- 1 Detection of hemagglutinin H5 influenza A virus sequence in municipal
- 2 wastewater solids at wastewater treatment plants with increases in influenza A in
- 3 spring, 2024
- 4
- 5 Marlene K. Wolfe¹, Dorothea Duong², Bridgette Shelden², Elana M. G. Chan³, Vikram
- 6 Chan-Herur², Stephen Hilton¹, Abigail Harvey Paulos¹, Alessandro Zulli³, Bradley J.
- 7 White², Alexandria B. Boehm^{3*}
- 8
- 9 1. Gangarosa Department of Environmental Health, Rollins School of Public Health,
- 10 Emory University, 1518 Clifton Rd, Atlanta, GA, USA, 30322
- 11 2. Verily Life Sciences LLC, South San Francisco, CA, USA
- 12 3. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, 473 Via
- 13 Ortega, Stanford, California, 94305

15 *Corresponding author: <u>aboehm@stanford.edu</u>, 650-724-9128

16

18 Abstract

- 19 Prospective influenza A (IAV) RNA monitoring at 190 wastewater treatment plants across the
- 20 US identified increases in IAV RNA concentrations at 59 plants in spring 2024, after the typical
- 21 seasonal influenza period, coincident with the identification of highly pathogenic avian influenza
- 22 (subtype H5N1) circulating in dairy cattle in the US. We developed and validated a hydrolysis-
- 23 probe RT-PCR assay for quantification of the H5 hemagglutinin gene. We applied it
- retrospectively to samples from three plants where springtime increases were identified. The H5
- 25 marker was detected at all three plants coinciding with the increases. Plants were located in a
- state with confirmed outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza, H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b, in
- 27 dairy cattle. Concentrations of the H5 gene approached overall influenza A virus gene
- concentrations, suggesting a large fraction of IAV inputs were H5 subtypes. At two of the
- 29 wastewater plants, industrial discharges containing animal waste, including milk byproducts,
- 30 were permitted to discharge into sewers. Our findings demonstrate wastewater monitoring can
- detect animal-associated influenza contributions, and highlight the need to consider industrial
- and agricultural inputs into wastewater. This work illustrates wastewater monitoring's value for
 comprehensive influenza surveillance for diseases with zoonotic potential across human and
- 34 animal populations.

35

37 Introduction

- 38 Respiratory infections are the fourth largest cause of death worldwide^{1–3}. Among these,
- 39 influenza viruses are one of the most common causes of viral epidemics of respiratory
- 40 infection, with an estimated one billion cases each year⁴. In recent years, a majority of
- 41 these influenza infections have been caused by Influenza A virus (IAV)⁵, which has
- 42 been responsible for all global epidemics of influenza. Influenza A viruses are found in
- 43 both humans and animals; H5N1 influenza is a highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI)
- 44 that emerged in the late 1990s and has become widespread in wild birds and has
- 45 zoonotic potential. A new H5N1 clade (2.3.4.4b) has caused widespread outbreaks
- since 2022⁶. Tens of millions of chickens have been culled in the US to control
- 47 outbreaks, and there is a threat of zoonotic transmission to humans. In 2024 this
- 48 outbreak spread to dairy cattle and was associated with one known human case, the
- 49 second case of HPAI documented in the United States⁶.
- 50

