medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Title: Wastewater surveillance of antibiotic resistant bacteria for public health action: Potential and Challenges

Betsy Foxman, Elizabeth Salzman, Chelsie Gesierich, Sarah Gardner, Michelle Ammerman, Marisa Eisenberg, Krista Wigginton

Author Affiliations: Center for Molecular and Clinical Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States (Betsy Foxman, Elizabeth Salzman, Chelsie Gesierich, Sarah Gardner); Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States (Michelle Ammerman, Krista Wigginton); Departments of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Department of Mathematics and Center for the Study of Complex Systems, College of Literature, Sciences, and the Arts, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States (Marisa Eisenberg).

All authors contributed equally to the work.

Funding information: This work was supported by the Michigan Department of Health & Human Services through the Michigan Sequencing Academic Partnership for Public Health Innovation and Response (MI-SAPPHIRE) grant (S MA-2022 (ELCEDE-UM) 10/01/2021 – 07/31/2024, (BF mPI), and the wastewater surveillance program (SEWER network grant, KRW and MCE co-PIs). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Data-availability statement: The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and/or its supplementary materials.

Acknowledgements: Preprint information: posted on medRxiv (27June2024). The authors claim no conflicts.

Keywords: surveillance, antibiotic resistance, wastewater epidemiology

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Antibiotic resistance is an urgent public health threat. Actions to reduce this threat include requiring prescriptions for antibiotic use, antibiotic stewardship programs, educational programs targeting patients and healthcare providers, and limiting antibiotic use in agriculture, aquaculture, and animal husbandry. Wastewater surveillance might complement clinical surveillance by tracking time/space variation essential for detecting outbreaks and evaluating efficacy of evidence-based interventions; identifying high-risk populations for targeted monitoring; providing early warning of the emergence and spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria and identifying novel antibiotic resistant threats. Wastewater surveillance was an effective early warning system for SARS-CoV-2 spread and detection of the emergence of new viral strains. In this data-driven commentary we explore whether monitoring wastewater for antibiotic resistant genes and/or bacteria resistant to antibiotics might provide useful information for public health action. Using carbapenem resistance as an example, we highlight technical challenges associated with using wastewater to quantify temporal/spatial trends in antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARBs) and antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs) and compare with clinical information. While ARGs and ARBs are detectable in wastewater enabling early detection of novel ARGs, quantitation of ARBs and ARGs with current methods is too variable to reliably track space/time variation.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Background:

Wastewater surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 has proven benefit (1). Wastewater provides an estimate of the population prevalence of all SARS-CoV-2 cases - symptomatic and asymptomatic - and provides an early warning of the emergence and spread of new variants complementing data obtained from clinical surveillance. The value of wastewater surveillance has also been demonstrated for endemic diseases such as polio, influenza, norovirus and rotavirus (2–4). Biomarkers evaluating the amount of virus present in wastewater can be accurately quantified and normalized to account for the time-varying amount of human material in wastewater and the analytical recovery of the target from the wastewater. Wastewater surveillance provides timely, local information regarding the start of the respective seasons enabling implementation of vaccination (for influenza) and educational campaigns (for influenza, norovirus, and rotavirus) (5). For example, a recent study by Ammerman *et al*. used RT-ddPCR to evaluate wastewater surveillance of human norovirus (HuNoV GII); wastewater surveillance consistently coincided or lead syndromic surveillance for norovirus outbreaks. This finding suggests that wastewater surveillance is effective and able to inform public health interventions for human norovirus (6). Wastewater surveillance data for these viral pathogens are already available in local (e.g., https://um.wastewatermonitoring.dataepi.org) and national dashboards (e.g., https://data.wastewaterscan.org). The goal of this data-driven commentary is to assess whether wastewater surveillance for antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARBs) and antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs) will also provide useful information for public health action, complementing ongoing surveillance efforts.

Antibiotic resistance is an urgent public health threat. Each year in the United States more than 2.8 million antibiotic infections occur resulting in ~35,000 deaths (7). Among the 35 countries in the Americas, an estimated 2 out of every 5 infection deaths were associated with antibiotic resistant bacteria (8). Actions to reduce this threat include requiring prescriptions for antibiotic use, antibiotic stewardship programs, educational programs targeting patients and healthcare providers, and limiting antibiotic use in agriculture, aquaculture, and animal husbandry. Lacking are population estimates of antibiotic resistance that are independent of the healthcare system: many ARBs and ARGs can be detected in the feces or on the skin of healthy humans. Wastewater surveillance for antibiotic resistance is feasible: ARBs and ARGs are excreted from

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

feces, urine, nasal mucus, skin and sputum during all stages of infection and are detectable in wastewater (9). But to inform public health action, wastewater surveillance for ARBs and ARGs needs to provide information that complements ongoing clinical surveillance for antibiotic resistance, like the benefits that made wastewater surveillance for viruses valuable. This information would include: 1) establishing baseline levels which are essential for tracking time/space variation, detecting outbreaks and evaluating efficacy of evidence-based interventions; 2) identifying high-risk populations for targeted monitoring; 3) providing early warning of the emergence and spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria; and 4) identifying novel antibiotic resistant threats.

Extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) producing Enterobacteriaceae and carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) are of particular concern because ESBL destroy beta-lactam antibiotics (e.g., penicillin and penicillin derivatives), and carbapenems are one of the few antibiotics effective against ESBL bacteria. The Enterobacteriaceae family includes three nosocomial pathogens, *Enterococcus faecium, Klebsiella pneumoniae,* and *Enterobacter spp.*, that are often resistant to multiple antibiotics, and several common foodborne pathogens, including *Escherichia coli*, Shigella, and Salmonella that are increasingly antibiotic resistant. In 2019, Enterobacteriaceae producing ESBL and CRE resulted in 197,400 cases and 9,100 deaths and 13,100 cases and 1,100 deaths, respectively in the United States (7).

Relative to humans, Enterobacteriaceae have very short generation times. The generation time of *E. coli*, for example, may be as short as 15 minutes. Spontaneous mutations from errors during DNA replication or exposure to mutagens occur at a rate between 1 in 10 million to 1 in 1 billion base substitutions per nucleotide per generation, but higher mutation frequencies have been reported for *E. coli* and Salmonella (10). The short generation time and large population size (39 trillion bacteria cells in each human (11)) virtually ensure that with the continued use of a given antibiotic, one or more human bacterial pathogens will become resistant to that drug. Requiring prescriptions for antibiotic use, antibiotic stewardship programs, and educational programs targeting patients and healthcare providers can lengthen the time until resistance appears. However, these prevention strategies reduce but do not eliminate the effects of antibiotic contamination of the environment.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Before penicillin was commercially available, urine from treated patients was collected and penicillin re-extracted for re-use, because penicillin (and other antibiotics) is poorly metabolized by animals (12). Therefore, it follows that when antibiotics are used clinically, in aquaculture, and animal husbandry, antibiotics absorbed into the bloodstream are excreted renally (via the urine) or via the liver (via the feces). Antibiotics that are not absorbed are excreted in the feces. Thus, as wastewater moves from homes and businesses via the sewers towards a wastewater treatment plant, the presence of antibiotics and chemicals can induce horizontal transfer of genes for antibiotic resistance (13,14).As ARGs and ARBs have been detected in wastewater worldwide (15), there has been an increased interest in the potential to use wastewater to detect and quantify ARGs and ARBs as a basis for public health action (16). Surveillance of wastewater prior to wastewater treatment has the potential to complement ongoing clinical surveillance for antibiotic resistance as wastewater detects ARBs and ARGs present among community members regardless of health status or use of healthcare.

Whether this potential is reachable is yet to be determined. A 2022 systematic review of 48 articles noted that most studies focused only on clinically relevant pathogens of fecal origin, which tend to have higher loads in wastewater facilitating detection, and that the fates of each bacterial species and ARG within wastewater varied. The authors also underscored that ARGs are found in animal hosts, symbiotic bacteria, and environmental sources (17). Therefore, community baselines will need to be species specific and factor in variability due to non-human sources. In a systematic review and qualitative synthesis of 33 studies that used a variety of detection methods, including culture, PCR and metagenomics, Chau *et al*. described concordance between estimates of human ARB prevalence in wastewater and clinical estimates (18). The authors identified high concordance of targeted ARGs (primarily CTX-M beta-lactamases) detected in selected bacteria (most often *E. coli*) found in wastewater and clinical samples. The four studies using metagenomics did not have extractable data, but only two appeared to find an association between ARBs detected in wastewater and humans. Both reviews noted that best practices for sampling and testing remain to be developed and the need for longitudinal studies.

Should these limitations be overcome, wastewater surveillance also may be useful for tracking antibiotic resistant foodborne illness. Many foodborne related pathogens have antibiotic

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

resistance that contributes to the spread of antibiotic resistant genes (19). A significant subset of the three of the five commonly reported virulent foodborne pathogens—Salmonella (31%), *Campylobacter* (5%), and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (3%)—are antibiotic resistant (20). Currently, clinical surveillance in which health care providers submit reports to the Foodborne Disease Outbreak Surveillance System (FDOSS) (21) is the primary method for tracking foodborne illness. However, clinical surveillance often underestimates the true prevalence of foodborne illness and outbreaks often go undetected. Despite this underreporting, the Centers for Disease Control records 48 million illnesses, 128,000 hospitalizations, and 3,000 deaths that are reported each year in the United States (19). In principle, wastewater surveillance might lead to better estimates of the population burden of antibiotic resistant foodborne illnesses including the true case load, the temporality of outbreaks, and length of shedding (22). Further, improving surveillance for common foodborne-related pathogens would strengthen state and local health department capacity to investigate and report outbreaks, identify emerging food safety issues, and assess infection control interventions (21).

