medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

RUNNING HEAD: Intergenerational transmission and methylation

Intergenerational transmission of complex traits and the offspring methylome

Fiona A. Hagenbeek, PhD[1,2,3,*], René Pool, PhD[1,2], Austin J. Van Asselt, BSc[4], Erik A. Ehli, PhD[4], Meike Bartels, PhD[1,2], Jouke Jan Hottenga, PhD[1,2], Conor V. Dolan, PhD[1,2], Jenny van Dongen, PhD[1,2,5,¥], Dorret I. Boomsma, PhD[1,2,5,¥]

[1]Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

[2]Amsterdam Public Health (APH) research institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

[3]Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

[4]Avera McKennan Hospital, University Health Center, Sioux Falls, SD, USA.

[5]Amsterdam Reproduction & Development (AR&D) research institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

[¥]These authors share last authorship.

*Correspondence: Fiona A. Hagenbeek (fiona.hagenbeek@helsinki.fi), Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Tukholmankatu 8, 00290, Helsinki, Finland.

Word count: 3,990

N tables: 2, N figures: 2

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

The genetic makeup of parents can directly or indirectly affect their offspring phenome through genetic transmission or via the environment that is influenced by parental heritable traits. Our understanding of the mechanisms by which indirect genetic effects operate is limited. Here, we hypothesize that one mechanism is via the offspring methylome. To test this hypothesis polygenic scores (PGSs) for schizophrenia, smoking initiation, educational attainment (EA), social deprivation, body mass index (BMI), and height were analyzed in a cohort of 1,528 offspring and their parents (51.5% boys, mean [*SD*] age = 10 [2.8] years). We modelled parent and offspring PGSs on offspring DNA methylation, accounting for the own PGS of offspring, and found significant associations between parental PGSs for schizophrenia, EA, BMI, and height, and offspring methylation sites, comprising 16, 2, 1, and 6 sites, respectively (alpha = 2.7×10^{-5}). More DNA methylation sites were associated with maternal than paternal PGSs, possibly reflecting the maternal pre- or periconceptional environment during critical embryonic development.

Keywords: Intergenerational transmission, DNA methylation, polygenic scores, genetic nurture, gene-environment correlation, complex human traits

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Phenotypic resemblance among parents and their biological offspring can be due to both genetic and environmental influences, with the genetic contribution to phenotypic resemblance manifesting through different sources. The first source applies to all heritable phenotypes: the Mendelian transmission of parental alleles to their offspring, resulting in parents and offspring sharing 50% of their autosomal alleles. A second source is heritable parental behaviors, which shape the environment of the offspring. Here, the effect of parental genotype on offspring phenotypes is mediated by parental traits and behavior, contributing to the offspring environment. This source is denoted “genetic nurture”, because it implies that nurture – the totality of external factors after conception influenced or created by parents – may have a genetic component [1, 2]. Hence, genetic nurture arises from the influence of a heritable parental phenotype on the phenotype of their offspring, which is also known as vertical transmission [3]. Additional forms of indirect genetic effects can encompass other forms of cultural transmission, such as, horizontal transmission between individuals of the same generation, such as siblings [4, 5], and ecological inheritance, involving the transmission of inherited resources and conditions to descendants through niche construction [6].

Several designs can resolve direct genetic effects (the influence of genotype of the offspring on own phenotype) and indirect genetic effects (the above-mentioned genetic nurture). These include multi-generation twin-family designs that infer genetic effects from phenotypic resemblance among family members [7, 8], designs based on measured genetic information, e.g., polygenic scores (PGSs) [1, 2, 9, 10], or designs that combine these two [11]. Using PGS designs, robust evidence for genetic nurture effects in offspring educational attainment (EA) has been found [1, 2, 12].

The mechanism through which direct genetic effects (Mendelian inheritance) contribute to variation in human traits is complex, involving a cascade of transcription and translation of genetic information [13]. Here, we propose to examine DNA methylation as one possible mechanism

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

through which both direct and indirect genetic effects may act. Epigenetic mechanisms such as DNA methylation modulate gene expression [14], but are themselves subject to both genetic [15, 16] and environmental influences [17]. Animal studies have shown that early life environmental exposures like diet, trauma, and social deprivation can induce epigenetic reprogramming in cells. For example, a classic study of rats found that the quality of maternal care early in life leads to epigenetic alterations that affect the behavior of offspring into adulthood [18]. Offspring raised by (non-biological) less-nurturing mothers exhibit increased anxiety as adults, which is attributed to enduring changes in DNA methylation in the brain. This study was based on cross-fostering experiments in which pups born to calm biological dams were raised by anxious adoptive dams, and vice versa, to separate the effect of the environment provided by the mother from genetic transmission [18].

In humans, it is more challenging to disentangle the influence of direct genetic transmission and indirect genetic effects that operate via the environment created by parents. Comprehensive reviews of childhood psychological adversity and DNA methylation indicated that childhood maltreatment and other adversities are associated with differential DNA methylation but called for further study of the impacts of childhood experiences and the effects of genetic transmission of parental psychopathology risk [19–21]. In the present study, we propose an approach to disentangle the influence of direct genetic transmission and indirect genetic effects that operate via the environment created on DNA methylation, by modelling the effects of parental PGSs and offspring PGSs on the offspring DNA methylation profile [9, 10]. Finding an effect of parental PGSs, in addition to the effect of the offspring's own PGS, would support of the hypothesis that genetic nurture acts through the offspring methylome. We obtained parental and offspring PGSs for six traits: schizophrenia, smoking initiation, EA, social deprivation, body mass index (BMI), and height in nuclear twin families. We focused on schizophrenia, EA, BMI, and height because they have high powered genome-wide association studies (GWAS). We focused on smoking because it evinces a strong effect of DNA methylation based on prior epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS). We focused on social deprivation because it is associated with a strong theoretical hypothesis of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

mediation via epigenetic mechanisms [22]. EWAS have revealed widespread associations of DNA methylation and schizophrenia [23, 24], smoking [25, 26], and BMI [27, 28]. PGSs for these traits have been associated with DNA methylation [23, 29–31]. However, to date no studies have undertaken to separate direct from indirect genetic effects on DNA methylation in offspring, which requires the PGSs of the offspring and the offspring's parents.

