Oxytocin reduces subjective fear in naturalistic social contexts via enhancing top-down middle cingulate amygdala regulation and brain-wide fear representations

Authors

Kun Fu[1,2], Shuyue Xu[3,4], Zheng Zhang[1,2], Dan Liu[1,2], Ting Xu[5,6], Yuan Zhang[1,2], Feng Zhou[5,6], Xiaodong Zhang[1,2], Chunmei Lan[1,2], Junjie Wang[1,2], Lan Wang[1,2], Jingxian He[1,2], Keith M Kendrick[1,2], Bharat Biswal[2,7], Dezhong Yao[2], Zhen Liang[3,4]*, Weihua Zhao[1,2]*, Benjamin Becker[1,2,8,9,10]*

Affiliations

[1] The Center of Psychosomatic Medicine, Sichuan Provincial Center for Mental Health, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China

[2] MOE Key Laboratory for Neuroinformation, School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China

[3] School of Biomedical Engineering, Medical School, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China

[4] Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Biomedical Measurements and Ultrasound Imaging, Shenzhen, China

[5] Faculty of Psychology, Southwest University, Chongqing, China

[6] Key Laboratory of Cognition and Personality, Ministry of Education, Chongqing, China

[7] Department of Biomedical Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, USA

[8] State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

[9] Department of Psychology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

[10] Lead contact

***Corresponding authors**

bbecker@hku.hk (B. Becker, lead contact)

janezliang@szu.edu.cn (Z. Liang)

zarazhao@uestc.edu.cn (W. Zhao)

Abstract

Accumulating evidence from animal and human studies suggests a fear-regulating potential of the neuropeptide oxytocin (OT), yet the clinical translation into novel interventions for pathological fear requires a behavioral and neurofunctional characterization under close-to-real life conditions. Here, we combined a naturalistic fMRI-design inducing high and immersive fear experience in social and non-social contexts with a preregistered between-subjects randomized double-blind placebo-controlled intranasal OT trial (24 IU, n = 67 healthy men). OT selectively reduced subjective fear in social contexts but not in non-social contexts. Specifically, OT enhanced left middle cingulate cortex (lMCC) activation and its functional connectivity with the contralateral amygdala, with both neural indices significantly and inversely associated with subjective fear following OT. On the network level, OT enhanced communication between the dorsal attention network (DAN) with the fronto-parietal (FPN) and the default-mode network (DMN) as well as on the more fine-grained level brain-wide communication. Utilizing an independent activity-connectivity neuromarker for fear in naturalistic contexts (CAFE) confirmed that OT attenuated brain-wide fear expressions. Findings indicate an ecologically valid and social-specific fear-reducing potential of OT, highlighting its potential value as a treatment option for disorders characterized by excessive fear in social situations.

Keywords: Oxytocin; Fear; Anxiety; fMRI; Amygdala

Introduction

Fear is an evolutionarily conserved and highly adaptive defensive avoidance response, fundamental to surviving and functioning in everyday life [1-4]. The experience of excessive and overwhelming fear represents a hallmark symptom of anxiety and trauma-related disorders [5-7]. These highly prevalent psychiatric disorders have become a leading cause of disability and are accompanied by personal suffering as well as an enormous socio-economic burden. The conventional pharmacological interventions targeting the classical neurotransmitter (GABA-ergic, serotonergic) systems [7-9] are characterized by moderate response rates and potential negative side effects ranging from dizziness towards addiction. While several promising candidate compounds have shown effects in animal models, no new pharmacological treatment for fear or anxiety has entered the clinical practice during the last decades (for recent non-pharmacological developments see e.g. [10,11]).

Based on promising findings in animal models and preclinical human studies neuropeptides, including oxytocin (OT), vasopressin or angiotensin II, have been suggested as promising new strategies to regulate fear and anxiety [12-16]. Most previous research in this field has focused on OT, a neuropeptide produced in the hypothalamus, and animal models [15,16] as well as preclinical human proof-of-concept studies demonstrated promising effects of OT on regulating negative emotional processing in the domains of fear and anxiety [16-20]. Some of the effects of OT on cognitive and affective domains appear to be specific or at least pronounced in the social context. Accumulating evidence from animal models suggests that OT regulates the detection and evaluation of social cues via neural circuits involved in social salience processing[21-23]. In humans, overarching frameworks suggest that oxytocin enhances the salience of social information, exerting a person- and context-dependent modulation of responses towards both positive and negative social cues[24-26]. In support of social context-dependent effects of OT, previous human studies reported that exogenous OT decreases top-down attention regulation towards social but not non-social stimuli[27,28] and selectively modulates learning from and approach towards social stimuli[29-32]. However, other studies also reported common behavioral effects of OT on social and non-social stimuli for instance in reward- or avoidance-related domains[26,33].

OT neurons from the hypothalamus project to a wide range of brain regions involved in fear, anxiety as well as social processes, including the amygdala, hippocampus, midbrain and frontal lobe[5,34], and previous studies indicate that intranasal OT can regulate these processes via influencing activity in the amygdala, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) and medial prefrontal cortex[7,18-20,35-37]. Previous studies have demonstrated that intranasal OT can attenuate subjective anxiety and enhance regulation over negative emotions in individuals with high trait anxiety[38,39]. On the neural level, OT attenuated amygdala reactivity towards threat-related stimuli[7,18,40] and modulated insula activity in response to negative and threat-associated stimuli[41,42] as well as the

93 interaction between these regions[43]. Cortical midline regions, including cingulate regions and
94 medial frontal regions, exhibited modulation via OT during a number or fear-associated
95 paradigms, including threat conditioning[44,45]. Initial clinical studies in patient populations
96 moreover demonstrated that OT normalizes amygdala-insula and amygdala-mid cingulate cortex
97 (MCC) communication in generalized social anxiety disorder[36], suggesting that OT may not only
98 regulate activity in isolated regions but may additionally exert its effects via modulating
99 functional connectivity between these regions. However, despite the initially promising findings
100 from these studies, the fear and anxiety-reducing effects of OT and the underlying neural circuits
101 have been determined under laboratory experimental contexts that strongly differ from the
102 dynamic contexts during which fear arises in everyday life [46]. Specifically, these studies combined
103 the intranasal administration of OT with sparsely presented static experimental stimuli (e.g.
104 pictures of fearful faces or scenes) and primarily focused on treatment-induced changes in brain
105 regional activity during fMRI.

106 This approach stands in contrast to contemporary neuroscience models proposing that fear
107 emerges in interaction between subjective appraisal and dynamic changes in the environment
108 [47,48] and that the corresponding mental states are mediated by an intricate interplay among
109 distributed yet interacting brain systems [49-51]. To account for the complexity of subjective
110 affective experiences under ecologically valid conditions and to capture the underlying
111 network-level communication in the brain, recent overarching conceptualizations have proposed
112 the combination of movie stimuli as highly immersive and dynamic stimuli with network-level
113 analyses [52,53]. Initial empirical studies confirmed that these stimuli can induce highly immersive
114 affective experiences in complex social situations [54,55] as well as the essential role that
115 network-level communication plays in establishing affective and social experiences under
116 naturalistic contexts [46,53,56,57].

117 Mental disorders, including fear-related disorders, have been reconceptualized as
118 network-level disorders that are characterized by dysregulated regional activity as well as
119 network level connectivity (putatively reflecting communication between brain regions) [51,58,59].
120 Together with a growing number of studies underscoring the potential of OT to regulate
121 neurofunctional network-level interactions in fear-related networks across species [60-63], which
122 may be partly explained by the physiological properties of the oxytocin-signaling system,
123 including an axonal release, widespread receptor distribution and considerably longer half-life
124 compared to classical neurotransmitters [64], these findings indicate the necessity to account for
125 network-level effects to fully determine a regulatory effect of OT on fear experience and its
126 therapeutic potential.

127 Against this background, the present pre-registered between-subjects randomized
128 double-blind placebo-controlled pharmaco-fMRI study (n = 67 healthy male participants)

129 capitalized on recent progress in naturalistic fMRI and network-level analyses to determine
130 whether a single dosage of intranasal OT (1) reduces the subjective experience of fear under
131 highly immersive and ecologically valid conditions, (2) modulates concomitant regional activity in
132 brain systems identified in previous studies, (3) modulates brain communication of the identified
133 regions and on the whole-brain network level, and (4) effects vary as a function of social context.
134 Based on previous studies [7,18-20], we primarily hypothesized that intranasal OT would decrease
135 subjective fear in naturalistic contexts with more pronounced effects in the social domain
136 while concomitantly enhancing neural activity in regulatory brain regions and inhibiting
137 activity in fear-processing brain regions. To further characterize these effects, exploratory
138 analyses examined changes in functional connectivity, brain-wide communication patterns,
139 and responses of a recently developed multivariate neuromarker for fear in dynamic
140 naturalistic contexts (CAFE[46]). Based on prior research, we hypothesized that OT would
141 modulate connectivity, including amygdala connectivity, influence large-scale brain network
142 interactions, and attenuate the expression of the CAFE fear signature[46,65,66].

143 # Results

144 ## Demographics and potential confounders.

