| 1                | Oxytocin reduces subjective fear in naturalistic social contexts via enhancing top-down middle   |
|------------------|--|
| 2                | cingulate-amygdala regulation and brain-wide connectivity  |
| 3                |  |
| 4                |  |
| 5                | Authors  |
| 6                | Kun Fu <sup>1,2</sup> , Shuyue Xu <sup>3,4</sup> , Zheng Zhang <sup>1,2</sup> , Dan Liu <sup>1,2</sup> , Ting Xu <sup>1,2</sup> , Yuan Zhang <sup>1,2</sup> , Feng Zhou <sup>5,6</sup> , |
| 7                | Xiaodong Zhang <sup>1,2</sup> , Chunmei Lan <sup>1,2</sup> , Junjie Wang <sup>1,2</sup> , Lan Wang <sup>1,2</sup> , Jingxian He <sup>1,2</sup> , Keith M                                 |
| 8                | Kendrick <sup>1,2</sup> , Bharat Biswal <sup>2,7</sup> , Zhen Liang <sup>3,4</sup> *, Weihua Zhao <sup>1,2</sup> *, Benjamin Becker <sup>1,2,8,9,10</sup> *                              |
| 9                |  |
| 10               |  |
| 11               | Affiliations   |
| 12               | <sup>1</sup> The Center of Psychosomatic Medicine, Sichuan Provincial Center for Mental Health, Sichuan  |
| 13               | Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu,   |
| 14               | China  |
| 15               | <sup>2</sup> MOE Key Laboratory for Neuroinformation, School of Life Science and Technology, University of   |
| 16               | Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China   |
| 17               | <sup>3</sup> School of Biomedical Engineering, Medical School, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China  |
| 18               | <sup>4</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Biomedical Measurements and Ultrasound Imaging,  |
| 19               | Shenzhen, China  |
| 20               | <sup>5</sup> Faculty of Psychology, Southwest University, Chongqing, China   |
| 21               | <sup>6</sup> Key Laboratory of Cognition and Personality, Ministry of Education, Chongqing, China  |
| 22               | <sup>7</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, USA   |
| 23               | <sup>8</sup> State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong,   |
| 24               | China  |
| 25               | <sup>9</sup> Department of Psychology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China   |
| 26               | <sup>10</sup> Lead contact   |
| 27               |  |
| 28               | *Corresponding authors   |
| 29               | bbecker@hku.hk (B. Becker, lead contact)   |
| 30               | janezliang@szu.edu.cn (Z. Liang)   |
| 31               | zarazhao@uestc.edu.cn (W. Zhao)  |
| 32               |  |
| 33               |  |
| 34               |  |
| 35               |  |
| 36 <sub>NO</sub> | TE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.   |

### 37 Abstract

Accumulating evidence from animal and human studies suggests a fear-regulating potential of 38 39 the neuropeptide oxytocin (OT), yet the clinical translation into novel interventions for 40 pathological fear requires a behavioral and neurofunctional characterization under close-to-real 41 life conditions. Here, we combined a naturalistic fMRI-design inducing high and immersive fear 42 experience in social and non-social contexts with a preregistered between-subjects randomized double-blind placebo-controlled intranasal OT trial (24 IU, n = 67 healthy men). OT reduced 43 44 subjective fear in non-social and social contexts with small or moderate effect sizes, respectively. 45 In the social fear contexts, OT enhanced left middle cingulate cortex (IMCC) activation and its 46 functional connectivity with the contralateral amygdala, with both neural indices significantly and 47 inversely associated with subjective fear following OT. On the network level, OT enhanced 48 communication between the dorsal attention network (DAN) with the fronto-parietal (FPN) and 49 the default-mode network (DMN) as well as on the more fine-grained level brain-wide 50 communication. These findings indicate a fear-reducing potential of OT under naturalistic 51 conditions with pronounced effects in social contexts, suggesting a high treatment value in 52 disorders with social context-related excessive fear.

53

54

# 55 Introduction

Fear is an evolutionarily conserved and highly adaptive defensive avoidance response, 56 57 fundamental to surviving and functioning in everyday life <sup>1-4</sup>. The experience of excessive and overwhelming fear represents a hallmark symptom of anxiety and trauma-related disorders <sup>5-7</sup>. 58 59 These highly prevalent psychiatric disorders have become a leading cause of disability and are 60 accompanied by personal suffering as well as an enormous socio-economic burden. The 61 conventional pharmacological interventions targeting the classical neurotransmitter (GABA-ergic, serotonergic) systems <sup>7-9</sup> are characterized by moderate response rates and potential negative 62 63 side effects ranging from dizziness towards addiction. While several promising candidate 64 compounds have shown effects in animal models, no new pharmacological treatment for fear or 65 anxiety has entered the clinical practice during the last decades (for recent non-pharmacological developments see e.g. <sup>10, 11</sup>). 66 67 Based on promising findings in animal models and preclinical human studies neuropeptides, 68 including oxytocin (OT), vasopressin or angiotensin II, have been suggested as promising new 69 strategies to regulate fear and anxiety <sup>12-16</sup>. Most previous research in this field has focused on OT, a neuropeptide produced in the hypothalamus, and animal models <sup>15, 16</sup> as well as preclinical 70 human proof-of-concept studies demonstrated promising effects of OT on regulating negative 71 emotional processing in the domains of fear and anxiety <sup>16-20</sup>. Some of the effects of OT appear to 72 73

be specific to social contexts and stimuli, yet in the context of contradictory empirical evidence,
 debates on the social-specific effects of OT continue <sup>21, 22</sup>.

75 OT neurons from the hypothalamus project to a wide range of brain regions involved in fear, 76 anxiety as well as social processes, including the amygdala, hippocampus, midbrain and frontal 77 lobe <sup>5, 23</sup>, and previous studies indicate that intranasal OT can regulate these processes via influencing activity in the amygdala, dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus and medial prefrontal lobe 7. 78 79 <sup>18-20, 24-26</sup>. However, despite the initially promising findings from these studies, the fear and 80 anxiety-reducing effects of OT and the underlying neural circuits have been determined under 81 laboratory experimental contexts that strongly differ from the dynamic contexts during which 82 fear arises in everyday life <sup>27</sup>. Specifically, these studies combined the intranasal administration of OT with sparsely presented static experimental stimuli (e.g. pictures of fearful faces or scenes) 83 84 and primarily focused on treatment-induced changes in brain regional activity during fMRI.

This approach stands in contrast to contemporary neuroscience models proposing that fear emerges in interaction between subjective appraisal and dynamic changes in the environment <sup>28,</sup> <sup>29</sup> and that the corresponding mental states are mediated by an intricate interplay among distributed yet interacting brain systems <sup>30-32</sup>. To account for the complexity of subjective affective experiences under ecologically valid conditions and to capture the underlying networklevel communication in the brain, recent overarching conceptualizations have proposed the

combination of movie stimuli as highly immersive and dynamic stimuli with network-level
analyses <sup>33, 34</sup>. Initial empirical studies confirmed that these stimuli can induce highly immersive
affective experiences in complex social situations <sup>35, 36</sup> as well as the essential role that networklevel communication plays in establishing affective and social experiences under naturalistic
contexts <sup>27, 34, 37, 38</sup>.

96 Mental disorders, including fear-related disorders, have been reconceptualized as network-97 level disorders that are characterized by dysregulated regional activity as well as network level connectivity (putatively reflecting communication between brain regions) <sup>32, 39, 40</sup>. Together with a 98 99 growing number of studies underscoring the potential of OT to regulate neurofunctional networklevel interactions in fear-related networks across species <sup>41-44</sup>, which may be partly explained by 100 101 the physiological properties of the oxytocin-signaling system, including an axonal release, 102 widespread receptor distribution and considerably longer half-life compared to classical neurotransmitters <sup>45</sup>, these findings indicate the necessity to account for network-level effects to 103 104 fully determine a regulatory effect of OT on fear experience and its therapeutic potential.