51 Wastewater surveillance of influenza A has been implemented across the United

- 52 States, and concentrations of viral RNA in wastewater have been shown to align with
- 53 clinical surveillance metrics including influenza-like illness, influenza hospitalizations,
- ⁵⁴ and deaths.^{7–10} As a result, it is becoming a routine public health tool for influenza
- 55 outbreaks and provides low-cost, real-time, population level data on influenza
- outbreaks, particularly in locations with limited clinical testing¹¹. The utility of these data
- 57 and responses are predicated on the assumption that the majority of the contributions to
- these measurements are from human sources, rather than influenzas with zoonotic
- potential such as H5N1 and H7N9^{12,13}. Recent increases in the spread of HPAI to new
- 60 species, particularly subtype H5N1 clade 2.3. 4.4b spread in dairy cattle⁶, have raised
- 61 questions about wastewater contributions from avian and bovine sources^{14,15}.
- 62
- 63 Studies have demonstrated the potential for subtyping circulating IAV directly from
- 64 wastewater samples^{7,16}. IAV subtypes are differentiated by two main surface
- 65 glycoproteins, hemagglutinin (HA) and the neuraminidase (NA)¹⁷. We previously applied
- 66 hydrolysis probe-based RT-PCR assays for H1, H3, N1, and N2 to wastewater to
- 67 identify circulating IAV subtypes. However, to date, a hydrolysis probe-based RT-PCR
- 68 assay for the H5 marker in IAV has not been applied to wastewater.
- 69
- 70 In this study, we use prospective measurements of IAV M gene, which detects all IAV
- subtypes, in wastewater solids from 190 wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) to
- identify WWTP showing springtime increases in IAV concentrations after the typical
- influenza season ends, coincident with the identification and spread of HPAI in animal
- 74 populations in the US. We developed a novel RT-PCR hydrolysis-probe assay to detect
- 75 the H5 subtype of IAV. After assay validation, we applied it to samples to measure

- concentrations of the H5 marker retrospectively from three wastewater treatment plants
- 77 located near the apparent epicenter of the HPAI outbreak.

78 Methods

79 H5 assay design. Influenza A H5 subtype genome sequences were downloaded from

- 80 the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) in Feb 2023 and
- 81 supplemented with additional newer sequences available from NCBI and GISAID in
- 82 April 2024. Sequences were aligned, and primers and probes were designed to target
- 83 the hemagglutinin (HA) gene using Primer3Plus. Parameters used in assay
- 84 development (eg, sequence length and GC content) are provided elsewhere¹⁰. The
- 85 forward primer is: TATAGARGGAGGATGGCAGG, reverse primer:
- 86 ACDGCCTCAAAYTGAGTGTT, and probe: AGGGGAGTGGKTACGCTGCRGAC (also
- in Table S1, Figure S1). The primers and probes were confirmed to be specific and
- sensitive for influenza A containing the H5 subtype of the HA gene *in silico* using NCBIBLAST.
- 90
- 91 The assay was tested in vitro against nucleic acids from a large collection of respiratory
- pathogens (Table S2) including non-H5 influenza subtypes. When panels were
- composed of whole viruses or bacteria, their nucleic-acids were extracted and purified
- as described below for the wastewater solids samples and then used neat as template
- 95 in droplet digital RT-PCR assays. Assays were run in a single well using the cycling
- 96 conditions and post processing using a droplet reader as described below for the
- 97 wastewater samples in singleplex. Synthetic nucleic acid gene blocks purchased from
- 98 IDT (Coralville, Iowa) were used as a positive control for the H5 marker.
- 99

100 Prospective IAV M gene testing in wastewater. Wastewater samples were obtained from 190 wastewater treatment plants located in 41 states for a prospective wastewater 101 102 monitoring project. Samples are collected 3 to 7 times per week between 7/1/23 and 103 4/22/24 (month/day/year format, n=19,323). Samples are shipped overnight to the 104 laboratory at 4°C where they were processed immediately. During the time between sample collection and transport (0-3 days), we expect minimal losses of the RNA target 105 based on results of IAV RNA persistence studies¹⁸. Upon receipt of the laboratory, 106 107 samples are processed immediately to measure IAV M gene and PMMoV gene 108 concentrations in the solid fraction of wastewater. Since the methods are described in 109 detail in several different publications^{8,19}, they are not provided in detail here. PMMoV is an endogenous plant virus present in wastewater and serves as an internal process 110 111 control. Briefly, solids are isolated from the samples, suspended in a buffer at a low 112 enough concentration so as to minimize inhibition¹⁹, and subjected to nucleic-acid 113 extraction and purification (Chemagic Viral DNA/RNA 300 Kit H96, PerkinElmer, 114 Shelton, CT), and inhibitor removal (Zymo OneStep PCR Inhibitor Removal Kit, Irvine,