In our study, the epigenetic data were available for 1,528 young twins (51.5% boys, mean [*SD*] age = 10 [2.8] years). DNA samples for genotyping and polygenic scoring were available for both children and their parents. DNA methylation was measured by the Illumina Infinium EPIC array, which measures DNA methylation at approximately 850K sites. To reduce multiple testing burden, we selected the top 10% most variable probes (72,889). We have shown in an independent sample of adult Dutch twins that the most variable probes are the most reliable across time, tissue, and platform [32]. DNA methylation levels in offspring were regressed on offspring, maternal, and paternal PGSs by linear regression, while correcting for familial clustering.

Materials and methods

Study population and procedures

The collection of DNA samples in offspring and their parents was done at home from buccal swabs. Families were recruited from the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) [33] and the majority took part in the ACTION Biomarker Study [34, 35]. We first collected data in a pilot study to assess the suitability of the Infinium EPIC array for buccal-derived DNA samples [36] in 96 monozygotic (MZ) twins (47 complete pairs, 56.2% boys, mean [*SD*] (range) age at DNA collection 7.4 [2.4] (1-10) years). Next, 1,141 twins (523 complete pairs, 52.6% boys, mean [*SD*] (range) age at DNA collection = 9.6 [1.9] (5.6-12.9) years) and their parents were included in the main ACTION Biomarker Study [37]. A third dataset included additional twins and siblings in the ACTION Biomarker Study, and MZ twins and triplets from the NTR, totaling 291 children of 1 to 18 years of age (45.7% boys, mean [*SD*] age at DNA collection = 12.1 [4.2] years). DNA methylation data and offspring PGSs were available for all

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

offspring, maternal PGSs were available for 92.1% and paternal PGSs for 81.1% of the offspring, with parental PGS of both biological parents available for 78.3% of the offspring (**Supplementary Table 1**). All families were of European ancestry.

Parents gave written informed consent for their own and their offspring's participation. The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. The ACTION project was assessed by the Central Ethics Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects of the VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam (NTR 03-180, NTR 25th of May 2007, ACTION 2013/41 and 2014.252), an Institutional Review Board certified by the U.S. Office of Human Research Protections (IRB number IRB00002991 under Federal-wide Assurance FWA00017598).

Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip

DNA methylation in offspring was assessed with the Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip Kit, following the manufacturer's specification (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) [38]. A total of 500 ng of genomic DNA from buccal swabs were bisulfite-treated using the ZymoResearch EZ DNA Methylation kit (Zymo Research Corp, Irvine, CA, USA). Datasets 1 and 3 were generated at the Avera McKennan Hospital (Sioux Falls, SD, USA), and dataset 2 at the Human Genotyping Facility (HugeF) of ErasmusMC (Rotterdam, the Netherlands; http://www.glimdna.org/).

As previously described [36, 39], quality control (QC) and normalization of the methylation data were performed with the pipelines developed by the Biobank-based Integrative Omics Study (BIOS) consortium [40]. The probe β-values represent the ratio of the methylated signal intensity to total signal intensity (methylated plus unmethylated) at a given CpG site and can range from 0 (unmethylated) to 1 (fully methylated). Only samples that passed all five quality criteria of MethylAid were retained [41]. In addition, these samples were characterized by correct genetic relationships among the participants (omicsPrint) [42] and the absence of sex mismatches (DNAmArray and meffil) [43]. Functional normalization was performed with the dataset-specific optimum number of principal components. Methylation probes were coded as missing, if they had an intensity value of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

zero, bead count < 3, or detection p-value > 0.01. A probe was excluded if it overlapped with a SNP or Insertion/Deletion (INDEL), mapped to multiple locations in the genome, or had a success rate < 0.95 across all samples. Sample QC, probe filtering, normalization, and imputation of missing values were done within the three datasets separately. Of 865,859 sites on the array, 789,888 sites, 787,711 sites, and 734,807 sites were retained after QC in datasets 1, 2, and 3, respectively, and we retained the 728,899 overlapping sites. Cellular proportions were predicted in epithelial tissues based on the cell-type deconvolution algorithm Hierarchical Epigenetic Dissection of Intra-Sample-Heterogeneity (HepiDISH) with the reduced partial correlation [44]. After QC, we removed all cross-reactive probes, probes in SNPs or on the X or Y chromosome and then imputed missing methylation β-values (up to 5%; probes with higher missingness were excluded) with the imputePCA() function (missMDA R in the BIOS pipeline). Next, we removed methylation outliers (range: 291-505) that exceeded three times the interquartile range (ewaff R) [45] in each dataset. We then combined the imputed methylation β-values from all three datasets and obtained residual methylation levels by regressing the effects of sex, age, percentages of epithelial and natural killer cells, EPIC array row, and bisulfite sample plate. The top 10% most variable residualized methylation sites (72,889) were retained for further analysis (**Supplementary Figure 1**, **Supplementary Table 2**).

Genotyping and calculation of polygenic scores

Genotyping was done according to the manufacturer's protocols in 3,124 NTR samples on multiple genotyping platforms. Sample and SNP QC was performed per genotyping platform (build 37) for all NTR individuals. Genotype data were imputed to 1000 genomes phase 3 (v.5) [REF] and a combined HRC 1.1 (EGA version) [46, 47] and GONL (v.4) [48] reference panel. The first was used for calculation of genetic principal components (PCs) and the second for calculation of PGSs. More details on QC and imputation are included in **Supplementary Note 1** and on calculation of genetic PCs in **Supplementary Note 2**.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Parental and offspring PGSs for schizophrenia [49], smoking initiation [50], educational attainment [51], social deprivation [52], BMI [53],and height [53] were calculated based on GWASs, that all omitted NTR from the discovery (meta-)analysis. Before generating the PGSs, QC steps involved removing SNPs with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) p-values below 0.00001, Mendelian error rates above 1%, genotype call rate below 98%, effect allele frequencies below 0.01 and above 0.99, imputation info below 0.10 and SNPs showing over 2% differences in allele frequencies between genotyping platforms. We retained 7,086,504 (schizophrenia), 6,982,922 (smoking initiation), 7,118,026 (EA), 6,997,217 (social deprivation), 2,220,360 (BMI), and 2,206,961 (height) SNPs.

