Oxytocin reduces subjective fear in naturalistic social contexts via enhancing top-down middle cingulate-amygdala regulation and brain-wide connectivity

Authors

Kun Fu[1,2], Shuyue Xu[3,4], Zheng Zhang[1,2], Dan Liu[1,2], Ting Xu[1,2], Yuan Zhang[1,2], Feng Zhou[5,6], Xiaodong Zhang[1,2], Chunmei Lan[1,2],Junjie Wang[1,2], Lan Wang[1,2], Jingxian He[1,2], Keith M Kendrick[1,2], Bharat Biswal[2,7], Zhen Liang[3,4]*, Weihua Zhao[1,2]*, Benjamin Becker[1,2,8,9,10]*

Affiliations

[1] The Center of Psychosomatic Medicine, Sichuan Provincial Center for Mental Health, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China

[2] MOE Key Laboratory for Neuroinformation, School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China

[3] School of Biomedical Engineering, Medical School, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China

[4] Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Biomedical Measurements and Ultrasound Imaging, Shenzhen, China

[5] Faculty of Psychology, Southwest University, Chongqing, China

[6] Key Laboratory of Cognition and Personality, Ministry of Education, Chongqing, China

[7] Department of Biomedical Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, USA

[8] State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

[9] Department of Psychology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

[10] Lead contact

***Corresponding authors**

bbecker@hku.hk (B. Becker, lead contact)

janezliang@szu.edu.cn (Z. Liang)

zarazhao@uestc.edu.cn (W. Zhao)

Abstract

Accumulating evidence from animal and human studies suggests a fear-regulating potential of the neuropeptide oxytocin (OT), yet the clinical translation into novel interventions for pathological fear requires a behavioral and neurofunctional characterization under close-to-real life conditions. Here, we combined a naturalistic fMRI-design inducing high and immersive fear experience in social and non-social contexts with a preregistered between-subjects randomized double-blind placebo-controlled intranasal OT trial (24 IU, n = 67 healthy men). OT reduced subjective fear in non-social and social contexts with small or moderate effect sizes, respectively. In the social fear contexts, OT enhanced left middle cingulate cortex (lMCC) activation and its functional connectivity with the contralateral amygdala, with both neural indices significantly and inversely associated with subjective fear following OT. On the network level, OT enhanced communication between the dorsal attention network (DAN) with the fronto-parietal (FPN) and the default-mode network (DMN) as well as on the more fine-grained level brain-wide communication. These findings indicate a fear-reducing potential of OT under naturalistic conditions with pronounced effects in social contexts, suggesting a high treatment value in disorders with social context-related excessive fear.

Introduction

Fear is an evolutionarily conserved and highly adaptive defensive avoidance response, fundamental to surviving and functioning in everyday life [1-4]. The experience of excessive and overwhelming fear represents a hallmark symptom of anxiety and trauma-related disorders [5-7]. These highly prevalent psychiatric disorders have become a leading cause of disability and are accompanied by personal suffering as well as an enormous socio-economic burden. The conventional pharmacological interventions targeting the classical neurotransmitter (GABA-ergic, serotonergic) systems [7-9] are characterized by moderate response rates and potential negative side effects ranging from dizziness towards addiction. While several promising candidate compounds have shown effects in animal models, no new pharmacological treatment for fear or anxiety has entered the clinical practice during the last decades (for recent non-pharmacological developments see e.g. [10, 11]).

Based on promising findings in animal models and preclinical human studies neuropeptides, including oxytocin (OT), vasopressin or angiotensin II, have been suggested as promising new strategies to regulate fear and anxiety [12-16]. Most previous research in this field has focused on OT, a neuropeptide produced in the hypothalamus, and animal models [15, 16] as well as preclinical human proof-of-concept studies demonstrated promising effects of OT on regulating negative emotional processing in the domains of fear and anxiety [16-20]. Some of the effects of OT appear to be specific to social contexts and stimuli, yet in the context of contradictory empirical evidence, debates on the social-specific effects of OT continue [21, 22].

OT neurons from the hypothalamus project to a wide range of brain regions involved in fear, anxiety as well as social processes, including the amygdala, hippocampus, midbrain and frontal lobe [5, 23], and previous studies indicate that intranasal OT can regulate these processes via influencing activity in the amygdala, dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus and medial prefrontal lobe [7, 18-20, 24-26]. However, despite the initially promising findings from these studies, the fear and anxiety-reducing effects of OT and the underlying neural circuits have been determined under laboratory experimental contexts that strongly differ from the dynamic contexts during which fear arises in everyday life [27]. Specifically, these studies combined the intranasal administration of OT with sparsely presented static experimental stimuli (e.g. pictures of fearful faces or scenes) and primarily focused on treatment-induced changes in brain regional activity during fMRI.

This approach stands in contrast to contemporary neuroscience models proposing that fear emerges in interaction between subjective appraisal and dynamic changes in the environment [28, 29] and that the corresponding mental states are mediated by an intricate interplay among distributed yet interacting brain systems [30-32]. To account for the complexity of subjective affective experiences under ecologically valid conditions and to capture the underlying network-level communication in the brain, recent overarching conceptualizations have proposed the

combination of movie stimuli as highly immersive and dynamic stimuli with network-level analyses [33, 34]. Initial empirical studies confirmed that these stimuli can induce highly immersive affective experiences in complex social situations [35, 36] as well as the essential role that network-level communication plays in establishing affective and social experiences under naturalistic contexts [27, 34, 37, 38].

Mental disorders, including fear-related disorders, have been reconceptualized as network-level disorders that are characterized by dysregulated regional activity as well as network level connectivity (putatively reflecting communication between brain regions) [32, 39, 40]. Together with a growing number of studies underscoring the potential of OT to regulate neurofunctional network-level interactions in fear-related networks across species [41-44], which may be partly explained by the physiological properties of the oxytocin-signaling system, including an axonal release, widespread receptor distribution and considerably longer half-life compared to classical neurotransmitters [45], these findings indicate the necessity to account for network-level effects to fully determine a regulatory effect of OT on fear experience and its therapeutic potential.

Against this background, the present pre-registered between-subjects randomized double-blind placebo-controlled pharmaco-fMRI study (n = 67 healthy male participants) capitalized on recent progress in naturalistic fMRI and network-level analyses to determine whether a single dosage of intranasal OT (1) reduces the subjective experience of fear under highly immersive and ecologically valid conditions, (2) modulates concomitant regional activity in brain systems identified in previous studies, (3) modulates brain communication of the identified regions and on the whole-brain network level, and (4) effects vary as a function of social context. Based on previous studies [7, 18-20] we hypothesized that intranasal OT would decrease subjective fear in naturalistic contexts with more pronounced effects in the social domain while concomitantly enhancing neural activity in regulatory brain regions and inhibiting activity in fear-processing brain regions. On the network level, we expected that OT would enhance communication between emotion-regulation brain regions and the amygdala as well as communication between large-scale networks with pronounced effects in the social fear context.

Methods

Participants

Sixty-nine right-handed male participants with normal or corrected-normal vision were recruited from the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. The sample size was determined using G*Power v.3.1 [46, 47] indicating that 62 participants were required to detect a significant effect on the behavioral level ($f = 0.25$, $\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.80$, $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mixed ANOVA interaction effect). The present study focused on male subjects to reduce variance related to sex

differences in the effects of OT [48, 49] and adhered to validated exclusion criteria (details see supplementary methods). The final sample size was n = 67 (mean ± SD, age = 21.03 ± 1.95 years) due to two data from two participants being excluded (withdraw due to personal reasons, technical MRI issues). All participants provided written informed consent, protocols were pre-registered on Clinical Trials.gov (clinicaltrials.gov/ NCT05892939), approved by the ethics committee at the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China (UESTC-1061423041725893) and in line with the latest Declaration of Helsinki.