115 CA). Nucleic-acids from 6 to 10 aliquots of each sample are obtained and each used

- neat as template in 6 to 10 replicate droplet digital RT-PCR reaction wells to measure
- 117 IAV and 1:100 diluted in 2 to 10 replicate wells to measure PMMoV. The process
- 118 includes negative and positive extraction and PCR controls, as well as a measure of
- recovery as determined from spiking exogenous bovine coronavirus. The M gene target
- is present in all subtypes of IAV including the H5N1 subtype and the current circulating
- 121 clade 2.3.4.4b. Concentrations are expressed as copies per gram dry weight. After
- 122 obtaining these measurements, nucleic acids are biobanked at -80°C.
- 123

124 Retrospective Influenza A M gene and H5 testing in wastewater. Biobanked 125 samples between February 4 and April 16, 2024 from two wastewater treatment plant 126 (WWTP) sites of the City of Amarillo Water Utilities Division in Texas (hereafter 127 described as the "North" and "South" sites in Potter/Randall County, TX), as well as a 128 WWTP in Dallas County, Texas were used to measure concentrations of H5 marker 129 (samples were biobanked for up to 2 months, Table S3 provides exact dates of samples 130 run, n=78 samples). The Potter/Randall County City of Amarillo plants provide 24-hour 131 composited influent samples and the Dallas County plant provides settled solids from 132 the primary clarifier. Plants service areas with separate sanitary sewer systems. The 133 South and North sites in Potter/Randall County and the Dallas County site serve 134 approximately 60,000, 140,000, and 186,000 people. Several industries are permitted to 135 discharge wastewater to both City of Amarillo Water Utilities Division sanitary sewer 136 systems including animal product processing facilities.

137

138 Concentrations of the H5 marker and the IAV M gene were measured in multiplex using 139 an AutoDG Automated Droplet Generator (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), Mastercycler Pro 140 (Eppendforf, Enfield, CT) thermocycler, and a QX600 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad). The 141 IAV M gene was measured again in the biobanked samples to document potential RNA 142 degradation. In addition an assay for the N gene of SARS-CoV-2 was included (results 143 not provided herein). Primers and probes for all the assays were purchased from IDT 144 (Coralville, Iowa). The H5 probe contained the fluorescent molecule FAM and the IAV M 145 gene probe contained ROX; both probes also contained ZEN, a proprietary internal 146 quencher from IDT; and IBFQ, Iowa Black FQ. Each of the 6 replicate nucleic-acid 147 extractions obtained from each sample were run neat as template in their own RT-PCR 148 well to measure the RNA targets. The cycling conditions were as follows: reverse 149 transcription at 50 °C for 60 min, enzyme activation at 95 °C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 150 denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s and annealing and extension at 59 °C for 30 s, enzyme 151 deactivation at 98 °C for 10 min then an indefinite hold at 4 °C. The ramp rate for 152 temperature changes were set to 2 °C/second and the final hold at 4 °C was performed 153 for a minimum of 30 min to allow the droplets to stabilize. Droplets were analyzed using 154 the QX600 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad). A well had to have over 10,000 droplets for

- inclusion in the analysis. All liquid transfers were performed using the Agilent Bravo 155
- (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Extraction and PCR positive and negative 156
- 157 controls were run on each 96-well plate.
- 158