Given the ubiquity of foodborne bacteria in the environment and animals (including humans), it may be extremely difficult to implement wastewater surveillance to prospectively detect foodborne outbreaks (21,23). However, monitoring for foodborne bacteria with known genotypes (e.g., those with specific antibiotic resistances and virulence factors) may enable tracking of an ongoing foodborne outbreak and minimize false positives arising from commensal carriage, animal sources and foodwaste. Currently, whole genome sequencing is the most promising method for analyzing foodborne bacterial pathogens because it is the most specific and comprehensive method of identification and can link isolates from a similar source. Salmonella, *Campylobacter* colonize and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 colonize food and other animals; foodborne outbreaks often follow consumption of uncooked eggs, milk, undercooked meat from food animals, food items contaminated by food or other animal feces. However, even with whole genome sequencing we cannot determine the pathogen host, making it impossible to assess if a given pathogen is human related prior to an outbreak (24,25).

Research is ongoing to use next generation sequencing to detect foodborne pathogens in wastewater. The challenges include low sensitivity and false positive results at low

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

concentrations – problems which are likely to occur in wastewater samples (26). Additionally, time and resource consuming culturing methods must be in place to select for relevant pathogens before undergoing molecular and genomic characterization, which makes practical implementation for public health surveillance daunting (24). Real time detection technologies such as Nanopore Sequencing may be useful in overcoming this barrier, but further development and investigation is needed (27).

At the time of this writing, only two outbreaks of foodborne bacterial infections, both of salmonella, have been successfully surveilled in wastewater and reported in the literature. These two reports retrospectively detected two different salmonellosis outbreaks in Honolulu, Hawaii in wastewater samples from 2010-2011. Using genomic characterization, they were able to detect an increase in the serovar of salmonella related to an outbreak during that period. Additionally, they detected a resurgence of salmonella after the outbreak that clinical surveillance had missed (28,29). However, unlike viral pathogens, there are no reports of prospective wastewater surveillance being used to detect outbreaks of enteric bacterial pathogens.

In summary, ARBs and ARGs are detectable in wastewater but how to use that information for public health action is less clear. ARGs found in human pathogens also occur in bacteria found in animals and in the environment complicating source tracking. Variation in target material due to variability in flow rates and dilution make quantitation of colony counts from culture or gene copies from non-culture assessment difficult. There are few longitudinal assessments. Further, as most studies to date have targeted known human pathogens, there are few estimates of the occurrence of ARGs in other bacterial species. In the remainder of this commentary we use our studies of the detection of carbapenem resistant bacteria and genes to highlight technical challenges associated with using wastewater to quantify temporal/spatial trends in ARBS and ARGs and compare our findings with clinical information. We close with our assessment that -- at least with current technologies -- the primary value added of screening wastewater for ARBs and ARGs for direct public health action will be early detection of novel ARGs; quantitation with current methods is too variable to reliably track space/time variation

Evaluating the Utility of Wastewater Surveillance for ARGs and ARBs

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

As a first step towards evaluating the utility of wastewater surveillance for ARGs and ARBs we used a laboratory pipeline enabling us to detect human pathogens with an antibiotic resistant phenotype to ARGs that code for that resistance, in this case, carbapenem resistance. This multistep laboratory pipeline includes filtering influent and suspending the filtrate in media containing the target antibiotic (Figure 1). The filtrate is next diluted and streaked on chromogenic agar, which gives a presumptive species identification. The species of each isolate is confirmed using MALDI-ToF (matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight) and DNA is extracted and tested for the four targeted ARGs using qPCR– bla_{KPC}, bla_{NDM}, bla_{OXA48}, and bla_{VIM}. Because our pipeline directly measures phenotype, our results do not include ARGs from dead cells and also identifies intrinsically antibiotic resistant bacteria or resistance due to unknown or untargeted mechanisms. The presence of the four ARGs was determined in "wastewater microbiome" samples by extracting DNA from the filtered microbes prior to plating. These results represent a snapshot of ARGs on a given day from each plant but may include ARGs from dead cells.

We used qPCR to directly detect carbapenemase genes from the filtered samples collected from the two wastewater treatment plants over the one-year period. bla_{NDM} positivity was verified by Sanger sequencing. We observed little or no variation in percent of carbapenemase genes observed over time of collection and results were similar between treatment plants. We detected bla_{KPC}, bla_{NDM}, and bla_{OXA48} in virtually all samples. bla_{VIM} was detected less frequently, with similar patterns of detection in the two wastewater treatment plants (See Figure S1 in Appendix).

Figure 1: Laboratory pipeline for detecting and speciating antibiotic resistant human pathogens and identifying the genes coding for antibiotic resistance among the identified species. Filtered microbes captures the wastewater microbiome.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



From the filtrate collected from two wastewater treatment plants between June 2022 and June 2023 and plated on chromogenic media selective for carbapenem, we picked 672 bacterial colonies for further testing. Approximately 20% (134 of 672) of colonies picked for isolation did not grow further, highlighting the need for more extensive methods to elicit growth and suggesting that when using media that is enriched for Enterobacteriaceae there may be proximity-dependent and temporary sharing of ARGs. Of the 467 isolates with qPCR results for all 4 carbapenemase genes 395 could be speciated by MALDI (Figure 2).

