

Unraveling the shared genetics of common epilepsies and general cognitive ability.

Authors: Naz Karadag (MSc)^{1#}, Espen Hagen (PhD)^{1#}, Alexey A. Shadrin (PhD)^{1,2}, Dennis van der Meer (PhD)^{1,3}, Kevin S. O'Connell (PhD)¹, Zillur Rahman (PhD)¹, Gleda Kutrolli (PhD)¹, Nadine Parker (PhD)¹, Shahram Bahrami (PhD)¹, Vera Fominykh (MD, PhD)¹, Kjell Heuser (MD, PhD)⁴, Erik Taubøll (MD, PhD)^{4,5}, Torill Ueland (PhD)^{6,7}, Nils Eiel Steen (MD, PhD)^{1,6,8}, Srdjan Djurovic (PhD)^{9,10}, Anders M. Dale (PhD)^{11,12,13,14}, Oleksandr Frei (PhD)^{1,15}, Ole A. Andreassen (MD, PhD)^{1,2,6}, Olav B. Smeland (MD, PhD)^{1,6}

Author affiliations:

¹Centre for Precision Psychiatry, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, University of Oslo and Oslo University Hospital Oslo, Norway

²K.G. Jebsen Centre for Neurodevelopmental disorders, University of Oslo and Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

³School of Mental Health and Neuroscience, Faculty of Health, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands

⁴Department of Neurology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁵Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

⁶Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁷Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

⁸Department of Psychiatric Research, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁹Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

¹⁰Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

¹¹Department of Cognitive Science, University of California, San Diego, United States

¹²Multimodal Imaging Laboratory, University of California, San Diego, United States

¹³Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, United States

¹⁴Department of Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego, United States

¹⁵Center for Bioinformatics, Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Shared first-author

Corresponding Author: Olav B. Smeland

Centre for Precision Psychiatry, Division of Mental Health and Addiction

University of Oslo and Oslo University Hospital

Kirkeveien 166, 0424 Oslo, Norway

E-mail: o.b.smeland@medisin.uio.no

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

Objective: Cognitive impairment is prevalent among individuals with epilepsy, and it is possible that genetic factors can underlie this relationship. Here, we investigated the potential shared genetic basis of common epilepsies and general cognitive ability (COG).

Methods: We applied linkage disequilibrium score (LDSC) regression, MiXeR and conjunctive false discovery rate (conjFDR) to analyze different aspects of genetic overlap between COG and epilepsies. We used the largest available genome-wide association study data on COG ($n = 269,867$) and common epilepsies ($n = 27,559$ cases, 42,436 controls), including the broad phenotypes ‘all epilepsy’, focal epilepsies and genetic generalized epilepsies (GGE), and as well as specific subtypes. We functionally annotated the identified loci using a variety of biological resources and validated the results in independent samples.

Results: Using MiXeR, COG (11.2k variants) was estimated to be almost four times more polygenic than ‘all epilepsy’, GGE, juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME), and childhood absence epilepsy (CAE) (2.5k – 2.9k variants). The other epilepsy phenotypes were insufficiently powered for analysis. We show extensive genetic overlap between COG and epilepsies with significant negative genetic correlations (-0.23 to -0.04). COG was estimated to share 2.9k variants with both GGE and ‘all epilepsy’, and 2.3k variants with both JME and CAE. Using conjFDR, we identified 66 distinct loci shared between COG and epilepsies, including novel associations for GGE (27), ‘all epilepsy’ (5), JME (5) and CAE (5). The implicated genes were significantly expressed in multiple brain regions. The results were validated in independent samples (COG: $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-14}$; ‘all epilepsy’: $p = 5.6 \times 10^{-3}$).

Significance: Our study demonstrates a substantial genetic basis shared between epilepsies and COG and identifies novel overlapping genomic loci. Enhancing our understanding of the

relationship between epilepsies and COG may lead to the development of novel comorbidity-targeted epilepsy treatments.

Introduction

Epilepsies are diverse brain disorders marked by unprovoked recurrent seizures.¹ Epilepsies contribute significantly to the global disease burden, affecting over 60 million people worldwide of all ages.² The underlying pathogenesis of epilepsies remains poorly understood and many patients continue to suffer from uncontrolled seizures.^{3,4} Epilepsies are divided by seizure onset into two broad groups; focal epilepsies and generalized epilepsies, the latter being predominantly composed of genetic generalized epilepsies (GGE). While focal epilepsies and GGE differ in their clinical presentations, both are associated with cognitive impairments, which substantially affect the quality of life of individuals with epilepsies.^{5,6} Cognitive impairments of individuals with epilepsies encompass difficulties with memory, attention, language and executive functioning, which in many cases predate seizure onset, emphasizing that cognitive impairment is not merely a consequence of seizures.⁵ However, not all individuals with epilepsy have poor cognitive functioning, a wide range of cognitive performance among individuals with epilepsy suggests a complex relationship between epilepsies and cognition.^{5,7} Poor cognitive ability is also associated with several clinical and etiological characteristics of epilepsy, including the origin, frequency and duration of seizures, age of seizure onset, duration of epilepsy, cerebral pathology, and effect of anti-seizure medication.⁸ Further, studies have suggested that cognitive performance, particularly in the domains of intelligence and memory, has prognostic value for seizure outcomes in patients with epilepsies.⁹⁻¹¹ While surgical intervention may improve cognitive functioning in a selected group of focal epilepsy patients, anti-seizure medication is rather associated with a worsening of cognitive function by suppressing neuronal excitability or enhancing inhibitory neurotransmission.^{3,12,13} Increasing evidence favors a bidirectional relationship between epilepsies and cognition, as part of a pathogenesis altering neural networks thereby leading to both cognitive impairments and the propensity of seizures.^{14,15} A better understanding of the

relationship between epilepsies and cognition may help the development of novel comorbidity-targeted epilepsy treatments.