Using a double-blind randomized, placebo-controlled, between-subject pharmacological fMRI design, participants were randomly assigned to receive either a single intranasal dose of oxytocin (OT, 24IU-Sichuan Defeng Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, China) or a placebo (PLC, same ingredients i.e. sodium chloride and glycerin but without the peptide). The spray bottles were identical and dispensed by an independent researcher based on a computer-generated randomization sequence to ensure double-blinding. The investigators involved in data acquisition and analyses were blinded for group allocation. Following recent recommendations [50-52], the fMRI assessment began 45 minutes after treatment administration.

To control for pre-treatment between-group differences, participants completed a series of mood and mental health questionnaires (as detailed in **Table 1**). Participants were asked to guess which treatment they had received after the experiment (with a non-significant treatment guess $\chi^2 = 0.39$, $p = 0.53$, confirming successful double-blinding). Additionally, participants were required to complete a surprise recognition task after the experiment that involved presenting stills of the video clips shown during fMRI and new clips to test the attentive processing of the stimuli. All participants achieved a re-recognition accuracy of over 75% and no significant difference in performance was observed between the two treatment groups ($t_{(1,65)} = -0.693$, $p = 0.491$).

Naturalistic fear induction paradigm.

The task began 45 minutes after the treatment intervention (see **Fig. 1a**). During the naturalistic fear induction paradigm, participants were instructed to watch a series of video clips attentively and rate their level of fear experience after each clip. The clips lasted 25 seconds and were followed by a 1-3 second jittered fixation cross to separate the stimuli from the rating period. During the 5-second period following each stimulus, participants were asked to report their level of subjective fear on a 9-point Likert scale, with 1 indicating no fear and 9 indicating very strong fear. This was followed by a jittered inter-trial interval of 9-11 seconds, during which a fixation cross was presented (see **Fig. 1b**). A total of 32 short video clips were presented over 2 runs, with each run consisting of 16 randomly presented clips, half of which were neutral and half of which were fear-inducing clips.

Given ongoing discussions about the social-specific effects of OT [53, 54], we carefully pre-selected a balanced set of stimuli that included social as well as non-social fearful or neutral stimuli, respectively. This led to a set of four stimulus categories (fear social clips-FS, fear non-social clips-FNS, neutral social clips-NS, neutral non-social clips-NNS, see **Fig. 1c**). For detailed characterization of the video clips, see Supplementary Methods. The video clips used for the naturalistic fear induction paradigm were selected from a larger database based on stimuli evaluation ratings in an independent sample (n = 20; 10 females; age = 22.65 ± 2.01). Key exclusion criteria for the video clips were reported in supplementary methods. Based on the results of the behavioral ratings (see **Fig. S2**), 8 complimentary video clips were selected for each of the four categories. The task was programmed in Python 3.7 using the PsychoPy package (version 2022.2.4).



Fig. 1 Experimental timeline, paradigm and schematic depiction of stimuli. a The timeline of the whole experiment. **b** Naturalistic fear induction and rating paradigm. **c** Example stimuli. In each run, 4 types of video clips were presented (FS, FNS, NS, NNS) according to a randomized order. Abbreviations: OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, fMRI functional magnetic resonance imaging, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social. License: Images in 1a and 1b were obtained from Flaticon.com under the free license with attribution.

Effects of OT on subjective fear experience.

To determine the effects of OT on subjective fear in naturalistic contexts and whether they vary as a function of social context, we computed a repeated measures ANOVA with the between-subject factor treatment (OT vs PLC) and the within-subject factors emotion (fear vs neutral) and social (social vs non-social) and the dependent variable fear ratings during fMRI. To initially ensure that the selected video clips were effective in inducing the intended emotions, repeated

178 measures ANOVA (sex was used as the independent variable, while emotion and social were used
179 as repeated variables) were conducted on five rating metrics (see supplementary methods).
180 Analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA), and
181 corresponding effect sizes were computed using JASP 0.18.3.0 (JASP Team, 2019; jasp-stats.org).
182 All ANOVAs and t-tests used false discovery rate (FDR) [55] recommended by Prism for multiple
183 comparison correction.

184 **MRI data acquisition and preprocessing.**

185 MRI data were collected on a 3.0-T GE Discovery MR750 system (General Electric Medical System,
186 Milwaukee, WI, USA) and preprocessed using FMRIPREP 21.0.0[56] (RRID: SCR_016216), a Nipype
187 1.6.1 based tool that integrates preprocessing routines from different software packages and
188 SPM 12 (Statistical Parametric Mapping; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/; Wellcome Trust
189 Centre for Neuroimaging, detailed in supplementary methods).
190 Next, a voxel-wise general linear model (GLM) was conducted for each participant.
191 Specifically, a first-level model was designed that included separate regressors for the four
192 conditions and the rating period. In line with a previous study [28], we removed variance associated
193 with the mean, linear and quadratic trends, the average signal within anatomically-derived CSF
194 mask, the effects of motion estimated during the head-motion correction using an expanded set
195 of 24 motion parameters (six realignment parameters, their squares, their derivatives, and their
196 squared derivatives) and motion spikes (FMRIPREP default: FD $>$ 0.5mm or standardized
197 DVARS $>$ 1.5)[57].

198 **Effects of OT on fear-related brain activity.**

199 To examine the effects of OT on fear-related neural activity in naturalistic contexts, a GLM-based
200 model was established in SPM 12. The model incorporated five regressors: FS, FNS, NS, NNS, and
201 the rating period. We included the 24 head motion parameters as covariates of no interest. Key
202 first-level contrasts of interest were contrasts that modeled fear in social and non-social contexts
203 separately (i.e., [FS — NS] or [FNS — NNS]) as well as their interaction (i.e., [FS — NS]
204 — [FNS — NNS]). In line with the behavioral analyses, the effects of OT were determined using an
205 ANOVA with the factors emotion and social context, implemented in a partitioned error ANOVA
206 approach that subjected the first level interaction contrast to a voxel-wise two-sample t-test with
207 the factor treatment group on the second level. Based on our hypothesis and previous studies
208 reporting that fear is strongly associated with amygdala, cingulate cortex (particularly middle
209 cingulate cortex, MCC), insula, and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and that oxytocin
210 mediates its anxiolytic effects via these regions [14, 22, 27, 58-60], our analyses focused on atlas-derived
211 bilateral masks for the amygdala, MCC, insula and vmPFC using separate small volume correction
212 (SVC) and family-wise error (FWE) correction [61] applied at peak level.

To further disentangle complex interaction effects involving treatment, parameter estimates were extracted using 8mm radius spheres centered at the peak interaction coordinates using Marsbar (http://marsbar.sourceforge.net/). The extracted Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent (BOLD) signals were then subjected to appropriate post-hoc tests comparing group differences in each of the separate conditions.

Additionally, to determine associations between the behavioral (subjective fear) and neural effects of OT (both activation and functional connectivity between specific brain regions) we conducted correlation analysis using JASP.

Effects of OT on functional communication of the regions identified.