Among the 395 isolates we identified 53 species: 11 were known pathogens and multiple species fit within the *Enterobacter cloacae* complex or the *Citrobacter freundii* complex. We most frequently detected human pathobionts *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter cloacae* complex, *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Citrobacter freundii* complex. One or more known carbapenemase genes were detected in virtually all isolates: 90% had bla_{KPC}, 15% bla_{NDM}, and 12% $bla_{\mathrm{OXA\text{-}48}}$ (data note shown). We did not detect bla_{VIM} in any of the isolated species. Using our pipeline, we were able to detect the presence of four known clinically relevant carbapenem resistant genes in identified bacterial pathogens within 4 to 5 days following sample receipt.

Figure 2: CONSORT diagram detailing wastewater isolates detection and processing. (MALDI= matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight; QC= quality control).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



We compared our results from wastewater surveillance of samples from southeastern Michigan with clinical surveillance data for CRE (n=275) collected by the Michigan Department of Human Health and Services (30) for the entire State of Michigan over the same time period (Figure 3; see Figures S2 and S3 for other clinically relevant species, and Table S1 in appendix for listing of all species identified). There were some similarities and notable differences between the frequency of carbapenem resistance mechanisms identified in the most detected clinical isolates from the entire State and the same species detected in our two wastewater treatment plants (Figure 3). Although the frequency of KPC as a single resistance mechanism in *Klebsiella spp.* was similar between clinical and wastewater isolates (78% vs. 82%, respectively), in clinical isolates NDM and OXA-48 most often occurred alone; in wastewater NDM was only found in the presence of KPC or OXA-48 or both. The pattern was similar for *E. coli* and *Enterobacter*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

spp. although for *Enterobacter spp*. in a small percentage (3%) of wastewater isolates NDM was the sole resistance mechanism. Further, for *E. coli* and *Citrobacter freundii* OXA-48 was only detected together with KPC in wastewater (Figure 3). Higher levels of phenotypic-only resistance were seen in the clinically relevant species that were only detected in wastewater: Aeromonas species, *Pseudomonas aeroginosa*, (Figure S3) and the "Other species" category (Figure S2), suggesting other mechanisms of resistance being more prevalent in wastewater than in clinical samples.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 3: Percent of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella spp.*, *Enterobacter spp.*, *Escherichia coli* and *Citrobacter freundii* clinical isolates and wastewater-detected isolates by carbapenem genes (bla_{KPC}, bla_{NDM}, bla_{OXA48} and bla_{VIM}). Clinical cases (n=275; 268 were typed for CRE genes) reported to the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) in 2022 (30); wastewater (Wwater) isolates samples from two wastewater treatment plants between June 6, 2022 and June 12, 2023. Wastewater samples were collected weekly. See Supplement for all carbapenem-resistant species.



	MDHHS Klebsiella spp. (n=126)	Wwater Klebsiella spp. (n=92)	MDHHS Enterobacter spp. (n=58)	Wwater Enterobacter spp. (n=32)	MDHHS E. coli (n=42)	Wwater E. coli (n=18)	MDHHS Citrobacter freundii (n=11)	Wwater Citrobacter freundii (n=34)
KPC	78%	82%	66%	63%	26%	50%	82%	44%
NDM	17%	0%	21%	3%	50%	0%	9%	3%
OXA-48	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%	0%
NDM & OXA-48	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%
NDM & KPC	2%	12%	2%	19%	0%	33%	9%	9%
KPC & OXA-48	0%	3%	0%	6%	0%	11%	0%	35%
NDM & KPC & OXA-48	0%	3%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%
IMP	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
VIM	2%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Phenotypic only	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	6%	0%	3%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 4: Sources of antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARBs) and antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs) in wastewater. ARBs found in humans, food, animals, agriculture, pipes, and sinks shed into wastewater. Within the wastewater ARGs can transfer between bacteria (horizontal gene transfer).

Discussion

Bacteria are different than virus. Unlike the viral pathogens targeted for wastewater surveillance whose primary reservoir is humans, human bacterial pathogens like the ESKAPE pathogens

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(*Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,* and *Enterobacter species*) – nosocomial pathogens that exhibit multidrug resistance and virulence – are found in non-humans and/or can live in the environment (Figure 4). Further, most human bacterial pathogens are pathobionts, that is, they can colonize humans (commensals or asymptomatic carriage) for extended periods only causing symptoms (disease) under certain circumstances. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is just one example of many.

Our results demonstrate that ARGs can be detected in wastewater and linked to specific ARBs relatively quickly and that similar ARGs are detected in ARBs as in clinical surveillance. However, an additional comparison of our findings with literature and clinical surveillance is illuminating. A 2023 report estimated that in 2019 among 35 countries in the Americas there were 114,000 deaths associated with carbapenem resistant infections. Most of these deaths were attributed to infections with the following carbapeneum-resistant bacterial species (number of deaths): *Acinetobacter baumannii* (36,800), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (33,200), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (18,100) and *K. pneumoniae* (16,500) (8). With our pipeline we identified only one *A. baumannii* and did not detect *S. pneumoniae* – but we did not use growth conditions specific for *S. pneumoniae*. We found one study that detected *Streptococcus spp*. and *Acinetobacter spp*. in wastewater; this study used 16S rRNA sequencing and did not resolve to the species level (31). We also found only one study that isolated *A. baumannii* from wastewater. Their pipeline started with 1 liter of influent which was serially diluted 10-fold and used CHROMagar to detect *A. baumannii*, then *c*arbapenemase genes were detected using qPCR (32).