Both cognitive abilities and epilepsies are heritable;¹⁶⁻¹⁹ suggesting that shared genetic underpinnings could contribute to the observed cognitive deficits in epilepsies. Previous studies have reported that the heritability attributable to common genetic variants is 19% for general cognitive ability (COG) and 9% and 32% for focal epilepsy and GGE, respectively.^{16,19} Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified over 200 genomic risk loci associated with COG and over 60 loci associated with epilepsies.¹⁶⁻²⁰ Furthermore, significant negative genetic correlations between COG and epilepsies ('all epilepsy', focal epilepsies and GGE) have been reported ($r_g = -0.20, -0.23, -0.14$, respectively), indicating shared genetic effects underlying these phenotypes.^{16,17,21-23} Moreover, recent modeling work using bivariate MiXeR²⁴ has estimated that a considerable fraction of the genetic architecture of GGE overlaps with COG.¹⁷ However, to what extent other epilepsy subtypes share genetic variants with COG remains poorly understood and the specific loci jointly involved in these phenotypes are mostly unknown. Identification of these loci could inform the specific molecular genetic mechanisms shared between COG and epilepsies.

To gain more insights into the shared genetic basis of COG and epilepsies including several epilepsy subtypes beyond the broad categories, we analyzed the largest available GWAS samples on COG and three broad epilepsy types 'all epilepsy', focal epilepsies and GGE, and their seven subtypes, utilizing the tools linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC)²⁵, MiXeR²⁴ and conjunctive false discovery rate (conjFDR).^{26,27} While LDSC²⁵ estimates pairwise global genetic correlations, MiXeR²⁴ estimates the number of variants underlying each phenotype as well as the number of variants shared between them, irrespective of the genetic correlations. In addition, conjFDR improves the discovery of overlapping genomic loci^{26,27} and has facilitated the identification of an extensive list of genetic variants

shared between various complex human phenotypes regardless of their allelic effect directions,^{28,29} including epilepsies and COG with other traits.^{18,30-32}

Methods

Sample Description

Discovery samples

We obtained GWAS data (Table 1) in the form of summary statistics (p -values and effect sizes). All participants were of European ancestry to ensure compatible patterns of linkage disequilibrium (LD). The datasets were controlled for systemic sample overlap to avoid potential bias. The summary statistics on COG¹⁹ ($n = 269,867$) were based on a GWAS meta-analysis from 14 cohorts, with UK Biobank being the largest cohort. The cohorts either reported Spearman's g underlying several dimensions of cognitive functioning or a primary measure of fluid intelligence that correlates highly with g .¹⁹

The summary statistics for epilepsies¹⁷ ($n = 27,559$ cases, 42,436 controls) were acquired from the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) Consortium and included data on the broad phenotypes 'all epilepsy', focal epilepsies and GGE as well as the focal subtypes 'lesion negative focal epilepsy', 'focal epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis', 'focal epilepsy with lesions other than hippocampal sclerosis' and the generalized subtypes childhood absence epilepsy (CAE), juvenile absence epilepsy, juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) and generalized tonic-clonic seizures.

All GWAS summary statistics underwent quality control and were formatted with the `cleansumstats` pipeline v1.6.0.³³

Independent samples

To assess the reliability of our results, we also acquired GWAS summary statistics from independent samples. The independent epilepsies data was collected from FinnGen (freeze 9; <https://r9.finnngen.fi> r9, phenotypic code G6_EPILEPSY) and consists of all epilepsies as a combined phenotype ($n = 11,740$ cases, 287,837 controls).³⁴ We used an independent sample³⁵ on educational attainment as a proxy for COG, as they are highly genetically correlated ($r_g = 0.73$).¹⁹ All independent samples were based on participants of European ancestry in accordance with the discovery samples.

Ethics statement

All GWAS investigated in the present study were approved by the relevant ethics committees, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. The Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics for the South-East Norway found that no additional institutional review board approval was needed.

Data Analysis

Filtering of summary statistics

To avoid inflation due to LD, SNPs in the extended major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region, chromosome 8p23.1 and the microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) region (genome build GRCh37/hg19 locations chr6:25119106-33854733; chr8:7200000-12500000; chr17:40000000-47000000, respectively) were excluded in all analyses before fitting the statistical models.^{27,36}

Linkage disequilibrium score regression analysis

LDSC²⁵ was applied to estimate pairwise genetic correlations (r_g). LDSC estimates genetic correlations at the genome-wide level and distinguishes between the contributions from polygenic effects and confounding factors. Multiple testing correction was carried out by the Benjamini-Hochberg method ($q < 0.05$).

Gaussian causal mixture models

We utilized univariate and bivariate Gaussian causal mixture models to GWAS data using MiXeR (v1.3).²⁴ With maximum likelihood estimation, univariate MiXeR estimates the distribution of SNPs with non-null additive genetic effects beyond LD quantifying the number of causal variants explaining 90% of the SNP-heritability (polygenicity), and the variance of the effect sizes of the SNPs with non-null genetic effects (discoverability). Then, the SNP-heritability is computed on the observed scale based on the polygenicity and discoverability estimates. Further, bivariate MiXeR estimates the number of overlapping and phenotype-specific causal variants between two phenotypes.

All point estimates and standard deviations were computed by performing 20 iterations with 2 million randomly selected SNPs which were then further randomly pruned at LD (r^2) threshold of 0.8, (resulting in ~600K SNPs per iteration). Akaike information criterion (AIC) and log-likelihood plots were evaluated for the model fit. See the original publication for more information on MiXeR.²⁴

Conjunctional false discovery rate analysis

We applied the conjFDR analysis implemented in the pleioFDR software tool to increase genetic discovery of the shared loci between epilepsies and COG.^{26,27} The conjFDR analysis is an extension to the conditional false discovery rate (condFDR), which readjusts the test statistics in a primary phenotype (e.g., GGE) by conditioning on SNP associations with a secondary phenotype (e.g., COG). The conjFDR performs two condFDR analyses by first conditioning the primary phenotype on the secondary phenotype and then conditioning the secondary phenotype on the primary phenotype and selects the conjFDR value as the maximum of the two condFDR values. The conjFDR threshold of 0.05 was used in line with previous studies.^{26,27} Using a linkage disequilibrium (LD) threshold $r^2 = 0.01$, SNPs were randomly pruned over 500 iterations to avoid bias in the analysis.²⁷