LD weighted betas were calculated from the processed summary statistics in the LDpred package (v.0.9) to correct for the effects of LD, and to maximize predictive accuracy of the PGS [54]. We randomly selected 2,500 individuals unrelated to the 2nd degree from the NTR as a reference population to obtain LD patterns. Weights to obtain the PGSs in PLINK2 (--score) [55] were calculated with an LD pruning window of 250 KB and the infinitesimal prior (LDpred-inf). We calculated the offspring, maternal, and paternal PGS for the 6 traits (18 PGSs total). Two dummy coded genotyping platforms and the first 10 genetic PCs were regressed on the PGSs, and the standardized residuals were analyzed. If maternal or paternal polygenic scores were missing, we assigned the parental PGS the value zero [2] ($N_{dataset1}$ = 31; $N_{dataset2}$ = 213; $N_{dataset3}$ = 87).

Analyses

As shown in Okbay et al. (2022) [9] (see also **Supplementary Note 3**), models that include the PGSs from offspring and two parents provide estimates of direct and indirect genetic effects, and allow for differences in maternal and paternal indirect genetic effects [9, 10].

The direct and indirect genetic effects were estimated by fitting the following regression model:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \delta PGS_{ij} + \alpha_m PGS_{m(i)} + \alpha_p PGS_{p(i)} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where Y_{ij} is the residualized methylation probe β-value, and i and j index family and individual; μ is the intercept, δ is the direct effect of the offspring PGS; α_m captures the maternal indirect genetic effects; α_p captures the paternal indirect genetic effects; $PGS_{m(i)}$ and $PGS_{p(i)}$ are the PGSs of the mother and father in family i; and ε_{ij} is a residual term.

We extended equation 1 by including maternal, paternal, and offspring PGS for multiple traits. This allows for the unbiased estimation of the direct and indirect genetic effects for a given complex trait, while accounting for direct and indirect effects on all other traits in the model.

The direct and indirect genetic effects for multiple traits are modelled as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \delta PGS_{ij}^{trait\ 1} + \alpha_m PGS_{m(i)}^{trait\ 1} + \alpha_p PGS_{p(i)}^{trait\ 1} + \ldots + \delta PGS_{ij}^{trait\ N} + \alpha_m PGS_{m(i)}^{trait\ N} + \alpha_p PGS_{p(i)}^{trait\ N} + \beta_1 Cov_{ij} + \beta_2 Cov_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (2)$$

where subscript trait 1 through trait N indicates the trait for which the polygenetic score was calculated and Cov_{ij} and Cov_{ij} indicate the DNA methylation dataset.

We tested the association between the 72,889 CpGs and the offspring, maternal, and paternal PGSs for the six traits, i.e., 18 predictors, using Generalized Estimation Equation (GEE) regression modeling to correct the standard errors for family clustering of individuals [56]. All analyses were carried out in R (version 4.2.2) [57]. We used the R package 'gee' (version 4.13-26) [58]. A Bonferroni correction was applied for the number of independent DNA methylation probes tested (α = 0.05/N independent variables), following the procedure of Nyholt (2004) [59], where the number of independent tests is determined by Matrix Spectral Decomposition (MSD) of the imputed CpGs. The correlated CpGs could be reduced to 1,850 independent linear combinations of the probes and we set the significance level to (0.05/1,850) = 2.7×10^{-5}. When analyzing the 72,889 CpGs, the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

regression model for 192 CpGs did not converge. We present the results for the remaining 72,697 successful analyses.

Significant CpGs from these analyses were followed up in 3 analyses. First, we queried the EWAS Atlas database to identify with which traits these CpGs had been previously associated [60]. Second, we queried the GoDMC database (http://mqtldb.godmc.org.uk/) for associated blood *cis* and *trans* methylation quantitative trait loci (mQTLs) for these CpGs [15] and examined if the identified mQTLs were mapped to genome-wide significant ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) SNPs for the respective traits. Third, we investigated whether the DNA methylation levels in buccal cells and prefrontal cortex were significantly correlated (Spearman rank correlations at False Discovery Rate (FDR) $q < 0.05$) in a published dataset of matched post-mortem samples ($N = 120$) [61]. Last, we performed trait enrichment analyses of the top 100 most significant sites identified in the mega-analysis for each PGS and complex trait in the EWAS Atlas.

Results

Participant characteristics are described in **Table 1**. We analyzed data from 1,528 offspring and their parents (51.5% boys, mean [SD] age = 10 [2.8] years) to test the simultaneous association of offspring, maternal, and paternal PGSs for six complex traits with 72,697 buccal DNA methylation sites in offspring. The correlations between PGSs of different traits ranged from -0.29 and 0.23 (mean = -0.004, median = -0.01), with the strongest correlation observed between the Maternal PGSs of smoking initiation and EA (**Figure 1**). We accounted for these correlations by fitting the PGSs of all traits simultaneously in one model. The correlations between the offspring and parental PGSs for the same trait were approximately 0.5 as expected (range = 0.43-0.53, mean = 0.48, median = 0.47), while correlations between parental PGSs for the same trait were on average 0.04 (median = 0.04, range = -0.02-0.10).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

We observed independent significant associations between offspring methylation sites and maternal PGSs for schizophrenia (15), height (2), and BMI (1), and paternal PGSs for schizophrenia (1), EA (2), and height (4), (**Figure 2**, **Table 2**). The own offspring PGSs for schizophrenia, EA, and height showed associations with 21, 3, and 2 offspring methylation sites, respectively. None of the CpGs associated with maternal, paternal, or offspring PGSs overlapped. Thus, in total, 25 and 26 significant CpG sites ($p < 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$, Bonferroni correction for 1,850 tests) were associated with indirect and direct genetic effects across the six complex traits, respectively (**Supplementary Figures 2-7**, **Supplementary Table 3**).