145 The OT (n = 33) and PLC (n = 34) groups were comparable with respect to socio-demographics,
146 mood and mental health indices arguing against nonspecific treatment effects (**Table 1**, all *ps* >
147 0.07).

Table 1. Demographics and potential confounders in the two treatment groups.

	Time	OT(M ± SD)	PLC(M ± SD)	t	p
Age		21.30 ± 2.05	20.76 ± 1.83	1.13	0.26
BDI II	Before	4.70 ± 3.83	5.38 ± 5.40	-0.60	0.55
BAI	Before	2.36 ± 2.56	2.53 ± 3.75	-0.21	0.83
LSAS	Before	31.36 ± 20.35	26.15 ± 19.47	1.07	0.29
SAI	Before	34.79 ± 6.63	33.15 ± 9.37	0.83	0.41
TAI	Before	37.82 ± 7.30	37.97 ± 9.99	-0.07	0.94
ERQ-Reappraisal	Before	31.00 ± 3.98	30.74 ± 4.15	0.27	0.79
ERQ-Suppression	Before	15.85 ± 4.72	14.82 ± 4.41	0.92	0.36
PANAS-P	Before[1]	28.85 ± 6.05	28.47 ± 7.17	0.23	0.82
	2 - 1	-6.12 ± 6.71	-4.06 ± 5.18	-1.41	0.16
	Treatment peak[2]	22.73 ± 5.95	24.41 ± 6.90	-1.07	0.29
	3 - 2	-2.85 ± 5.50	-1.62 ± 5.39	-0.93	0.36
	After experiment[3]	19.88 ± 6.71	22.79 ± 7.71	-1.65	0.10
	3 - 1	-8.97 ± 7.12	-5.68 ± 7.53	-1.84	0.07
PANAS-N	Before[1]	15.09 ± 5.17	14.09 ± 4.82	0.82	0.41
	2 - 1	-3.24 ± 4.70	-3.06 ± 4.06	-0.17	0.87
	Treatment peak[2]	11.85 ± 3.17	11.03 ± 2.32	1.21	0.23
	3 - 2	1.33 ± 2.97	1.65 ± 2.62	-0.46	0.65

After experiment[3]	13.18 ± 4.15	12.68 ± 3.86	0.52	0.61
3 - 1	-1.91 ± 6.07	-1.41 ± 5.51	-0.35	0.73

Values presented here as mean ± standard deviation (M ± SD). *BDI II* Beck Depression Inventory II, *BAI* Beck Anxiety Index, *LSAS* Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale, *STAI* Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, *ERQ* Emotion Regulation Questionnaire, *PANAS* Positive and Negative Affect Schedule, *OT* oxytocin, *PLC* placebo.

OT reduced subjective fear experience in social fear naturalistic contexts.

The ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of emotion ($F_{(1,65)} = 1434$, $p < 0.0001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.957$, **Fig. 1a**) such that participants rated subjective fear considerably higher during fear trials (FS and FNS) than neutral ones, and a significant main effect of social ($F_{(1,65)} = 76.81$, $p < 0.0001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.542$, **Fig. 1a**) reflecting lower fear during social trials (FS and NS) compared with non-social trials, and a marginally significant main effect of treatment ($F_{(1,65)} = 3.31$, $p = 0.074$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.048$, **Fig. 1a**). The effects of OT were further claified by a significant interaction effect between treatment and emotion ($F_{(1,65)} = 4.064$, $p = 0.048$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.059$, **Fig. 1a**), while the three-way interaction effect (treatment × emotion × social) failed to reach significance ($F_{(1,65)} = 0.869$, $p = 0.355$, **Fig. 1a**). To further determine the effects of OT we conducted exploratory post-hoc tests in the different social contexts, and the results indicated that OT significantly decreased fear experience in response to fearful stimuli in naturalistic social contexts but not in non-social contexts ($t_{(1,65)} = -2.252$, $p = 0.028$, Cohen's $d = -0.55$ under FS while $t_{(1,65)} = -1.475$, $p = 0.145$, Cohen's $d = -0.36$ under FNS, **Fig. 1b**). Finally, the interaction between emotion and social was significant ($F_{(3,65)} = 53.15$, $p < 0.0001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.45$, **Fig. 1a**) reflecting that participants reported generally lower fear experience in social fear contexts. The main effect of treatment and other interactions were not significant. Together the results partly support that OT can reduce subjective fear in naturalistic social fear situation.



Fig. 1 The effects of OT on behavioral fear rating and cingulate activity. a OT reduced subjective fear rating in social fear naturalistic situation, **b** OT effects on fear rating under four conditions separately, **c** OT but not PLC could strengthen the activation in the left middle cingulate cortex (lMCC) under the interaction of valence and social. Particularly, **d** OT specifically increased the activation in lMCC under FS but not other conditions. **e** The activation in lMCC was significantly negatively correlated with subjective fear experience in OT group but not PLC group. Please note that the group differences based on the extracted blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signals in each of the separate conditions were presented for display purpose. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social. **** $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.0001$, ** $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.01$, * $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.05$, ns not significant.

OT enhanced middle cingulate activation in the social fear contexts.

We initially examined brain regions participating in fear processing under naturalistic contexts with different social features compared with neutral control conditions, a series of corresponding voxel-wise one-sample t-tests conducted in the PLC group confirmed previous studies, suggesting that visual and temporal regions were strongly engaged during exposure to dynamic fear movie clips irrespective of social features (**Fig. S3**). Examining treatment effects revealed a significant interaction between treatmen × emotion × social located in the left middle cingulate cortex (peak MNI, x, y, z = 7, -3, 30, $t_{(1,65)} = 67$, K = 33, $p_{FWE\text{-}peak(svc)} = .019$, **Fig. 1c**), with post-hoc t-tests on extracted activity measures from independently defined lMCC (FPN_Cing_1 from the Schaefer atlas[67]) indicating that compared to PLC, OT selectively enhanced activation in this region for FS ($t_{(1,65)} = 2.69$, $p = 0.009$, **Fig. 1d**) but not other conditions (all $ps > 0.05$, **Fig. 1d**). Examining associations between lMCC activity (extracted parameter estimates from the atlas region) and subjective fear experience revealed a significant negative association in the OT ($r = -0.387$, $p = 0.026$, **Fig. 1e**) but not in PLC group ($r = 0.015$, $p = 0.934$, **Fig. 1e**). A Fisher r-z transformation

181 indicated that these correlation coefficients were significantly different between the OT and PLC
182 groups (Z = -1.65, one-tailed $p = 0.0495$). It should be noted that the correlation analysis results
183 between lMCC activation and subjective ratings need caution while interpret.

184 **OT enhanced MCC-amygdala functional communication consistently in the social**
185 **fear contexts.**

186 Examining OT effects on the functional communication of the lMCC revealed a significant
187 interaction effect between treatment × emotion × social located in the right amygdala (rAmy)
188 (peak MNI, x, y, z = 22, -7, 13, $t_{(1,65)} = 3.81$, k = 19, $p_{FWE\text{-}peak(svc)} = 0.021$, **Fig. 2a**), with post-hoc
189 t-tests indicating that OT relative to PLC selectively enhanced lMCC-rAmy coupling in social fear
190 contexts ($t_{(1,65)} = 2.69$, $p = 0.009$, **Fig. 2b**) but not other contexts (all $ps > 0.05$, FDR-corrected,
191 **Fig. 2b**), confirming social fear-specific effects of OT on the neural level. Given that the specific
192 associations between MCC-amygdala functional coupling and anxiety scores[68], as well as the
193 coupling was selectively modulated by OT rather than PLC[36], we hypothesized that the
194 associations between participants' MCC-amygdala functional coupling and fear ratings would be
195 different across treatment groups. We found that lMCC-rAmy functional coupling was
196 significantly negatively associated with subjective fear experience in the OT ($r = -0.426$, $p = 0.013$,
197 **Fig. 2c**) but not PLC group ($r = -0.026$, $p = 0.883$, **Fig. 2c**, Fisher *r*-to-*z* transformation revealed a
198 significant difference between the treatment groups, Z = -1.68, one-tailed $p = 0.0465$).



199

Fig. 2 OT strengthened lMCC-rAmy communication specifically in social fear contexts. a Interaction effect between treatment × emotion × social on lMCC connectivity with the right amygdala **b** OT specifically increased functional connectivity between lMCC and rAmy in the FS contexts but not other conditions and **c** The functional connectivity between lMCC and rAmy

was significantly negatively correlated with subjective fear experience in OT group but not PLC group. Please note that the group differences based on the extracted BOLD signals in each of the separate conditions were presented for display purpose. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social. ** $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.01$, * $p_{FDR\text{-}corrected} < 0.05$, # $p_{un\text{-}corrected} < 0.05$.

OT enhanced FPN-DAN and DMN-DAN coupling during social fear contexts.