105 Against this background, the present pre-registered between-subjects randomized double-106 blind placebo-controlled pharmaco-fMRI study (n = 67 healthy male participants) capitalized on 107 recent progress in naturalistic fMRI and network-level analyses to determine whether a single 108 dosage of intranasal OT (1) reduces the subjective experience of fear under highly immersive and 109 ecologically valid conditions, (2) modulates concomitant regional activity in brain systems 110 identified in previous studies, (3) modulates brain communication of the identified regions and 111 on the whole-brain network level, and (4) effects vary as a function of social context. Based on previous studies <sup>7, 18-20</sup> we hypothesized that intranasal OT would decrease subjective fear in 112 113 naturalistic contexts with more pronounced effects in the social domain while concomitantly 114 enhancing neural activity in regulatory brain regions and inhibiting activity in fear-processing brain regions. On the network level, we expected that OT would enhance communication 115 116 between emotion-regulation brain regions and the amygdala as well as communication between 117 large-scale networks with pronounced effects in the social fear context.

118

# 119 Methods

#### 120 Participants

121 Sixty-nine right-handed male participants with normal or corrected-normal vision were recruited

122 from the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. The sample size was

123 determined using G\*Power v.3.1<sup>46,47</sup> indicating that 62 participants were required to detect a

significant effect on the behavioral level (f = 0.25,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $\beta = 0.80$ ,  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  mixed ANOVA

125 interaction effect). The present study focused on male subjects to reduce variance related to sex

126 differences in the effects of OT <sup>48, 49</sup> and adhered to validated exclusion criteria (details see

- supplementary methods). The final sample size was n = 67 (mean  $\pm$  SD, age = 21.03  $\pm$  1.95
- 128 years) due to two data from two participants being excluded (withdraw due to personal reasons,

129 technical MRI issues). All participants provided written informed consent, protocols were pre-

- registered on Clinical Trials.gov (clinicaltrials.gov/ NCT05892939), approved by the ethics
- 131 committee at the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China (UESTC-
- 132 1061423041725893) and in line with the latest Declaration of Helsinki.
- 133 Using a double-blind randomized, placebo-controlled, between-subject pharmacological 134 fMRI design, participants were randomly assigned to receive either a single intranasal dose of oxytocin (OT, 24IU-Sichuan Defeng Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, China) or a placebo (PLC, same 135 136 ingredients i.e. sodium chloride and glycerin but without the peptide). The spray bottles were 137 identical and dispensed by an independent researcher based on a computer-generated 138 randomization sequence to ensure double-blinding. The investigators involved in data acquisition and analyses were blinded for group allocation. Following recent recommendations <sup>50-52</sup>, the fMRI 139 140 assessment began 45 minutes after treatment administration.
- 141 To control for pre-treatment between-group differences, participants completed a series of 142 mood and mental health questionnaires (as detailed in Table 1). Participants were asked to guess which treatment they had received after the experiment (with a non-significant treatment guess 143 144  $\chi^2 = 0.39$ , p = 0.53, confirming successful double-blinding). Additionally, participants were 145 required to complete a surprise recognition task after the experiment that involved presenting 146 stills of the video clips shown during fMRI and new clips to test the attentive processing of the 147 stimuli. All participants achieved a re-recognition accuracy of over 75% and no significant 148 difference in performance was observed between the two treatment groups  $(t_{(1.65)} = -0.693, p =$ 149 0.491).
- 150 Naturalistic fear induction paradigm.

151 The task began 45 minutes after the treatment intervention (see Fig. 1a). During the naturalistic 152 fear induction paradigm, participants were instructed to watch a series of video clips attentively 153 and rate their level of fear experience after each clip. The clips lasted 25 seconds and were 154 followed by a 1-3 second jittered fixation cross to separate the stimuli from the rating period. During the 5-second period following each stimulus, participants were asked to report their level 155 156 of subjective fear on a 9-point Likert scale, with 1 indicating no fear and 9 indicating very strong 157 fear. This was followed by a jittered inter-trial interval of 9-11 seconds, during which a fixation 158 cross was presented (see Fig. 1b). A total of 32 short video clips were presented over 2 runs, with 159 each run consisting of 16 randomly presented clips, half of which were neutral and half of which 160 were fear-inducing clips.

161 Given ongoing discussions about the social-specific effects of OT <sup>53, 54</sup>, we carefully pre-162 selected a balanced set of stimuli that included social as well as non-social fearful or neutral 163 stimuli, respectively. This led to a set of four stimulus categories (fear social clips-FS, fear non-164 social clips-FNS, neutral social clips-NS, neutral non-social clips-NNS, see Fig. 1c). For detailed characterization of the video clips, see Supplementary Methods. The video clips used for the 165 166 naturalistic fear induction paradigm were selected from a larger database based on stimuli 167 evaluation ratings in an independent sample (n = 20; 10 females; age =  $22.65 \pm 2.01$ ). Key 168 exclusion criteria for the video clips were reported in supplementary methods. Based on the 169 results of the behavioral ratings (see Fig. S2), 8 complimentary video clips were selected for each 170 of the four categories. The task was programmed in Python 3.7 using the PsychoPy package 171 (version 2022.2.4).



**Fig. 1 Experimental timeline, paradigm and schematic depiction of stimuli. a** The timeline of the whole experiment. **b** Naturalistic fear induction and rating paradigm. **c** Example stimuli. In each run, 4 types of video clips were presented (FS, FNS, NS, NNS) according to a randomized order. Abbreviations: OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, fMRI functional magnetic resonance imaging, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social. License: Images in 1a and 1b were obtained from Flaticon.com under the free license with attribution.

### 172 Effects of OT on subjective fear experience.

- 173 To determine the effects of OT on subjective fear in naturalistic contexts and whether they vary
- as a function of social context, we computed a repeated measures ANOVA with the between-
- subject factor treatment (OT vs PLC) and the within-subject factors emotion (fear vs neutral) and
- social (social vs non-social) and the dependent variable fear ratings during fMRI. To initially
- 177 ensure that the selected video clips were effective in inducing the intended emotions, repeated

- 178 measures ANOVA (sex was used as the independent variable, while emotion and social were used
- as repeated variables) were conducted on five rating metrics (see supplementary methods).
- 180 Analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA), and
- 181 corresponding effect sizes were computed using JASP 0.18.3.0 (JASP Team, 2019; jasp-stats.org).
- 182 All ANOVAs and t-tests used false discovery rate (FDR) <sup>55</sup> recommended by Prism for multiple
- 183 comparison correction.
- 184 MRI data acquisition and preprocessing.
- 185 MRI data were collected on a 3.0-T GE Discovery MR750 system (General Electric Medical System,
- 186 Milwaukee, WI, USA) and preprocessed using FMRIPREP 21.0.0<sup>56</sup> (RRID: SCR\_016216), a Nipype
- 187 1.6.1 based tool that integrates preprocessing routines from different software packages and
- 188 SPM 12 (Statistical Parametric Mapping; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/; Wellcome Trust
- 189 Centre for Neuroimaging, detailed in supplementary methods).

190 Next, a voxel-wise general linear model (GLM) was conducted for each participant.

- 191 Specifically, a first-level model was designed that included separate regressors for the four
- 192 conditions and the rating period. In line with a previous study <sup>28</sup>, we removed variance associated
- 193 with the mean, linear and quadratic trends, the average signal within anatomically-derived CSF
- 194 mask, the effects of motion estimated during the head-motion correction using an expanded set
- 195 of 24 motion parameters (six realignment parameters, their squares, their derivatives, and their
- squared derivatives) and motion spikes (FMRIPREP default: FD > 0.5mm or standardized
- 197 DVARS > 1.5)<sup>57</sup>.

### 198 Effects of OT on fear-related brain activity.

- 199 To examine the effects of OT on fear-related neural activity in naturalistic contexts, a GLM-based
- 200 model was established in SPM 12. The model incorporated five regressors: FS, FNS, NS, NNS, and
- the rating period. We included the 24 head motion parameters as covariates of no interest. Key
- 202 first-level contrasts of interest were contrasts that modeled fear in social and non-social contexts
- separately (i.e., [FS NS] or [FNS NNS]) as well as their interaction (i.e., [FS NS]
- 204 [FNS NNS]). In line with the behavioral analyses, the effects of OT were determined using an
- 205 ANOVA with the factors emotion and social context, implemented in a partitioned error ANOVA
- approach that subjected the first level interaction contrast to a voxel-wise two-sample t-test with
- 207 the factor treatment group on the second level. Based on our hypothesis and previous studies
- 208 reporting that fear is strongly associated with amygdala, cingulate cortex (particularly middle
- 209 cingulate cortex, MCC), insula, and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and that oxytocin
- 210 mediates its anxiolytic effects via these regions <sup>14, 22, 27, 58-60</sup>, our analyses focused on atlas-derived
- bilateral masks for the amygdala, MCC, insula and vmPFC using separate small volume correction
- 212 (SVC) and family-wise error (FWE) correction <sup>61</sup> applied at peak level.

