

**Analyzing Predictors and Geospatial Trends of the Number of Visits and
Timing of Antenatal Care in Zambia: A Generalized Linear Mixed Model
(GLMM) Investigation from 1992 to 2018**

Samson Shumba¹, Isaac Fwemba², Violet kaymba³

1. Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia.
2. University of Zambia, School of Medicine, PO Box 50398, Lusaka, Zambia
3. Tropical Gastroenterology and Nutrition Group, University of Zambia School of Medicine, PO Box 50398, Lusaka, Zambia

Corresponding author:

samsonshumba1@gmail.com

Abstract

Antenatal care (ANC) plays a crucial role in preventing and detecting pregnancy risks, facilitating prompt treatment, and disseminating essential information to expectant mothers. This role is particularly vital in developing countries, where a 4.65% rise in maternal mortality rate was observed in 2022, with 800 maternal and 7,700 perinatal deaths reported. The study aims to analyse the predictors and geospatial trends of the number of visits and timing of antenatal care in Zambia from 1992 to 2018, utilizing a generalised linear mixed model approach. The analysis utilized the Zambia Demographic Health Survey (ZDHS) database. The relationship between dependent and independent variables was examined using the Rao-Scott Chi-square test. Predictors of inadequate utilisation of ANC were identified through the multilevel generalised linear model. Spatial effects were modeled using Quantum Geographic Information System (QGIS) version 3.34.1 to develop univariate choropleth maps. A total of 45,140 (31,482 women had less than 8 ANC visits and 2,004 had 8 or more ANC visits) women with a prior childbirth were included in the study. The findings in the study revealed that among women aged 15 to 19 years in 1992, 1996 and 2013/14, the rates of inadequate antenatal care utilization (less than eight ANC visits) was 90.87%, 90.99%, and 99.63%, respectively. Lack of formal education was associated with inadequate ANC from 1992 to 2018, with percentages ranging from 91.12% to 99.64%. They were notable geospatial variations in the distribution of ANC underutilization across provinces with Luapula, Muchinga, Northwestern, Northern and Eastern recording higher proportions. Furthermore, the study showed that age (25 to 29 and 30 to 34) was associated with increased risk of inadequacy ANC utilization (AOR, 2.94; 95% CI, 1.20 – 7.19 and AOR, 2.65; 95% CI, 1.05 – 6.65 respectively). Higher education and wealth index correlated with reduced odds of inadequate ANC utilization. In conclusion, this study highlights worrisome trends in ANC utilization in Zambia, with a significant rise in inadequacy, especially in adhering to the WHO's recommended eight ANC visits. Over the period from 1996 to 2018, there was a discernible decline in the prevalence of delayed initiation of ANC. The findings underscore a notable disparity between current ANC practices and established guidelines. Additionally, various factors predicting suboptimal ANC attendance have been identified. These insights call for targeted interventions to address the identified challenges and improve the overall quality and accessibility of ANC services in Zambia.

Key words: Antenatal, Care, Timing, Guidelines, Utilization, Zambia

Background

About 140 million births take place every year and the proportion attended to by skilled health personnel has increased from 58% in 1990 to 81% in 2019 [1]. Despite a 35% decrease in maternal deaths from 2000 to 2017, dropping from 451,000 to 295,000 annually, the grim reality persists: over 800 women continue to lose their lives daily due to pregnancy or childbirth complications. The majority of these tragic occurrences unfold in sub-Saharan Africa, yet they are largely preventable with access to superior maternal health services [WHO, 2019; UN, 2021] [2, 3]. In 2022, Zambia experienced more than 800 maternal deaths and over 7,700 perinatal deaths. The primary reason of death of women was asphyxia, prematurity and new-born sepsis remain the leading causes of perinatal and new-born deaths, major causes of maternal deaths include obstetric hemorrhage, hypertensive disorders, pregnancy related infections, abortive outcomes, and other indirect causes [4].

The significance of antenatal visits during pregnancy cannot be overstated. Antenatal care ANC serves a critical role in preventing and identifying pregnancy risks, ensuring timely treatment, and delivering pertinent information to clients. Particularly crucial in the context of heightened maternal mortality rates, ANC aims to empower women, fostering a positive childbirth experience [5,6]. It is essential for protecting the health of women and their unborn children, through preventive health care [4].

The World Health Organization (WHO) in 2016 advocated for a minimum of eight antenatal visits; one contact in the first trimester, two contacts in the second trimester and five contacts in the third trimester [7]. Beyond the recommended frequency, ANC offers a spectrum of benefits, including health checks, risk detection, counselling, and an increased likelihood of skilled birth attendance, thereby playing a pivotal role in reducing both maternal and infant fatalities. As a comprehensive platform for essential services, ANC goes beyond routine check-ups to prevent complications, provide crucial birth counselling, and improve overall child health outcomes [8, 9]. Furthermore coverage of timely ANC initiation is low, globally, the ANC initiation rate is 58.6% but varies according to the continent. The estimated rate of early antenatal care visits is 48.1% in low income countries compared with 84.8% in high-income countries [10]. In SSA, the initiation of ANC visits within the first trimester is 38% which ranges from 14.5% in Mozambique to 68.6% in Liberia

[11]. In Ethiopia, only 28% of women had their first ANC visit during the first trimester and varies across geographic regions [12].

Studies have identified a range of socio-economic and demographic factors influencing the utilization of maternal healthcare services. These factors encompass maternal age, unintended pregnancies, education, wealth status, geographic regions, and residence (urban and rural) [13-19]. In addition, the scarcity of skilled service providers and substandard quality of care pose significant barriers to maternal healthcare utilization in developing countries. Research indicates that older women are more likely to access healthcare services, possibly due to their experience with health services, confidence in household decision-making, or awareness raised by healthcare professionals about the risks associated with older age [13]. Moreover, low levels of education and a lack of empowerment contribute to the reluctance of women to seek maternal care [14]. The aim of this study was to investigate the geospatial distribution and factors associated with inadequacy of ANC utilization among women with prior childbirth in Zambia between 1992 and 2018.

Methods

This study involved secondary analysis of microdata, utilizing national-level data extracted from the 1992 to 2018 Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS) program [20]. The ZDHS is a comprehensive and nationally representative household survey conducted by the Zambia Statistics Agency in collaboration with global partners, including ICF International and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). Employing a two-stage sampling process, the survey initially selects enumeration areas (EAs) and subsequently households. The nature of the DHS data facilitates the comparison of variables over time, enabling the monitoring of changes in indicators across diverse geographical regions [20].

Participation in the survey was limited to women aged 15–49 years from selected households who had consented to take part in the research. Detailed methods employed in the ZDHS are comprehensively documented [20]. For this specific study, we extracted all pertinent variables from the women's data files (individual recode) 2018 ZDHS dataset. The data under examination pertains to the population of women in reproductive age group with at least one childbirth event. The analysis involved the weighted sample from 2018 DHS. Data was accessed 13th of January, 2024. The authors in this study did not have access to information that could identify individual participants during or after data collection.

Dependent and independent variables

The primary focus of this study centered on ANC attendance, categorized into two groups: the primary outcome variable, less than eight ANC visits (coded as “1”), and eight or more ANC visits (coded as “0”). Additionally, a secondary variable, "Delay in first ANC initiation," was examined, with "early" defined as less than four months (coded as “0”) and "delayed" as four months or more (coded as “1”). Antenatal care holds paramount significance in averting pregnancy-related complications, providing essential counseling for the well-being of both the mother and the fetus, and ensuring preparedness for a health-facility delivery. Guided by the World Health Organization recommendations from 2018, the study underscored the ideal occurrence of the first ANC visit within the first trimester of gestation, with a minimum of 8 ANC visits throughout the pregnancy. Furthermore, WHO recommended one contact in the first trimester, two contacts in the second trimester and five contacts in the third trimester [7].

