, and other) while the majority (80%) had gestational diabetes (1). Maternal diabetes during pregnancy is associated with adverse short- and long-term outcomes for the offspring (2). The main short-term effect is excessive fetal growth and its sequelae in the peripartum period (difficult labour, neonatal injuries, need for interventional delivery, post-natal complications etc.). Pedersen proposed from his observations in pregnancies in (lean) women with type 1 diabetes that the transfer of excess maternal glucose to the fetus stimulates fetal islets, resulting in hyperinsulinemia and macrosomia (3). Freinkel, studying a population of more obese women with diabetes proposed that an excess transfer of a "mixture" of maternal nutrients (glucose, lipids, and amino acids) in pregnancies with diabetes promotes fetal macrosomia and long-term risk of obesity and diabetes (fuel mediated teratogenesis) (4). Thus, maternal diabetes has been proposed to contribute to the cascading epidemic of obesity-adiposity and diabetes (5-7). Maternal obesity, independent of glycaemic status is also known to contribute to neonatal obesity and adiposity (8, 9, 10, 11). There is a broad agreement that maternal diabetes and obesity concomitantly increase the risk for neonatal obesity and adiposity, however, there has been no attempt to investigate the relative influence of these two exposures. In populations from high-income countries, maternal diabetes and obesity co-exist, making it difficult to distinguish individual contributions of these two related yet distinct exposures on offspring obesity-adiposity. Clarity about the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

relative effects of maternal diabetes and obesity may come from studies in populations where these two characteristics are dissociated.

At the Diabetes Unit, King Edward Memorial Hospital Research Centre (KEMHRC), Pune we have collected data on a substantial number of pregnancies in women with type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes and GDM over the last three decades. In our clinic, mothers with type 1 diabetes are the thinnest and most hyperglycaemic, while those with type 2 diabetes and GDM are heavier and less hyperglycaemic, providing a contrasting exposure. These unique combinations of phenotype provided an opportunity to answer the question: Is maternal size or glycemia the primary driver of neonatal obesity-adiposity?

Study population

This is an analysis of contemporary real-life data from the Diabetes Unit, KEMHRC, Pune. We reviewed clinical records of 1223 pregnant women (and their neonates) who attended the diabetes clinic between 1986-2020 for treatment and management of diabetes (type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, and GDM) during pregnancy. Women with pre-gestational diabetes were either registered with us for regular treatment from before pregnancy or were referred for management during pregnancy by practicing physicians. We also reviewed records of 463 normal glucose tolerant (NGT) women (75g OGTT) who participated in two observational studies in our department: 1) International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA-B12) study (2004-06), which investigated vit. B12 metabolism during pregnancy (12), and 2) InDiaGDM (2014-16), a study of gestational diabetes. Inclusion criteria were: maternal age greater than 18 yrs, singleton pregnancy, gestational age at delivery between 32 and 42 weeks, and live births. Control data of NGT pregnancies was available for the two decades (2000-2010 and 2011-2020); therefore, we excluded data collected before the year 2000 in pregnancies with diabetes. Those with incomplete data and biologically impossible outliers were also excluded from the analysis (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Exposures

Maternal BMI at delivery and diabetes during pregnancy (none, type 1diabetes, type 2 diabetes and GDM) were the two main exposures. Maternal diabetes type was considered a surrogate for the degree of maternal hyperglycemia and her obesity/adiposity status: type 1 diabetes being the most hyperglycaemic but least obese-adipose, type 2 diabetes intermediate and GDM the least hyperglycaemic but most obese-adipose. Paternal data was not available in this study.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Information obtained from the clinical records included: type of maternal diabetes, demographics, obstetric history, pregnancy complications, treatment, and delivery details (sex of the neonate, gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, etc.). Maternal type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes were defined by the ADA clinical criteria (13). GDM was diagnosed by a fasting 75 g OGTT (WHO 1999 criteria [Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG) ≥ 6.1 mmol/L and/ 2-hr plasma glucose ≥ 7.7 mmol/L] till 2012 (14), and International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) criteria thereafter (FPG ≥ 5.1 mmol/L or 2-hr glucose ≥ 8.5 mmol/L) (15).

Mothers with type 1 diabetes were treated with multiple daily doses of insulin, none had used insulin pump. Mothers with type 2 diabetes and GDM were treated with lifestyle advice, and oral anti-diabetic drugs (OADs, metformin or acarbose) and insulin if necessary. Maternal weight, height, waist circumference, biceps, triceps, subscapular and suprailiac skinfold thicknesses were measured by trained staff within 72 hours of delivery using a standard protocol (16) (Supplemental Table S1). Similar information was also available in NGT mothers

Outcomes:

The outcomes included neonatal weight, generalized obesity (ponderal index), central obesity (abdominal circumference), and adiposity (skinfold thickness). Trained research staff performed detailed anthropometry (weight, crown heel length, head circumference, abdominal circumference, triceps, and subscapular skinfold thicknesses) within 72 hours of birth using standardized protocols (16) (Supplemental Table S1). Neonatal obesity and adiposity measurements are expressed both as: 1) study specific SD scores calculated by residual method on combined data from pregnancies with diabetes and without diabetes, adjusting for gestational age at birth and sex of the neonate. 2) by INTERGROWTH standards (2014) (17).