The query of the EWAS Atlas database [60] for the 37 CpGs associated with direct or indirect genetic effects for schizophrenia showed that 12 CpGs (5 associated with the maternal and 7 with the offspring PGS) had known trait associations, though not with schizophrenia or other mental health traits (**Table 2**). Similarly, 3 out of the 5 CpGs associated with paternal (2) or offspring (3) PGSs for EA and 3 out of the 8 CpGs associated with maternal (2), paternal (4), or offspring (2) PGSs for height were included in the EWAS Atlas but showed no previous associations with the respective or a related complex trait. Trait enrichment analyses for the top 100 CpGs associated with offspring and parental PGSs for all six complex traits showed enrichment for 5 to 18 traits per PGS (mean = 10.2, median = 10) with on average 2.2 CpGs associated per trait (range = 1-7, median = 2, **Supplementary Table 4**). Notably, many of the enriched traits are phenotypically associated with the relevant PGSs, such as enrichment for bipolar and major depressive disorder and maternal hypertensive disorders in pregnancy for CpGs associated with maternal indirect genetic effects for schizophrenia and household socioeconomic status in childhood for CpGs with direct and indirect genetic effects for schizophrenia.

A query of the GoDMC blood mQTL database [15] with the 51 significant CpGs identified 3,237 *cis* mQTLs for 16 CpGs (mean = 202.3 mQTLs per associated CpG, median = 170.5) associated with schizophrenia (13 CpGs), EA (1), and height (2), with roughly half (1,639/3,237) of the mQTLs

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

associated with indirect genetic effect CpGs (**Table 2**, **Supplementary Table 5**). Of the 164 mQTLs associated with cg01633359 (paternal PGS for height) and 216 of the mQTLs associated with cg03480605 (offspring PGS for height) 56 and 17, respectively, had previously been associated with height at genome-wide significant levels ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, **Table 2**, **Supplementary Figure 8**, **Supplementary Table 6**) [53]. None of the mQTLs for the schizophrenia and EA associated CpGs were previously found to be significantly associated with their respective traits at genome-wide significant levels [49, 51].

We looked at CpGs significantly associated with direct or indirect genetic effects in a published dataset ($N = 120$) of correlations between DNA methylation levels in buccal cells and the prefrontal cortex [61]. One CpG associated with the maternal PGS for schizophrenia (cg09163778, $r = 0.31$, $p = 5.86 \times 10^{-4}$), 2 CPGs associated with the offspring PGS for schizophrenia (cg02530860, $r = 0.67$, $p = 7.51 \times 10^{-17}$, cg01229327, $r = 0.49$, $p = 1.91 \times 10^{-8}$), and one CpG associated with the paternal PGS for height (cg01633359, $r = 0.29$, $p = 1.44 \times 10^{-3}$) showed significantly (FDR $q < 0.05$) correlated DNA methylation levels between buccal cells and the prefrontal cortex (**Supplementary Table 7**).

Discussion

We investigated direct and indirect genetic influences on offspring DNA methylation for schizophrenia, smoking initiation, EA, social deprivation, BMI, and height in a cohort of 1,528 children and their parents. We identified 37 CpGs significantly associated with schizophrenia, 5 with EA, 1 with BMI, and 8 with height. None of the identified CpGs overlapped between polygenic scores. We found the strongest genetic nurture effect for schizophrenia. Of the schizophrenia associated CpGs, 43% (16 CpGs, 93.7% maternal) showed an association with parental PGSs. Overall, the maternal genetic nurture effect for schizophrenia (15 CpGs) on offspring DNA methylation represented 83.3% of all maternal genetic nurture effects (18 CpGs) and 58% of all genetic nurture effects (25 CpGs).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

We identified 25 CpGs associated with parental PGSs. These results strongly suggest that one mechanism for genetic nurture is via the offspring methylome. Of these, 18 CpGs were specific to associations with maternal PGSs, and 7 were specific to paternal PGSs, suggesting that genetically driven paternal behaviors have a smaller impact on offspring DNA methylation. The larger number of CpGs associated with maternal PGSs for schizophrenia (15/16 CpGs) is consistent with a stronger influence of genetic nurture effects on offspring DNA methylation in utero. This aligns with the "Developmental Origins of Health and Disease" (DOHad) hypothesis, that prenatal environmental factors have long-term effects through epigenetic mechanisms [62]. Unfavorable intrauterine conditions leading to poor fetal growth are particularly relevant to the DOHaD hypothesis [63, 64]. Observational studies indicate that the intrauterine environment is influenced by maternal height and weight, and both direct and maternal indirect genetic effects affect offspring birth length, weight, and gestational age [65, 66]. The CpGs associated with maternal PGSs for height (2 CpGs) and BMI (1 CpG) may be involved in these processes. However, recent research suggests that the impact of intrauterine growth restriction on complex disease risk is limited, and that non-transmitted maternal genetic factors for birth weight do not contribute to offspring disease risk beyond genetic pleiotropy with offspring genetic factors [67]. This aligns with our finding that the largest number of findings for non-transmitted maternal genetic factors is not for body size but for schizophrenia.

We explored the literature whether the significant CpGs for each trait had been associated with the PGS for that trait and found that none of the CpGs significantly associated with direct or indirect genetic effects had been associated with polygenic scores for schizophrenia or BMI [23, 29–31]. These previous studies all comprised adults, whereas our study focused on children. The previous studies on schizophrenia mainly comprised adult patient populations focused on DNA methylation in blood or post-mortem brain samples (*N* range = 88-847). DNA methylation is often tissue specific and despite identifying blood *cis* mQTLs for approximately one-third of the CpGs associated with direct and indirect genetic effects, some *cis* mQTLs are not found in blood. However,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

given the high (71%) concordance between blood and buccal *cis* mQTLs, we expect to have identified most *cis* mQTLs [68]. None of the identified CpGs were associated with *trans* mQTLs. Three CpGs associated with schizophrenia PGSs (1 maternal, 2 offspring) and one CpG associated with paternal PGS for height showed correlated methylation levels between buccal cells and prefrontal cortex brain samples [61].