Following an extensive literature review, we meticulously controlled for a wide array of demographic, socio-economic, behavioral, and community-level factors in our analysis. Specifically, we included variables that gauged the quality of antenatal care, such as discussions on "HIV transmission from mother to child," "preventive measures for HIV," "HIV testing discussions," "receipt of HIV test results during antenatal visits," "counseling post-HIV testing during antenatal care," and "HIV testing as part of antenatal visits," all coded as "yes" or "no." Additionally, the mother's education level was categorized into tiers: 0 for no education, 1 for primary education, 2 for secondary education, and 3 for higher education. Mother's age was segmented into five-year cohorts, spanning from 15-19 to 45-49. The household's Wealth Index was stratified into five quantiles: 1 for the poorest, 2 for the poor, 3 for the middle, 4 for the rich, and 5 for the richest.

Data Analysis

For descriptive purposes, percentages were computed for categorical variables using sample weighting for accurate representation. To determine association between the outcome variable (primary outcome = “less than eight ANC visits” and secondary outcome = “delayed first ANC utilization”) and the categorical variables, the Unrelated Design Based Chi-square test (Rao –

Scott Chi-square test) was used. This was selected to take into account clustering. To determine the factors associated with having less than eight ANC visits women with prior childbirth the study utilized the generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with the logit link and binomial family that adjusted for clustering and sampling weights were used to assess the association between the independent variables and the outcome variables (less than eight) [19]. This approach accounted for the hierarchical structure of the data, with women aged 15 - 49 nested within households and households nested within clusters.

Diagnostic test and model fitting

For model comparisons and assessment of goodness of fit, we used the probability F-test which showed that the adopted model explained fertility better than the null model (model without the explanatory variables), $P < 0.0001$. The log likelihood ratio test and Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) were sufficiently explored to select the best fit model. Stata version 14.2 was used for the analysis. To assess multicollinearity among independent factors, the Variance inflation Factor (VIF) was used. There are no concerns with multicollinearity in any of the variables (all $VIF < 5$) as seen in Table S1 below.

Table 1: Multicollinearity test

Variable	Variance Inflation Factor
Wealth Index	2.85
Frequency of watching television	1.91
Residence	1.87
Education	1.54
Frequency of reading a newspaper	1.26
Frequency of listening to a radio	1.20
Age	1.12
Marital status	1.07
Employment status	1.06
Region	1.05

Model Selection

Model 1 (competing model): Null model that is model without explanatory variable

Model 2 (Competing model): Age of respondent, education, employment, marital status, wealth index, frequency of listening to a radio and frequency of listening to a television and residential area.

Model 3 (accepted Model): DHS year of collection, Age of respondent, education, employment, marital status, wealth index, frequency of listening to a radio and frequency of listening to a television, residential area and region.

The selection process identified the model with the lowest AIC, signifying optimal fit. Additionally, the study calculated the intraclass correlation (ICC) to assess the homogeneity of the outcome variable within clusters. The ICC represents the proportion of between-cluster variation in the total variation, ranging from 0 to 1. An ICC of 0 indicates perfect independence of residuals.

Table 2: Diagnostics tests

Tests	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Variance (SE)	<0.0001	0.0089	0.0348
ICC	0.1746	0.087	0.0667
AIC	10919.61	2681.221	2624.92

Spatial Analysis

To assess the geographical distribution of antenatal care utilization among women aged 15-49 with prior childbirth. The study employed Quantum Geographic Information System (QGIS) version 3.34.1 to generate a univariate choropleth map. The spatial analysis was conducted at the provincial level, aligning each Women of Reproductive Age (WRA) with their respective provincial residence using geo-coordinate data collected during the DHS (1992 – 2018). This geo-coordinate data is based on pre-defined information that assigns each case to a specific province. The unit of spatial analysis was defined as a cluster of sample households, as designated by ZDHS. For consistency, a coordinate system of World Geographic System (WGS) 1984 Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 36S was applied to facilitate accurate and standardized spatial representation.

Ethics statement

The methodologies employed in 1992 - 2018 Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS), including biomarker measurement protocols, received ethical approval from both the Inner City Fund (ICF) institutional review boards (IRBs) and the Tropical Diseases Research Centre (TDRC) in Zambia. The consent process includes obtaining informed oral consent from each respondent, and for adolescents under 18 years, consent was obtained from a parent or guardian. Comprehensive information about the DHS consent process is available at <https://www.dhsprogram.com/What-We-Do/Protecting-the-Privacy-of-DHS-Survey-Respondents.cfm>. Authorization to use the ZDHS data was obtained from ICF Macro (see supporting information), and the dataset can be accessed at <https://www.dhsprogram.com/data>. The user meticulously adhered to the given instructions, placing significant emphasis on the confidential nature of the data and the imperative of safeguarding the anonymity of households or individual respondents interviewed in the survey.

Results

Included in this study were data for 45,140 women, taken from a total sampled population of 59,979. Those without a history of prior child birth (n=14,839) were excluded. This analysis involved weighted sample from the 1992 to 2018 ZDHS (see Fig 1).

Legend: Figure 1: Description of sample derivation criteria

For this specific study, we extracted all pertinent variables from the women's data files (individual recode) 2018 ZDHS dataset. The data under examination pertains to women with a prior childbirth.

Characteristics of women who have previously given birth, linked with less than 8 ANC visit

The findings in the study revealed that majority of the women reported having less than eight ANC visits had no level of education throughout the survey years 1992 to 2018 (91.12%, 92.08%, 93.47%, 99.68% and 99.64% respectively). The relationship was significant ($p < 0.0001$). Notably, a significant association ($p < 0.0001$) was found between having less than 8 ANC visits and the absence of formal education, with 91.12%, 92.08%, 93.47%, 99.68%, and 99.64% of women reporting less than eight ANC visits in each respective year.

Additionally, the study revealed that the majority of participants reporting less than eight ANC visits belonged to the poorest socioeconomic stratum between 2007 and 2013/14 (98.68% and 99.62%, respectively), except for 2018, when a higher proportion (99.08%) was observed among the richer segment. Consistently, women not engaged in employment showed a higher proportion of less than 8 ANC visits from 1992 to 2018, with notable variations in 1996 and 2001/02.

Table 2: Key attributes of women who have previously given birth, linked with inadequate ANC visits (less than 8 ANC visit)

Variables	1992 (n=3,899)	1996 (n=4,460)	2001-02 (n=4,294)	2007 (n=4,031)	2013/14 (n=9,234)	2018 (n=7,262)	All years (n=29,333)
Age	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.06	0.48	0.06	0.17	0.002*
15 - 19	480 (90.87)	421 (90.99)	410 (88.53)	336 (98.83)	830 (99.63)	696 (97.98)	3174 (95.07)
20 - 24	920 (86.04)	1206 (89.63)	1086 (88.69)	98 (97.87)	2092 (99.19)	1835 (98.17)	8137 (94.21)
25 – 29	736 (81.67)	843 (84.02)	933 (87.14)	1064 (97.23)	2237 (99.22)	1657 (99.36)	7469 (93.47)
30 – 34	517 (79.61)	670 (83.52)	564 (82.6)	769 (97.2)	1858 (98.35)	1315 (98.91)	5694 (92.65)
35 – 39	341 (81.1)	425 (84.39)	412 (85.76)	472 (96.82)	1266 (98.11)	1037 (98.58)	3952 (93.5)
40 – 44	207 (84.51)	199 (81.71)	243 (87.71)	250 (96.83)	664 (99.08)	487 (98.72)	2049 (93.7)
45 - 49	76 (89.73)	82 (83.9)	82 (86.29)	91 (99.1)	186 (98.81)	144 (34.29)	662 (93.97)
Education level	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*
No Education	609 (91.12)	572 (92.08)	560 (93.25)	525 (98.47)	944 (99.68)	681 (99.64)	3891 (95.99)
Primary	2075 (85.6)	2511 (89.47)	2376 (89.47)	2448 (98.04)	4925 (99.45)	3501 (98.57)	17836 (94.43)
Secondary	550 (74.63)	717 (76.75)	747 (78.09)	901 (96.84)	2922 (98.71)	2689 (99.08)	8527 (92.35)
Higher	42 (61.91)	46 (47.69)	48 (58.21)	105 (86.8)	331 (90.87)	300 (95.88)	873 (83.47)
Marital status	0.13	0.03*	0.93	0.77	0.55	0.87	0.48
Not married	714 (86.06)	790 (84.19)	771 (86.98)	754 (97.67)	1929 (99.08)	1809 (98.67)	6766 (93.9)
Married	2564 (83.53)	3056 (86.81)	2959 (86.84)	97 (97.44)	84 (98.85)	5362 (98.77)	24370 (93.64)
Wealth Index				<0.001*	<0.001*	0.32	<0.001*
Poorest	--	--	--	903 (98.68)	2031 (99.62)	1635 (98.98)	4569 (99.2)
Poorer	--	--	--	839 (98.41)	1931 (99.57)	1497 (99.07)	4267 (99.17)