Laboratory methods

Venous plasma glucose was measured using glucose oxidase-peroxidase method (Hitachi 902,

Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany). Measurements were subject to external quality control (EQAS) and had CV <5%. HbA1c was measured chromatographically on Biorad D10 machine

(Hercules, California).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Statistical methods:

We assessed the power of our study retrospectively for the outcomes of neonatal obesity-adiposity measures. Studying 772 pregnancies with diabetes and 349 with normoglycemia NGT would provide a power of 90% at 5% significance level for a difference of 0.2 SD between the two groups.

Given the long duration of the studies, the criteria for diagnosis of GDM changed in our centre in 2013 from WHO (1985) to IADPSG (2010) criteria. Therefore, we created a categorical variable to represent these two time groups (births between 2000-2012 and 2013-2020)' as a covariate to account for the variation contributed by different diagnostic criteria. We are aware that this variable may also reflect the improvement in different aspects of the management of pregnancies with diabetes. Over this long study duration, some data were missing; we report number of available observations for each variable.

Data are presented as median (25th and 75th percentile). Comparisons between groups was made by the Mann Whitney's test or ANOVA (adjusting for gestational age at birth and sex in neonates). The difference in proportions was tested using the chi-square test. The association between maternal type of diabetes and neonatal obesity-adiposity was examined using multiple linear regression analysis, considering NGT mothers as the reference. These models were adjusted for maternal age at delivery and parity, and gestational age at birth, and sex of the neonate. Birth weight and other neonatal outcomes predicted from these models were plotted for the usual range of gestational age (32 - 42 weeks), using predictive modelling. We also performed a step-wise linear regression to assess the relative contribution of different exposures to neonatal obesity and adiposity.

In addition to maternal size at delivery, we had data on maternal pre-pregnancy weight (n=390, self-reported) and thus gestational weight gain (GWG) could be calculated. We investigated their contribution to neonatal obesity-adiposity.

We used SPSS version 21.0 (IBM corporation, Armonk, NY) and R-studio for data analysis. The analysis was performed during 2022-2023.

Ethics

Use of the real-life clinical data for research analysis was approved by KEMHRC Pune, Ethics Committee, (DIP 2128/08-12- 2021). IAEA-B12 study (064/06-03-2006/15382/R0) and Indo-Danish study (BT/IN/Denmark/02/CSY/2014) also had KEMHRC Ethics Committee approvals. Indo-Danish study was registered with the Clinical Trials Registry-India (NCT03388723). All participants signed an informed consent before participation.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

RESULTS

Supplemental Fig. 1 shows the flow chart of pregnancies that were considered for this analysis and the reasons for exclusion at each stage. There was no difference in age, anthropometry, and HbA1c measurements between included and excluded mothers (Supplemental Table S2), there was no difference in the included and excluded neonates for sex ratio and anthropometric measurements, however, the gestational age at birth was higher in included than those excluded (by three days, $p<0.001$). Thus, we included 772 pregnancies with diabetes (61 type 1 diabetes, 79 type 2 diabetes, and 632 GDM) and 349 NGT pregnancies on whom relevant data was available.

For the additional analysis, we considered maternal size at delivery, maternal pre-pregnancy weight (n=390, self-reported) and gestational weight gain (GWG) as independent variables. We investigated their contribution to neonatal obesity-adiposity. Mothers on whom this data was available were similar in age, weight, height, and BMI but had marginally higher HbA1c measurements compared to those on whom the data was not available (Supplemental Table S3). The neonates born to these two groups of mothers were similar in terms of anthropometric measurements.

Mothers

Mothers with diabetes were older and had higher BMI, waist circumference, and skinfold thickness compared to NGT mothers (Table1, Figure 1). Within the diabetes group, mothers with type 1 diabetes were the youngest, thinnest, and with the least central obesity and adiposity. They also had the highest HbA1c concentrations, and longer duration of diabetes. All mothers with type 1 diabetes were treated with multiple daily injections of insulin. In mothers with type 2 diabetes, in addition to the lifestyle adjustments, 50 % received insulin alone, 43% received insulin and OADs. Of the GDM mothers, in addition to the lifestyle adjustment, 63% received insulin alone, 20% received insulin and OADs, 10% received OADs alone. Preterm and caesarean deliveries were more common in pregnancies with diabetes compared to NGT, especially in women with type 1 diabetes. None of the mothers in our study admitted to smoking.