The cross-trait enrichment is visualized by conditional quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots, which plot p-value distributions for a primary phenotype for all SNPs, and for SNP strata defined by their association with a secondary phenotype. A strong cross-trait enrichment is observed by a leftward deflection from the null hypothesis (diagonal) with a decrease in p-values in the Q-Q plots. See the original publications for more detailed information on condFDR/conjFDR methods.^{26,27}

Sign concordance test

To validate the conjFDR findings *en masse*, we applied the sign concordance test in independent samples. We assessed the overall pattern of concordance in allelic effect directions of the lead SNPs of all identified loci between the discovery and the independent samples. For loci shared with more than one epilepsy phenotype, we used the most strongly associated lead SNP only. The point-estimates of the beta coefficients were compared to determine how many lead SNPs in the shared loci had concordant allelic directions in the discovery and the

independent samples. Under the null hypothesis assumption, given that there is no genetic association with the trait of interest, the likelihood of randomly detecting sign concordance is 50%. We assessed if the observed sign concordance rates were significantly higher than expected (more than 50%) by a two-tailed exact binomial test.

Functional Analyses

Genomic loci definition

Genomic loci were defined as independent if independent significant SNPs had $r^2 < 0.60$ and $\text{conjFDR} < 0.05$ in accordance with the Functional Mapping and Annotation of GWAS (FUMA) platform.³⁷ Lead SNPs were subsequently identified as independent significant SNPs with $r^2 < 0.1$ in approximate LD. All SNPs with $\text{conjFDR} < 0.10$ and in LD ($r^2 > 0.60$) with an independent significant SNP were chosen as the candidate SNPs. For merged loci within $>250\text{kb}$ of each other, the SNP with the most significant conjFDR value was chosen as the lead SNP of the merged locus. Candidate SNPs in LD ($r^2 \geq 0.6$) with one of the independent SNPs in the locus defined the locus borders. All LD r^2 values were obtained from the 1000 Genomes Project European-ancestry haplotype reference panel.³⁸

The concordance effects of the shared loci were evaluated by studying their z-scores and odds ratios. Novel loci were identified as loci at a minimum distance of 500kb from the reported loci from the original GWAS or not listed by the GWAS Catalog³⁹ or OpenTargets Genetics⁴⁰ or other GWAS analyses on epilepsies or COG.

Functional annotation

Combined annotation dependent depletion scores (CADD), regulomeDB scores and chromatin state scores were used to functionally annotate the candidate SNPs, in line with FUMA.³⁷ CADD score predicts deleterious SNP effects on proteins, regulomeDB score predicts the probability of a SNP to have a regulatory function and chromatin state scores predict transcriptional effects. The Variant-to-GENE (V2G) pipeline from the open-source OpenTargets Genetics was utilized to map the lead SNPs to likely causal genes.⁴⁰ V2G employs information on the distance of SNPs to genes, chromatin interactions and molecular phenotype quantitative trait loci investigations (QTL) and uses this information in machine learning algorithms for gene mapping for each lead SNP. Gene expression and gene set analyses for the GO, KEGG and canonical pathways gene sets were carried out as hypergeometric tests using FUMA and Genotype-Tissue Expression data (GTEx) on the input of the identified genes from V2G.^{41,42} The mapped genes were investigated using the National Center for Biotechnology Information database.⁴³ Using Brain RNA-Seq,⁴⁴ we then leveraged the single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) data to assess whether the mapped genes were significantly expressed in brain cells.

Results

Linkage disequilibrium score regression analysis

We estimated the SNP-heritability of each phenotype and the genetic correlations between all pairs of phenotypes using LDSC²⁵ (Supplementary Table 1). We estimated SNP-heritabilities of 0.19 for COG (h^2 SE= 6.80×10^{-3}), 0.12 for ‘all epilepsy’ (h^2 SE= 0.01), 0.07 for focal epilepsies (h^2 SE= 0.01) and 0.60 for GGE (h^2 SE= 0.05). We also observed that focal epilepsy subtypes (h^2 range = 0.03 – 0.25) had lower estimated SNP-heritabilities compared to GGE subtypes (h^2 range = 0.60 – 0.93), while juvenile absence epilepsy and generalized tonic-clonic seizures were insufficiently powered for the analysis. Further, we found that five epilepsy phenotypes (‘all epilepsy’, focal epilepsies, ‘lesion negative focal epilepsies’, GGE and JME) were significantly negatively correlated with COG, in line with previous findings.^{16,21,22} The significant genetic correlations between COG and ‘lesion negative focal epilepsies’ ($r_g = -0.23$, SE = 0.07, $p = 3.00 \times 10^{-4}$) and between COG and JME ($r_g = -0.12$, SE = 0.04, $p = 3.30 \times 10^{-3}$) are novel reports to our knowledge. The genetic correlations between COG and ‘focal epilepsies with hippocampal sclerosis’, ‘focal epilepsies with lesions other than hippocampal sclerosis’ and CAE did not reach significance.

MiXeR analysis

Univariate MiXeR²⁴ analyses were sufficiently powered for ‘all epilepsy’, GGE, CAE, JME and COG only, as indicated by positive AIC scores (Supplementary Table 2). COG was estimated to be almost four times more polygenic than the four epilepsy phenotypes, which had similar polygenicities. Specifically, COG was estimated to be influenced by 11k (SD = 0.3k) variants, ‘all epilepsy’ by 2.9k (SD = 0.4k) variants, GGE by 2.9k (SD = 0.2k) variants, JME by 2.5k (SD = 0.3k) variants and CAE by 2.5k (SD = 0.4k) variants.