Examining the effects of OT on the large-scale network level using separate two-sample t-tests on the matrices of z-values for the two main contrasts of interest (FS – NS, FNS – NNS) and following mantel test revealed that OT significantly affected network functional connectivity differently under two contexts ($rho_{mantel\ test}$ = -0.1333, $p > 0.05$). Specifically, compared to PLC (all *p*s > 0.05, **Fig. 3f**), OT significantly enhanced the functional communication between FPN and DAN ($t_{(1,65)} = 2.75$, $p = 0.0077$, Cohen's $d = 0.672$, **Fig. 3e**) as well as between DMN and DAN ($t_{(1,65)} = 2.02$, $p = 0.048$, Cohen's $d = 0.493$, **Fig. 3e**) under the social fear but not the non-social fear contexts. The effects were confirmed by further analyses examining the interaction contrast ([FS – NS] – [FNS – NNS]), with results specifically underscoring that the network level effects of OT depend on the social versus non-social context (detailed methods and results please see Supplemental Methods and Results).



Fig. 3 OT enhanced functional connectivity on the level of large-scale networks. **a b c d** Flow of z-value matrix calculation for the degree of response functional connectivity under specific contrasts. (1) The Fisher r-z matrices of each condition for each participant were subtracted to yield a new matrix of z-value corresponding to the contrast (e.g. $z_{FS-NS} = z_{FS} - z_{NS}$). (2) New matrices of z-value corresponding to a specific contrast were generated for each participant in each group, which were used as features in a two-sample t-test to derive the between-group differences under the specified contrast. **e** OT significantly strengthened the functional connectivity between FPN and DAN as well as DMN and DAN in the fear social-related contrast **f** but not in the fear non-social-related contrast. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social,

NNS neutral non-social, VN visual network, SMN somatomotor network, DAN dorsal attention network, VAN ventral attention network, LN limbic network, FPN frontoparietal network, DMN default mode network, SCT subcortical network, B/C brainstem and cerebellum. ##$p_{un\text{-}corrected} < 0.01$, # $p_{un\text{-}corrected} < 0.05$.

OT modulated whole-brain functional connectivity in the social fear context.

We further conducted exploratory analyses to examine whether OT changes brain-wide communications. Results showed that while under PLC only few positive connections increased activity during the FS versus NS contrast (**Fig. 4a**), OT induced a more widespread connectivity between several brain regions (**Fig. 4b**). Under the FNS versus NNS contrast, the PLC group exhibited wide-spread connectivity (**Fig. 4c**), with no obvious changes following OT (**Fig. 4d**). Further Mantel tests and Welch's t-test were conducted on the t-value matrices (FS − NS and FNS − NNS) between both groups showed significant modulating effects of OT under both contrasts ($rho_{mantel\ test} = 0.0335$, $t_{(1,65)} = 9.05$, $p < 0.0001$ under FS − NS, $rho_{mantel\ test} = 0.208$, $t_{(1,65)} = -2.51$, $p = 0.012$ under FNS − NNS), particularly under the fear social contexts.



Fig. 4 Comparison between treatment groups for whole-brain functional connectivity during social and non-social fear separately. Under fear social contexts, a PLC group showed a sparse connectivity between brain regions b the OT group exhibited stronger functional interactions at the whole-brain level. In contrast, under the fear non-social context c PLC and d OT groups showed similar whole-brain functional connectivity patterns. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social, VN visual network, SMN somatomotor network, DAN dorsal attention network, VAN ventral attention network, LN limbic network, FPN frontoparietal network, DMN default mode network, SCT subcortical network, B/C brainstem and cerebellum.

OT attenuates the response of a synergistic brain connectivity- and activity-based signature for subjective fear (CAFE)

To comprehensively determine and independently validate the social-specific effects of OT, we utilized a recently developed neuromarker that accurately tracks subjective fear in naturalistic contexts by synergistically capitalizing on activity and connectivity[46]. The CAFE successfully determined fear versus no-fear brain responses in the entire sample (including both OT and PLC samples) with high accuracy (FS vs. NS: accuracy = 97±2.1%, area under the curve (AUC) = 1.00, P < 0.0001; FNS vs. NNS: accuracy = 100±0%, AUC = 1.00, P < 0.0001; two-alternative forced-choice test, **Fig. 5a**). This further validates the potential of the CAFE to accurately track subjective fear in dynamic contexts. In line with the univariate activation analysis and functional connectivity analysis showing that neural distinctions between treatment were only evident in the social fear contexts(FS−NS contrast), the whole-brain MVPA results revealed that the significant classification of PLC and OT treatments was demonstrated a 66% accuracy in the FS−NS contrast (mean±SD of accuracy = 66±5.8%, *p* = 0.02 , area under the curve (AUC) = 0.62, **Fig. 5b left panel**), but not in the FNS−NNS contrast (mean±SD of accuracy = 63±5.9%, *p* = 0.06, AUC = 0.60, **Fig. 5b right panel**).



Fig. 5 CAFE classification results. a CAFE successfully classify fear with neutral in entire group with high accuracy. b Treatment classification results of CAFE response in social and non-social fear contexts. OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social.

Discussion

246 The present study determined the acute behavioral and neural effects of a single intranasal OT
247 administration on subjective fear experience in a naturalistic context that resembles dynamic fear
248 processing in social and non-social contexts in a close-to-real life setting. On the behavioral level,
249 OT selectively decreased the experience of subjective fear in social fear contexts, supporting a
250 fear-regulating potential of OT under dynamic and ecologically valid social conditions. In the
251 meanwhile, neural effects of OT were also primarily observed in social fear contexts, such that OT
252 enhanced regional activation in the left MCC and its communication with the contralateral
253 amygdala specifically during fear in social contexts with both neural indices exhibiting a negative
254 association with subjective fear following OT but not PLC. Exploratory network-level analyses
255 further revealed that OT significantly enhanced the functional connectivity between the DAN and
256 the FPN/DMN, respectively, and brain-wide communication selectively in social fear contexts. OT
257 additionally inhibited the CAFE response in social fear contexts but not in non-social fear contexts,
258 which independently validates that OT regulates the whole-brain expression of fear under
259 ecologically valid conditions and highlights the potential of multivariate neuroaffective signatures
260 to facilitate treatment evaluation utilizing precision-pharmaco-neuroimaging[13,69]. Together, the
261 findings indicate that a single dose of intranasal OT has the potential to decrease fear in
262 close-to-real life settings by enhancing lMCC activation and its communication with the amygdala
263 while concomitantly affecting network-level communication of the DAN with brain networks
264 involved in regulatory control (FPN [70,71]) and social processing (DMN [72,73]) as well as brain-wide
265 functional communication and whole-brain fear expressions.
266 Several previous studies in rodents and humans suggested a fear- and anxiety-reducing
267 potential of OT, however, results remained inconsistent. Studies have described a promising fear-
268 and anxiety-reducing neurofunctional profile of OT across species, yet the neural effects on
269 amygdala-related circuits were often observed in the absence of changes in subjective fear
270 experience or under experimental laboratory settings with limited ecological validity [15,17-20,74].
271 In the context of increasing attempts to enhance the ecological validity of neuroimaging
272 research using dynamic movie stimuli [46,47,75], we here combined naturalistic fMRI employing
273 fear-inducing movie clips with a pre-registered randomized double-blind placebo-controlled
274 OT-administration trial. Across pilot experiments and treatment studies, the procedure induced a
275 highly immersive and comparably strong experience of fear under close-to-real life conditions.
276 Importantly, OT decreased subjective fear experience in the more naturalistic context with
277 moderate effect size in the social context. Although the pronounced fear-reducing effects of OT in
278 the social context may partly reflect the generally more moderate fear experience reported by
279 our participants in this context, pronounced effects of OT in social contexts have been
280 conceptualized in a number of overarching theories and have been reported in several previous
281 studies [21,24,76] including studies reporting decreased subjective anxiety [77]. The latter hypothesis

282 on the social-specific effects of OT is also mirrored in the brain functional findings, such that the
283 neurofunctional effects were selectively observed during fear experiences in social contexts.
284 With respect to regional activation, OT increased the activation of the left middle cingulate
285 cortex (lMCC). The MCC represents a highly integrative hub that has been involved in both, fear
286 evaluation [5,78-80] as well as the cognitive control of negative affective states including fear [81,82].
287 Concomitantly, OT enhanced functional connectivity from the lMCC to the contralateral amygdala
288 (rAmy), a pathway that has been previously involved in implementing top-down inhibitory control
289 over excessive fear-related amygdala engagement [78,80,83]. Effects of OT on this circuitry have been
290 previously reported in the context of reduced subjective anxiety in individuals with PTSD [68] and in
291 response to static fearful faces in patients with generalized social anxiety disorders [36]. Exploring
292 brain-behavior associations further revealed that OT established a negative association between
293 higher activity and connectivity in this circuit and subjective fear, underscoring the behavioral
294 relevance of the OT-induced neurofunctional changes. Together, our findings suggest that OT
295 exerts its fear-regulating effects under naturalistic social fear contexts via enhancing MCC
296 engagement and control over the amygdala.
297 On the large-scale network level, OT significantly enhanced the functional connectivity of
298 the DAN with the FPN as well as the DMN in the social fear contexts. The DAN is centered around
299 the intraparietal sulcus and the frontal eye fields and plays a pivotal role in top-down or
300 goal-directed control of attention [84-86], while the FPN supports goal-directed actions and cognitive
301 control [70,87,88] and the DMN supports self-referential [89,90] and social processing [72,73], with more
302 recent findings additionally suggesting a role in emotional experience in dynamic naturalistic
303 contexts [91,92]. While studies have demonstrated the effects of OT on the interaction between
304 these large-scale networks during the task-free state [93,94], the present findings underscore the
305 behavioral relevance of these effects of OT in terms of regulating emotional processes in social
306 contexts. Together with previous studies suggesting an association between dysfunctional
307 interaction between these large-scale networks and emotional dysregulations in anxiety and
308 fear-related disorders [51,90], the present findings support a potential therapeutic potential of OT
309 for these disorders [51,95,96].
310 Network-level analyses that explored the effects of OT on the fine-grained level of
311 brain-wide connectivity patterns suggested that OT may broadly facilitate brain-wide exchange of
312 information within and across networks particularly between the FPN and DMN in social fear
313 contexts. The results align with recent findings suggesting that emotional processes such as fear
314 and anxiety are processed in distributed networks and their interactions [46,53,97] as well as the
315 distributed network-level properties and effects of the OT signaling system [60,66,98]. Findings on the
316 whole-brain effects were additionally validated using a precision pharmaco-neuroimaging
317 approach which capitalizes on MVPA-based decoding. To this end we employed an independently