This study is the first to investigate how indirect genetic effects influence DNA methylation in offspring. It also explored the direct genetic effects on DNA methylation in children. We analyzed data from 1,528 children and their parents. Most children were related to at least one other person in the sample, such as their co-twin and thus the effective sample size is smaller than 1,528. Given the relatively small sample size, we took three measures to reduce the multiple testing burden. First, we focused on the top 10% most variable CpGs, which have been shown to be the most reliable [32]. Second, we adjusted our multiple testing threshold based on the number of independent CpGs, considering the high correlations between them. Third, our approach of modeling multiple complex traits simultaneously allowed us to avoid correcting for the number of traits investigated, which would have been necessary in a separate modeling strategy. Despite these considerations, our application highlights its potential to investigate epigenetic mechanisms of indirect genetic effects and encourages testing in large family cohorts, across different tissues, and populations.

In summary, we found support for the hypothesis that indirect genetic effects are associated with DNA methylation in offspring. By simultaneously modelling multiple complex traits, we found robust associations of indirect genetic effects on offspring DNA methylation for schizophrenia, EA, BMI, and height, but not smoking initiation and social deprivation. Most of these associations were discovered in relation to maternal PGSs, consistent with the interpretation that the prenatal environment influences the DNA methylation of offspring during embryonic development.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Declarations

Acknowledgments

The Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) warmly thanks all twin families for their participation and are grateful to all researchers involved in the data collection for the ACTION Biomarker Study. The current work is supported by the Consortium on Individual Development (CID) and the "Aggression in Children: Unraveling gene-environment interplay to inform Treatment and InterventiON strategies" project (ACTION). CID is funded through the Gravitation Program of the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture, and Science and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO grant number 024-001-003). ACTION received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 602768. M.B. is supported by an ERC consolidator grant (WELL-BEING 771057, PI Bartels) and NWO VICI grant (VI.C.2111.054, PI Bartels). J.D. acknowledges the NWO-funded X-omics project (184.034.019) and D.I.B. the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences Professor Award (PAH/6635).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, F.A.H., R.P., J.J.H., C.V.D., J.D. and D.I.B.; methodology, C.V.D; formal analysis, F.A.H. and R.P.; investigation, F.A.H., M.B. and D.I.B.; resources, R.P., A.J.V.A., E.A.E., J.J.H. and J.D.; writing—original draft preparation, F.A.H., R.P., J.J.H., C.V.D., J.D. and D.I.B.; writing—review and editing, F.A.H., R.P., A.J.V.A., M.B., J.J.H., C.V.D., J.D. and D.I.B.; visualization, F.A.H. and C.V.D.; supervision, J.D. and D.I.B.; funding acquisition, M.B. and D.I.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Availability of data and code

The standardized protocol for large scale collection of buccal-cell (and urine) samples in the home situation as developed for the ACTION Biomarker Study in children is available at https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.eq2ly7qkwlx9/v1. The data of the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) may be accessed, upon approval of the data access committee, through the NTR (https://ntr-data-request.psy.vu.nl/). The pipeline for DNA methylation–array analysis developed by the Biobank-based Integrative Omics Study (BIOS) consortium is available at https://molepi.github.io/DNAmArray_workflow/ (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3355292). All software used to perform the analyses are available online. All scripts used to run the analyses (empirical and simulated) are available at our GitHub (https://github.com/FionaAHagenbeek/MethylationPGS).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Bates TC, Maher BS, Medland SE, McAloney K, Wright MJ, Hansell NK, et al. The Nature of Nurture: Using a Virtual-Parent Design to Test Parenting Effects on Children's Educational Attainment in Genotyped Families. Twin Research and Human Genetics. 2018;21:73–83.
2. Kong A, Thorleifsson G, Frigge ML, Vilhjalmsson BJ, Young AI, Thorgeirsson TE, et al. The nature of nurture: Effects of parental genotypes. Science. 2018;359:424–428.
3. Balbona JV, Kim Y, Keller MC. Estimation of Parental Effects Using Polygenic Scores. Behav Genet. 2021;51:264–278.
4. Cavalli-Sforza LL, Feldman MW. Cultural transmission and evolution: a quantitative approach. Monogr Popul Biol. 1981;16:1–388.
5. Eaves L. A model for sibling effects in man. Heredity. 1976;36:205–214.
6. Odling-Smee J, Laland KN. Ecological Inheritance and Cultural Inheritance: What Are They and How Do They Differ? Biol Theory. 2011;6:220–230.
7. McAdams TA, Cheesman R, Ahmadzadeh YI. Annual Research Review: Towards a deeper understanding of nature and nurture: combining family-based quasi-experimental methods with genomic data. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2023;64:693–707.
8. Swagerman SC, van Bergen E, Dolan C, de Geus EJC, Koenis MMG, Hulshoff Pol HE, et al. Genetic transmission of reading ability. Brain and Language. 2017;172:3–8.
9. Okbay A, Wu Y, Wang N, Jayashankar H, Bennett M, Nehzati SM, et al. Polygenic prediction of educational attainment within and between families from genome-wide association analyses in 3 million individuals. Nat Genet. 2022;54:437–449.
10. Tubbs JD, Porsch RM, Cherny SS, Sham PC. The Genes We Inherit and Those We Don't: Maternal Genetic Nurture and Child BMI Trajectories. Behav Genet. 2020;50:310–319.
11. Bruins S, Dolan CV, Boomsma DI. The Power to Detect Cultural Transmission in the Nuclear Twin Family Design With and Without Polygenic Risk Scores and in the Transmitted–Nontransmitted (Alleles) Design. Twin Res Hum Genet. 2020;23:265–270.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

12. Wang B, Baldwin JR, Schoeler T, Cheesman R, Barkhuizen W, Dudbridge F, et al. Robust genetic nurture effects on education: A systematic review and meta-analysis based on 38,654 families across 8 cohorts. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2021;108:1780–1791.

13. Buescher JM, Driggers EM. Integration of omics: more than the sum of its parts. Cancer & Metabolism. 2016;4:4.

14. Carlberg C, Molnár F. What Is Epigenomics? In: Carlberg C, Molnár F, editors. Human Epigenomics, Singapore: Springer; 2018. p. 3–18.