We then conducted bivariate MiXeR and estimated the overlapping genomic proportion between COG and the four sufficiently powered epilepsy phenotypes and observed almost complete overlap with COG (Supplementary Table 3). 2.9k (SD = 0.4k) variants were estimated to be shared between COG and ‘all epilepsy’, 2.9k (SD = 0.2k) between COG and GGE, 2.3k (SD = 0.4k) between COG and CAE, and 2.3k (SD = 0.3k) between COG and JME. The fraction of concordant variants within the overlapping variants was 0.42 for COG and GGE (SD = 6.9×10^{-3}) and for COG and CAE (SD = 1.6×10^{-2}), 0.41 for COG and JME (SD = 1.1×10^{-2}) and 0.37 for COG and ‘all epilepsy’ (SD = 8.4×10^{-3}); indicating mixed allelic effect directions among the overlapping variants, but an overabundance (concordance rate below 0.50) of epilepsy risk variants linked to worse cognitive performance.

Conjunctural false discovery rate analysis

In line with the LDSC and MiXeR results, we observed substantial cross-trait enrichment between COG and the same four epilepsy phenotypes (GGE, JME, CAE and ‘all epilepsy’), as visualized by the conditional quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots (Supplementary Figure 2). No cross-trait enrichment was observed for any of the focal epilepsies, generalized tonic-clonic seizures or juvenile absence epilepsy.

Next, we leveraged the cross-trait enrichment using conjFDR^{26,27} analysis to boost the discovery of overlapping genomic loci (Supplementary tables 4-7). We identified shared loci between COG and ‘all epilepsy’ (11), GGE (55), CAE (9) and JME (11). 15 of the loci were linked to at least two epilepsy phenotypes where three loci were overlapping in three or more analyses. Specifically, one locus at chromosome 2 near the gene *CTD-2026C7.1* was shared between ‘all epilepsy’, GGE, CAE and COG; another locus at chromosome 5 near *RP11-492A10.1* was shared between the generalized epilepsies GGE, CAE, JME and COG; and

lastly, one locus at chromosome 10 near *C10orf76* was shared between all four epilepsy phenotypes and COG. In summary, we found 27 novel loci for GGE, five novel loci for ‘all epilepsy’, five novel loci for JME and five novel loci for CAE. We did not find any novel loci for COG as the shared loci have been identified previously by other studies.^{19,20} Notably, we also found a locus within the MAPT region. The MAPT region involves a complex LD structure, covering many genes, with genetic variants linked to neurodegenerative disorders, learning disability and brain morphology.⁴⁵ Therefore, our finding only indicates that MAPT is involved in epilepsies and COG, instead of a specific gene or locus.

We determined the allelic effect directions of the lead SNPs for each locus. 21 out of 55 lead SNPs for GGE, one out of 11 lead SNPs for ‘all epilepsy’, five out of nine lead SNPs for CAE and four out of 11 lead SNPs shared with JME had concordant allelic effect directions.

Sign concordance test

For the distinct epilepsy loci identified at $\text{conjFDR} < 0.05$, 42 out of 63 (67%) lead SNPs were sign concordant in the independent epilepsy sample³⁴ (binomial test $p = 5.57 \times 10^{-3}$; Supplementary Table 8). For COG, 62 out of 66 (94%) lead SNPs were sign concordant in the independent proxy sample³⁵ ($p = 1.04 \times 10^{-14}$).

Functional annotation

Functional annotation of the candidate SNPs indicated that most of them are positioned in intronic and intergenic regions (Supplementary Tables 9-12). There was a total of 23 nonsynonymous exonic variants located across 14 loci (Supplementary Table 13). Among the implicated genes were *LONRF2*, *STAB1*, *GNL3*, *ITIH1*, *CTD-2117L12.1*, *ELL2*, *RM11*, *IER5L*,

FBXO3, *SERPING1*, *DDN*, *TNRC6A*, *PER1*, *UPK1A*, *ZNFX1* and *BRWD1*. Some of these genes have previously been identified by other studies on epilepsies, in particular *STAB1*, *GNL3*, *ITIH1*, *ELL2*, *RMII*, *DDN* and *UPK1A*.^{16-18,46} Across analyses, 63 candidate SNPs had a CADD-score higher than 12.37 which indicates deleteriousness.⁴⁷ We applied OpenTargets to map genes to each lead SNP based on their V2G scores (Supplementary Tables 14-17). We further performed hypergeometric tests as implemented in FUMA for gene expression and gene set analyses of the identified genes from OpenTargets. The mapped genes were significantly enriched for expression in several brain regions: particularly anterior cingulate cortex, frontal cortex, cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, and the basal ganglia structures caudate nucleus, putamen, and nucleus accumbens (Supplementary Figure 3). Using Brain RNA-Seq,⁴⁴ we also investigated the cell type-specific expression in humans and found that all the mapped genes were significantly expressed in at least one type of brain cell investigated (Supplementary Figures 6-9). The mapped genes were not significantly associated with any gene set.

Discussion

In this study, we utilized the largest available GWAS data on common epilepsies and COG to provide new insights into their genetic relationship. Using MiXeR, we estimated substantial genetic overlap between COG and the four epilepsy phenotypes ‘all epilepsy’, GGE, JME and CAE (Fig. 1), in which almost all epilepsy risk variants were found to also affect cognitive performance. Moreover, using conjFDR we identified 66 distinct genomic loci shared between epilepsies and COG (Fig. 2). Among these shared loci, five loci were novel for ‘all epilepsy’, 27 for GGE, five for CAE and five for JME (Table 2, Supplementary Tables 4-7). Taken together, our study extends previous work by estimating extensive genetic overlap between several epilepsy phenotypes and COG, and we dissect their shared genetic basis by identifying several novel shared loci.