Neonates

As a group, neonates born in pregnancies with diabetes had higher birth weight, length, ponderal index, abdominal circumference, and skin fold thickness compared to those born in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

NGT pregnancies (Table 1, Figure 1). They also had a higher prevalence of large for gestational age (LGA; 10.4% vs. 0.3%) and a lower prevalence of small for gestational age (SGA; 22.1% vs. 41.4%). Offspring of mothers with type 1 diabetes had the highest ponderal index, abdominal circumference, and skin fold thickness, followed by offspring of mothers with type 2 diabetes and GDM (Fig. 1).

Maternal diabetes type, BMI, and neonatal obesity-adiposity

Supplementary figure 2 shows simultaneous influence of the type of maternal diabetes and her size on neonatal obesity-adiposity measurements. Neonates of mothers with type 1 diabetes and high BMI had highest weight, abdominal circumference, and skinfold thickness.

Quantifying the influence of maternal type of diabetes on neonatal obesity-adiposity

We performed multiple linear regression analysis to quantify the influence of type of maternal diabetes on neonatal size measurements, adjusting for maternal age and parity, neonatal gestational age at birth and sex, and calendar years (2000-2012 and 2013-2020). Neonates born to mothers with type 1 diabetes were 370 g heavier, had 0.15 g/cm^3 higher ponderal index, 1.7 cm larger abdominal circumference, and 2.4 mm larger skinfolds compared to those born to mothers with NGT (Table 2). Maternal type 2 diabetes and GDM had a progressively lower influence as shown in the table. All the results were similar when the full-term babies were considered (n=886). (Supplemental Table S5).

We also plotted predicted curves for neonatal measurements across gestational age 32-42 weeks from the multiple linear regression models. Fig 2 clearly shows that neonates born to mothers with type 1 diabetes had the largest measurements, followed by neonates born to type 2 diabetes, and neonates born to GDM mothers. Neonates of NGT mothers were the smallest. ***Additional analyses***

Step-wise multiple linear regression showed that the effect of maternal type of diabetes was greater than that of pre-pregnancy BMI and gestational weight gain on neonatal obesity-adiposity measurements (Supplemental table S4).

DISCUSSION

In this analysis of real-life data from more than a thousand pregnancies treated in one hospital in India, we confirm that neonates born in pregnancies with diabetes are more obese-adipose than those born in normoglycemic pregnancies. Our seminal observation is that the neonates of the least obese-adipose but the most hyperglycemic mothers with type 1 diabetes were the most obese-adipose, compared with those born to the more obese-adipose but less hyperglycemic mothers with type 2 diabetes and GDM. Our findings in this population are

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

strongly supportive of Pedersen's 'hyperglycemia-hyperinsulinemia' and Freinkel's 'fuel-mediated teratogenesis' models (3, 4). This suggests that maternal hyperglycemia is a much stronger driver of neonatal obesity-adiposity than maternal size. This clear finding owes to a distinct dissociation of maternal size and hyperglycemia in our patients. On multivariable regression analysis, we found that type 1 diabetes had the largest effect on neonatal weight, abdominal circumference, and skinfolds. Neonates born to mothers with type 1 diabetes were 370 g heavier, those born to mothers with type 2 diabetes were 265g heavier, and those of GDM 200g heavier, compared to those born to NGT mothers adjusting for differences in maternal age and parity, and gestational age at delivery and neonatal sex. Similar results were seen for neonatal ponderal index, abdominal circumference, and skinfold thickness. Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG (data available in smaller numbers) had only a modest influence. When only full-term babies were considered, the results were similar.

Previous reports are based predominantly on observations in offspring of mothers with diabetes in western populations in whom obesity-adiposity is very prevalent in mothers with diabetes (10), and therefore the individual contributions of these two related but distinct exposures are difficult to separate. A review of the literature shows considerable heterogeneity in the reporting of exposures and outcomes in such studies. Despite the heterogeneity, it is possible to construct a broad picture of these associations and define gaps in the current knowledge. In the EFSOCH (Exeter Family Study of Childhood Health) study of non-diabetic Caucasian mothers (28 wk FPG 4.3 mmol/L, pre-pregnancy BMI 27.8 kg/m^2), both maternal glycemia and size (adjusted for each other) were positively associated with neonatal weight, length, and BMI (9); effect of FPG was much higher compared to BMI (Beta 0.510, 0.038 respectively, for birth weight as an outcome). In the GUSTO study (Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes) of South Asian population (28 wk FPG 4.4 mmol/L, pre-pregnancy BMI 23.3 kg/m^2), maternal glycemia (adjusting for BMI) showed a positive association with neonatal abdominal adiposity (measured by MRI) (18). In the Pune Maternal Nutrition Study (PMNS), a cohort of undernourished non-diabetic rural Indian mothers (28 wk FPG 4.0 mmol/L, pre-pregnancy BMI 18.0 kg/m^2), maternal FPG and circulating lipids, adjusted for maternal BMI, were associated with neonatal weight and abdominal circumference (19). Thus, in non-diabetic pregnancies, both, maternal glycemia and size influence neonatal obesity-adiposity, with a stronger effect of glycemia.