213 To further disentangle complex interaction effects involving treatment, parameter estimates 214 were extracted using 8mm radius spheres centered at the peak interaction coordinates using

215 Marsbar (http://marsbar.sourceforge.net/). The extracted Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent

- 216 (BOLD) signals were then subjected to appropriate post-hoc tests comparing group differences in
- 217 each of the separate conditions.
- Additionally, to determine associations between the behavioral (subjective fear) and neural
- effects of OT (both activation and functional connectivity between specific brain regions) we
- 220 conducted correlation analysis using JASP.

# 221 Effects of OT on functional communication of the regions identified.

222 We further examined whether the regions showing altered regional activation following OT also 223 changed their network-level communication via a general Psychophysiological interaction (gPPI) analysis. gPPI examines functional connectivity between brain regions that is contingent on a 224 psychological context. We used the Generalized PPI toolbox <sup>62</sup> as implemented in SPM 12, to 225 226 conduct gPPI. This method includes additional task regressors to reduce the likelihood that the 227 functional connectivity estimates are driven simply by co-activation and has been widely used in similar studies <sup>63, 64</sup>. Based on our results, OT enhanced activation in the left middle cingulate 228 229 cortex (IMCC) under the three-way interaction (treatment  $\times$  emotion  $\times$  social), we therefore 230 computed the functional communication of this region (using an 8mm radius which centered in 231 peak Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI), x, y, z = -7, -3, 30) with other voxels in the whole 232 brain under the two-way interaction of emotion and social, and a two-sample t-test was 233 conducted to confirm the treatment effect of OT. Based on previous studies reporting effects of OT on the functional communication of the amygdala<sup>48,49</sup>, separate atlas-derived bilateral masks 234 235 for the amygdala, MCC, insula and vmPFC were used for SVC analyses with FWE correction <sup>61</sup> 236 applied at peak level.

237 Marsbar was used to extract information communications between other regions and the 238 seed region to further disentangle complex interaction effects involving treatment. The extracted 239 functional connectivity signals were then subjected to compare the treatment effect under four 240 conditions by using two-sample t-tests separately.

# 241 Effects of OT on large-scale brain network functional connectivity.

Given that several studies reported the effects of OT on large-scale network organization of the brain  $^{65, 66}$ , we examined OT effects on the whole-brain network level. To this end, time-series of each region of interest (ROI) was extracted according to the template including 463 ROIs across the whole brain (see supplementary methods), resulting in BOLD time series of the size of  $r \times t$  (rwas the number of ROIs and t was the BOLD time series length) for each subject per run. A matrix of size  $r \times r$  was obtained by calculating Pearson's correlation between the average BOLD time series of each pair of ROIs. Additionally, Fisher's z-transform was applied to improve the

normality of the correlation coefficients. The brain regions can be divided into nine networks
based on their functional divisions, and the neural activities of each network's regions were
averaged to calculate network functional connectivity.

252 During each run, four types of video clips were presented in a pseudo-randomized order. The onset time of each video clip was shifted by 3 TRs to account for hemodynamic lag. To extract 253 254 neural activity time series for a specific condition, we used the time points and durations specified for the appearance of different trials of the same type. This resulted in four  $r \times d$ 255 256 matrices (where r is the number of ROIs and d is the BOLD time series length of each condition). 257 Additionally, we obtained the  $r \times r$  matrix between ROIs and the  $n \times n$  matrix (where n is the 258 number of networks) between brain networks for different conditions. 259 After performing Fisher-Z transformation on the network functional connectivity matrices 260 for different conditions, matrices of Z-values corresponding to specific conditions 261  $(Z_{FS} \setminus Z_{FNS} \setminus Z_{FNS})$  are obtained. It is important to note that r values are not additive or subtractive, but Z scores are summative. Matrix of Z-values corresponding to particular contrasts 262

263 (e.g.  $Z_{FS-NS}$ ) can be computed by subtract the matrices of Z-values corresponding to different

264 conditions (e.g. Z<sub>FS</sub>-Z<sub>NS</sub>)<sup>67, 68</sup>. Therefore, each participant in both groups would have their own

subtracted Z-values matrix under specific contrasts (Z<sub>FS-NS</sub>\Z<sub>FNS-NNS</sub>). We then conducted two-

sample t-tests on each pair of network-level functional connectivity to determine the treatmenteffect.

### 268 Effects of OT on whole-brain functional connectivity.

269 To further investigate the impact of OT on a finer spatial scale, we analyzed whole-brain

270 functional connectivity using paired t-tests for key contrasts (FS – NNS and FNS – NNS)

separately for the two groups. We applied FDR correction ( $p_{FDR-corrected} < 0.05$ ) to identify the

272 most relevant functional connections for each contrast. A Mantel test <sup>69, 70</sup> was performed on the

273 FDR-corrected functional connectivity matrices of the two groups, under specific contrasts, to

assess their similarity. Furthermore, to investigate treatment-specific effects on functional

connectivity strength at the whole-brain level, we transformed the upper triangular data of the *t*-

value matrices of the two groups under specific contrast into two  $(r \times (r - 1)) / 2$  by 1 feature

277 vectors, and performed Welch's t-test between them.

278

### 279 **Results**

# 280 Demographics and potential confounders.

281 The OT (n = 33) and PLC (n = 34) groups were comparable with respect to socio-demographics,

- 282 mood and mental health indices arguing against nonspecific treatment effects (**Table 1**, all ps >
- 283 0.10).

|                 | Time                          | OT(M + SD)                         | P(C(M + SD))        | t     | n    |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|------|
|                 | TIME                          |                                    |                     | L     | Р    |
| Age             |                               | 21.30 ± 2.05                       | $20.76 \pm 1.83$    | 1.13  | 0.26 |
| BDI II          | Before                        | 4.70 ± 3.83                        | 5.38 ± 5.40         | -0.60 | 0.55 |
| BAI             | Before                        | $2.36 \pm 2.56$                    | 2.53 <u>+</u> 3.75  | -0.21 | 0.83 |
| LSAS            | Before                        | $31.36 \pm 20.35$                  | $26.15 \pm 19.47$   | 1.07  | 0.29 |
| SAI             | Before                        | 34.79 <u>+</u> 6.63                | 33.15 <u>+</u> 9.37 | 0.83  | 0.41 |
| TAI             | Before                        | 37.82 ± 7.30                       | 37.97 <u>+</u> 9.99 | -0.07 | 0.94 |
| ERQ-Reappraisal | Before                        | $31.00\pm3.98$                     | $30.74 \pm 4.15$    | 0.27  | 0.79 |
| ERQ-Suppression | Before                        | $15.85 \pm 4.72$                   | $14.82 \pm 4.41$    | 0.92  | 0.36 |
| PANAS-P         | Before <sup>1</sup>           | $28.85 \pm 6.05$                   | $28.47 \pm 7.17$    | 0.23  | 0.82 |
|                 | 2 - 1                         | -6.12 ± 6.71                       | -4.06 ± 5.18        | -1.41 | 0.16 |
|                 | Treatment peak <sup>2</sup>   | 22.73 ± 5.95                       | $24.41 \pm 6.90$    | -1.07 | 0.29 |
|                 | 3 - 2                         | -2.85 ± 5.50                       | -1.62 ± 5.39        | -0.93 | 0.36 |
|                 | After experiment <sup>3</sup> | $19.88 \pm 6.71$                   | 22.79 ± 7.71        | -1.65 | 0.10 |
|                 | 3 - 1                         | -8.97 ± 7.12                       | -5.68 ± 7.53        | -1.84 | 0.07 |
| PANAS-N         | Before <sup>1</sup>           | $15.09 \pm 5.17$                   | $14.09 \pm 4.82$    | 0.82  | 0.41 |
|                 | 2 - 1                         | -3.24 ± 4.70                       | -3.06 ± 4.06        | -0.17 | 0.87 |
|                 | Treatment peak <sup>2</sup>   | $11.85 \pm 3.17$                   | 11.03 ± 2.32        | 1.21  | 0.23 |
|                 | 3 - 2                         | $1.33 \pm 2.97$                    | $1.65 \pm 2.62$     | -0.46 | 0.65 |
|                 | After experiment <sup>3</sup> | $13.18 \pm 4.15$                   | 12.68 ± 3.86        | 0.52  | 0.61 |
|                 | 3 - 1                         | $\textbf{-1.91} \pm \textbf{6.07}$ | $-1.41 \pm 5.51$    | -0.35 | 0.73 |

#### Table 1. Demographics and potential confounders in the two treatment groups.

Values presented here as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (M  $\pm$  SD). *BDI II* Beck Depression Inventory II, *BAI* Beck Anxiety Index, *LSAS* Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale, *STAI* Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, *ERQ* Emotion Regulation Questionnaire, *PANAS* Positive and Negative Affect Schedule, *OT* oxytocin, *PLC* placebo.