161

162 163

- 159 Results from replicate wells were merged for analysis. Concentrations of the targets are presented as copies per gram dry weight. Dry weight was determined using an aliquot of solids and drying in an oven¹⁹. For a sample to be scored as a positive, there had to be at least 3 positive droplets. The lowest measurable concentration is approximately 1000 copies/g dry weight. Errors are reported as standard deviations on the
- 164 measurements as obtained from QX Manager Software (Bio-Rad, version 2.0).
- 165
- 166 Influenza clinical data. Data on influenza-related emergency department visits by
- 167 health district²⁰ and statewide influenza positivity rates²¹ for Texas were obtained from
- 168 publicly available data from the Texas Department of State Health Services.
- 169 Potter/Randall County sites are within public health district 1, and the Dallas County site
- 170 is within public health district 2/3.
- 171
- 172 Data analysis. Across the 190 WWTPs in the prospective study, we identified onset
- 173 and offset of IAV wastewater events using a previously published logic¹⁶. In short, IAV
- wastewater event onset occurred when all samples analyzed in the previous 14 days 174
- 175 had concentrations of the IAV M gene \geq 2000 cp/g and offset when less than or equal to
- 176 50% of the samples collected in the previous 14 days had concentrations \geq 2000 cp/g.
- 177 Using this approach, we identified WWTPs that showed a secondary onset of IAV
- 178 wastewater events after the typical seasonal wastewater event offset. We also visually
- 179 examined IAV/PMMoV concentrations at WWTPs to subjectively identify those with a
- 180 noticeable spike, or increasing trends in concentrations observed after February 2024
- 181 when it was established that H5N1 was circulating.

182 Results

- 183 Assay sensitivity and specificity and QA/QC. Both in silico and in vitro testing 184 indicated the H5 assay is 100% specific. In vitro tests returned non-detects for all non-185 target pathogens, including other influenza subtypes. The sensitivity of the assay is estimated to be 90% as 10% of the influenza A H5 subtype sequences in GISAID and 186 187 NCBI have single nucleotide polymorphisms in the primer and probe regions. The H5 188 assav detects the circulating H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b.
- 189

190 Results are reported following Environmental Microbiology Minimal Information²²


- 191 (EMMI) guidelines (checklist available with all wastewater data at
- 192 https://purl.stanford.edu/tr782wk3364). All positive and negative controls were positive
- and negative, respectively indicating no contamination. Nucleic-acid extraction
- efficiency for samples passed the quality control threshold of being over 0.1 for bovine
- coronavirus (median = 1.1, IQR = 0.8 1.5); recoveries greater than one are likely a
- result of errors associated with the measurements. PMMoV was detected at high
- 197 concentrations in all samples (median of 3.3×10^8 , IQR = 1.9×10^8 - 6.4×10^8 cp/g) providing 198 further support of efficient nucleic-acid extraction. We compared concentrations of IAV
- 199 M gene in samples measured without any storage (during prospective monitoring) to
- those measured in the same biobanked samples; the median ratio of IAV M gene
- 201 concentrations in biobanked to fresh samples was 1.3 (interguartile range 1.0 1.7,
- n=78) suggesting limited degradation of RNA during storage.
- 203

204 Springtime increases in IAV M gene concentrations in WWTPs across the US.

205 Using the prospectively measured IAV RNA concentrations, we found, using all data available on 24 April, 2024, that 44 of the 190 WWTPs showed a secondary IAV onset 206 207 event after their seasonal IAV event offset (Table S4); these are located across 18 208 different states. There were 99 WWTPs for which the seasonal IAV wastewater event 209 had not offset by the end of the data series; their IAV concentrations were visually 210 examined for recent increases during March and April, and 15 locations (Table S3) were 211 identified. Those 15 locations spanned 8 states. Example data from plants with distinct 212 spring increases are shown in Figure S2.


213


214 H5 marker prevalence in wastewater samples. Given that increases in wastewater 215 appear to be coincident with the identification of avian influenza circulating in the nation 216 (Figure S2), we decided to investigate whether the H5 marker was present in samples from three "sentinel" WWTPs located in Texas where H5N1 was identified in cows¹⁴ 217 218 (Figure 1). We found that prior to early to mid-March (depending on the WWTP), the H5 219 marker was non-detect in the wastewater solids. Subsequently, as IAV M gene 220 increased at the WWTPs, H5 marker became detectable at similar concentrations to the 221 IAV M gene. When detected, H5 concentration ranged from 5847 to 2,158,821 (median 222 = 29,364) cp/g. The IAV M gene concentrations measured during this time period are 223 among the highest ever measured in wastewater (Figure S3). In samples where H5 was 224 detected, the median ratio to IAV M gene was 0.6 at all three plants (IQR at Duck Creek: 225 0.6-0.6, Hollywood Road: 0.2 - 0.7, River Road: 0.4 - 0.8) During this time, influenza 226 surveillance in Texas showed declined trends in influenza-related emergency 227 department visits in the associated public health regions (Figure 2). 228