We further examined whether the regions showing altered regional activation following OT also changed their network-level communication via a general Psychophysiological interaction (gPPI) analysis. gPPI examines functional connectivity between brain regions that is contingent on a psychological context. We used the Generalized PPI toolbox [62] as implemented in SPM 12, to conduct gPPI. This method includes additional task regressors to reduce the likelihood that the functional connectivity estimates are driven simply by co-activation and has been widely used in similar studies [63, 64]. Based on our results, OT enhanced activation in the left middle cingulate cortex (lMCC) under the three-way interaction (treatment × emotion × social), we therefore computed the functional communication of this region (using an 8mm radius which centered in peak Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI), x, y, z = -7, -3, 30) with other voxels in the whole brain under the two-way interaction of emotion and social, and a two-sample t-test was conducted to confirm the treatment effect of OT. Based on previous studies reporting effects of OT on the functional communication of the amygdala [48, 49], separate atlas-derived bilateral masks for the amygdala, MCC, insula and vmPFC were used for SVC analyses with FWE correction [61] applied at peak level.

Marsbar was used to extract information communications between other regions and the seed region to further disentangle complex interaction effects involving treatment. The extracted functional connectivity signals were then subjected to compare the treatment effect under four conditions by using two-sample t-tests separately.

Effects of OT on large-scale brain network functional connectivity.

Given that several studies reported the effects of OT on large-scale network organization of the brain [65, 66], we examined OT effects on the whole-brain network level. To this end, time-series of each region of interest (ROI) was extracted according to the template including 463 ROIs across the whole brain (see supplementary methods), resulting in BOLD time series of the size of $r \times t$ (r was the number of ROIs and t was the BOLD time series length) for each subject per run. A matrix of size $r \times r$ was obtained by calculating Pearson's correlation between the average BOLD time series of each pair of ROIs. Additionally, Fisher's z-transform was applied to improve the

normality of the correlation coefficients. The brain regions can be divided into nine networks based on their functional divisions, and the neural activities of each network's regions were averaged to calculate network functional connectivity.

During each run, four types of video clips were presented in a pseudo-randomized order. The onset time of each video clip was shifted by 3 TRs to account for hemodynamic lag. To extract neural activity time series for a specific condition, we used the time points and durations specified for the appearance of different trials of the same type. This resulted in four $r \times d$ matrices (where r is the number of ROIs and d is the BOLD time series length of each condition). Additionally, we obtained the $r \times r$ matrix between ROIs and the $n \times n$ matrix (where n is the number of networks) between brain networks for different conditions.

After performing Fisher-Z transformation on the network functional connectivity matrices for different conditions, matrices of Z-values corresponding to specific conditions (Z_{FS}\$Z_{FNS}$\$Z_{NS}$\$Z_{FNS}$) are obtained. It is important to note that r values are not additive or subtractive, but Z scores are summative. Matrix of Z-values corresponding to particular contrasts (e.g. $Z_{FS\text{-}NS}$) can be computed by subtract the matrices of Z-values corresponding to different conditions (e.g. Z_{FS}-Z_{NS})[67, 68]. Therefore, each participant in both groups would have their own subtracted Z-values matrix under specific contrasts ($Z_{FS\text{-}NS}$\$Z_{FNS\text{-}NNS}$). We then conducted two-sample t-tests on each pair of network-level functional connectivity to determine the treatment effect.

Effects of OT on whole-brain functional connectivity.

To further investigate the impact of OT on a finer spatial scale, we analyzed whole-brain functional connectivity using paired t-tests for key contrasts (FS − NNS and FNS − NNS) separately for the two groups. We applied FDR correction ($p_{\text{FDR-corrected}} < 0.05$) to identify the most relevant functional connections for each contrast. A Mantel test [69, 70] was performed on the FDR-corrected functional connectivity matrices of the two groups, under specific contrasts, to assess their similarity. Furthermore, to investigate treatment-specific effects on functional connectivity strength at the whole-brain level, we transformed the upper triangular data of the *t*-value matrices of the two groups under specific contrast into two $(r \times (r - 1)) / 2$ by 1 feature vectors, and performed Welch's t-test between them.

Results

Demographics and potential confounders.

The OT (n = 33) and PLC (n = 34) groups were comparable with respect to socio-demographics, mood and mental health indices arguing against nonspecific treatment effects (**Table 1**, all $ps >$ 0.10).

Table 1. Demographics and potential confounders in the two treatment groups.

	Time	OT(M ± SD)	PLC(M ± SD)	t	p
Age		21.30 ± 2.05	20.76 ± 1.83	1.13	0.26
BDI II	Before	4.70 ± 3.83	5.38 ± 5.40	-0.60	0.55
BAI	Before	2.36 ± 2.56	2.53 ± 3.75	-0.21	0.83
LSAS	Before	31.36 ± 20.35	26.15 ± 19.47	1.07	0.29
SAI	Before	34.79 ± 6.63	33.15 ± 9.37	0.83	0.41
TAI	Before	37.82 ± 7.30	37.97 ± 9.99	-0.07	0.94
ERQ-Reappraisal	Before	31.00 ± 3.98	30.74 ± 4.15	0.27	0.79
ERQ-Suppression	Before	15.85 ± 4.72	14.82 ± 4.41	0.92	0.36
PANAS-P	Before[1]	28.85 ± 6.05	28.47 ± 7.17	0.23	0.82
	2 - 1	-6.12 ± 6.71	-4.06 ± 5.18	-1.41	0.16
	Treatment peak[2]	22.73 ± 5.95	24.41 ± 6.90	-1.07	0.29
	3 - 2	-2.85 ± 5.50	-1.62 ± 5.39	-0.93	0.36
	After experiment[3]	19.88 ± 6.71	22.79 ± 7.71	-1.65	0.10
	3 - 1	-8.97 ± 7.12	-5.68 ± 7.53	-1.84	0.07
PANAS-N	Before[1]	15.09 ± 5.17	14.09 ± 4.82	0.82	0.41
	2 - 1	-3.24 ± 4.70	-3.06 ± 4.06	-0.17	0.87
	Treatment peak[2]	11.85 ± 3.17	11.03 ± 2.32	1.21	0.23
	3 - 2	1.33 ± 2.97	1.65 ± 2.62	-0.46	0.65
	After experiment[3]	13.18 ± 4.15	12.68 ± 3.86	0.52	0.61
	3 - 1	-1.91 ± 6.07	-1.41 ± 5.51	-0.35	0.73

Values presented here as mean ± standard deviation (M ± SD). *BDI II* Beck Depression Inventory II, *BAI* Beck Anxiety Index, *LSAS* Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale, *STAI* Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, *ERQ* Emotion Regulation Questionnaire, *PANAS* Positive and Negative Affect Schedule, *OT* oxytocin, *PLC* placebo.

OT reduced subjective fear experience in naturalistic contexts, particularly in social contexts.

The ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of emotion ($F_{(1,65)} = 1434$, $p < 0.0001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.957$, **Fig. 2a**) such that participants rated subjective fear considerably higher during fear trials (FS and FNS) than neutral ones, and a significant main effect of social ($F_{(1,65)} = 76.81$, $p < 0.0001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.542$, **Fig. 2a**) reflecting lower fear during social trials (FS and NS) compared with non-social trials. With respect to the effects of OT we found a significant interaction effect between treatment and emotion ($F_{(3,65)} = 4.064$, $p = 0.048$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.059$, **Fig. 2a**), with post hoc tests indicating that OT significantly decreased fear experience in response to fearful stimuli in naturalistic social contexts while the effects sizes indicated a stronger fear reduction in social contexts ($t_{(1,65)} = -3.038$, $p = 0.0027$, Cohen's $d = -0.742$ under FS while $t_{(1,65)} = -1.991$, $p = 0.048$, Cohen's $d = -0.487$ under FNS, **Fig. 2b**). Finally, the interaction between emotion and social was significant ($F_{(3,65)} = 53.15$, $p < 0.0001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.45$, **Fig. 2a**) reflecting that participants reported generally lower fear experience in social fear contexts. The main effect of treatment and other interactions were not significant. Together the results partly support that OT

can reduce subjective fear in naturalistic situations and that the effects are more pronounced in social fear contexts.