Using MiXeR, we estimated COG to be almost four times more polygenic than the four epilepsy phenotypes ‘all epilepsy’, GGE, JME and CAE. As such, the estimated overlap represents a considerably smaller portion of the genetic architecture of COG than of the epilepsy phenotypes. While the majority of epilepsy risk variants were associated with lower cognitive performance, in line with negative correlations between these phenotypes,^{16,17,21,22} a large fraction of the epilepsy variants was associated with higher cognitive performance, indicating a complex genetic relationship between epilepsy and COG. These findings are consistent with the wide distribution of cognitive performance observed among individuals with epilepsy, as not all patients display poor cognitive functioning.^{5,7} Moreover, impairment in specific cognitive domains is differently associated with different epilepsy subgroups.⁵ As such, further studies are needed to investigate the genetic relationship of specific cognitive phenotypes and epilepsies. It would also be interesting to investigate the genetic link between COG and other predictive factors of severity of illness in epilepsy, including seizure onset,

seizure frequency and duration, or comorbid psychiatric illness.⁸ For example, both epilepsy and COG are phenotypically and genetically linked to mental disorders.^{18,21,23} As such, patients with epilepsies with comorbid mental illness may constitute a subgroup at higher risk of worse cognitive functioning. In the future, combining multimodal diagnostics including imaging, EEG, blood-based biomarkers, and omics for epilepsies may aid in personalized risk estimation with prediction algorithms and may provide better treatment options with precision medicine.

The results emphasize the genetic heterogeneity across common epilepsies, as indicated by the considerably smaller SNP-heritability estimates of focal epilepsies (h^2 range = 0.03 – 0.25) compared to the GGE types (h^2 range = 0.59 – 0.95), which affected the ability to detect genetic overlap. The combination of relatively small GWAS samples and/or low SNP-heritability for the focal epilepsies leads to insufficiently powered MiXeR and conjFDR analyses. On the other hand, JME ($h^2 = 0.93$, SE = 0.12) and CAE ($h^2 = 0.79$, SE = 0.16) had larger SNP-heritability estimates than GGE ($h^2 = 0.60$, SE = 0.05). Yet, the larger sample size for GGE yielded more power for conjFDR analyses and the greater part (83%) of the identified shared loci was linked to GGE. Accordingly, caution should be exercised when interpreting the present results. As the GWAS get larger, shared loci between several epilepsy types and COG are likely to be identified.

Among the overlapping loci, 15 loci were shared between at least two epilepsy types. A locus on chromosome 10 was significantly associated with all four epilepsy phenotypes and had the strongest association of all loci identified (top lead SNP rs11191116, conjFDR = 9.14×10^{-9} for COG and GGE), in which epilepsy risk was associated with lower cognitive performance. This locus reached genome-wide significance in all epilepsy phenotypes and COG.^{17,19} Our gene mapping approach implicated *KCNIP2* for this locus, which encodes a member of the voltage-gated potassium channel-interacting proteins (KCNIPs).⁴³ This channel has recently been identified as a key regulator of homeostatic excitability in humans, and

deletion leads to increased susceptibility to epilepsy and increased excitability in pyramidal hippocampal neurons.⁴⁸ We also observed other mapped genes from the shared loci linked to membrane transport and signal transduction (*CACNA1E*, *CD47*, *SLC5A11*, *SLC24A2*, *CABP1*, *CPNE1*). In addition, many mapped genes are involved in transcription regulation (*SOX11*, *SOX14*, *HMGNI*, *TCEA3*, *ELL2*, *SP4*), neurodevelopmental processes (*KIFBP*, *SEMA3F*, and *NCAMI*), and serine/threonine kinase processes (*PTPA*, *VRK2* and *STRADA*).⁴³ To our knowledge, 12 of these are novel gene associations for epilepsy (*CACNA1E*, *SLC5A11*, *SLC24A2*, *CABP1*, *SOX11*, *HMGNI*, *TCEA3*, *SP4*, *KIFBP*, *NCAMI*, *PTPA* and *STRADA*). In a previous study from our group identifying shared genomic loci between epilepsy and psychiatric disorders, we also observed several genes encoding serine/threonine kinases.¹⁸ Serine/threonine kinases are vital in the regulation of neuronal and synaptic activity, including the transport of neurotransmitters.⁴⁹ Furthermore, among the 38 novel loci identified, six contained nonsynonymous exonic variants, within genes *LONRF2*, *FBXO3*, *TNRC6A*, *BRWD1*, *IER5L* and *SERPING1*. Nonsynonymous exonic variants are more likely to substantially affect a phenotype by disrupting protein function. The strongest novel association detected in our analysis was identified on chromosome 21 (top lead SNP rs13339986, conjFDR = 3.9×10^{-3} shared between GGE and COG), in which epilepsy risk was associated with higher cognitive performance. The locus was also shared with CAE, and included the nonsynonymous exonic variant within *BRWD1*, which encodes a member of the WD repeat family that regulates various cellular functions including signal transduction and cellular differentiation and plays a role in neurodevelopment.⁵⁰

The shared genetic signal between traits may, however, reflect both shared or separate causal variants in strong LD with each other, which conjFDR analysis cannot distinguish.²⁷ As such, further experimental validation is required to determine how the identified genetic variants impact cognitive performance and the risk of epilepsies. Nevertheless, with functional

annotation, we marked several candidate SNPs that may be plausible causal variants in the shared loci for follow-up studies. Another limitation was the use of European ancestry datasets to avoid LD bias in conjFDR analyses. This means that our results may not be translatable to other ancestries at this time. This limitation can be overcome with larger GWAS samples for epilepsies and COG based on other ancestries.

Conclusion

Overall, we demonstrate polygenic overlap between several epilepsy types and COG with significant negative correlations. In addition, we discover multiple novel shared genomic loci between these phenotypes. In summary, the present study indicates that the pathogenic processes underlying epilepsies to a large extent also influence the biological underpinnings of COG.

Data availability statement

GWAS summary statistics used in this study are publicly available and can be accessed through the original publications.