318 developed fMRI-based marker that accurately tracks subjective fear in naturalistic contexts
319 (CAFE[46]). The marker precisely tracked subjective fear under ecologically valid contexts in both
320 groups, yet showed an attenuated reactivity in the OT group, in particular in the social fear
321 context. Independent whole-brain-level MVPA analysis indicated that OT has the potential to
322 diminish distributed fear pattern. These findings underscore that OT may exert its effects on
323 human emotion processing under complex naturalistic conditions via regulating extensive brain
324 wide interactions. Together with current reconceptualizations proposing that emotional
325 processes are represented in brain-wide distributions[97,99] and that mental disorders are not
326 related to dysfunctions of a single brain region or pathway but rather dysregulated brain-wide
327 communication [51,90,100], these findings further underscore the therapeutic potential of the OT
328 signaling system.
329 Findings have to be considered in the context of limitations of the present design, including
330 (1) to avoid variations in the effects of OT related to sex [101,102], we only focused on male
331 participants and future studies need to test generalization to females, (2) the study employed a
332 proof-of-concept design in healthy subjects and future studies need to validate the effects of OT
333 in populations with pathological fear and anxiety, (3) despite extensive pre-study testing the
334 videos of the social and non-social category differed in terms of the level of fear induced which
335 partly limits conclusions regarding social-specific effects of OT, (4) the videos included
336 homogenous brief episodes of fear and future studies need to examine effects of OT on fear
337 during longer time scales and longer episodes with dynamic fear [46,47].
338 Taken together, this study demonstrated that OT can reduce subjective fear experience under
339 naturalistic social fear conditions via enhancing lMCC engagement and its regulatory control over
340 the amygdala as well as enhancing communication between large-scale networks and brain-wide
341 communication. These findings consistently indicate a high translational therapeutic potential of
342 OT to regulate fear in dynamic and close-to-real life environments. Effects were pronounced or
343 restricted to the social contexts, supporting the role that OT may play in social contexts, and
344 rendering OT as a promising treatment for social context-specific fear experiences, e.g. in social
345 anxiety disorders. Findings indicate that OT may be used as a novel therapeutic strategy to
346 regulate excessive subjective fear symptoms in mental disorders [7,17,35] or may help to prevent the
347 development of these disorders during early stages or promote long-term recovery [94] via
348 improving the quality of life, and reduce the social and economic burden related to fear-related
349 disorders.

350 ## Methods

351 ### Participants of the fMRI study

352 Sixty-nine right-handed male participants with normal or corrected-normal vision were recruited
353 from the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. The sample size was

354 determined using G*Power v.3.1 [103,104] indicating that 62 participants were required to detect a
355 significant effect on the behavioral level ($f = 0.25$, $\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.80$, $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mixed ANOVA
356 interaction effect, with treatment as between-subject factor, and emotion and social as
357 within-subject factors). The present study focused on male subjects to reduce variance related to
358 sex differences in the effects of OT [101,102] and adhered to validated exclusion criteria (details see
359 Supplementary Methods). The final sample size was n = 67 (mean $\pm$ SD, age = 21.03 $\pm$ 1.95
360 years) due to two data from two participants being excluded (withdraw due to personal reasons,
361 technical MRI issues). All participants provided written informed consent, protocols and the
362 primary outcomes (fear ratings and brain activity) were pre-registered on Clinical Trials.gov
363 (clinicaltrials.gov/ NCT05892939), approved by the ethics committee at the University of
364 Electronic Science and Technology of China (UESTC-1061423041725893) and in line with the
365 latest Declaration of Helsinki.

366 Using a double-blind randomized, placebo-controlled, between-subject pharmacological
367 fMRI design, participants were randomly assigned to receive either a single intranasal dose of
368 oxytocin (OT, 24IU-Sichuan Defeng Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, China) or a placebo (PLC, same
369 ingredients i.e. sodium chloride and glycerin but without the peptide). The spray bottles were
370 identical and dispensed by an independent researcher based on a computer-generated
371 randomization sequence to ensure double-blinding. The investigators involved in data acquisition
372 and analyses were blinded for group allocation. Following recent recommendations [105-107], the
373 fMRI assessment began 45 minutes after treatment administration.

374 To control for pre-treatment between-group differences, participants completed a series of
375 mood and mental health questionnaires (as detailed in **Table 1**). Participants were asked to guess
376 which treatment they had received after the experiment (with a non-significant treatment guess
377 $\chi^2 = 0.39$, $p = 0.53$, confirming successful double-blinding). Additionally, participants were
378 required to complete a surprise recognition task after the experiment that involved presenting
379 stills of the video clips shown during fMRI and new clips to test the attentive processing of the
380 stimuli. All participants achieved a re-recognition accuracy of over 75% and no significant
381 difference in performance was observed between the two treatment groups ($t_{(1,65)} = -0.693$, $p =$
382 0.491).

383 **Naturalistic fear induction paradigm**

384 The task began 45 minutes after the treatment intervention (see **Fig. 6a**). During the naturalistic
385 fear induction paradigm, participants were instructed to watch a series of video clips attentively
386 and rate their level of fear experience after each clip. The clips lasted 25 seconds and were
387 followed by a 1-3 second jittered fixation cross to separate the stimuli from the rating period.
388 During the 5-second period following each stimulus, participants were asked to report their level
389 of subjective fear on a 9-point Likert scale, with 1 indicating no fear and 9 indicating very strong

fear. This was followed by a jittered inter-trial interval of 9-11 seconds, during which a fixation cross was presented (see **Fig. 6b**). A total of 32 short video clips were presented over 2 runs, with each run consisting of 16 randomly presented clips, half of which were neutral and half of which were fear-inducing clips.

Given ongoing discussions about the social-specific effects of OT [108,109], we carefully pre-selected a balanced set of stimuli that included social as well as non-social fearful or neutral stimuli, respectively. This led to a set of four stimulus categories (fear social clips-FS, fear non-social clips-FNS, neutral social clips-NS, neutral non-social clips-NNS, see **Fig. 6c**). Before the OT experiment, the video clips were carefully evaluated and selected based on the results of a behavioral pilot study in an independent sample (pilot study, n = 20; 10 females; age = 22.65 ± 2.01). For detailed characterization of the video clips and details of the pilot study, see Supplementary Methods. Based on the results from the pilot study the video clips used for the naturalistic fear induction fMRI paradigm were selected from a larger database. Key selection criteria for the video clips were the ability to induce strong, emotion-specific and consistent feelings of subjective fear or a neutral emotional state, respectively (see Supplementary Methods). Based on the results of the corresponding ratings in the pilot study (see **Fig. S2**), 8 complimentary video clips were selected for each of the four categories. The task was programmed in Python 3.7 using the PsychoPy package (version 2022.2.4).



Fig. 6 Experimental timeline, paradigm and schematic depiction of stimuli. a The timeline of the whole experiment. **b** Naturalistic fear induction and rating paradigm. **c** Example stimuli. In each run, 4 types of video clips were presented (FS, FNS,

NS, NNS) according to a randomized order. Abbreviations: OT oxytocin, PLC placebo, fMRI functional magnetic resonance imaging, FS fear social, FNS fear non-social, NS neutral social, NNS neutral non-social. License: Images in 1a and 1b were obtained from Flaticon.com under the free license with attribution.

410 **Effects of OT on subjective fear experience**

411 To determine the effects of OT on subjective fear in naturalistic contexts and whether they vary
412 as a function of social context, we computed a repeated measures ANOVA with the
413 between-subject factor treatment (OT vs PLC) and the within-subject factors emotion (fear vs
414 neutral) and social (social vs non-social) and the dependent variable fear ratings during fMRI.
415 Analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA), and
416 corresponding effect sizes were computed using JASP 0.18.3.0 (JASP Team, 2019; jasp-stats.org).
417 All ANOVAs and t-tests used false discovery rate (FDR) [110] recommended by Prism for multiple
418 comparison correction.