In the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study, maternal BMI (adjusting for glycemia) and glycaemia (adjusting for BMI) were both associated with neonatal weight and adiposity (skinfolds, and estimated percent body fat) (10, 20, 21). A

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

subsequent analysis in the HAPO study showed that maternal BMI and glycemia had an additive influence on neonatal obesity (Birth weight >90th centile) (22). It is noteworthy that mothers in the HAPO study had a mean BMI of 27.7 kg/m^2. Our earlier study showed that neonates of mothers with GDM had larger length, abdominal circumference, and skinfolds than those born in non-diabetic pregnancies, adjusting for maternal BMI (23). Similar findings were reported in a study from Mysore, India (24). Thus, studies in hyperglycaemic pregnancies, maternal size and glycemia showed an independent and additive influence on neonatal obesity-adiposity. However, these studies did not report separate effects of maternal glycemia and size on neonatal outcomes. In the GDM group, although gestational age had the strongest correlation with birth weight and fat-free mass, fasting glucose level had the strongest correlation with neonatal adiposity (25).

Type of maternal diabetes in our study was a surrogate for the degree of hyperglycemia and maternal size. Mothers with type 1 diabetes were the most hyperglycemic, those with type 2 diabetes had intermediate and GDM had the least hyperglycemia. Interestingly, mothers with type 1 diabetes were the thinnest and least adipose (BMI, Waist circumference, and skinfolds), while both type 2 diabetes and GDM were overweight-adipose. In addition, we constructed predictive models for neonatal obesity-adiposity and plotted them across the gestational age. Fig 2 demonstrates that neonates born to mothers with type 1 diabetes were the largest for all the obesity-adiposity measurements across the range of gestational age commonly encountered in clinical practice (32-42 weeks). We provide clean evidence that maternal hyperglycemia rather than her size had a greater influence on neonatal obesity-adiposity.

Most studies have not reported sub-types of maternal diabetes in such associations. Studies in the UK reported that irrespective of the type, maternal diabetes in pregnancy was associated with larger offspring birth size (26, 27, 28). Another study in Canada reported that maternal diabetes (pre-gestational and gestational combined) was associated with greater risk for LGA in the offspring (29). In these studies, on average, mothers with pregestational diabetes had a pregnancy BMI of 25 kg/m^2 and those with GDM 27 kg/m^2.

Studies that report on type of maternal diabetes (type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, and GDM) as distinct exposures show results that are similar to ours, however, all these studies report only on neonatal weight. In the Kaiser Permanente (Southern California) study, the Environmental Determinants of Diabetes in the Young (TEDDY) study, and a Norwegian study neonates born in pregnancies with type 1 diabetes were the heaviest, followed by those born in type 2 diabetes and GDM pregnancies (30, 31, 32). Interestingly, mothers in these

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

studies had considerably higher BMIs than in our study, and this suggests the primacy of glycemic influence even at higher maternal BMI. We have additionally reported on ponderal index, abdominal circumference, and skinfolds, expanding the observations to neonatal body composition than only weight.

Fetal adipogenesis is influenced by genetic factors (for example FTO gene), though maternal nutrition, metabolism, and associated epigenetic influences will have a major effect. Our results suggest that maternal hyperglycemia is a major metabolic driver of neonatal obesity-adiposity. This is known to act by stimulating fetal hyperinsulinemia (Pedersen) which is a major growth hormone in fetal life. Freinkel highlighted an additional role for maternal lipids in fetal adiposity. We do not have lipid measurements in this study but have reported these associations in the PMNS (19) and InDiaGDM studies (33). Additionally, vascular dysfunction in type 1 diabetes may cause poor oxygen supply to the fetoplacental unit, promoting increased angiogenesis and improved placentation in response to hypoxia (34), promoting adiposity. Finally, studies show that the liver plays a key role in fetal growth regulation and fat deposition. In pregnancies with pre-gestational diabetes, an increase in umbilical flow to the liver in combination with hyperglycemia augments fetal growth (35). Interestingly, even the small and thin Indian babies are relatively more adipose than English babies (36). This may result from maternal deficiency of proteins and micronutrients coupled with 'high-normal' glycemia and dyslipidaemias creating a situation of double burden of malnutrition for the growing fetus (16, 19, 37). It is tempting to postulate that the excess obesity-adiposity of offspring of mothers with type 1 diabetes in our study may be attributed to these factors in addition to the obvious role of severe hyperglycemia-hyperinsulinemia. It will be interesting to investigate the effects of these metabolic-nutritional factors on the specific steps in adipocyte development from mesenchymal stem cells (lineage commitment, differentiation, proliferation, and differentiation; 38). Recently, we have reported a possible role for maternal adipocyte-derived exosomal miRNAs in influencing fetal adiposity. This relationship was partly driven by maternal diabetes (39). Further research is indicated in this exciting area.