Middle	--	--	--	820 (98.66)	1888 (99.22)	1361 (98.55)	4070 (98.88)
Richer	--	--	--	813 (97.06)	1769 (98.99)	1448 (99.08)	4070 (98.63)
Richest	--	--	--	604 (93.6)	1513 (96.62)	1230 (97.88)	3347 (96.51)
Employed	0.17	<0.001*	0.03*	0.003*	0.04*	0.06	0.001*
No	1542 (84.94)	1937 (87.96)	1505 (87.96)	2013 (98.24)	4160 (99.25)	3765 (99.08)	14921 (94.32)
Yes	1735 (83.3)	1907 (84.58)	2222 (84.58)	1960 (96.72)	4936 (98.6)	3406 (98.38)	16166 (93.12)
Frequency of listening to rad			<0.001*	0.004*	0.53	0.59	<0.001*
Not at all	--	--	1814 (91.44)	1363 (98.52)	3802 (99.15)	3967 (98.79)	10947 (97.58)
<once a week	--	--	566 (88.7)	482 (98.54)	986 (98.69)	894 (99.08)	2928 (96.68)
≥ once a week	--	--	520 (87.27)	546 (96.25)	1559 (98.87)	1093 (98.82)	3718 (96.67)
Almost everyday	--	--	827 (77.07)	1587 (96.72)	2780 (98.64)	1215 (98.29)	6408 (94.69)
Frequency of reading NS/MZ			<0.001*	0.002*	<0.001*	0.17	<0.001*
Not at all	--	--	3177 (89.54)	2936 (97.94)	6599 (99.48)	5982 (98.83)	18694 (97.2)
<once a week	--	--	337 (77.47)	442 (97.02)	1071 (97.02)	654 (98.99)	2504 (94.31)
≥ once a week	--	--	178 (73.68)	443 (27.88)	1001 (98.54)	418 (97.79)	2039 (95.24)
Almost everyday	--	--	34 (52.80)	154 (92.15)	437 (95.71)	118 (96.49)	743 (91.72)
Frequency of watching television			<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.27	<0.001*
Not at all	--	--	2920 (90.68)	2896 (98.2)	5806 (99.37)	4645 (98.93)	16267 (97.36)

<once a week	--	--	189 (82.7)	218 (98.74)	419 (98.8)	365 (99.53)	1192 (96.04)
≥ once a week	--	--	170 (78.21)	145 (96.27)	594 (99.15)	393 (98.18)	1302 (95.21)
Almost everyday	--	--	448 (71.7)	720 (94.6)	2306 (97.68)	1768 (98.24)	5240 (94.52)
Type of residence	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.018*	<0.001*
Urban	1411 (76.78)	1416 (77.99)	1128 (77.35)	1257 (95.32)	3424 (98.01)	2749 (98.20)	11385 (89.48)
Rural	1867 (90.56)	2431 (91.99)	2602 (91.77)	2723 (98.52)	5709 (99.44)	4422 (99.09)	19754 (96.32)

NS = Newspaper; MZ = Magazine; Rad = Radio; * = P-value <0.05 computed using the Rao-Scott Chi-square test.

Characteristics of women who have previously given birth, linked with delayed initiation of their first antenatal care (ANC) visit (four months or more).

The study investigated the characteristics of participants who delayed in the first antenatal care or missed their first trimester ANC visit. The study examined factors influencing delayed or missed first ANC visits, with a focus on participant characteristics. The results revealed that a significant percentage of adolescents aged 15 to 19 (80.66%) delayed their first ANC visits compared to older women. This difference was statistically significant (p=0.0002). Additionally, women with lower education levels (up to primary) exhibited a higher proportion of delayed ANC visits compared to those with higher education (secondary and above). Rural areas had a lower percentage of delayed ANC initiation (76.40%) compared to urban areas (79.86%). Regarding geographic distribution, the central province had the highest proportion of delayed first ANC initiation, followed by Northern (78.72%), Muchinga (78.38%), Copperbelt (78.07%), and Eastern province with the lowest percentage (70.89%).

Table 3: Key attributes of women who have previously given birth, linked with delayed initiation of their first antenatal care (ANC) visit (four months or more).

Variables	1992 (n=3,718)	1996 (n=4,369)	2001-02 (n=4,197)	2007 (n=4,083)	2013/14 (n=9,156)	2018 (n=7,233)	All years (n=28,985)
Age	0.21	0.01*	--	0.02*	0.37	0.68	0.001*
15 - 19	448 (90.63)	412 (92.43)	386 (87.10)	288 (85.36)	634 (76.42)	463 (65.08)	2631 (80.66)
20 - 24	922 (90.80)	1188 (90.25)	1013 (84.85)	828 (81.95)	1595 (75.91)	1157 (61.82)	6704 (78.78)
25 – 29	786 (89.83)	874 (88.36)	913 (85.52)	825 (76.44)	1643 (72.97)	1023 (61.77)	6064 (76.58)
30 – 34	553 (88.78)	689 (86.27)	574 (84.42)	631 (80.52)	1393 (75.07)	848 (63.77)	4687 (77.22)
35 – 39	347 (86.02)	426 (86.82)	378 (83.46)	392 (81.19)	966 (75.66)	640 (61.84)	3149 (76.03)
40 – 44	205 (88.67)	206 (85.11)	230 (85.33)	208 (81.97)	503 (76.40)	318 (65.71)	1671 (78.08)
45 - 49	70 (93.15)	75 (85.56)	75 (83.66)	67 (78.63)	142 (78.46)	93 (64.10)	521 (78.67)
Education level	0.008*	<0.001*	--	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*
No Education	490 (87.92)	488 (86.43)	456 (83.17)	400 (79.22)	671 (74.20)	391 (59.18)	2895 (77.43)
Primary	2122 (90.57)	2463 (89.93)	2274 (87.47)	2026 (82.22)	3735 (76.07)	2146 (60.46)	14767 (79.37)
Secondary	663 (88.85)	852 (88.61)	791 (82.27)	735 (78.40)	2237 (75.50)	1840 (67.95)	7118 (76.72)
Higher	55 (78.66)	65 (63.07)	48 (54.97)	77 (61.73)	227 (61.69)	166 (52.50)	637 (59.67)
Marital status	0.82	0.24		0.61	0.51	0.001*	0.082
Not married	728 (89.82)	819 (87.38)	749 (85.91)	616 (79.58)	1491 (77.28)	1220 (66.88)	5623 (78.67)
Married	2603 (89.55)	3048 (88.87)	2820 (84.82)	2622 (80.50)	5386 (74.53)	3322 (61.42)	19802 (77.49)
Wealth Index				0.001*	0.014*	<0.001*	<0.001*
Poorest	--	--	--	737 (82.92)	1492 (74.83)	911 (55.68)	3140 (69.48)