419 **MRI data acquisition and preprocessing**

420 MRI data were collected on a 3.0-T GE Discovery MR750 system (General Electric Medical System,
421 Milwaukee, WI, USA) and preprocessed using fMRIPrep 21.0.0[111] (RRID: SCR_016216), a Nipype
422 1.6.1 based tool that integrates preprocessing routines from different software packages and
423 SPM 12 (Statistical Parametric Mapping; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/; Wellcome Trust
424 Centre for Neuroimaging, detailed in Supplementary Methods).

425 **Effects of OT on fear-related brain activity.**

426 To examine the effects of OT on fear-related neural activity in naturalistic contexts, a GLM-based
427 model was established in SPM 12. The model incorporated five regressors: FS, FNS, NS, NNS, and
428 the rating period. We included the 24 head motion parameters as covariates of no interest.In line
429 with previous research[47], we removed variance associated with the mean, linear and quadratic
430 trends, the average signal within anatomically-derived CSF mask, the effects of motion estimated
431 during the head-motion correction using an expanded set of 24 motion parameters (six
432 realignment parameters, their squares, their derivatives, and their squared derivatives) and
433 motion spikes (FMRIPREP default: FD > 0.5mm or standardized DVARS > 1.5)[112].
434 Key first-level contrasts of interest were modeled on fear in social and non-social contexts
435 separately (i.e., [FS – NS] or [FNS – NNS]) as well as their interaction (i.e., [FS – NS]
436 – [FNS – NNS]). In line with the behavioral analyses, the effects of OT were determined using an
437 ANOVA with the factors of emotion and social contexts. Analyses were implemented within a
438 partitioned error ANOVA approach that subjected the first level interaction contrast to a
439 voxel-wise two-sample t-test with the factor treatment group modelled on the second level (i.e.,
440 [OT - PLC] or [PLC - OT]). Based on our hypothesis and previous studies reporting that fear is
441 strongly associated with the engagement of amygdala, cingulate cortex (particularly middle
442 cingulate cortex, MCC), insula, and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and that oxytocin

443 mediates its anxiolytic effects via these regions [14,46,76,78,113,114]. Our analyses focused on
444 atlas-derived bilateral masks for the amygdala (a combined mask generated from the Melbourne
445 subcortex atlas[115] and the extended amygdala mask from the reinforcement learning atlas[116]),
446 MCC (combined bilateral FPN_Cing_1 and FPN_Cing_2 from the Schaefer atlas, 400 ROI
447 version[67]), insula (bilateral VAN_FrOperIns from the Schaefer atlas) and vmPFC (combined
448 bilateral FPN_PFCv and DMN_PFCv from the Schaefer atlas). The masks were utilized in separate
449 small volume corrected (SVC) and family-wise error (FWE) peak level corrected [117] analyses.
450 To further disentangle complex interaction effects involving treatment, parameter estimates
451 were extracted using an atlas-based structural mask from the Schaefer atlas representing the left
452 MCC[67]. Estimates were extracted using Marsbar (http:// marsbar. Sourceforg- e.net/). The group
453 differences based on the extracted BOLD signals in each of the separate conditions were
454 conducted using two-sample t-test and presented for display purpose.
455 Additionally, to determine associations between the behavioral (subjective fear) and neural
456 effects of OT (both activation and functional connectivity between specific brain regions,
457 parameter estimates were derived using independently defined structural masks (left MCC for
458 activation and right amygdala for functional connectivity from the Schaefer atlas and Melbourne
459 subcortex atlas[115] and the extended amygdala mask from the reinforcement learning
460 atlas[116])). We conducted Pearson correlation analysis using using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad
461 Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA), It should be noted that the correlation analyses were conducted for
462 exploratory purpose that need to be interpreted with caution.

463 **Effects of OT on functional communication of the regions identified**

464 We further examined whether the regions showing altered regional activation following OT also
465 changed their network-level communication via a general Psychophysiological interaction (gPPI)
466 analysis. gPPI examines functional connectivity between brain regions that is contingent on a
467 psychological context. We used the Generalized PPI toolbox [118] as implemented in SPM 12, to
468 conduct gPPI. This method includes additional task regressors to reduce the likelihood that the
469 functional connectivity estimates are driven simply by co-activation and has been widely used in
470 similar studies [119,120]. Based on our results, OT enhanced activation in the left middle cingulate
471 cortex (lMCC) under the three-way interaction (treatment × emotion × social), we therefore
472 computed the functional communication of this region (using an 8mm radius which centered in
473 peak Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI), x, y, z = -7, -3, 30) with other voxels in the whole
474 brain under the two-way interaction of emotion and social, and a two-sample t-test was
475 conducted to confirm the treatment effect of OT. Based on previous studies reporting effects of
476 OT on the functional communication of the amygdala [101,102], separate atlas-derived bilateral
477 masks[67] for the amygdala, MCC, insula and vmPFC were used for SVC analyses with FWE
478 correction [117] applied at peak level.

Marsbar was used to extract the lMCC - rAMY functional coupling using independent rAMY mask[67] to further disentangle complex interaction effects involving treatment. The extracted functional connectivity signals were then subjected to compare the treatment effect under four conditions by using two-sample t-tests separately.

Effects of OT on large-scale brain network functional connectivity

Given that several studies reported the effects of OT on large-scale network organization of the brain [93,121], we examined OT effects on the whole-brain network level. To this end, time-series of each region of interest (ROI) was extracted according to the template including 463 ROIs across the whole brain (see Supplementary Methods), resulting in BOLD time series of the size of $r \times t$ (r was the number of ROIs and t was the BOLD time series length) for each subject per run. A matrix of size $r \times r$ was obtained by calculating Pearson's correlation between the average BOLD time series of each pair of ROIs. Additionally, Fisher's z-transform was applied to improve the normality of the correlation coefficients. The brain regions can be divided into nine networks (visual network, VN; somatomotor network, SMN; dorsal attention network, DAN; ventral attention network, VAN; limbic network, LN; frontoparietal network, FPN; default network, DMN from Yeo 7 networks[122]; subcortex network, SCT[115]; brainstem and cerebellum network, B/C[56]) based on their functional divisions, and the neural activities of each network's regions were averaged to calculate network functional connectivity.

To extract neural activity time series for a specific condition, we integrated the BOLD time series of the different runs into one time series and modelled the onset time and duration of the stimuli per condition. This resulted in four $r \times d$ matrices (where r is the number of ROIs and d is the BOLD time series length of each condition). Given the typical hemodynamic response function (HRF) lag of 6 seconds[46], we shifted the onset times by 3 TRs – corresponding to 6 seconds - of the BOLD time-series data relative to the clip stimuli onsets. Additionally, we obtained the $r \times r$ matrix between ROIs and the $n \times n$ matrix (where n is the number of networks) between brain networks for different conditions using Pearson correlation analysis.

After performing Fisher-Z transformation on the network functional connectivity matrices for different conditions, matrices of Z-values corresponding to specific conditions ($Z_{FS}\backslash Z_{FNS}\backslash Z_{NS}\backslash Z_{FNS}$) are obtained. It is important to note that r values are not additive or subtractive, but Z scores are summative. Matrix of Z-values corresponding to particular contrasts (e.g. $Z_{FS\text{-}NS}$) can be computed by subtract the matrices of Z-values corresponding to different conditions (e.g. Z_{FS}-Z_{NS})[123,124]. Therefore, each participant in both groups would have their own subtracted Z-values matrix under specific contrasts ($Z_{FS\text{-}NS}\backslash Z_{FNS\text{-}NNS}$). We then conducted two-sample t-tests on each pair of network-level functional connectivity to determine the treatment effect.

Effects of OT on whole-brain functional connectivity.

To further investigate the impact of OT on a finer spatial scale, we analyzed whole-brain functional connectivity (used whole-brain ROIs functional connectivity matrices for further computation) using paired t-tests for key contrasts (FS − NS and FNS − NNS) separately for the two groups. We applied FDR correction ($p_{\text{FDR-corrected}} < 0.05$) to identify the most relevant functional connections for each contrast. A Mantel test [125,126] was performed on the FDR-corrected functional connectivity matrices of the two groups, under specific contrasts, to assess their similarity. Furthermore, to investigate treatment-specific effects on functional connectivity strength at the whole-brain level, we transformed the upper triangular data of the *t*-value matrices of the two groups under specific contrast into two $(r \times (r - 1)) / 2$ by 1 feature vectors, and performed Welch's t-test between them.

Effects of OT on synergistic brain connectivity- and activity-based signature for subjective fear (CAFE).