15. Min JL, Hemani G, Hannon E, Dekkers KF, Castillo-Fernandez J, Luijk R, et al. Genomic and phenotypic insights from an atlas of genetic effects on DNA methylation. Nat Genet. 2021;53:1311–1321.

16. van Dongen J, Nivard MG, Willemsen G, Hottenga J-J, Helmer Q, Dolan CV, et al. Genetic and environmental influences interact with age and sex in shaping the human methylome. Nat Commun. 2016;7:11115.

17. Zhou FC, Resendiz M, Lo C-L. Chapter 31 - Environmental Influence of Epigenetics. In: Tollefsbol TO, editor. Handbook of Epigenetics (Second Edition), Academic Press; 2017. p. 477–494.

18. Weaver ICG, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, D'Alessio AC, Sharma S, Seckl JR, et al. Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. Nat Neurosci. 2004;7:847–854.

19. Cecil CAM, Zhang Y, Nolte T. Childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation: A systematic review. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2020;112:392–409.

20. Parade SH, Huffhines L, Daniels TE, Stroud LR, Nugent NR, Tyrka AR. A systematic review of childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation: candidate gene and epigenome-wide approaches. Transl Psychiatry. 2021;11:1–33.

21. Rubens M, Bruenig D, Adams JAM, Suresh SM, Sathyanarayanan A, Haslam D, et al. Childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation: A systematic review. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2023;147:105079.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

22. Szyf M, McGowan P, Meaney MJ. The social environment and the epigenome. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis. 2008;49:46–60.

23. Hannon E, Dempster E, Viana J, Burrage J, Smith AR, Macdonald R, et al. An integrated genetic-epigenetic analysis of schizophrenia: evidence for co-localization of genetic associations and differential DNA methylation. Genome Biology. 2016;17:176.

24. Montano C, Taub MA, Jaffe A, Briem E, Feinberg JI, Trygvadottir R, et al. Association of DNA Methylation Differences With Schizophrenia in an Epigenome-Wide Association Study. JAMA Psychiatry. 2016;73:506–514.

25. Christiansen C, Castillo-Fernandez JE, Domingo-Relloso A, Zhao W, El-Sayed Moustafa JS, Tsai P-C, et al. Novel DNA methylation signatures of tobacco smoking with trans-ethnic effects. Clinical Epigenetics. 2021;13:36.

26. van Dongen J, Willemsen G, BIOS Consortium, de Geus EJ, Boomsma DI, Neale MC. Effects of smoking on genome-wide DNA methylation profiles: A study of discordant and concordant monozygotic twin pairs. eLife. 2023;12:e83286.

27. Dick KJ, Nelson CP, Tsaprouni L, Sandling JK, Aïssi D, Wahl S, et al. DNA methylation and body-mass index: a genome-wide analysis. The Lancet. 2014;383:1990–1998.

28. Vehmeijer FOL, Küpers LK, Sharp GC, Salas LA, Lent S, Jima DD, et al. DNA methylation and body mass index from birth to adolescence: meta-analyses of epigenome-wide association studies. Genome Medicine. 2020;12:105.

29. Kiltschewskij DJ, Reay WR, Geaghan MP, Atkins JR, Xavier A, Zhang X, et al. Alteration of DNA Methylation and Epigenetic Scores Associated With Features of Schizophrenia and Common Variant Genetic Risk. Biological Psychiatry. 2023. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2023.07.010.

30. Viana J, Hannon E, Dempster E, Pidsley R, Macdonald R, Knox O, et al. Schizophrenia-associated methylomic variation: molecular signatures of disease and polygenic risk burden across multiple brain regions. Human Molecular Genetics. 2017;26:210–225.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

31. Yu C, Hodge AM, Wong EM, Joo JE, Makalic E, Schmidt DF, et al. Does genetic predisposition modify the effect of lifestyle-related factors on DNA methylation? Epigenetics. 2022;17:1838–1847.

32. Van Asselt AJ, Beck JJ, Finnicum CT, Johnson BN, Kallsen N, Hottenga JJ, et al. Genome-Wide DNA Methylation Profiles in Whole-Blood and Buccal Samples—Cross-Sectional, Longitudinal, and across Platforms. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2023;24:14640.

33. Ligthart L, Beijsterveldt CEM van, Kevenaar ST, Zeeuw E de, Bergen E van, Bruins S, et al. The Netherlands Twin Register: Longitudinal Research Based on Twin and Twin-Family Designs. Twin Research and Human Genetics. 2019;22:623–636.

34. Bartels M, Hendriks A, Mauri M, Krapohl E, Whipp A, Bolhuis K, et al. Childhood aggression and the co-occurrence of behavioural and emotional problems: results across ages 3–16 years from multiple raters in six cohorts in the EU-ACTION project. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018;27:1105–1121.

35. Hagenbeek FA, Roetman PJ, Pool R, Kluft C, Harms AC, van Dongen J, et al. Urinary Amine and Organic Acid Metabolites Evaluated as Markers for Childhood Aggression: The ACTION Biomarker Study. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2020;11.

36. van Dongen J, Ehli EA, Jansen R, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Willemsen G, Hottenga JJ, et al. Genome-wide analysis of DNA methylation in buccal cells: a study of monozygotic twins and mQTLs. Epigenetics & Chromatin. 2018;11:54.

37. Hagenbeek FA, Dongen J van, Roetman PJ, Ehli EA, Bartels M, Vermeiren RRJM, et al. ACTION Biomarker Study. ProtocolsIo. 2023. March 2023. https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.eq2ly7qkwlx9/v1.

38. Moran S, Arribas C, Esteller M. Validation of a DNA methylation microarray for 850,000 CpG sites of the human genome enriched in enhancer sequences. Epigenomics. 2016;8:389–399.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

39. van Dongen J, Hagenbeek FA, Suderman M, Roetman PJ, Sugden K, Chiocchetti AG, et al. DNA methylation signatures of aggression and closely related constructs: A meta-analysis of epigenome-wide studies across the lifespan. Mol Psychiatry. 2021;26:2148–2162.