Poorer	--	--	--	659 (79.35)	1482 (76.95)	912 (60.51)	3054 (71.61)
Middle	--	--	--	666 (81.64)	1424 (75.08)	892 (64.75)	2983 (72.91)
Richer	--	--	--	700 (82.90)	1364 (77.17)	1013 (69.70)	3078 (75.68)
Richest	--	--	--	476 (73.03)	1114 (70.94)	813 (64.71)	2403 (69.08)
Currently working	0.57	0.42	--	0.19	0.05	0.43	0.43
No	1567 (89.93)	1934 (89.02)	1463 (84.56)	1644 (81.20)	3191 (76.23)	2390 (63.33)	12188 (77.98)
Yes	1763 (89.32)	1933 (88.09)	2104 (85.40)	1589 (79.49)	3659 (74.16)	2151 (62.21)	13199 (77.54)
Frequency of listening to rad				0.24	0.20	0.50	--
Not at all	--	--	1630 (86.20)	1104 (82.11)	2836 (74.91)	2540 (63.69)	8111 (73.67)
<once a week	--	--	540 (86.73)	379 (78.60)	768 (78.13)	547 (60.53)	2233 (74.68)
≥ once a week	--	--	523 (87.35)	457 (81.08)	1192 (75.93)	687 (62.00)	2859 (74.44)
Almost everyday	--	--	872 (80.73)	1298 (79.23)	2076 (73.84)	769 (62.29)	5015 (74.14)
Frequency of reading NS/MZ				<0.001*	<0.001*	0.55	--
Not at all	--	--	2971 (86.45)	2407 (81.78)	4946 (75.58)	3767 (62.53)	14091 (74.36)
<once a week	--	--	355 (81.05)	371 (81.11)	855 (77.23)	434 (65.80)	2015 (75.69)
≥ once a week	--	--	201 (80.12)	331 (72.12)	762 (74.58)	266 (62.26)	1559 (72.26)
Almost everyday	--	--	36 (55.33)	125 (74.60)	296 (64.54)	75 (61.90)	532 (65.48)
Frequency of watching television	--	--	--	0.003*	0.11	0.17	--

Not at all	--	--	2690 (86.42)	2352 (81.30)	4362 (75.49)	2884 (61.66)	12288 (74.65)
<once a week	--	--	196 (86.41)	186 (85.24)	338 (79.33)	232 (62.85)	951 (76.76)
≥ once a week	--	--	196 (87.95)	120 (81.22)	449 (75.58)	260 (64.98)	1018 (75.02)
Almost everyday	--	--	189 (76.94)	579 (75.07)	1721 (73.24)	1166 (65.28)	3957 (71.36)
Type of residence	0.025*	0.039*	<0.001*	0.13	0.26	<0.001*	<0.001*
Urban	1676 (90.95)	1669 (89.99)	1216 (83.23)	1041 (78.73)	2645 (76.06)	1936 (69.46)	10184 (79.86)
Rural	1655 (88.29)	2200 (87.50)	2353 (86.01)	2197 (81.28)	4231 (74.53)	2606 (58.62)	15243 (76.40)

NS = Newspaper; MZ = Magazine; Rad = Radio; * = P-value <0.05 computed using the Rao-Scott Chi-square test.

Supplementary Table 1: Region of women who have previously given birth, linked with delayed initiation of their first antenatal care (ANC) visit.

Variables	1992 (n=3,718)	1996 (n=4,369)	Feb-01 (n=4,197)	2007 (n=4,083)	2013/14 (n=9,156)	2018 (n=7,233)	All years (n=28,985)
Region	<0.0001*	0.0055*	--	0.2294	<0.0001*	<0.0001*	<0.0001*
Central	281 (85.88)	322 (92.02)	256 (86.35)	336 (85.95)	686 (80.53)	473 (75.51)	2354 (82.81)
Copperbelt	826 (91.80)	759 (89.66)	620 (84.15)	471 (79.26)	937 (73.46)	661 (68.61)	4274 (80.39)
Eastern	387 (94.06)	588 (89.85)	497 (87.91)	494 (79.76)	756 (64.16)	497 (50.86)	3219 (73.06)
Luapula	211 (93.46)	344 (88.46)	497 (81.13)	259 (77.22)	536 (71.98)	353 (55.60)	1989 (74.16)
Lusaka	566 (90.93)	616 (88.31)	461 (79.69)	402 (77.71)	1142 (75.83)	846 (70.34)	4034 (78.70)
Muchinga	--	--	--	--	405 (76.05)	215 (50.59)	2355 (79.86)

Northern	274 (91.16)	432 (91.13)	549 (90.75)	480 (78.61)	638 (81.80)	375 (62.04)	1610 (76.86)
North-western	81 (86.93)	144 (82.29)	179 (84.76)	192 (83.56)	341 (78.31)	265 (67.02)	2280 (80.18)
Southern	538 (85.28)	390 (82.55)	395 (83.66)	351 (80.25)	936 (74.56)	562 (59.93)	2517 (74.40)
Western	169 (81.19)	274 (88.32)	326 (86.06)	251 (85.46)	500 (83.90)	294 (63.32)	795 (74.88)

Trends of antenatal care attendance (less than 8 ANC sessions) and delayed ANC initiation from 1992 to 2018

The findings reveal a consistent increase in the proportion of ANC (Antenatal Care) attendance (at least 8 visits) since 1992, with a notable surge observed in 2007. Although there was a slight decline in 2018, the changes were statistically significant according to the Uncorrelated Design Based Chi-square test (Rao–Scott Chi-square test). Moreover, there was an evident increase in the delay of ANC initiation from 1992 to 2001-2, followed by a decline, and subsequently, another surge from 2013/14 to 2018. These fluctuations were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) see Fig 2).

Legend: Trends of antenatal care attendance (less than 8 ANC sessions) and delayed ANC initiation from 1992 to 2018

The figure was generated in excel. The figure shows the proportion of ANC visits of less than 8 from 1992 to 2018.

Quality of antenatal care offered between 2007 and 2018

The study's findings reveal an upward trend in the quality of services provided as part of antenatal care since 2007. By 2018, all services encompassed within antenatal care were consistently performing at levels exceeding 90%. Particularly noteworthy is the significant increase in HIV testing rates, rising from approximately 46% to an impressive 98%. However, the study identified a slight decline in two key variables: discussions about HIV transmission from mother to child and discussions about preventive measures against HIV, showing a decrease of 3.24% and 1.94%, respectively (see Fig 3).

Legend: Quality of antenatal care offered between 2007 and 2018

The variables captured to measure quality of antenatal care were (1) talked about HIV transmission of mother to child (2) talked about things to do to prevent getting HIV (3) talked about getting tested for HIV (4) got results of HIV test as part of antenatal visit (5) received counseling after tested for HIV during antenatal care (6) tested for HIV virus as part of antenatal visit

Geographical Distribution of ANC visits less than eight visits

The study findings reveal notable variations in the distribution of ANC visits across provinces. Generally, Western, Southern, Copperbelt, and Lusaka provinces exhibited a relatively lower proportion of ANC visits less than 8, as compared to other provinces, except in 2018 when Eastern, Western, and Southern provinces outperformed Lusaka. Moreover, regions with higher proportions varied, including Luapula, Muchinga, Northwestern, Northern, and Eastern. Furthermore Northwestern province remains the only province in the recent years 2013 to 2018 exhibited a higher proportion of the population with less than 8 ANC visits consistently. These variations in ANC utilization proportions were found to be statistically significant using the Chi-square ($p < 0.001$) (see Fig 4).

Legend. Figure 4: Shows the geospatial distribution of mothers with ANC visits less than 8 by provinces in Zambia

The map was generated using QGIS version 3.4.1. (Source: author generated)

Generalized Linear Mixed Model (Combined Analysis)

Women with a higher level of education exhibited significantly reduced odds of attending less than 8 ANC visits compared to those with no formal education (Adjusted Odds Ratio, AOR, 0.24; 95% Confidence Interval, CI, 0.11 – 0.50; $p < 0.001$), while accounting for all other variables. Similarly, women from wealthier households (richest wealth index) had decreased odds of having less than 8 ANC visits in comparison to those from lower wealth indices (AOR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.21 – 0.87; $p = 0.002$).

Additionally, women engaged in work demonstrated lower chances of having less than 8 ANC visits compared to those who were not working (AOR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.50 – 0.86; $p = 0.003$), and this association was statistically significant when adjusting for other factors. Moreover, women who regularly read news or magazines (almost every day) had reduced odds of attending less than 8 ANC sessions (AOR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.35 – 0.97; $p = 0.037$). Notably, Copperbelt province exhibited decreased odds of inadequate ANC compared to Central province (AOR; 95% CI, 0.48 – 0.81; $P = 0.006$).