Strengths and limitations:

There are strengths and limitations of this study. We report findings from real-life data on substantial numbers of common subtypes of diabetes in pregnancy, treated in one clinic over 20 years. The GDM and control (NGT) mothers in the study were defined by a 75 g OGTT. Maternal BMI and HbA1c measurements were available in representative numbers, thus

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

helping to ascribe size and glycemic phenotype to the groups (type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, GDM). Thus, we found a clear disassociation between size and glycemia i.e., the thinnest (type 1 diabetes) were the most hyperglycaemic. A wide range of obesity-adiposity measurements in the offspring allowed definition of body composition in addition to size.

There are some limitations to our study. Given the long duration of the study, and a busy clinical practice, there is sizable missing data. However, our findings of differences in groups of mothers by type of diabetes are unlikely to be significantly affected. Data on serial blood glucose concentrations, HbA1c in different trimesters, infant breast feeding and paternal size and glycemia was not available. It may be argued that the characteristics of mothers in our study, may not be generalizable to other populations. However, these very unique characteristics provided us with the opportunity to investigate the independent effect of maternal size and hyperglycemia on neonatal obesity-adiposity in a 'clean' model. Diagnostic criteria for GDM and standards of clinical practice changed during the study period which may introduce some heterogeneity. We have taken account of the variation contributed by these differences by including the period of data collection as an additional variable in our linear regression models, and the associations persist after adjustment.

In summary, we confirm increased neonatal obesity-adiposity in Indian offspring born in pregnancies with diabetes. Our findings clearly show that maternal hyperglycemia, not the size is the major driver of neonatal obesity-adiposity during intrauterine life. This suggests that strict glycaemic control before and during pregnancy will help reduce neonatal obesity-adiposity and possibly childhood obesity-adiposity in these children.

Funding: The study is largely intra-murally funded. The International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria, provided financial support for the IAEA-B12 study (15382/R0). InDiaGDM study was funded by the Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi, India (BT/IN/Denmark/02/CSY/2014). Hinduja Foundation, Mumbai, Mukul Madhav Foundation, Pune and the Nityasha Foundation, Pune provide a generous grant for treatment of patients with type 1 diabetes.

Declaration of interest: CSY is a visiting professor at the Danish Diabetes Academy (supported by Novo Nordisk, Denmark) and University of Southern Denmark during the conduct of the study and writing of this article.

None of the authors declare any conflict of interest.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Aspects of this work have been presented at the following national and international conferences: RSSDI (2021), DOHaD (2022), ObesityWeek (2022), SNEHA (2023).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We are grateful to Prof. Patrick Catalano for informative discussions.

We also acknowledge Dr. Shailaja Kale, Pallavi Yajnik, Rasika Ladkat, Sonali Rege, Neelam Memane, Vidya Gokhale, and Swati Alekar for their contributions to the study.

REFERENCES

1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th edn. Brussels, Belgium: 2021. Available at: https://www.diabetesatlas.org.

2. Krishnaveni GV, Yajnik CS. Foetal programming in a diabetic pregnancy: long-term implications for the offspring. Current Science. 2017 Oct 10:1321-6.

3. Pedersen J. Weight and length at birth of infants of diabetic mothers. European Journal of Endocrinology. 1954;16(4):330-42.

4. Freinkel N. Of pregnancy and progeny. Diabetes. 1980; 2:1023–1035.

5. Dabelea D, Pettitt DJ. Intrauterine diabetic environment confers risks for type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity in the offspring, in addition to genetic susceptibility. Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2001;14(8):1085-92.

6. Pettitt DJ, Bennett PH, Knowler WC, Robert Baird H, Aleck KA. Gestational diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy: long-term effects on obesity and glucose tolerance in the offspring. Diabetes. 1985;34(Supplement_2):119-22.

7. Pettitt DJ, Nelson RG, Saad MF, Bennett PH, Knowler WC. Diabetes and obesity in the offspring of Pima Indian women with diabetes during pregnancy. Diabetes care. 1993;16(1):310-4.

8. Ehrenberg HM, Mercer BM, Catalano PM. The influence of obesity and diabetes on the prevalence of macrosomia. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2004;191(3):964-8.

9. Knight B, Shields BM, Hill A, Powell RJ, Wright D, Hattersley AT. The impact of maternal glycemia and obesity on early postnatal growth in a nondiabetic Caucasian population. Diabetes care. 2007; 30(4):777-83.

10. HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group. Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study: associations with maternal body mass index. BJOG 2010; 117:575–584.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

11. Longmore DK, Barr EL, Lee IL, Barzi F, Kirkwood M, Whitbread C, Hampton V, Graham S, Van Dokkum P, Connors C, Boyle JA. Maternal body mass index, excess gestational weight gain, and diabetes are positively associated with neonatal adiposity in the Pregnancy and Neonatal Diabetes Outcomes in Remote Australia (PANDORA) study. Pediatric obesity. 2019 Apr;14(4): e12490.

and their babies in an Indian diabetes clinic. JAPI. 2005; 53:857-62.