236 237

Figure 1. Concentrations of IAV M gene target (labeled as IAV, in green) as well as the H5 marker (labeled H5) and associated standard error in units of copies per gram dry weight; if error bars cannot be seen, then they are smaller than the symbols. Open markers are below the lowest measurable concentration (1000 copies per gram dry weight).





20th 25th 28th

Mar Mar

239

240 Figure 2. Top three panels. Concentrations of the IAV M gene in wastewater solids at the three WWTPs 241 in Texas, as measured in the prospective monitoring. Line represents the 5-adjacent sample trimmed 242 average, the symbols show the measured concentrations and the standard errors. Fourth panel from the 243 top shows emergency department visits per week for influenza; PHR 1 represents Texas Public Health 244 Region 1 (containing Potter/Randall Counties) and PHR2/3 represents Public Health Region 2/3 245 containing Dallas County. Bottom panel shows the presence or absence of the H5 marker in the samples 246 from the three plants. Vertical lines indicate key dates as follows. February 8, 2024 USDA declares 247 ongoing HPAI poultry outbreak, March 7, 2024: Unknown dairy cattle illness first reported, March 20, 248 2024: Samples collected from dairy cattle in Texas, March 25, 2024: Texas confirms H5N1 in dairy cattle, 249 March 28, 2024: Positive H5N1 specimen collected from human.

250 **Discussion**

251 H5 influenza RNA was readily detected in wastewater solids at sites with atypical 252 increases in influenza A M gene concentrations in March and April 2024 during a period 253 of a known H5N1 outbreak in the US. The presence of H5 in municipal wastewater 254 indicates that contributions into the wastewater system include excretions or byproducts 255 containing influenza viral RNA with an H5 subtype, but do not indicate the species that 256 may be shedding an H5 influenza. During March and April 2024, increases in IAV M 257 gene concentrations at these plants were not associated with increases in human 258 influenza activity, as documented by the emergency room influenza case data in Texas. 259 However, both the increases in M gene concentrations and H5 detection occurred 260 immediately prior to and during reported outbreaks of H5N1 in dairy cattle in the 261 region¹⁴. These results suggest that wastewater monitoring is a viable method of 262 monitoring certain animal pathogens, and can provide a leading edge of detection that 263 is of particular importance for diseases with zoonotic potential like HPAI.

264

265 Hypothesized sources of H5. H5N1 outbreaks in dairy cattle were reported on March 266 25, 2024 in the Texas panhandle²³, which contains the Potter/Randall County area. There was also a human case of H5N1 reported in Amarillo, TX, in an individual who 267 268 worked at a commercial dairy cattle farm and reported close proximity to dairy cows²⁴. 269 As of April 25, 2024, no outbreaks have been reported within the vicinity of Sunnyvale, 270 TX. Although no H5N1 outbreaks have been reported within the sewersheds of the 271 WWTPs in this study, both City of Amarillo Water Utilities Division receive effluent from 272 industries handling animal bioproducts, including dairies that receive milk from across 273 the region and beef processing plants (personal communication from local staff). At 274 least one dairy processing site is also permitted within the Dallas County sewershed 275 area. Dairy cattle have been reported to shed H5N1, as well as other viruses, in milk in high quantities^{25,26}. During the current outbreak, H5N1 has been detected in both raw 276 and pasteurized milk, according to USDA²⁷. Pasteurization is expected to inactivate the 277 278 virus, although it may still be detectable as non-infectious genetic material can persist past pasteurization²⁸. Regardless of whether milk or milk products entering the sewer 279 280 system have undergone pasteurization or pre-treatment before they are discharged, our 281 methods detect viral nucleic acids and their detection does not imply viability. 282 283 Given the outbreaks in dairy milking cattle in the region¹⁴, reports of H5N1 shedding in