Fig. 2 The effects of OT on behavioral fear rating and cingulate activity. a OT reduced subjective fear rating in naturalistic situations particularly in contexts, **b** OT effects on fear rating under four conditions separately, **c** OT but not PLC could strengthen the activation in the left middle cingulate cortex (lMCC) under the interaction of valence and social. Particularly, **d** OT specifically increased the activation in lMCC under FS but not other conditions. **e** The activation in lMCC was significantly negatively correlated with subjective fear experience in OT group but not PLC group. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social. **** $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.0001$, ***$p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.001$, ** $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.01$, * $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.05$, ns not significant.

OT enhanced middle cingulate activation in the social fear contexts.

We initially examined brain regions participating in fear processing under naturalistic contexts with different social features compared with neutral control conditions, a series of corresponding voxel-wise one-sample t-tests conducted in the PLC group confirmed previous studies, suggesting that visual and temporal regions were strongly engaged during exposure to dynamic fear movie clips irrespective of social features (**Fig. S3**). Examining treatment effects revealed a significant interaction between treatment × emotion × social located in the left middle cingulate cortex (peak MNI, x, y, z = -7, -3, 30, $t_{(1,65)} = 3.67$, k = 33, $p_{FWE\text{-}peak(svc)} = 0.019$, **Fig. 2c**), with post-hoc t-tests on extracted activity measures from this region indicating that compared to PLC, OT selectively enhanced activation in this region for FS ($t_{(1,65)} = 2.761$, $p = 0.007$, Cohen's $d = 0.675$, **Fig. 2d**) but not other conditions (all $ps > 0.05$, **Fig. 2d**). Examining associations between lMCC activation (extracted parameter estimates) and subjective fear experience revealed a significant negative association in the OT ($r = -0.418$, $p = 0.016$, **Fig. 2e**) but not in PLC group ($r = 0.090$, $p =$

0.611, **Fig. 2e**). A Fisher r-z transformation indicated that these correlation coefficients were significantly different between the OT and PLC groups (Z = -2.09, two-tailed $p = 0.037$).

OT enhanced MCC-amygdala functional communication consistently in the social fear contexts.

Examining OT effects on the functional communication of the lMCC revealed a significant interaction effect between treatment × emotion × social located in the right amygdala (rAmy) (peak MNI, x, y, z = 22, -7, 13, $t_{(1,65)} = 3.81$, k = 19, $p_{FWE\text{-}peak(svc)} = 0.021$, **Fig. 3a**), with post-hoc t-tests indicating that OT relative to PLC selectively enhanced lMCC-rAmy coupling in social fear contexts ($t_{(1,65)} = 2.70$, $p = 0.009$, Cohen's $d = 0.66$, **Fig. 3b**) but not other contexts (all $ps > 0.05$, FDR-corrected, **Fig. 3b**), confirming social fear-specific effects of OT on the neural level. In addition, we found that lMCC-rAmy functional coupling was significantly negatively associated with subjective fear experience in the OT ($r = -0.392$, $p = 0.024$, **Fig. 3c**) but not PLC group ($r = -0.084$, $p = 0.638$, **Fig. 3c**, Fisher *r*-to-*z* transformation revealed a trend-to-significant difference between the treatment groups, Z = -1.29, one-tailed $p = 0.099$).



Fig. 3 OT strengthened lMCC-rAmy communication specifically in social fear contexts. a Interaction effect between treatment × emotion × social on lMCC connectivity with the right amygdala **b** OT specifically increased functional connectivity between lMCC and rAmy in the FS contexts but not other conditions and **c** The functional connectivity between lMCC and rAmy was significantly negatively correlated with subjective fear experience in OT group but not PLC group. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social. ** $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.01$, * $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.05$, # $p_{un\text{-}corrected} < 0.05$.

OT enhanced FPN-DAN and DMN-DAN coupling during social fear contexts.

Examining the effects of OT on the large-scale network level using separate two-sample t-tests on the matrices of z-values for the two main contrasts of interest (FS − NS, FNS − NNS) and following mantel test revealed that OT significantly affected network functional connectivity differently under two contexts ($rho_{mantel\ test}$ = -0.1333, $p > 0.05$). Specifically, compared to PLC (all $ps > 0.05$, **Fig. 4f**), OT significantly enhanced the functional communication between FPN and DAN ($t_{(1,65)} = 2.75$, $p = 0.0077$, Cohen's $d = 0.672$, **Fig. 4e**) as well as between DMN and DAN ($t_{(1,65)} = 2.02$, $p = 0.048$, Cohen's $d = 0.493$, **Fig. 4e**) under the social fear but not the non-social fear contexts.



Fig. 4 OT enhanced functional connectivity on the level of large-scale networks. **a b c d** Flow of z-value matrix calculation for the degree of response functional connectivity under specific contrasts. (1) The Fisher r-z matrices of each condition for each participant were subtracted to yield a new matrix of z-value corresponding to the contrast (e.g. $z_{FS-NS} = z_{FS} - z_{NS}$). (2) New matrices of z-value corresponding to a specific contrast were generated for each participant in each group, which were used as features in a two-sample t-test to derive the between-group differences under the specified contrast. **e** OT significantly strengthened the functional connectivity between FPN and DAN as well as DMN and DAN in the fear social-related contrast **f** but not in the fear non-social-related contrast. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social, VN visual network, SMN somatomotor network, DAN dorsal attention network, VAN ventral attention network, LN limbic network, FPN frontoparietal network, DMN default mode network, SCT subcortical network, B/C brainstem and cerebellum. ##$p_{un\text{-}corrected} < 0.01$, # $p_{un\text{-}corrected} < 0.05$.

OT modulated whole-brain functional connectivity in the social fear context.

We further conducted exploratory analyses to examine whether OT changes brain-wide communications. Results showed that while under PLC only few positive connections increased activity during the FS versus NS contrast (**Fig. 5a**), OT induced a more widespread connectivity

between several brain regions (**Fig. 5b**). Under the FNS versus NNS contrast, the PLC group exhibited wide-spread connectivity (**Fig. 5c**), with no obvious changes following OT (**Fig. 5d**). Further Mantel tests and Welch's t-test were conducted on the t-value matrices (FS − NS and FNS − NNS) between both groups showed significant modulating effects of OT under both contrasts ($rho_{mantel\ test} = 0.0335$, $t_{(1,65)} = 9.05$, $p < 0.0001$ under FS − NS, $rho_{mantel\ test} = 0.208$, $t_{(1,65)} = -2.51$, $p = 0.012$ under FNS − NNS), particularly under the fear social contexts.



Fig. 5 Comparison between treatment groups for whole-brain functional connectivity during social and non-social fear separately. Under fear social contexts, **a** PLC group showed a sparse connectivity between brain regions **b** the OT group exhibited stronger functional interactions at the whole-brain level. In contrast, under the fear non-social context **c** PLC and **d** OT groups showed similar whole-brain functional connectivity patterns. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social, VN visual network, SMN somatomotor network, DAN dorsal attention network, VAN ventral attention network, LN limbic network, FPN frontoparietal network, DMN default mode network, SCT subcortical network, B/C brainstem and cerebellum.