Monitoring wastewater influent for new ARGs might alert clinicians that a current antibiotic may soon become less effective. Of the four carbapenem resistant genes we monitored over a seven-month period, only bla_{VIM} –which is also the newest– showed any variation in prevalence over time in the wastewater microbiome samples. While this suggests that only emerging ARGs show significant variation in prevalence over time and space, this remains to be determined.

Normalization is necessary to account for time-varying amounts of human material in wastewater and the analytical recovery of the target from the wastewater. The amount of material can vary with changes in flow volume, dilution from precipitation and variation in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

human waste input (toilet flushes). Although for our protocol we filtered a standard volume of water, the biomass within varied from sample to sample. Several different human biomarkers have been used to normalize estimates of SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater, e.g., pepper mild mottle virus, crassphage, and caffeine (33). Unfortunately, although human biomarkers are useful in the case of SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses, their utility for ARBs and ARGs is less certain because ARBs and ARGs have multiple non-human sources making it difficult to determine whether the ARBs and ARGs detected come from human or environmental sources. This poses a major challenge to using wastewater to estimate population prevalence or for monitoring trends over time – even if analyses account for changes in flowrates due to precipitation: increases of precipitation may increase the ARBs and ARG from environmental sources (e.g., from animal fecal matter and soil) in an unpredictable fashion.

Focusing wastewater surveillance on ARGs instead of ARBs also is problematic. ARGs are detectable in food (34,35), food animals, and in the biofilms found in sinks and pipes (36) [Figure 1]. ARGs can be transmitted between bacteria of the same or different species by bacterial pathogens (phage) or via horizontal gene transfer. Bacteria can also acquire ARBs by transformation, the uptake of environmental ARGs. *In vitro* experiments suggest that susceptible bacteria in the wastewater stream may acquire ARGs prior to wastewater treatment (13). Also, the same ARG sequence can be detected in multiple bacterial species isolated from different animals and environments (37). Thus, detection of ARGs in wastewater surveillance detects ARG presence, but gives little information about ARG occurrence in human pathogens or prevalence in human populations.

Further, the proportion of bacteria in influent that is from genera containing human pathogens is low. A 2019 study by Limayem *et al*. (31) used 16S rRNA sequencing to identify the bacterial genera found in a 1.5 liter sample from a single wastewater treatment plant. In this sample, 6.4% of the 195,967 reads were of the genus *Enterococcus* 0.063%, 0.004% *Staphylococcus*, 0.038% *Klebsiella*, *0.968% Acinetobacter*, *21.356% Pseudomonas*, *6.445% Streptococcus*, and 0.028% *Escherichia* (31). Note that there are over 50 species in the genus *Streptococcus* of which 10 are considered clinically relevant. The genera *Staphylococcus*, *Enterococcus* and *Pseudomonas* also

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

include many species but only a small proportion are clinically relevant. The low frequencies of taxa of interest increase potential for error and missed or false detection.

Nonetheless, ARG detection is not without value for public health purposes, as it can be used to identify whether an ARG has been introduced locally. Many ARGs emerge from countries with high rates of unregulated antibiotic use in humans and animals and then spread globally. Therefore, identifying ARGs in an agricultural area without clinical cases might be informative for source tracking. However, beyond source tracking it is unclear that detection in wastewater would be value added over data provided from clinical surveillance for public health decision making. If a new ARG is detected in wastewater prior to clinical identification, it seems unlikely to lead to any additional interventions beyond notification that a new ARGs has been discovered until identified locally among patients.

Although not the focus of this commentary, there may be value in surveillance of outflow from wastewater treatment plants to inform OneHealth assessments of the risks of antibiotic resistance on environmental ecosystems (38). Within wastewater treatment plants there is a mixing of bacteria, ARBs, ARGs and antibiotics, providing a potential hotspot for horizontal gene transfer (39) and selection for antibiotic resistance that is discharged into the environment.

It also has been suggested that it might be beneficial to monitor the effluent from hospitals, longterm care facilities or other venues where there is high frequency of antibiotic use. Based on our experience with sampling of these types of facilities for SARS-CoV-2, we perceive some potential challenges. First, access to the effluent may be challenging due to placement of sewer lines and manhole covers limiting testing to grab samples. Second, ARBs and ARGs colonize hospital sink traps and these are released into the hospital effluent. Therefore levels in wastewater may not accurately reflect human colonization or disease (40); this is likely true for other healthcare facilities. Third, the smaller population sizes make estimates unstable and limitations in quantitation make it difficult to determine if detection represents single or multiple individuals. Ability for Public Health officials to act on information acquired without benefit of consent may be fraught.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Summary and Conclusions