# 284 OT reduced subjective fear experience in naturalistic contexts, particularly in social

# 285 contexts.

| 286 | The ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of emotion ( $F_{(1,65)} = 1434$ , $p < 0.0001$ , $\eta_p^2 =$                |
|-----|--|
| 287 | 0.957, Fig. 2a) such that participants rated subjective fear considerably higher during fear trials                        |
| 288 | (FS and FNS) than neutral ones, and a significant main effect of social ( $F_{(1,65)} = 76.81$ , $p < 0.0001$ ,            |
| 289 | $\eta_{p^2}=$ 0.542, Fig. 2a) reflecting lower fear during social trials (FS and NS) compared with non-                    |
| 290 | social trials. With respect to the effects of OT we found a significant interaction effect between                         |
| 291 | treatment and emotion ( $F_{(3,65)} = 4.064, p = 0.048, \eta_p^2 = 0.059$ , Fig. 2a), with post hoc tests                  |
| 292 | indicating that OT significantly decreased fear experience in response to fearful stimuli in                               |
| 293 | naturalistic social contexts while the effects sizes indicated a stronger fear reduction in social                         |
| 294 | contexts ( $t_{(1,65)} = -3.038$ , $p = 0.0027$ , Cohen's $d = -0.742$ under FS while $t_{(1,65)} = -1.991$ , $p = -1.991$ |
| 295 | 0.048, Cohen's $d = -0.487$ under FNS, <b>Fig. 2b</b> ). Finally, the interaction between emotion and                      |
| 296 | social was significant ( $F_{(3,65)} = 53.15$ , $p < 0.0001$ , $\eta_p^2 = 0.45$ , <b>Fig. 2a</b> ) reflecting that        |
| 297 | participants reported generally lower fear experience in social fear contexts. The main effect of                          |
| 298 | treatment and other interactions were not significant. Together the results partly support that OT                         |

299 can reduce subjective fear in naturalistic situations and that the effects are more pronounced in



**Fig. 2** The effects of OT on behavioral fear rating and cingulate activity. a OT reduced subjective fear rating in naturalistic situations particularly in contexts, **b** OT effects on fear rating under four conditions separately, **c** OT but not PLC could strengthen the activation in the left middle cingulate cortex (IMCC) under the interaction of valence and social. Particularly, **d** OT specifically increased the activation in IMCC under FS but not other conditions. **e** The activation in IMCC was significantly negatively correlated with subjective fear experience in OT group but not PLC group. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral non-social. \*\*\*\*  $p_{\text{FDR-corrected}} < 0.001$ , \*\* $p_{\text{FDR-corrected}} < 0.001$ , \*\*  $p_{\text{FDR-corrected}} < 0.05$ , ns not significant.

### 301 **OT enhanced middle cingulate activation in the social fear contexts.**

- We initially examined brain regions participating in fear processing under naturalistic contexts
   with different social features compared with neutral control conditions, a series of corresponding
- 304 voxel-wise one-sample t-tests conducted in the PLC group confirmed previous studies, suggesting
- that visual and temporal regions were strongly engaged during exposure to dynamic fear movie
- 306 clips irrespective of social features (Fig. S3). Examining treatment effects revealed a significant
- interaction between treatment × emotion × social located in the left middle cingulate cortex
- 308 (peak MNI, x, y, z = -7, -3, 30,  $t_{(1,65)}$  = 3.67, k = 33,  $p_{FWE-peak(svc)}$  = 0.019, **Fig. 2c**), with post-hoc t-
- 309 tests on extracted activity measures from this region indicating that compared to PLC, OT
- selectively enhanced activation in this region for FS ( $t_{(1,65)} = 2.761$ , p = 0.007, Cohen's d = 0.675,
- Fig. 2d) but not other conditions (all *ps* > 0.05, Fig. 2d). Examining associations between IMCC
- 312 activation (extracted parameter estimates) and subjective fear experience revealed a significant
- negative association in the OT (r = -0.418, p = 0.016, Fig. 2e) but not in PLC group (r = 0.090, p =

- 314 0.611, Fig. 2e). A Fisher r-z transformation indicated that these correlation coefficients were
- significantly different between the OT and PLC groups (Z = -2.09, two-tailed p = 0.037).

### 316 **OT enhanced MCC-amygdala functional communication consistently in the social**

#### 317 fear contexts.

- 318 Examining OT effects on the functional communication of the IMCC revealed a significant
- 319 interaction effect between treatment × emotion × social located in the right amygdala (rAmy)
- 320 (peak MNI, x, y, z = 22, -7, 13,  $t_{(1,65)} = 3.81$ , k = 19,  $p_{FWE-peak(svc)} = 0.021$ , Fig. 3a), with post-hoc t-
- 321 tests indicating that OT relative to PLC selectively enhanced IMCC-rAmy coupling in social fear
- 322 contexts ( $t_{(1,65)} = 2.70$ , p = 0.009, Cohen's d = 0.66, Fig. 3b) but not other contexts (all ps > 0.05,
- 323 FDR-corrected, Fig. 3b), confirming social fear-specific effects of OT on the neural level. In
- addition, we found that IMCC-rAmy functional coupling was significantly negatively associated
- with subjective fear experience in the OT (r = -0.392, p = 0.024, Fig. 3c) but not PLC group (r = -
- 326 0.084, p = 0.638, Fig. 3c, Fisher *r*-to-*z* transformation revealed a trend-to-significant difference

# between the treatment groups, Z = -1.29, one-tailed p = 0.099).



# **Fig. 3 OT strengthened IMCC-rAmy communication specifically in social fear contexts. a** Interaction effect between treatment × emotion × social on IMCC connectivity with the right amygdala **b** OT specifically increased functional connectivity between IMCC and rAmy in the FS contexts but not other conditions and **c** The functional connectivity between IMCC and rAmy was significantly negatively correlated with subjective fear experience in OT group but not PLC group. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social. \*\* $p_{\text{FDR-corrected}} < 0.01$ , \* $p_{\text{FDR-corrected}} < 0.05$ , # $p_{\text{un$ $corrected}} < 0.05$ .

328

329

### 330 OT enhanced FPN-DAN and DMN-DAN coupling during social fear contexts.

- 331 Examining the effects of OT on the large-scale network level using separate two-sample t-tests on
- the matrices of z-values for the two main contrasts of interest (FS NS, FNS NNS) and
- 333 following mantel test revealed that OT significantly affected network functional connectivity
- differently under two contexts (rho<sub>mantel test</sub> = -0.1333, p > 0.05). Specifically, compared to PLC (all
- ps > 0.05, Fig. 4f), OT significantly enhanced the functional communication between FPN and
- 336 DAN ( $t_{(1,65)} = 2.75$ , p = 0.0077, Cohen's d = 0.672, **Fig. 4e**) as well as between DMN and DAN
- 337  $(t_{(1,65)} = 2.02, p = 0.048, \text{ Cohen's } d = 0.493, \text{ Fig. 4e})$  under the social fear but not the non-social
- 338 fear contexts.



**Fig. 4 OT enhanced functional connectivity on the level of large-scale networks**. **a b c d** Flow of z-value matrix calculation for the degree of response functional connectivity under specific contrasts. (1) The Fisher r-z matrices of each condition for each participant were subtracted to yield a new matrix of z-value corresponding to the contrast (e.g.  $z_{FS} - _{NS} = z_{FS} - z_{NS}$ ). (2) New matrices of z-value corresponding to a specific contrast were generated for each participant in each group, which were used as features in a two-sample t-test to derive the between-group differences under the specified contrast. **e** OT significantly strengthened the functional connectivity between FPN and DAN as well as DMN and DAN in the fear social-related contrast **f** but not in the fear non-social-related contrast. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social, VN visual network, SMN somatomotor network, DAN dorsal attention network, VAN ventral attention network, LN limbic network, FPN frontoparietal network, DMN default mode network, SCT subcortical network, B/C brainstem and cerebellum. ##pun-corrected < 0.01, # pun-corrected < 0.05.