Discussion

The present study determined the acute behavioral and neural effects of a single intranasal OT administration on subjective fear experience in a naturalistic context that resembles dynamic fear processing in social and non-social contexts in a close-to-real life setting. On the behavioral level, OT decreased the experience of subjective fear across social and non-social contexts, supporting a fear-regulating potential of OT under dynamic and ecologically valid conditions. Mirroring the stronger effect sizes of the OT-induced fear reduction in social contexts, neural effects of OT were primarily observed in social fear contexts, such that OT enhanced regional activation in the left

358 MCC and its communication with the contralateral amygdala specifically during fear in social
359 contexts with both neural indices exhibiting a negative association with subjective fear following
360 OT but not PLC. Exploratory network-level analyses further revealed that OT significantly
361 enhanced the functional connectivity between the DAN and the FPN/DMN, respectively, and
362 brain-wide communication selectively in social fear contexts. Together, the findings indicate that
363 a single dose of intranasal OT has the potential to decrease fear in close-to-real life settings by
364 enhancing lMCC activation and its communication with the amygdala while concomitantly
365 affecting network-level communication of the DAN with brain networks involved in regulatory
366 control (FPN [71, 72]) and social processing (DMN [73, 74]) as well as brain-wide functional
367 communication.

368 Several previous studies in rodents and humans suggested a fear- and anxiety-reducing
369 potential of OT, however, results remained inconsistent. Studies have described a promising fear-
370 and anxiety-reducing neurofunctional profile of OT across species, yet the neural effects on
371 amygdala-related circuits were often observed in the absence of changes in subjective fear
372 experience or under experimental laboratory settings with limited ecological validity [15, 17-20, 75].

373 In the context of increasing attempts to enhance the ecological validity of neuroimaging
374 research using dynamic movie stimuli [27, 28, 76], we here combined naturalistic fMRI employing
375 fear-inducing movie clips with a pre-registered randomized double-blind placebo-controlled OT-
376 administration trial. Across pilot experiments and treatment studies, the procedure induced a
377 highly immersive and comparably strong experience of fear under close-to-real life conditions.
378 Importantly, OT decreased subjective fear experience in the more naturalistic context with small
379 or moderate effect sizes in the non-social or social context, respectively. Although the
380 pronounced fear-reducing effects of OT in the social context may partly reflect the generally more
381 moderate fear experience reported by our participants in this context, pronounced effects of OT
382 in social contexts have been conceptualized in a number of overarching theories and have been
383 reported in several previous studies [21, 22, 77] including studies reporting decreased subjective
384 anxiety [78]. The latter hypothesis on the social-specific effects of OT is also mirrored in the brain
385 functional findings, such that the neurofunctional effects were selectively observed during fear
386 experiences in social contexts.

387 With respect to regional activation, OT increased the activation of the left middle cingulate
388 cortex (lMCC). The MCC represents a highly integrative hub that has been involved in both, fear
389 evaluation [5, 60, 79, 80] as well as the cognitive control of negative affective states including fear [81, 82].
390 Concomitantly, OT enhanced functional connectivity from the lMCC to the contralateral amygdala
391 (rAmy), a pathway that has been previously involved in implementing top-down inhibitory control
392 over excessive fear-related amygdala engagement [60, 80, 83]. Effects of OT on this circuitry have been
393 previously reported in the context of reduced subjective anxiety in individuals with PTSD [84] and in

394 response to static fearful faces in patients with generalized social anxiety disorders [25]. Exploring
395 brain-behavior associations further revealed that OT established a negative association between
396 higher activity and connectivity in this circuit and subjective fear, underscoring the behavioral
397 relevance of the OT-induced neurofunctional changes. Together, our findings suggest that OT
398 exerts its fear-regulating effects under naturalistic contexts via enhancing MCC engagement and
399 control over the amygdala.

400 On the large-scale network level, OT significantly enhanced the functional connectivity of
401 the DAN with the FPN as well as the DMN in the social fear contexts. The DAN is centered around
402 the intraparietal sulcus and the frontal eye fields and plays a pivotal role in top-down or goal-
403 directed control of attention [85-87], while the FPN supports goal-directed actions and cognitive
404 control [71, 88, 89] and the DMN supports self-referential [90, 91] and social processing [73, 74], with more
405 recent findings additionally suggesting a role in emotional experience in dynamic naturalistic
406 contexts [92, 93]. While studies have demonstrated the effects of OT on the interaction between
407 these large-scale networks during the task-free state [65, 94], the present findings underscore the
408 behavioral relevance of these effects of OT in terms of regulating emotional processes in social
409 contexts. Together with previous studies suggesting an association between dysfunctional
410 interaction between these large-scale networks and emotional dysregulations in anxiety and
411 fear-related disorders [32, 91], the present findings support a potential therapeutic potential of OT
412 for these disorders [32, 95, 96].

413 Network-level analyses that explored the effects of OT on the fine-grained level of brain-
414 wide connectivity patterns suggested that OT may broadly facilitate brain-wide exchange of
415 information within and across networks particularly between the FPN and DMN in social fear
416 contexts. The results align with recent findings suggesting that emotional processes such as fear
417 and anxiety are processed in distributed networks and their interactions [27, 34, 97] as well as the
418 distributed network-level properties and effects of the OT signaling system [41, 98, 99]. These findings
419 underscore that OT may exert its effects on human emotion processing under complex
420 naturalistic conditions via regulating extensive network-level interactions. Together with
421 increasing the reconceptualization and increasing evidence indicating that mental disorders are
422 not related to dysfunctions of a single brain region or pathway but rather dysregulated brain-wide
423 communication [32, 91, 100], these findings may further underscore the therapeutic potential of the
424 OT signaling system.

425 Findings have to be considered in the context of limitations of the present design, including
426 (1) to avoid variations in the effects of OT related to sex [48, 49], we only focused on male
427 participants and future studies need to test generalization to females, (2) the study employed a
428 proof-of-concept design in healthy subjects and future studies need to validate the effects of OT
429 in populations with pathological fear and anxiety, (3) despite extensive pre-study testing the

videos of the social and non-social category differed in terms of the level of fear induced which partly limits conclusions regarding social-specific effects of OT, (4) the videos included homogenous brief episodes of fear and future studies need to examine effects of OT on fear during longer time scales and longer episodes with dynamic fear [27, 28].

Taken together, this study demonstrated that OT can reduce subjective fear experience under naturalistic conditions via enhancing lMCC engagement and its regulatory control over the amygdala as well as enhancing communication between large-scale networks and brain-wide communication. These findings consistently indicate a high translational therapeutic potential of OT to regulate fear in dynamic and close-to-real life environments. Effects were pronounced or restricted to the social contexts, supporting the role that OT may play in social contexts, and rendering OT as a promising treatment for social context-specific fear experiences, e.g. in social anxiety disorders. Findings indicate that OT may be used as a novel therapeutic strategy to regulate excessive subjective fear symptoms in mental disorders [7, 17, 24] or may help to prevent the development of these disorders during early stages or promote long-term recovery [94] via improving the quality of life, and reduce the social and economic burden related to fear-related disorders.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the China MOST2030 Brain Project (Grant No. 2022ZD0208500), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 32250610208, 82271583-BB), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFA0701400-BB), the Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province (Grant number 2022NSFSC1375-WZ) and a start-up grant from The University of Hong Kong. Disclaimer: Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication do not reflect the views of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region or the Innovation and Technology Commission.