Table 3: Univariate and multivariable generalized linear mixed model of less than 8 ANC visit antenatal care utilization (combined analysis)

Variables	Univariate		Multivariable	
	COR (95% CI)	P-value	AOR (95% CI)	P-value
ZDHS year				
1992	Ref (1)			
1996	1.38 (1.21 - 1.57)	<0.001		
2001/2	1.42 (1.24 - 1.62)	<0.001		
2007	8.63 (6.91 - 10.79)	<0.001	Ref (1)	
2013/14	24.73 (19.34 - 31.62)	<0.001	3.07 (2.23 - 4.24)	<0.001
2018	19.44 (15.08 - 24.53)	<0.001	2.23 (1.59 - 3.11)	<0.001
Age				
15 - 19	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
20 - 24	0.87 (0.72 - 1.05)	0.149	1.13 (0.67 - 1.90)	0.649
25 - 29	0.76 (0.63 - 0.91)	0.004	1.61 (0.93 - 2.78)	0.087
30 - 34	0.66 (0.55 - 0.80)	<0.001	1.16 (0.67 - 2.01)	0.585
35 - 39	0.74 (0.60 - 0.91)	0.004	0.96 (0.54 - 1.69)	0.89
40 - 44	0.75 (0.59 - 0.95)	0.018	1.01 (0.53 - 1.96)	0.97
45 - 49	0.77 (0.54 - 1.10)	0.148	1.18 (0.43 - 3.326)	0.75
Education level				
No Education	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
Primary	0.81 (0.68 - 0.95)	0.011	0.66 (0.37 - 1.16)	0.53
Secondary	0.61 (0.51 - 0.73)	<0.001	0.65 (0.34 - 1.23)	0.19
Higher	0.27 (0.22 - 0.35)	<0.001	0.24 (0.11 - 0.50)	<0.001*
Marital status				
Not married	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
Married	0.92 (0.82 - 1.03)	0.164	0.76 (0.54 - 1.06)	0.10
Wealth Index				
Poorest	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
Poorer	0.90 (0.57 - 1.42)	0.663	0.94 (0.59 - 1.49)	0.80
Middle	0.92 (0.58 - 1.45)	0.716	1.04 (0.64 - 1.70)	0.87
Richer	0.58 (0.37 - 0.89)	0.012	0.77 (0.43 - 1.37)	0.37
Richest	0.24 (0.17 - 0.36)	<0.001	0.43 (0.21 - 0.87)	0.02*
Currently working				
No	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	

Yes	0.87 (0.79 - 0.96)	0.004	0.65 (0.50 - 0.86)	0.003*
Frequency of listening to rad				
Not at all	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
<once a week	0.77 (0.61 - 0.99)	0.038	1.16 (0.73 - 1.85)	0.52
≥ once a week	0.85 (0.68 - 1.06)	0.155	1.02 (0.69 - 1.51)	0.91
Almost everyday	0.54 (0.45 - 0.64)	<0.001	0.90 (0.65 - 1.26)	0.542
Frequency of reading NS/MZ				
Not at all	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
<once a week	0.58 (0.47 - 0.71)	<0.001	0.79 (0.53 - 1.18)	0.253
≥ once a week	0.73 (0.57 - 0.92)	0.009	1.07 (0.68 - 1.68)	0.771
Almost everyday	0.44 (0.32 - 0.59)	<0.001	0.58 (0.35 - 0.97)	0.037*
Frequency of watching television				
Not at all	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
<once a week	0.86 (0.62 - 1.20)	0.384	1.97 (0.73 - 1.85)	0.52
≥ once a week	0.69 (0.52 - 0.92)	0.011	1.02 (0.69 - 1.51)	0.91
Almost everyday	0.61 (0.51 - 0.72)	<0.001	0.90 (0.65 - 1.26)	0.54
Residence				
Urban	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
Rural	2.54 (2.29 - 2.82)	<0.001	1.13 (0.77 - 1.67)	0.529
Region				
Central	Ref (1)		Ref (1)	
Copperbelt	0.34 (0.27 - 0.42)	<0.001	0.48 (0.28 - 0.81)	0.007*
Eastern	1.29 (1.00 - 1.66)	0.051	1.03 (0.56 - 1.90)	0.91
Luapula	1.11 (0.86 - 1.45)	0.416	1.70 (0.83 - 3.47)	0.147
Lusaka	0.31 (0.25 - 0.39)	<0.001	0.73 (0.42 - 1.29)	0.28
Muchinga	1.03 (0.80 - 1.34)	0.803	0.80 (0.43 - 1.47)	0.467
Northern	0.60 (0.47 - 0.76)	<0.001	0.91 (0.49 - 1.69)	0.77

Northwest	0.79 (0.75 - 1.25)	0.064	1.68 (0.84 - 3.35)	0.14
Southern	0.97 (0.75 - 1.26)	0.834	1.02 (0.56 - 1.88)	0.94
Western	5.53 (2.94 - 10.38)	<0.001	0.98 (0.45 - 2.10)	0.95

* = P-value <0.05 COR = Crude Odds Ratio AOR = Adjusted Odds Ratio

Multivariable Generalized Linear Mixed Model from 1992 to 2018 of less than 8 ANC visit antenatal care utilization (Stratified Analysis)

A comprehensive stratified analysis was conducted for each specific year from 1992 to 2018 using data from the Zambia Demographic and Health Surveys (ZDHS). The findings, detailed in Table 4, unveiled that older age during selected survey years (1992 and 1996) was associated with reduced odds of less than 8 ANC utilization, and this association was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). However, a significant shift occurred in 2018, revealing that older age was now linked to an increased risk of less than 8 ANC utilization. Specifically, the adjusted odds ratios for age groups AOR(25-29) and AOR(30-34) were 2.94 (95% CI, 1.20 – 7.19) and 2.65 (95% CI, 1.05 – 6.65), respectively. In 2018, older women had increased odds of having less than 8 antenatal care visits compared to women aged 15 to 19.

Furthermore, women with a higher level of education consistently demonstrated a reduced risk of less than 8 ANC visits across the years, except for 2013, where this association was not statistically significant, holding all other factors constant. Moreover, women in rural settings were more at risk of less than 8 ANC visits, and statistically significant predictors were observed only in the period from 1992 to 2001/02. The adjusted odds ratios and corresponding confidence intervals for the respective years were as follows: AOR(1992) was 2.03 (95% CI, 1.44 – 2.87), AOR(1996) 1.89 (95% CI, 1.43 – 2.30), and AOR(2001/02) 1.68 (95% CI, 1.21 - 2.54).

Table 4: multivariable generalized linear mixed model from 1992 – 2018 of frequency of antenatal care (Stratified analysis)

Variables	1992	1996	2001/2	2007	2013/14	2018
	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Age						