We applied a recently developed whole-brain multivariate neuromarker for fear in naturalistic contexts (CAFE[46]) which synergistically capitalizes on brain connectivity- and activity-based signature to accurately track subjective fear in dynamic naturalistic contexts[46] to independently validate effects of OT on the whole-brain expression of fear (for a brief description of the development of the CAFE please see also Supplementary Methods). First, we calculated the mean beta value vector(one for each region; n=463 in total, see Supplemental Methods in detail) and functional connectivity matrix (463×463) for each subject under the four conditions (FS\FNS\NS\NNS) and applied dot-product the integrated one-dimensional vector (n = 107416 features in total) with the CAFE weights to calculate the CAFE response for each subject under each of the four conditions. Second, in line with the other analyses we build the contrasts of interest (FS-NS; FNS-NNS) and calculated CAFE response of each contrast. To quantify the classification performance of the model (classification performance between PLC and OT under same contrast condition), Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis was conducted.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the China MOST2030 Brain Project (Grant No. 2022ZD0208500-BB), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82271583-BB), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFA0701400-BB), the Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province (Grant number 2022NSFSC1375-WZ) and a start-up grant from The University of Hong Kong. Disclaimer: Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication do not reflect the views of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region or the Innovation and Technology Commission.

Author contributions

KF and BB[1] designed the study. KF, ZZ and DL conducted the experiment and collected the data. KF, SX, WZ, ZL performed the data analysis with the help of TX, YZ, XZ, CL, JW, LW, JH and BB[2]. KF and BB[1] wrote the manuscript. SX, FZ, KK, TX, YZ, DY, ZL and WZ critically revised the manuscript draft.

Note: BB[1], Benjamin Becker; BB[2], Bharat Biswal.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1 Osakada, T. *et al.* A dedicated hypothalamic oxytocin circuit controls aversive social learning. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06958-w (2024).

2 Akinrinade, I. *et al.* Evolutionarily conserved role of oxytocin in social fear contagion in zebrafish. *Science* **379**, 1232-1237, doi:10.1126/science.abq5158 (2023).

3 Faul, L. *et al.* Proximal threats promote enhanced acquisition and persistence of reactive fear-learning circuits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 16678-16689, doi:10.1073/pnas.2004258117 (2020).

4 Becker, B. & Zhou, F. (2020).

5 Zhou, F. *et al.* A distributed fMRI-based signature for the subjective experience of fear. *Nature Communications* **12**, 6643, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26977-3 (2021).

6 Bramson, B., Meijer, S., van Nuland, A., Toni, I. & Roelofs, K. Anxious individuals shift emotion control from lateral frontal pole to dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Nature Communications* **14**, 4880, doi:10.1038/s41467-023-40666-3 (2023).

7 Kreuder, A.-K. *et al.* Common and dissociable effects of oxytocin and lorazepam on the neurocircuitry of fear. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 11781-11787, doi:10.1073/pnas.1920147117 (2020).

8 Szuhany, K. L. & Simon, N. M. Anxiety Disorders: A Review. *JAMA* **328**, 2431-2445, doi:10.1001/jama.2022.22744 (2022).

9 Slee, A. *et al.* Pharmacological treatments for generalised anxiety disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *The Lancet* **393**, 768-777, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31793-8 (2019).

10 Taschereau-Dumouchel, V. *et al.* Towards an unconscious neural reinforcement intervention for common fears. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 3470-3475, doi:10.1073/pnas.1721572115 (2018).

11 Zhao, Z. *et al.* Real-Time Functional Connectivity-Informed Neurofeedback of Amygdala-Frontal Pathways Reduces Anxiety. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* **88**, 5-15, doi:10.1159/000496057 (2019).

12 Paul, J. M. *et al.* Limbic Neuropeptidergic Modulators of Emotion and Their Therapeutic Potential for Anxiety and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **41**, 901, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1647-20.2020 (2021).

13 Zhang, R. *et al.* Angiotensin II Regulates the Neural Expression of Subjective Fear in Humans: A Precision Pharmaco-Neuroimaging Approach. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* **8**, 262-270, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2022.09.008 (2023).

14 Zhou, F. *et al.* Human Extinction Learning Is Accelerated by an Angiotensin Antagonist via Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex and Its Connections With Basolateral Amygdala. *Biol Psychiat* **86**, 910-920, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.07.007 (2019).

15 Knobloch, H. S. *et al.* Evoked Axonal Oxytocin Release in the Central Amygdala Attenuates Fear Response. *Neuron* **73**, 553-566, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.030 (2012).
16 Neumann, I. D. & Slattery, D. A. Oxytocin in General Anxiety and Social Fear: A Translational Approach. *Biol Psychiat* **79**, 213-221, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.06.004 (2016).
17 Eckstein, M. *et al.* Oxytocin Facilitates the Extinction of Conditioned Fear in Humans. *Biol Psychiat* **78**, 194-202, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.10.015 (2015).
18 Kou, J. *et al.* Anxiolytic Effects of Chronic Intranasal Oxytocin on Neural Responses to Threat Are Dose-Frequency Dependent. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* **91**, 253-264, doi:10.1159/000521348 (2022).
19 Xin, F. *et al.* Oxytocin Differentially Modulates Amygdala Responses during Top-Down and Bottom-Up Aversive Anticipation. *Adv Sci* **7**, 2001077, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202001077 (2020).
20 Liu, C. *et al.* Oxytocinergic Modulation of Threat-Specific Amygdala Sensitization in Humans Is Critically Mediated by Serotonergic Mechanisms. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* **6**, 1081-1089, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.04.009 (2021).
21 Menon, R. & Neumann, I. D. Detection, processing and reinforcement of social cues: regulation by the oxytocin system. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **24**, 761-777, doi:10.1038/s41583-023-00759-w (2023).
22 Rigney, N., de Vries, G. J., Petrulis, A. & Young, L. J. Oxytocin, Vasopressin, and Social Behavior: From Neural Circuits to Clinical Opportunities. *Endocrinology* **163**, doi:10.1210/endocr/bqac111 (2022).
23 Johnson, Z. V., Walum, H., Xiao, Y., Riefkohl, P. C. & Young, L. J. Oxytocin receptors modulate a social salience neural network in male prairie voles. *Horm Behav* **87**, 16-24, doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2016.10.009 (2017).
24 Shamay-Tsoory, S. G. & Abu-Akel, A. The Social Salience Hypothesis of Oxytocin. *Biol Psychiat* **79**, 194-202, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.07.020 (2016).
25 Harari-Dahan, O. & Bernstein, A. A general approach-avoidance hypothesis of oxytocin: accounting for social and non-social effects of oxytocin. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **47**, 506-519 (2014).
26 Harari-Dahan, O. & Bernstein, A. Oxytocin attenuates social and non-social avoidance: Re-thinking the social specificity of Oxytocin. *Psychoneuroendocrino* **81**, 105-112, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.04.005 (2017).
27 Xu, X., Li, J., Chen, Z., Kendrick, K. M. & Becker, B. Oxytocin reduces top-down control of attention by increasing bottom-up attention allocation to social but not non-social stimuli - A randomized controlled trial. *Psychoneuroendocrino* **108**, 62-69, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.06.004 (2019).
28 Zhuang, Q. *et al.* Oral Administration of Oxytocin, Like Intranasal Administration, Decreases Top-Down Social Attention. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* **25**, 912-923, doi:10.1093/ijnp/pyac059 (2022).
29 Hu, J. *et al.* Oxytocin selectively facilitates learning with social feedback and increases activity and functional connectivity in emotional memory and reward processing regions. *Human Brain Mapping* **36**, 2132-2146, doi:10.1002/hbm.22760 (2015).
30 Kruppa, J. A. *et al.* Neural modulation of social reinforcement learning by intranasal oxytocin in male adults with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder: a randomized trial. *Neuropsychopharmacology : Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **44**, 749-756, doi:10.1038/s41386-018-0258-7 (2019).
31 Yao, S. *et al.* Oxytocin Facilitates Approach Behavior to Positive Social Stimuli via Decreasing Anterior Insula Activity. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* **21**, 918-925, doi:10.1093/ijnp/pyy068 (2018).
32 Shoenfelt, A. *et al.* Effects of chronic intranasal oxytocin on visual attention to faces vs. natural scenes in older adults. *Psychoneuroendocrino* **164**, 107018, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2024.107018 (2024).
33 Thurston, M. D. *et al.* Oxytocin differentially modulates reward system responses to social and non-social incentives. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **242**, 449-460, doi:10.1007/s00213-024-06695-6 (2025).
34 Oliver, J. R., Alexandra, C. P., Brian, C. & Christian, G. The translational neural circuitry of anxiety. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry* **90**, 1353, doi:10.1136/jnnp-2019-321400 (2019).
35 Scheele, D. *et al.* Trauma Disclosure Moderates the Effects of Oxytocin on Intrusions and Neural Responses to Fear. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* **88**, 61-63, doi:10.1159/000496056 (2019).