Author contributions

KF and BB[1] designed the study. KF, ZZ and DL conducted the experiment and collected the data. KF, SX, WZ, ZL performed the data analysis with the help of TX, YZ, XZ, CL, JW, LW, JH and BB[2]. KF and BB[1] wrote the manuscript. SX, FZ, KK, TX, YZ, ZL and WZ critically revised the manuscript draft.

Note: BB[1], Benjamin Becker; BB[2], Bharat Biswal.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Osakada T, Yan R, Jiang Y, Wei D, Tabuchi R, Dai B *et al.* A dedicated hypothalamic oxytocin circuit controls aversive social learning. *Nature* 2024.
2. Akinrinade I, Kareklas K, Teles MC, Reis TK, Gliksberg M, Petri G *et al.* Evolutionarily conserved role of oxytocin in social fear contagion in zebrafish. *Science* 2023; **379**(6638)**:** 1232-1237.
3. Faul L, Stjepanović D, Stivers JM, Stewart GW, Graner JL, Morey RA *et al.* Proximal threats promote enhanced acquisition and persistence of reactive fear-learning circuits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2020; **117**(28)**:** 16678-16689.
4. Becker B, Zhou F. Neural Processing of Fear – From Animal Models to Human Research. 2020.
5. Zhou F, Zhao W, Qi Z, Geng Y, Yao S, Kendrick KM *et al.* A distributed fMRI-based signature for the subjective experience of fear. *Nature Communications* 2021; **12**(1)**:** 6643.
6. Bramson B, Meijer S, van Nuland A, Toni I, Roelofs K. Anxious individuals shift emotion control from lateral frontal pole to dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Nature Communications* 2023; **14**(1)**:** 4880.
7. Kreuder A-K, Scheele D, Schultz J, Hennig J, Marsh N, Dellert T *et al.* Common and dissociable effects of oxytocin and lorazepam on the neurocircuitry of fear. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2020; **117**(21)**:** 11781-11787.
8. Szuhany KL, Simon NM. Anxiety Disorders: A Review. *JAMA* 2022; **328**(24)**:** 2431-2445.
9. Slee A, Nazareth I, Bondaronek P, Liu Y, Cheng Z, Freemantle N. Pharmacological treatments for generalised anxiety disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *The Lancet* 2019; **393**(10173)**:** 768-777.
10. Taschereau-Dumouchel V, Cortese A, Chiba T, Knotts JD, Kawato M, Lau H. Towards an unconscious neural reinforcement intervention for common fears. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2018; **115**(13)**:** 3470-3475.
11. Zhao Z, Yao S, Li K, Sindermann C, Zhou F, Zhao W *et al.* Real-Time Functional Connectivity-Informed Neurofeedback of Amygdala-Frontal Pathways Reduces Anxiety. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 2019; **88**(1)**:** 5-15.
12. Paul JM, Raül A, Rene H, Tiffany RL, Moriel Z, Joanna D. Limbic Neuropeptidergic Modulators of Emotion and Their Therapeutic Potential for Anxiety and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 2021; **41**(5)**:** 901.
13. Zhang R, Zhao W, Qi Z, Xu T, Zhou F, Becker B. Angiotensin II Regulates the Neural Expression of Subjective Fear in Humans: A Precision Pharmaco-Neuroimaging Approach. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* 2023; **8**(3)**:** 262-270.
14. Zhou F, Geng Y, Xin F, Li J, Feng P, Liu C *et al.* Human Extinction Learning Is Accelerated by an Angiotensin Antagonist via Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex and Its Connections With Basolateral Amygdala. *Biol Psychiat* 2019; **86**(12)**:** 910-920.
15. Knobloch HS, Charlet A, Hoffmann Lena C, Eliava M, Khrulev S, Cetin Ali H *et al.* Evoked Axonal Oxytocin Release in the Central Amygdala Attenuates Fear Response. *Neuron* 2012; **73**(3)**:** 553-566.
16. Neumann ID, Slattery DA. Oxytocin in General Anxiety and Social Fear: A Translational Approach. *Biol Psychiat* 2016; **79**(3)**:** 213-221.
17. Eckstein M, Becker B, Scheele D, Scholz C, Preckel K, Schlaepfer TE *et al.* Oxytocin Facilitates the Extinction of Conditioned Fear in Humans. *Biol Psychiat* 2015; **78**(3)**:** 194-202.
18. Kou J, Zhang Y, Zhou F, Gao Z, Yao S, Zhao W *et al.* Anxiolytic Effects of Chronic Intranasal Oxytocin on Neural Responses to Threat Are Dose-Frequency Dependent. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 2022; **91**(4)**:** 253-264.
19. Xin F, Zhou X, Dong D, Zhao Z, Yang X, Wang Q *et al.* Oxytocin Differentially Modulates Amygdala Responses during Top-Down and Bottom-Up Aversive Anticipation. *Adv Sci* 2020; **7**(16)**:** 2001077.
20. Liu C, Lan C, Li K, Zhou F, Yao S, Xu L *et al.* Oxytocinergic Modulation of Threat-Specific Amygdala Sensitization in Humans Is Critically Mediated by Serotonergic Mechanisms. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* 2021; **6**(11)**:** 1081-1089.
21. Shamay-Tsoory SG, Abu-Akel A. The Social Salience Hypothesis of Oxytocin. *Biol Psychiat* 2016; **79**(3)**:** 194-202.
22. Yao S, Zhao W, Geng Y, Chen Y, Zhao Z, Ma X *et al.* Oxytocin Facilitates Approach Behavior to Positive Social Stimuli via Decreasing Anterior Insula Activity. *Int J Neuropsychoph* 2018; **21**(10)**:** 918-925.
23. Oliver JR, Alexandra CP, Brian C, Christian G. The translational neural circuitry of anxiety. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry* 2019; **90**(12)**:** 1353.