- MiXeR (github.com/precimed/mixer/commit/f56a44, <https://github.com/comorment/mixer/tree/v1.3.0>)
- CondFDR & ConjFDR (<https://github.com/precimed/pleiofdr/commit/846441>)
- LDSC (<https://github.com/bulik/ldsc>, github.com/comorment/ldsc/commit/f2d7d6)
- FUMA (<https://fuma.ctglab.nl/>)
- OpenTargets Genetics (<https://genetics.opentargets.org/>)
- Cleansumstats (<https://github.com/BioPsykc/cleansumstats/tree/1.6.0>)
- Brain RNA-Seq (<https://brainrnaseq.org/>)
- MATLAB R2017a (<https://se.mathworks.com>)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the analysis: N.K., E.H., O.A.A., O.B.S.; Contributed data or analysis tools: E.H., A.A.S., Z.R., G.K., N.P., O.F., A.M.D., O.A.A. ; Performed the analysis: N.K., E.H., A.A.S., G.K., N.P.; Drafting the article: N.K., E.H., O.B.S.; Critical revision of the article: N.K., E.H., A.A.S., D.V.D.M., K.S.O., Z.R., G.K., N.P., S.B., V.F., K.H., E.T., T.U., N.E.S., S.D., A.M.D., O.F., O.A.A., O.B.S.; Final approval of the version to be published: N.K., E.H., A.A.S., D.V.D.M., K.S.O., Z.R., G.K., N.P., S.B., V.F., K.H., E.T., T.U., N.E.S., S.D., A.M.D., O.F., O.A.A., O.B.S.

Acknowledgements

All GWAS investigated in the present study were approved by the local ethics committees, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. We thank the ILAE consortium and the FinnGen biobank for access to data, and the many participants who provided DNA samples.

This work was performed on Services for sensitive data (TSD), University of Oslo, Norway, with resources provided by UNINETT Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway.

The project is funded by ERA-NET-NEURON (Application no: ES609126, Project NMDAR-PSY) which is funded by participating Research Councils across Europe. The work was supported by the Research Council of Norway (262656, 249711, 248980, 248778, 226971, 223273, 300309, 324252, 324499, 326813 and 334920), South-East Norway Regional Health Authority (2016–064, 2022-073 and 2022-087), KG Jebsen Stiftelsen (SKGJ-MED-008), European Union’s Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (847776, CoMorMent; 964874, RealMent; and 801133, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions) and EAA grant (#EEA-RO-NO-2018-0573). K.S.O., G.K. and A.M.D. were supported by NIH (K.S.O: 5R01MH124839-02; G.K.: R01MH123724-01; A.M.D.: U24DA041123, R01AG076838, U24DA055330, and OT2 HL161847). S.B. was supported by Norwegian Health Association (22731).

Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest

O.A.A. has received speaker's honorarium from Lundbeck, Sunovion, Takeda, Janssen and is a consultant for CorTechs.ai and Precision Health AS. A.M.D. is a founder of and holds equity interest in CorTechs Labs and serves on its scientific advisory board. He is also a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of Healthlytix and receives research funding from General Electric Healthcare (GEHC). The terms of these arrangements have been reviewed and

approved by the University of California, San Diego in accordance with its conflict-of-interest policies. Remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, et al. ILAE official report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2014;55(4):475-482.
2. Collaborators GBDE. Global, regional, and national burden of epilepsy, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol*. 2019;18(4):357-375.
3. Brooks-Kayal AR, Bath KG, Berg AT, et al. Issues related to symptomatic and disease-modifying treatments affecting cognitive and neuropsychiatric comorbidities of epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2013;54 Suppl 4:44-60.
4. Kalilani L, Sun X, Pelgrims B, Noack-Rink M, Villanueva V. The epidemiology of drug-resistant epilepsy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epilepsia*. 2018;59(12):2179-2193.
5. Hermann BP, Struck AF, Busch RM, Reyes A, Kaestner E, McDonald CR. Neurobehavioural comorbidities of epilepsy: towards a network-based precision taxonomy. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2021;17(12):731-746.
6. Jacoby A, Snape D, Baker GA. Determinants of quality of life in people with epilepsy. *Neurol Clin*. 2009;27(4):843-863.
7. Gauffin H, Landtblom AM, Vigren P, et al. Similar Profile and Magnitude of Cognitive Impairments in Focal and Generalized Epilepsy: A Pilot Study. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:746381.
8. Meador KJ. Cognitive outcomes and predictive factors in epilepsy. *Neurology*. 2002;58(8 Suppl 5):S21-26.
9. Aikia M, Kalviainen R, Mervaala E, Riekkinen PJ, Sr. Predictors of seizure outcome in newly diagnosed partial epilepsy: memory performance as a prognostic factor. *Epilepsy Res*. 1999;37(2):159-167.
10. Chelune GJ, Naugle RI, Hermann BP, et al. Does presurgical IQ predict seizure outcome after temporal lobectomy? Evidence from the Bozeman Epilepsy Consortium. *Epilepsia*. 1998;39(3):314-318.
11. Yu HY, Shih YH, Su TP, et al. Preoperative IQ predicts seizure outcomes after anterior temporal lobectomy. *Seizure*. 2009;18(9):639-643.
12. Kanner AM, Helmstaedter C, Sadat-Hossieny Z, Meador K. Cognitive disorders in epilepsy I: Clinical experience, real-world evidence and recommendations. *Seizure*. 2020;83:216-222.
13. Eddy CM, Rickards HE, Cavanna AE. The cognitive impact of antiepileptic drugs. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. 2011;4(6):385-407.
14. Helmstaedter C, Witt JA. Epilepsy and cognition - A bidirectional relationship? *Seizure*. 2017;49:83-89.
15. Bjorke AB, Ostby Y, Grahl SG, et al. Cognition in adult patients with newly diagnosed non-lesional temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2021;116:107771.
16. International League Against Epilepsy Consortium on Complex E. Genome-wide mega-analysis identifies 16 loci and highlights diverse biological mechanisms in the common epilepsies. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9(1):5269.
17. International League Against Epilepsy Consortium on Complex E. GWAS meta-analysis of over 29,000 people with epilepsy identifies 26 risk loci and subtype-specific genetic architecture. *Nat Genet*. 2023;55(9):1471-1482.
18. Karadag N, Shadrin AA, O'Connell K, et al. Identification of novel genomic risk loci shared between common epilepsies and psychiatric disorders. *Brain*. 2023.
19. Savage JE, Jansen PR, Stringer S, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis in 269,867 individuals identifies new genetic and functional links to intelligence. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50(7):912-919.
20. Sniekers S, Stringer S, Watanabe K, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 78,308 individuals identifies new loci and genes influencing human intelligence. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49(7):1107-1112.