15 - 19	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
20 - 24	0.68 (0.47 – 0.98)*	0.85 (0.58 – 1.26)	1.20 (0.84 - 1.72)	0.83 (0.33 – 2.11)	1.00 (0.32 - 3.14)	1.42 (0.65 – 3.09)
25 – 29	0.52 (0.36 – 0.75)*	0.60 (0.41 – 0.89)*	1.08 (0.75 – 1.56)	0.95 (0.37 – 2.43)	1.31 (0.41 – 4.23)	2.94 (1.20 – 7.19)*
30 – 34	0.44 (0.30 – 0.64)*	0.54 (0.36 – 0.81)*	0.70 (0.48 – 1.02)	0.63 (0.24 – 1.64)	0.80 (0.25 – 2.53)	2.65 (1.05 – 6.65)*
35 – 39	0.50 (0.33 – 0.75)*	0.56 (0.36 – 0.87)*	0.73 (0.48 – 1.10)	0.64 (0.23 – 1.78)	0.45 (0.14 – 2.99)	2.63 (0.99 – 6.97)
40 – 44	0.53 (0.32 – 0.86)*	0.40 (0.24 – 0.66)*	0.77 (0.47 – 1.27)	0.71 (0.22 – 2.36)	1.09 (0.11 – 10.76)	1.45 (0.53 – 4.01)
45 - 49	0.65 (0.29 – 1.44)	0.39 (0.19 – 0.79)*	0.57 (0.29 – 1.12)	1.51 (0.17 – 13.20)	1.09 (0.11 – 10.76)	0.99 (0.25 – 3.99)
Educatio n level						
No Education	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
Primary	0.78 (0.57 – 1.06)	0.83 (0.60 - 1.17)	0.80 (0.57 - 1.13)	0.87 (0.40 – 1.91)	0.66 (0.19 – 2.24)	0.40 (0.12 – 1.33)
Secondary	0.50 (0.35 – 0.72)*	0.45 (0.31 – 0.65)*	0.56 (0.38 - 0.84)*	0.72 (0.29 – 1.80)	0.49 (0.13 – 1.87)	0.56 (0.15 – 2.05)
Higher	0.39 (0.21 – 0.75)*	0.16 (0.09 – 0.28)*	0.30 (0.15 – 0.59)*	0.32 (0.96 – 1.04)	0.15 (0.03 – 0.67)*	0.18 (0.04 – 0.83)*
Marital status						
Not married	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
Married	0.75 (0.59 – 0.95)*	1.14 (0.91 - 1.43)	1.00 (0.78 - 1.28)	0.86 (0.50 – 1.47)	0.63 (0.40 – 1.15)	0.75 (0.42 – 1.35)
Wealth Index						
Poorest	--	--	--	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
Poorer	--	--	--	0.71 (0.32 – 1.56)	1.05 (0.40 – 2.75)	1.05 (0.50 – 2.18)
Middle	--	--	--	1.00 (0.41 – 2.42)	0.72 (0.28 – 1.85)	1.20 (0.52 – 2.79)
Richer	--	--	--	0.55 (0.20 – 1.48)	0.83 (0.26 – 2.64)	1.01 (0.34 – 3.02)
Richest	--	--	--	0.27 (0.08 -0.90)*	0.43 (0.11 – 1.73)	0.63 (0.17 – 2.41)
Currently working						
No	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
Yes	0.97 (0.80 - 1.18)	1.14 (0.91 – 1.43)	1.23 (0.99 – 1.52)	0.64 (0.41 – 1.01)	0.68 (0.40 – 1.15)	0.71 (0.44 – 1.15)
Frequenc y of listening to rad						
Not at all	--	--	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
<once a week	--	--	1.00 (0.73 - 1.38)	1.13 (0.49 – 2.60)	0.99 (0.45 - 2.19)	1.44 (0.62 – 3.37)
≥ once a week	--	--	1.04 (0.75 - 1.44)	0.59 (0.31 – 1.13)	1.88 (0.87 – 4.04)	1.16 (0.57 – 2.34)
Almost everyday	--	--	0.67 (0.51 – 0.88)	0.76 (0.43 – 1.32)	1.21 (0.66 – 2.22)	0.81 (0.43 – 1.52)
Frequenc y of reading NS/MZ						
Not at all	--	--	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
<once a week	--	--	0.72 (0.52 – 0.98)	1.17 (0.59 – 2.32)	0.47 (0.24 – 0.92)*	1.26 (0.53 – 2.28)

≥ once a week	--	--	0.80 (0.53 - 1.19)	1.72 (0.80 - 3.73)	0.87 (0.39 - 1.93)	0.78 (0.33 - 1.83)
Almost everyday	--	--	0.36 (0.18 - 0.70)	0.82 (0.35 - 1.94)	0.45 (0.19 - 1.07)	0.74 (0.23 - 2.42)
Frequency of watching television						
Not at all	--	--	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
<once a week	--	--	1.10 (0.73 - 1.38)	1.98 (0.66 - 5.97)	1.30 (0.36 - 4.45)	3.85 (0.50 - 29.46)
≥ once a week	--	--	1.04 (0.75 - 1.44)	1.14 (0.45 - 2.91)	1.26 (0.44 - 3.65)	0.73 (0.28 - 1.92)
Almost everyday	--	--	0.67 (0.51 - 0.87)*	1.64 (0.81 - 3.32)	1.50 (0.63 - 3.57)	0.97 (0.39 - 2.40)
Residence						
Urban	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
Rural	2.03 (1.44 - 2.87)*	1.89 (1.43 - 2.30)*	1.68 (1.21 - 2.34)*	1.32 (0.64 - 2.72)	0.77 (0.39 - 2.52)	0.72 (0.34 - 1.50)
Region						
Central	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)	Ref (1)
Copperbelt	0.82 (0.50 - 1.34)	0.44 (28 - 0.70)*	0.64 (0.38 - 1.09)	0.67 (0.28 - 1.60)	0.31 (0.12 - 0.84)*	0.69 (0.24 - 2.00)
Eastern	0.93 (0.51 - 1.70)*	1.49 (0.85 - 2.62)	0.82 (0.47 - 1.42)	1.37 (0.48 - 3.96)	2.44 (0.58 - 10.28)	0.55 (0.19 - 1.54)
Luapula	0.70 (0.39 - 1.25)*	0.82 (0.49 - 1.38)	1.12 (0.59 - 2.13)	4.22 (0.88 - 20.32)	2.40 (0.57 - 10.17)	0.97 (0.31 - 3.08)
Lusaka	0.55 (0.33 - 0.92)	0.53 (0.33 - 0.85)*	0.33 (0.19 - 0.56)*	0.78 (0.32 - 1.89)	0.64 (0.22 - 1.84)	0.90 (0.30 - 2.68)
Muchinga	--	--	--	--	0.57 (0.19 - 1.73)	1.01 (0.30 - 3.86)
Northern	1.46 (0.77 - 2.76)	0.84 (0.50 - 1.43)	1.13 (0.66 - 1.95)	0.94 (0.36 - 2.46)	2.23 (0.52 - 9.56)	0.71 (0.23 - 2.21)
Northwest	0.61 (0.32 - 1.15)*	0.54 (0.32 - 0.92)*	0.25 (0.15 - 0.42)*	0.81 (0.32 - 2.05)	2.44 (0.58 - 10.34)	6.20 (0.70 - 54.91)
Southern	1.25 (0.71 - 2.21)	0.49 (0.29 - 0.80)*	0.71 (0.41 - 1.25)	1.00 (0.39 - 2.57)	1.09 (0.35 - 3.37)	1.20 (0.48 - 6.97)
Western	1.14 (0.60 - 2.19)	0.62 (0.37 - 1.04)	0.77 (0.42 - 1.41)	0.71 (0.28 - 1.81)	1.60 (0.42 - 6.15)	0.80 (0.24 - 2.64)
ICC	0.0841238	0.0394164	0.0755291	<0.0001	0.1405596	0.2227786
AIC	3191.726	3126.52	3017.116	919.9457	860.0249	896.1335
BIC	3329.677	3267.639	3215.038	1134.642	1116.486	1144.401

* = P-value <0.05

Discussion

Antenatal care (ANC) remains a critical area of focus due to its profound impact on maternal and child health, including documented effects on intermediate variables such as birth weight [13]. This study investigated predictors of less than eight ANC visits, and among women aged 15 to 49 with prior childbirth in Zambia. Using a multilevel generalized mixed model with a binomial family and logit link function on data spanning from 1992 to 2018 from the Zambia Demographic and Health Surveys (ZDHS), the research aims to comprehensively understand predictors and the geographical distribution of less than eight ANC visits.

The study's findings revealed an upward trend, an increment of 14% from 1992 to 2018 of women reporting less than eight ANC visits. This indicates a significant gap, consistent between urban and rural settings, reflecting unsatisfactory compliance with WHO-recommended ANC visit levels in Zambia. These findings align with studies in Bangladesh [21], emphasizing the need for improved primary healthcare, especially in rural settings. Furthermore, the study explored the quality of ANC, demonstrating an improvement from 2007 to 2018. It stresses the importance of enhancing healthcare quality over time, in contrast to some countries, like Latin America, which struggle with low ANC coverage [22]. Therefore, efforts to bridge the urban-rural gap healthcare policies should focus on improving accessibility in rural areas, recognizing the vital role of primary healthcare in promoting ANC in these settings.