12. Katre P, Bhat D, Lubree H, Otiv S, Joshi S, Joglekar C, Rush E, Yajnik C. Vitamin B12 and folic acid supplementation and plasma total homocysteine concentrations in pregnant Indian women with low B12 and high folate status. Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition. 2010;19(3):335-43.

13. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 2013;37: S81-S90.

14. WHO Technical Report Series 646, 1980 (WHO Expert Committee on Diabetes Mellitus, second report).

15. International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Recommendations on the Diagnosis and Classification of Glucose intolerance in Pregnancy. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(3):676-682.

16. Rao S, Yajnik CS, Kanade A, Fall CH, Margetts BM, Jackson AA, Shier R, Joshi S, Rege S, Lubree H, Desai B. Intake of micronutrient-rich foods in rural Indian mothers is associated with the size of their babies at birth: Pune Maternal Nutrition Study. The Journal of nutrition. 2001;131(4):1217-24.

17. Villar J, Ismail L, Victora C, Ohuma E, Bertino E, Altman D et al. International standards for newborn weight, length, and head circumference by gestational age and sex: the Newborn Cross-Sectional Study of the INTERGROWTH-21st Project. The Lancet. 2014;384(9946):857-868.

18. Tint MT, Sadananthan SA, Soh SE, Aris IM, Michael N, Tan KH, Shek LP, Yap F, Gluckman PD, Chong YS, Godfrey KM. Maternal glycemia during pregnancy and offspring abdominal adiposity measured by MRI in the neonatal period and preschool years: The Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes (GUSTO) prospective mother–offspring birth cohort study. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2020;112(1):39-47.

19. Kulkarni SR, Kumaran K, Rao SR, Chougule SD, Deokar TM, Bhalerao AJ, Solat VA, Bhat DS, Fall CH, Yajnik CS. Maternal lipids are as important as glucose for fetal growth: findings from the Pune Maternal Nutrition Study. Diabetes care. 2013; 36(9):2706-13.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

20. HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group; Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, Hadden DR, McCance DR, Hod M, McIntyre HD, Oats JJ, Persson B, Rogers MS, Sacks DA. Hyperglycemia, and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med. 2008 May 8;358(19):1991-2002. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0707943. PMID: 18463375.

21. HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group. Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study: associations with neonatal anthropometrics. Diabetes. 2009 Feb 1;58(2):453-9.

22. Catalano PM, McIntyre HD, Cruickshank JK, McCance DR, Dyer AR, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Trimble ER, Coustan DR, Hadden DR, Persson B. The hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcome study: associations of GDM and obesity with pregnancy outcomes. Diabetes care. 2012 Apr 1;35(4):780-6.

23. Kale SD, Kulkarni SR, Lubree HG, Meenakumari K, Deshpande VU, Rege SS, Deshpande J, Coyaji KJ, Yajnik CS. Characteristics of gestational diabetic mothers and their babies in an Indian diabetes clinic. JAPI. 2005; 53:857-62.

24. Hill JC, Krishnaveni GV, Annamma I, Leary SD, Fall CH. Glucose tolerance in pregnancy in South India: relationships to neonatal anthropometry. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica. 2005; 84(2):159-65.

25. Catalano PM, Thomas A, Huston-Presley L, Amini SB. Increased fetal adiposity: a very sensitive marker of abnormal in utero development. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2003 Dec 1;189(6):1698-704.

26. A. Lawlor D, Fraser A, Lindsay RS, Ness A, Dabelea D, Catalano P, Davey Smith G, Sattar N, Nelson SM. Association of existing diabetes, gestational diabetes and glycosuria in pregnancy with macrosomia and offspring body mass index, waist and fat mass in later childhood: findings from a prospective pregnancy cohort. Diabetologia. 2010 Jan; 53:89-97.

27. Patel S, Fraser A, Davey Smith G, Lindsay RS, Sattar N, Nelson SM, Lawlor DA. Associations of gestational diabetes, existing diabetes, and glycosuria with offspring obesity and cardiometabolic outcomes. Diabetes care. 2012 Jan 1;35(1):63-71.

28. Morgan K, Rahman M, Atkinson M, Zhou SM, Hill R, Khanom A, Paranjothy S, Brophy S. Association of diabetes in pregnancy with child weight at birth, age 12 months and 5 years–a population-based electronic cohort study. PLoS One. 2013 Nov 13;8(11): e79803.

29. Kaul P, Bowker SL, Savu A, Yeung RO, Donovan LE, Ryan EA. Association between maternal diabetes, being large for gestational age and breast-feeding on being overweight or obese in childhood. Diabetologia. 2019; 62(2):249-58.