40. Sinke L, van Iterson M, Cats D, Slieker R, Heijmans B. DNAmArray: Streamlined workflow for the quality control, normalization, and analysis of Illumina methylation array data. 2019.

41. van Iterson M, Tobi EW, Slieker RC, den Hollander W, Luijk R, Slagboom PE, et al. MethylAid: visual and interactive quality control of large Illumina 450k datasets. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:3435–3437.

42. van Iterson M, Cats D, Hop P, BIOS Consortium, Heijmans BT. omicsPrint: detection of data linkage errors in multiple omics studies. Bioinformatics. 2018;34:2142–2143.

43. Min JL, Hemani G, Davey Smith G, Relton C, Suderman M. Meffil: efficient normalization and analysis of very large DNA methylation datasets. Bioinformatics. 2018;34:3983–3989.

44. Zheng SC, Webster AP, Dong D, Feber A, Graham DG, Sullivan R, et al. A novel cell-type deconvolution algorithm reveals substantial contamination by immune cells in saliva, buccal and cervix. Epigenomics. 2018;10:925–940.

45. Suderman, Matthew, Sharp, Gemma, Yousefi, Paul, Kupers, Leanne. perishky/ewaff: Efficient and Flexible EWAS version 0.0.2 from GitHub. 2019.

46. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, et al. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. Nat Genet. 2016;48:1279–1283.

47. The Haplotype Reference Consortium - EGA European Genome-Phenome Archive. https://ega-archive.org/studies/EGAS00001001710. Accessed 6 April 2023.

48. Boomsma DI, Wijmenga C, Slagboom EP, Swertz MA, Karssen LC, Abdellaoui A, et al. The Genome of the Netherlands: design, and project goals. Eur J Hum Genet. 2014;22:221–227.

49. Trubetskoy V, Pardiñas AF, Qi T, Panagiotaropoulou G, Awasthi S, Bigdeli TB, et al. Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. Nature. 2022;604:502–508.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

50. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. Nat Genet. 2019;51:237–244.

51. Lee JJ, Wedow R, Okbay A, Kong E, Maghzian O, Zacher M, et al. Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. Nat Genet. 2018;50:1112–1121.

52. Hill WD, Hagenaars SP, Marioni RE, Harris SE, Liewald DCM, Davies G, et al. Molecular Genetic Contributions to Social Deprivation and Household Income in UK Biobank. Current Biology. 2016;26:3083–3089.

53. Yengo L, Sidorenko J, Kemper KE, Zheng Z, Wood AR, Weedon MN, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for height and body mass index in ∼700000 individuals of European ancestry. Hum Mol Genet. 2018;27:3641–3649.

54. Vilhjálmsson BJ, Yang J, Finucane HK, Gusev A, Lindström S, Ripke S, et al. Modeling Linkage Disequilibrium Increases Accuracy of Polygenic Risk Scores. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2015;97:576–592.

55. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience. 2015;4:s13742-015-0047–0048.

56. Rogers P, Stoner J. Modification of the Sandwich Estimator in Generalized Estimating Equations with Correlated Binary Outcomes in Rare Event and Small Sample Settings. American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics. 2018;3:243–251.

57. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2022.

58. Carey VJ. gee: Generalized Estimation Equation Solver. 2022.

59. Nyholt DR. A Simple Correction for Multiple Testing for Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms in Linkage Disequilibrium with Each Other. Am J Hum Genet. 2004;74:765–769.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

60. Xiong Z, Yang F, Li M, Ma Y, Zhao W, Wang G, et al. EWAS Open Platform: integrated data, knowledge and toolkit for epigenome-wide association study. Nucleic Acids Research. 2022;50:D1004–D1009.

61. Sommerer Y, Ohlei O, Dobricic V, Oakley DH, Wesse T, Sedghpour Sabet S, et al. A correlation map of genome-wide DNA methylation patterns between paired human brain and buccal samples. Clinical Epigenetics. 2022;14:139.

62. Langley-Evans SC, McMullen S. Developmental Origins of Adult Disease. Medical Principles and Practice. 2010;19:87–98.

63. Barker DJP. Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. BMJ. 1995;311:171–174.

64. Barker DJP. Fetal origins of cardiovascular disease. Annals of Medicine. 1999;31:3–6.

65. Eaves LJ, Pourcain BSt, Smith GD, York TP, Evans DM. Resolving the Effects of Maternal and Offspring Genotype on Dyadic Outcomes in Genome Wide Complex Trait Analysis (“M-GCTA”). Behav Genet. 2014;44:445–455.

66. Zhang G, Bacelis J, Lengyel C, Teramo K, Hallman M, Helgeland Ø, et al. Assessing the Causal Relationship of Maternal Height on Birth Size and Gestational Age at Birth: A Mendelian Randomization Analysis. PLoS Med. 2015;12:e1001865.

67. Leinonen JT, Pirinen M, Tukiainen T. Disentangling the link between maternal influences on birth weight and disease risk in 36,211 genotyped mother–child pairs. Commun Biol. 2024;7:175.

68. Ohlei O, Sommerer Y, Dobricic V, Homann J, Deecke L, Schilling M, et al. Genome-wide QTL mapping across three tissues highlights several Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease loci potentially acting via DNA methylation. 2023:2023.12.22.23300365.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure legends

Figure 1. Pearson correlations among the offspring, maternal, and paternal polygenic scores for schizophrenia, smoking initiation, educational attainment, social deprivation, body mass index (BMI), and height.

Figure 2. Buccal CpGs significantly associated (alpha = 2.7×10^{-5}) with maternal (○), paternal (□), or offspring (Δ) polygenic scores for schizophrenia, educational attainment, body mass index (BMI), and height.

544 # Tables

545 **Table 1.** Demographics of the individuals included across the three buccal DNA methylation datasets in this study.

	MZ	**DZ**	**Triplets**	**Siblings**	**Total**[a]
N (complete twin pairs or trios)	1,170 (538)	209 (99)	34 (9)	115	1,528
Mean (*SD*) [range] age	9.8 (2.7) [1 – 18]	9.9 (1.7) [5.7 – 13]	7.7 (5.6) [2 - 17]	11.9 (2.6) [5 - 17]	10 (2.8) [1 - 18]
N (%) males	614 (52.5%)	101 (48.3%)	18 (52.9%)	54 (47%)	787 (51.5%)

546 [a] Parental polygenic scores for one or both parents were missing for 331 children, we assigned these parental PGS the value zero.