The World Health Organization (WHO) initially advocated the Focused Antenatal Care (FANC) model in the 1990s, recommending a minimum of four ANC visits per pregnant woman [23]. However, in 2016, WHO revised its minimum recommended ANC visits from four to eight ANC visits. This change was reflected in the 2018 Demographic Health Survey. Given this transition, it's apparent that the 2016 WHO ANC model wasn't implemented before then, as the FANC model was in use. Consequently, the study is aware of the difference in required ANC visits between 2018 and preceding years [24, 25]. It is therefore, reasonable to assume that low attendance before 2018 adhered to the FANC model's minimum requirement of four visits, not eight. However, the study unveils a concerning trend: the proportion of ANC attendance fewer than eight visits has rather increased over time, indicating a decline in ANC attendance among pregnant women over the years. Proportion of delayed initiation of ANC visit in the study revealed an overall decline overtime this is similar to studies carried out in Ethiopia [26-28] which showed that the trend for delayed ANC visits has been on the decline.

Furthermore, the study delved into the geospatial distribution of less than 8 ANC visits, revealing distinct patterns across provinces. The findings highlight that Western, Southern, Copperbelt, and Lusaka provinces consistently exhibited notably lower proportions of ANC visits less than 8 when compared to their counterparts. This gap could be attributed to varying levels of economic activities, employment rates, educational attainment, and the prevalence of urban landscapes over rural settings in these provinces [29]. Conversely, provinces such as Luapula, Muchinga, Northwestern, Northern, and Eastern reported considerably higher proportions of less than 8 ANC

visits, pointing towards region-specific challenges that necessitate targeted interventions for improved maternal healthcare access and utilization.

Analyzing factors influencing less than 8 ANC visits, the study identified education, wealth index, occupation, reading habits, television watching, and residing in the Copperbelt province as predictors of attending less than the recommended eight ANC sessions. These results align with those of previous studies, such as the work by Islam and Masud [21], indicating that these predictor variables were protective, correlating with a reduced risk of attending fewer than 8 ANC visits after accounting for other factors [30-32]. Notably, the exception was the frequency of watching television, which was associated with an increased risk. These results align with prior research, suggesting protective effects, with the exception of television watching, associated with increased risk. Additionally, stratified analysis across survey years indicates age and education as predictors, emphasizing the dynamic nature of ANC utilization over time. Consequently, Public health campaigns, leveraging media channels, should be intensified to educate and empower women, fostering health literacy-related discussions and positively influencing their ANC behaviors. Additionally, healthcare providers should emphasize comprehensive ANC education, covering not only the quantity of visits but also the content and quality of services.

In contrast, a study in Rwanda revealed contradictory outcomes, indicating that employed women had reduced odds of attending all ANC sessions [33]. Conversely, our study aligns with research suggesting that regular reading of newspapers/magazines is associated with an increased likelihood of robust attendance at ANC visits. This association is attributed to higher literacy levels and education among women with access to newspapers, fostering health literacy-related discussions that positively influence their health-seeking behaviors [33-36]. Furthermore, a study in Papua New Guinea reported similar findings of employment and education level being protective of the risk of less than 8 ANC visits [36]. Additionally, a stratified analysis was conducted for each survey year from 1992 to 2018. The findings indicate that age predicted less than 8 ANC visits in 1992, 1996, and 2018. Education similarly served as a predictor, except in the year 2007. Intriguingly, the results reveal that education acted as a protective factor.

Furthermore, the study revealed majority of adolescents aged 15 to 19 (80.66%) delayed their first ANC visits compared to older women. Additionally the study revealed that education, residence

(rural and urban) and region were associated with delayed initiation of first ANC visit. These findings are similar to studies done in various countries that revealed that majority of women initiate first antenatal visit very late after the first trimester, which is against the WHO recommendation [37-39]. A study done in Wollaita Soddo town revealed that education was associated with timing of first ANC visit. Women with secondary and higher education were more times likely to initiate than those with primary and who didn't have formal education [40], similar with a study conducted in Addis Ababa [41].

The stratified analysis revealed that only education consistently predicted the underutilization of ANC visits (less than 8 visits) from 1992 to 2018, except for 2007, where it showed no significant effect. Remarkably, education acted as a protective factor throughout these years. Previous studies have similarly highlighted the significant role of education in determining optimal ANC utilization [42-45]. This association may stem from educated women's likely possession of adequate knowledge regarding ANC services and their understanding of the importance of early booking and attending the recommended ANC visits. Moreover, education may empower some women to overcome gender-specific discrimination and barriers, such as domestic challenges [46,47].

Limitations and strengths of the study

This study draws strength from the utilization of national data, providing a representative sample of the adolescent female population aged 15 to 49 in Zambia. Consequently, the study's findings are applicable and can be generalized to the specified target population of women with prior childbirth within this age range. However, it is essential to acknowledge the study's limitations. The reliance on the latest Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS) dataset from 2018 follows a cross-sectional study design, implying that the results indicate correlation rather than causation between the outcome of interest and individual or contextual factors. Additionally, caution is advised when extending the findings to the broader adolescent age group of 10 to 19 years. Moreover, the contextual factors utilized in the study are derived from the ZDHS, potentially limiting their ability to fully capture the community experience. These considerations are crucial for a nuanced interpretation of the study's outcomes.

Conclusion

In summary, this study sheds light on the critical challenges surrounding antenatal care (ANC) utilization in Zambia, exposing a concerning upward trend in less than 8 ANC visits, especially in meeting the prescribed eight ANC visits. The results underscore a substantial disparity between observed ANC practices and the established guidelines set by the World Health Organization. Moreover, it notes a decreasing trend in the delay of initiating the first ANC visit from 1996 to 2018, even though it is still worrying. Persistent urban-rural discrepancies highlight the urgent need for tailored interventions aimed at rectifying significant deficiencies in ANC access and utilization across diverse settings. Notably, the study brings to light a noteworthy improvement in specific healthcare aspects, exemplified by a significant rise in HIV testing rates within ANC services. However, the observed decline in discussions about HIV transmission and preventive measures emphasizes the necessity of adopting a comprehensive approach to ANC, addressing both the quantity and quality of services. Additionally, the study identifies education, wealth index, occupation, reading habits, television watching, and residing in the Copperbelt as predictive factors for attending fewer than the recommended eight ANC sessions.


Reference

1. WHO, 2020. Maternal mortality (who.int). <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/maternal-mortality#:~:text=Key%20facts,dropped%20by%20about%2034%25%20worldwide>.
2. World Health Organization, UNICEF, United Nations Population Fund and The World Bank, Trends in Maternal Mortality: 2000 to 2017 WHO, Geneva, 2019. (2)
3. UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UNICEF, WHO UNPD, WB), 2021
4. UNICEF, 2023. Improving Emergency Obstetric Care, A Top Priority to Save Lives of Mothers and Newborns | UNICEF Zambia. <https://www.unicef.org/zambia/stories/improved-emergency-obstetric-care-saves-mothers-and-newborns-lives>
5. World Health Organization & United Nations Children's Fund. Accountability for maternal, newborn and child survival: The 2013 Update. Countdown to 2015 maternal, newborn and child survival. Geneva: WHO Press; 2013. From http://www.who.int/woman_child_accountability/ierg/reports/Countdown_Accountability_2013Report.pdf. (Accessed 24 October 2013).
6. Singh S, Remez L, Ram U, Moore AM, Audam S. Barriers to safe motherhood in India. New York: Guttmacher Institute. 2009 Jun: 1-35.