#### 339 **OT modulated whole-brain functional connectivity in the social fear context.**

- 340 We further conducted exploratory analyses to examine whether OT changes brain-wide
- 341 communications. Results showed that while under PLC only few positive connections increased
- activity during the FS versus NS contrast (Fig. 5a), OT induced a more widespread connectivity

- 343 between several brain regions (Fig. 5b). Under the FNS versus NNS contrast, the PLC group
- exhibited wide-spread connectivity (Fig. 5c), with no obvious changes following OT (Fig. 5d).
- 345 Further Mantel tests and Welch's t-test were conducted on the t-value matrices (FS NS and
- 346 FNS NNS) between both groups showed significant modulating effects of OT under both
- 347 contrasts (rho<sub>mantel test</sub> = 0.0335,  $t_{(1,65)}$  = 9.05, p < 0.0001 under FS NS, rho<sub>mantel test</sub> = 0.208,
- 348  $t_{(1,65)} = -2.51$ , p = 0.012 under FNS NNS), particularly under the fear social contexts.



**Fig. 5 Comparison between treatment groups for whole-brain functional connectivity during social and non-social fear separately.** Under fear social contexts, **a** PLC group showed a sparse connectivity between brain regions **b** the OT group exhibited stronger functional interactions at the whole-brain level. In contrast, under the fear non-social context **c** PLC and **d** OT groups showed similar whole-brain functional connectivity patterns. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear nonsocial, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social, VN visual network, SMN somatomotor network, DAN dorsal attention network, VAN ventral attention network, LN limbic network, FPN frontoparietal network, DMN default mode network, SCT subcortical network, B/C brainstem and cerebellum.

349

# 350 Discussion

The present study determined the acute behavioral and neural effects of a single intranasal OT administration on subjective fear experience in a naturalistic context that resembles dynamic fear processing in social and non-social contexts in a close-to-real life setting. On the behavioral level, OT decreased the experience of subjective fear across social and non-social contexts, supporting a fear-regulating potential of OT under dynamic and ecologically valid conditions. Mirroring the stronger effect sizes of the OT-induced fear reduction in social contexts, neural effects of OT were primarily observed in social fear contexts, such that OT enhanced regional activation in the left

358 MCC and its communication with the contralateral amygdala specifically during fear in social 359 contexts with both neural indices exhibiting a negative association with subjective fear following 360 OT but not PLC. Exploratory network-level analyses further revealed that OT significantly 361 enhanced the functional connectivity between the DAN and the FPN/DMN, respectively, and 362 brain-wide communication selectively in social fear contexts. Together, the findings indicate that 363 a single dose of intranasal OT has the potential to decrease fear in close-to-real life settings by enhancing IMCC activation and its communication with the amygdala while concomitantly 364 365 affecting network-level communication of the DAN with brain networks involved in regulatory control (FPN <sup>71, 72</sup>) and social processing (DMN <sup>73, 74</sup>) as well as brain-wide functional 366 communication. 367

Several previous studies in rodents and humans suggested a fear- and anxiety-reducing potential of OT, however, results remained inconsistent. Studies have described a promising fearand anxiety-reducing neurofunctional profile of OT across species, yet the neural effects on amygdala-related circuits were often observed in the absence of changes in subjective fear experience or under experimental laboratory settings with limited ecological validity <sup>15, 17-20, 75</sup>.

373 In the context of increasing attempts to enhance the ecological validity of neuroimaging research using dynamic movie stimuli <sup>27, 28, 76</sup>, we here combined naturalistic fMRI employing 374 fear-inducing movie clips with a pre-registered randomized double-blind placebo-controlled OT-375 376 administration trial. Across pilot experiments and treatment studies, the procedure induced a 377 highly immersive and comparably strong experience of fear under close-to-real life conditions. 378 Importantly, OT decreased subjective fear experience in the more naturalistic context with small 379 or moderate effect sizes in the non-social or social context, respectively. Although the 380 pronounced fear-reducing effects of OT in the social context may partly reflect the generally more 381 moderate fear experience reported by our participants in this context, pronounced effects of OT 382 in social contexts have been conceptualized in a number of overarching theories and have been reported in several previous studies <sup>21, 22, 77</sup> including studies reporting decreased subjective 383 anxiety <sup>78</sup>. The latter hypothesis on the social-specific effects of OT is also mirrored in the brain 384 385 functional findings, such that the neurofunctional effects were selectively observed during fear 386 experiences in social contexts.

With respect to regional activation, OT increased the activation of the left middle cingulate cortex (IMCC). The MCC represents a highly integrative hub that has been involved in both, fear evaluation <sup>5, 60, 79, 80</sup> as well as the cognitive control of negative affective states including fear <sup>81, 82</sup>. Concomitantly, OT enhanced functional connectivity from the IMCC to the contralateral amygdala (rAmy), a pathway that has been previously involved in implementing top-down inhibitory control over excessive fear-related amygdala engagement <sup>60, 80, 83</sup>. Effects of OT on this circuitry have been previously reported in the context of reduced subjective anxiety in individuals with PTSD <sup>84</sup> and in response to static fearful faces in patients with generalized social anxiety disorders <sup>25</sup>. Exploring
 brain-behavior associations further revealed that OT established a negative association between
 higher activity and connectivity in this circuit and subjective fear, underscoring the behavioral
 relevance of the OT-induced neurofunctional changes. Together, our findings suggest that OT
 exerts its fear-regulating effects under naturalistic contexts via enhancing MCC engagement and
 control over the amygdala.

400 On the large-scale network level, OT significantly enhanced the functional connectivity of 401 the DAN with the FPN as well as the DMN in the social fear contexts. The DAN is centered around 402 the intraparietal sulcus and the frontal eye fields and plays a pivotal role in top-down or goaldirected control of attention <sup>85-87</sup>, while the FPN supports goal-directed actions and cognitive 403 control <sup>71, 88, 89</sup> and the DMN supports self-referential <sup>90, 91</sup> and social processing <sup>73, 74</sup>, with more 404 405 recent findings additionally suggesting a role in emotional experience in dynamic naturalistic 406 contexts <sup>92, 93</sup>. While studies have demonstrated the effects of OT on the interaction between 407 these large-scale networks during the task-free state <sup>65, 94</sup>, the present findings underscore the 408 behavioral relevance of these effects of OT in terms of regulating emotional processes in social 409 contexts. Together with previous studies suggesting an association between dysfunctional 410 interaction between these large-scale networks and emotional dysregulations in anxiety and fear-related disorders <sup>32, 91</sup>, the present findings support a potential therapeutic potential of OT 411 for these disorders <sup>32, 95, 96</sup>. 412

413 Network-level analyses that explored the effects of OT on the fine-grained level of brain-414 wide connectivity patterns suggested that OT may broadly facilitate brain-wide exchange of 415 information within and across networks particularly between the FPN and DMN in social fear 416 contexts. The results align with recent findings suggesting that emotional processes such as fear and anxiety are processed in distributed networks and their interactions <sup>27, 34, 97</sup> as well as the 417 418 distributed network-level properties and effects of the OT signaling system <sup>41, 98, 99</sup>. These findings 419 underscore that OT may exert its effects on human emotion processing under complex 420 naturalistic conditions via regulating extensive network-level interactions. Together with 421 increasing the reconceptualization and increasing evidence indicating that mental disorders are 422 not related to dysfunctions of a single brain region or pathway but rather dysregulated brain-wide communication <sup>32, 91, 100</sup>, these findings may further underscore the therapeutic potential of the 423 424 OT signaling system.

Findings have to be considered in the context of limitations of the present design, including (1) to avoid variations in the effects of OT related to sex <sup>48, 49</sup>, we only focused on male participants and future studies need to test generalization to females, (2) the study employed a proof-of-concept design in healthy subjects and future studies need to validate the effects of OT in populations with pathological fear and anxiety, (3) despite extensive pre-study testing the

430 videos of the social and non-social category differed in terms of the level of fear induced which

431 partly limits conclusions regarding social-specific effects of OT, (4) the videos included

432 homogenous brief episodes of fear and future studies need to examine effects of OT on fear

433 during longer time scales and longer episodes with dynamic fear <sup>27, 28</sup>.