36 Gorka, S. M. *et al.* Oxytocin Modulation of Amygdala Functional Connectivity to Fearful Faces in Generalized Social Anxiety Disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**, 278-286, doi:10.1038/npp.2014.168 (2015).
37 Eckstein, M. *et al.* Oxytocin Facilitates Pavlovian Fear Learning in Males. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 932-939, doi:10.1038/npp.2015.245 (2016).
38 Zhuang, Q. *et al.* Intranasal vasopressin like oxytocin increases social attention by influencing top-down control, but additionally enhances bottom-up control. *Psychoneuroendocrino* **133**, 105412, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2021.105412 (2021).
39 Xin, F. *et al.* Oxytocin Differentially Modulates Amygdala Responses during Top-Down and Bottom-Up Aversive Anticipation. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* **7**, 2001077, doi:10.1002/advs.202001077 (2020).
40 Liu, C. *et al.* Oxytocinergic Modulation of Threat-Specific Amygdala Sensitization in Humans Is Critically Mediated by Serotonergic Mechanisms. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* **6**, 1081-1089, doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.04.009 (2021).
41 Striepens, N. *et al.* Oxytocin facilitates protective responses to aversive social stimuli in males. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 18144-18149, doi:10.1073/pnas.1208852109 (2012).
42 Rashidi, M. *et al.* Effects of intranasal oxytocin on fear extinction learning. *Neuropsychopharmacology : Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **50**, 548-555, doi:10.1038/s41386-024-01996-y (2025).
43 Sippel, L. M. *et al.* Effects of intranasal oxytocin on threat- and reward-related functional connectivity in men and women with and without childhood abuse-related PTSD. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging* **317**, 111368, doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2021.111368 (2021).
44 Cavalli, J. *et al.* Oxytocin differentially modulates pavlovian cue and context fear acquisition. *Soc Cogn Affect Neur* **12**, 976-983, doi:10.1093/scan/nsx028 (2017).
45 Eckstein, M. *et al.* Oxytocin Facilitates Pavlovian Fear Learning in Males. *Neuropsychopharmacology : Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 932-939, doi:10.1038/npp.2015.245 (2016).
46 Feng, Z. *et al.* Capturing dynamic fear experiences in naturalistic contexts: An ecologically valid fMRI signature integrating brain activation and connectivity. *bioRxiv*, 2023.2008.2018.553808, doi:10.1101/2023.08.18.553808 (2024).
47 Chang, L. J. *et al.* Endogenous variation in ventromedial prefrontal cortex state dynamics during naturalistic viewing reflects affective experience. *Science Advances* **7**, eabf7129, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abf7129.
48 Meer, J. N. v. d., Breakspear, M., Chang, L. J., Sonkusare, S. & Cocchi, L. Movie viewing elicits rich and reliable brain state dynamics. *Nature Communications* **11**, 5004, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18717-w (2020).
49 Adolphs, R. How should neuroscience study emotions? by distinguishing emotion states, concepts, and experiences. *Soc Cogn Affect Neur* **12**, 24-31, doi:10.1093/scan/nsw153 (2017).
50 Pessoa, L. A Network Model of the Emotional Brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **21**, 357-371, doi:10.1016/j.tics.2017.03.002 (2017).
51 Wen, Z., Seo, J., Pace-Schott, E. F. & Milad, M. R. Abnormal dynamic functional connectivity during fear extinction learning in PTSD and anxiety disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry* **27**, 2216-2224, doi:10.1038/s41380-022-01462-5 (2022).
52 Eickhoff, S. B., Milham, M. & Vanderwal, T. Towards clinical applications of movie fMRI. *NeuroImage* **217**, 116860, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116860 (2020).
53 Saarimäki, H. *et al.* Classification of emotion categories based on functional connectivity patterns of the human brain. *NeuroImage* **247**, 118800, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118800 (2022).
54 de Vries, I. E. J. & Wurm, M. F. Predictive neural representations of naturalistic dynamic input. *Nature Communications* **14**, 3858, doi:10.1038/s41467-023-39355-y (2023).
55 Redcay, E. & Moraczewski, D. Social cognition in context: A naturalistic imaging approach. *NeuroImage* **216**, 116392, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116392 (2020).
56 Lee, J.-J. *et al.* A neuroimaging biomarker for sustained experimental and clinical pain. *Nature Medicine* **27**, 174-182, doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1142-7 (2021).
57 Hayoung, S., Bo-yong, P., Hyunjin, P. & Won Mok, S. Cognitive and Neural State Dynamics of Narrative Comprehension. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **41**, 8972, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0037-21.2021 (2021).

58 Etkin, A. *et al.* Using fMRI connectivity to define a treatment-resistant form of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Science Translational Medicine* **11**, eaal3236, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aal3236 (2019).
59 Xu, X. *et al.* Intrinsic connectivity of the prefrontal cortex and striato-limbic system respectively differentiate major depressive from generalized anxiety disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **46**, 791-798, doi:10.1038/s41386-020-00868-5 (2021).
60 Jiang, X. *et al.* Intrinsic, dynamic and effective connectivity among large-scale brain networks modulated by oxytocin. *NeuroImage* **227**, 117668, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117668 (2021).
61 Zhao, Z. *et al.* Oxytocin differentially modulates specific dorsal and ventral striatal functional connections with frontal and cerebellar regions. *NeuroImage* **184**, 781-789, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.09.067 (2019).
62 Liu, N. *et al.* Oxytocin modulates fMRI responses to facial expression in macaques. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, E3123-E3130, doi:10.1073/pnas.1508097112 (2015).
63 Wahis, J. *et al.* Astrocytes mediate the effect of oxytocin in the central amygdala on neuronal activity and affective states in rodents. *Nat Neurosci* **24**, 529-541, doi:10.1038/s41593-021-00800-0 (2021).
64 Bethlehem, R. A. I., van Honk, J., Auyeung, B. & Baron-Cohen, S. Oxytocin, brain physiology, and functional connectivity: A review of intranasal oxytocin fMRI studies. *Psychoneuroendocrino* **38**, 962-974, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.10.011 (2013).
65 Koch, S. B. J. *et al.* Intranasal oxytocin as strategy for medication-enhanced psychotherapy of PTSD: salience processing and fear inhibition processes. *Psychoneuroendocrino* **40**, 242-256, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.11.018 (2014).
66 Zhang, H., Chen, K., Bao, J. & Wu, H. Oxytocin enhances the triangular association among behavior, resting-state, and task-state functional connectivity. *Human Brain Mapping* **44**, 6074-6089, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.26498 (2023).
67 Schaefer, A. *et al.* Local-Global Parcellation of the Human Cerebral Cortex from Intrinsic Functional Connectivity MRI. *Cerebral Cortex* **28**, 3095-3114, doi:10.1093/cercor/bhx179 (2018).
68 Koch, S. B. J. *et al.* Intranasal Oxytocin Normalizes Amygdala Functional Connectivity in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 2041-2051, doi:10.1038/npp.2016.1 (2016).
69 Paloyelis, Y. Using Multivariate Neurofunctional Signatures to Dissect the Specific Mental Processes Modulated by Novel Pharmacological Targets. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* **8**, 233-234, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2023.01.002 (2023).
70 Cole, M. W. *et al.* Multi-task connectivity reveals flexible hubs for adaptive task control. *Nat Neurosci* **16**, 1348-1355, doi:10.1038/nn.3470 (2013).
71 Uddin, L. Q. Cognitive and behavioural flexibility: neural mechanisms and clinical considerations. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **22**, 167-179, doi:10.1038/s41583-021-00428-w (2021).
72 Simony, E. *et al.* Dynamic reconfiguration of the default mode network during narrative comprehension. *Nature Communications* **7**, 12141, doi:10.1038/ncomms12141 (2016).
73 Axelrod, V., Rees, G. & Bar, M. The default network and the combination of cognitive processes that mediate self-generated thought. *Nature Human Behaviour* **1**, 896-910, doi:10.1038/s41562-017-0244-9 (2017).
74 Peter, K. *et al.* Oxytocin Modulates Neural Circuitry for Social Cognition and Fear in Humans. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **25**, 11489, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3984-05.2005 (2005).
75 Sonkusare, S., Breakspear, M. & Guo, C. Naturalistic Stimuli in Neuroscience: Critically Acclaimed. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **23**, 699-714, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.05.004 (2019).
76 Yao, S. *et al.* Oxytocin Facilitates Approach Behavior to Positive Social Stimuli via Decreasing Anterior Insula Activity. *Int J Neuropsychoph* **21**, 918-925, doi:10.1093/ijnp/pyy068 (2018).
77 Xu, X., Li, J., Chen, Z., Kendrick, K. M. & Becker, B. Oxytocin reduces top-down control of attention by increasing bottom-up attention allocation to social but not non-social stimuli – A randomized controlled trial. *Psychoneuroendocrino* **108**, 62-69, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.06.004 (2019).
78 Qi, S. *et al.* How cognitive and reactive fear circuits optimize escape decisions in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 3186-3191, doi:10.1073/pnas.1712314115 (2018).