30. Pitchika A, Vehik K, Hummel S, Norris JM, Uusitalo UM, Yang J, Virtanen SM,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Koletzko S, Andrén Aronsson C, Ziegler AG, Beyerlein A. Associations of maternal diabetes during pregnancy with overweight in offspring: results from the prospective TEDDY study. Obesity. 2018; 26(9):1457-66.

31. Sidell M, Martinez MP, Chow T, Xiang AH. Types of diabetes during pregnancy and longitudinal BMI in offspring from birth to age 10 years. Pediatric Obesity. 2021; 16(8): e12776.

32. Strøm□Roum EM, Jukic AM, Eskild A. Offspring birthweight and placental weight - does the type of maternal diabetes matter? A population□based study of 319 076 pregnancies. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica. 2021 Oct;100(10):1885-92.

33. Madhura K Deshmukh, Aboli Bhalerao, Deepa Raut, Nilam Memane, Rajashree Kamat, Dattatray Bhat, Hemant Damle, Sonali Wagle, Chittaranjan Yajnik; 1413-P: Nutrient and Fuel-Mediated Teratogenesis in Fetal Growth and Body Composition. Diabetes 1 June 2019; 68 (Supplement_1): 1413–P. https://doi.org/10.2337/db19-1413-P

34. Higgins M, Felle P, Mooney EE, Bannigan J, McAuliffe FM. Stereology of the placenta in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Placenta. 2011 Aug 1;32(8):564-9.

35. Lund A, Ebbing C, Rasmussen S, Qvigstad E, Kiserud T, Kessler J. Pre-gestational diabetes: Maternal body mass index and gestational weight gain are associated with augmented umbilical venous flow, fetal liver perfusion, and thus birthweight. Plos one. 2021 Aug 16;16(8): e0256171.

36. Yajnik CS, Fall CH, Coyaji KJ, Hirve SS, Rao S, Barker DJ, Joglekar C, Kellingray S. Neonatal anthropometry: the thin-fat Indian baby. The Pune Maternal Nutrition Study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2003 Feb;27(2):173-80. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.802219.

37. Yajnik CS, Yajnik PC. Fetal adiposity epidemic in the modern world: a thrifty phenotype aggravated by maternal obesity and diabetes. Am J Clin Nutr. 2020 Jul 1;112(1):8-10. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa122.

38. Desoye G, Herrera E. Adipose tissue development and lipid metabolism in the human fetus: The 2020 perspective focusing on maternal diabetes and obesity. Progress in lipid research. 2021 Jan 1; 81:101082.

39. Kunte P, Barberio M, Tiwari P, Sukla K, Harmon B, Epstein S, Bhat D, Authelet K, Goldberg M, Rao S, Damle H. Neonatal adiposity is associated with microRNAs in adipocyte-derived extracellular vesicles in maternal and cord blood, a discovery analysis. International Journal of Obesity. 2023 Dec 13:1-1.

Table 1 Maternal and neonatal characteristics

	Type 1 diabetes			Type 2 diabetes			GDM			NGT	
Mothers											
	n	**Median (25, 75 p)**	p	**n**	**Median (25, 75 p)**	p	**n**	**Median (25, 75 p)**	p	**n**	**Median (25, 75 p)**
Age at conception (yrs)	46	26.4 (23.4, 28.6)	0.000	67	31.7 (27.6, 34.9)	0.000	513	28.8 (26.0, 31.8)	0.000	333	24.0 (21.1, 26.0)
Height (cm)	45	154.5 (150.1, 156.7)	0.928	69	154.5 (151.3, 158.9)	0.212	531	155.2 (151.3, 158.7)	0.009	344	153.8 (150.3, 157.8)
Weight at delivery (kg)	42	61.0 (51.2, 69.1)	0.000	63	68.8 (56.6, 80.2)	0.000	505	65.6 (58.4, 73.3)	0.000	313	54.3 (48.0, 60.9)
BMI at delivery (kg/m^2)	41	24.6 (22.4, 29.1)	0.000	63	28.7 (23.8, 31.9)	0.000	494	27.3 (24.5, 30.0)	0.000	310	22.5 (20.3, 25.1)
Waist circumference at delivery (cm)	35	89.7 (84.0, 95.3)	0.000	55	98.3 (91.5, 104.0)	0.000	303	96.5 (89.5, 102.0)	0.000	154	76.9 (68.3, 84.0)
Sum of 4 SFT at delivery (mm)	35	86.3 (68.4, 112.8)	0.000	54	105.9 (79.8, 136.1)	0.000	310	102.7 (84.4, 123.1)	0.000	154	50.8 (42.4, 69.6)
First trimester HbA1c (%)	18	8.1 (6.4, 9.0)	-	30	6.9 (6.1, 8.0)	-	55	5.7 (5.3, 6.4)	-	-	-
Neonates											
Gender (% Male)	61	40 (65.6%)	-	79	39 (49.4%)	-	632	345 (54.6%)	-	349	173 (49.6%)
Gestation (wk)	61	37.1 (35.4, 38.1)	0.000	79	37.6 (36.3, 38.3)	0.000	632	38.1 (37.0, 39.1)	0.000	349	39.1 (38.1, 40)
Birth weight											
Measured (gm)	61	2820.0	0.000	79	2990.0	0.000	632	2900.0	0.000	349	2750.0