21. Brainstorm C, Anttila V, Bulik-Sullivan B, et al. Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science*. 2018;360(6395).
22. Leu C, Richardson TG, Kaufmann T, et al. Pleiotropy of polygenic factors associated with focal and generalized epilepsy in the general population. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(4):e0232292.
23. Smeland OB, Kutrolli G, Bahrami S, et al. The shared genetic risk architecture of neurological and psychiatric disorders: a genome-wide analysis. *medRxiv*. 2023.
24. Frei O, Holland D, Smeland OB, et al. Bivariate causal mixture model quantifies polygenic overlap between complex traits beyond genetic correlation. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10(1):2417.
25. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh PR, Finucane HK, et al. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(3):291-295.
26. Andreassen OA, Thompson WK, Schork AJ, et al. Improved detection of common variants associated with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder using pleiotropy-informed conditional false discovery rate. *PLoS Genet*. 2013;9(4):e1003455.
27. Smeland OB, Frei O, Shadrin A, et al. Discovery of shared genomic loci using the conditional false discovery rate approach. *Hum Genet*. 2020;139(1):85-94.
28. Cheng W, Frei O, van der Meer D, et al. Genetic Association Between Schizophrenia and Cortical Brain Surface Area and Thickness. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2021;78(9):1020-1030.
29. Smeland OB, Shadrin A, Bahrami S, et al. Genome-wide Association Analysis of Parkinson's Disease and Schizophrenia Reveals Shared Genetic Architecture and Identifies Novel Risk Loci. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2021;89(3):227-235.
30. Bahrami S, Shadrin A, Frei O, et al. Genetic loci shared between major depression and intelligence with mixed directions of effect. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2021;5(6):795-801.
31. Holen B, Kutrolli G, Shadrin AA, et al. Genome-wide analyses reveal shared genetic architecture and novel risk loci between opioid use disorder and general cognitive ability. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2023;256:111058.
32. Smeland OB, Bahrami S, Frei O, et al. Genome-wide analysis reveals extensive genetic overlap between schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and intelligence. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2020;25(4):844-853.
33. Gadin JR, Zetterberg, R., Meijssen, J. & Schork, A.J. Cleansumstats: Converting GWAS sumstats to a common format to facilitate downstream applications. *Zenodo*. 2023.
34. Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, et al. FinnGen provides genetic insights from a well-phenotyped isolated population. *Nature*. 2023;613(7944):508-518.
35. Okbay A, Beauchamp JP, Fontana MA, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 74 loci associated with educational attainment. *Nature*. 2016;533(7604):539-542.
36. Schwartzman A, Lin X. The effect of correlation in false discovery rate estimation. *Biometrika*. 2011;98(1):199-214.
37. Watanabe K, Taskesen E, Van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nature communications*. 2017;8(1):1-11.
38. Genomes Project C, Auton A, Brooks LD, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature*. 2015;526(7571):68-74.
39. Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2019;47(D1):D1005-D1012.
40. Ochoa D, Hercules A, Carmona M, et al. The next-generation Open Targets Platform: reimaged, redesigned, rebuilt. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2023;51(D1):D1353-D1359.
41. Consortium GT. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(6):580-585.
42. Watanabe K, Taskesen E, van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8(1):1826.

43. Gene [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US), National Center for Biotechnology Information; 2004 – [cited 2023 01 23]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/>.
44. Zhang Y, Sloan SA, Clarke LE, et al. Purification and Characterization of Progenitor and Mature Human Astrocytes Reveals Transcriptional and Functional Differences with Mouse. *Neuron*. 2016;89(1):37-53.
45. Strang KH, Golde TE, Giasson BI. MAPT mutations, tauopathy, and mechanisms of neurodegeneration. *Lab Invest*. 2019;99(7):912-928.
46. Oliver KL, Scheffer IE, Bennett MF, Grinton BE, Bahlo M, Berkovic SF. Genes4Epilepsy: An epilepsy gene resource. *Epilepsia*. 2023;64(5):1368-1375.
47. Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. *Nat Genet*. 2014;46(3):310-315.
48. Wang HG, He XP, Li Q, et al. The auxiliary subunit KChIP2 is an essential regulator of homeostatic excitability. *J Biol Chem*. 2013;288(19):13258-13268.
49. Baltussen LL, Rosianu F, Ultanir SK. Kinases in synaptic development and neurological diseases. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2018;84(Pt B):343-352.
50. Fulton SL, Wenderski W, Lepack AE, et al. Rescue of deficits by Brwd1 copy number restoration in the Ts65Dn mouse model of Down syndrome. *Nat Commun*. 2022;13(1):6384.

TABLE 1. Summary Data from all GWAS used in the present study.

Phenotype	Sample size, n	SNPs, n	Source
Discovery Samples			
All epilepsy	27,559 cases, 42,436 controls	4,137,194	ILAE ¹⁷
Focal epilepsies	14,939 cases, 42,436 controls	4,121,249	ILAE ¹⁷
Genetic generalized epilepsies	6,952 cases, 42,436 controls	4,123,710	ILAE ¹⁷
Focal epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis (HS)	1,260 cases, 42,436 controls	3,900,814	ILAE ¹⁷
Lesion negative focal epilepsy	5,778 cases, 42,436 controls	3,975,365	ILAE ¹⁷
Focal epilepsy with lesions other than HS	4,213 cases, 42,436 controls	4,006,969	ILAE ¹⁷
Childhood absence epilepsy	1,049 cases, 42,436 controls	4,220,933	ILAE ¹⁷
Juvenile absence epilepsy	662 cases, 42,436 controls	4,225,222	ILAE ¹⁷
Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy	1,732 cases, 42,436 controls	4,223,181	ILAE ¹⁷
Generalized tonic-clonic seizures	485 cases, 42,436 controls	4,214,303	ILAE ¹⁷
General cognitive function	269,867	8,002,022	Savage et al ¹⁹
Independent Samples			
All epilepsy	11,740 cases, 287,837 controls	14,614,037	FinnGen (R9)
Educational Attainment	328,917	6,887,408	Okbay et al ³⁵

Abbreviations: ILAE, International League Against Epilepsy Consortium

TABLE 2. All novel loci associated with epilepsies at conjFDR<0.05.