Given the outbreaks in dairy milking cattle in the region¹⁴, reports of H5N1 shedding in cow milk and detection in milk products on the shelf, and animal industry contributions into wastewater at the three utilities, we hypothesize that dairy processing discharge into the sewage system is driving the detection of H5 identified in wastewater solids. It is important to note that, even if true, this does not eliminate the possibility of contributions from other animals, including humans. If dairy industry activities in these sewersheds are a primary source of H5 in wastewater, this suggests that there are may be

- additional, unidentified outbreaks among cattle with milk sent to these facilities since
 milk from infected animals is required to be diverted from food supply.
- 292

293 Limitations. The assay used here detects H5 broadly, and as such is not specific to 294 H5N1 or HPAI, and may include other, low pathogenic H5 influenza viruses (although these are not expected to be circulating in these areas at this time²⁹). HPAI genomes 295 296 contain one copy each of M and HA genes, so results of the assays should be 297 comparable, barring potential variability in assay performance and measurement errors. 298 Caution should be taken in interpreting these results for characterizing the current H5N1 299 outbreak; without isolating possible sources in the sewer network of the H5 300 contributions our hypotheses about the source of the signal cannot be fully 301 substantiated. However, multiple lines of evidence suggest animal sources. 302 303 This work shows that wastewater monitoring can provide an early warning for outbreaks 304 likely to produce contributions to the sewershed outside of the expected human-305 associated inputs, including for animal outbreaks of diseases with zoonotic potential. In 306 Potter/Randall County, retrospective testing shows that H5 was detectable in 307 wastewater on Mar 1, 2024 - nearly a week before an unspecified disease was reported 308 in dairy cattle in Texas (Mar 7) and several weeks before the causative agent was 309 identified as H5N1 (Mar 25). Understanding and describing industry inputs into 310

- 310 municipal wastewater, especially those that may be associated with contributions from 311 animals, is critical both to identifying anomalies in influenza data from wastewater that
- 312 do not relate to human disease, and identifying cases when wastewater can provide
- important, early warning data on outbreaks with zoonotic potential. Future work should
- 314 further explore the possible contributions of animal shedding to municipal wastewater
- systems, and seek to sequence influenza A in wastewater to support genomicsurveillance.
- 317

318 Acknowledgements. We acknowledge Todd Bell, Casie Stoughton, Jason Williams,

319 Steve Kean, Richard Gibson, Tyler Edwards, Garry Singer, and Megan Sandefer for

- 320 their assistance with the project.
- 321

322 Data availability statement. All wastewater data are available at the Stanford Digital
 323 Repository: <u>https://purl.stanford.edu/tr782wk3364</u>.

324

Supporting information. Additional methods, Figure S1, S2, and S3, and Tables S1,
S2, S3, and S4.