It is our assessment that the intrinsic features of human bacterial pathobionts and how bacteria acquire and exchange ARGs generally limits the utility of using wastewater surveillance for ARBs and ARGs to inform public health action such as triggering an outbreak investigation, or to complement ongoing clinical surveillance by identifying areas at high risk of antibiotic resistant infection. Several factors complicate quantitative estimation: bacteria proliferate and exchange ARGs outside of living hosts, qPCR and sequencing does not distinguish between viable and non-viable organisms, and multiple steps are required for laboratory processing, *e.g*. filtration, growing on selective media. Although it is feasible to detect the presence of emerging ARGs in wastewater, whether the information will lead to earlier or additional public health action beyond that suggested by existing monitoring systems is uncertain. Technological innovations, such as long read sequencing of specific bacteria species directly from wastewater (without culture) and focusing on obligate human pathogens, e.g., such as *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Helicobacter pylori*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Mycobacterium leprae*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Vibrio cholerae* and *Treponema pallidum* (41), would alleviate the problem of determining if a target ARG came from a specific bacterial species, but would not alleviate other problems of interpretation: specifically, whether the bacterium came from a human and whether the ARG was acquired post shedding from the human once it entered the wastewater stream.

In summary, it is possible to detect ARGs and ARBs in wastewater, but difficult to use the results to estimate population prevalence and to monitor trends over time and space. The difficulties arise because human pathogens occur at relatively low prevalence in wastewater, and many are shed from non-human sources.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Yang K, Guo J, Møhlenberg M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in medical and industrial wastewater-a global perspective: a narrative review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* [electronic article]. 2023;30(23):63323–63334. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36988799/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
2. Nomoto A. Molecular aspects of poliovirus pathogenesis. *Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci* [electronic article]. 2007;83(8):266–275. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24367151/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
3. Sudhan SS, Sharma P. Human Viruses: Emergence and Evolution. In: *Emerging and Reemerging Viral Pathogens*. Elsevier; 2020:53–68.
4. Robilotti E, Deresinski S, Pinsky BA. Norovirus. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2015;28(1):134–164.
5. Kilaru P, Hill D, Anderson K, et al. Wastewater Surveillance for Infectious Disease: A Systematic Review. *Am J Epidemiol* [electronic article]. 2023;192(2):305–322. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36227259/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
6. Ammerman M, Mullapudi S, Gilbert J, et al. Norovirus GII wastewater monitoring for epidemiological surveillance. *medRxiv* [electronic article]. 2023;2023.04.10.23288357. (https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.04.10.23288357v1). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
7. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019. *CDC* [electronic article]. 2019;(http://dx.doi.org/10.15620/cdc:82532.). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
8. Aguilar GR, Swetschinski LR, Weaver ND, et al. The burden of antimicrobial resistance in the Americas in 2019: a cross-country systematic analysis. *Lancet regional health. Americas* [electronic article]. 2023;25. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37727594/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
9. Tiwari A, Krolicka A, Tran TT, et al. Antibiotic resistance monitoring in wastewater in the Nordic countries: A systematic review. *Environ Res* [electronic article]. 2024;246. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38163547/). (Accessed June 24, 2024)
10. Chevallereau A, Meaden S, Van Houte S, et al. The effect of bacterial mutation rate on the evolution of CRISPR-Cas adaptive immunity. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* [electronic article]. 2019;374(1772). (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30905293/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
11. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Are We Really Vastly Outnumbered? Revisiting the Ratio of Bacterial to Host Cells in Humans. *Cell* [electronic article]. 2016;164(3):337–340. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26824647/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
12. Humphrey JH. Excretion of Penicillin in Man. *Nature 1944 154:3920* [electronic article]. 1944;154(3920):765–765. (https://www.nature.com/articles/154765a0). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
13. Hutinel M, Fick J, Larsson DGJ, et al. Investigating the effects of municipal and hospital wastewaters on horizontal gene transfer. *Environmental Pollution*. 2021;276:116733.
14. Berglund F, Ebmeyer S, Kristiansson E, et al. Evidence for wastewaters as environments where mobile antibiotic resistance genes emerge. *Commun Biol* [electronic article]. 2023;6(1). (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36966231/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