Shared between	CHR	Lead SNP	A1/A2	Nearest Gene	OpenTargets Gene	P-Value	Concord Effect
GGE & COG	1	rs61777153	G/A	TCEA3	TCEA3	2.53E-04	Yes
	1	rs3843280	A/G	CACNA1E	CACNA1E	2.05E-05	Yes
	2	rs45600937	G/A	BCL11A	BCL11A	5.02E-04	Yes
	3	rs62253001	A/G	MITF	MITF	2.25E-04	Yes
	4	rs7679673	C/A	AC004069.2	PPA2	4.18E-04	No
	4	rs1107797	T/C	PET112	GATB	9.88E-05	No
	5	rs62366404	G/A	PLK2	GAPT	2.05E-04	No
	6	rs6569342	C/T	RP11-436D23.1	N/A	7.36E-06	No
	7	rs2237303	A/G	SP4	SP4	1.35E-05	No
	7	rs10243354	T/G	ZMIZ2	ZMIZ2	1.27E-05	Yes
	7	rs7785241	C/T	MAGI2	MAGI2	2.76E-04	Yes
	9	rs4977551	A/G	SLC24A2	SLC24A2	3.52E-05	No
	9	rs6475737	G/A	SUMO2P2	ELAVL2	2.31E-04	No
	10	rs10509112	C/T	CCDC6	CCDC6	3.99E-05	No
	11	rs1402954	C/T	FBXO3	FBXO3	1.11E-04	No
	11	rs7938812	T/G	NCAM1	NCAM1	2.56E-04	No
	12	rs773107	A/G	RAB5B	RAB5B	4.09E-04	No
	12	rs473121	T/C	CABP1	CABP1	3.84E-04	No
	14	rs17111366	A/G	NOVA1	NOVA1	1.13E-04	No
	16	rs9937737	C/T	U95743.1	ERCC4	2.62E-05	No
	16	rs7186893	G/T	TNRC6A	SLC5A11	3.56E-04	No
	17	rs2632519	A/G	BZRAP1-AS1:SUPT4H1	MPO	4.95E-04	No
	17	rs8075273	C/A	MAP3K3	STRADA	4.80E-04	Yes
20	rs7270848	A/G	ARFGEF2	CSE1L	2.36E-04	Yes	
21	rs13339986	T/C	BRWD1	PSMG1	9.31E-06	Yes	
22	rs743942	G/A	RP3-434P1.6	KDEL3	2.13E-04	No	
22	rs139064	C/T	MKL1	MRTFA	3.12E-04	Yes	
ALL & COG	1	rs10158414	T/C	CR1:RP11-78B10.2	CR1	9.97E-06	No
	2	rs7559464	G/A	LONRF2	LONRF2	4.15E-05	No
	2	rs2222131	G/T	NT5DC4	SLC20A1	1.14E-04	No
	4	rs173048	A/C	SLC39A8	SLC39A8	7.73E-05	No
	5	rs36033	T/C	C5orf64:RP11-2O17.2	ZSWIM6	5.89E-05	No
CAE & COG	4	rs16895737	A/C	FAM184B	DCAF16	5.50E-05	Yes
	10	rs16925839	T/C	STOX1	KIFBP	1.09E-04	Yes
	19	rs11666808	C/T	KIAA1683	IQC�	6.33E-05	Yes
	21	rs12626405	T/G	BRWD1	HMGN1	2.70E-05	Yes
	22	rs111959380	G/A	RP3-434P1.6	KDEL3	1.68E-04	No
JME & COG	2	rs7598861	G/A	AC107057.2:AC108025.2	SOX11	1.44E-04	Yes
	6	rs2450510	G/A	RP11-436D23.1	N/A	6.38E-05	No
	7	rs4724319	A/G	ZMIZ2	ZMIZ2	5.42E-05	Yes
	9	rs184457	G/A	IER5L:RP11-247A12.2	PTPA	2.86E-05	No
	11	rs10750866	A/G	AP000662.4	SERPING1	3.69E-05	Yes

P-Values are reported for the epilepsy phenotype. Novelty is considered for the particular epilepsy type in analysis. Detailed information about the reported loci can be found in Supplementary tables 4-7. Abbreviations: COG, general cognitive ability; All, 'all epilepsy'; GGE, genetic generalized epilepsies; CAE, childhood absence epilepsy; JME, juvenile myoclonic epilepsy.




Figure 1. Genome-wide genetic overlap and genetic correlations between general cognitive ability (COG) and epilepsies ('all epilepsy', GGE, JME, CAE). The numbers in the Venn diagrams represent the number of shared and phenotype-specific trait-influencing variants which account for 90% of SNP-heritability in thousands, and r_g represents genome-wide genetic correlations. Abbreviations: COG, general cognitive ability; ALL, 'all epilepsy'; GGE, genetic generalized epilepsies; JME, juvenile myoclonic epilepsy; CAE, childhood absence epilepsy)




Figure 2. Common genetic variants jointly associated with COG and epilepsies ('all epilepsy', GGE, JME and CAE) at conjunctural false discovery rate (conjFDR) < 0.05. Manhattan plots showing the $-\log_{10}$ transformed conjFDR values for each single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) on the y-axis and chromosomal positions along the x-axis. The dotted line represents the conjFDR threshold for significant association < 0.05. Abbreviations: COG, general cognitive ability; ALL, 'all epilepsy'; GGE, genetic generalized epilepsies; JME, juvenile myoclonic epilepsy; CAE, childhood absence epilepsy)