24. Scheele D, Lieberz J, Goertzen-Patin A, Engels C, Schneider L, Stoffel-Wagner B *et al.* Trauma Disclosure Moderates the Effects of Oxytocin on Intrusions and Neural Responses to Fear. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 2019; **88**(1)**:** 61-63.
25. Gorka SM, Fitzgerald DA, Labuschagne I, Hosanagar A, Wood AG, Nathan PJ *et al.* Oxytocin Modulation of Amygdala Functional Connectivity to Fearful Faces in Generalized Social Anxiety Disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2015; **40**(2)**:** 278-286.
26. Eckstein M, Scheele D, Patin A, Preckel K, Becker B, Walter A *et al.* Oxytocin Facilitates Pavlovian Fear Learning in Males. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2016; **41**(4)**:** 932-939.
27. Feng Z, Ran Z, Shuxia Y, Debo D, Pan F, Georg K *et al.* Capturing dynamic fear experiences in naturalistic contexts: An ecologically valid fMRI signature integrating brain activation and connectivity. *bioRxiv* 2024**:** 2023.2008.2018.553808.
28. Chang LJ, Jolly E, Cheong JH, Rapuano KM, Greenstein N, Chen P-HA *et al.* Endogenous variation in ventromedial prefrontal cortex state dynamics during naturalistic viewing reflects affective experience. *Science Advances*; **7**(17)**:** eabf7129.
29. Meer JNvd, Breakspear M, Chang LJ, Sonkusare S, Cocchi L. Movie viewing elicits rich and reliable brain state dynamics. *Nature Communications* 2020; **11**(1)**:** 5004.
30. Adolphs R. How should neuroscience study emotions? by distinguishing emotion states, concepts, and experiences. *Soc Cogn Affect Neur* 2017; **12**(1)**:** 24-31.
31. Pessoa L. A Network Model of the Emotional Brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2017; **21**(5)**:** 357-371.
32. Wen Z, Seo J, Pace-Schott EF, Milad MR. Abnormal dynamic functional connectivity during fear extinction learning in PTSD and anxiety disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2022; **27**(4)**:** 2216-2224.
33. Eickhoff SB, Milham M, Vanderwal T. Towards clinical applications of movie fMRI. *NeuroImage* 2020; **217:** 116860.
34. Saarimäki H, Glerean E, Smirnov D, Mynttinen H, Jääskeläinen IP, Sams M *et al.* Classification of emotion categories based on functional connectivity patterns of the human brain. *NeuroImage* 2022; **247:** 118800.
35. de Vries IEJ, Wurm MF. Predictive neural representations of naturalistic dynamic input. *Nature Communications* 2023; **14**(1)**:** 3858.
36. Redcay E, Moraczewski D. Social cognition in context: A naturalistic imaging approach. *NeuroImage* 2020; **216:** 116392.
37. Lee J-J, Kim HJ, Čeko M, Park B-y, Lee SA, Park H *et al.* A neuroimaging biomarker for sustained experimental and clinical pain. *Nature Medicine* 2021; **27**(1)**:** 174-182.
38. Hayoung S, Bo-yong P, Hyunjin P, Won Mok S. Cognitive and Neural State Dynamics of Narrative Comprehension. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 2021; **41**(43)**:** 8972.
39. Etkin A, Maron-Katz A, Wu W, Fonzo GA, Huemer J, Vértes PE *et al.* Using fMRI connectivity to define a treatment-resistant form of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Science Translational Medicine* 2019; **11**(486)**:** eaal3236.
40. Xu X, Dai J, Chen Y, Liu C, Xin F, Zhou X *et al.* Intrinsic connectivity of the prefrontal cortex and striato-limbic system respectively differentiate major depressive from generalized anxiety disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2021; **46**(4)**:** 791-798.
41. Jiang X, Ma X, Geng Y, Zhao Z, Zhou F, Zhao W *et al.* Intrinsic, dynamic and effective connectivity among large-scale brain networks modulated by oxytocin. *NeuroImage* 2021; **227:** 117668.
42. Zhao Z, Ma X, Geng Y, Zhao W, Zhou F, Wang J *et al.* Oxytocin differentially modulates specific dorsal and ventral striatal functional connections with frontal and cerebellar regions. *NeuroImage* 2019; **184:** 781-789.
43. Liu N, Hadj-Bouziane F, Jones KB, Turchi JN, Averbeck BB, Ungerleider LG. Oxytocin modulates fMRI responses to facial expression in macaques. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2015; **112**(24)**:** E3123-E3130.
44. Wahis J, Baudon A, Althammer F, Kerspern D, Goyon S, Hagiwara D *et al.* Astrocytes mediate the effect of oxytocin in the central amygdala on neuronal activity and affective states in rodents. *Nat Neurosci* 2021; **24**(4)**:** 529-541.
45. Bethlehem RAI, van Honk J, Auyeung B, Baron-Cohen S. Oxytocin, brain physiology, and functional connectivity: A review of intranasal oxytocin fMRI studies. *Psychoneuroendocrino* 2013; **38**(7)**:** 962-974.
46. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang A-G. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods* 2009; **41**(4)**:** 1149-1160.

47. Liu Y, Li S, Lin W, Li W, Yan X, Wang X *et al.* Oxytocin modulates social value representations in the amygdala. *Nat Neurosci* 2019; **22**(4)**:** 633-641.
48. Gao S, Becker B, Luo L, Geng Y, Zhao W, Yin Y *et al.* Oxytocin, the peptide that bonds the sexes also divides them. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2016; **113**(27)**:** 7650-7654.
49. Ma X, Zhao W, Luo R, Zhou F, Geng Y, Xu L *et al.* Sex- and context-dependent effects of oxytocin on social sharing. *NeuroImage* 2018; **183:** 62-72.
50. Watanabe T, Abe O, Kuwabara H, Yahata N, Takano Y, Iwashiro N *et al.* Mitigation of Sociocommunicational Deficits of Autism Through Oxytocin-Induced Recovery of Medial Prefrontal Activity A Randomized Trial. *Jama Psychiat* 2014; **71**(2)**:** 166-175.
51. Spengler FB, Schultz J, Scheele D, Essel M, Maier W, Heinrichs M *et al.* Kinetics and Dose Dependency of Intranasal Oxytocin Effects on Amygdala Reactivity. *Biol Psychiat* 2017; **82**(12)**:** 885-894.
52. Lan CM, Liu CC, Li KS, Zhao ZY, Yang JX, Ma YN *et al.* Oxytocinergic Modulation of Stress-Associated Amygdala-Hippocampus Pathways in Humans Is Mediated by Serotonergic Mechanisms. *Int J Neuropsychoph* 2022; **25**(10)**:** 807-817.
53. Bartz JA, Zaki J, Bolger N, Ochsner KN. Social effects of oxytocin in humans: context and person matter. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2011; **15**(7)**:** 301-309.
54. Andari E, Duhamel J-R, Zalla T, Herbrecht E, Leboyer M, Sirigu A. Promoting social behavior with oxytocin in high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2010; **107**(9)**:** 4389-4394.
55. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* 1995; **57**(1)**:** 289-300.
56. Esteban O, Markiewicz CJ, Blair RW, Moodie CA, Isik AI, Erramuzpe A *et al.* fMRIPrep: a robust preprocessing pipeline for functional MRI. *Nature Methods* 2019; **16**(1)**:** 111-116.
57. Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *NeuroImage* 2012; **59**(3)**:** 2142-2154.
58. Motzkin JC, Philippi CL, Wolf RC, Baskaya MK, Koenigs M. Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex Is Critical for the Regulation of Amygdala Activity in Humans. *Biol Psychiat* 2015; **77**(3)**:** 276-284.
59. Mihov Y, Kendrick KM, Becker B, Zschernack J, Reich H, Maier W *et al.* Mirroring Fear in the Absence of a Functional Amygdala. *Biol Psychiat* 2013; **73**(7)**:** e9-e11.
60. Qi S, Hassabis D, Sun J, Guo F, Daw N, Mobbs D. How cognitive and reactive fear circuits optimize escape decisions in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2018; **115**(12)**:** 3186-3191.
61. Yekutieli D, Benjamini Y. Resampling-based false discovery rate controlling multiple test procedures for correlated test statistics. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 1999; **82**(1)**:** 171-196.
62. McLaren DG, Ries ML, Xu G, Johnson SC. A generalized form of context-dependent psychophysiological interactions (gPPI): A comparison to standard approaches. *NeuroImage* 2012; **61**(4)**:** 1277-1286.
63. Fung BJ, Qi S, Hassabis D, Daw N, Mobbs D. Slow escape decisions are swayed by trait anxiety. *Nature Human Behaviour* 2019; **3**(7)**:** 702-708.
64. Xu T, Zhou X, Kanen JW, Wang L, Li J, Chen Z *et al.* Angiotensin blockade enhances motivational reward learning via enhancing striatal prediction error signaling and frontostriatal communication. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2023; **28**(4)**:** 1692-1702.
65. Xin F, Zhou F, Zhou X, Ma X, Geng Y, Zhao W *et al.* Oxytocin Modulates the Intrinsic Dynamics Between Attention-Related Large-Scale Networks. *Cerebral Cortex* 2021; **31**(3)**:** 1848-1860.
66. Brodmann K, Gruber O, Goya-Maldonado R. Intranasal Oxytocin Selectively Modulates Large-Scale Brain Networks in Humans. *Brain Connectivity* 2017; **7**(7)**:** 454-463.
67. Ruslan M, Irina K, Alexander K, Denis C, Maxim K. Comparison of whole-brain task-modulated functional connectivity methods for fMRI task connectomics. *bioRxiv* 2024**:** 2024.2001.2022.576622.
68. Schmälzle R, Brook O'Donnell M, Garcia JO, Cascio CN, Bayer J, Bassett DS *et al.* Brain connectivity dynamics during social interaction reflect social network structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2017; **114**(20)**:** 5153-5158.
69. Foster Brett L, Rangarajan V, Shirer William R, Parvizi J. Intrinsic and Task-Dependent Coupling of Neuronal Population Activity in Human Parietal Cortex. *Neuron* 2015; **86**(2)**:** 578-590.