15. Hendriksen RS, Munk P, Njage P, et al. Global monitoring of antimicrobial resistance based on metagenomics analyses of urban sewage. *Nat Commun* [electronic article]. 2019;10(1). (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30850636/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
16. CDC. Testing Wastewater Helps Protect Against Antimicrobial Resistance and COVID-19 | Antimicrobial Resistance | CDC. (https://www.cdc.gov/antimicrobial-resistance/stories/wastewater-testing.html). (Accessed June 25, 2024)
17. Tiwari A, Kurittu P, Al-Mustapha AI, et al. Wastewater surveillance of antibiotic-resistant bacterial pathogens: A systematic review. *Front Microbiol* [electronic article]. 2022;13. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36590429/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
18. Chau KK, Barker L, Budgell EP, et al. Systematic review of wastewater surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in human populations. *Environ Int* [electronic article]. 2022;162. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35290866/). (Accessed June 25, 2024)
19. Burden of Foodborne Illness: Overview | Estimates of Foodborne Illness in the United States | CDC. 2018;(https://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/estimates-overview.html). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
20. White DG, Zhao S, Simjee S, et al. Antimicrobial resistance of foodborne pathogens. *Microbes Infect*. 2002;4(4):405–412.
21. Dewey-Mattia D, Manikonda K, Hall AJ, et al. Surveillance for Foodborne Disease Outbreaks — United States, 2009–2015. *MMWR. Surveillance Summaries*. 2018;67(10):1–11.
22. Levy JI, Andersen KG, Knight R, et al. Wastewater surveillance for public health. *Science (1979)*. 2023;379(6627):26–27.
23. Bintsis T. Foodborne pathogens. *AIMS Microbiol*. 2017;3(3):529–563.
24. Brown E, Dessai U, McGarry S, et al. Use of Whole-Genome Sequencing for Food Safety and Public Health in the United States. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2019;16(7):441–450.
25. Barretto C, Rincón C, Portmann A-C, et al. Whole Genome Sequencing Applied to Pathogen Source Tracking in Food Industry: Key Considerations for Robust Bioinformatics Data Analysis and Reliable Results Interpretation. *Genes (Basel)*. 2021;12(2):275.
26. Park D-G, Kwon J-G, Ha E-S, et al. Novel next generation sequencing panel method for the multiple detection and identification of foodborne pathogens in agricultural wastewater. *Front Microbiol*. 2023;14:1179934.
27. Outbreak surveillance of viral pathogens. *Oxford Nanopore Technologies*. 2020;(https://nanoporetech.com/resource-centre/casestudy/outbreak-surveillance-viral-pathogens). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
28. Diemert S, Yan T. Municipal Wastewater Surveillance Revealed a High Community Disease Burden of a Rarely Reported and Possibly Subclinical *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Derby Strain. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2020;86(17).
29. Diemert S, Yan T. Clinically Unreported Salmonellosis Outbreak Detected via Comparative Genomic Analysis of Municipal Wastewater *Salmonella* Isolates. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2019;85(10).
30. Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS), Surveillance for Healthcare-Associated and Resistant Pathogens (SHARP) Unit. Carbapenemase-Producing, Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacterales (CP-CRE) in Michigan, 2018-2022 Surveillance Report. 2023 (Accessed February 28, 2024).(https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/-/media/Project/Websites/mdhhs/HAI-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

SHARP/CRE/CP-CRE-Surveillance-Report-2018-2022-Final.pdf?rev=b5ee21278e6c4c1c91e7ce116bf25403&hash=5AE501DE9961C2B742D69CD5CA453B16). (Accessed February 28, 2024)

31. Limayem A, Wasson S, Mehta M, et al. High-Throughput Detection of Bacterial Community and Its Drug-Resistance Profiling From Local Reclaimed Wastewater Plants. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* [electronic article]. 2019;9. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31637218/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
32. Hubeny J, Korzeniewska E, Buta-Hubeny M, et al. Characterization of carbapenem resistance in environmental samples and Acinetobacter spp. isolates from wastewater and river water in Poland. *Sci Total Environ*. 2022;822:153437.
33. Hsu SY, Bayati M, Li C, et al. Biomarkers selection for population normalization in SARS-CoV-2 wastewater-based epidemiology. *Water Res* [electronic article]. 2022;223. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36030667/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
34. Lin WF, Guo HQ, Zhu LJ, et al. Temporal variation of antibiotic resistome and pathogens in food waste during short-term storage. *J Hazard Mater* [electronic article]. 2022;436. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35739780/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
35. Periasamy J, Krishnamoorthy S, Nagarethinam B, et al. Food wastes as a potential hotspot of antibiotic resistance: synergistic expression of multidrug resistance and ESBL genes confer antibiotic resistance to microbial communities. *Environ Monit Assess* [electronic article]. 2023;195(6). (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37261634/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
36. Chahal C, van den Akker B, Young F, et al. Pathogen and Particle Associations in Wastewater: Significance and Implications for Treatment and Disinfection Processes. *Adv Appl Microbiol*. 2016;97:63–119.
37. Mustapha MM, Srinivasa VR, Griffith MP, et al. Genomic Diversity of Hospital-Acquired Infections Revealed through Prospective Whole-Genome Sequencing-Based Surveillance. *mSystems* [electronic article]. 2022;7(3). (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35695507/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
38. Wang F, Xiang L, Sze-Yin Leung K, et al. Emerging contaminants: A One Health perspective. *Innovation (Cambridge (Mass.))* [electronic article]. 2024;5(4). (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38756954/). (Accessed June 25, 2024)
39. Karkman A, Do TT, Walsh F, et al. Antibiotic-Resistance Genes in Waste Water. *Trends Microbiol* [electronic article]. 2018;26(3):220–228. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29033338/). (Accessed June 25, 2024)
40. Regev-Yochay G, Margalit I, Smollan G, et al. Sink-traps are a major source for carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae transmission. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* [electronic article]. 2023;1–8. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38149351/). (Accessed January 21, 2024)
41. Pan X, Yang Y, Zhang J-R. Molecular basis of host specificity in human pathogenic bacteria. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2014;3(1):1–10.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Wastewater surveillance of antibiotic resistant bacteria for public health action: Potential and Challenges

Betsy Foxman, Elizabeth Salzman, Chelsie Gesierich, Sarah Gardner, Michelle Ammerman, Marisa Eisenberg, Krista Wigginton

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure S1: Presence of carbapenemase genes bla_{VIM} , bla_{KPC}, bla_{NDM}, and bla_{OXA48} detected from filtered wastewater microbiome samples collected weekly from two Southeastern Michigan wastewater treatment plants (AA=Ann Arbor, JS=Jackson) between June 2022 and June 2023. Filtered microbes from the same day, or approximate same date, were tested for each ARG. (Stopped detection of samples from Jackson treatment plant in October 2022, because no longer informative).