547 Note, MZ = monozygotic twins, DZ = dizygotic twins.

548

549 **Table 2.** Buccal CpGs significantly associated (alpha = 2.7×10^{-5}) with maternal, paternal, or offspring polygenic scores for schizophrenia, educational

550 attainment (EA), body mass index (BMI), and height.

PGS	CpG	CHR:BP[a]	Gene	mQTLs	SNPs	Complex traits
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg00974944	3:61649924	*PTPRG*			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg02039858	14:65828769				
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg03174043	2:20548412		185		fractional exhaled nitric oxide
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg03526788	8:130899478	*FAM49B*			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg04822154	8:124692680				
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg07980854	20:57586338		634		breast cancer; type 2 diabetes; atopy; psoriasis
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg09163778	6:31125417	*TCF19; CCHCR1*			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg10365572	5:141245930	*PCDH1*			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg12098069	13:42537172	*VWA8-AS1*			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg12501870	8:81803721		182		
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg12759387	1:27849177		177		systemic lupus erythematosus; polychlorinated biphenyls exposure
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg21028402	6:113932902		297		helicobacter pylori infection; oral squamous cell carcinoma
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg22280238	17:79251323	*SLC38A10*			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg26017484	1:3322309	*PRDM16*			adenoma
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg27277458	10:63597194				
Paternal Schizophrenia	cg02838980	16:10402487				
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg01229327	19:54311998	*NLRP12*			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg02530860	8:144371537		646		gender; sperm viability; assisted reproduction technology; infant sex; sexually dimorphic
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg03161422	4:175256045				
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg03836484	10:25349182	*ENKUR*			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg09950681	11:393392	*PKP3*			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg09967523	18:18943072	*GREB1L*			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg12381295	20:3880297	*PANK2*			gingivo-buccal oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC-GB)

PGS	CpG	CHR:BP[a]	Gene	mQTLs	SNPs	Complex traits
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg12614148	1:118325026		98		
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg12823387	1:214614656	*PTPN14*			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg15518113	1:167400121	*CD247*	105		Air pollution (NO2); adrenocortical carcinoma; smoking; multiple sclerosis;
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg15571646	2:238767351	*RAMP1*			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg16739178	16:85470674		50		papillary thyroid carcinoma; aging; BMI; Crohn's disease; Behcet's disease; maternal hypertensive disorders in pregnancy; gestational age; blood C-reactive protein level; inflammatory bowel disease
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg17727125	8:38441156				
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg20516845	21:43823604	*UBASH3A*	140		
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg20742175	20:57267357	*NPEPL1; STX16-NPEPL1*			high risk cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC)
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg20807751	9:84025287				
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg22889887	11:113433931		6		
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg23464619	13:95513506		50		hepatocellular carcinoma; colorectal laterally spreading tumor; inflammatory bowel disease; ulcerative colitis
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg24812103	8:101735630	*PABPC1*	244		prenatal arsenic exposure; gestational diabetes mellitus; inflammatory bowel disease
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg25607267	12:122362708	*WDR66*			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg27475979	16:89471039	*ANKRD11*			
Paternal EA	cg14576502	8:102962279	*NCALD*			recurrent adult-type IDH-mutant gliomas
Paternal EA	cg15336177	1:99369228	*LPPR5*			
Offspring EA	cg04975399	1:67822225	*IL12RB2*			fractional exhaled nitric oxide
Offspring EA	cg05083647	1:204897364	*NFASC*			
Offspring EA	cg06629713	2:60580559		43		primary Sjogren's Syndrome; obesity; Type 2 diabetes; asthma; atopy; Crohn's disease; fractional exhaled nitric oxide; hepatitis B virus-related liver disease progression
Maternal BMI	cg06565683	20:46817989				
Maternal Height	cg21894669	2:165379442	*GRB14*			
Maternal Height	cg22338356	8:48739161	*PRKDC*			Down Syndrome; Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder

PGS	CpG	CHR:BP[a]	Gene	mQTLs	SNPs	Complex traits
Paternal Height	cg01633359	5:33439359		164	56	
Paternal Height	cg03338154	6:41121714	TREML1			
Paternal Height	cg12738049	15:76509692	ETFA			
Paternal Height	cg23975250	22:44757380				sexually dimorphic
Offspring Height	cg02319662	4:108127694				
Offspring Height	cg03480605	7:151038446	NUB1	216	17	maternal smoking; obesity; oral squamous cell carcinoma

551 [a]CHR:BP = Chromosome base pair location in genome build 37. mQTLs = number of blood *cis* methylation quantitative trait loci (mQTLs) [15] associated with
552 the CpGs. SNPs = number of genome-wide significant ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) SNPs overlapping with blood *cis* mQTLs for the CpGs. Complex traits = complex traits
553 previously associated with the CpGs in the EWAS Atlas [60].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.15.24305824; this version posted April 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint
(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .




cg27475979
cg27277458
cg26017484
cg25607267
cg24812103
cg23975250
cg23464619
cg22889887
cg22338356
cg22280238
cg21894669
cg21028402
cg20807751
cg20742175
cg20516845
cg17727125
cg16739178
cg15571646
cg15518113
cg15336177
cg14576502
cg12823387
cg12759387
cg12738049
cg12614148
cg12501870
cg12381295
cg12098069
cg10365572
cg09967523
cg09950681
cg09163778
cg07980854
cg06629713
cg06565683
cg05083647
cg04975399
cg04822154
cg03836484
cg03526788
cg03480605
cg03338154
cg03174043
cg03161422
cg02838980
cg02530860
cg02319662
cg02039858
cg01633359
cg01229327
cg00974944
−0.050
−0.025
0.000
0.025
0.050
Beta 95% CI
BMI
Educational Attainment
Height
Schizophrenia
Smoking Initiation
Social Deprivation
Maternal
Offspring
Paternal