Taken together, this study demonstrated that OT can reduce subjective fear experience 434 435 under naturalistic conditions via enhancing IMCC engagement and its regulatory control over the amygdala as well as enhancing communication between large-scale networks and brain-wide 436 437 communication. These findings consistently indicate a high translational therapeutic potential of 438 OT to regulate fear in dynamic and close-to-real life environments. Effects were pronounced or restricted to the social contexts, supporting the role that OT may play in social contexts, and 439 440 rendering OT as a promising treatment for social context-specific fear experiences, e.g. in social anxiety disorders. Findings indicate that OT may be used as a novel therapeutic strategy to 441 regulate excessive subjective fear symptoms in mental disorders <sup>7, 17, 24</sup> or may help to prevent the 442 development of these disorders during early stages or promote long-term recovery <sup>94</sup> via 443 444 improving the quality of life, and reduce the social and economic burden related to fear-related 445 disorders.

446

#### 447 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the China MOST2030 Brain Project (Grant No. 2022ZD0208500), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 32250610208, 82271583-BB), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFA0701400-BB), the Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province (Grant number 2022NSFSC1375-WZ) and a startup grant from The University of Hong Kong. Disclaimer: Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication do not reflect the views of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region or the Innovation and Technology Commission.

455

#### 456 Author contributions

KF and BB<sup>1</sup> designed the study. KF, ZZ and DL conducted the experiment and collected the data.
KF, SX, WZ, ZL performed the data analysis with the help of TX, YZ, XZ, CL, JW, LW, JH and BB<sup>2</sup>. KF
and BB<sup>1</sup> wrote the manuscript. SX, FZ, KK, TX, YZ, ZL and WZ critically revised the manuscript
draft.

461 Note: BB<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Becker; BB<sup>2</sup>, Bharat Biswal.

462

### 463 **Competing interests**

464 The authors declare no competing interests.

465

#### 466 References

467 Osakada T, Yan R, Jiang Y, Wei D, Tabuchi R, Dai B et al. A dedicated hypothalamic oxytocin circuit 1. 468 controls aversive social learning. Nature 2024. 469 Akinrinade I, Kareklas K, Teles MC, Reis TK, Gliksberg M, Petri G et al. Evolutionarily conserved 2. 470 role of oxytocin in social fear contagion in zebrafish. Science 2023; 379(6638): 1232-1237. 471 Faul L, Stjepanović D, Stivers JM, Stewart GW, Graner JL, Morey RA et al. Proximal threats 3. 472 promote enhanced acquisition and persistence of reactive fear-learning circuits. Proceedings of the 473 National Academy of Sciences 2020; 117(28): 16678-16689. 474 4 Becker B, Zhou F. Neural Processing of Fear – From Animal Models to Human Research. 2020. 475 5. Zhou F, Zhao W, Qi Z, Geng Y, Yao S, Kendrick KM et al. A distributed fMRI-based signature for the 476 subjective experience of fear. Nature Communications 2021; 12(1): 6643. 477 Bramson B, Meijer S, van Nuland A, Toni I, Roelofs K. Anxious individuals shift emotion control 6. 478 from lateral frontal pole to dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Nature Communications 2023; 14(1): 4880. 479 7. Kreuder A-K, Scheele D, Schultz J, Hennig J, Marsh N, Dellert T et al. Common and dissociable 480 effects of oxytocin and lorazepam on the neurocircuitry of fear. Proceedings of the National Academy 481 of Sciences 2020; 117(21): 11781-11787. 482 8. Szuhany KL, Simon NM. Anxiety Disorders: A Review. JAMA 2022; 328(24): 2431-2445. 483 9. Slee A, Nazareth I, Bondaronek P, Liu Y, Cheng Z, Freemantle N. Pharmacological treatments for 484 generalised anxiety disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. The Lancet 2019; 485 **393**(10173): 768-777. 486 10. Taschereau-Dumouchel V, Cortese A, Chiba T, Knotts JD, Kawato M, Lau H. Towards an 487 unconscious neural reinforcement intervention for common fears. Proceedings of the National 488 Academy of Sciences 2018; 115(13): 3470-3475. 489 11. Zhao Z, Yao S, Li K, Sindermann C, Zhou F, Zhao W et al. Real-Time Functional Connectivity-490 Informed Neurofeedback of Amygdala-Frontal Pathways Reduces Anxiety. Psychotherapy and 491 Psychosomatics 2019; 88(1): 5-15. 492 12. Paul JM, Raül A, Rene H, Tiffany RL, Moriel Z, Joanna D. Limbic Neuropeptidergic Modulators of 493 Emotion and Their Therapeutic Potential for Anxiety and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. The Journal of 494 Neuroscience 2021; 41(5): 901. 495 13. Zhang R, Zhao W, Qi Z, Xu T, Zhou F, Becker B. Angiotensin II Regulates the Neural Expression of 496 Subjective Fear in Humans: A Precision Pharmaco-Neuroimaging Approach. Biological Psychiatry: 497 Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging 2023; 8(3): 262-270. 498 14. Zhou F, Geng Y, Xin F, Li J, Feng P, Liu C et al. Human Extinction Learning Is Accelerated by an 499 Angiotensin Antagonist via Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex and Its Connections With Basolateral 500 Amygdala. Biol Psychiat 2019; 86(12): 910-920. 501 15. Knobloch HS, Charlet A, Hoffmann Lena C, Eliava M, Khrulev S, Cetin Ali H et al. Evoked Axonal 502 Oxytocin Release in the Central Amygdala Attenuates Fear Response. Neuron 2012; 73(3): 553-566. 503 16. Neumann ID, Slattery DA. Oxytocin in General Anxiety and Social Fear: A Translational Approach. 504 Biol Psychiat 2016; 79(3): 213-221. 505 17. Eckstein M, Becker B, Scheele D, Scholz C, Preckel K, Schlaepfer TE et al. Oxytocin Facilitates the 506 Extinction of Conditioned Fear in Humans. *Biol Psychiat* 2015; 78(3): 194-202. 507 18. Kou J, Zhang Y, Zhou F, Gao Z, Yao S, Zhao W et al. Anxiolytic Effects of Chronic Intranasal 508 Oxytocin on Neural Responses to Threat Are Dose-Frequency Dependent. Psychotherapy and 509 Psychosomatics 2022; 91(4): 253-264. 510 19. Xin F, Zhou X, Dong D, Zhao Z, Yang X, Wang Q et al. Oxytocin Differentially Modulates Amygdala 511 Responses during Top-Down and Bottom-Up Aversive Anticipation. Adv Sci 2020; 7(16): 2001077. 512 20. Liu C, Lan C, Li K, Zhou F, Yao S, Xu L et al. Oxytocinergic Modulation of Threat-Specific Amygdala 513 Sensitization in Humans Is Critically Mediated by Serotonergic Mechanisms. Biological Psychiatry: 514 *Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* 2021; **6**(11): 1081-1089. 515 21. Shamay-Tsoory SG, Abu-Akel A. The Social Salience Hypothesis of Oxytocin. Biol Psychiat 2016; 516 79(3): 194-202. 517 22. Yao S, Zhao W, Geng Y, Chen Y, Zhao Z, Ma X et al. Oxytocin Facilitates Approach Behavior to 518 Positive Social Stimuli via Decreasing Anterior Insula Activity. Int J Neuropsychoph 2018; 21(10): 918-519 925. 520 23. Oliver JR, Alexandra CP, Brian C, Christian G. The translational neural circuitry of anxiety. Journal 521 of Neurology, Neurosurgery & amp; amp; Psychiatry 2019; 90(12): 1353.