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

		(2422.5, 3250.0)			(2500.0, 3300.0)			(2520.0, 3200.0)			(2500.0, 3000.0)
SD(Intergrowth-21)	60	0.09 (-1.2, 0.8)	0.000	77	-0.05 (-1.1, 1.2)	0.000	622	-0.36 (-1.2, 0.4)	0.000	348	-1.09 (-1.7, -0.4)
SD (Study specific)	61	0.24 (-0.5, 1.0)	0.000	79	0.33 (-0.6, 1.5)	0.000	632	0.08 (-0.5, 0.7)	0.000	349	-0.35 (-0.8, 0.2)
Length											
Measured (cm)	41	49.05 (46.0, 50.3)	0.000	79	49.2 (47.2, 50.0)	0.000	487	48.8 (47.2, 50.0)	0.000	327	48.4 (47.0, 49.5)
SD(Intergrowth-21)	41	0.56 (-1.0, 1.4)	0.000	61	0.76 (-0.4, 1.6)	0.000	483	0.06 (-0.6, 0.9)	0.000	326	-0.29 (-0.98, 0.4)
Ponderal Index SD (Study specific)	41	0.35 (-0.3, 0.9)	0.001	61	0.41 (-0.6, 1.0)	0.001	485	0.10 (-0.6, 0.7)	0.000	327	-0.28 (-0.8, 0.3)
Abdominal circumference SD (Study specific)	42	0.33 (-0.4, 1.2)	0.000	60	0.36 (-0.7, 1.1)	0.000	492	0.09 (-0.6, 0.8)	0.000	328	-0.31 (-0.9, 0.3)
Sum of skinfolds SD (Study specific)	42	0.52 (-0.1, 1.6)	0.000	57	0.60 (0.3, 1.6)	0.000	489	0.10 (-0.6, 0.9)	0.000	327	-0.50 (-0.96, -0.03)

Maternal characteristics are reported as median (25th, 75th percentiles). Difference between medians of mothers in each diabetes sub type was tested against NGT category using the Mann Whitney's test

Neonatal characteristics are reported as mean (±SD). Difference between means of offspring in each category of maternal diabetes subtype were tested against offspring in NGT category, using the ANOVA. SD scores (INTERGROWTH) were derived using the Intergrowth standards; Study specific SD scores derived by regression method, adjusting for gestational age and sex of the offspring.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2 Multiple linear regression results to study association between maternal type of diabetes and neonatal size and obesity-adiposity measurements

	Birth weight (g) (n=890)		**Ponderal Index (g/cm^3) (n=590)**		**Abdominal circ (cm) (n=595)**		**Sum of skinfolds (mm) (n=581)**	
	Estimate	**SE**	**Estimate**	**SE**	**Estimate**	**SE**	**Estimate**	**SE**
Type of maternal diabetes								
Nil (NGT)	0		0		0		0	
Type 1	370.5***	75.2	0.15**	0.05	1.73***	0.36	2.43***	0.37
Type 2	265.4***	67.3	0.12*	0.05	0.92**	0.32	1.82***	0.34
GDM	200.5***	36.8	0.10***	0.02	0.99***	0.17	1.33***	0.18
Maternal age at conception (yrs)	2.0	3.7	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02
Gestational age (wk)	131.4***	8.7	0.03***	0.01	0.39***	0.04	0.15***	0.00
Sex of neonate								
Male	0		0		0		0	
Female	-65.2*	29.3	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.14	0.68***	0.14
Parity	77.6***	21.7	0.04**	0.01	0.40***	0.10	0.25*	0.10
Calander years								
2000 to 2012	0		0		0		0	
2013 to 2020	-16.3	30.2	0.02	0.02	0.41**	0.14	-0.85***	0.15
R^2	21.0 %		4.5 %		13.1%		19.81%	

*: p<0.01; **: p<0.001; ***: p< 0.000

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1 Obesity-adiposity in mothers and neonates.



Figure shows obesity-adiposity indicators in mothers with diabetes (■ Type 1 diabetes ■ Type 2 diabetes ■ GDM) and their neonates in comparison with NGT mothers. Bar height represents mean difference in SD scores of different obesity-adiposity measurements, zero-line represents NGT group.

* $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$ using t-test.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.27.24306016; this version posted April 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 2 Prediction curves for obesity-adiposity measurements in neonates born to mothers with diabetes (type 1, type 2 and GDM) or NGT between gestational age 32 and 42 weeks



Prediction equation included following co-variates: maternal age, neonatal sex, gestational age at birth, parity, and birth year