- 327 **References**
- 328

- 329 (1) World Health Organization. *The top 10 causes of death*. https://www.who.int/news 330 room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death (accessed 2024-04-25).
- 331 (2) National Center for Health Statistics, CDC. *Deaths and Mortality*.
 332 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/deaths.htm (accessed 2024-04-25).
- (3) Raj, D.; Rao Mallyala, S.; Chirumamilla, A.; Gajjar, J.; Patel, S.; Dekhne, A.; Dineshbhai
 Desai, H. 2595. Burden of Lower Respiratory Tract Infection in United States of America
 and Its Trend from 1990-2019: A Benchmarking Analysis from the Global Burden of Disease
 Study. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 2023, *10* (Supplement_2), ofad500.2210.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofad500.2210.
- 338 (4) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Influenza (Seasonal)*.
 339 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/influenza-(seasonal) (accessed 2024-04-340 25).
- (5) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Types of Influenza Viruses*.
 https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/viruses/types.htm (accessed 2024-04-25).
- 343 (6) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Highlights in the History of Avian Influenza*344 (*Bird Flu*) *Timeline 2020-2024*. https://www.cdc.gov/flu/avianflu/timeline/avian-timeline345 2020s.htm (accessed 2024-04-25).
- (7) Mercier, E.; D'Aoust, P. M.; Thakali, O.; Hegazy, N.; Jia, J.-J.; Zhang, Z.; Eid, W.; Plaza-Diaz, J.; Kabir, M. P.; Fang, W.; Cowan, A.; Stephenson, S. E.; Pisharody, L.; MacKenzie, A. E.; Graber, T. E.; Wan, S.; Delatolla, R. Municipal and Neighbourhood Level Wastewater Surveillance and Subtyping of an Influenza Virus Outbreak. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, *12* (1), 15777. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-20076-z.
- (8) Schoen, M. E.; Bidwell, A. L.; Wolfe, M. K.; Boehm, A. B. United States Influenza 2022–
 2023 Season Characteristics as Inferred from Wastewater Solids, Influenza Hospitalization, and Syndromic Data. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2023, 57 (49), 20542–20550.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c07526.
- (9) Wolfe, M. K.; Duong, D.; Bakker, K. M.; Ammerman, M.; Mortenson, L.; Hughes, B.; Arts, P.;
 Lauring, A. S.; Fitzsimmons, W. J.; Bendall, E.; Hwang, C. E.; Martin, E. T.; White, B. J.;
 Boehm, A. B.; Wigginton, K. R. Wastewater-Based Detection of Two Influenza Outbreaks. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2022**, *9* (8), 687–692.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00350.
- (10) Boehm, A. B.; Hughes, B.; Duong, D.; Chan-Herur, V.; Buchman, A.; Wolfe, M. K.;
 White, B. J. Wastewater Concentrations of Human Influenza, Metapneumovirus,
 Parainfluenza, Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Rhinovirus, and Seasonal Coronavirus NucleicAcids during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Surveillance Study. *Lancet Microbe* 2023, *4* (5),
 e340–e348. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(22)00386-X.
- 365 (11) Rolfes, M. A.; Foppa, I. M.; Garg, S.; Flannery, B.; Brammer, L.; Singleton, J. A.; Burns,
 366 E.; Jernigan, D.; Olsen, S. J.; Bresee, J.; Reed, C. Annual Estimates of the Burden of
 367 Seasonal Influenza in the United States: A Tool for Strengthening Influenza Surveillance
 368 and Preparedness. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* **2018**, *12* (1), 132–137.
 369 https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.12486.
- Yamaji, R.; Saad, M. D.; Davis, C. T.; Swayne, D. E.; Wang, D.; Wong, F. Y. K.;
 McCauley, J. W.; Peiris, J. S. M.; Webby, R. J.; Fouchier, R. A. M.; Kawaoka, Y.; Zhang, W.
 Pandemic Potential of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Clade 2.3.4.4 A(H5) Viruses. *Rev. Med. Virol.* 2020, *30* (3), e2099. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2099.
- 374 (13) World Health Organization. Avian influenza A (H7N9).
 375 https://www.who.int/emergencies/situations/avian-influenza-a-(h7n9)-virus-outbreak
 376 (accessed 2024-04-25).
- U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. *Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) Detections in Livestock*. https://www.aphis.usda.gov/livestock-poultry-disease/avian/avian influenza/hpai-detections/livestock (accessed 2024-04-25).