522 24. Scheele D, Lieberz J, Goertzen-Patin A, Engels C, Schneider L, Stoffel-Wagner B et al. Trauma 523 Disclosure Moderates the Effects of Oxytocin on Intrusions and Neural Responses to Fear. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics 2019; 88(1): 61-63. 524 525 25. Gorka SM, Fitzgerald DA, Labuschagne I, Hosanagar A, Wood AG, Nathan PJ et al. Oxytocin 526 Modulation of Amygdala Functional Connectivity to Fearful Faces in Generalized Social Anxiety 527 Disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2015; **40**(2): 278-286. 528 26. Eckstein M, Scheele D, Patin A, Preckel K, Becker B, Walter A et al. Oxytocin Facilitates Pavlovian 529 Fear Learning in Males. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2016; **41**(4): 932-939. 530 27. Feng Z, Ran Z, Shuxia Y, Debo D, Pan F, Georg K et al. Capturing dynamic fear experiences in 531 naturalistic contexts: An ecologically valid fMRI signature integrating brain activation and connectivity. 532 bioRxiv 2024: 2023.2008.2018.553808. 533 28. Chang LJ, Jolly E, Cheong JH, Rapuano KM, Greenstein N, Chen P-HA et al. Endogenous variation 534 in ventromedial prefrontal cortex state dynamics during naturalistic viewing reflects affective 535 experience. Science Advances; 7(17): eabf7129. 536 29. Meer JNvd, Breakspear M, Chang LJ, Sonkusare S, Cocchi L. Movie viewing elicits rich and reliable 537 brain state dynamics. *Nature Communications* 2020; **11**(1): 5004. 538 30. Adolphs R. How should neuroscience study emotions? by distinguishing emotion states, 539 concepts, and experiences. Soc Cogn Affect Neur 2017; 12(1): 24-31. 540 31. Pessoa L. A Network Model of the Emotional Brain. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2017; 21(5): 357-541 371. 542 32. Wen Z, Seo J, Pace-Schott EF, Milad MR. Abnormal dynamic functional connectivity during fear 543 extinction learning in PTSD and anxiety disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2022; 27(4): 2216-2224. 544 33. Eickhoff SB, Milham M, Vanderwal T. Towards clinical applications of movie fMRI. NeuroImage 545 2020; 217: 116860. 546 34. Saarimäki H, Glerean E, Smirnov D, Mynttinen H, Jääskeläinen IP, Sams M et al. Classification of 547 emotion categories based on functional connectivity patterns of the human brain. *NeuroImage* 2022; 548 247: 118800. 549 35. de Vries IEJ, Wurm MF. Predictive neural representations of naturalistic dynamic input. Nature 550 Communications 2023; 14(1): 3858. 551 36. Redcay E, Moraczewski D. Social cognition in context: A naturalistic imaging approach. 552 NeuroImage 2020; 216: 116392. 553 37. Lee J-J, Kim HJ, Čeko M, Park B-y, Lee SA, Park H et al. A neuroimaging biomarker for sustained 554 experimental and clinical pain. Nature Medicine 2021; 27(1): 174-182. 555 38. Hayoung S, Bo-yong P, Hyunjin P, Won Mok S. Cognitive and Neural State Dynamics of Narrative 556 Comprehension. The Journal of Neuroscience 2021; 41(43): 8972. 557 39. Etkin A, Maron-Katz A, Wu W, Fonzo GA, Huemer J, Vértes PE et al. Using fMRI connectivity to 558 define a treatment-resistant form of post-traumatic stress disorder. Science Translational Medicine 559 2019; 11(486): eaal3236. 560 40. Xu X, Dai J, Chen Y, Liu C, Xin F, Zhou X et al. Intrinsic connectivity of the prefrontal cortex and 561 striato-limbic system respectively differentiate major depressive from generalized anxiety disorder. 562 Neuropsychopharmacology 2021; 46(4): 791-798. 563 41. Jiang X, Ma X, Geng Y, Zhao Z, Zhou F, Zhao W et al. Intrinsic, dynamic and effective connectivity 564 among large-scale brain networks modulated by oxytocin. NeuroImage 2021; 227: 117668. 565 42. Zhao Z, Ma X, Geng Y, Zhao W, Zhou F, Wang J et al. Oxytocin differentially modulates specific 566 dorsal and ventral striatal functional connections with frontal and cerebellar regions. NeuroImage 567 2019; 184: 781-789. 568 43. Liu N, Hadj-Bouziane F, Jones KB, Turchi JN, Averbeck BB, Ungerleider LG. Oxytocin modulates 569 fMRI responses to facial expression in macaques. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 570 2015; 112(24): E3123-E3130. 571 44. Wahis J, Baudon A, Althammer F, Kerspern D, Goyon S, Hagiwara D et al. Astrocytes mediate the 572 effect of oxytocin in the central amygdala on neuronal activity and affective states in rodents. Nat 573 Neurosci 2021; 24(4): 529-541. 574 45. Bethlehem RAI, van Honk J, Auyeung B, Baron-Cohen S. Oxytocin, brain physiology, and 575 functional connectivity: A review of intranasal oxytocin fMRI studies. Psychoneuroendocrino 2013; 576 38(7): 962-974. 577 46. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang A-G. Statistical power analyses using G\*Power 3.1: Tests for 578 correlation and regression analyses. Behavior Research Methods 2009; 41(4): 1149-1160.

579 47. Liu Y, Li S, Lin W, Li W, Yan X, Wang X et al. Oxytocin modulates social value representations in the 580 amygdala. Nat Neurosci 2019; 22(4): 633-641. 48. Gao S, Becker B, Luo L, Geng Y, Zhao W, Yin Y et al. Oxytocin, the peptide that bonds the sexes 581 582 also divides them. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2016; 113(27): 7650-7654. 583 49. Ma X, Zhao W, Luo R, Zhou F, Geng Y, Xu L et al. Sex- and context-dependent effects of oxytocin 584 on social sharing. NeuroImage 2018; 183: 62-72. 585 50. Watanabe T, Abe O, Kuwabara H, Yahata N, Takano Y, Iwashiro N et al. Mitigation of 586 Sociocommunicational Deficits of Autism Through Oxytocin-Induced Recovery of Medial Prefrontal 587 Activity A Randomized Trial. Jama Psychiat 2014; 71(2): 166-175. 588 51. Spengler FB, Schultz J, Scheele D, Essel M, Maier W, Heinrichs M et al. Kinetics and Dose 589 Dependency of Intranasal Oxytocin Effects on Amygdala Reactivity. Biol Psychiat 2017; 82(12): 885-590 894. 591 52. Lan CM, Liu CC, Li KS, Zhao ZY, Yang JX, Ma YN et al. Oxytocinergic Modulation of Stress-592 Associated Amygdala-Hippocampus Pathways in Humans Is Mediated by Serotonergic Mechanisms. Int 593 J Neuropsychoph 2022; 25(10): 807-817. 594 53. Bartz JA, Zaki J, Bolger N, Ochsner KN. Social effects of oxytocin in humans: context and person 595 matter. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2011; 15(7): 301-309. 596 54. Andari E, Duhamel J-R, Zalla T, Herbrecht E, Leboyer M, Sirigu A. Promoting social behavior with 597 oxytocin in high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. Proceedings of the National Academy of 598 Sciences 2010; 107(9): 4389-4394. 599 55. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach 600 to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological) 1995; 57(1): 289-601 300. 602 56. Esteban O, Markiewicz CJ, Blair RW, Moodie CA, Isik AI, Erramuzpe A et al. fMRIPrep: a robust 603 preprocessing pipeline for functional MRI. Nature Methods 2019; 16(1): 111-116. 604 57. Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Spurious but systematic correlations 605 in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. NeuroImage 2012; 59(3): 2142-606 2154. 607 58. Motzkin JC, Philippi CL, Wolf RC, Baskaya MK, Koenigs M. Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex Is 608 Critical for the Regulation of Amygdala Activity in Humans. Biol Psychiat 2015; 77(3): 276-284. 609 59. Mihov Y, Kendrick KM, Becker B, Zschernack J, Reich H, Maier W et al. Mirroring Fear in the 610 Absence of a Functional Amygdala. Biol Psychiat 2013; 73(7): e9-e11. 611 60. Qi S, Hassabis D, Sun J, Guo F, Daw N, Mobbs D. How cognitive and reactive fear circuits optimize 612 escape decisions in humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2018; 115(12): 3186-613 3191. 614 61. Yekutieli D, Benjamini Y. Resampling-based false discovery rate controlling multiple test 615 procedures for correlated test statistics. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference 1999; 82(1): 171-616 196. 617 62. McLaren DG, Ries ML, Xu G, Johnson SC. A generalized form of context-dependent 618 psychophysiological interactions (gPPI): A comparison to standard approaches. *NeuroImage* 2012; 619 **61**(4): 1277-1286. 620 63. Fung BJ, Qi S, Hassabis D, Daw N, Mobbs D. Slow escape decisions are swayed by trait anxiety. 621 Nature Human Behaviour 2019; 3(7): 702-708. 622 64. Xu T, Zhou X, Kanen JW, Wang L, Li J, Chen Z et al. Angiotensin blockade enhances motivational 623 reward learning via enhancing striatal prediction error signaling and frontostriatal communication. 624 Molecular Psychiatry 2023; 28(4): 1692-1702. 625 65. Xin F, Zhou F, Zhou X, Ma X, Geng Y, Zhao W et al. Oxytocin Modulates the Intrinsic Dynamics 626 Between Attention-Related Large-Scale Networks. Cerebral Cortex 2021; 31(3): 1848-1860. 627 66. Brodmann K, Gruber O, Goya-Maldonado R. Intranasal Oxytocin Selectively Modulates Large-628 Scale Brain Networks in Humans. Brain Connectivity 2017; 7(7): 454-463. 629 67. Ruslan M, Irina K, Alexander K, Denis C, Maxim K. Comparison of whole-brain task-modulated 630 functional connectivity methods for fMRI task connectomics. *bioRxiv* 2024: 2024.2001.2022.576622. 631 68. Schmälzle R, Brook O'Donnell M, Garcia JO, Cascio CN, Bayer J, Bassett DS et al. Brain 632 connectivity dynamics during social interaction reflect social network structure. Proceedings of the 633 National Academy of Sciences 2017; 114(20): 5153-5158. 634 69. Foster Brett L, Rangarajan V, Shirer William R, Parvizi J. Intrinsic and Task-Dependent Coupling of 635 Neuronal Population Activity in Human Parietal Cortex. Neuron 2015; 86(2): 578-590.