79 Mobbs, D., Trimmer, P. C., Blumstein, D. T. & Dayan, P. Foraging for foundations in decision neuroscience: insights from ethology. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **19**, 419-427, doi:10.1038/s41583-018-0010-7 (2018).
80 Tashjian, S. M., Zbozinek, T. D. & Mobbs, D. A Decision Architecture for Safety Computations. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **25**, 342-354, doi:10.1016/j.tics.2021.01.013 (2021).
81 van Heukelum, S. *et al.* Where is Cingulate Cortex? A Cross-Species View. *Trends in Neurosciences* **43**, 285-299, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2020.03.007 (2020).
82 Loh, K. K. *et al.* Cognitive control of orofacial motor and vocal responses in the ventrolateral and dorsomedial human frontal cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 4994-5005, doi:10.1073/pnas.1916459117 (2020).
83 Shackman, A. J. *et al.* The integration of negative affect, pain and cognitive control in the cingulate cortex. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **12**, 154-167, doi:10.1038/nrn2994 (2011).
84 Patel, G. H. *et al.* Functional evolution of new and expanded attention networks in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 9454-9459, doi:10.1073/pnas.1420395112 (2015).
85 Womelsdorf, T. & Everling, S. Long-Range Attention Networks: Circuit Motifs Underlying Endogenously Controlled Stimulus Selection. *Trends in Neurosciences* **38**, 682-700, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2015.08.009 (2015).
86 Rosenberg, M. D., Finn, E. S., Scheinost, D., Constable, R. T. & Chun, M. M. Characterizing Attention with Predictive Network Models. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **21**, 290-302, doi:10.1016/j.tics.2017.01.011 (2017).
87 Dixon, M. L. *et al.* Heterogeneity within the frontoparietal control network and its relationship to the default and dorsal attention networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, E1598-E1607, doi:10.1073/pnas.1715766115 (2018).
88 Keller, A. S. *et al.* Hierarchical functional system development supports executive function. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **27**, 160-174, doi:10.1016/j.tics.2022.11.005 (2023).
89 Satpute, A. B. & Lindquist, K. A. The Default Mode Network’s Role in Discrete Emotion. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **23**, 851-864, doi:10.1016/j.tics.2019.07.003 (2019).
90 Sylvester, C. M. *et al.* Functional network dysfunction in anxiety and anxiety disorders. *Trends in Neurosciences* **35**, 527-535, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2012.04.012 (2012).
91 Xu, S. *et al.* Functional connectivity profiles of the default mode and visual networks reflect temporal accumulative effects of sustained naturalistic emotional experience. *NeuroImage* **269**, 119941, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2023.119941 (2023).
92 Brandman, T., Malach, R. & Simony, E. The surprising role of the default mode network in naturalistic perception. *Communications Biology* **4**, 79, doi:10.1038/s42003-020-01602-z (2021).
93 Xin, F. *et al.* Oxytocin Modulates the Intrinsic Dynamics Between Attention-Related Large-Scale Networks. *Cerebral Cortex* **31**, 1848-1860, doi:10.1093/cercor/bhy295 (2021).
94 Davies, C. *et al.* Connectome dysfunction in patients at clinical high risk for psychosis and modulation by oxytocin. *Molecular Psychiatry*, doi:10.1038/s41380-024-02406-x (2024).
95 Chai, Y. *et al.* Functional connectomics in depression: insights into therapies. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **27**, 814-832, doi:10.1016/j.tics.2023.05.006 (2023).
96 Yan, C.-G. *et al.* Reduced default mode network functional connectivity in patients with recurrent major depressive disorder. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 9078-9083, doi:10.1073/pnas.1900390116 (2019).
97 Liu, X. *et al.* A neural signature for the subjective experience of threat anticipation under uncertainty. *Nature Communications* **15**, 1544, doi:10.1038/s41467-024-45433-6 (2024).
98 Quintana, D. S. *et al.* Oxytocin pathway gene networks in the human brain. *Nature Communications* **10**, 668, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08503-8 (2019).
99 Gan, X. *et al.* A neurofunctional signature of subjective disgust generalizes to oral distaste and socio-moral contexts. *Nature Human Behaviour* **8**, 1383-1402, doi:10.1038/s41562-024-01868-x (2024).
100 Deco, G. & Kringelbach, Morten L. Great Expectations: Using Whole-Brain Computational Connectomics for Understanding Neuropsychiatric Disorders. *Neuron* **84**, 892-905, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.08.034 (2014).
101 Gao, S. *et al.* Oxytocin, the peptide that bonds the sexes also divides them. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 7650-7654, doi:10.1073/pnas.1602620113 (2016).

102 Ma, X. *et al.* Sex- and context-dependent effects of oxytocin on social sharing. *NeuroImage* **183**, 62-72, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.08.004 (2018).
103 Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A. & Lang, A.-G. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods* **41**, 1149-1160, doi:10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149 (2009).
104 Liu, Y. *et al.* Oxytocin modulates social value representations in the amygdala. *Nat Neurosci* **22**, 633-641, doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0351-1 (2019).
105 Watanabe, T. *et al.* Mitigation of Sociocommunicational Deficits of Autism Through Oxytocin-Induced Recovery of Medial Prefrontal Activity A Randomized Trial. *Jama Psychiat* **71**, 166-175, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.3181 (2014).
106 Spengler, F. B. *et al.* Kinetics and Dose Dependency of Intranasal Oxytocin Effects on Amygdala Reactivity. *Biol Psychiat* **82**, 885-894, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.04.015 (2017).
107 Lan, C. M. *et al.* Oxytocinergic Modulation of Stress-Associated Amygdala-Hippocampus Pathways in Humans Is Mediated by Serotonergic Mechanisms. *Int J Neuropsychoph* **25**, 807-817, doi:10.1093/ijnp/pyac037 (2022).
108 Bartz, J. A., Zaki, J., Bolger, N. & Ochsner, K. N. Social effects of oxytocin in humans: context and person matter. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **15**, 301-309, doi:10.1016/j.tics.2011.05.002 (2011).
109 Andari, E. *et al.* Promoting social behavior with oxytocin in high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 4389-4394, doi:10.1073/pnas.0910249107 (2010).
110 Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* **57**, 289-300, doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x (1995).
111 Esteban, O. *et al.* fMRIPrep: a robust preprocessing pipeline for functional MRI. *Nature Methods* **16**, 111-116, doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0235-4 (2019).
112 Power, J. D., Barnes, K. A., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L. & Petersen, S. E. Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *NeuroImage* **59**, 2142-2154, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.018 (2012).
113 Motzkin, J. C., Philippi, C. L., Wolf, R. C., Baskaya, M. K. & Koenigs, M. Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex Is Critical for the Regulation of Amygdala Activity in Humans. *Biol Psychiat* **77**, 276-284, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.02.014 (2015).
114 Mihov, Y. *et al.* Mirroring Fear in the Absence of a Functional Amygdala. *Biol Psychiat* **73**, e9-e11, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.10.029 (2013).
115 Tian, Y., Margulies, D. S., Breakspear, M. & Zalesky, A. Topographic organization of the human subcortex unveiled with functional connectivity gradients. *Nat Neurosci* **23**, 1421-1432, doi:10.1038/s41593-020-00711-6 (2020).
116 Pauli, W. M., Nili, A. N. & Tyszka, J. M. A high-resolution probabilistic in vivo atlas of human subcortical brain nuclei. *Scientific Data* **5**, 180063, doi:10.1038/sdata.2018.63 (2018).
117 Yekutieli, D. & Benjamini, Y. Resampling-based false discovery rate controlling multiple test procedures for correlated test statistics. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* **82**, 171-196, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3758(99)00041-5 (1999).
118 McLaren, D. G., Ries, M. L., Xu, G. & Johnson, S. C. A generalized form of context-dependent psychophysiological interactions (gPPI): A comparison to standard approaches. *NeuroImage* **61**, 1277-1286, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.03.068 (2012).
119 Fung, B. J., Qi, S., Hassabis, D., Daw, N. & Mobbs, D. Slow escape decisions are swayed by trait anxiety. *Nature Human Behaviour* **3**, 702-708, doi:10.1038/s41562-019-0595-5 (2019).
120 Xu, T. *et al.* Angiotensin blockade enhances motivational reward learning via enhancing striatal prediction error signaling and frontostriatal communication. *Molecular Psychiatry* **28**, 1692-1702, doi:10.1038/s41380-023-02001-6 (2023).
121 Brodmann, K., Gruber, O. & Goya-Maldonado, R. Intranasal Oxytocin Selectively Modulates Large-Scale Brain Networks in Humans. *Brain Connectivity* **7**, 454-463, doi:10.1089/brain.2017.0528 (2017).
122 Yeo, B. T. T. *et al.* The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *J Neurophysiol* **106**, 1125-1165, doi:10.1152/jn.00338.2011 (2011).
123 Ruslan, M., Irina, K., Alexander, K., Denis, C. & Maxim, K. Comparison of whole-brain task-modulated functional connectivity methods for fMRI task connectomics. *bioRxiv*, 2024.2001.2022.576622, doi:10.1101/2024.01.22.576622 (2024).

124 Schmälzle, R. *et al.* Brain connectivity dynamics during social interaction reflect social network structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 5153-5158, doi:10.1073/pnas.1616130114 (2017).
125 Foster, Brett L., Rangarajan, V., Shirer, William R. & Parvizi, J. Intrinsic and Task-Dependent Coupling of Neuronal Population Activity in Human Parietal Cortex. *Neuron* **86**, 578-590, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.018 (2015).
126 Giordano, B. L. *et al.* The representational dynamics of perceived voice emotions evolve from categories to dimensions. *Nature Human Behaviour* **5**, 1203-1213, doi:10.1038/s41562-021-01073-0 (2021).