7. WHO, 2019. ANC guidelines for a positive pregnancy experience (who.int)
<https://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2019-06/Draft%20ANC%20Guidelines%202018%20-%20Final%20Copy.pdf>
8. Raatikainen K, Heiskanen N, Heinonen S. Under-attending free antenatal care is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. *BMC public health*. 2007 Dec;7:1-8.
9. Ohenhen V, Oshomoh SA, Akpojaro E, Enobakhare E, Oveneri C, Eboreime E. Birth preparedness and complication readiness: Evaluating the “know-do” gap among women receiving antenatal care in Benin City, Nigeria. *Journal of Biosocial Science*. 2023 Jan 6:1-5.
10. Moller AB, Petzold M, Chou D, Say L. Early antenatal care visit: a systematic analysis of regional and global levels and trends of coverage from 1990 to 2013. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2017 Oct 1;5(10):e977-83.
11. Alem AZ, Yeshaw Y, Liyew AM, Tesema GA, Alamneh TS, Worku MG, et al. (2022) Timely initiation of antenatal care and its associated factors among pregnant women in sub-Saharan Africa: A multicountry analysis of Demographic and Health Surveys. *PLoS ONE* 17(1): e0262411. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262411>
12. EPHI I. Ethiopian public health Institute (EPHI)[Ethiopia] and ICF. Ethiopia Mini Demographic and Health Survey 2019: Key Indicators. 2019.
13. Adjiwanou V, LeGrand T. Does antenatal care matter in the use of skilled birth attendance in rural Africa: a multi-country analysis. *Social science & medicine*. 2013 Jun 1;86:26-34.
14. Dibaba Y, Fantahun M, Hindin MJ. The effects of pregnancy intention on the use of antenatal care services: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reproductive health*. 2013 Dec;10:1-9.
15. Exavery A, Kanté AM, Hingora A, Mbaruku G, Pemba S, Phillips JF. How mistimed and unwanted pregnancies affect timing of antenatal care initiation in three districts in Tanzania. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2013 Dec;13:1-1.
16. Long Q, Zhang T, Xu L, Tang S, Hemminki E. Utilisation of maternal health care in western rural China under a new rural health insurance system (New Co-operative Medical System). *Tropical Medicine & International Health*. 2010 Oct;15(10):1210-7.
17. Baral YR, Lyons K, Skinner J, van Teijlingen ER. Maternal health services utilisation in Nepal: Progress in the new millennium?. *Health science journal*. 2012 Oct 1;6(4):618.
18. Canavan A. Review of global literature on maternal health interventions and outcomes related to provision of skilled birth attendance. Amsterdam: Royal Tropical Institute. 2009.
19. Samudimu W, Jacobs C, Shumba S, Rosemary NL. Acceptance of the new World Health Organisation antenatal care model among women in urban health facilities in Chipata district of Eastern Zambia: A cross sectional study. *Open J Clin Med Images*. 2023; 3(2): 1121.
20. Croft TN, Marshall AM, Allen CK, Arnold F, Assaf S, Balian S. Guide to DHS statistics. Rockville: ICF. 2018 Sep;645.
21. Islam MM, Masud MS. Determinants of frequency and contents of antenatal care visits in Bangladesh: Assessing the extent of compliance with the WHO recommendations. *PLoS one*. 2018 Sep 27;13(9):e0204752.
22. Hernández-Vásquez A, Vargas-Fernández R. Measuring Socioeconomic Inequalities in HIV Testing During Antenatal Care: A Peruvian National Survey. *Journal of Community Health*. 2023 Aug 9:1-0.

23. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Mitra and Associates, ICF International. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2014. NIPORT, MEASURE Evaluation, and icddr, b Dhaka, Bangladesh; 2016.
24. WHO, UNICEF, Mathers C. Global strategy for women's, children's and adolescents' health (2016–2030). Organization. 2016.
25. World Health Organization. World health statistics 2016: monitoring health for the SDGs sustainable development goals: World Health Organization; 2016.
26. Alamneh, A., Asmamaw, A., Woldemariam, M. et al. Trend change in delayed first antenatal care visit among reproductive-aged women in Ethiopia: multivariate decomposition analysis. *Reprod Health* 19, 80 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-022-01373-2>
27. Fagbamigbe AF, Mashabe B, Lepetu L, Abel C. Are the timings and risk factors changing? Survival analysis of timing of first antenatal care visit among pregnant women in Nigeria (2003–2013). *Int J Women's Health*. 2017;9:8.
28. Moller A-B, Petzold M, Chou D, Say L. Early antenatal care visit: a systematic analysis of regional and global levels and trends of coverage from 1990 to 2013. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2017;5:10.
29. Zambia Statistics Agency (ZSA), 2021. Provincial GDP Estimates. <https://www.zamstats.gov.zm/provincial-gdp-estimates-2021/>
30. Joshi C, Torvaldsen S, Hodgson R, Hayen A. Factors associated with the use and quality of antenatal care in Nepal: a population-based study using the demographic and health survey data. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2014 Dec;14(1):1-1.
31. Tessema ZT, Tesema GA, Yazachew L. Individual-level and community-level factors associated with eight or more antenatal care contacts in sub-Saharan Africa: evidence from 36 sub-Saharan African countries. *BMJ open*. 2022 Mar 1;12(3):e049379.
32. Nxiweni PZ, Oladimeji KE, Nanjoh M, Banda L, Anyiam FE, Hyera FL, et al. Factors influencing the utilization of Antenatal services among women of childbearing age in South Africa. *Women*. 2022 Sep 10;2(3):285-303.
33. Sserwanja Q, Musaba MW, Mutisya LM, Olal E, Mukunya D. Continuum of maternity care in Zambia: a national representative survey. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2021;21(1):604.
34. Asp G, Pettersson KO, Sandberg J, Kabakyenga J, Agardh A. Associations between mass media exposure and birth preparedness among women in southwestern Uganda: a community-based survey. *Glob Health Action*. 2014;7(1):22904.
35. Bwalya BB, Mulenga MC, Mulenga JN. Factors associated with postnatal care for newborns in Zambia: analysis of the 2013–14 Zambia demographic and health survey. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17(1):418.
36. Li Y, Li H, Jiang Y. Factors influencing maternal healthcare utilization in Papua New Guinea: Andersen's behaviour model. *BMC Women's Health*. 2023 Oct 21;23(1):544.
37. Chewe MM, Muleya MC, Maimbolwa M. Factors associated with late antenatal care booking among pregnant women in Ndola District, Zambia. *Afr J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2016;10(4):169–78.
38. Fulpagare PH, Saraswat A, Dinachandra K, Surani N, Parhi RN, Bhattacharjee S, et al. Antenatal care service utilization among adolescent pregnant women—evidence from Swabhimaan programme in India. *Front Public Health*. 2019;7:369.


39. Gross K, Alba S, Glass TR, Schellenberg JA, Obrist B. Timing of antenatal care for adolescent and adult pregnant women in South-Eastern Tanzania. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2012;12(1):16.
40. Hussien SH, Melese ES, Dembelu MG. Timely initiation of first antenatal care visit of pregnant women attending antenatal care service. *J Women's Health Care*. 2016;5(346):2167-0420.
41. Yezengaw TY. Late initiation of antenatal care and associated factors among antenatal care attendees in governmental health centers of Harar Town, Ethiopia. 2022.
42. Simkhada B, Teijlingen ERV, Porter M, Simkhada P. Factors affecting the utilization of antenatal care in developing countries: a systematic review of the literature. *J Adv Nurs*. 2008;61(3):244–260. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04532.x
43. Ayalew TW, Nigatu AM. Focused antenatal care utilization and associated factors in Debre Tabor Town, Northwest Ethiopia, 2017. *BMC Res Notes*. 2018;11(1):1–6. doi: 10.1186/s13104-018-3928-y
44. Tsegaye B, Ayalew M. Prevalence and factors associated with antenatal care utilization in Ethiopia: an evidence from demographic health survey 2016. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2020;20(1):1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12884-020-03236-9
45. Yeneneh A, Alemu K, Dadi AF, Alamirrew A. Spatial distribution of antenatal care utilization and associated factors in Ethiopia: evidence from Ethiopian demographic health surveys. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18(1):1–12. doi: 10.1186/s12884-018-1874-2
46. Banda PC, Odimegwu CO, Ntoimo LF, Muchiri E. Women at risk: gender inequality and maternal health. *Women Health*. 2017;57(4):405–429. doi: 10.1080/03630242.2016.1170092
47. Ragetlie R, Sano Y, Antabe R, Luginaah I. Married women's experiences of intimate partner violence and utilization of antenatal health care in Togo. *Sex Reprod Healthc*. 2020; 23:100482. doi: 10.1016/j.srhc.2019.100482









Description of sample derivation criteria



Trends of antenatal care attendance (less than 8 ANC s



Quality of antenatal care offered between 2007 and 2018



Shows the geospatial distribution of mothers with ANC visits less