- 380 (15) Center for Disease Control, Current H5N1 Bird Flu Situation in Dairy Cows. 381 https://www.cdc.gov/flu/avianflu/mammals.htm (accessed 2024-04-25).
- 382 Boehm, A. B.; Wolfe, M. K.; White, B. J.; Hughes, B.; Duong, D.; Bidwell, A. More than a (16) 383 Tripledemic: Influenza A Virus, Respiratory Syncytial Virus, SARS-CoV-2, and Human Metapneumovirus in Wastewater during Winter 2022–2023. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 384 2023, 10 (8), 622-627. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00385. 385
- 386 Bouvier, N. M.; Palese, P. The Biology of Influenza Viruses. Influenza Vaccines Res. (17)387 Dev. Public Health Chall. 2008, 26, D49–D53. 388
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.07.039.
- 389 Zhang Mengyang; Roldan-Hernandez Laura; Boehm Alexandria. Persistence of Human (18)390 Respiratory Viral RNA in Wastewater-Settled Solids. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2024, 90 (4), 391 e02272-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02272-23.
- 392 Boehm, A. B.; Wolfe, M. K.; Wigginton, K. R.; Bidwell, A.; White, B. J.; Hughes, B.; (19) 393 Duong; Chan-Herur, V.; Bischel, H. N.; Naughton, C. C. Human Viral Nucleic Acids 394 Concentrations in Wastewater Solids from Central and Coastal California USA. Sci. Data 395 **2023**, *10*, 396.
- Texas Health and Human Services. Texas Respiratory Illness Interactive Dashboard. 396 (20) 397 https://texas-respiratory-illness-dashboard-txdshsea.hub.arcgis.com/ (accessed 2024-04-398 25).
- 399 (21) Texas Health and Human Services. Texas Respiratory Virus Surveillance Report 2023-400 2024 Season/ 2024 MMWR Week 15.
- 401 https://www.dshs.texas.gov/sites/default/files/IDCU/disease/respiratory virus surveillance/2 402 024/2024Week15TRVS-Final-pubApr19.pdf (accessed 2024-04-25).
- Borchardt, M. A.; Boehm, A. B.; Salit, M.; Spencer, S. K.; Wigginton, K. R.; Noble, R. T. 403 (22)404 The Environmental Microbiology Minimum Information (EMMI) Guidelines: gPCR and dPCR 405 Quality and Reporting for Environmental Microbiology. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2021, 55 (15), 406 10210-10223. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01767.
- 407 NBC News. Bird flu confirmed in cows at three Texas Panhandle dairies. (23) 408 https://www.nbcdfw.com/news/local/texas-news/bird-flu-texas-panhandle-dairy/3497786/ 409 (accessed 2024-04-26).
- 410 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A (24)411 (H5N1) Virus Infection Reported in a Person in the U.S.
- https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2024/p0401-avian-flu.html (accessed 2024-04-25). 412
- 413 Sreenivasan, C. C.; Thomas, M.; Kaushik, R. S.; Wang, D.; Li, F. Influenza A in Bovine (25) 414 Species: A Narrative Literature Review. Viruses 2019, 11 (6). 415 https://doi.org/10.3390/v11060561.
- 416 Brito, B.; Hick, P. Milk as a Diagnostic Fluid to Monitor Viral Diseases in Dairy Cattle. (26) 417 Aust. Vet. J. 2024, 102 (1–2), 11–18, https://doi.org/10.1111/avi.13293.
- 418 **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.** (27)
- 419 Detection of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (H5N1) in Dairy Herds: Frequently Asked Q 420 uestions; 2024. https://www.aphis.usda.gov/sites/default/files/hpai-dairy-fags.pdf (accessed 421 2024-04-25).
- 422 U,S, Food & Drug Administration. Updates on Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI). (28) 423 https://www.fda.gov/food/alerts-advisories-safety-information/updates-highly-pathogenic-424 avian-influenza-hpai (accessed 2024-04-25).
- 425 Youk, S.; Torchetti, M. K.; Lantz, K.; Lenoch, J. B.; Killian, M. L.; Leyson, C.; Bevins, S. (29) 426 N.; Dilione, K.; Ip, H. S.; Stallknecht, D. E.; Poulson, R. L.; Suarez, D. L.; Swayne, D. E.; 427 Pantin-Jackwood, M. J. H5N1 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Clade 2.3.4.4b in Wild and 428 Domestic Birds: Introductions into the United States and Reassortments, December 2021-429 April 2022. Virology 2023, 587, 109860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2023.109860.
- 430