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table S1: Frequency of all identified isolates by species detected from filtered wastewater microbiome samples collected weekly from two Southeastern Michigan wastewater treatment plants between June 2022 and June 2023.

Species	Count
Acinetobacter baumannii	1
Acinetobacter guillouiae	1
Aeromonas beastiarum	1
Aeromonas caviae	21
Aeromonas eucrenophila	2
Aeromonas hydrophila	27
Aeromonas ichthiosmia	2
Aeromonas media	3
Aeromonas salmonicida	2
Aeromonas sp.	3
Aeromonas veronii	17
Citrobacter amalonaticus	3
Citrobacter braakii	3
Citrobacter farmeri	4
Citrobacter freundii	34
Elizabethkingia anophelis	1
Enterobacter asburiae	19
Enterobacter bugandensis	16
Enterobacter cloacae	8
Enterobacter kobei	5
Enterobacter roggenkampii	3
Escherichia coli	18
Klebsiella oxytoca	37
Klebsiella pneumoniae	49
Klebsiella variicola	6
Kluyvera cryocrescens	2
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	11
Pseudomonas corrugata	3
Pseudomonas extremorientalis	1
Pseudomonas fluorescens	5
Pseudomonas fulva	2
Pseudomonas gessardii	1
Pseudomonas graminis	1
Pseudomonas japonica	1
Pseudomonas kilonensis	1
Pseudomonas koreensis	4

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Pseudomonas monteilii	4
Pseudomonas mosselii	1
Pseudomonas nitroreducens	3
Pseudomonas oleovorans	1
Pseudomonas poae	1
Pseudomonas protegens	3
Pseudomonas putida	6
Pseudomonas rhodesiae	2
Pseudomonas sp.	1
Pseudomonas synxantha	1
Pseudomonas vancouverensis	1
Psuedmonas chlororaphis	2
Raoultella ornithinolytica	35
Raoultella planticola	9
Serratia marcescens	3
Sphingomonas paucimobilis	1
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	3
No growth	40
No Organism Identification Possible	32

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure S2: Percent of carbapenem-resistant "Other spp." (species), *Raoultella ornithinolytica*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Providencia stuartii*, *Providencia rettgeri*, and *Proteum mirabilis* clinical isolates and wastewater-detected isolates by carbapenem genes (bla_{KPC}, bla_{NDM}, bla_{OXA48} and bla_{VIM}). Clinical cases reported to the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) in 2022; wastewater (Wwater) isolates samples from two wastewater treatment plants between June 6, 2022 and June 12, 2023. Wastewater samples were collected weekly. For Wwater isolates, "Other spp." includes isolates that were tested for qPCR and unable to be identified by MALDI.



	MDHHS Other spp. (n=10)	Wwater Other spp. (n=162)	MDHHS Raoultella ornithinolytica (n=5)	Wwater Raoultella ornithinolytica (n=35)	MDHHS Serratia marcescens (n=8)	Wwater Serratia marcescens (n=3)	MDHHS Providencia stuartii (n=2)	Wwater Providencia stuartii (n=0)	MDHHS Providencia rettgeri (n=4)	Wwater Providencia rettgeri (n=0)	MDHHS Proteum mirabilis (n=2)	Wwater Proteum mirabilis (n=0)
KPC	70%	71%	100%	66%	100%	100%	100%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%
NDM	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
OXA-48	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
NDM & OXA-48	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
NDM & KPC	0%	7%	0%	31%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
KPC & OXA-48	0%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
NDM & KPC & OXA-48	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Phenotypic only	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
IMP	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	0%	100%	0%
VIM	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.24305136; this version posted June 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure S3: Percent of carbapenem-resistant *Aeromonas hydrophilia*, *Aeromonas veronii*, *Aeromonas caviae*, *Pseudomonas aeroginosa*, and *Acinetobacter baumanii* wastewater-detected isolates by carbapenem genes (bla_{KPC}, bla_{NDM}, bla_{OXA48} and bla_{VIM}). Wastewater (Wwater) isolates samples from two wastewater treatment plants between June 6, 2022 and June 12, 2023. Wastewater samples were collected weekly.



	Wwater Aeromonas hydrophilia (n=27)	Wwater Aeromonas veronii (n=17)	Wwater Aeromonas caviae (n=21)	Wwater Pseudomonas aeroginosa (n=11)	Wwater Acinetobacter baumanii (n=1)
KPC	70%	53%	76%	45%	100%
NDM	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
OXA-48	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
NDM & OXA-48	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
NDM & KPC	0%	6%	14%	0%	0%
KPC & OXA-48	11%	12%	5%	18%	0%
NDM & KPC & OXA-48	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Phenotypic only	19%	24%	5%	36%	0%