636 70. Giordano BL, Whiting C, Kriegeskorte N, Kotz SA, Gross J, Belin P. The representational dynamics 637 of perceived voice emotions evolve from categories to dimensions. Nature Human Behaviour 2021; 638 **5**(9): 1203-1213. 639 71. Cole MW, Reynolds JR, Power JD, Repovs G, Anticevic A, Braver TS. Multi-task connectivity reveals 640 flexible hubs for adaptive task control. Nat Neurosci 2013; 16(9): 1348-1355. 641 72. Uddin LQ. Cognitive and behavioural flexibility: neural mechanisms and clinical considerations. 642 Nature Reviews Neuroscience 2021; 22(3): 167-179. 643 73. Simony E, Honey CJ, Chen J, Lositsky O, Yeshurun Y, Wiesel A et al. Dynamic reconfiguration of the 644 default mode network during narrative comprehension. Nature Communications 2016; 7(1): 12141. 645 74. Axelrod V, Rees G, Bar M. The default network and the combination of cognitive processes that 646 mediate self-generated thought. Nature Human Behaviour 2017; 1(12): 896-910. 647 75. Peter K, Christine E, Qiang C, Daniela M, Stefanie L, Sarina S et al. Oxytocin Modulates Neural 648 Circuitry for Social Cognition and Fear in Humans. The Journal of Neuroscience 2005; 25(49): 11489. 649 76. Sonkusare S, Breakspear M, Guo C. Naturalistic Stimuli in Neuroscience: Critically Acclaimed. 650 Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2019; 23(8): 699-714. 651 77. Menon R, Neumann ID. Detection, processing and reinforcement of social cues: regulation by the 652 oxytocin system. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 2023; 24(12): 761-777. 653 78. Xu X, Li J, Chen Z, Kendrick KM, Becker B. Oxytocin reduces top-down control of attention by 654 increasing bottom-up attention allocation to social but not non-social stimuli – A randomized 655 controlled trial. Psychoneuroendocrino 2019; 108: 62-69. 656 79. Mobbs D, Trimmer PC, Blumstein DT, Dayan P. Foraging for foundations in decision neuroscience: 657 insights from ethology. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 2018; 19(7): 419-427. 658 80. Tashjian SM, Zbozinek TD, Mobbs D. A Decision Architecture for Safety Computations. Trends in 659 Cognitive Sciences 2021; 25(5): 342-354. 660 81. van Heukelum S, Mars RB, Guthrie M, Buitelaar JK, Beckmann CF, Tiesinga PHE et al. Where is 661 Cingulate Cortex? A Cross-Species View. Trends in Neurosciences 2020; 43(5): 285-299. 662 82. Loh KK, Procyk E, Neveu R, Lamberton F, Hopkins WD, Petrides M et al. Cognitive control of 663 orofacial motor and vocal responses in the ventrolateral and dorsomedial human frontal cortex. 664 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2020; 117(9): 4994-5005. 665 83. Shackman AJ, Salomons TV, Slagter HA, Fox AS, Winter JJ, Davidson RJ. The integration of 666 negative affect, pain and cognitive control in the cingulate cortex. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2011; 667 **12**(3): 154-167. 668 84. Koch SBJ, van Zuiden M, Nawijn L, Frijling JL, Veltman DJ, Olff M. Intranasal Oxytocin Normalizes 669 Amygdala Functional Connectivity in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology 2016; 670 41(8): 2041-2051. 671 85. Patel GH, Yang D, Jamerson EC, Snyder LH, Corbetta M, Ferrera VP. Functional evolution of new 672 and expanded attention networks in humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2015; 673 112(30): 9454-9459. 674 86. Womelsdorf T, Everling S. Long-Range Attention Networks: Circuit Motifs Underlying 675 Endogenously Controlled Stimulus Selection. Trends in Neurosciences 2015; 38(11): 682-700. 676 87. Rosenberg MD, Finn ES, Scheinost D, Constable RT, Chun MM. Characterizing Attention with 677 Predictive Network Models. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2017; 21(4): 290-302. 678 88. Dixon ML, De La Vega A, Mills C, Andrews-Hanna J, Spreng RN, Cole MW et al. Heterogeneity 679 within the frontoparietal control network and its relationship to the default and dorsal attention 680 networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2018; 115(7): E1598-E1607. 681 89. Keller AS, Sydnor VJ, Pines A, Fair DA, Bassett DS, Satterthwaite TD. Hierarchical functional system 682 development supports executive function. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2023; 27(2): 160-174. 683 90. Satpute AB, Lindquist KA. The Default Mode Network's Role in Discrete Emotion. Trends 684 in Cognitive Sciences 2019; 23(10): 851-864. 685 91. Sylvester CM, Corbetta M, Raichle ME, Rodebaugh TL, Schlaggar BL, Sheline YI et al. Functional 686 network dysfunction in anxiety and anxiety disorders. Trends in Neurosciences 2012; 35(9): 527-535. 687 92. Xu S, Zhang Z, Li L, Zhou Y, Lin D, Zhang M et al. Functional connectivity profiles of the default 688 mode and visual networks reflect temporal accumulative effects of sustained naturalistic emotional 689 experience. NeuroImage 2023; 269: 119941. 690 93. Brandman T, Malach R, Simony E. The surprising role of the default mode network in naturalistic

691 perception. Communications Biology 2021; 4(1): 79.

692 94. Davies C, Martins D, Dipasquale O, McCutcheon RA, De Micheli A, Ramella-Cravaro V *et al.*693 Connectome dysfunction in patients at clinical high risk for psychosis and modulation by oxytocin.

694 *Molecular Psychiatry* 2024.

695 95. Chai Y, Sheline YI, Oathes DJ, Balderston NL, Rao H, Yu M. Functional connectomics in depression: 696 insights into therapies. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2023; **27**(9): 814-832.

697 96. Yan C-G, Chen X, Li L, Castellanos FX, Bai T-J, Bo Q-J et al. Reduced default mode network

functional connectivity in patients with recurrent major depressive disorder. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2019; **116**(18): 9078-9083.

700 97. Liu X, Jiao G, Zhou F, Kendrick KM, Yao D, Gong Q et al. A neural signature for the subjective

experience of threat anticipation under uncertainty. *Nature Communications* 2024; **15**(1): 1544.

702 98. Zhang H, Chen K, Bao J, Wu H. Oxytocin enhances the triangular association among behavior,

resting-state, and task-state functional connectivity. *Human Brain Mapping* 2023; **44**(17): 6074-6089.

99. Quintana DS, Rokicki J, van der Meer D, Alnæs D, Kaufmann T, Córdova-Palomera A *et al.* 

705 Oxytocin pathway gene networks in the human brain. *Nature Communications* 2019; **10**(1): 668.

706100. Deco G, Kringelbach Morten L. Great Expectations: Using Whole-Brain Computational

Connectomics for Understanding Neuropsychiatric Disorders. *Neuron* 2014; **84**(5): 892-905.

708

709