70. Giordano BL, Whiting C, Kriegeskorte N, Kotz SA, Gross J, Belin P. The representational dynamics of perceived voice emotions evolve from categories to dimensions. *Nature Human Behaviour* 2021; **5**(9)**:** 1203-1213.
71. Cole MW, Reynolds JR, Power JD, Repovs G, Anticevic A, Braver TS. Multi-task connectivity reveals flexible hubs for adaptive task control. *Nat Neurosci* 2013; **16**(9)**:** 1348-1355.
72. Uddin LQ. Cognitive and behavioural flexibility: neural mechanisms and clinical considerations. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2021; **22**(3)**:** 167-179.
73. Simony E, Honey CJ, Chen J, Lositsky O, Yeshurun Y, Wiesel A *et al.* Dynamic reconfiguration of the default mode network during narrative comprehension. *Nature Communications* 2016; **7**(1)**:** 12141.
74. Axelrod V, Rees G, Bar M. The default network and the combination of cognitive processes that mediate self-generated thought. *Nature Human Behaviour* 2017; **1**(12)**:** 896-910.
75. Peter K, Christine E, Qiang C, Daniela M, Stefanie L, Sarina S *et al.* Oxytocin Modulates Neural Circuitry for Social Cognition and Fear in Humans. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 2005; **25**(49)**:** 11489.
76. Sonkusare S, Breakspear M, Guo C. Naturalistic Stimuli in Neuroscience: Critically Acclaimed. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2019; **23**(8)**:** 699-714.
77. Menon R, Neumann ID. Detection, processing and reinforcement of social cues: regulation by the oxytocin system. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2023; **24**(12)**:** 761-777.
78. Xu X, Li J, Chen Z, Kendrick KM, Becker B. Oxytocin reduces top-down control of attention by increasing bottom-up attention allocation to social but not non-social stimuli – A randomized controlled trial. *Psychoneuroendocrino* 2019; **108:** 62-69.
79. Mobbs D, Trimmer PC, Blumstein DT, Dayan P. Foraging for foundations in decision neuroscience: insights from ethology. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2018; **19**(7)**:** 419-427.
80. Tashjian SM, Zbozinek TD, Mobbs D. A Decision Architecture for Safety Computations. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2021; **25**(5)**:** 342-354.
81. van Heukelum S, Mars RB, Guthrie M, Buitelaar JK, Beckmann CF, Tiesinga PHE *et al.* Where is Cingulate Cortex? A Cross-Species View. *Trends in Neurosciences* 2020; **43**(5)**:** 285-299.
82. Loh KK, Procyk E, Neveu R, Lamberton F, Hopkins WD, Petrides M *et al.* Cognitive control of orofacial motor and vocal responses in the ventrolateral and dorsomedial human frontal cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2020; **117**(9)**:** 4994-5005.
83. Shackman AJ, Salomons TV, Slagter HA, Fox AS, Winter JJ, Davidson RJ. The integration of negative affect, pain and cognitive control in the cingulate cortex. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2011; **12**(3)**:** 154-167.
84. Koch SBJ, van Zuiden M, Nawijn L, Frijling JL, Veltman DJ, Olff M. Intranasal Oxytocin Normalizes Amygdala Functional Connectivity in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2016; **41**(8)**:** 2041-2051.
85. Patel GH, Yang D, Jamerson EC, Snyder LH, Corbetta M, Ferrera VP. Functional evolution of new and expanded attention networks in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2015; **112**(30)**:** 9454-9459.
86. Womelsdorf T, Everling S. Long-Range Attention Networks: Circuit Motifs Underlying Endogenously Controlled Stimulus Selection. *Trends in Neurosciences* 2015; **38**(11)**:** 682-700.
87. Rosenberg MD, Finn ES, Scheinost D, Constable RT, Chun MM. Characterizing Attention with Predictive Network Models. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2017; **21**(4)**:** 290-302.
88. Dixon ML, De La Vega A, Mills C, Andrews-Hanna J, Spreng RN, Cole MW *et al.* Heterogeneity within the frontoparietal control network and its relationship to the default and dorsal attention networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2018; **115**(7)**:** E1598-E1607.
89. Keller AS, Sydnor VJ, Pines A, Fair DA, Bassett DS, Satterthwaite TD. Hierarchical functional system development supports executive function. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2023; **27**(2)**:** 160-174.
90. Satpute AB, Lindquist KA. The Default Mode Network’s Role in Discrete Emotion. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2019; **23**(10)**:** 851-864.
91. Sylvester CM, Corbetta M, Raichle ME, Rodebaugh TL, Schlaggar BL, Sheline YI *et al.* Functional network dysfunction in anxiety and anxiety disorders. *Trends in Neurosciences* 2012; **35**(9)**:** 527-535.
92. Xu S, Zhang Z, Li L, Zhou Y, Lin D, Zhang M *et al.* Functional connectivity profiles of the default mode and visual networks reflect temporal accumulative effects of sustained naturalistic emotional experience. *NeuroImage* 2023; **269:** 119941.
93. Brandman T, Malach R, Simony E. The surprising role of the default mode network in naturalistic perception. *Communications Biology* 2021; **4**(1)**:** 79.

94. Davies C, Martins D, Dipasquale O, McCutcheon RA, De Micheli A, Ramella-Cravaro V *et al.* Connectome dysfunction in patients at clinical high risk for psychosis and modulation by oxytocin. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2024.
95. Chai Y, Sheline YI, Oathes DJ, Balderston NL, Rao H, Yu M. Functional connectomics in depression: insights into therapies. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2023; **27**(9)**:** 814-832.
96. Yan C-G, Chen X, Li L, Castellanos FX, Bai T-J, Bo Q-J *et al.* Reduced default mode network functional connectivity in patients with recurrent major depressive disorder. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2019; **116**(18)**:** 9078-9083.
97. Liu X, Jiao G, Zhou F, Kendrick KM, Yao D, Gong Q *et al.* A neural signature for the subjective experience of threat anticipation under uncertainty. *Nature Communications* 2024; **15**(1)**:** 1544.
98. Zhang H, Chen K, Bao J, Wu H. Oxytocin enhances the triangular association among behavior, resting-state, and task-state functional connectivity. *Human Brain Mapping* 2023; **44**(17)**:** 6074-6089.
99. Quintana DS, Rokicki J, van der Meer D, Alnæs D, Kaufmann T, Córdova-Palomera A *et al.* Oxytocin pathway gene networks in the human brain. *Nature Communications* 2019; **10**(1)**:** 668.
100. Deco G, Kringelbach Morten L. Great Expectations: Using Whole-Brain Computational Connectomics for Understanding Neuropsychiatric Disorders. *Neuron* 2014; **84**(5)**:** 892-905.